

THE CITY OF TORONTO

City Clerk's Office

Minutes of the Toronto and East York Community Council

Meeting 1

**Tuesday, January 17, 2006 and
Thursday, January 19, 2006**

The Toronto and East York Community Council met on Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006, in Committee Room 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:35 a.m.

No interests were declared pursuant to the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act*.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council confirmed the Minutes for February 8, 2005; March 30, 2005; May 3, 2005; May 17, 2005; and May 31, 2005.

1.1 Final Report – Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application and Subdivision Application - 430 Broadview Avenue, 14 St. Matthews Road and 548, 550-558 Gerrard Street East (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a final report (January 4, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application and Subdivision Application - 430 Broadview Avenue, 14 St. Matthews Road and 548, 550-558 Gerrard Street East (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth), and recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the Official Plan for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Attachment No. 7;
- (2) amend the Zoning By-law 438-86 for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 8;
- (3) direct staff to prepare and submit directly to Council a modification to the new Official Plan to modify the new Official Plan as required;

- (4) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and draft Zoning By-law Amendment and Modification to the new Official Plan as may be required;
- (5) recommend approval by the Chief Planner of the draft plan of subdivision and draft conditions substantially in accordance with Attachments No. 9 and No. 11;
- (6) approve the Urban Design Guidelines entitled “Bridgepoint Health Don Jail Site Master Plan” dated October 28, 2005 and stamped received October 28, 2005, for the Comprehensive Community Master Plan for the block bounded by Broadview Avenue, Gerrard Street East, Riverdale Park and the Don Valley referred to in the report and on file with the City Clerk;
- (7) authorize execution of any amendments to the existing Heritage Easement Agreements under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with the owner of the land, as may be deemed necessary by the City Solicitor in consultation with the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services to facilitate the proposal contemplated in this report;
- (8) require the applicant to submit a revised arborist report which includes an inventory of all trees on-site and within the potential impact zone of the proposed development, including all on-site trees, as well as off-site trees, on the adjacent west slope, Riverdale Park, and Broadview Avenue;
- (9) authorize and direct acceptance of easements in favour of the City, as may be necessary and satisfactory to the Chief Planner, in consultation with the General Manager Transportation Services, to facilitate a publicly accessible walkway over the easterly limit of Block 2 on the draft plan of subdivision as well as a publicly accessible multi-purpose trail over the westerly limit of the site being, Blocks 1, 11 and 12 on the draft plan of subdivision;
- (10) request the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District in consultation with Transportation Services and the TTC, to report back to Toronto and East York Community Council on possible modifications to the proposed intersection of St. Matthew’s Road and Gerrard Street East that could improve transit operations adjacent to the application site;
- (11) request the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District in consultation with appropriate staff, to report back to Toronto East York Community Council on Option 2, the proposed Redevelopment Hospital Plan, should City Council determine it not appropriate to proceed with the approval of the Comprehensive Community Master Plan Option as contemplated in this report;
- (12) grant authority for the City Clerk to sign the final Plan of Subdivision;

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- (13) authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take the necessary action to give effect the recommendations in this report respecting the proposal that includes City of Toronto Lands; and
- (14) authorize and direct staff to report directly to City Council as may be necessary.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following reports/communications:

- Report (January 3, 2006) from the City Solicitor responding to a request that the City Solicitor, in consultation with appropriate City staff, report to Community Council on possible measures to ensure that the future development of proposed Parcel E is consistent with Bridgepoint Health's comprehensive development plan, and recommending that this report be received for information;
- Report (January 16, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, responding to a request from Toronto and East York Community Council for a report on the appropriate locations for two signalized intersections on Broadview Avenue, north of the Bridgepoint lands, south of Danforth Avenue, to allow people to comfortably cross Broadview Avenue, and recommending that this report be received for information;
- Communication (January 1, 2006) from Roland Rom Coltoff;
- Communication (January 2, 2006) from Anne Langdon;
- Communication (December 29, 2005) from Michael Allen;
- Communication (December 9, 2005) from Danny Bellissimo;
- "Bridgepoint Health Don Jail Site Master Plan" Urban Design Guidelines (October 20, 2005) Relates to Recommendation (6) of the report (January 4, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District;
- Communication (January, 2006) from Keui-Hsing Pan;
- Communication (January 9, 2006) from Stephen Yeates, Chair, Cabbagetown Preservation Association;
- Communication (January 9, 2006) from Cynthia Webb, Interim Executive Director, Bridgepoint Health Foundation;
- Communication (January 6, 2006) from Aleksandra Sibalic, Information Management, Bridgepoint Health;

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- Communication (January 6, 2006) from Gary Payne, Coordinator of Spiritual Education and Practice, Bridgepoint Health;
- Communication (January 6, 2006) from Stephanie Brundl, Manager, Central Therapy, Bridgepoint Hospital;
- Communication (January 8, 2006) from Bonnie Kukula;
- Communication (January 6, 2006) from Cheryl Woodman, Patient Wellness Coordinator, Bridgepoint Hospital;
- Communication (January 9, 2006) from Monica Codjoe, Director, Complex Medical Services, Bridgepoint Health;
- Communication (January, 2006) from Gigi Clark;
- Communication (January 10, 2006) from Leah Macpherson;
- Communication (January 11, 2006) from Mendl Malkin, VP, Medical Affairs, Bridgepoint Hospital;
- Communication (January 11, 2006) from Lori Wilson, Director, Program Planning, Bridgepoint Hospital;
- Communication (January, 2006) from Malcolm Coles, Donor Relations and Stewardship Officer, Bridgepoint Health Foundation;
- Communication (January, 2006) from Michelle Davies, Volunteer Coordinator, Bridgepoint Health;
- Communication (January 11, 2006) from Barbara Everett;
- Communication (January, 2006) from Lorne and Carrie Cornelson;
- Communication (January, 2006) from Aurelia Karasiejus, Coordinator, Special Events, Bridgepoint Health Foundation;
- Communication (January 11, 2006) from Pauline Carpenter, Administrative Assistant, Nursing Practice and Education, Bridgepoint Health, forwarding 15 letters from staff members at Bridgepoint Health;
- Communication (January, 2006) from Mohammed Nafees-Khan;

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- Communication (January 11, 2006) from Bill O’Driscoll, Manager, Materials Management, Bridgepoint Hospital;
- Communication (undated) from Lois E. Wallace;
- Communication (January 12, 2006) from Cassandra Mackey, Bridgepoint Health;
- Communication (January 12, 2006) from Melinda Cox, Bridgepoint Health;
- Communication (January 12, 2006) from Carol Ringer, Professional Practice and CNE, Bridgepoint Health;
- Communication (January 12, 2006) from Ene Underwood, Vice President, Strategy and Network Development, Bridgepoint Health;
- Communication (January, 2006) from Nancy Pan;
- Communication (January 11, 2006) from Roland C. Leandrosz;
- Communication (January 4, 2006) from Shelagh Rounthwaite;
- Communication (January 6, 2006) from Bobi Tychynski;
- Communication (January 2, 2006) from Anne Langdon;
- Communication (January 11, 2006) from Mary Bender, Speech-Language Pathologist, Bridgepoint Health;
- Communication (January 12, 2006) from Kaz Flinn;
- Communication (January, 2006) from Mary Fraser;
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from Pat Reed;
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from George Kay;
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from Shar White, Education Specialist, Bridgepoint Health;
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from Ann Kay;
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from Charis Cotter;
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from Sharon Howarth;

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- Communication (January 13, 2006) from Wendy Cameron;
- Communication (January, 2006) from Andrew Aris;
- Communication (January 10, 2006) from Paul Robinson;
- Communication (January, 2006) from Heather Mac Neill, Consultant Psychiatrist, Bridgepoint Hospital;
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from Peter John Stokes, Consulting Restoration Architect;
- Communication (January 12, 2006) from Chris Wilding;
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from Bill Louth, Board Chairperson, Nisbet Lodge;
- Communication (January 12, 2006) from Lynn Atkin-Phillips;
- Communication (January 12, 2006) from John Wilson, Chair, Task Force to Bring Back the Don;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Robert Yeo;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Helen Skwarok and Mary Turnbull;
- Communication (January 12, 2006) from Alexander Caruana;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Mary Turnbull;
- Communication (January 12, 2006) from Julie Culp;
- Communication (January 14, 2006) from Michael Koscec;
- Communication (January 12, 2006) from John Swaigen;
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from Katie Flynn;
- Communication (January 6, 2006) from Mark Svara;
- Communication (January 11, 2006) from Carolyn Atkin Phillips;
- Communication (January 2006) from Zenia Cayetano;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Mary Fraser;

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- Communication (January 12, 2006) from Mike Fernandes;
- Communication (January 6, 2006) from Diane Whidden;
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from Katherine Papadimitriou;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Ron Mason;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Karen Chien;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from John Goldsand, Attending Physician, Bridgepoint Hospital;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Pleasance Crawford and Charles Crawford;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Peter Hart;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Daphne Hart;
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from W. E. Fearn;
- Communication (January, 2006) from Yong Kwon;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Patricia Petrudge;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Carolyn Naftel;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Stav D'Andrea;
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from Dale Cheung, Chinese Chamber of Commerce;
- Communication (January 17, 2006) from Alec Keefer;
- Communication (January 17, 2006) from Steve Russell;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Mark Osbaldeston;
- Communication (undated) from Roel Wyman;
- Communication (January 12, 2006) from Pauline Nadeau;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Reynaldo Lumanglas;

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Laura Blaney, Creative Preschool, located at Bridgepoint Health;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Debbie Bryane;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Rebecca Bell;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Ann Dembinski, President, CUPE Local 79;
- Communication (January 17, 2006) from Penina Coopersmith;
- Communication (January 17, 2006) from Catherine Nasmith, Architectural Conservancy of Ontario; and
- Communication (January, 2006) from Diane Whidden, Vice President, Leadership and Organizational Development, Bridgepoint Health, forwarding a petition signed by 1,162 individuals.

The Toronto and East York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on January 17, 2006 and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*.

The following addressed the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- Ron Fletcher, President, Riverdale Historical Association;
- Julie McGregor;
- Tony Stapells, Toronto Historical Association;
- Elizabeth Abbott;
- Jane Burgess, Stevens Burgess Architects Ltd.;
- Gerald Whyte;
- Mary Kelly, Riverside Area Residents Association;
- Carolyn Naftel;
- Carol Fisher;
- Lois Wallace;
- Ann Dembinski, President, CUPE, Local 79;
- Charlotte Stuart, Reverend, St. John's Presbyterian Church;
- Anna O'Rourke;
- Michael Calvert;
- Ene Underwood;
- George Hawryluk;
- Mary Lou Shapiro;
- Steve Russell, Toronto Architectural Conservancy;
- Dale Cheung, Chinese Chamber of Commerce;
- Hamish Wilson;

- Valerie Mah;
- Nancy Pan;
- Robert Davies;
- Joe Lobko;
- Catherine Nasmith, Architectural Conservancy of Ontario
- Penina Coopersmith;
- Constance Exley;
- David Howes, on behalf of Bridgepoint Health;
- Jonathan Mousley;
- Cynthia MacDougall, McCarthy, Tetrault;
- Marian Walsh, President, CEO, Bridgepoint Health; and
- Frank Lewinberg, Urban Strategies Inc.

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council submitted this matter to City Council without recommendation.

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council also requested that a community consultation meeting be held prior to City Council's meeting and requested appropriate City staff to attend this community meeting.

(Letter sent to: Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; c: Deputy City Manager; City Solicitor; Chief Planner; General Manager, Transportation Services; Toronto Preservation Board; Denise Graham, Senior Planner, East Section – January 23, 2006)

(Report 1, Clause 2)

1.2 Permanent Closing of a Portion of the Unnamed Public Highway Abutting the West Limit of 78 Manor Road East (Ward 22 – St. Paul's)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a draft By-law from the City Solicitor respecting Permanent Closing of a Portion of the Unnamed Public Highway Abutting the West Limit of 78 Manor Road East (Ward 22 – St. Paul's).

The Toronto and East York Committee Council held a public meeting on January 17, 2006 and notice under the *Municipal Act* was given and no-one addressed the Committee.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it Clause 53 of Toronto and East York Community Council Report 6, titled "Sale of Surplus Portion of the Unnamed Highway Abutting the West Limit – 78 Manor Road East (St. Paul's – Ward 22)", which was adopted by City Council without amendment on July 19, 20, 21 and 26, 2005.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council enact the draft By-law and that authority be given for the introduction of the necessary bill in Council.

(Report 1, Clause 3)

1.3 Final Report – Section 37 Agreement - 2195 Yonge Street (Ward 22 - St. Paul's)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a final report (December 22, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Section 37 Agreement - 2195 Yonge Street (Ward 22 - St. Paul's), and recommending that City Council:

- (1) approve, subject to the conditions set out in Recommendation 2 below, the provision by Minto Midtown Development of a private rent supplement program to provide improved affordability for seniors under the terms of sections 2.2 and 2.3 of the Section 37 Agreement for the subject property, and in accordance with the requirements in Attachment 1 of this report;
- (2) require that this approval be conditional on the execution by Minto Midtown Development of an agreement for the administration of the program based on the provisions in Attachment 1 no later than February 15, 2006, in form and substance to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration;
- (3) further provide by approval of this recommendation that if the conditions in (2) are not met by February 15, 2006, the City authorize the City Solicitor, in consultation with General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration, to exercise the City's option under the terms of the Section 37 Agreement to advise the Owner that it rejects the rent supplement proposal and requires the Owner to pay to the City forthwith the full sum of \$1,000,000;
- (4) require that if the City rejects the Owner's proposal as set out in Recommendation 3, the Affordable Housing Office, in consultation with the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration, report to City Council with recommendations for approval for spending the \$1,000,000 on affordable rental housing for seniors in the community.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 22, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District subject to adding an additional Recommendation (3) and renumbering the existing Recommendations (3) and (4) accordingly, so as to read:

“It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) approve, subject to the conditions set out in Recommendation (2) below, the provision by Minto Midtown Development of a private rent supplement program to provide improved affordability for seniors under the terms of sections 2.2 and 2.3 of the Section 37 Agreement for the subject property, and in accordance with the requirements in Attachment 1 of this report;
- (2) require that this approval be conditional on the execution by Minto Midtown Development of an agreement for the administration of the program based on the provisions in Attachment 1 no later than February 15, 2006, in form and substance to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration;
- (3) direct that the rent supplement program agreement to be entered into between the City and the owner also provide that the owner may propose to accelerate the availability of some of the rental units sooner than December 1, 2006 in other rental buildings in the vicinity of 263 Russell Hill Road, subject to the satisfaction and agreement of the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration;
- (4) further provide by approval of this recommendation that if the conditions in Recommendation (2) are not met by February 15, 2006, the City authorize the City Solicitor, in consultation with General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration, to exercise the City’s option under the terms of the Section 37 Agreement to advise the Owner that it rejects the rent supplement proposal and requires the Owner to pay to the City forthwith the full sum of \$1,000,000; and
- (5) require that if the City rejects the Owner’s proposal as set out in Recommendation (3), the Affordable Housing Office, in consultation with the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration, report to City Council with recommendations for approval for spending the \$1,000,000 on affordable rental housing for seniors in the community.

(Report 1, Clause 4)

1.4 Final Report – Application to Amend the Zoning By-law – 108-116 George Street and 234 Adelaide Street East (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a final report (December 22, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Application to Amend the Zoning By-law – 108-116 George Street and 234

Adelaide Street East (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the Zoning By-law 438-86 for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 6;
- (2) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required;
- (3) before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, require the owner to execute one or more agreements under Section 37 of the *Planning Act* satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, and the City Solicitor, such agreements to be registered against the title of the lands for the development to secure the following facilities, services and matters:
 - (a) contributions in the amount of \$400,000, as directed by the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, for the following local community improvement initiatives:
 - (i) \$150,000 towards the design and implementation of streetscape improvements to George Street between King Street East and Adelaide Street East;
 - (ii) \$150,000 towards the heritage interpretation in the King-Parliament area, including:
 - (i) \$75,000 towards the design and implementation of a heritage interpretation feature at the Adelaide Street East and George Street corner, to the satisfaction of the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning; and
 - (ii) \$75,000 towards the Heritage Interpretation Fund for the King-Parliament Area;
 - (iii) \$100,000 towards community improvements including:
 - (i) safety improvements in St. James Park as detailed in the Community Safety Audit;
 - (ii) improvements to the lighting in St. James Park; and
 - (iii) improvements to the lighting on Frederick Street.

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- (b) to make a public art contribution to the City of a value not less than one percent of the gross construction cost of the development, indexed annually in compliance with the City's public art program, and provided the public art plan shall be approved by the City's Public Art Committee prior to the issuance of the first building permit and the implementation of the plan shall be completed prior to the occupancy of any dwelling unit on the lot;
 - (c) to undertake improvement to the street right-of-way abutting the lot, including streetscaping and tree installation, to the satisfaction of the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation Division, and the Executive Director, Technical Services Division;
 - (d) to provide an irrigation system for all street trees in the public right-of-way abutting the lot which irrigation system has an automatic timer, is designed to be water efficient by a Certified Landscape Irrigation Auditor (CLIA) and is constructed with a back flow preventer, all to the satisfaction of the Executive Director, Technical Services Division, including requirements to maintain the entire system in continuing good order and operation;
 - (e) to provide the City with documentation as to LEED certification of the development and the marketing materials that will include information on LEED certification; and
 - (f) to permit public access to the interior walkway from 7:00 am to 11:00 pm daily and such right of access to be secured by way of agreement to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor; and
 - (g) to submit, prior to site plan approval, to the Executive Director, Technical Services Division, a Letter of Credit in the amount of \$40,000 to ensure the installation of four light standards on the west side of George Street as per the Municipal Lighting Assessment prepared by RTG Systems Corporation, dated October 20, 2005; and
- (4) authorize the City Officials to take all necessary steps, including the execution of agreements and documents, to give effect to the above noted recommendations.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (January 3, 2006) from Stephanie Hickmott; and

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- Communication (January 17, 2006) from Sheldon Godfrey, Sagur Investments Limited.

The Toronto and East York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on January 17, 2006 and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*.

The following addressed the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- Dennis Glasgow;
- Cameron Miller, President, SNLA;
- Steve Diamond, McCarthy, Tetrault; and
- David Pontarini, Hariri Pontarini Architects.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 22, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; and
- (2) direct that all off-site contributions are to be paid upon the Zoning By-law coming into full force and effect.

(Report 1, Clause 5)

1.5 Refusal and Directions Report – Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application – 4, 6 and 8 St. Thomas Street and 100-110 Charles Street West (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

AND

Intention to Designate under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act* - 6 and 8 St. Thomas Street (University Apartments) (Ward 27 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a refusal and directions report (December 30, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application – 4, 6 and 8 St. Thomas Street and 100-110 Charles Street West (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that City Council:

- (1) refuse the application to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law 438-86, as amended, of the former City of Toronto, in its current form;

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- (2) request the applicant to revise the application to address the issues identified in this report, in accordance with the recommendations of City Planning staff as set forth in the body of this report; and
- (3) authorize the City Solicitor and other appropriate City staff to oppose the appeal of the application before the Ontario Municipal Board in the event the application is not amended to address the issues as identified in this report and to take such other steps as may be required to implement this recommendation.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following report/communications:

- Report (December 2, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, respecting Intention to Designate under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act* - 6 and 8 St. Thomas Street (University Apartments) (Ward 27 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:
 - (1) City Council state its intention to designate the properties at 6 and 8 St. Thomas Street (University Apartments) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
 - (2) if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
 - (3) if there are any objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board; and
 - (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from the Toronto Preservation Board, recommending to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 2, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, subject to the revisions in Attachment 3 revised, headed "Reasons for Designation - 6 and 8 St. Thomas Street, University Apartments.";
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Bob Saunderson, Bloor-Yorkville Business Improvement Area;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Susan Stock;

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Marcia Cuthbert;
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from Martin and Myrna Ossip;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from William Ostrander;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Gee Chung, President, The Greater Yorkville Residents' Association;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Warren Seyffert, Lang Michener, LLP;
- Communication (January 18, 2006) from Burton Tait; and
- Communication (January 19, 2006) from James W. Harbell, Stikeman Elliott, LLP.

The following addressed the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- James W. Harbell, Stikeman, Elliott, LLP, on behalf of St. Thomas Developments Inc.;
- Drummond Hassan, Young + Wright Architects;
- Lindsey Haig, obo Warren Seyffert, Lang, Mitchner, LLP;
- Robert Saunderson, Bloor Yorkville BIA;
- William Greer, G.Y.R.A.;
- Martin Ossip;
- Judy Osten;
- Sandy Lyons;
- Rikki Meggeson;
- Stanley Makuch or Signe Leisk, Cassels Brock and Blackwell LLP;
- Azim Fancy;
- Joe Mirza;
- Susan Stock;
- Steve Diamond, McCarthy Tetrault; and
- David Pontarini.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council on January 31, 2006:

- (1) adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 30, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District:

“That City Council:

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- (1) refuse the application to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law 438-86, as amended, of the former City of Toronto, in its current form;
 - (2) request the applicant to revise the application to address the issues identified in this report, in accordance with the recommendations of City Planning staff as set forth in the body of this report; and
 - (3) authorize the City Solicitor and other appropriate City staff to oppose the appeal of the application before the Ontario Municipal Board in the event the application is not amended to address the issues as identified in this report and to take such other steps as may be required to implement this recommendation.”; and
- (2) adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 2, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division subject to replacing Attachment 3 titled “Reasons for Designation – 6 and 8 St. Thomas Street, University Apartments” attached to the staff report, with the revised Attachment 3 attached to the communication (January 13, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division:
- “(1) City Council state its intention to designate the properties at 6 and 8 St. Thomas Street (University Apartments) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
 - (2) if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the Solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
 - (3) if there are any objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board; and
 - (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council also requested the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, to report directly to Council on January 31, 2006, on the possible designation of 110 Charles Street West under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

(Report 1 Clause 6)

1.6 Residential Demolition Application – 11 Kenwood Avenue (Ward 21 – St. Paul’s)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 22, 2005) from the Deputy Chief Building Official and Director of Building, South District, respecting Residential Demolition Application – 11 Kenwood Avenue (Ward 21 – St. Paul’s), and recommending that City Council either:

- (1) that the application to demolish the subject residential building be refused by City Council, OR
- (2) that the application to demolish the subject residential building be granted by City Council, provided:
 - (a) that a replacement building is erected on the site not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing building is commenced, and
 - (b) that, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector’s roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, to be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued, OR
- (3) that the application to demolish the subject residential building be granted without conditions.

On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council grant the application to demolish the residential building at 11 Kenwood Avenue without conditions.

(Report 1, Clause 7)

1.7 Approval of the Conservation of Parts of a Heritage Building and Authority to Enter into a Heritage Easement Agreement - 2 Strachan Avenue - Hockey and Sports Halls of Fame (Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 4, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, respecting Approval of the Conservation of Parts of a Heritage Building and Authority to Enter into a Heritage Easement Agreement - 2 Strachan Avenue - Hockey and Sports Halls of Fame (Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- (1) the conservation of parts of the heritage building known as the Hockey and Sports Halls of Fame at 2 Strachan Avenue be approved subject to the Board of Governors of Exhibition Place (Exhibition Place):
 - (a) documenting the heritage building to the satisfaction of the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services;
 - (b) entering into and registering a Heritage Easement Agreement with the City to provide permanent protection for the conserved portions of the heritage building;
 - (c) agreeing that no demolition permit will be issued for the heritage building until the above conditions have been fulfilled;
 - (d) ensuring that a qualified heritage conservation architect is retained as part of the Soccer Stadium Project to advise on the conservation of the various parts of the heritage building;
 - (e) prior to the issuance of the full building permit, providing a Conservation Plan with detailed plans and specifications for the heritage elements to be retained to the satisfaction of the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services;
 - (f) making appropriate arrangements for the conservation of the hockey mural to the satisfaction of the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services;
- (2) authority be granted by City Council for the execution of a Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with Exhibition Place, using substantially the form of easement agreement prepared in February 1987 by the City Solicitor and on File with the City Clerk, subject to such amendments as may be deemed necessary by the City Solicitor in consultation with the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it communication (January 13, 2006) from the Toronto Preservation Board, recommending:

- (1) to the Toronto and East York Community Council and Council, that:
 - (a) the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 4, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, be adopted subject to amending Recommendation (1) by adding the following new part (g):

- “(g) make every effort to ensure that some concrete panels from either the east or west walls are conserved or replicated and installed on the west elevation of the new structure;”;
 - (b) Heritage Preservation Services and the Toronto Preservation Board be consulted on all development projects for properties that are listed on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
 - (c) Heritage Preservation Services and the Toronto Preservation Board review proposed changes in circumstances for all buildings owned by the City or its Agencies, in order that Council may be advised on the heritage implications of making such changes; and
- (2) expressed to the Toronto and East York Community Council and Council its dismay that the process by which approval was given for the demolition of the Hockey and Sports Hall of Fame and the construction of a new Soccer Stadium at Exhibition Place, did not include consultation with Heritage Preservation Services and the Toronto Preservation Board.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 4, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division subject to amending Recommendation (1) by adding the following additional part (g):
 - “(g) make every effort to ensure that some concrete panels from either the east or west walls are conserved or replicated and installed on the west elevation of the new structure;”;
- (2) direct that Heritage Preservation Services, City Planning Division and the Toronto Preservation Board be consulted on all development projects for properties listed on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (3) direct that Heritage Preservation Services, City Planning Division, and the Toronto Preservation Board review proposed changes in circumstances for all buildings owned by the City or its Agencies, in order that Council may be advised of the heritage implications of making such changes.

(Report 1, Clause 8)

1.8 Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 1 Jefferson Avenue and 1A Atlantic Avenue (Ward 14 – Parkdale-High Park and Ward 19 – Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 16, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 1 Jefferson Avenue and 1A Atlantic Avenue (Ward 14 – Parkdale-High Park and Ward 19 – Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that the requests for variances be refused to permit five third-party advertising signs on the properties known as 1 Jefferson Avenue and 1A Atlantic Avenue.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (January 12, 2006) from Allan Barkin;
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from Paul Seaman, President, Seaman and Associates;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Steve Mercer;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Liz Hayward;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Ashlee Cooper;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Albert Kwan;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Emily Tu;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Cynthia Gould; and
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Stewart Russell.

The following addressed the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- Bruce Maschmeyer, CEO, Moneta Media and Marketing;
- Ron Nurwisah; and
- Ron Fletcher.

On motion by Councillor Watson, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the

Recommendations Section of the report (December 16, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 9)

1.9 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Residential Boulevard Parking for a Second Vehicle at 871 Richmond Street West (Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 7, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Residential Boulevard Parking for a Second Vehicle at 871 Richmond Street West (Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the request for residential boulevard parking for a second vehicle at 871 Richmond Street West;

OR

- (2) City Council approve the request for residential boulevard parking for a second vehicle at 871 Richmond Street West, as shown on Appendix 'A', subject to:
 - (a) the parking area for the second space not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.5 m in dimension;
 - (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, such as ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the General Manager of Transportation Services;
 - (c) the applicant disconnecting the existing downspout in accordance with the requirements of the Downspout Disconnection Program, at the owner's expense; and
 - (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Derek McLeod, applicant; and

- Communication (January 18, 2006) from Derek McLeod, applicant.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council approve the request for residential boulevard parking for a second vehicle at 871 Richmond Street West, as shown on Appendix 'A' of the report (December 7, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, subject to:

- (1) the parking area for the second space not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.5 m in dimension;
- (2) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, such as ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the General Manager of Transportation Services;
- (3) the applicant disconnecting the existing downspout in accordance with the requirements of the Downspout Disconnection Program, at the owner's expense;
- (4) the applicant planting a full shade canopy Silver Maple tree on the north east corner of the property at the applicant's expense and to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation; and
- (5) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks.

(Report 1, Clause 10)

1.10 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking for Two Vehicles at 88 Farnham Avenue (Ward 22 - St. Paul's)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (August 29, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking for Two Vehicles at 88 Farnham Avenue (Ward 22 - St. Paul's), and recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking for two vehicles at 88 Farnham Avenue;

OR

- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking for two vehicles at 88 Farnham Avenue, as shown on Appendix 'A', subject to:

- (a) the parking areas not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.9 m in dimension;
- (b) the applicant disconnect the downspout located at the front of the property in accordance with the requirements of the Downspout Disconnection Program; at the owner's expense; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for front yard parking for two vehicles at 88 Farnham Avenue, as shown on Appendix 'A' of the report (August 29, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, subject to:

- (a) the parking areas not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.9 m in dimension;
- (b) the applicant disconnecting the downspout located at the front of the property in accordance with the requirements of the Downspout Disconnection Program, at the owner's expense;
- (c) the applicant planting, at the applicant's expense, a full canopy shade tree in an area of the property currently paved and to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation; and
- (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking.

(Report 1, Clause 11)

1.11 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking for a Second Vehicle at 202 Wineva Avenue (Ward 32 - Beaches-East York)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 15, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking for a Second Vehicle at 202 Wineva Avenue (Ward 32 - Beaches-East York), and recommending that:

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking for a second vehicle at 202 Wineva Avenue;

OR

- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking for a second vehicle at 202 Wineva Avenue, as shown on Appendix 'A', subject to:
- (a) the parking area for each vehicle not exceeding 2.1 m by 5.9 m in dimension; and
 - (b) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (January 11, 2006) from Bufemia Calce, applicant, withdrawing her request for front yard parking at 202 Wineva Avenue;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from William Allan; and
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Robert Apap.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto and East York Community Council received the report (December 15, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, in view of the withdrawal of the application by the applicant.

(Letter sent to: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District; c.: Kyp Perikleous, Right of Way Management; Interested Persons – January 23, 2006)

(Report 1, Clause 61(a))

1.12 Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties - 68 Fraser Avenue (S. F. Bowser and Company Factory) (Ward 14 - Parkdale-High Park)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (August 9, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, respecting Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties - 68 Fraser Avenue (S. F. Bowser and Company Factory) (Ward 14 - Parkdale-High Park), and recommending that:

- (1) City Council include the property at 68 Fraser Avenue (S. F. Bowser and Company Factory) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a communication (December 2, 2005) from the Toronto Preservation Board, recommending to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (August 9, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

On motion by Councillor Watson, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (August 9, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

(Report 1, Clause 12)

1.13 Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties - 80 Lynn Williams Street (Liberty Storage Warehouse) (Ward 19 – Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 1, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, respecting Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties - 80 Lynn Williams Street (Liberty Storage Warehouse) (Ward 19 – Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) City Council include the property at 80 Lynn Williams Street (Liberty Storage Warehouse) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (2) and the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (December 2, 2005) from the Toronto Preservation Board, advising that it referred the report back to the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, for further consultation with the owner and requested that an amended report be submitted to the January 12, 2006 meeting of the Board; and
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from the Toronto Preservation Board, recommending to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City

Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 1, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, subject to the revision in Attachment 1 revised, headed "Location Map – 80 Lynn Williams Street".

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 1, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division subject to replacing Attachment 1 "Location Map – 80 Lynn Williams Street" attached to the staff report, with the Revised Attachment attached to the communication (January 13, 2006) from the Toronto Preservation Board.

(Report 1, Clause 13)

1.14 Inclusion of Seven Properties on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – College Street Study (Bathurst Street to Ossington Avenue) (Ward 19 – Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (November 18, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, respecting Inclusion of Seven Properties on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – College Street Study (Bathurst Street to Ossington Avenue) (Ward 19 – Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) City Council include the following seven properties on College Street between Bathurst Street and Ossington Avenue on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties:
 - (i) 462 College Street (Empire Building)
 - (ii) 489 College Street (Pedlar People Building)
 - (iii) 613 College Street (Marks and Cohen Building)
 - (iv) 621 College Street (Marks and Cohen Building)
 - (v) 623 College Street (Marks and Cohen Building)
 - (vi) 822 College Street (C. R. Harris Building)
 - (vii) 826 College Street (C. R. Harris Building); and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (December 2, 2005) from the Toronto Preservation Board, recommending to the Toronto and East York Community Council that:

- (1) City Council include the following six properties on College Street between Bathurst Street and Ossington Avenue on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties:
 - (ii) 489 College Street (Pedlar People Building);
 - (iii) 613 College Street (Marks and Cohen Building);
 - (iv) 621 College Street (Marks and Cohen Building);
 - (v) 623 College Street (Marks and Cohen Building);
 - (vi) 822 College Street (C. R. Harris Building); and
 - (vii) 826 College Street (C. R. Harris Building); and

- (2) the following property be referred back to the Director, Planning and Research, City Planning Division for report to the January 12, 2006 meeting of the Toronto Preservation Board:
 - (i) 462 College Street (Empire Building); and

- Communication (January 13, 2006) from the Toronto Preservation Board, recommending to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 2, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- (1) recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (November 18, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division; and

- (2) postponed consideration of including 464 College Street on the Inventory of Heritage Properties, as recommended by the Toronto Preservation Board, to its February 7, 2006 meeting in order to provide notice of this meeting to the property owner.

(Letter sent to: Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division; c: Denise Gendron, Manager, Heritage Preservation Services; Kung Har Inc., 301 Spadina Avenue, 3rd Floor, Toronto M5T 2E6 – January 23, 2006)

(Report 1, Clause 14)

1.15 Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties - 137 and 141 McCaul Street (St. Patrick's Roman Catholic Church and Redemptorists Residence) (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 1, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, respecting Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties - 137 and 141 McCaul Street (St. Patrick's Roman Catholic Church and Redemptorists Residence) (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) Council include the properties at 137 and 141 McCaul Street (St. Patrick's Roman Catholic Church and Redemptorists Residence) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 13, 2006) from the Toronto Preservation Board, recommending to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 1, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, subject to replacing the Reasons for Listing with a revised Attachment 3, headed "Reasons for Listing: 137 McCaul Street (St. Patrick's Roman Catholic Church) and Reasons for Listing: 141 McCaul Street (Redemptorists Residence)".

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 1, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division subject to replacing Attachment 3, titled "Reasons for Listing: 137 McCaul Street (St. Patrick's Roman Catholic Church) and Reasons for Listing: 141 McCaul Street (Redemptorists Residence)" attached to the staff report, with the Revised Attachment 3 attached to the communication (January 13, 2006) from the Toronto Preservation Board.

(Report 1, Clause 15)

1.16 Inclusion of Six Properties on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – Harbord Village Area Study (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 1, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, respecting Inclusion of Six Properties on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – Harbord Village Area Study (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) City Council include the following six properties identified in the Harbord Village Area Study on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties:

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- (i) 322 College Street (Thomas Douglas Building)
 - (ii) 326 College Street (Thomas Douglas Building)
 - (iii) 410 College Street (College Street Baptist Church, 1872)
 - (iv) 630 Spadina Avenue (Knox Presbyterian Church)
 - (v) 644 Spadina Avenue (Harry Armstrong House)
 - (vi) 646 Spadina Avenue (Dr. Gilbert Gordon House); and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 13, 2006) from the Toronto Preservation Board, recommending to the Toronto and East York Community Council that:

- (1) City Council include the following three properties identified in the Harbord Village Area Study on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties:
- (i) 322 College Street (Thomas Douglas Building);
 - (ii) 326 College Street (Thomas Douglas Building);
 - (iii) 410 College Street (College Street Baptist Church, 1872); and
- (2) the following three properties be referred back to the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division for a further report to the Board:
- (iv) 630 Spadina Avenue (Knox Presbyterian Church);
 - (v) 644 Spadina Avenue (Harry Armstrong House); and
 - (vi) 646 Spadina Avenue (Dr. Gilbert Gordon House).

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- (1) recommended that City Council include the following three properties identified in the Harbord Village Area Study on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties:
- (i) 322 College Street (Thomas Douglas Building);
 - (ii) 326 College Street (Thomas Douglas Building); and
 - (iii) 410 College Street (College Street Baptist Church, 1872); and
- (2) referred consideration of including the following three properties on the Inventory of Heritage Properties back to the Director, Policy and Research and requested that a further report be submitted to the Toronto Preservation Board and the Toronto and East York Community Council:
- (iv) 630 Spadina Avenue (Knox Presbyterian Church);

- (v) 644 Spadina Avenue (Harry Armstrong House); and
- (vi) 646 Spadina Avenue (Dr. Gilbert Gordon House).

(Letter sent to: Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division; c: Denise Gendron, Manager, Heritage Preservation Services; Interested Persons – January 23, 2006)

(Report 1, Clause 16)

1.17 Filling Vacancy in the Office of Councillor (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a communication (December 20, 2005) from the City Clerk forwarding a motion adopted, as amended, by City Council at its meeting on December 20, 2005, respecting Options for Filling the Vacancy of Councillor (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina).

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Confidential binder containing resumes of applicants to fill the Vacancy of Councillor (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina).
- Voting Procedures;
- Letters of support for Warren Kennedy, applicant, submitted by the applicant;
- Paper copy of resume (January 17, 2006) from Robert Brown, applicant;
- Submission (undated) from Caryl Manning, applicant;
- Submission (undated) from Dan King, applicant;
- Paper copy of resume (undated) from Rosie Schwartz, applicant; and
- Toronto Star Column (August 9, 2005) from Ingrid Sapona, applicant.

It was moved by Councillor Pantalone that the following persons who have signified in writing that they are legally qualified to hold the office of Councillor and consented to accept the office if they are appointed to fill the vacancy of Councillor, Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina, shall be considered for appointment to fill such vacancy.

The Toronto and East York Community Council, on January 17, 2006, heard presentations from the following, who signified in writing that they are legally qualified

to hold the office of Councillor and have consented to accept the office if they are appointed to fill the vacancy in the Office of Councillor for Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina:

Dan King
Christine Pierroz
Alexander Pavlov
Caryl Manning
Pat Cegin
Ingrid Sapona
Bradley Rogers
Douglas Stephens
Martin Silva
Max Moore
Kaela Mulenga
Michael Clustie
Luis Silva
Rosie Schwartz
Roland Ollivier
*Andrew Wong
Robert Brown
Warren Kennedy
Kevin Clarke
Robert Wright
Hamish Wilson
Eppie Cheung
Alice Ormiston
Anita Agrawal
Abdul Hasnat Syed
David Hanna

During the course of his verbal presentation, Andrew Wong withdrew his application for the position of Councillor for Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina.

The Community Council proceeded by way of written ballot. The result of the ballot was as follows:

For Candidate Dan King
For Candidate Christine Pierroz
For Candidate Alexander Pavlov
For Candidate Caryl Manning
For Candidate Pat Cegin
For Candidate Ingrid Sapona
For Candidate Bradley Rogers
For Candidate Douglas Stephens
For Candidate Martin Silva

For Candidate Max Moore
For Candidate Kaela Mulenga
For Candidate Michael Clustie
For Candidate Luis Silva
For Candidate Rosie Schwartz
For Candidate Roland Ollivier
*Andrew Wong
For Candidate Robert Brown
For Candidate Warren Kennedy
For Candidate Kevin Clarke
For Candidate Robert Wright
For Candidate Hamish Wilson
For Candidate Eppie Cheung
For Candidate Alice Ormiston
For Candidate Anita Agrawal
For Candidate Abdul Hasnat Syed
For Candidate David Hanna

The City Clerk declared that Candidate Martin Silva, as he received the votes of more than one half of the Members of the Community Council present and voting, to be the candidate selected by the Community Council for Council's consideration to fill the vacancy in the Office of Ward Councillor for Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council submitted the name of Martin Silva for Council's consideration to fill the vacancy in the Office of Councillor for Ward 20 - (Trinity-Spadina).

(Letter sent to: City Council – January 23, 2006)

**(City Council Minute S2.6
Special City Council Meeting of January 31, 2006)**

1.18 Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 1238 Bloor Street West (Ward 18 – Davenport)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (November 21, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 1238 Bloor Street West (Ward 18 – Davenport), and recommending that the requested variance to permit an illuminated roof sign for third party advertising purposes, on top of the building at 1238 Bloor Street West, be refused.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Matthew Cowley;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Steve Mercer;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Liz Hayward;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Ashlee Cooper;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Albert Kwan;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Emily Tu;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Cynthia Gould; and
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Stewart Russell.

Steve Wolowich, applicant, appeared before the Toronto and East York Community Council.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of this matter indefinitely.

(Letter sent to: Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; c: Norm Girdhar, Assistant Planner, East Section; Steve Wolowich, Viacom Outdoor, 377 Horner Avenue, Toronto M8W 1Z6 – January 23, 2006)

(Report 1, Clause 61(b))

1.19 Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 1100 Bathurst Street (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 20, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 1100 Bathurst Street (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that the requested variance to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated double-sided roof sign on top of the building at 1100 Bathurst Street be refused.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Matthew Cowley;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Rami Tabetto;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Steve Mercer;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Liz Hayward;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Ashlee Cooper;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Albert Kwan;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Emily Tu;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Cynthia Gould; and
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Stewart Russell.

On motion by Councillor Davis, with Councillor Fletcher in the Chair, the Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of this matter to its next meeting on February 7, 2006.

(Letter sent to: Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; c: Norm Girdhar, Assistant Planner, East Section; Steve Wolowich, Viacom Outdoor, 377 Horner Avenue, Toronto M8W 1Z6 – January 23, 2006)

(Report 1, Clause 61(c))

1.20 Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 25 Bishop Tutu Boulevard (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 7, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 25 Bishop Tutu Boulevard (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that the request for variances be refused to permit a third-party fascia sign on the property known as 25 Bishop Tutu Boulevard.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- Communication (January 13, 2006) from Paul Seaman, President, Seaman and Associates;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Matthew Cowley;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Alison Gorbould;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Rami Tabetto;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Steve Mercer;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Liz Hayward;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Ashlee Cooper;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Albert Kwan;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Emily Tu;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Cynthia Gould; and
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Stewart Russell.

On motion by Councillor Davis, with Councillor Fletcher in the Chair, the Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of this matter to its next meeting on February 7, 2006.

(Letters sent to: Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; c: Heather Inglis Baron, Planner, West Section; Paul Seaman, Seaman and Associates, 260 – 2501 Queens Quay West, Toronto M5S 2N3 – January 23, 2006)

(Report 1, Clause 61(d))

1.21 Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 840 Eglinton Avenue West (Ward 21 - St. Paul's)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (November 28, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 840 Eglinton Avenue West (Ward 21 - St. Paul's), and recommending that the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising

purposes, an illuminated fascia sign on the west elevation of a residential apartment building at 840 Eglinton Avenue West be refused.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Matthew Cowley;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Rami Tabello;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Steve Mercer
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Liz Hayward;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Ashlee Cooper;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Albert Kwan;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Emily Tu;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Cynthia Gould; and
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Stewart Russell.

On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated fascia sign on the west elevation of a residential apartment building at 840 Eglinton Avenue West.

(Report 1, Clause 17)

1.22 Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 335 Yonge Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 20, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 335 Yonge Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that the requested variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, two illuminated fascia signs on the north and west elevations of the building at 335 Yonge Street be refused.

- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Alison Gorbould, Toronto Public Space Committee;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Matthew Cowley;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Rami Tabello;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Steve Mercer;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Liz Hayward;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Ashlee Cooper;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Albert Kwan;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Emily Tu;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Cynthia Gould; and
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Stewart Russell.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of the report (December 20, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, until such time that the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, in consultation with the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services and the Ward Councillor, have met with the applicant to review the application.

(Report 1, Clause 61(e))

1.23 Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 415 Yonge Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 12, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 415 Yonge Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 415 Yonge Street be refused.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Matthew Cowley;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Rami Tabello;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Steve Mercer;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Liz Hayward;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Ashlee Cooper;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Albert Kwan;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Emily Tu;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Cynthia Gould; and
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Stewart Russell.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 415 Yonge Street.

(Report 1, Clause 18)

1.24 Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 423 Yonge Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 20, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 423 Yonge Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated fascia sign on the north elevation of the building at 423 Yonge Street be refused.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Matthew Cowley;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Rami Tabello;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Steve Mercer;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Liz Hayward;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Ashlee Cooper;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Albert Kwan;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Emily Tu;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Cynthia Gould; and
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Stewart Russell.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated fascia sign on the north elevation of the building at 423 Yonge Street.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council also requested the Acting Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards, to report to the next meeting of the Toronto and East York Community Council on February 7, 2006 on concerns expressed by the Committee relating to the proliferation of illegal signs, and provide an action plan providing recommendations on enforcement and identify any issues that may exist which may impede vigorous enforcement of illegal signs.

(Letter sent to: Acting Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards; c: Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; Norm Girdhar, Assistant Planner, East Section – January 23, 2006)

(Report 1, Clause 19)

1.25 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking for Two Vehicles at 36 Tarlton Road (Ward 22 - St. Paul's)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 20, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking for Two Vehicles at 36 Tarlton Road (Ward 22 - St. Paul's), and recommending that

- (1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking for two vehicles at 36 Tarlton Road;

OR

- (2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking for two vehicles at 36 Tarlton Road, as shown on Appendix 'A', subject to:
 - (a) the parking areas not exceeding 2.6 m by 4.7 m in dimension;
 - (b) the applicant providing the landscape features substantially in accordance with the plan as shown on Appendix 'C', to the satisfaction of the General Manager of Transportation Services;
 - (c) the applicant disconnecting the downspout located at the front of the property in accordance with the requirements of the Downspout Disconnection Program; and
 - (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking.

The following addressed the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- Rowennie Cheng; and
- Andrea Cheng.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) approve the application for front yard parking for two vehicles at 36 Tarlton Road, as shown on Appendix 'A' of the report (December 20, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, subject to:
 - (a) the parking areas not exceeding 2.6 m by 4.7 m in dimension;

- (b) the applicant providing the landscape features substantially in accordance with the plan as shown on Appendix 'C', to the satisfaction of the General Manager of Transportation Services;
 - (c) the applicant disconnecting the downspout located at the front of the property in accordance with the requirements of the Downspout Disconnection Program; and
 - (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking; and
- (2) require the applicant to use semi-permeable construction materials if, and when, the driveway is ever replaced.

(Report 1, Clause 20)

1.26 Request to Prohibit Driveway Widening and Appeals, and to Grandparent all Existing Licensed Locations within Ward 30 (Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 9, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request to Prohibit Driveway Widening and Appeals, and to Grandparent all Existing Licensed Locations within Ward 30 (Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth), and recommending that:

- (1) driveway widening be prohibited with no right of appeal within Ward 30;
- (2) all currently licensed locations within Ward 30 be grandparented; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of all necessary Bills.

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of the report (December 9, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District for consideration at the same meeting that the staff report on residential front yard parking is submitted.

(Report 1, Clause 61(f))

1.27 Request for Exemption to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 – Fences – 132 Inglewood Drive (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (November 4, 2005) from the Acting Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for Exemption to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 – Fences – 132 Inglewood Drive (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that the exemption for the existing solid wood fence at the subject property not be granted as the fence does not comply with the standards prescribed in the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 18, 2006) from Diane Horton, applicant.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of this matter to its next meeting on February 7, 2006.

(Letter sent to: Acting Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, Toronto and East York District; c: Warwick R. Perrin, Acting Coordinator, Toronto and East York District, Municipal Licensing and Standards; Interested Persons – January 23, 2006)

(Report 1, Clause 61(g))

1.28 Installation of a Decorative Wrought Iron Fence and Gates and Maintenance of a Glycol Heating System - 92 Dunvegan Road (Ward 22 – St. Paul's)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 20, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Installation of a Decorative Wrought Iron Fence and Gates and Maintenance of a Glycol Heating System - 92 Dunvegan Road (Ward 22 – St. Paul's), and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve the installation of a 1.37 m high decorative wrought iron fence together with motorized gates with 1.32 m footings and the maintenance of a glycol heating system within the public right of way fronting 92 Dunvegan Road, subject to the property owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demand and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted and providing an insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the Agreement in a form as approved by the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and in an amount not less than \$2,000,000.00 or such greater amount as the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer & Treasurer may require;

- (b) maintain the wrought iron fence, gates and glycol heating system at his/her own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
 - (c) upon completion of the installation of the motorized gates the owner must provide a copy of the approved inspection report by the Electrical Safety Authority to the General Manager of Transportation Services;
 - (d) obtain approval for associated work on private property from Urban Development Services;
 - (e) provide "as built" drawings upon completion of all installations within 60 days of doing so;
 - (f) remove the wrought iron fence, gates and glycol heating system upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so;
 - (g) pay for the costs of preparing the Agreement and the registration of the Agreement on title; and
 - (h) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the Corporation;
- (2) in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services be authorised to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, subject to approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services; and
- (3) Legal Services be requested to prepare and execute the Encroachment Agreement.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 20, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 21)

1.29 Maintenance of a Wooden Fence - 209 Glen Road (Ward 27 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 19, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Maintenance of a Wooden Fence - 209 Glen Road (Ward 27 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve the maintenance of the 1.0 m high wooden fence within the public right of way fronting 209 Glen Road, subject to the property owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
 - (b) maintain the wooden fence at her own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
 - (c) remove the wooden fence upon receiving 90 days written notice so to do; and
 - (d) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
- (2) in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation be authorized to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

The following addressed the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- Hilary Farr; and
- Geoff A Shaw, North Rosedale Ratepayers Association.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 19, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 22)

1.30 Preliminary Report – Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application – 4 A Spadina Avenue and 422, 450 and 476 Fort York Boulevard (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (December 16, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application – 4 A Spadina Avenue and 422, 450 and 476 Fort York Boulevard (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that Toronto and East York Community Council receive this report for information.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council approved the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 16, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

(Letter sent to: Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; c: Sarah Phipps, Planner, Toronto and East York District, West Section; Interested Persons – January 23, 2006)

(Report 1, Clause 61(h))

1.31 Preliminary Report - Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application - 359-377 Roehampton Avenue (Ward 22 - St. Paul's)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (December 13, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application - 359-377 Roehampton Avenue (Ward 22 - St. Paul's), and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the *Planning Act* be given according to the regulations under the *Planning Act*.

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it Revised Pages 2 and 5 of Report (December 13, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council approved the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 13, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

(Letter sent to: Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; c: Interested Persons – January 23, 2006)

(Report 1, Clause 61(i))

1.32 Preliminary Report - Rezoning Application - 736 and 738 Kingston Road (Ward 32 - Beaches-East York)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (December 21, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Rezoning Application - 736 and 738 Kingston Road (Ward 32 - Beaches-East York), and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the *Planning Act* be given according to the regulations under the *Planning Act*.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council approved the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 21, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

(Letter sent to: Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; c: Leontine Major, Senior Planner, Toronto and East York District; Interested Persons – January 23, 2006)

(Report 1, Clause 61(j))

1.33 Preliminary Report – Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application - 77, 79 and 83 Woodbine Avenue and 3 Buller Avenue (Ward 32 - Beaches-East York)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a communication (November 18, 2005) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on October 26, 27,

28 and 31, 2005, referred back to the Toronto and East York Community Council for further consideration, Clause 19 of Toronto and East York Community Council Report 8, following the resolution of the Development Infrastructure Policy and Standards issue.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it further report (December 21, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East District, recommending that the report dated September 29, 2005 from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, be adopted.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council approved the report (September 29, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, and in so doing:

- (1) directed staff to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) directed that notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) directed that notice for the Public Meeting under the *Planning Act* be given according to the regulations under the *Planning Act*.

(Letter sent to: Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; c: Leontine Major, Senior Planner, Toronto and East York District; Interested Persons – January 23, 2006)

(Report 1, Clause 61(k))

1.34 Preliminary Report – Rezoning Application – 19-29 Maughan Crescent (Ward 32 - Beaches-East York)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a communication (November 18, 2005) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on October 26, 27, 28 and 31, 2005, referred back to the Toronto and East York Community Council for further consideration Clause 20 of Report 8, titled “Preliminary Report - Rezoning Application - 19-29 Maughan Crescent (Ward 32 - Beaches-East York)”, following the resolution of the Development Infrastructure Policy and Standards issue.

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it report (January 10, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, recommending that City Council:

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- (1) not support the above noted development in its current form and request the applicant to work further with the City Planning Division to determine whether an alternative development could be accommodated on the site; and
- (2) adopt recommendations (1) and (2) of the September 29, 2005 report of the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting a community consultation meeting.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 10, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, and in so doing:

- (1) not support the proposed development at 19-29 Maughan Crescent in its current form and request the applicant to work further with the City Planning Division to determine whether an alternative development could be accommodated on the site;
- (2) direct staff to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor; and
- (3) direct that notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site.

(Report 1, Clause 23)

1.35 Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 350 Queens Quay West (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (October 25, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 350 Queens Quay West (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) the request for variances be approved to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia sign on the front elevation of the building at 350 Queens Quay West; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the

Recommendations Section of the report (October 25, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 24)

1.36 Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 383 Spadina Road (Ward 22 - St. Paul's)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a communication (October 5, 2005) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on September 28, 29 and 30, 2005, referred back to the Toronto and East York Community Council for further consideration Clause 35 of Toronto and East York Community Council Report 7, titled "Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 383 Spadina Road (St. Paul's - Ward 22)".

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) approve the requested variance to maintain, for identification purposes, an illuminated awning sign on the front elevation of 383 Spadina Road, subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) that the illumination be limited to store hours by means of an automated timing device which activates at dusk and shuts-off by a timer when the store closes;
 - (b) Blockbuster, by June 2006, planting three trees in the three planters in the boulevard after relocating the planters closer to the curb (if feasible); and
 - (c) Blockbuster, by June 2006, investigating the feasibility of placing benches in the boulevard, making a seating area and an improved design of the streetscape; and
- (2) not approve the application for a variance to maintain an illuminated fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 383 Spadina Road.

(Report 1, Clause 25)

1.37 Request for Approval of a Variance from By-law No. 13409, Signs, "the University Avenue By-law" – 505 University Avenue (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 13, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District,

respecting a Request for Approval of a Variance from By-law No. 13409, Signs, "the University Avenue By-law" – 505 University Avenue (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) the requested variance be approved to permit, for identification purposes, replacement of two existing illuminated fascia signs with two newly designed fascia signs on the north and west elevations of the building at 505 University Avenue; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 13, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 26)

1.38 Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 99 Blue Jays Way (51 Mercer Street) (Ward 20 – Trinity – Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 2, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 99 Blue Jays Way (51 Mercer Street) (Ward 20 – Trinity – Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) the request for a variance to maintain, for identification purposes, three v-shaped non-illuminated projecting signs on the north elevation of the building at 99 Blue Jays Way (51 Mercer Street) be approved; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 2, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 27)

1.39 Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 8 Colborne Street (Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 15, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 8 Colborne Street (Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) the request for a variance to permit, for identification purposes, two non-illuminated projecting banner signs at the first floor level, on the front elevation of the building at 8 Colborne Street be approved; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 15, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 28)

1.40 Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 341 Bremner Boulevard (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 5, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 341 Bremner Boulevard (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) the request for a variance be approved to permit for the purpose of identification, 7 illuminated canopy signs on the north and west facades of the property at 341 Bremner Boulevard; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permit from the Chief Building Official.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 5, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 29)

1.41 Public Art Plan - 1 Mill Street Development Project - Gooderham and Worts Distillery District (Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 23, 2005) from the Director, Urban Design, City Planning Division, respecting Public Art Plan - 1 Mill Street Development Project - Gooderham and Worts Distillery District (Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that City Council approve the proposed 1 Mill Street Development Project Public Art Plan, to be sited in Trinity Square at the south end of Trinity Street.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 23, 2005) from the Director, Urban Design, City Planning Division.

(Report 1, Clause 30)

1.42 Rescindment of Rush Hour Stopping Prohibitions - Simcoe Street, west side, between King Street West and Wellington Street West, fronting Roy Thompson Hall – 60 Simcoe Street (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 1, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Rescindment of Rush Hour Stopping Prohibitions - Simcoe Street, west side, between King Street West and Wellington Street West, fronting Roy Thompson Hall – 60 Simcoe Street (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) the existing “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the west side of Simcoe Street, from King Street West and Wellington Street West, be rescinded;
- (2) the existing “No Stopping, from 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., except Saturdays, Sundays and public holidays” regulation on the west side of Simcoe Street, from King Street West and Wellington Street West, be rescinded;
- (3) standing be prohibited at all times on the west side of Simcoe Street, from King Street West to Wellington Street West; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 1, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 31)

1.43 Introduction of a One-Way Traffic Operation on Simcoe Street and Pullan Place – 180 Queen Street West (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 15, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Introduction of a One-Way Traffic Operation on Simcoe Street and Pullan Place – 180 Queen Street West (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) a one-way northbound operation be implemented on Simcoe Street, between Queen Street West and Pullan Place;
- (2) a one-way westbound operation be implemented on Pullan Place, between Simcoe Street and St. Patrick Street; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 15, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 32)

1.44 Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals and Prohibition of Southbound Right Turns - Bremner Boulevard and Navy Wharf Court (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 3, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Proposed Installation of Traffic Control Signals and Prohibition of Southbound Right Turns - Bremner Boulevard and Navy Wharf Court (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) the installation of traffic control signals at the intersection of Bremner Boulevard and Navy Wharf Court be approved coincident with the removal of the existing all-way "Stop" sign control at this intersection;
- (2) that Concord Adex be required to contribute \$75,000.00 to help defray the cost of the above, as recommended by Toronto and East York Community Council at its meeting of November 15 and 16, 2005; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 3, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 33)

1.45 Proposed Prohibition of Westbound Left-turns - Oriole Parkway and Frobisher Avenue (Ward 22 – St. Paul's)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 15, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Proposed Prohibition of Westbound Left-turns - Oriole Parkway and Frobisher Avenue (Ward 22 – St. Paul's), and recommending that, subject to the favourable results of community consultations to be conducted by the Ward Councillor:

- (1) westbound left turns at the intersection of Oriole Parkway and Frobisher Avenue be prohibited at all times; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 15, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 34)

1.46 Implementation of a “No Standing Anytime” Prohibition - Lonsdale Road, north side, east of Spadina Road (Ward 22 – St. Paul’s)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 7, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Implementation of a “No Standing Anytime” Prohibition - Lonsdale Road, north side, east of Spadina Road (Ward 22 – St. Paul’s), and recommending that:

- (1) the existing “No Parking Anytime” regulation in effect on the north side of Lonsdale Road, from a point 91.4 metres west of Relmar Road to a point 85 metres west of Russell Hill Road, be amended to operate from a point 91.4 metres west of Relmar Road to Spadina Road and from a point 43.5 metres east of Spadina Road to a point 85 west of Russell Hill Road;
- (2) standing be prohibited at anytime on the north side of Lonsdale Road, from Spadina Road to a point 43.5 metres further east; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of the report (December 7, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District indefinitely.

(Letter sent to: Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District; c: Leontine Major, Senior Planner, Toronto and East York District, East Section – January 23, 2006)

(Report 1, Clause 61(l))

1.47 Amendments to Traffic Regulations - York Street, between Richmond Street West and Queen Street West; Richmond Street West, north side, between York Street and Bay Street (Wards 27 and 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 30, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Amendments to Traffic Regulations - York Street, between Richmond Street West and Queen Street West; Richmond Street West, north side, between York Street and Bay Street (Wards 27 and 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) the “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the east side of York Street, between Richmond Street West and Queen Street West, be rescinded;

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- (2) the “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the west side of York Street, between Front Street West and Queen Street West, be rescinded;
- (3) the “No Stopping” regulation, in effect 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. except Saturday, Sunday, and Public Holidays, on both sides of York Street, between Front Street West and Queen Street West, be rescinded;
- (4) stopping be prohibited at all times on both sides of York Street, from 30.5 metres south of Richmond Street West to Queen Street West;
- (5) parking be prohibited at all times on the west side of York Street, between Front Street West and 30.5 metres south of Richmond Street West;
- (6) stopping be prohibited from 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. and from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., except Saturdays, Sundays, and Public Holidays, on both sides of York Street, between Front Street West and 30.5m south of Richmond Street West;
- (7) the four-vehicle taxicab stand, located on the east side of York Street, from a point 22.5 metres north of Richmond Street West and a point 21 metres further north thereof, currently in effect at anytime except during the hours 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, be rescinded;
- (8) a two-vehicle taxicab stand be designated at all times except 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the north side of Richmond Street West, between a point approximately 28 metres east of York Street and a point 9 metres further east thereof ;
- (9) stopping be prohibited on the north side of Richmond Street West, from York Street and a point approximately 47 metres east of York Street, from 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. and from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., except Saturdays, Sundays, and Public Holidays; and
- (10) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 30, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 35)

1.48 Installation of Speed Humps - Wolfrey Avenue, between Hampton Avenue and Logan Avenue (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 5, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Installation of Speed Humps - Wolfrey Avenue, between Hampton Avenue and Logan Avenue (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth), and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council, notwithstanding the findings of the review of the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District:

- (1) authorize appropriate staff to develop a speed hump plan when feasible in the Spring of 2006 in consultation with the Ward Councillor and conduct a poll of eligible householders on Wolfrey Avenue, between Hampton Avenue and Logan Avenue, to determine resident support, in accordance with the City of Toronto Traffic Calming Policy; and public notice be given pursuant to the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, including Notice of Study Commencement to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Service, Emergency Medical Service and Toronto Police Service;
- (2) subject to favourable results of the poll;
 - (i) a by-law be prepared for the alteration of the roadway on Wolfrey Avenue, between Hampton Avenue and Logan Avenue, for traffic calming purposes generally as shown on the speed hump plan circulated to residents through the polling process;
 - (a) pursuant to the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, Notice of Completion be issued;
 - (b) the speed limit be reduced from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on Wolfrey Avenue, between Hampton Avenue and Logan Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (3) authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Report 1, Clause 36)

1.49 Traffic Calming and Lighting Issues in the Public Lane at the rear of 508 Eastern Avenue (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 22, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Traffic Calming and Lighting Issues in the Public Lane at the rear of 508 Eastern Avenue (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth), and recommending that:

- (1) the owner of 508 Eastern Avenue be required to provide a certified cheque, prior to the introduction of Bills in Council for Rezoning Application No. 04 152260, in the amount of \$1,000.00, payable to Toronto Hydro for the installation of one high pressure sodium lane luminaire on an existing pole at the rear of Premises No. 500 Eastern Avenue;
- (2) the installation of speed bumps in the east-west public lane, extending between Morse Street and Logan Avenue, abutting the north limit of Premises No. 508 Eastern Avenue, of the type and design noted and at the locations shown on Drawing No. 421F-8159, dated December 2005, be approved; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 22, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 37)

1.50 Traffic Operations and Safety - Cedarvale Avenue (Ward 31 - Beaches-East York)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 29, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Traffic Operations and Safety - Cedarvale Avenue (Ward 31 - Beaches-East York), and recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of Cedarvale Avenue as follows:
 - (i) “by narrowing sections of the roadway on the east side, between Lumsden Avenue and Sammon Avenue from a width of 8.5 metres to a width of 6.5 metres and install a modular traffic island, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-8142, dated December 2005”;

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- (2) Cedarvale Avenue, between Lumsden Avenue and Sammon Avenue, operate one-way from north to south;
- (3) the modifications outlined in Recommendations 1 and 2 be implemented on a trial basis for a period of one year after which appropriate staff, in consultation with the Ward Councillor, will report back to Toronto and East York Community Council on whether or not the modifications should be retained;
- (4) an overhead flashing beacon be installed at the intersection of Cedarvale Avenue and Lumsden Avenue; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Davis with Councillor McConnell in the Chair, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 29, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District subject to adding additional Recommendations (5) and (6) and renumbering the existing Recommendation (5) accordingly, so that the Recommendations now read:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) approval be given to alter sections of Cedarvale Avenue as follows:
 - (i) “by narrowing sections of the roadway on the east side, between Lumsden Avenue and Sammon Avenue from a width of 8.5 metres to a width of 6.5 metres and install a modular traffic island, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-8142, dated December 2005”;
- (2) Cedarvale Avenue, between Lumsden Avenue and Sammon Avenue, operate one-way from north to south;
- (3) the modifications outlined in Recommendations 1 and 2 be implemented on a trial basis for a period of one year after which appropriate staff, in consultation with the Ward Councillor, will report back to Toronto and East York Community Council on whether or not the modifications should be retained;
- (4) an overhead flashing beacon be installed at the intersection of Cedarvale Avenue and Lumsden Avenue;
- (5) appropriate staff be authorized to develop a speed hump plan when feasible in the Spring of 2006 in consultation with the Ward Councillor and conduct a poll of

eligible householders on Cedarvale Avenue, between Strathmore Boulevard and Sammon Avenue, to determine resident support, in accordance with the City of Toronto Traffic Calming Policy; and public notice be given pursuant to the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, including Notice of Study Commencement to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Service, Emergency Medical Service and Toronto Police Service;

- (6) subject to favourable results of the poll, a by-law be prepared for the alteration of the roadway on Cedarvale Avenue, between Strathmore Boulevard and Sammon Avenue, for traffic calming purposes generally as shown on the speed hump plan circulated to residents through the polling process;
 - (a) pursuant to the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, Notice of Completion be issued;
 - (b) the speed limit be reduced from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on Cedarvale Avenue, between Strathmore Boulevard and Sammon Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (7) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Report 1, Clause 38)

1.51 Installation of a Sidewalk - Crescent Town Road, between Dawes Road and Massey Square (Ward 31 – Beaches-East York)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 15, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Installation of a Sidewalk - Crescent Town Road, between Dawes Road and Massey Square (Ward 31 – Beaches-East York), and recommending that:

- (1) approval be given to alter Crescent Town Road as follows:

“by narrowing sections of the roadway on the north side between Dawes Road and Massey Square from a width of 14.5 metres to a width of 12.9 metres and installing a sidewalk, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-8124, dated December 2005”; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Davis with Councillor McConnell in the Chair, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 15, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 39)

1.52 Temporary Road Occupation to Accommodate a Construction Staging Area – 20 Stewart Street (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 15, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Temporary Road Occupation to Accommodate a Construction Staging Area – 20 Stewart Street (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) in order to facilitate construction of a 9-storey condominium on Stewart Street, the request for the establishment of a construction staging area fronting Premises No. 20 Stewart Street within the north curb lane from a point 48 metres west of Portland Street to a point 30 metres further west as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-8098, dated October 2005, for a period from December 2005 to December 2006, be approved;
- (2) in conjunction with Recommendation (1) above, stopping be prohibited at all times on the both sides of Stewart Street from a point 36 metres west of Portland Street to a point 54 metres further west;
- (3) in conjunction with Recommendation (1) above, the parking prohibition currently in effect at all times on the south side of Stewart Street be rescinded between the limits noted in Recommendations (2) above;
- (4) in conjunction with Recommendation (1) above, the permit parking and parking machine regulations in effect on the north side of Stewart Street be rescinded between the limits noted in Recommendation (2) above;
- (5) the parking regulations presently in effect on the subject section of Stewart Street be reinstated upon the completion of this project; and
- (6) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the

Recommendations Section of the report (December 15, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 40)

1.53 Designation of Northbound Right-turn Lane – Leslie Street and Queen Street East (Ward 32 - Beaches-East York)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 7, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Designation of Northbound Right-turn Lane – Leslie Street and Queen Street East (Ward 32 - Beaches-East York), and recommending that:

- (1) the easterly northbound lane on Leslie Street, from Queen Street East to a point 15 metres south thereof, be designated for right turns only; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Giambrone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 7, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 41)

1.54 Temporary Road Occupation to Accommodate Construction Staging Area - 40 The Esplanade (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 20, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Temporary Road Occupation to Accommodate Construction Staging Area - 40 The Esplanade (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) in order to facilitate construction of a 32-storey and a 15-storey condominium at a site on the east side of Scott Street, the sidewalk on the east side of Scott Street between a point 16.0 metres north of The Esplanade and a point 40.0 metres further north be closed to pedestrian traffic and a covered pedestrian walkway be established in the east side curb lane for a period of 18 months commencing February 4, 2006 and ending August 4, 2007;

- (2) in conjunction with Recommendation No. (1) above, Stopping be prohibited at any time on the east side of Scott Street, from The Esplanade and a point 40.0 metres north thereof;
- (3) upon completion of this project, Scott Street revert to its pre-construction traffic and parking operation; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 20, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 42)

1.55 Temporary Road Occupation to Accommodate Construction Staging Area - 8 and 10 Scollard Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 19, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Temporary Road Occupation to Accommodate Construction Staging Area - 8 and 10 Scollard Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) in order to facilitate construction of a 16-storey condominium at a site on the north side of Scollard Street, the sidewalk and curb lane on the north side of Scollard Street between a point 16.2 metres west of Yonge Street and a point 69.8 metres further west be closed to pedestrian and vehicular traffic for a period of 24 months commencing February 4, 2006 and ending February 4, 2008;
- (2) in conjunction with Recommendation No. (1) above, stopping be prohibited at all times on both sides of Scollard Street, from Yonge Street to a point 89.8 metres west thereof;
- (3) upon completion of this project, Scollard Street revert to its pre-construction traffic and parking operation; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 19, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 43)

1.56 Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities (Ward 14 - Parkdale-High Park; Ward 18 - Davenport; Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina; Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina; Ward 21 - St. Paul's; and, Ward 32 - Beaches-East York)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 19, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities (Ward 14 - Parkdale-High Park; Ward 18 - Davenport; Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina; Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina; Ward 21 - St. Paul's; and, Ward 32 - Beaches-East York), and recommending that:

- (1) the installation/removal of on-street disabled parking spaces as noted in Table "A" of this report be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take any action necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 19, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 44)

1.57 Provision of a "Student Pick-up and Drop-off Area" - Niagara Street, east side, between Adelaide Street West and King Street West (Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 9, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Provision of a "Student Pick-up and Drop-off Area" - Niagara Street, east side, between Adelaide Street West and King Street West (Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) parking be prohibited at anytime on the east side and the north side of Niagara Street, from Queen Street West to a point 36.0 metres south of Adelaide Street West and from a point 70.0 metres south of Adelaide Street West to Portland Street;
- (2) parking be allowed for a maximum period of ten minutes from 8:00 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. and from 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the east side of Niagara Street, from a point 36.0 metres south of Adelaide Street West to a point 34.0 metres further south;
- (3) parking be prohibited from 9:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. and from 6:00 p.m. of one day to 8:00 a.m. of the next following day, Monday to Friday and at anytime on Saturday and Sunday, on the east side of Niagara Street, from a point 36.0 metres south of Adelaide Street West to a point 34.0 metres further south; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 9, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 45)

1.58 Installation of a “No Parking Anytime” Regulation - Pape Avenue, west side, north of Browning Avenue (Ward 29 – Toronto-Danforth)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 16, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Installation of a “No Parking Anytime” Regulation - Pape Avenue, west side, north of Browning Avenue (Ward 29 – Toronto-Danforth), and recommending that:

- (1) parking be prohibited at all times on the west side of Pape Avenue, between Browning Avenue and a point 31.5 metres north thereof ; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the

Recommendations Section of the report (December 16, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 46)

1.59 Provision of a One Hour Maximum Parking Regulation, from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. - O'Connor Drive, north side, between Pape Avenue and Pepler Avenue (Ward 29 – Toronto-Danforth)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 8, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Provision of a One Hour Maximum Parking Regulation, from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. - O'Connor Drive, north side, between Pape Avenue and Pepler Avenue (Ward 29 – Toronto-Danforth), and recommending that:

- (1) the “Two-hour maximum parking, from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday and 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Saturday” regulation on the north side of O'Connor Drive, from Pape Avenue to Pepler Avenue, be rescinded;
- (2) parking be restricted to a maximum period of one hour, from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., daily on the north side of O'Connor Drive, from Pape Avenue to Pepler Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 8, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 47)

1.60 Amendment to Parking Regulations in the vicinity of the Toronto Police Service – 55 Division Headquarters (101 Coxwell Avenue) on Dundas Street East (Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (November 14, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Amendment to Parking Regulations in the vicinity of the Toronto Police Service – 55 Division Headquarters (101 Coxwell Avenue) on Dundas Street East (Ward 32 – Beaches-East York), and recommending that:

- (1) the existing “No Stopping Anytime” regulation on the north side of Dundas Street East, between Coxwell Avenue and a point 70 metres east, be rescinded;
- (2) a “No Stopping Anytime” regulation be implemented on the north side of Dundas Street East, between Coxwell Avenue and Maughan Crescent;
- (3) the existing westbound bicycle lane designated on Dundas Street East, adjacent to the curb lane used for parking, between Coxwell Avenue and Maughan Crescent, be rescinded;
- (4) a westbound bicycle lane be designated on Dundas Street East, between Coxwell Avenue and Maughan Crescent; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (November 14, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 48)

1.61 Installation of a Glycol Heating System – 39 Hawarden Crescent (Ward 21 – St. Paul’s)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 12, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Installation of a Glycol Heating System – 39 Hawarden Crescent (Ward 21 – St. Paul’s), and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve the installation of a glycol heating system within portions of the public right of way fronting 39 Hawarden Crescent, subject to the property owners entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted and providing of an insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the Agreements in a form as approved by the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and in an amount not less than

\$2,000,000.00 or such greater amount as the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer may require;

- (b) maintain the glycol heating system at their own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
 - (c) obtain approval for associated work on private property from Urban Development Services;
 - (d) provide “as built” drawings upon completion of all installations;
 - (e) remove the heating system upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so;
 - (f) pay for the costs of preparing the Agreement and the registration of the Agreement on title; and
 - (g) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the Corporation;
- (2) in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services be authorised to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services; and
- (3) Legal Services be requested to prepare and execute the Encroachment Agreement.

On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 12, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 49)

1.62 Maintenance of a Glycol System - 307 Oriole Parkway (Ward 22 – St. Paul’s)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 19, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Maintenance of a Glycol System - 307 Oriole Parkway (Ward 22 – St. Paul’s), and recommending that:

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

- (1) City Council approve the maintenance of a glycol heating system within portions of the public right of way fronting 307 Oriole Parkway, subject to the property owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted and providing of an insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the Agreements in a form as approved by the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and in an amount not less than \$2,000,000.00 or such greater amount as the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer may require;
 - (b) maintain the glycol heating system at his own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
 - (c) obtain approval for associated work on private property from Urban Development Services;
 - (d) provide “as built” drawings upon completion of all installations;
 - (e) remove the glycol heating system upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so;
 - (f) pay for the costs of preparing the Agreement and the registration of the Agreement on title; and
 - (g) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the Corporation;
- (2) in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services be authorised to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services; and
- (3) Legal Services be requested to prepare and execute the Encroachment Agreement.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 19, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 50)

1.63 Installation of Balconies - 1 St. Thomas Street and on the Charles Street West Flank (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 13, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Installation of Balconies - 1 St. Thomas Street and on the Charles Street West Flank (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve the installation of the encroaching balconies fronting and flanking 1 St. Thomas Street, subject to the property owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
 - (b) maintain the balconies at his/her own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to these encroachments beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
 - (c) obtain approval for associated work on private property from Urban Development Services;
 - (d) limit the life of the Agreement to the removal of the encroachment or the date of the demolition of the buildings, whichever is the lesser; and
 - (e) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City;
- (2) in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services be authorized to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services; and
- (3) Legal Services be requested to prepare and execute the Encroachment Agreement.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the

Recommendations Section of the report (December 13, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 51)

1.64 Installation of a Fence with Motorized Gates and Maintenance of a Glycol Heating System - 273 Russell Hill Road (Ward 22 – St. Paul’s)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 16, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Installation of a Fence with Motorized Gates and Maintenance of a Glycol Heating System - 273 Russell Hill Road (Ward 22 – St. Paul’s), and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve the installation of a 2.3 m high fence with motorized gates and maintenance of the glycol heating system within the public right of way fronting 273 Russell Hill Road, subject to the property owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted and providing of an insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the Agreements in a form as approved by the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and in an amount not less than \$2,000,000.00 or such greater amount as the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer may require;
 - (b) maintain the encroachments at his own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
 - (c) obtain approval for associated work on private property from Urban Development Services;
 - (d) provide “as built” drawings upon completion of all installations within 60 days of doing so;
 - (e) remove the fence and glycol heating system upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so;
 - (f) pay for the costs of preparing the Agreement and the registration of the Agreement on title; and

- (g) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the Corporation;
- (2) in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services be authorised to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services; and
- (3) Legal Services be requested to prepare and execute the Encroachment Agreement.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 16, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 52)

1.65 Maintenance of a Metal Fence - Rear of 311 Jarvis Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 16, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Maintenance of a Metal Fence - Rear of 311 Jarvis Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve the maintenance of a 1.9 m high metal fence within the public right of way at the rear of 311 Jarvis Street, which is set back 0.46 m from the rear edge of the City sidewalk, subject to the property owners entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - (a) indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted and providing of an insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the Agreements in a form as approved by the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and in an amount not less than \$2,000,000.00 or such greater amount as the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer may require;
 - (b) maintain the metal fence at their owner expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services

and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;

- (c) remove the fence upon receiving 90 days written notice so to do; and
 - (d) accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the Corporation; and
- (2) in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services are authorised to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 16, 2005) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 53)

1.66 Rogers/OMNI Parking Lot on Queens Quay West (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a communication (November 15, 2005) from Councillor Olivia Chow, respecting Rogers/OMNI Parking Lot on Queens Quay West (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) the City request Rogers/OMNI to maintain the pavement immediately south of its parking lot, on Queens Quay West, just east of Bathurst; and
- (2) City staff explore the possibility of assuming responsibility of three feet of pavement in front of the Roger's parking lot for the purpose of maintaining a safe sidewalk; and
- (3) City staff report in February on this matter and what kind of emergency repair can be done on this stretch of sidewalk to ensure public safety.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a Briefing Note (January 13, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) receive the communication (November 15, 2005) from Councillor Chow; and
- (2) request the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District to ensure that the construction of a permanent sidewalk on the north side of Queens Quay West from Bathurst Street to approximately 76.5m easterly, and other work, as outlined in his Briefing Note (January 13, 2006), is completed in 2006 and that the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District consult with the General Manager, Forestry Parks and Recreation Division, the Director of Urban Design, City Planning Division and the Ward Councillor during the process of this construction.

(Report 1, Clause 54)

1.67 Appointments to the Board of Management of Community Centre 55 (Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a communication (December 1, 2005) from Bob Murdoch, Executive Director, Community Centre 55, recommending that the following be appointed to the Board of Management:

Debbie Visconti;
Gene Domagala; and
Warren Kinsella.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council appoint the following to the Board of Management of Community Centre 55, until November 30, 2006, on an interim basis, at the pleasure of Council, and until their successors are appointed:

Debbie Visconti;
Gene Domagala; and
Warren Kinsella.

(Report 1, Clause 55)

1.68 Requests for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes (Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina; Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council, for liquor licence purposes, declare the following to be events of municipal and/or community significance and advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it has no objection to their taking place:

- (1) Sante: The Bloor-Yorkville Wine Festival, to be held on May 3 to May 7, 2006, with preview events to be held on April 29 and May 2, 2006; and
- (2) The Beer and Cocktail Show, to be held on May 11 to May 13, 2006 in the Automotive Building at the National Trade Centre.

(Report 1, Clause 1)

1.69 Proposed Installation of Speed Bumps in Public Lane System Bounded by Dundas Street East, Carlaw Avenue, Colgate Avenue, Natalie Place and Logan Avenue (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 5, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Proposed Installation of Speed Bumps in Public Lane System Bounded by Dundas Street East, Carlaw Avenue, Colgate Avenue, Natalie Place and Logan Avenue (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth), and recommending that:

- (1) the installation of speed bumps in the public lane system bounded by Dundas Street East, Carlaw Avenue, Colgate Avenue, Natalie Place and Logan Avenue, of the type and design noted and at the locations shown on Drawing Nos. 421F-8131 and 421F-8132 dated December 2005, be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take any action necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 5, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

(Report 1, Clause 56)

1.70 Law Suit by Neville Park Fine Homes Inc. in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice and an Appeal of the Court's Order directing the Chief Building Official and City Council to Issue a Demolition Permit for a Property at 35 Lyall Avenue (Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a confidential report (January 12, 2006) from the City Solicitor, respecting a Law Suit by Neville Park Fine Homes Inc. in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice and an Appeal of the Court's Order directing the Chief Building Official and City Council to Issue a Demolition Permit for a

Property at 35 Lyall Avenue (Ward 32 – Beaches-East York). This confidential is distributed under confidential cover, and because it relates to litigation, under the *Municipal Act, 2001* discussions may be held in-camera.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the confidential report (January 12, 2006) from the City Solicitor which was distributed under separate cover to Members of Council and because it relates to litigation, under the *Municipal Act, 2001*, discussions may be held in-camera.

(Report 1, Clause 57)

1.71 Reduction of the Speed Limit to 40 Kilometres Per Hour - Norlong Boulevard, between O'Connor Drive and Cosburn Avenue (Ward 31 – Beaches-East York)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 10, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, South District, respecting Reduction of the Speed Limit to 40 Kilometres Per Hour - Norlong Boulevard, between O'Connor Drive and Cosburn Avenue (Ward 31 – Beaches-East York), and recommending that:

- (1) the maximum speed limit on Norlong Boulevard, between O'Connor Drive and Cosburn Avenue, be reduced from 50 kilometres per hour to 40 kilometres per hour; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Davis, with Councillor Pantalone in the Chair, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 10, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, South District.

(Report 1, Clause 58)

1.72 Applications to Permit Third Party Signage (All Wards)

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a motion (undated) from Councillor Rae, respecting Applications to Permit Third Party Signage (All Wards), and recommending that Toronto and East York Community Council request the Director of Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, to consult with appropriate City officials and report back to Community Council on the City's ability to secure

community benefit payments in those cases where City staff is recommending approval of third party signage.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council requested the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planner Division, in consultation with appropriate City officials, to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the City's ability to secure community benefit payments in those cases where City officials are recommending approval of an application for a variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Letter sent to: Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division; c: Administrator, Planning and Transportation Committee – January 23, 2006)

(Report 1, Clause 61(m))

1.73 Ontario Municipal Board Appeals – 1A and 3 Millbank Avenue and 1 Strathearn Boulevard (Ward 21 – St. Paul's)

On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Toronto and East York Community Council allowed the introduction of the report (January 16, 2006) from the City Solicitor, respecting Ontario Municipal Board Appeals – 1A and 3 Millbank Avenue and 1 Strathearn Boulevard (Ward 21 – St. Paul's), and recommending that the City Solicitor be authorized to report directly to the City Council meeting to be held on January 31 and February 1 and 2, 2006, regarding all of the appeals to be consolidated by the OMB with respect to (a) the minor variance and consent applications regarding 1A and 3 Millbank Avenue, (b) the consent application regarding 1 Strathearn Boulevard, and (c) the application for a permit to injure a tree at 1A Millbank Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- (1) submitted this matter to City Council without recommendation; and
- (2) requested the City Solicitor to report directly to the City Council meeting on January 31 and February 1 and 2, 2006, regarding all of the appeals to be consolidated by the OMB with respect to:
 - (a) the minor variance and consent applications regarding 1A and 3 Millbank Avenue;
 - (b) the consent application regarding 1 Strathearn Boulevard; and
 - (c) the application for a permit to injure a tree at 1A Millbank Avenue.

(Report 1, Clause 59)

1.74 Ontario Municipal Board Hearing - 482 St. Clair Avenue West (Ward 27, Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council allowed the introduction of the communication (January 18, 2006) from Councillor Rae, respecting the Ontario Municipal Board Hearing - 482 St. Clair Avenue West (Ward 27, Toronto Centre-Rosedale).

On further motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council direct the City Solicitor to attend the OMB hearing in defence of the City's Committee of Adjustment's decision relating to 482 St. Clair Avenue West.

(Report 1, Clause 60)

The Community Council adjourned its meeting at 4:20 p.m.

Chair

Toronto and East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, January 17, and Thursday, January 19, 2006

Attendance:

	January 17 9:35 a.m. – 12:30 p.m.	January 17 1:37 p.m. – 6:35 p.m.	January 19 9:30 a.m. – 12:25 p.m.
Councillor Davis (Chair)	x	x	x
Councillor Fletcher (Vice-Chair)	x	x	x
Councillor Bussin	x	x	
Councillor Giambrone	x	x	
Councillor McConnell	x	x	x
Councillor Mihevc	x	x	x
Councillor Ootes			
Councillor Pantalone	x	x	
Councillor Rae	x	x	x
Councillor Walker	x	x	x
Councillor Watson	x	x	
Mayor Miller			

* Members were present for some or all of the time indicated.