THE CITY OF TORONTO

City Clerk's Office

Minutes of the Scarborough Community Council

Meeting 2

Tuesday, February 7, 2006

The Scarborough Community Council met on Tuesday, February 7, 2006, in the Meeting Hall, Scarborough Civic Centre, commencing at 9:35 a.m.

Attendance:

Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

	9:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.	2:00 p.m. to 5:10 p.m.	5:35 p.m. to 8:40 p.m.
Councillor Michael Thompson, Chair	X	X	X
Councillor Mike Del Grande, Vice Chair	X	X	X
Councillor Paul Ainslie	X	X	X
Councillor Gerry Altobello	X	X	X
Councillor Brian Ashton	X	X	X
Councillor Raymond Cho	X	X	X
Councillor Gay Cowbourne	X	X	X
Councillor Glen De Baeremaeker	X	X	X
Councillor Norm Kelly	X	X	R
Councillor David Soknacki	X	X	X

Confirmation of Minutes

On a motion by Councillor Ashton, the Minutes of the meeting of the Scarborough Community Council held on January 17, 2006, were confirmed.

2.1 Scarborough Civic Action Network

The Scarborough Community Council received a presentation by the Scarborough Civic Action Network on findings and suggestions from the Scarborough Community Summit held on November 29, 2005.

A. Councillor Ashton moved that the Deputy City Manager, Sue Corke, be requested to review opportunities to improve access to the 211 (community information number) for newcomers to the City.

(Carried)

B. Councillor Cho moved that Scarborough Community Council Members be requested to work with the Scarborough Civic Action Network to ensure the Scarborough Councillors' Roundtable resumes regular meetings and encourage provincial and federal counterparts to participate fully.

(Carried)

Councillor Thompson, on behalf of Scarborough Community Council, thanked the representatives of the Scarborough Civic Action Network, for their informative presentation.

The following persons addressed the Scarborough Community Council:

- Ed Castro, Co-chair, Scarborough Civic Action Network;
- Vaij Chari, Member, Scarborough Civic Action Network;
- Sean Meagher, Scarborough Civic Action Network; and
- Likwa Nkala, Co-ordinator, East Metro Youth Services.

(Report 1, Clause 9(a))

2.2 Feasibility of Implementing Long Term Parking on Linton Avenue (Ward 36 – Scarborough Southwest)

The Scarborough Community Council considered a report (December 28, 2005) from the Acting Director, Transportation Services, Scarborough District, reporting on the results of traffic studies performed on Linton Avenue.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Ashton, the Scarborough Community Council postponed consideration of the report (December 28, 2005) from the Acting Director, Transportation Services, Scarborough District, until its meeting of April 4, 2006.

(Report 2, Clause 9(b))

2.3 Request to Install Traffic Control Signals Finch Avenue East at Scottfield Drive/Tiffield Road (Ward 41 – Scarborough-Rouge River)

The Scarborough Community Council considered a report (December 28, 2005) from the Acting Director, Transportation Services, Scarborough District, on the feasibility of installing traffic control signals on Finch Avenue East at Scottfield Drive/Tiffield Road.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Ainslie, the Scarborough Community Council received the report (December 28, 2005) from the Acting Director, Transportation Services, Scarborough District.

(Report 2, Clause 9(c))

2.4 Feasibility of Implementing Traffic Calming on Canongate Trail between Kelvin Grove Avenue and Purcell Square/Passmore Avenue (Ward 39 – Scarborough Agincourt)

The Scarborough Community Council considered a report (January 23, 2006) from the Acting Director, Transportation Services, Scarborough District, reporting on the feasibility of installing traffic calming (speed humps) on Canongate Trail.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

Mr. Denis Lanoue, President, Heathwood Ratepayer's Association addressed the Scarborough Community Council.

- A. Councillor Del Grande moved that City Council:
 - (1) authorize appropriate staff to develop a speed hump plan, in consultation with the Ward Councillor, and conduct a poll of eligible householders on Canongate Trail between Kelvin Grove Avenue and Purcell Square/Passmore Avenue, to determine resident support, in accordance with the City of Toronto Traffic Calming Policy; and public notice be given pursuant to the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, including Notice of Study Commencement to the Ministry of the Environment, Fire Service, Emergency Medical Service and Toronto Police Service;
 - (2) subject to favourable results of each of the polls;
 - (a) prepare a by-law for the alteration of sections of the roadways on Canongate Trail between Kelvin Grove Avenue and Purcell Square/Passmore Avenue for traffic calming purposes generally as shown on the speed hump plan circulated to residents through the polling process, such polling process to also include "turn" restrictions; and
 - (b) issue a Notice of Completion, pursuant to the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act;
 - (3) authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required; and
 - (4) receive the report (January 23, 2006) from the Acting Director, Transportation Services, Scarborough District.

(Carried)

B. Councillor Cowbourne moved that the Toronto Police Service, Fire Service and Emergency Medical Service representatives be requested to attend the proposed community meeting.

(Carried)

On the adoption of Motion A. by Councillor Del Grande, a recorded vote was taken as follows:

FOR: Councillors Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Cho, Cowbourne,

De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Soknacki, Thompson – 9

AGAINST: Councillor Kelly - 1

(Report 2, Clause 1)

2.5 Encroachment of an Existing Sign – 4435 Kingston Road (Ward 43 – Scarborough East)

The Scarborough Community Council considered a report (January 17, 2006) from the Acting Director, Transportation Services, Scarborough District, seeking Council's direction with regards to legitimizing the approval for the existing business identification sign within the untravelled (boulevard area) portion of the public right-of-way abutting 4435 Kingston Road.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Council authority be granted to enter into the necessary legal agreement with Elkhollow Developments Inc., 4211 Yonge Street, Suite 230, Toronto, Ontario M2P 2A9 to formalize the existing sign at the present location and maintain the sign within the boulevard area of the City's road right-of-way abutting 4435 Kingston Road. The agreement is to be comprehensive in nature and would include such additional items (fence, plantings and parking stalls) outlined in this report;
- (2) the proposed agreement to contain such terms and conditions as deemed necessary by the City Solicitor, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and General Manager of Transportation Services, to protect the City's interests up to and including the payment of fair market rent or removal of the encumbrance at no cost to the City when the property is needed for municipal purposes; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to proceed, including the introduction in Council of any Bills.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Scarborough Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 17, 2006) from the Acting Director, Transportation Services, Scarborough District.

(Report 2, Clause 2)

2.6 Residential Front Yard Parking through Zoning and Front Yard Parking Permits

The Scarborough Community Council considered a communication (January 23, 2006) from the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division and General Manager, Transportation Services, summarizing input from recent public meetings for each Community Council to consider, in conjunction with their deliberations on residential front yard and boulevard parking policy and regulation proposals, as requested by the Joint Planning and Transportation and Works Committee at its meeting of November 30, 2005.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that Community Councils provide comments on the proposed changes to the residential front yard parking regulations to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning and the General Manager, Transportation Services.

The Scarborough Community Council received the following communications:

- (a) (February 5, 2006) from Shirley E. Baker, requesting Scarborough Community Council Members to carefully deliberate the pros and cons of residential front yard parking through zoning and front yard parking permits; and
- (b) (February 4, 2006) from Bruce L. and Betty J. Smith, in opposition of residential front yard parking outside of existing approved driveways or on-street parking permits.

The following persons addressed the Scarborough Community Council:

- Alan Burke, East Beach Community Association; and
- Maria Newiem.

Councillor Thompson appointed Councillor Del Grande Acting Chair and vacated the Chair.

A. Councillor Thompson moved that the following comment be forwarded to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, and the General Manager, Transportation Services:

"the Scarborough Community Council strongly endorses the proposed Residential Front Yard Parking through Zoning and Front Yard Parking Permits".

(Carried)

B. Councillor De Baeremaeker moved that the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, be requested to consider ways and means to address side and rear yard parking, and to report thereon to the Planning and Transportation Committee.

(Carried)

(Report 2, Clause 9(d))

2.7 Bicycle Lane Guidelines (All Wards)

The Scarborough Community Council considered a communication (December 19, 2005) from the City Clerk, requesting the Community Councils to provide comments on the Bicycle Lane Guidelines to the Works Committee.

A. Councillor Kelly moved that the General Manager, Transportation Services, be requested to report to the Works Committee on the potential cost of implementing shared bicycle lanes in Scarborough and throughout the City; and the cost of widening roads to accommodate such lanes.

(Carried)

B. Councillor De Baeremaeker moved that Scarborough Community Council recommend to the Works Committee that if "shared use lane markings" are used on any City street, markings be spaced at intervals of 20 metres or less.

(Lost)

(Report 2, Clause 9(e))

2.8 Request for Fence Exemption – 805 Birchmount Road (Ward 35 – Scarborough Southwest)

The Scarborough Community Council considered a report (January 3, 2006) from the Acting Scarborough District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, responding to a request by the owner of 805 Birchmount Road, for an exemption from the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 – Fences.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the request for the exemption be refused based on non-compliance with the requirements set out in Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code.

James Campion, owner, addressed the Scarborough Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Altobello, the Scarborough Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) grant the exemption from the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 – Fences, for the property known as 805 Birchmount Road; and
- (1) receive the report (January 3, 2006) from the Acting Scarborough District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards.

(Report 2, Clause 3)

2.9 Request for Fence Exemption – 98 North Bonnington Avenue (Ward 35 – Scarborough Southwest)

The Scarborough Community Council considered a report (January 3, 2006) from the Acting Scarborough District Manager, Municipal Licensing & Standards, responding to a request by the owner of 98 North Bonnington Avenue, for an exemption from the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 – Fences.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the request for the exemption be refused based on non-compliance with the requirements set out in Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code.

Sothearith Suos, owner, addressed the Scarborough Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Altobello, the Scarborough Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendation in the Recommendation Section of the report (January 3, 2006) from the Acting Scarborough District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards.

(Report 2, Clause 4)

2.10 Request for Fence Exemption – 460 Huntingwood Drive (Ward 40 – Scarborough-Agincourt)

The Scarborough Community Council had before it a report (January 3, 2006) from the Acting Scarborough District Manager, Municipal Licensing & Standards, responding to a request by the owner of 460 Huntingwood Drive, for an exemption from the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 – Fences.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the request for the exemption be refused based on non-compliance with the requirements set out in Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code.

The Community Council also had before it a communication (undated) from Howard and Margaret Harrision, in support of the staff recommendation.

On motion by Councillor Kelly, the Scarborough Community Council postponed consideration of the staff recommendation in the Recommendation Section of the report (January 3, 2006) from the Acting Scarborough District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, until its meeting of April 4, 2006.

(Report 2, Clause 9(f))

2.11 Preliminary Report – OPA & Rezoning Application 05 200835 ESC 35 OZ Georgian Cliffside Inc. (Arsenault Architect Inc.) – 641 Danforth Road Birchmount Park Employment District (Ward 35 – Scarborough Southwest)

The Scarborough Community Council considered a report (January 25, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, providing preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeking Community Council's direction on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process; this application will be reviewed in the context of an area planning study which includes the subject property.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) staff be directed to complete an area land use planning study for the area bounded by St. Clair Avenue East, Danforth Road, Kennedy Road and the Canadian National Railway, as shown on Attachment 4;
- (2) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting, together with the Ward Councillor, on the subject application and area planning study;
- (3) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the planning study area; and,
- (4) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act for the subject application and planning study be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.
 - On motion by Councillor Altobello, the Scarborough Community Council adopted the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 25, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, subject to amending Recommendation (2) to read:
 - "(2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site and expanded to north of St. Clair Avenue East between Kennedy Road and Midland Avenue to Corvette Avenue; south of St. Clair Avenue East between Birchmount Road and Midland Avenue to Raleigh Avenue and Park Street; and".

(Report 2, Clause 9(g))

2.12 Preliminary Report – OPA & Rezoning Application 05 210280 ESC 41 OZ
 Yee Hong Centre for Geriatric Care (CXT Architects Inc.)
 60 Scottfield Drive – Marshalling Yard Employment District (Ward 41 – Scarborough Rouge River)

The Scarborough Community Council considered a report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, providing preliminary information on the above-noted applications and seeking Community Council's directions on further processing of the applications and on the community consultation process.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Ainslie, the Scarborough Community Council adopted the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, subject to amending Recommendation (2) to read:

"(2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site and expanded to McNicol Avenue to the north; Brimley Road to the west; Markham Road to the East; and Huntingwood Drive to the south over to Markham Road, with the additional costs to be borne by the applicant; and".

(Report 2, Clause 9(h))

2.13 Preliminary Report – OPA & Rezoning Application 05 208409 ESC 42 OZ First Milner Shopping Centres Limited (Architect: Abbarch Partnership) 785-801 Milner Avenue – Neilson Employment District (Ward 42 – Scarborough Rouge River)

The Scarborough Community Council considered a report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, providing preliminary information on the above-noted applications and seeking Community Council's directions on further processing of the applications and on the community consultation process.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

(1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;

- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.
 - A. Councillor Cho moved that the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, be adopted.

(Carried)

B. Councillor Cowbourne moved that the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, be requested to work with the applicant to ensure that landscaping and tree plantings are provided within the proposed parking areas.

(Carried)

(Report 2, Clause 9(i))

2.14 Preliminary Report

Rezoning Application 05 190 490 ESC 44 OZ Draft Plan of Subdivision 05 190494 ESC 44 SB Vanguard Sheet Metal Contractors Limited – 101-105, 115, 119, 121 and 127 Zaph Avenue; 832 and 834 Meadowvale Road Highland Creek Community (Ward 44 – Scarborough East)

The Scarborough Community Council considered a report (January 20, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, providing preliminary information on the above-noted applications and seeking Community Council's directions on further processing of the applications and on the community consultation process.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

The following persons addressed the Scarborough Community Council:

- David Tal, President, Clapton Construction Limited;
- Lorelei Jones, Lorelei Jones & Associates; and
- Alan Young, Weston Consulting.

On motion by Councillor Cowbourne, the Scarborough Community Council adopted the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 20, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, subject to adding the following:

- (1) City planning staff continue to work with the applicant towards creating:
 - (a) frontage of every lot to be within the 15m (49 foot) zoning requirement; and
 - (b) a layout that will not have a negative impact on future development opportunities;
- (2) the Environmental Protection Zone to be fully protected throughout the construction process; and
- (3) every effort to be made by the developer to protect the existing trees on the site.

(Report 2, Clause 9(j))

2.15 Refusal Report

Official Plan Amendment Application 05 192733 ESC 36 OZ Zoning By-law Amendment Application 05 121150 ESC 36 OZ Site Plan Control Approval Application 05 121217 ESC 36 SA Accentia Development Limited – 280 and 282 Scarborough Golf Club Road Scarborough Village Community (Ward 36 – Scarborough Southwest)

The Scarborough Community Council considered a report (January 24, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, recommending refusal of Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law Amendment and Site Plan Control Approval applications by Accentia Development Limited proposing 16 residential units at 280 and 282 Scarborough Golf Club Road.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) refuse the Official Plan Amendment, Zoning Amendment and Site Plan Control Approval applications by Accentia Development Limited proposing 16 residential units on a 6 metre wide private street at 280 and 282 Scarborough Golf Club Road:
- (2) request the City Solicitor, the Chief Planner and Executive Director of City Planning, and other appropriate City staff to oppose any Ontario Municipal Board appeal made by the applicant on applications 05 192733 ESC 36 OZ, 05 121150 ESC 36 OZ and 05 121217 ESC 36 SA, and;
- (3) authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Ashton, the Scarborough Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 24, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District.

(Report 2, Clause 5)

2.16 Final Report

Official Plan and Zoning By-law Application 05 142094 ESC 36 OZ Fallingbrook Developments Limited (Wayne Olson Architect) 1206, 1208, 1210 Kingston Road – Birchcliff Community (Ward 36 – Scarborough Southwest)

The Scarborough Community Council held a statutory public meeting on February 7, 2006, and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*.

The Scarborough Community Council considered a report (January 24, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, seeking Council's approval of applications to amend the Official Plan and the Zoning By-law for a mixed use residential/commercial development in a six storey building with 43 residential units and ground floor retail uses at 1206, 1208 and 1210 Kingston Road.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council:

(1) amend the Official Plan for the former City of Scarborough substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment, attached as Attachment 9;

- (2) amend the Zoning By-law for the Birchcliff Community substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment, attached as Attachment 10:
- (3) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required; and
- (4) authorize the entering into of an agreement between the City and the owner to provide an exemption to the zoning by-law for six (6) on-site parking spaces for the non-residential component of the development, subject to payment-in-lieu of parking as per Council policy.

The Scarborough Community Council also considered the following communications:

- (January 23, 2006) from Steve Thomas, in favour of the project; and
- (February 6, 2006) from Sheila Bullock, in opposition of the project.

Robert Mitchell, Applicant, Fallingbrook Developments Limited, addressed the Scarborough Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Ashton, the Scarborough Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 24, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District; and
- (2) request the Toronto Parking Authority to work with Urban Design and planners towards improving the green parking lot and the utilization of the cash-in-lieu payments.

(Report 2, Clause 6)

2.17 Final Report

OPA & Rezoning Application 05 105326 ESC 44 OZ Plan of Subdivision Application 05 205 195 ESC 44 SB Waltman Building Group (Buttermill Developments Inc.) Korsiak and Company – East Avenue, East Side between Broadbridge Drive and Baronial Court Centennial Community (Ward 44 – Scarborough East)

The Scarborough Community Council held a statutory public meeting on February 7, 2006, and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*.

The Scarborough Community Council considered a report (January 25, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, recommending approval of applications to amend the Official Plan and the Zoning By-law, and recommending approval of a draft plan of subdivision for a 36 lot plan of subdivision on the east side of East Avenue between Baronial Court and Broadbridge Drive.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) amend the Official Plan for the former City of Scarborough substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment, attached as Attachment 7.
- (2) amend the Zoning By-law 12077 for the Centennial Community substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment, attached as Attachment 8;
- (3) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required; and
- (4) recommend to the Chief Planner that the draft plan of subdivision be approved generally as illustrated on Attachment 1, Proposed Plan of Subdivision, subject to:
 - (a) the conditions, as generally listed in Attachment 9, which except as otherwise noted, must be fulfilled prior to the release of the plan of subdivision for the registration of any phase thereof;
 - (b) such revisions to the proposed plan or such additional or modified conditions as the Chief Planner may deem to be appropriate to address matters arising from the ongoing technical review of the development; and

(c) draft plan approval not being issued until appropriate Official Plan land use designations and zoning implementing the Official Plan are in full force and effect.

The Scarborough Community Council received the following communications:

- (February 3, 2006) from Lauren McFarlane;
- (February 2, 2006) from Linda Robinson;
- (February 3, 2006) from Gail Brown-Gall;
- (February 5, 2006) from John Williamson;
- (February 5, 2006) from Bob and Lisa Anger;
- (February 5, 2006) from David and Dale Andrews;
- (February 5, 2006) from Ed and Renee Nosko;
- (February 5, 2006) from George and Valeria Mircea;
- (February 5, 2006) from Douglas and Alexandra Martin;
- (February 5, 2006) from area resident;
- (February 5, 2006) from John McLachlan;
- (February 5, 2006) from J. C. Brock;
- (February 5, 2006) from Monica and Erwin Just;
- (February 5, 2006) from Tulchand Harduwar;
- (February 5, 2006) from Patricia Sawchuk;
- (February 6, 2006) from Lin Fisher;
- (February 6, 2006) from Cam Gall;
- (February 6, 2006) from Rae Marlatt;
- (February 6, 2006) from Cheryl Chong and Al Andrews;
- (February 6, 2006) from Shirley and Patricia Woodward;
- (February 6, 2006) from Alan Potts;
- (February 6, 2006) from B. E. MacKenzie and P. S. Hordowick;
- (February 6, 2006) from Rowland H. Armstrong;
- (February 6, 2006) from Gillian Yazdani;
- (February 6, 2006) from Mike Maclean;
- (February 6, 2006) from Cathy Rochwerg;
- (February 6, 2006) from Anne Lokstein;
- (February 6, 2006) from Bruce Horton and Christine Eady;
- (February 6, 2006) from Tina Walker;
- (February 6, 2006) from Barry Waltman, President, Waltman Building Group;
- (February 6, 2006) from Diana Cardamore;
- (February 6, 2006) from John Leslie;
- (February 6, 2006) from Linda Cowan and family;
- (February 6, 2006) from Kate Shaw-Southwell;
- (February 7, 2006) from Agnes Smith;
- (February 7, 2006) from Barbara Baxter;
- (February 6, 2006) from James Milroy;
- (February 6, 2006) from Lance Smith;
- (February 6, 2006) from Jeffrey D. Ward;

- (February 6, 2006) from Peter Cant;
- (February 6, 2006) from Mr. & Mrs. Stephen Hewitt;
- (February 6, 2006) from Jacob & Eunice Sukhnandan;
- (February 6, 2006) from Carol Thomson;
- (February 6, 2006) from Bill and Kathy Abbott;
- (February 6, 2006) from Ken & Glenda Metrick;
- (February 6, 2006) from Elizabeth Yip;
- (February 6, 2006) from Mike Josic;
- (February 7, 2006) from Joan and Barrie Nicholls;
- (February 7, 2006) from Mrs. Teresa Birznieks;
- (February 7, 2006) from Sheila Wood;
- (February 7, 2006) from Lynn Henderson & Mallory Kawa;
- (February 7, 2006) from Mary-Anne Dodge;
- (February 7, 2006) from Pat Trajanovski;
- (February 7, 2006) from Tom Jones;
- (February 7, 2006) from Judy Kanath;
- (February 7, 2006) from Agnes Smith;
- (February 7, 2006) from Barbara Baxter;
- (February 7, 2006) from Ed & Debra Ryan;
- (February 7, 2006) from Liz Walther;
- (February 7, 2006) from Warren & Deb Stewart;
- (February 7, 2006) from Frank & Shirley Niceley; and
- (February 7, 2006) from Verner and Allin Nord.

The following persons addressed the Scarborough Community Council:

- Adam Brown, Solicitor of Sherman Brown, representing the applicant;
- Linda Robinson, representing West Rouge Community Association;
- Wayne Boden,;
- Helen Morton;
- Les Cabell, Chair, Working Committee;
- Dave Smith;
- Dave Andrews;
- Pamela Ross;
- Gary Dale;
- Udo Hoernke; and
- Paula Derose.
 - A. Councillor Altobello moved that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 25, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District.

(Carried)

B. Councillor Ashton moved that the City Solicitor be requested to report, directly to City Council, on the City's responsibilities and obligations, as a planning regulatory body, relative to the access, maintenance and reasonable care of the retaining walls on the eastern portion of the site.

(Carried)

C. Councillor Ashton moved that Councillor Alotbello's motion A. be amended by amending Recommendation (4) respecting the draft plan of subdivision by deleting Lots 30 and 31 and moving the road further west, thus increasing the lot depths and lot areas along the easterly property line; and further that City staff be authorized to make any necessary changes to the draft Zoning By-law to give effect to this amendment.

(Lost)

- D. Councillor Cowbourne moved that Scarborough Community Council:
 - (1) postpone consideration of the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 25, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, until its meeting of April 4, 2006, to allow the Ward Councillor to have further consultations with the community and the applicant; and
 - (2) recommend to City Council that the recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 25, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, not be adopted and the application be refused.

(Lost)

Recorded Vote to adopt the staff recommendations:

FOR: Ainslie, Altobello, Cho, Del Grande, Soknacki, Thompson – 6

AGAINST: Ashton, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Kelly - 4

(Report 2, Clause 7)

2.18 Information Report

City Initiated Application 06 104386 EPS 00 TM
Framework for Reviewing Development Applications
South Side of Steeles Avenue East (Middlefield Road to Morningside Creek)
(Wards 41 and 42 – Scarborough Rouge River)

The Scarborough Community Council considered a report (January 31, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, providing a framework for reviewing development applications for land parcels located along the south side of Steeles Avenue East from east of Middlefield Road to Morningside Creek.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) this report respecting a framework for reviewing development applications for lands located along the south side of Steeles Avenue East, from Middlefield Road to Morningside Creek, be received for information; and
- (2) staff be directed to continue their review of the active Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment applications for retail uses along Steeles Avenue East between Middlefield Road and the Morningside Creek and that these applications be reviewed and considered in a comprehensive manner.

The Community Council received the communication (February 7, 2006) from Steven A. Zakem, Aird, & Berlis, on behalf of Steeles Markham Development Limited.

The following persons addressed the Scarborough Community Council:

- John Bousfield, Bousfields Inc. representing Landmark Canada; and
- Lorne Ross, Lorne Ross Planning Services, on behalf of BAIF Development Limited.

On motion by Councillor Ainslie, the Scarborough Community Council:

- (1) received the report (January 31, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District; and
- directed the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, to continue the review of the active Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment applications for retail uses along Steeles Avenue East, between Middlefield Road and the Morningside Creek; and further that these applications be reviewed and considered in a comprehensive manner.

(Report 2, Clause 9(k))

2.19 Final Report

Part Lot Control Exemption Application 06 104057 ESC 42 PL Apple Ridge Developments – 139, 141, 191 and 193 Morningview Trail (Ward 42 – Scarborough Rouge River)

The Scarborough Community Council considered a report (February 1, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District, seeking approval of an application to lift Part Lot Control for four single-detached units, thereby enabling separate conveyance of the individual dwellings.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council:

- enact a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law for Block 139, Plan 66M-2244 and Block 154, Plan 66M-2243 respectively;
- (2) deem that the Part Lot Control Exemption By-law shall expire one (1) year from the date of its passing;
- (3) require staff to obtain proof of payment of all current property taxes for the subject site from the owner prior to registration of the Part Lot Control Exemption By-law; and
- (4) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the Part Lot Control Exemption By-law as may be required.

On motion by Councillor Cho, the Scarborough Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (February 1, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Scarborough District.

(Report 2, Clause 8)

2.20 Report on the Governing Toronto Advisory Panel "The City We Want – The Government We Need"

The Scarborough Community Council had before it a communication (January 13, 2006) from the City Clerk, forwarding a copy of the report entitled "The City We Want – The Government We Need", to all Community Councils for public consultation; and requesting the Community Councils to submit their comments to the Policy and Finance

Committee for its meeting scheduled to be held in May 2006, in conjunction with the forthcoming report from the City Manager.

Councillor Thompson appointed Councillor Del Grande Acting Chair and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Thompson, the Scarborough Community Council postponed consideration of this matter until its meeting of April 4, 2006 at 7:00 p.m., to allow staff to contact area residents to provide input into the new proposed "Governance" structure for the City.

Councillor Thompson resumed the Chair.

(Report 2, Clause 9(1))

2.21 Renaming Empringham Park

The Scarborough Community Council discussed the issue of renaming Empringham Park.

On motion by Councillor Cho, the Scarborough Community Council requested the Director of Parks, in consultation with the local Councillor, to arrange a community consultation meeting with the residents of Empringham on the possibility of renaming Empringham Park, and report thereon to the Economic Development and Parks Committee, with costs of such meeting to be borne by the local Councillor.

(Report 2, Clause 9(m))

2.22 Sidewalk and Road Repairs

The Scarborough Community Council discussed the issue of repairs to sidewalks and roads in the Scarborough District.

(Report 2, Clause 9(n))

The Community Council adjourned its meeting at 8:40 p.m.

Chair