



**WORKS COMMITTEE
DECISION DOCUMENT
MEETING 3**

Report 3 to be considered by City Council on May 23, 2006

Date of Meeting: Wednesday, May 3, 2006
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Location: Committee Room 1
City Hall
100 Queen Street West
Toronto, Ontario

Enquiry: Rosalind Dyers
Committee Administrator
416-392-8018
rdyers@toronto.ca

The Decision Document is for preliminary reference purposes only. Please refer to the Committee's Report to City Council or to the Minutes for the official record.

How to Read the Decision Document:

- *recommendations of the Committee to City Council are in bold type after the item heading;*
- *action taken by the Committee on its own authority does not require Council's approval – it is reported to Council for information, and is listed in the decision document in bold type under the heading "Action taken by the Committee"; and*
- *Declarations of Interest, if any, appear at the end of an item.*

Minutes Confirmed – Meeting of March 7, 2006

Communications/Reports:

TRANSPORTATION SERVICES

- 1. Co-ordinated Street Furniture Program – Design and Policy Guidelines and Directions Report (All Wards) and Supplementary Information on the Eucan Recycling/Litter Bin Test**

(NOTE: Item 1, headed "Co-ordinated Street Furniture Program – Design and Policy Guidelines (All Wards)", and Item 2, headed "Supplementary Information on the Eucan Recycling/Litter Bin Test", were considered together.)

Report 3, Clause 1

The Works Committee recommended that City Council:

- (A) adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 18, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, headed “Co-ordinated Street Furniture Program – Design and Policy Guidelines and Directions Report”, as amended by the Works Committee:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the general design principles for a co-ordinated street furniture program for Toronto, as summarized in this report and set out in “Vibrant Streets: Toronto’s Co-ordinated Street Furniture Program Design and Policy Guidelines” draft document, May, 2006, be endorsed and form the basis of terms and conditions to be contained in the upcoming Request for Proposals (RFP), namely:
 - (a) a cohesive design style appropriate for Toronto that incorporates flexibility for customization, neighbourhood expression, size, scale and arrangement of elements;
 - (b) the primacy of high quality design, proven durable materials, functionality, accessibility, safety, universal design principles, modularity and environmental elements; and
 - (c) placement and pedestrian circulation;
- (2) the scope of the program to be described in the RFP shall be for the design, manufacture, installation and maintenance of:
 - (a) transit shelters;
 - (b) litter/recycling receptacles;
 - (c) benches;
 - (d) multi-publication structures;
 - (e) information/wayfinding (info pillar) facilities;
 - (f) postering/neighbourhood information facilities; and
 - (g) public washrooms;
 - (h) such other products or services which might contribute to an improved streetscape, environment, or access to services; and
 - (i) innovative technological transportation elements, such as rentals of bicycles and other non-motorized transportation vehicles;
- (3) staff be directed to include a provision in the RFP requiring the successful proponent to fund (through a specified payment payable upon execution of

the required contract(s)) a design study, to be administered by the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, and other City officials as appropriate, linking bollards, pedestrian railings, tree grates, maintenance covers, sidewalk subway entrance portals and other street amenities deemed necessary, in the context of the chosen street furniture design;

- (4) the Toronto street furniture program be advanced on the advertising-funded model, as described in detail in the body of this report and subject to the controls set out in Recommendation 5 below, seeking proposals which shall be at no cost to the City and generate sufficient revenues to cover the costs of design, manufacture, supply, installation and maintenance of the specified street furniture, as well as provide a revenue stream to the City;
- (5) in respect of the advertising permissions granted, controls as outlined in this report, including but not limited to the following, be applied:
 - (a) provisions be made for a primary advertising format (illuminated, transit shelter-style advertising) and a secondary advertising format (smaller, non-illuminated poster style) aimed primarily at local business clients;
 - (b) no more than one advertising element be deployed at a given location or “cluster” of street furniture;
 - (c) minimum separation guidelines between advertising elements, based generally on distance between transit stops;
 - (d) public service advertising and accommodation of BIA advertising as described in this report be provided as a condition of contract(s);
 - (e) the size, form and placement of every street furniture element should be determined by functionality and beauty considerations rather than advertising considerations;
 - (f) total square footage of advertising on Toronto street furniture be reduced from current levels; and
 - (g) advertising on benches not be considered;
- (6) as a condition of the contract(s) for co-ordinated street furniture, no other advertising program be authorized on any other street element, and no future pilot program involving advertising within the public road allowance be approved by the City over the duration of the contract(s);

- (7) the City honour its legal obligations under all existing street furniture contracts and pilots currently underway, but all contracts and pilots be concluded at the specified end of their term with no expansion of scope;**
- (8) the installation of one pilot infoTOgo pillar be approved, which would be the final one permitted under the previous RFP for the pilot program, on the north sidewalk of Dundas Street West, west of Yonge Street, as requested by the Minister of Tourism, Ontario, and described in a separate report of the General Manager, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;**
- (9) the RFP be based on the premise that one contract for the range of street furniture specified be awarded for the entire City of Toronto to a single corporate vendor or a consortium of companies on acceptable terms, and the term of such contract be 20 years;**
- (10) the RFP set out specific terms and conditions required by the City to meet its objectives; the RFP contain detailed evaluation criteria and make it clear that proposals received will be evaluated pursuant to a detailed evaluation process and criteria; and the proposal(s) selected as best meeting the City's requirements in accordance with the evaluation criteria will be subject to negotiation of the detailed terms and conditions of the proposal and contract(s) for consideration by Council;**
- (11) the RFP be formulated in such a way as to allow a matrix that gives design, beauty and functionality primary consideration;**
- (12) the RFP contain the requirement that the proposal include evidence of financial wherewithal and a commitment to provide performance security under the contract sufficient to secure the performance of the contract;**
- (13) authority be granted to select an independent jury, composed of City staff, City consultants or private citizens, with competencies and qualifications in a design discipline to evaluate the design aspects of the bids received; and staff will report to the Works Committee for confirmation of the individuals;**
- (14) staff be directed to prepare and issue all the necessary RFP documentation and evaluate submissions received pursuant to the principles established by Council; in doing so staff are authorized to make any necessary refinements and amendments as their research and assessment continues, including amendments to the Design and Policy Guidelines document, however, in the event that a fundamental departure from the Council-endorsed principles arises, staff shall report such changes to the Works Committee prior to issuing an RFP;**

- (15) a minimum period of four months from the date of issuance of the RFP be provided for interested respondents to prepare their bid submissions, in response to the RFP;
 - (16) at such time as staff submit their recommendation report to the Works Committee on the award of contract(s) pursuant to the RFP, the Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin report on any organizational adjustments made or pending related to the management and administration of the street furniture program;
 - (17) within the RFP process, submissions be reviewed by an independent jury of local urban designers, planners/urban designers, architects, artists, landscape architects and industrial designers, selected by their professional organizations, and that the Design Exchange be requested to assist with the creation of such a jury; and
 - (18) proponents be encouraged to incorporate on their team multi-disciplinary design talent (for example, urban designers, architects, artists, planners, landscape architects, and industrial designers) who have demonstrated local familiarity and experience with Toronto, and that the evaluation be weighted to acknowledge this input; and
 - (19) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”;
- (B) adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, headed “Supplementary Information on the Eucan Recycling/Litter Bin Test”:
- “It is recommended that:
- (1) the Eucan proposal for the new recycling/litter bins not be accepted;
 - (2) recycling/litter bins be included in the Co-ordinated Street Furniture Request for Proposals (RFP); and
 - (3) the Eucan bins installed for the test remain in place, under the existing terms and conditions, until a final decision is reached by Council on the award of the Coordinated Street Furniture program, subject to concurrence by Eucan.”; and
- (C) adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the confidential report (April 26, 2006), headed “Review of Existing Street Furniture Agreements”, from the City Solicitor, which was forwarded under separate cover; and further that, in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining to

this report be held in camera as the subject matter relates to the security of the property of the municipality.

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee:

- (I) requested Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin to report to the Works Committee before the RFP is issued on how to incorporate appropriate review mechanisms on a periodic basis to ensure that the original objectives of the contract are realized, and that this report be in conjunction with the Deputy City Manager’s report already requested on the implementation of a project team;**
- (II) requested that, prior to release of the RFP, the General Manager, Transportation Services and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning report to the Works Committee on the draft guidelines for placement of street furniture;**
- (III) requested the General Manager, Transportation Services and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning to report to the Works Committee on:**
 - (1) possible annual revenues if advertising space was:**
 - (a) held constant at the current 198,200 square feet; or**
 - (b) increased by 10 percent; or**
 - (c) increased by 20 percent;**
 - (2) how to maximize diversion rates, including measures such as organic collection and battery collection;**
 - (3) how to maximize the use of solar panels and/or wind power to power lighting/advertising;**
 - (4) how to include locational indicators and City of Toronto identification;**
 - (5) including a binding dispute resolution mechanism within the RFP; and**
 - (6) ensuring the City has the right to review any advertising proposed and withdraw any advertising at its sole discretion with no financial penalties;**
- (IV) received the report (April 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, headed “Request from the Ontario Ministry of Tourism to Place an InfoTOgo Information Pillar on Dundas Street West, in Front of the Ontario Travel Information Centre (Ward 27)”;** and
- (V) received all communications and written submissions.**

Report (April 18, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning respecting the strategy for the development of a Request for Proposals (RFP) for a co-ordinated street furniture program for Toronto that comprises a design framework and fundamental terms of reference and contract elements.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the general design principles for a co-ordinated street furniture program for Toronto, as summarized in this report and set out in the Vibrant Streets: Design and Policy Guidelines draft document, May, 2006, be endorsed and form the basis of terms and conditions to be contained in the upcoming Request for Proposals (RFP), namely:
 - (a) a cohesive design style appropriate for Toronto that incorporates flexibility for customization, neighbourhood expression, size, scale and arrangement of elements;
 - (b) the primacy of high quality design, proven durable materials, functionality, accessibility, safety, universal design principles, modularity and environmental elements; and
 - (c) placement and pedestrian circulation;
- (2) the scope of the program to be described in the RFP shall be for the design, manufacture, installation and maintenance of:
 - (a) transit shelters;
 - (b) litter/recycling receptacles;
 - (c) benches;
 - (d) multi-publication structures;
 - (e) information/wayfinding (info pillar) facilities;
 - (f) postering/neighbourhood information facilities; and
 - (g) public washrooms;
- (3) staff be directed to include a provision in the RFP requiring the successful proponent to fund (through a specified payment payable upon execution of the required contract(s)) a design study, to be administered by the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, and other City officials as appropriate, linking bollards, pedestrian railings, tree grates, maintenance covers, sidewalk subway entrance portals and other street amenities deemed necessary, in the context of the chosen street furniture design;

- (4) the Toronto street furniture program be advanced on the advertising-funded model, as described in detail in the body of this report and subject to the controls set out in Recommendation 5 below, seeking proposals which shall be at no cost to the City and generate sufficient revenues to cover the costs of design, manufacture, supply, installation and maintenance of the specified street furniture, as well as provide a revenue stream to the City;
- (5) in respect of the advertising permissions granted, controls as outlined in this report, including but not limited to the following, be applied:
 - (a) provisions be made for a primary advertising format (illuminated, transit shelter-style advertising) and a secondary advertising format (smaller, non-illuminated poster style) aimed primarily at local business clients;
 - (b) no more than one advertising element be deployed at a given location or “cluster” of street furniture;
 - (c) minimum separation guidelines between ad elements, based generally on distance between transit stops; and
 - (d) public service advertising and accommodation of BIA advertising as described in this report be provided as a condition of contract(s);
- (6) as a condition of the contract(s) for co-ordinated street furniture, no other advertising program be authorized on any other street element, and no future pilot program involving advertising within the public road allowance be approved by the City over the duration of the contract(s);
- (7) the City honour its legal obligations under all existing street furniture contracts and pilots currently underway, but all contracts and pilots be concluded at the specified end of their term with no expansion of scope;
- (8) the installation of one pilot infoTOgo pillar be approved, which would be the final one permitted under the previous RFP for the pilot program, on the north sidewalk of Dundas Street West, west of Yonge Street, as requested by the Minister of Tourism, Ontario, and described in a separate report of the General Manager, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;
- (9) the RFP be based on the premise that one contract for the range of street furniture specified be awarded for the entire City of Toronto to a single corporate vendor or a consortium of companies on acceptable terms, and the term of such contract be 20 years;
- (10) the RFP set out specific terms and conditions required by the City to meet its objectives; the RFP contain detailed evaluation criteria and make it clear that proposals received will be evaluated pursuant to a detailed evaluation process and

criteria; and the proposal(s) selected as best meeting the City's requirements in accordance with the evaluation criteria will be subject to negotiation of the detailed terms and conditions of the proposal and contract(s) for consideration by Council;

- (11) the RFP be formulated in such a way as to enable all aspects of a proposal to be evaluated as a package and weighting of the evaluation criteria be such that the design and functionality elements are considered prominently along with financial benefits;
 - (12) the RFP contain the requirement that the proposal include evidence of financial wherewithal and a commitment to provide performance security under the contract sufficient to secure the performance of the contract;
 - (13) authority be granted to select an independent jury, composed of City staff, City consultants or private citizens, with competencies and qualifications in a design discipline to evaluate the design aspects of the bids received; and staff will report to the Works Committee for confirmation of the individuals;
 - (14) staff be directed to prepare and issue all the necessary RFP documentation and evaluate submissions received pursuant to the principles established by Council; in doing so staff are authorized to make any necessary refinements and amendments as their research and assessment continues, including amendments to the Design and Policy Guidelines document, however, in the event that a fundamental departure from the Council-endorsed principles arises, staff shall report such changes to the Works Committee prior to issuing an RFP;
 - (15) a minimum period of four months from the date of issuance of the RFP be provided for interested respondents to prepare their bid submissions, in response to the RFP;
 - (16) at such time as staff submit their recommendation report to the Works Committee on the award of contract(s) pursuant to the RFP, the Deputy City Manager report on any organizational adjustments made or pending related to the management and administration of the street furniture program; and
 - (17) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.
- 1(a).** Report (April 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism advising of a request from the Ontario Ministry of Tourism to have an InfoTOgo information pillar placed outside the Ontario Travel Information Centre on Dundas Street West, at the Atrium on Bay.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the report be received by the Works Committee for information.

1(b). Communications received from the following with respect to the Toronto Co-ordinated Street Furniture Program:

- (i) (March 14, 2006) from Mary Kapches, Bosley Real Estate Ltd.;
- (ii) (May 1, 2006) from Linda A. Irvine, President, Ontario Association of Landscape Architects;
- (iii) (May 1, 2006) from David Leinster, Partner, The Planning Partnership;
- (iv) (May 1, 2006) from Sybil Wa, citizen and architect, Diamond and Schmitt Architects Inc.;
- (v) (May 2, 2006) from A. J. Diamond, Diamond and Schmitt Architects Incorporated;
- (vi) (May 2, 2006) from Briar de Lange, General Manager, Bloor-Yorkville Business Improvement Area;
- (vii) (May 2, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- (viii) (May 2, 2006) from David Sisam;
- (ix) (May 2, 2006) from Matthew Teitelbaum, Michael and Sonja Koener Director and CEO;
- (x) (May 2, 2006) from Eva Bartlett;
- (xi) (May 2, 2006) from James L. Robinson, Executive Director, Downtown Yonge Business Improvement Area;
- (xii) (May 2, 2006) from Murray Lumley;
- (xiii) (May 2, 2006) from Constance John;
- (xiv) (May 2, 2006) from Andy Brown;
- (xv) (May 2, 2006) from Anne McIlroy, Chair, Ontario Professional Planners Institute, Urban Design Working Group;
- (xvi) (May 2, 2006) from Patrick Dinnen;
- (xvii) (May 2, 2006) from Judy Waldman;

- (xviii) (May 2, 2006) from Judy Adler;
- (xix) (May 2, 2006) from Sara Lipson;
- (xx) (May 2, 2006) from Andrew Woodrow;
- (xxi) (May 3, 2006) from Daniel Quinn;
- (xxii) (May 3, 2006) from Lester Brown; and
- (xxiii) (May 3, 2006) from Christopher McCormack, Chair, Toronto Society of Architects.

1(c). Review of Existing Street Furniture Agreements

(In Camera – Security of the Property of the Municipality)

Confidential report (April 26, 2006) from the City Solicitor respecting the review of the existing street furniture agreements, such report to be considered in camera as the subject matter relates to security of the property of the Municipality.

- 1(d).** Communication (May 1, 2006) from Deputy Mayor Sandra Bussin, Chair, Roundtable on a Beautiful City, advising that the Roundtable on April 24, 2006, endorsed the staff recommendation contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 18, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning.

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SERVICES

- 2. Supplementary Information on the Eucan Recycling/Litter Bin Test and Co-ordinated Street Furniture Program – Design and Policy Guidelines and Directions Report (All Wards)**

(NOTE: Item 1, headed “Co-ordinated Street Furniture Program – Design and Policy Guidelines (All Wards)”, and Item 2, headed “Supplementary Information on the Eucan Recycling/Litter Bin Test”, were considered together.)

Report 3, Clause 1

The Works Committee recommended that City Council:

- (A) adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 18, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, headed “Co-ordinated Street Furniture Program – Design and Policy Guidelines and Directions Report”, as amended by the Works Committee:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the general design principles for a co-ordinated street furniture program for Toronto, as summarized in this report and set out in “Vibrant Streets: Toronto’s Co-ordinated Street Furniture Program Design and Policy Guidelines” draft document, May, 2006, be endorsed and form the basis of terms and conditions to be contained in the upcoming Request for Proposals (RFP), namely:
 - (a) a cohesive design style appropriate for Toronto that incorporates flexibility for customization, neighbourhood expression, size, scale and arrangement of elements;
 - (b) the primacy of high quality design, proven durable materials, functionality, accessibility, safety, universal design principles, modularity and environmental elements; and
 - (c) placement and pedestrian circulation;
- (2) the scope of the program to be described in the RFP shall be for the design, manufacture, installation and maintenance of:
 - (a) transit shelters;
 - (b) litter/recycling receptacles;
 - (c) benches;
 - (d) multi-publication structures;
 - (e) information/wayfinding (info pillar) facilities;
 - (f) postering/neighbourhood information facilities; and
 - (g) public washrooms;
 - (h) such other products or services which might contribute to an improved streetscape, environment, or access to services; and
 - (i) innovative technological transportation elements, such as rentals of bicycles and other non-motorized transportation vehicles;
- (3) staff be directed to include a provision in the RFP requiring the successful proponent to fund (through a specified payment payable upon execution of the required contract(s)) a design study, to be administered by the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, and other City officials as

appropriate, linking bollards, pedestrian railings, tree grates, maintenance covers, sidewalk subway entrance portals and other street amenities deemed necessary, in the context of the chosen street furniture design;

- (4) the Toronto street furniture program be advanced on the advertising-funded model, as described in detail in the body of this report and subject to the controls set out in Recommendation 5 below, seeking proposals which shall be at no cost to the City and generate sufficient revenues to cover the costs of design, manufacture, supply, installation and maintenance of the specified street furniture, as well as provide a revenue stream to the City;
- (5) in respect of the advertising permissions granted, controls as outlined in this report, including but not limited to the following, be applied:
 - (a) provisions be made for a primary advertising format (illuminated, transit shelter-style advertising) and a secondary advertising format (smaller, non-illuminated poster style) aimed primarily at local business clients;
 - (b) no more than one advertising element be deployed at a given location or “cluster” of street furniture;
 - (c) minimum separation guidelines between advertising elements, based generally on distance between transit stops;
 - (d) public service advertising and accommodation of BIA advertising as described in this report be provided as a condition of contract(s);
 - (e) the size, form and placement of every street furniture element should be determined by functionality and beauty considerations rather than advertising considerations;
 - (f) total square footage of advertising on Toronto street furniture be reduced from current levels; and
 - (g) advertising on benches not be considered;
- (6) as a condition of the contract(s) for co-ordinated street furniture, no other advertising program be authorized on any other street element, and no future pilot program involving advertising within the public road allowance be approved by the City over the duration of the contract(s);
- (7) the City honour its legal obligations under all existing street furniture contracts and pilots currently underway, but all contracts and pilots be concluded at the specified end of their term with no expansion of scope;

- (8) the installation of one pilot infoTOgo pillar be approved, which would be the final one permitted under the previous RFP for the pilot program, on the north sidewalk of Dundas Street West, west of Yonge Street, as requested by the Minister of Tourism, Ontario, and described in a separate report of the General Manager, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;**
- (9) the RFP be based on the premise that one contract for the range of street furniture specified be awarded for the entire City of Toronto to a single corporate vendor or a consortium of companies on acceptable terms, and the term of such contract be 20 years;**
- (10) the RFP set out specific terms and conditions required by the City to meet its objectives; the RFP contain detailed evaluation criteria and make it clear that proposals received will be evaluated pursuant to a detailed evaluation process and criteria; and the proposal(s) selected as best meeting the City's requirements in accordance with the evaluation criteria will be subject to negotiation of the detailed terms and conditions of the proposal and contract(s) for consideration by Council;**
- (11) the RFP be formulated in such a way as to allow a matrix that gives design, beauty and functionality primary consideration;**
- (12) the RFP contain the requirement that the proposal include evidence of financial wherewithal and a commitment to provide performance security under the contract sufficient to secure the performance of the contract;**
- (13) authority be granted to select an independent jury, composed of City staff, City consultants or private citizens, with competencies and qualifications in a design discipline to evaluate the design aspects of the bids received; and staff will report to the Works Committee for confirmation of the individuals;**
- (14) staff be directed to prepare and issue all the necessary RFP documentation and evaluate submissions received pursuant to the principles established by Council; in doing so staff are authorized to make any necessary refinements and amendments as their research and assessment continues, including amendments to the Design and Policy Guidelines document, however, in the event that a fundamental departure from the Council-endorsed principles arises, staff shall report such changes to the Works Committee prior to issuing an RFP;**
- (15) a minimum period of four months from the date of issuance of the RFP be provided for interested respondents to prepare their bid submissions, in response to the RFP;**
- (16) at such time as staff submit their recommendation report to the Works Committee on the award of contract(s) pursuant to the RFP, the Deputy City**

Manager Fareed Amin report on any organizational adjustments made or pending related to the management and administration of the street furniture program;

- (17) within the RFP process, submissions be reviewed by an independent jury of local urban designers, planners/urban designers, architects, artists, landscape architects and industrial designers, selected by their professional organizations, and that the Design Exchange be requested to assist with the creation of such a jury; and
 - (18) proponents be encouraged to incorporate on their team multi-disciplinary design talent (for example, urban designers, architects, artists, planners, landscape architects, and industrial designers) who have demonstrated local familiarity and experience with Toronto, and that the evaluation be weighted to acknowledge this input; and
 - (19) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”;
- (B) adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, headed “Supplementary Information on the Eucan Recycling/Litter Bin Test”:
- “It is recommended that:
- (1) the Eucan proposal for the new recycling/litter bins not be accepted;
 - (2) recycling/litter bins be included in the Co-ordinated Street Furniture Request for Proposals (RFP); and
 - (3) the Eucan bins installed for the test remain in place, under the existing terms and conditions, until a final decision is reached by Council on the award of the Coordinated Street Furniture program, subject to concurrence by Eucan.”; and
- (C) adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the confidential report (April 26, 2006), headed “Review of Existing Street Furniture Agreements”, from the City Solicitor, which was forwarded under separate cover; and further that, in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining to this report be held in camera as the subject matter relates to the security of the property of the municipality.

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee:

- (I) requested Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin to report to the Works Committee before the RFP is issued on how to incorporate appropriate review mechanisms on a periodic basis to ensure that the original objectives of the contract are realized, and that this report be in conjunction with the Deputy City Manager's report already requested on the implementation of a project team;
- (II) requested that, prior to release of the RFP, the General Manager, Transportation Services and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning report to the Works Committee on the draft guidelines for placement of street furniture;
- (III) requested the General Manager, Transportation Services and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning to report to the Works Committee on:
 - (1) possible annual revenues if advertising space was:
 - (a) held constant at the current 198,200 square feet; or
 - (b) increased by 10 percent; or
 - (c) increased by 20 percent;
 - (2) how to maximize diversion rates, including measures such as organic collection and battery collection;
 - (3) how to maximize the use of solar panels and/or wind power to power lighting/advertising;
 - (4) how to include locational indicators and City of Toronto identification;
 - (5) including a binding dispute resolution mechanism within the RFP; and
 - (6) ensuring the City has the right to review any advertising proposed and withdraw any advertising at its sole discretion with no financial penalties;
- (IV) received the report (April 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, headed "Request from the Ontario Ministry of Tourism to Place an InfoTOgo Information Pillar on Dundas Street West, in Front of the Ontario Travel Information Centre (Ward 27)"; and
- (V) received all communications and written submissions.

Report (April 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services responding to the request of the Works Committee on January 11, 2006, to provide additional information on the Eucan recycling/litter bin test.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the Eucan proposal for the new recycling/litter bins not be accepted;
- (2) recycling/litter bins be included in the Co-ordinated Street Furniture Request for Proposals (RFP); and
- (3) the Eucan bins installed for the test remain in place, under the existing terms and conditions, until a final decision is reached by Council on the award of the Co-ordinated Street Furniture program, subject to concurrence by Eucan.

2(a). Communications received from the following with respect to the Eucan Megabins:

- (i) (February 16, 2006) from Mary Lemyre, President, Toronto and Area Council of Women;
- (ii) (March 9, 2006) from John Loughnan; and
- (iii) (April 10, 2006) from Paula and Alan Warren.

2(b). Review of Existing Street Furniture Agreements

(In Camera – Security of the Property of the Municipality)

Confidential report (April 26, 2006) from the City Solicitor respecting the review of the existing street furniture agreements, such report to be considered in camera as the subject matter relates to security of the property of the Municipality.

TRANSPORTATION SERVICES

3. Pedestrian Crossover Review

Report 3, Clause 2

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 21, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services.

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee referred Recommendation (6) of the Toronto Pedestrian Committee contained in the communication (April 25, 2006) from the Committee to the General Manager, Transportation Services for consideration and report thereon as part of the report to be submitted to the Works Committee in the fall of 2006 on crosswalks on minor arterial roads.

Report (April 21, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services responding to the request of the Works Committee to report on issues related to pedestrian crossovers.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) approval in principle be granted for replacement of the pedestrian crossovers, identified in Appendix 1, with traffic control signals to be designed by Transportation Services staff;
 - (2) pedestrian crossovers, identified in Appendix 2, continue as a pedestrian crossing facility with suggested enhancements to flashing beacons, signs and pavement markings;
 - (3) Transportation Services develop a program for public education and awareness of the responsibilities of drivers and pedestrians at pedestrian crossovers;
 - (4) Transportation Services continue with the assessment of pedestrian crossovers on the minor arterial roadways and report back to the Works Committee later this year;
 - (5) a copy of this report be sent for information to the Toronto Police Service, the Ministry of Transportation for Ontario and the Ontario Traffic Conference; and
 - (6) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.
- 3(a).** Communication (April 25, 2006) from the Toronto Pedestrian Committee advising that the Committee on April 20, 2006, requested that the following comments regarding the pedestrian crossover review be forwarded to the Works Committee for consideration:

“The Toronto Pedestrian Committee recognizes that there is a place for Pedestrian Crossovers (PXOs) in appropriate locations, as they reduce waiting time for both pedestrians and vehicles while providing safe crossing:

The Toronto Pedestrian Committee:

- (1) supports the staff criteria for replacement of 22 Pedestrian Crossovers (PXOs) on major arterials, in particular, vehicle speed, width of crossing and traffic volume, but recognizes also, the importance of visibility of pedestrians, location of bus stops (preferably far-side), and turning traffic. Parking restrictions should be subject to individual site review;
 - (2) supports the enhancement of the remaining 55 Pedestrian Crossovers (PXOs) on major arterials as proposed by staff, to improve safety and comfort;
 - (3) requests staff develop a public awareness campaign, noting the increased fine and demerit points in Bill 169;
 - (4) requests the Toronto Police Service to increase the monitoring of Pedestrian Crossovers (PXOs) specifically on major arterials, for failure to stop for pedestrians, and for passing infractions;
 - (5) requests that the newly enhanced Pedestrian Crossovers (PXOs) be monitored to ensure that increased safety and comfort for pedestrians is realized; and
 - (6) requests that Transportation Services staff be directed to work with the Toronto Pedestrian Committee to continuously explore various improvements including:
 - (a) Fines – increase amount;
 - (b) Zebra striping;
 - (c) Widening of the crosswalk;
 - (d) Using strob lights;
 - (e) Pinchpoints other than major arterial;
 - (f) Reviewing the minimum distance of 200 metres from signals to a shorter distance where lower traffic speeds permit and where pedestrians wish to cross;
 - (g) Lights embedded in pavement;
 - (h) Pole placement (so as not to obscure the pedestrian from motorists); and
 - (i) Visibility of the early warning light to the Pedestrian”.
- 3(b).** Communication (May 2, 2006) from William E. Brown.
- 3(c).** Communication (May 3, 200) from Dr. Bart Harvey, Investigating Coroner, Toronto

4. Request to Replace the Existing Pedestrian Crossover with Traffic Control Signals – Intersection of Greenwood Avenue and Felstead Avenue (Ward 30, Toronto-Danforth)

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(a)

The Works Committee referred back the communication (April 10, 2006) from the Toronto and East York Community Council and the report (April 20, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services, to the General Manager, Transportation Services with a request that he report on replacing the existing pedestrian crossover at Felstead Avenue and Greenwood Avenue with traffic control signals as part of his review of pedestrian crossovers on minor arterial roads.

Communication (April 10, 2006) from Toronto and East York Community Council advising that the Community Council on April 4, 2006, considered a report (March 14, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services respecting a request to replace the existing pedestrian crossover with traffic control signals at the intersection of Greenwood Avenue and Felstead Avenue; and requested that the General Manager, Transportation Services report to the Works Committee on the cost and suitable design for the recommended signals.

- 4(a).** Report (April 20, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services responding to the request from Toronto and East York Community Council to report on the cost and design for traffic control signals to be installed to replace the pedestrian crossover at the intersection of Greenwood Avenue and Felstead Avenue; concluding that the prevailing road and traffic conditions indicate that the existing pedestrian crossover on Greenwood Avenue at Felstead Avenue is serving the pedestrian crossing demands safety, and that the technical requirements for the installation of traffic control signals are not satisfied.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

5. Pedestrian Signals at Signalized Intersection – Numbered Countdown

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(b)

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee received the communication from Councillor Norm Kelly.

Communication (March 20, 2006) from Councillor Norm Kelly, Ward 40, Scarborough Agincourt, respecting pedestrian signals at signalized intersections; and requesting that the General Manager, Transportation Services report to the Works Committee on the

feasibility of introducing a numbered countdown signage system (similar to cities in China) advising pedestrians of the time allotted for the crossing of the road.

6. Review of Policy Relating to Long-Term Parking Within the Scarborough District (All Scarborough District Wards 35-44)

Report 3, Clause 3

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Scarborough Community Council contained in the communication (April 5, 2006) from the Community Council; and further that the option chosen be reviewed in one year's time by the General Manager, Transportation Services.

Communication (April 5, 2006) from Scarborough Community Council advising that Community Council considered a communication (March 7, 2006) from the Works Committee respecting the review of policy relating to long-term parking within the Scarborough District, and recommended to the Works Committee the adoption of Option (c) contained in the report (February 17, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services, subject to amending such option to read as follows:

“(c) the practice of allowing 12-hour parking (not on-street permit parking) should be allowed to continue on streets where it is currently permitted in the Scarborough District and no new applications for on-street permit parking be permitted in the Scarborough District.”

7. Proposed Parking Permits for Home-Care Nurses

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(c)

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee referred the communication from Councillor Michael Walker to the General Manager, Transportation Services for consideration and report to the Works Committee.

Communication (March 27, 2006) from Councillor Michael Walker, Ward 22, St. Paul's, requesting the General Manager, Transportation Services to report to the Works Committee on the feasibility of establishing a permit parking system for home-care nurses who are “on-call”.

8. Purchase of Additional PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Efficient Street Sweepers for the City of Toronto (All Wards)

Report 3, Clause 4

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services.

Report (April 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services and the Acting Director, Purchasing and Materials Management respecting the purchase of additional PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} Efficient Street Sweepers for the City of Toronto.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) authority be granted to amend the contract with The Equipment Specialist Inc. to supply and deliver eleven (11) additional Tymco DST-6 street sweepers at a cost of \$3,475,334.50 including all applicable taxes and charges;
- (2) this report be forwarded to the Board of Health for information; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

9. Inventory of City Laneways and Level of Service Provided (All Wards)

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(d)

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee:

- (1) **requested the General Manager, Transportation Services to submit a Briefing Note to Members of the Works Committee and those Members of Council with public laneways in their Wards providing a detailed inventory of public laneways and the level of services provided to such laneways by Ward; and**
- (2) **referred the communication (May 1, 2006) from Councillor Giambrone respecting the construction of houses in laneways to the General Manager, Transportation Services for consideration and report to the June 7, 2006, meeting of the Works Committee.**

Report (April 11, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services responding to the request of City Council on February 28, 2005, to provide information on an inventory of City laneways and the level of service provided.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

- 9(a).** Communication (May 1, 2006) from Councillor Adam Giambrone, Ward 18, Davenport, respecting the construction of houses in laneways; and requesting that the Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin report back to the June meeting of the Works Committee on the process by which the City of Toronto can make the construction of houses in laneways more practical, and that such report address issues such as water/sewage connections, garbage collection, snow clearing and any other potential concerns.

10. Potential to Increase the Number of Taxi Stands Adjacent to TTC Subway Stations (All Wards)

Report 3, Clause 5

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 12, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services; and further that a copy of the Clause be forwarded to the June 1, 2006, meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee for information.

Report (April 12, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services responding to the request of the Works Committee to report on the feasibility of increasing the number of Taxi Stands in the vicinity of Subway Stations.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the following 26 new Taxi Stands, as described in Attachment 1, be established in the vicinity of Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) Subway Stations:
 - (i) five on the south side of Subway Crescent, west of Aukland Road, near the Kipling Station, to operate at anytime;
 - (ii) two on the south side of Aberfoyle Crescent, east of Islington Avenue, near the Islington Station, to operate at anytime;
 - (iii) two on the north side of the first laneway north of Bloor Street West, east of Royal York Road, near the Royal York Station, to operate at anytime;

- (iv) two on the east side of Old Mill Trail, north of Bloor Street West, near the Old Mill Station, to operate at anytime;
 - (v) two on the west side of High Park Avenue, north of Bloor Street West, just north of the High Park Subway Station, to operate at anytime;
 - (vi) five on the north side of Lawrence Avenue West, adjacent the Lawrence West Subway Station, to operate 7:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m., everyday;
 - (vii) four on the south side of Glencairn Avenue, adjacent to the Glencairn Subway Station, to operate at anytime; and
 - (viii) four on the south side of Park Home Avenue, east of Beecroft Road, to operate 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., and 6:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m., Monday to Friday; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

**11. Driveway Damage – 41 Batterswood Drive, Scarborough
(Ward 40, Scarborough Agincourt)**

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(e)

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee deferred consideration of the report from the General Manager, Transportation Services until its next meeting to be held on June 7, 2006.

Report (April 4, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services responding to a request from the Works Committee to provide information and photographs regarding the state of repair of a driveway apron at 41 Batterswood Drive.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

12. Integrated Transportation

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(f)

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee referred the communication from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission to the General Manager, Transportation Services for consideration and report to the Works Committee.

Communication (March 30, 2006) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission, advising that, at its meeting on March 22, 2006, the Commission received a presentation, entitled “Integrated Transportation” from Pat Jacobsen, Chief Executive Officer, Greater Vancouver Transportation Authority; and that the Commission received the presentation and approved the following Resolution:

“That the Toronto Transit Commission request the City of Toronto to prepare a comprehensive strategic integrated transportation plan for the City of Toronto embracing all elements of people and goods movements.”

13. Current Policies and Practice for Accommodating Cyclists in Construction Zones

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(g)

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee referred the communication (March 28, 2006) from the Toronto Cycling Committee to the General Manager, Transportation Services for consideration and report to the Works Committee.

Communication (March 28, 2006) from the Toronto Cycling Committee advising that the Committee on March 20, 2006, considered a PowerPoint presentation from Bryan Muir, Works Zone Traffic Co-ordinator, Transportation Services and David Tomlinson, Assistant Planner, Pedestrian and Cycling Infrastructure, on current policies and practices for accommodating cyclists in construction zones; and recommended to the Works Committee that:

- (1) the Deputy City Manager, Fareed Amin, be requested to report on the feasibility of new signage and pavement marking to increase road safety for cyclists in construction zones, including:
 - (a) shared lane marking (sharrows); and
 - (b) signs indicating narrow lanes and recommended lane positioning for cyclists; and
- (2) consideration be given to developing written guidelines that outline best practices for accommodating cyclists in construction zones where there are not clear provisions in the Ontario Traffic Manual Book 7 for accommodating cyclists in construction zones, including:

- (i) lane widths that accommodate cyclists safely;
- (ii) signage that includes provisions for cyclists;
- (iii) pavement markings that increase cyclist safety;
- (iv) detours for cyclists where bike lanes or bike routes are blocked by construction;
- (v) pavement condition maintenance and road cleaning practices that will ensure a safe road surface for cyclists; and
- (vi) measures to control airborne debris and dust, which is hazardous to cyclists.

14. Safety and Education Regarding Trucks and Cyclists

Report 3, Other Items Clause 2(1(h))

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee:

- (1) referred the communication (May 1, 2006) from Councillor Giambrone to the General Manager, Transportation Services, with a request he report to the June 7, 2006, meeting of the Works Committee on the process by which the City can retrofit City trucks with “side guards”; and**
- (2) requested the General Manager, Transportation Services to identify additional opportunities to support ongoing pedestrian education objectives within the City of Toronto.**

Communication (March 28, 2006) from the Toronto Cycling Committee advising that the Committee on March 20, 2006, considered a Power Point presentation from Jim Smith, Supervisor, Data Analysis, Data Centre and Safety Bureau and David Tomlinson, Assistant Planner, Pedestrian and Cycling Infrastructure, Transportation Services, regarding statistical trends, policies and educational initiatives involving large vehicles; and requested the Works Committee to invite the Ontario Trucking Association, the Transportation Association of Canada, the Ministry of Transportation of Ontario and the Transportation Health and Safety Association of Ontario Council of Driver Trainers to be involved in any safety and education campaigns regarding trucks and cyclists.

- 14(a).** Communication (May 1, 2006) from Councillor Adam Giambrone, Ward 18, Davenport, advising that four cyclists have been killed in collisions with trucks in the City of Toronto; noting that collisions often result from trucks turning right at intersections

without seeing a cyclist beside them; and requesting that City staff report back to the June meeting of the Works committee on the process by which the city can retrofit City trucks to be fitted with “side guards”.

15. Cyclist Safety in Toronto

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(i)

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee referred the communication (April 20, 2006) from the Toronto Cycling Committee to the General Manager, Transportation Services for consideration and report to the Works Committee.

Communication (April 20, 2006) from the Toronto Cycling Committee advising that the Committee on April 18, 2006, considered a communication from Bradford Hovinen respecting cyclist safety in Toronto and recommended that the Works Committee:

- (i) request the General Manager, Transportation Services, in consultation with the Toronto Police Service, to report to the Works Committee on the feasibility of increasing the fine for drivers illegally driving and/or parking in bicycle lanes; and
- (ii) request the General Manager, Transportation Services, in consultation with the Toronto Police Service, to report to the Works Committee on an education and enforcement program aimed at drivers to reduce the incidence of drivers illegally driving and/or parking in bicycle lanes.

16. St. Clair Streetcar Project: Status Report

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(j)

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee:

- (1) **recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee and Policy and Finance Committee that City Council reaffirm its commitment that all parts of St. Clair Avenue West between Yonge Street and Gunns Road be treated equally in terms of funding and in relation to the appearance of streetscape features, such as transit shelters and platforms, trees and landscaping, decorative lighting, street furniture and public art; and**

- (2) **supports in principle the additional design elements for St. Clair Avenue West totalling \$11.6 million; and that the matter be referred to the Budget Advisory Committee with a request that the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin, in consultation with the appropriate City Agencies, Boards and Commissions, report to the Budget Advisory Committee on possible funding mechanisms.**

Communication (April 20, 2006) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission, advising that the Commission on April 19, 2006, considered a report (April 5, 2006), entitled “St. Clair Streetcar Project: Status Report” and approved the following recommendation contained in the report:

“It is recommended that the Commission:

- (1) receive this report for information, noting that:
- the previous legal obstacle to this project was successfully resolved when the Ontario Superior Court dismissed the application for judicial review of the project;
 - the projected costs for this project, as defined in the environmental assessment report, remain unchanged from the \$65 million reported in the November 28, 2005 report to the Commission;
 - upon successful conclusion of negotiations with the contractors and subsequent approval by the City of Toronto, construction on the project will resume on June 5, 2006 for the section between Yonge Street and Vaughan Road;
 - community consultation is continuing through the two forums established by the Minister of the Environment and the City of Toronto; and
 - there are ongoing discussions, led by City Transportation Services, regarding the feasibility of, and funding for, the out-of-scope, but desirable, features of burying all hydro wires underground, reconstructing the sidewalks, resurfacing the roadway, and installing new decorative street lighting at mid-block locations along St. Clair Avenue West; and
- (2) forward this report to the City of Toronto for information.”

16(a). St. Clair Avenue West Transit Improvement Project (Ward 11, York South-Weston; Ward 17, Davenport; Ward 21, St. Paul’s; and Ward 22, St. Paul’s)

Report (April 27, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services and the Executive Director, Technical Services responding to a request by City Council on December 8, 9 and 12, 2005, to provide information on additional design elements

beyond those identified in the Class Environmental Assessment Study Report along St. Clair Avenue West between Yonge Street and Gunns Road (located immediately west of Keele Street) including streetlighting improvements, hydro wire undergrounding, full road resurfacing, and full sidewalk reconstruction.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) this report be received for information, and
- (2) this report be forwarded to the Budget Advisory Committee for information.

16(b). Communications were received from the following with respect to the St. Clair Avenue West Transit Improvement Project:

- (i) (May 2, 2006) from Peter B. Mosher;
- (ii) (May 2, 2006) from Kathryn Walter;
- (iii) (May 2, 2006) from Nelda Rodger;
- (iv) (May 2, 2006) from Gary Gannage;
- (v) (May 2, 2006) from Paul Antze;
- (vi) (May 2, 2006) from Mary Li;
- (vii) (May 2, 2006) from Vid Ingelevics;
- (viii) (May 2, 2006) from Claude Bergeron;
- (ix) (May 2, 2006) from Jody Berland;
- (x) (May 2, 2006) from Ricki Wortzman;
- (xi) (May 2, 2006) from Elizabeth Cinello;
- (xii) (May 2, 2006) from Sylvia Bergeron;
- (xiii) (May 2, 2006) from Anton H. Turrittin;
- (xiv) (May 2, 2006) from David Rapaport;
- (xv) (May 2, 2006) from Peggy Iampotang and Randy Johnson;

- (xvi) (May 2, 2006) from Nik Luka;
- (xvii) (May 2, 2006) from Elizabeth Greisman;
- (xviii) (May 2, 2006) from Schuster Gindin;
- (xix) (May 3, 2006) from Nadia Bove;
- (xx) (May 3, 2006) from John Sweeney, St. Clair West Revitalization Committee's Community Safety Committee Chair; and
- (xxi) (May 3, 2006) from Alejandra Bravo, Chair of the St. Clair West Village Residents Association.

16(c). Report (May 2, 2006) from the Executive Director, Technical Services and the General Manager, Transportation Services clarifying costs associated with the Judicial Review of the St. Clair Avenue West Transit Improvement Plan.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

TECHNICAL SERVICES

17. Contract 05SD-08RD – Tender Call 230-2005 – Reconstruction of TTC Track Allowance, Pavement, Curb and Sidewalk on St. Clair Avenue West from Tweedsmuir Avenue to Avenue Road (Wards 21 and 22, St. Paul's)

Report 3, Clause 6

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 7, 2006) from the Executive Director, Technical Services and the Acting Director, Purchasing and Materials Management.

Report (April 7, 2006) from the Executive Director, Technical Services and the Acting Director of Purchasing and Materials Management advising of the results of Tender Call 230-2005 issued for the reconstruction of TTC track allowance, pavement, curb and sidewalk on St. Clair Avenue West from Tweedsmuir Avenue to Avenue Road, in accordance with specifications as required by Technical Services.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) this report be forwarded to Council and that authority be granted to award Contract 05SD-08RD for the reconstruction of TTC track allowance, pavement, sidewalk and curb on St. Clair Avenue West from Tweedsmuir Avenue to Avenue Road to Dufferin Construction Company (A Business Unit of St. Lawrence Cement Inc.) in the amount of \$6,613,223.81, including all taxes and charges; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

18. Storm of August 19, 2005 – Review of Operational and Communications Efforts

Report 3, Clause 9

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee:

- (1) **recommended that, in future, Members of Council be part of any communication affecting serious City services and impediments; and further that, in the aftermath of any incident, every effort be made to continue to correlate information being received from citizens, staff and the offices of Members of Council; and**
- (2) **received the report from the City Manager, and forwarded it to City Council for information.**

Report (February 14, 2006) from the City Manager responding to the request of City Council on September 28, 29 and 30, 2005, to present the findings of a review of all operational and communications efforts following the storm of August 19, 2005, and to identify where improvements can be made.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the report on the findings from the review of operational and communications efforts be received for information.

19. Results of Consultation with the South Riverdale Environmental Liaison Committee regarding the Risk Assessment Done for the Lands to be Conveyed to the City Related to the Site Plan Application at 1015 Lakeshore Boulevard East

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(1)

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee received the report from the Executive Director, Technical Services.

Report (April 11, 2006) from the Executive Director, Technical Services responding to the request of the Works Committee on September 14, 2005, to report on the results of the review by the South Riverdale Environmental Liaison Committee (SRELC) of the risk assessment with respect to lands to be conveyed to the City related to the site plan application for 1015 Lakeshore Boulevard East.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

TORONTO WATER

20. **Water and Sewer Service Connection and Disconnection Rates to December 31, 2006 (All Wards)**

Report 3, Clause 10

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 3, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water.

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee held a public meeting on May 3, 2006, in accordance with the Municipal Act, 2001, and notice of the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was posted on the City's web site for a minimum of twenty-one days.

Report (April 3, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water respecting water and sewer service connection and disconnection rates to December 31, 2006.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) flat rates for water and sewer connections for residential properties requiring standard water and sewer service connections and disconnections be established as per Option B to December 31, 2006:

Standard 19mm (3/4 inch) water service connection	\$2,175.00
---	------------

Standard 25mm (1 inch) water service connection	\$2,450.00
Standard 100 to 150mm (6 inch) sewer service connection	\$6,750.00
Water service disconnect	\$ 300.00
Sewer service disconnect	\$ 650.00
Cancellation of service application	\$ 100.00 per service;

- (2) the costs for all other servicing, including non standard residential multi-unit residential with units not possessing a footprint on the ground, industrial, commercial or institutional buildings, be established based on actual costs to the City; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

21. Increase in Blanket Contract Amounts for Toronto Water Contracts 04D1-510WS (Blanket Contract 47010341) and 04D3-300WS (Blanket Contract 47010171) for the Installation of Water and Sewer Service Connections and Water and Sewer Infrastructure Emergency Repairs

Report 3, Clause 11

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 18, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water.

Report (April 18, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water requesting authority to increase two Blanket Contracts amounts for Toronto Water Contracts 04D1-510WS (Blanket Contract 47010341) and 04D3-300WS (Blanket Contract 47010171) for the installation of water and sewer service connections and water and sewer infrastructure emergency repairs in Toronto-East York and North York Districts.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) blanket contract 47010341 be amended by \$217,870.71 to the revised total of \$4,231,570.15;
- (2) blanket contract 47010171 be amended by \$589,963.89 to the revised total of \$3,484,684.51; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

22. Toronto Water – 2005 Annual Report and Summary Report (All Wards)

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(m)

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee received the report from the General Manager, Toronto Water.

Report (April 11, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water submitting the 2005 Annual Report and Summary Report for Toronto Water's Treatment Plants, as part of the regulatory requirements.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

23. The Wet Weather Flow Master Plan Implementation 2004-2005 (City-wide)

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(n)

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee:

- (1) requested the General Manager, Toronto Water, in consultation with the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, to report to the Works Committee on potential uses of the parcels of land located in the Don Valley Ravine, situated near the intersection of Dundas Street and Carroll Street, in support of the Wet Weather Flow Master Plan Implementation; and**
- (2) received the report from the General Manager, Toronto Water.**

Report (April 20, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water providing an overview of the status of the City of Toronto's Wet Weather Flow Master Plan (WWFMP) implementation for 2004-2005 and the 2006 Work Plan.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

- 23(a).** Communication (May 2, 2006) from Mark Mattson, Waterkeeper and President, Lake Ontario Waterkeeper, providing the results of the 2005 investigation into chronic beach postings at Bluffer's Park in Toronto; and requesting that:

- (1) the Works Committee receives this letter and associated reports from Lake Ontario Waterkeeper; and
- (2) the Works Committee and/or Works staff agree to meet with representatives from the Lake Ontario Waterkeeper organization to discuss contamination at Bluffer's beach.

24. Request for Investigation into the Water Rehabilitation Project on Underhill Drive (Ward 34, Don Valley East)

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(o)

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee received the report from the General Manager, Toronto Water.

Report (April 18, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water responding to the request of the Works Committee on November 8, 2005, to report on issues raised in a communication from Councillor Denzil Minnan-Wong, Ward 34, Don Valley East, with respect to the Water Rehabilitation Project on Underhill Drive.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

25. Provision of Water to 905 Municipalities (All Wards)

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(p)

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee received the report from the General Manager, Toronto Water.

Report (April 18, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water responding to recommendations adopted by City Council regarding the City of Toronto's capacity to supply water to the 905 municipalities, particularly in light of urban expansion, and on the progress of negotiations with York Region regarding water partnerships.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

26. Community Program for Stormwater Management – Recommendations for Selection of Applications

Report 3, Clause 12

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the recommendations of the Community Partnership Sub-Committee of the Works Committee contained in the Recommendations Section of the communication (April 12, 2006) from the Sub-Committee.

Communication (April 12, 2006) from the Community Partnership Sub-Committee of the Works Committee recommending that City Council adopt the recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the revised report (April 3, 2006) from the Chair, Community Program for Stormwater Management (CPSWM) Selection Committee, subject to amending Recommendation (1) so that the recommendations now read as follows:

- “(1) the following projects be approved for funding under the Community Program for Stormwater Management, subject to the specific conditions outlined for each project in the April 3, 2006 report:
- (a) Greening Toronto’s Gardens - North Toronto Green Community;
 - (b) Urban Water, Urban Fishing - Toronto Chinese for Ecological Living;
 - (c) ESS - Community Initiatives for Stormwater Management (CISM) - Centennial College;
 - (d) Habitat Restoration and Wet Weather Flow Education at Parc Downsview Park - Evergreen;
 - (e) Highland Creek and Centennial Community Outreach Project - Centennial Community and Recreation Association;
 - (f) East Don and Taylor Massey Creek Stewardship Project - Friends of the Don East; and
 - (g) the funding for the Low Impact Development (LID) Seminar, submitted by Riversides Stewardship Alliance, be reduced to a maximum of \$10,000.00 and that the organization be recommended to seek other supporting funding sources to support the remainder of the project costs, if needed; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to given effect thereto;”

and further advising that the Sub-Committee recommended that Mr. William To, Toronto Chinese for Ecological Living, be invited to make a presentation to the next meeting of the Works Committee scheduled to be held on May 3, 2006.

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SERVICES

27. Product Stewardship to Facilitate Waste Diversion

Report 3, Clause 13

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the communication (March 6, 2006) from Councillor Giambrone and Councillor Palacio.

Communication (March 6, 2006) from Councillor Giambrone and Councillor Palacio, Members of the Informal Working Group to discuss the issue of deposit return systems, submitting recommendations with respect to product stewardship to facilitate waste diversion.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) WDO/Stewardship Ontario funding for the Blue Box system be increased from 50/50 shared municipal/industry responsibility to 100 percent industry funded;
- (2) the Province of Ontario be requested to enact legislation to implement a comprehensive product stewardship system that requires industry to make payments to municipalities to cover the full cost of diverting the following materials: tires, oil, electronics, household hazardous waste, litter, Green Bin organics, mattresses, carpets and furniture;
- (3) should the Province choose not to implement a full product stewardship system, the City be given the necessary powers under the new City of Toronto Act to require companies selling the aforementioned products in the City to pay an advanced disposal fee into a fund that is then used by the City to fund the full cost of operating programs that effectively divert these products from landfill;
- (4) the Province of Ontario be requested to require all large (15-18 L) plastic water cooler bottles to remain on a deposit return system or require the producers to contribute 100 percent of the incremental cost of adding the bottles to the Blue Box system;

- (5) the Minister of the Environment appoint a City of Toronto Councillor to Waste Diversion Ontario's Board of Directors; and
- (6) the appropriate City Councillor(s)/staff meet with the appropriate Provincial political/staff representatives to put forward and advance the aforementioned initiatives.

27(a). Communication (March 6, 2006) from Daniel K. Steen, Vice President, Government Affairs, OI, respecting glass recycling rates in Ontario.

28. Effectiveness and Efficiency Funding from Waste Diversion Ontario/Stewardship Ontario

Report 3, Clause 14

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services.

Report (April 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services requesting authority to enter into legal agreements with Waste Diversion Ontario and Stewardship Ontario in order to receive funding through the Effectiveness and Efficiency Fund.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council authorize the execution of agreements with Waste Diversion Ontario and Stewardship Ontario, substantially on terms and conditions shown in Appendix A, with such modifications considered to be in the interests of the City by the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, and otherwise in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, in order to receive funding through the Effectiveness and Efficiency Fund (E&E Fund) established by Stewardship Ontario under the Waste Diversion Act;
- (2) City Council confirm Solid Waste Management Services' authority to apply to Waste Diversion Ontario and Stewardship Ontario for grants to further its recycling mandate, enter into agreements as described in Recommendation (1), and undertake the approved projects and receive grant monies in accordance with such agreements; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

29. Installation of Optical Sorting Technology for Plastics and Paper Separation at Single Stream Recycling Facilities

Report 3, Clause 15

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services.

Report (April 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services requesting authority to modify existing legal agreements with Metro Municipal Recycling Services Inc. and Canada Fibers Inc., and to enter into agreements with Stewardship Ontario in order to reduce the City's costs for single stream recycling material processing as a result of new optical sorting technology being installed and partially funded by Stewardship Ontario through its Effectiveness and Efficiency Fund.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, be authorized to enter into an agreement with Metro Municipal Recycling Services Inc. and Waste Diversion Ontario or Stewardship Ontario, or both of them (Stewardship Ontario), for the installation of new optical sorting technology, substantially on terms and conditions described in this report and otherwise on terms and conditions satisfactory to the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
- (2) the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, be authorized to amend the existing legal agreement with Metro Municipal Recycling Services Inc. in order to reduce the City's costs for single stream recycling material processing as a result of new optical sorting technology being installed and partially funded by Stewardship Ontario through its Effectiveness and Efficiency (E&E) Fund, substantially on terms and conditions described in this report and otherwise on terms and conditions consistent with the existing agreement and satisfactory to the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
- (3) the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services be authorized to enter into an agreement with Stewardship Ontario for use of the 2006 approved Capital Budget of Solid Waste Management Services, under the project Waste Diversion Facilities (Account No. CSW004), for payment to Stewardship Ontario of no more than \$700,000.00 as Toronto's share of equipment costs for the Dufferin Single Stream Processing optical sorting project; and

- (4) the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, be authorized to amend the existing legal agreement with Canada Fibers Inc. in order to reduce the City's costs for single stream recycling material processing as a result of new optical sorting technology being installed and partially funded by Stewardship Ontario through its Effectiveness and Efficiency (E&E) Fund, substantially on terms and conditions described in this report and otherwise on terms and conditions consistent with the existing agreement and satisfactory to the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor.

30. Apartment and Multi-Residential Bulk Lift Collection of Garbage, Recyclables and Bulky Garbage in the Former Toronto, York, Etobicoke and East York

Report 3, Clause 16

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services.

Report (April 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services advising of the upcoming expiry of two existing contracts for apartment and multi-residential bulk lift collection of garbage.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services be authorized to exercise the option to extend the existing contracts with Waste Management of Canada and J & F Waste Systems for bulk lift collection of apartment and multi-residential garbage, recyclables and bulky garbage from areas in the former Toronto, York, Etobicoke and East York for a one-year term (July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2008) at a total estimated amount of approximately \$3,014,624.00 not including taxes; and
- (2) in conjunction with the Division's evaluation of a comprehensive strategy for the implementation of an Apartment and Multi-residential Organics Diversion Program, the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services report back to the Works Committee on the impact to contracted services operations of implementing such a program including requirements for future tenders for collection services from this sector.

31. Translucent Bags for Garbage

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(q)

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee received the report from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services.

Report (April 18, 2006) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services responding to the request of the Works Committee on January 11, 2006, to report further respecting an implementation plan for the use of translucent garbage bags for residential waste collection, together with the financial implications of such a plan.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

32. Progress Report on the Options for Addressing Currently Contracted Curbside Waste and Recycling Collection Operations in the Former Etobicoke and York

Report 3, Clause 17

The Works Committee recommended that City Council:

- (a) adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services; and**
- (b) adopt the recommendations contained in the confidential transmittal from the Works Committee pertaining to the confidential report (April 19, 2006) from the City Solicitor, which was forwarded to Members of Council under separate cover; and further that, in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining to this report be held in camera as the subject matter relates to the security of the property of the municipality.**

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee received the communication (May 2, 2006) from the City Clerk.

Report (April 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services providing a progress update and seeking clarification on directives related to "The Plan to In-Source Currently Contracted Curbside Waste and Recycling Collection Operations in the former Etobicoke and York".

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) in order to re-establish necessary lead time to complete the consultation process and either re-tender the York contracts or take necessary steps to prepare for in-house operations, the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services be authorized to exercise the option to extend the existing contracts with Miller Waste Systems and Turtle Island Recycling for all current curbside collection operations for the York contracted area for a one-year period, from July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2008, at a total estimated amount of \$4,028,268.00 not including taxes; and
- (2) the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services be authorized to conduct the quality of service survey in early 2007. (Funding of approximately \$45,000.00 will be included in the 2007 pre-budget approval request.)

32(a). Consultation Process with Toronto Civic Employees' Union, Local 416

(In Camera – Security of the Property of the Municipality)

Confidential report (April 19, 2006) from the City Solicitor addressing legal issues arising from the Works Committee's and Council's directives related to waste and recycling collection operations in the former York and Etobicoke, such report to be considered in camera as the subject matter relates to security of the property of the Municipality.

- 32(b).** Communication (May 2, 2006) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on April 26, 27 and 28, 2006, referred the following Motion J(17) by Councillor Ootes, seconded by Councillor Nunziata, to the Works Committee:

“WHEREAS the City of Toronto's contract for waste collection by a private contractor in the area of the former City of York expires on June 30, 2007; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto's contract for waste collection by a private contractor in the area of the former City of Etobicoke expires on June 30, 2008; and

WHEREAS a recent report from City staff indicated that moving either or both of these contracts in-house would result in a prohibitively enormous cost for the residents of Toronto; and

WHEREAS Council must authorize an extension, if either or both of these contracts were to be renewed; and

WHEREAS the Works Committee requested an interim report to the January 11, 2006 Works Committee meeting, with a final report to the March 7, 2006 meeting; and

WHEREAS this final report has not yet been tabled at the Works Committee; and

WHEREAS this important issue should be dealt with in an expedient manner;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT staff be directed to have a final report prepared for the May 3, 2006 agenda of the Works Committee;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Chair of the Works Committee ensure that this item is considered at the May 3, 2006 meeting of the Works Committee, with the Committee's recommendations coming before Council for consideration at its meeting on May 23, 24 and 25, 2006."

33. Turtle Island Litigation

(In Camera – Litigation or potential litigation)

Report 3, Clause 18

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the confidential report (April 18, 2006) from the City Solicitor, which was forwarded to Members of Council under separate cover; and further that, in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining to this report be held in camera as the subject matter relates to litigation or potential litigation affecting the municipality.

Confidential report (April 18, 2006) from the City Solicitor respecting Turtle Island litigation matters, such report to be considered in camera as the subject matter relates to litigation or potential litigation affecting the municipality.

GENERAL

34. Purchase of Castlefield Yard Trailers

Report 3, Clause 20

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 19, 2006) from Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin and the Acting Director, Purchasing and Materials Management.

Report (April 19, 2006) from Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin and the Acting Director, Purchasing and Materials Management requesting authority to purchase the currently leased trailers at 1401 Castlefield Avenue, Toronto.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) authority be granted to purchase the four existing trailers at the Castlefield Yard which are currently leased from Williams Scotsman Canada for use by Toronto Water and Transportation offices, staff locker rooms and washroom facilities for \$236,094.48 (excluding GST); and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

35. Status of Outstanding Staff Report Requests

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(r)

Action taken by the Committee:

The Works Committee received the report from the City Clerk.

Report (April 19, 2006) from the City Clerk responding to the request of the Works Committee on March 7, 2006, to provide the due dates for the outstanding staff report requests.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

TRANSPORTATION SERVICES

36. Red-Light Camera Operations: Contract Extension and Request for Proposal 9148-05-5048 for the Supply, Installation, Operation and Maintenance of Red-Light Camera Systems Within the City of Toronto and Other Municipalities Within Ontario

Report 3, Other Items Clause 21(k)

The Works Committee recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 27, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services.

Report (April 27, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services respecting red-light camera operations – contract extension and Request for Proposal 9148-05-5048 for the supply, installation, operation and maintenance of red-light camera systems within the City of Toronto and other municipalities within Ontario.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) approval be granted to extend Contract No. 9119-00-7004 with Affiliated Computer Systems for the operation and maintenance of red-light camera systems for an additional year (November 20, 2006 to November 19, 2007) with an option to extend for a further year (November 20, 2007 to November 19, 2008) in the amounts of \$1,122,700.00 and \$1,156,400.00, respectively and subject to budget approval;
- (2) should City Council authorize the expansion of the Red Light Camera program by adding 132 camera sites and subject to the successful completion of “Proof of Performance” and acceptance by the City:
 - (a) as described in the Request for Proposal 9148-05-5048, Traffipax Inc., the proponent with the highest overall evaluated score, as determined by the evaluation team, be retained for the supply, installation, operation and maintenance of red-light camera systems within the City of Toronto, for a five-year period at a cost not to exceed \$13,793,600.00, subject to execution of an agreement satisfactory to the City Solicitor, the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the General Manager, Transportation Services, and subject to annual Operating Budget approval for the years 2007 to 2011; and
 - (b) approval be granted to extend the existing contract with the Ministry of Transportation Ontario for the access and use of licence plate registration information, until the end of 2011, in the estimated amount of \$4,032,600.00;
 - (c) approval be granted to extend the existing contracts with the other partnering municipalities for their cost sharing of the operating expenses of the joint municipal processing centre, until the end of 2011, generating an estimated revenue in the amount of (\$1,201,200.00); and
- (3) this report be forwarded to the Budget Advisory Committee and the Policy and Finance Committee; and

- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

TECHNICAL SERVICES

- 37. Agreement Between the City of Toronto and Toronto Hydro-Electric Systems Limited (Toronto Hydro) for the Maintenance of Infrastructure of the 900 MHz Radio Communications Systems Jointly Used by the City and Toronto Hydro.**

Report 3, Clause 8

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendation in the Recommendation Section of the report (April 26, 2006) from the Executive Director, Technical Services.

Report (April 26, 2006) from the Executive Director, Technical Services clarifying the term of the multi-year maintenance agreement with Toronto Hydro-Electric Systems Limited (Toronto Hydro).

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the termination date for the Agreement with Toronto Hydro-Electric Systems Limited for the maintenance of the infrastructure of the 900 MHz radio communications systems operated by Toronto Hydro and jointly used by Toronto Hydro, Transportation Services and Solid Waste Management Services be amended from December 31, 2013 to December 31, 2015.

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SERVICES

- 38. Presentation by the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Community Environmental Assessment Team**

Report 3, Clause 19

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the following motion:

“WHEREAS Solid Waste Management Services staff are undertaking a research trip to several mechanical-biological treatment facilities in the United States during the period from May 29, 2006 through June 2, 2006; and

WHEREAS an invitation has been extended by Solid Waste Management Services to have up to two members of the Community Environmental Assessment Team join the research trip to gain a better understanding of the mechanical-biological treatment technology and its potential engagement in Toronto; and

WHEREAS City Council has authorized up to \$75,000.00 (CSW004-16-04) to facilitate travel and research on the part of members of the Community Environmental Assessment Team, subject to City Council approval for travel outside of Ontario; and

WHEREAS the cost of the research trip is budgeted at \$2,900.00 Canadian per person inclusive of all costs and taxes; and

WHEREAS the cost for two members of the Community Environmental Assessment Team to participate in the research trip is \$5,800.00 Canadian, inclusive of all costs and taxes;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council authorize an expenditure of up to \$5,800.00 Canadian to facilitate the participation of two members of the Community Environmental Assessment Team on the research trip to mechanical-biological treatment facilities in the United States.”

Verbal presentation by Mr. Philip Knox, Chair, and Mr. Lee Doran, Vice-Chair, Community Environmental Assessment Team (CEAT) to introduce themselves and members of CEAT; provide an update on their meetings to date and on the development of their workplan.

TECHNICAL SERVICES

- 39. Contract 05SD-05RD – Recommencement of Work – Reconstruction of TTC Track Allowance, Pavement, Sidewalk and Curb – Toronto and East York District – St. Clair Avenue East and West from Ferndale Avenue to Avenue Road (Ward 22, St. Paul’s)**

(In Camera – Litigation or potential litigation)

Report 3, Clause 7

The Works Committee recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the confidential report (May 2, 2006) from the City Solicitor, Executive Director, Technical Services, and the General Manager, Transportation Services, which was forwarded to Members of Council under separate cover; and further that, in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining to this report be held in camera as the subject matter relates to litigation or potential litigation affecting the municipality.

Confidential report (May 2, 2006) from the City Solicitor, Executive Director, Technical Services and the General Manager, Transportation Services on the status of negotiations with respect to Contract 05SD-05RD – Recommencement of Work – Reconstruction of TTC Track Allowance, Pavement, Sidewalk and Curb – Toronto and East York District – St. Clair Avenue East and West from Ferndale Avenue to Avenue Road.