# **DÎ** Toronto

Agenda Index Supplementary Agenda Decision Document

#### WORKS COMMITTEE AGENDA MEETING 1

Date of Meeting:	Wednesday, January 11, 2006 Committee Room 1 and Thursday, January 12, 2006 Committee Room 2	Enquiry:	Rosalind Dyers Committee Administrator 416-392-8018
Time: Location:	Committee Room 2 9:30 a.m. 100 Queen Street West Toronto, Ontario		rdyers@toronto.ca

If the Works Committee wishes to meet in camera (privately), a motion must be made to do so, and the reason given (*Municipal Act, 2001*).

Declarations of Interest under the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act.

Confirmation of Minutes - November 8 and 9, 2005 and November 30, 2005

Speakers/Presentations – A complete list will be distributed at the meeting:

**Communications/Reports:** 

#### 1. 2006 Operating Budgets - Works Committee

#### (Speakers)

Analyst Briefing Notes with respect to the following 2006 Operating Budgets under the purview of the Works Committee:

- Solid Waste Management Services;
- Transportation Services;
- Technical Services.

10:00 a.m.

## (The Analyst Briefing Notes and 2006 Operating Budgets will be distributed to Members of Council on January 4, 2006.)

#### **1(a).** Additional Free Compost Give-Away Days

Briefing Note (October 26, 2005) from the Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services responding to the request of the Works Committee on October 11, 2005, to provide information on the feasibility of permitting Councillors to have additional free compost days for local residents.

### **1(b).** Environment Days Date Selection Discussion Results and Increasing the Number and Hours of Operation of Environment Day Events

Communication (December 19, 2005) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on December 14 and 16, 2005, referred back to the Works Committee for further consideration, together with the following motion by Councillor Moscoe, Works Committee Report 11, Clause 7, headed "Environment Days Date Selection Discussion Results and Increasing the Number and Hours of Operation of Environment Day Events":

"That the Clause be amended to provide that Councillors be allowed to conduct their Environment Day on a Sunday in those Wards with a sufficiently large Orthodox Jewish or Seventh Day Adventist population, at the discretion of the Councillor,";

and further noting in the Clause that the Works Committee on November 8 and 9, 2005:

 postponed the following motion by Councillor Palacio until the January 11, 2006, meeting of the Works Committee for consideration with the 2006 Operating Budget for Solid Waste Management Services:

"That:

- (1) the number of Environment Days be increased from 44 to 88 (two per Ward) per year; or
- (2) the hours of operation on Environment Days be extended by three hours;
- (3) should Council decide to increase the number of environmental days from 44 to 88 in 2006, or extend the hours of operation on Environmental Days by three hours, Solid Waste Management Services be requested to secure the required funding through the 2006 Operating Budget for Environment Days."; and
- (ii) requested the Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services to submit a Briefing Note for consideration with the 2006 Operating Budget

providing a breakdown of the statistics related to Environment Days, i.e., the number of attendees by Ward, the number of green and blue boxes given away, and the tonnage collected.

#### SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SERVICES

#### 2. Biodegradable Plastic Bags for Organic Material

Communication (November 8, 2005) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on October 26, 27, 28, and 31, 2005, referred back to the Works Committee for further consideration Report 9, Clause 12(b), a report (September 29, 2005) from the Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services responding to the request of the Works Committee on April 27, 2005, to report on the issue of biodegradable bags including the potential use of biodegradable plastic bags for organic material collection.

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

#### **3.** The Use of Translucent Bags for Garbage

Report (December 15, 2005) from the Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services responding to the request of the Committee to report on the use of translucent bags for Toronto's garbage.

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

## 4. Agreement Regarding Garbage Disposal Between the City of Toronto and the Regions of York, Durham and Peel

Communication (December 15, 2005) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on December 5, 6 and 7, 2005, referred Motion J(3) by Councillor Ootes, seconded by Councillor Del Grande, headed "Agreement Regarding Garbage Disposal Between the City of Toronto and the Regions of York, Durham and Peel", to the Works Committee for consideration, and wherein it is recommended as follows:

"WHEREAS 'transparency' has supposedly been one of the hallmarks of the Mayor's last two years in office and is a common thread in all of his statements, regardless of the issue; and

**WHEREAS** the mission statement for the Mayor's Office is prominently displayed on the City's public Website, and states, in part, 'The Mayor's Office has a duty to conduct the business of the City in ways that are transparent, honest,

efficient and inclusive. It is the responsibility of the Mayor to ensure that City Council remains accountable and accessible to the public...'; and

**WHEREAS** before David Miller was elected as Mayor, as a Councillor he often spoke about the importance of transparency in government – 'Civic participation holds political representatives accountable and makes decision-making more transparent' (speech to the Canadian Council on Social Development, November 2001); and

**WHEREAS** Mayor Miller, as part of his commitment 'to ensure a more open and transparent system of government', has been a proponent for the creation of a Lobbyist Registry; and

**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto currently ships approximately 105 truckloads of garbage to Michigan each day; and

**WHEREAS** Toronto faces an imminent crisis, should Michigan decide to close its border to our garbage, and this threat grows more real with each passing week; and

**WHEREAS** in February 2005, Mayor David Miller, Deputy Mayor Sandra Bussin and Councillor Jane Pitfield reviewed a confidential report that was prepared by the consulting firm of Gartner Lee, for the City of Toronto, and the Regions of York, Durham and Peel; and

**WHEREAS** later in 2005, the Chair and the Vice-Chair of the Works Committee, Councillors Shelley Carroll and Adam Giambrone respectively, reviewed this confidential report; and

**WHEREAS** the report was submitted to the Provincial Minister of the Environment; and

**WHEREAS** the majority of the Works Committee and other Members of Council were not permitted to review this report and have been denied access to it; and

**WHEREAS** a senior City official has confirmed that the report has actually been kept confidential based on an agreement between the individuals from the City of Toronto who reviewed the report and the representatives from York, Durham and Peel, along with the Province's Environment Minister; and

WHEREAS this agreement was made without Council approval; and

**WHEREAS** the Chair of the Works Committee stated in an interview on September 15, 2005, that she hopes to have a 'waste summit' before month's end to discuss the Michigan situation;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Mayor and the Chair of the Works Committee immediately disclose the Gartner Lee report to Council;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Mayor of the City of Toronto and the Chair of the Works Committee explain the lack of transparency surrounding the critical issue of our garbage contingency plan, and the back-room decision by the Mayor and a few Councillors to not consult Council regarding this report;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Mayor and the Chair of the Works Committee provide a report to the next meeting of City Council on the specific actions and plans that have been undertaken since the Gartner Lee report was commissioned, and since it was presented to the Minister of the Environment."

#### 5. Appointments to the Community Environmental Assessment Team

Communication (December 16, 2005) from the Community Environmental Assessment Team Nominating Panel advising that the Nominating Panel on November 21, December 15 and 16, 2005, recommended to the Works Committee and Council that:

- (1) Council appoint citizens to the Community Environmental Assessment Team as listed in the confidential communication (December 16, 2005) from the Community Environmental Assessment Team Nominating Panel, for the term of the project (approximately five years), providing the member enters into an agreement with the City covering such topics as honoraria, treatment of confidential information, conflict of interest and other City policies, in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor and provides a biography to be available to the public;
- (2) Council appoint the members listed in the confidential communication as Chair and Vice-Chair;
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to do whatever is necessary to implement these recommendations; and
- (4) under the *Municipal Act* and *Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*, Works Committee and Council discuss this in camera, since the subject includes personal matters about identifiable individuals.

(A copy of the confidential communication referred to in the recommendations was forwarded to Members of Council and special officials only.)

#### TRANSPORTATION SERVICES

#### 6. The Use and Regulation of Segways

Communication (November 8, 2005) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on October 26, 27, 28, and 31, 2005, referred back to the Works Committee for further consideration Report 9, Clause 12(g), a report (September 8, 2005) from the City Solicitor responding to the request of the Works Committee on April 27, 2005, to report on the use and regulation of Segways in Ontario and other jurisdictions; concluding that:

- (i) the Segway is encompassed within the definition of motor vehicle as found in Ontario's Highway Traffic Act and, according to the Ontario Ministry of Transportation and pursuant to the Act, may not legally operate on a highway in Ontario; and that a highway would include the sidewalk or footpath where the sidewalk or footpath falls within the road allowance; and
- (ii) the Segway would fall within the definition of "vehicle" as found in Municipal Code Chapter 608, Parks, and pursuant to this Chapter currently may be operated on parks' roadways and parking areas that are not public highways, but not in any other area of the park unless authorized by permit.

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended that the report be received for information.

- **6(a).** Communications were received from the following:
  - (October 6, 2005) from Councillor Bill Saundercook, Ward 13, Parkdale-High Park;
  - (October 6, 2005) from Lis Kilgour;
  - (October 6, 2005) from Gerald Nicholson;
  - (October 7, 2005) from Azia March;
  - (October 6, 2005) from Brent Erb;
  - (October 6, 2005) from Ralph Servidio;
  - (October 7, 2005) from Rob Bosomworth;
  - (October 7, 2005) from Kevin Wilson;
  - (October 7, 2005) from Carlos and Katia Garcia;
  - (October 10, 2005) from Rory Sinclair, Chair, Harbord Village Residents Association.
  - (October 11, 2005) from William E. Brown;
  - (undated) from Dylan Reid;
  - (October 11, 2005) from Angela Bertoni;

- (October 11, 2005) from Barry Humphrey;
- (December 24, 2005) from Claude Roy, Director, Motor Vehicle Regulation Enforcement Road Safety and Motor Vehicle Regulation Transport Canada
- (November 7, 2005) from Cynthia Booker;
- (November 17, 2005) from Nigel Stuckey; and
- (December 9, 2005) from Bill Brunton;

## (A copy of each of communications referred to in Item 6(a) was forwarded to Members of Council and special officials only.)

#### 7. Relocation of Traffic Control Signals to the Intersection of O'Connor Drive and Northline Road (Ward 31, Beaches-East York, and Ward 34, Don Valley East)

Report (October 31, 2005) from the General Manager, Transportation Services responding to a request from Councillor Janet Davis, Ward 31, Beaches-East York, who had consulted with Councillor Denzil Minnan-Wong, Ward 34, Don Valley East, to review the crossing environment for pedestrians and traffic operations in general in the vicinity of O'Connor Drive/Wakunda Place and O'Connor Drive/Northline Road intersections; indicating that the estimated cost of installing traffic control signals at the intersection of O'Connor Drive and Northline Road is \$98,000.00, which includes the cost of removing the existing pedestrian traffic signals on O'Connor Drive, south of Wakunda Place; that the current approved signal installations exceed the 2005 budget, and, therefore, the work outlined in the report would be considered in 2006, subject to relative priority and budget availability.

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) traffic control signals be installed at the intersection of O'Connor Drive and Northline Road, coincident with the removal of the existing pedestrian traffic signals on O'Connor Drive, approximately 45 metres south of Wakunda Place; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

(Deferred from the November 8 and 9, 2005, meeting of the Works Committee, with a request that Councillor Janet Davis, Ward 31, and Councillor Denzil Minnan-Wong, Ward 34, undertake community consultation on the proposed relocation of the Traffic Control Signals to the intersection of O'Connor Drive and Northline Road.)

## 8. Standing Authority for the General Manager, Transportation Services to Dedicate Land for Public Highway, Lane or Walkway Purposes

Report (December 6, 2005) from Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin seeking delegated authority for the General Manager, Transportation Services to approve of the dedication of City-owned lands for public highway, lane or walkway purposes under non-contentious circumstances.

#### Recommendations:

- (1) the General Manager of Transportation Services or his/her designate be authorized to approve of the dedication of the following City-owned lands for public highway, lane or walkway purposes, in the absence of explicit Council authority where:
  - (a) the lands have been acquired or accepted for public highway, lane or walkway purposes pursuant to a development review, Council approval or delegated authority;
  - (b) the reserves on registered plans or lands used as reserves are no longer needed to control access to an abutting property;
  - (c) Council's intent to open a road can be implied from one or more documents; or
  - (d) the lands are used by the public as public highway, lane or walkway;
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take all steps necessary to implement the proposed dedication referred to in Recommendation (1), including requesting the City Solicitor to submit the relevant Bills-in-Council, and to pay any costs necessary to register the resultant by-laws in the Land Registry Office;
- (3) prior to the approval of any proposed dedication of land, the General Manager of Transportation Services inform the affected ward councillor who shall have the option of referring the proposed dedication of land to the affected community council;
- (4) Clause 7 of Report 12 of the Urban Environment and Development Committee, adopted by Council on November 25, 26 and 27, 1998, be rescinded; and

(5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

## 9. Scarlett Road/CP Rail Bridge Class Environmental Assessment Study (York South-Weston and Parkdale–High Park)

Report (December 13, 2005) from the General Manager, Transportation Services respecting the findings and recommendations of the Scarlett Road/CP Rail Bridge Class Environmental Assessment (EA) Study, and requesting authority to file the Environmental Study Report (ESR) in the public record in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment.

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the Environmental Study Report for the Scarlett Road/CP Rail Bridge Class Environmental Assessment Study, which recommends replacement of the existing bridge and widening of Scarlett Road under the structure, be adopted;
- (2) authority be granted to the General Manager of Transportation Services to file the Environmental Study Report for the Scarlett Road/CP Rail Bridge Class Environmental Assessment with the City Clerk and to give notification of such filing in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental assessment process; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

#### 10. Municipal Road Damage Deposits (All Wards)

Report (December 8, 2005) from the General Manager, Transportation Services respecting the establishment of a harmonized process to administer and process Municipal Road Damage Deposits from builders across the City and enact the necessary bills to enable the collection of such deposits; and responding to the City's external auditor's recommendations that a policy and process be developed to deal with unclaimed deposits currently being held by the City.

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

(1) for the harmonization of the Municipal Road Damage Deposits process:

- (a) a Municipal Road Damage Deposits By-law be adopted, in the form set out in Appendix "A" in this report;
- (b) two Roads Inspectors in the Transportation Services Division and two Counter Clerks in the Building Services Division be hired to address the anticipated increase of work resulting from this program; and
- (c) a non-refundable fee of \$50.00 (fifty dollars) per application, included in the Municipal Road Damage Deposits, be levied to cover the additional costs of staffing for this program;
- (2) for the processing of currently unclaimed Municipal Road Damage Deposits:
  - (a) the General Manager of Transportation Services Division post a notice in a major newspaper and on the City's website, generally in the form shown in Appendix "B" to this report;
  - (b) the appropriate amount be refunded, from the unclaimed deposit accounts, to individuals who can show a legitimate claim within a period of 30 days after the notices are posted; and
  - (c) the General Manager of Transportation Services Division be authorized to transfer any funds, which remain unclaimed after the 30 day notice period, to appropriate road and sidewalk maintenance and repair accounts; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

## **11.** Parking for Motorized Scooters and Motorcycles in Off-Street Municipal Parking Facilities

Report (December 19, 2005) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority, advising that the Board of Directors of the Toronto Parking Authority on November 29, 2005, considered the request of City Council that the Authority report to the Works Committee with respect to parking for scooters and motorcycles in off-street parking facilities under its jurisdiction, and how the Authority could designate a small area at all of its indoor and outdoor "Green P" lots where motorcycles, as defined in the Ontario Highway Traffic Act, may park for free; and that the Board, after considering the difficulties associated with the request, decided to continue the current practice of providing parking to all motorized vehicles, as defined under the Highway Traffic Act, at the same posted fee.

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended that Members of the Works Committee be advised that the Toronto Parking Authority will continue to provide parking for scooters and motorcycles in the off-street lots under its jurisdiction at the posted rates that are applicable to all other motorized vehicles.

#### **12.** Cycling Trends and Policies in Canadian Cities (All Wards)

Report (December 14, 2005) from the General Manager, Transportation Services responding to the request of the Works Committee to report on the Toronto Cycling Committee's recommendation that City Council request the Province of Ontario to become more involved in cycling issues.

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council adopt the recommendation forwarded by the Toronto Cycling Committee to request the Province to become more deeply involved in cycling issues and provide funding for a range of programs to promote cycling, increase safety, co-ordinate local cycling efforts and fund infrastructure improvements; and
- (2) the General Manager, Transportation Services be directed to request a meeting with staff from the Ministry of Transportation for Ontario to discuss potential partnership and funding opportunities for Toronto cycling programs and infrastructure projects.

#### **13.** Winter Service Operations

Communication (November 24, 2005) from the Toronto Cycling Committee advising that the Committee on November 21, 2005, recommended that the Works Committee:

- (1) request the General Manager, Transportation Services Division, to report on:
  - (a) the addition of a separate road classification for streets with a bike lane and streets with a signed bicycle route in the Transportation Services "Guidelines for Initiation and Completion of Snow Removal", giving a higher level of service than is currently employed on these types of streets; and
  - (ii) the feasibility of removing cars parked in bike lanes during snow emergencies to allow for snow clearing;
- (2) conduct periodic checks on the clearing of bike lanes to at least one metre as outlined in the Winter Services Operations document and report on the results to the Toronto Cycling Committee; and

(3) explore the feasibility of night-time clearance of snow in parking lay-bys on streets with bike lanes (e.g., St. George Street, College Street) including the option of using smaller vehicles with sharper turning ability.

#### **14.** Smog Alert Days

Communication (November 24, 2005) from the Toronto Cycling Committee advising that the Committee on November 21, 2005, recommended that the Works Committee request Toronto Public Health/Board of Health to investigate options for enhancing bike priority as a public health protection measure on smog alert days, including comment on possible bike priority measures on Bloor Street, as a component of the September 14, 2005, Works Committee approval of a communication to the Works Committee on the "Tooker Gomberg Memorial Bike Lane".

#### **TECHNICAL SERVICES**

#### **15.** Development Infrastructure Policy and Standards – Phase 2 Report

Communication (December 12, 2005) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on December 5, 6 and 7, 2005, amended Clause 2, Joint Report 2 of the Planning and Transportation Committee and Works Committee by striking out and referring the following Joint Recommendations (2) and (5) of the Planning and Transportation Committee and Works Committee to the Works Committee for further consideration:

- "(2) require all new streets to contain ducts for the installation of fibre optic cable and co-axial cable, and that the Technical Services Division develop a standard design and installation protocol to make provision for a cabling system including 'to the property line provisions' analogous to a municipal water or sewer system; and
- (5) require developers of new subdivisions to contribute an amount to the traffic calming reserve to be used to fund future traffic calming installations, if and when required."

#### **15(a).** Installation of Fibre Optic Cable and Co-axial Cable Ducts in New Streets

Report (December 16, 2005) from the Executive Director, Technical Services responding to Council's request to report back on installation of fibre optic cable and co-axial cable ducts in new streets.

#### Recommendations:

- (1) for new subdivisions, any necessary infrastructure for telecommunication (fibre optic or co-axial) be incorporated into the design of new streets and constructed as part of the subdivision;
- (2) the installation of surplus ducts for telecommunication is not necessary for new and existing local residential streets; and
- (3) the current circulation process to the Toronto Public Utility Coordinating Committee as well as individual utility companies for transportation capital works projects be continued in order for utility companies to identify where telecommunication infrastructure has to be installed or upgraded as part of the construction project.

## 15(b). Appropriate Developer Contribution Level for Annual Traffic Calming Costs (All Wards)

Report (December 9, 2005) from the General Manager, Transportation Services responding to the request to report on appropriate contributions from developers to cover the City of Toronto's annual cost of Traffic Calming.

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended that the developers/owners of new subdivisions not be required to make contributions to a Traffic Calming Reserve Fund.

## **16.** Provision of Engineering Services for the Expansion of the F.J. Horgan Water Treatment Plant – Request for Proposal 9117-05-7324

Report (October 27, 2005) from the Executive Director, Technical Services and the Treasurer advising of the results of Request for Proposals (RFP) 9117-05-7324 for the provision of engineering services for the expansion of the F.J. Horgan Water Treatment Plant, and requesting authority to enter into an agreement with the recommended proponent.

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

 prior approval for the project cost of \$10,654,572.40 net of GST be approved for the CPW002-02 F.J. Horgan Water Treatment Plant Expansion with cash flows of \$50,000.00 in 2005, \$701,000.00 in 2006, \$3,000,000.00 in 2007, \$2,500,000.00 in 2008, \$2,500,000.00 in 2009, \$1,903,572.40 in 2010;

- (2) subject to approval of Recommendation (1), authority be granted to award the contract to CH2M HILL Canada Limited, being the lowest cost and the highest overall scoring proponent meeting the requirements, to provide engineering services for preliminary design, detailed design, construction administration, and post construction services for the expansion of the F.J. Horgan Water Treatment Plant in the City of Toronto, at a cost of \$11,400,392.52 including all applicable taxes, charges, and contingency allowances as follows:
  - (a) for the pre-design and detailed design including soil investigation work, an amount not to exceed \$6,824,888.45 including disbursements and GST, and including a contingency allowance of \$624,000.00 for additional services, if necessary and authorized by the Executive Director, Technical Services;
  - (b) for services during construction including general office administration and site supervision services, an amount not to exceed \$4,378,601.81, including construction disbursements and GST for a construction period of up to 40 months. This amount also includes a contingency allowance of \$401,000.00 including GST, and to cover resident staff services during construction beyond a period of 40 months at a rate not to exceed \$15,191.65 per week including disbursements and GST, if necessary and authorized by the Executive Director, Technical Services; and
  - (c) for the post-construction services, an amount not to exceed \$196,902.26, including disbursements and GST, and including a contingency allowance of \$18,000.00 for additional services, if necessary, and authorized by the Executive Director, Technical Services;
- (3) in the event that the 2006 Toronto Water Capital Budget is delayed, the Toronto Water 2005 Capital Budget be amended as follows: the total project costs for account F.J. Horgan Water Treatment Plant Expansion CPW002-02 be increased to \$10,654,600.00 with cash flows of \$50,000.00 in 2005, \$701,000.00 in 2006, \$3,000,000.00 in 2007, \$2,500,000.00 in 2008, \$2,500,000.00 in 2009, \$1,903,572.40 in 2010;
- (4) this report be forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

#### (Deferred from the November 8 and 9, 2005 meeting of the Works Committee.)

16(a). Briefing Note (December 1, 2005) from the Director, Water Treatment and Supply, Toronto Water, responding to the request of the Works Committee on November 8 and 9, 2005, to submit a briefing note on the plant expansion at F. J. Horgan Water Treatment Plant.

#### 17. Amendment to Contract Nos. 47007807, 47007808, 47007809, and 47008019 - Utility Company Infrastructure Relocation during Capital Construction Projects (All Wards)

Report (December 19, 2005) from the Executive Director, Technical Services requesting authority to: (i) amend the existing upset limits and/or validity dates for Contract Nos. 47007807, 47007808, 47007809 and 470080119 for contracted services related to utility company infrastructure relocation during City capital construction projects; and (ii) retain the Toronto Transit Commission (T.T.C.) to provide contracted services related to the relocation of T.T.C. infrastructure.

#### Recommendations:

- (1) Contract No. 47007807 with Bell Canada be amended by \$625,000.00 from \$250,000.00 to \$875,000.00 including all taxes and charges;
- (2) Contract No. 47007809 with Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. be amended by \$315,000.00 from \$250,000.00 to \$565,000.00 including all taxes and charges;
- (3) Contract No. 47008019 with Toronto Hydro Electric System Limited be amended by \$1,840,000.00 from \$1,600,000.00 to \$3,440,000.00 including all taxes and charges;
- (4) the validity date of Contract Nos. 47007807, 47007808, 47007809, and 47008019 be amended to December 31, 2007;
- (5) the Toronto Transit Commission be retained to provide contracted services related to T.T.C. infrastructure relocation in the amount of \$125,000.00 from January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2007; and
- (6) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

#### TORONTO WATER

## **18.** Impacts of Further Urban Expansion in York Region on the City of Toronto in Terms of Stormwater Quality and Quantity, Air Quality, Traffic Congestion and Impacts on Toronto's Infrastructure (City-wide)

Report (October 26, 2005) from Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin responding to the request of the Works Committee on September 14, 2005, to report on the impacts of further urban expansion in York Region on the City of Toronto in terms of stormwater quality and quantity, air quality, traffic congestion and on Toronto's infrastructure.

#### **Recommendation:**

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

#### **18(a).** York Durham Sewer System – Request for Injunction

Communication (December 13, 2005) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on December 5, 6 and 7, 2005, referred Motion J(23) by Councillor Moscoe, seconded by Councillor Del Grande, headed "York Durham Sewer System – Request for Injunction", to the Works Committee for consideration, and wherein it is recommended as follows:

**"WHEREAS** the City of Toronto has officially expressed its concern regarding the York Durham Sewer System and the need for a Full Environmental Assessment of the entire project; and

**WHEREAS** the federal election has caused a delay in the federal government's response to the City's resolution dated October 27, 2005; and

**WHEREAS** sections of this project have implications with respect to federal jurisdiction and lands; and

**WHEREAS** the Region of York continues to dewater aquifers of the Oak Ridges Moraine/Rouge Watershed and continues to pursue further Ministry of Environment (MOE) approvals to construct the 19<sup>th</sup> Avenue phase of this project across the Oak Ridges Moraine, involving further water-takings and dewatering with potentially damaging impacts to the City of Toronto; and

**WHEREAS** York Region has accelerated the construction of the big pipe in response to the City of Toronto's resolution, and they anticipate substantially

constructing the project before the federal government is in a position to take any action on this matter; and

**WHEREAS** the provincial government has chosen to ignore the comments and recommendations made by Ontario's Environmental Commissioner and continues to allow this project to proceed;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City of Toronto direct the City Solicitor to seek an injunction to prevent this project from proceeding until such time that the federal government is in a position to respond to the City's request for a review and full Federal Environmental Assessment of all phases of the York Durham Sewer System;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Council direct Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin, or his designate, to attend and represent the City's interests at York Region's public meeting on December 8, 2005, regarding the 19<sup>th</sup> Avenue phase and the permit to take-water as part of the approval process."

#### **19.** Distribution of City of Toronto Tap Water – Toronto Pure

Communication (December 13, 2005) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on December 5, 6 and 7, 2005, referred Motion I(4) by Councillor Moscoe, seconded by Councillor Carroll, headed "Distribution of City of Toronto Tap Water – Toronto Pure", to the Works Committee for consideration, and wherein it is recommended as follows:

"WHEREAS the City of Toronto's tap water meets or exceeds any measure of quality standard available; and

**WHEREAS** in every objective evaluation of commercial bottled water, the City of Toronto's water (Toronto Pure) exceeds the standard of almost all bottled waters; and

**WHEREAS** the City and all of its agencies, boards and commissions have an obligation to promote the quality of our water; and

**WHEREAS** a first step in promoting Toronto water would be to ensure that all City staff stop selling or distributing other than the City's own product;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, apart from honouring existing contracts, the City of Toronto prohibit the distribution of any water other than Toronto Pure, i.e., City of Toronto tap water, in any City facility or the facilities of its agencies, boards and commissions."

## 20. Rear Surface Flooding 220 to 244 Waverly Avenue, 79 to 91 Norway Avenue, and 233 to 241 Kenilworth Avenue (Ward 32, Beaches-East York)

Report (December 19, 2005) from the General Manager, Toronto Water responding to a request by Mr. Cosburn of Waverly Avenue, representing 25 homeowners in the area, that the City rectify/repair a deteriorated private catch basin and private drain connection which have and continue to be blocked leading to flooding conditions in the rear of the affected properties; and providing comments on the feasibility of the City bearing the cost of repairs to a deteriorated private catch basin and malfunctioning private drain pipe located in the common parking area serving premises 220 to 244 Waverly Avenue, 79 to 91 Norway Avenue and 233 to 241 Kenilworth Avenue.

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) no further action be taken by the City with respect to the rear parking area flooding problem at 220 to 234 Waverly Avenue; and
- (2) the Waverly-Norway Residents' Group be advised that the repairs to the drainage systems on private property are the responsibility of the property owners having ownership and/or rights-of-way access over the common parking and access area.

## **21.** Consideration for Additional Funding in the 2007 Capital Budget for Source Water Protection, Tree Planting and Downspout Disconnection

Report (December 19, 2005) from the General Manager, Toronto Water responding to the request of the Committee on November 8 and 9, 2005, to report on including additional funding in the 2007 Toronto Water Capital Budget for source water protection, tree planting and downspout disconnection programs.

#### Recommendations:

- (1) the General Manager, Toronto Water report to the Works Committee at its meeting on June 7, 2006, on the implementation of a mandatory downspout disconnection program, with a phase-in period of ten years, and the by-law amendments and funding options required to implement the program; and
- (2) the General Manager, Toronto Water, in preparing Toronto Water's 2007-2011 Capital Budget, consider the following allocations:

- (a) \$3 million for tree planting for stormwater reduction;
- (b) \$2 million per year contribution to the Land Acquisition for Source Water Protection of Toronto's Rivers Reserve Account;
- (c) an amount of \$2 million for the Multi-Year Business Plan to protect the source of Toronto's rivers; and
- (d) an amount of \$1 million for a Mandatory Downspout Disconnection Program.

## 22. Sponsorship and Partnership Opportunities to Enhance the Implementation of the Water Efficiency Program (All Wards)

Report (December 19, 2005) from the General Manager, Toronto Water seeking Council authority to enter into partnerships with external parties and investigate potential funding sources when implementing Water Efficiency Program initiatives in order to increase program effectiveness.

#### Recommendations:

- (1) the General Manager of Toronto Water be given the authority to investigate and authorize potential funding sources/sponsorship opportunities and establish partnerships with external parties to enhance existing incentive levels and increase effectiveness of the Water Efficiency Program;
- (2) the General Manager of Toronto Water be given authority to establish a one-year partnership with Proctor and Gamble (P&G) and accept P&G's contribution of \$20.00 worth of coupons for their brand of high efficiency laundry detergent (Tide HE) to enhance the existing \$60.00 incentive to Residential Washer Program participants;
- (3) the General Manager of Toronto Water report annually on the progress of the Water Efficiency Plan, including adjustments made to the Water Efficiency Plan incentive programs as a result of any sponsorships implemented to enhance existing incentive levels; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

#### 23. Impacts of Accelerating the City of Toronto's 25-Year Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan to a 15-Year Plan

Report (December 19, 2005) from the General Manager, Toronto Water responding to the request of the Works Committee on November 8 and 9, 2005, to report on the potential financial implications and impacts of accelerating the implementation of the City of Toronto's 25-year Wet Weather Flow Management Master Plan over 15 years.

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

# **DA TORONTO**

#### WORKS COMMITTEE SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA MEETING 1

Date of Meeting:	Wednesday, January 11, 2006	<b>Enquiry:</b>	<b>Rosalind Dyers</b>
	Committee Room 1 and		<b>Committee Administrator</b>
	Thursday, January 12, 2006		416-392-8018
	Committee Room 2		rdyers@toronto.ca
Time:	9:30 a.m.		
Location:	100 Queen Street West		
	Toronto, Ontario		

#### **Communications/Reports:**

#### 1(c). 2006 Operating Budget – Transportation Services - P.S. Kensington Working Group – Pedestrian Sundays – Street Fairs Pedestrian Events in Kensington Market Summer 2006

Communication (December 20, 2005) from the Toronto Pedestrian Committee advising that the Committee on December 15, 2005, recommended to the Works Committee that City Council commit an allocation to provide logistical support, such as barricades, signage, pylons, paid duty police, etc. for holding Pedestrian events, for seven days in Kensington Market in the summer of 2006 and to secure funding through the 2006 Operating Budget for Transportation Services.

#### SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SERVICES

#### 24. Award of Long-term Mixed Broken Glass Contract to Unical Inc. Resulting from Stewardship Ontario's Glass Market Development Fund Request for Proposals for the Provision of Processing Capacity For Mixed Broken Glass

Report (December 23, 2005) from the Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services and the Treasurer requesting authority to award a long-term contract with Unical Inc. ("Unical") to process mixed broken glass generated from the City of Toronto.

#### Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, be authorized to enter into an agreement with Unical Inc. to process Toronto's mixed broken glass, currently estimated to be approximately 30,000 to 35,000 tonnes per year, for a term of seven years plus, at the City's sole discretion, three optional one-year extensions at per-tonne prices not to exceed \$11.50 in Years 1 to 3, \$9.00 in Years 4 and 5, and \$4.00 for the remainder of the contract, excluding applicable taxes (shown in Table 2 titled 'Mixed Broken Glass Cost of Services') and as outlined in the Glass Market Development Fund Request for Proposals for the Provision of Processing Capacity for Mixed Broken Glass and Unical-Gaudreau Group's June 10, 2005 proposal, such agreement to be on the terms and conditions described in this report and otherwise on terms and conditions consistent with this report and satisfactory to the Acting General Manager of Solid Waste Management Services and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

#### **25.** Test Results of New Recycling/Litter Bins

Report (January 3, 2006) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services advising of the results of the test of the proposed new Eucan recycling/litter bins.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) this report be received for information and forwarded to the Community Councils for hearing of deputations at their meeting on February 7, 2006, and that the Community Councils report back to the March 7, 2006 Works Committee meeting with their recommendations; and
- (2) staff consolidate the responses of the Community Councils, provide an update on the status of the street harmonization Request for Proposals process and put forward a position on whether to accept Eucan's proposal, in a report to the March 7, 2006 meeting of Works Committee.

(For Public Release and referral to Community Councils for the hearing of deputations on February 7, 2006.)

#### 26. Works Committee Report 11, Clause 16(f) headed "Outcome of Meeting with Representatives of the Toronto Civic Employees' Union, Local 416 – Recycling Collection Operations in Former York and Etobicoke"

Communication (December 19, 2005) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on December 14 and 16, 2005 referred back to the Works Committee for further consideration Clause 16(f) of Report 11 of the Works Committee, headed "Outcome of Meeting with Representatives of the Toronto Civic Employees' Union, Local 416 - Recycling Collection Operations in Former York and Etobicoke.

#### **TECHNICAL SERVICES**

#### 27. Morningside Avenue/Finch Avenue/CPR Grade Separations Project Status Report (Ward 42 – Scarborough–Rouge River)

Report (December 23, 2005) from the Executive Director of Technical Services and the Treasurer providing a update on the progress of the Morningside Avenue/Finch Avenue/CPR Grade Separations Project; advising of the results of the Tender issued for Finch Avenue/CPR Grade Separation Structural Steel through Plate Girders in Scarborough District, in accordance with specifications as required by the Technical Services Division, and requesting authority to award a contract to the recommended bidder; and further requesting authority to enter into an agreement with the Canadian Pacific Railway Company allowing the City's contractor to perform work on railway property.

#### Recommendations:

- (1) Contract 05ED-24S, Tender Call 245-2005 for Finch Avenue/CPR Grade Separation Structural Steel Through Plate Girders, in Scarborough District, be awarded to Walters Inc., in the amount of \$1,892,081.00 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest bid received;
- (2) the City of Toronto enter into an agreement with the Canadian Pacific Railway Company with Terms and Conditions satisfactory to the Deputy City Manager and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor allowing the City's contractor to perform work related to the Morningside Avenue/Finch Avenue/CPR Grade Separations Project on railway property; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

#### 28. Contract 05FS-15S, Tender Call 248-2005 - Don Mills Road Bridge Over Highway 401 - Structure Rehabilitation (Wards 33 and 34, Don Valley East)

Report (January 3, 2006) from the Executive Director, Technical Services and the Treasurer advising of the results of the Tender Call 248-2005, Contract 05FS-15S issued for the Structural Rehabilitation of the Don Mills Road Bridge over Highway 401.

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended that Contract 05FS-15S, Tender Call 248-2005, for the Don Mills Road Bridge over Highway 401, Structure Rehabilitation be awarded to Bob Hendricksen Construction Limited, in the total amount of \$5,676,085.71, including all taxes and charges, having submitted the lowest acceptable bid meeting specifications.

#### TORONTO WATER

## 29. Purchase Order Amendments – Brown Daniels Associates Inc., SAP Purchase Order 6017678 and Earth Tech (Canada) Inc., SAP Purchase Order 6013866

Report (December 15, 2005) from the General Manager, Toronto Water and the Chief Corporate Officer seeking City Council's approval to amend the current purchase orders with Brown Daniels Associates Inc. for construction and with Earth Tech (Canada) Inc. for the design and engineering services, currently performing work for Toronto Water at 60 Tiffield Road, to enable the Information and Technology Division to utilize the facility as an alternate Data Centre.

#### Recommendations:

- (1) City Council approve the amendment of the current SAP Purchase Order 6017678 with Brown Daniels Associates Inc. for \$2,845,474.55, including all taxes and charges by \$800,000.00 to \$3,645,474.55 inclusive of all taxes and charges, to cover the addition in scope for the Information and Technology Division's requirements;
- (2) City Council approve the amendment of the current SAP Purchase Order 6013866 with Earth Tech (Canada) Inc. for \$284,098.05, including all taxes and charges by \$80,500.00 to \$364,598.05 inclusive of all taxes and charges, to cover the addition in scope for design and engineering work for the Information and Technology Division's requirements; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

#### **30.** Water Service Repair – **43** Dixon Avenue Resulting from Root Damage by City Tree

Communication (January 3, 2006) from Deputy Mayor Sandra Bussin, Ward 32, Beaches-East York, advising that Ms. Monica Cormier of 43 Dixon Avenue has suffered significant financial hardship as a result of the need to make emergency repairs to the water service line at 43 Dixon Avenue, which was apparently damaged as a result of the impact of roots from a City tree; and requesting that the Works Committee consider offsetting the cost of the repair.

#### 31. Claim of Recycle Plus Ltd. Arising Out of Termination of Contract

#### (In Camera – Litigation or Potential Litigation)

Confidential report (December 23, 2005) from the City Solicitor respecting the claim of Recycle Plus Ltd. arising out of the termination of a contract; such report to be considered in camera as the subject matter relates to litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the Municipality.

#### (Confidential report forwarded to Members of Council and Special Officials only.)