

# THE CITY OF TORONTO

## City Clerk's Office

### Minutes of the Toronto and East York Community Council

#### Meeting 2

February 7, 2006

The Toronto and East York Community Council met on Tuesday, February 7, 2006 in Committee Room No. 1, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

No interests were declared pursuant to the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act*.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council confirmed the Minutes for July 5, September 19, October 18, and November 15 and 16, 2006.

#### **2.1 Final Report - Official Plan and Rezoning Application and Site Plan Application – 243 Eglinton Avenue West and 500 Oriole Parkway (Ward 22 - St. Paul's)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 16, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Final Report - Official Plan and Rezoning Application and Site Plan Application – 243 Eglinton Avenue West and 500 Oriole Parkway (Ward 22 - St. Paul's), and recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the Official Plan for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Attachment No. 8;
- (2) amend the Zoning By-law 438-86 for the City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 9;
- (3) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required;
- (4) require that, before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, (a) the owner of 243 Eglinton Avenue West and 500 Oriole Parkway enter into a Site Plan Agreement under Section 41 of the Planning Act to secure all of the site plan conditions relating to the Site, and (b) the owner of 191 Highbourne Road join in the site plan application and enter into the Site Plan Agreement, to be registered against 191 Highbourne Road, to secure vehicular access/egress between the Site and Highbourne Road, and (c) the owner of 486 Oriole Parkway

join in the site plan application and enter into the Site Plan Agreement, to be registered against 486 Oriole Parkway, to secure vehicular access/egress between the Site and Oriole Parkway; and

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a report (October 23, 2004) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, and recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the Official Plan for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Attachment No. 8;
- (2) amend the Zoning By-law 438-86 for the City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 9;
- (3) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required;
- (4) require that, before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, the owner enter into a Site Plan Agreement under Section 41 of the Planning Act; and
- (5) the owner shall provide and maintain an irrigation system, for the proposed trees within the public road allowances, including an automatic timer, designed to be water efficient by a certified Landscape Irrigation Auditor (CLIA) and constructed with a back flow preventer to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, including requirements to maintain in good order and operation.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following reports/communications:

- Communication (December 8, 2004) from R. M. J. Rhomberg and D. H. Stevenson; and
- Report (February 6, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, and recommending that City Council adopt the January 16, 2006, Final Report, amended, by replacing the Draft Zoning By-Law found as Attachment 9 with the Draft Zoning By-Law Amendment attached hereto.

The Toronto and East York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on February 7, 2006 and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 16, 2006) from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District subject to replacing Attachment 9: The Draft Zoning By-law Amendment, with the revised Attachment 9: Draft Zoning By-law Amendment, attached to the further report (February 6, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; and
- (2) receive the report (October 23, 2004) from the Director, Community Planning, South District.

**(Postponed until the issue of vehicular access to 500 Oriole Parkway is resolved.)**

**(Report 2, Clause 2)**

## **2.2 Final Report – Rezoning Application – King-Spadina Interim Control By-law (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Final Report – Rezoning Application – King-Spadina Interim Control By-law (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the King-Spadina Part II Plan in the in-force Official Plan for the former City of Toronto, as shown in Attachment 2;
- (2) modify Chapter 6, Section 16 of the new Official Plan, the King-Spadina Secondary Plan, as shown in Attachment 3;
- (3) amend Zoning By-law 438-86 for the former City of Toronto;
- (4) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required; and
- (5) authorize the City Solicitor to take necessary actions at the Ontario Municipal Board to implement the modification to the new Official Plan.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications/By-laws:

- Communication (February 1, 2006) from Norman Young;
- Communication (February 2, 2006) from Maryann Madore;
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Endrick H. Kreuter;
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Bruce McKay;
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from John Henry;
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Gilbert Mongeau;
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Wayne Scott;
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Rosemary Bayer and Don Rodbard;
- Communication (undated) from Todd Martin;
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Per Osterling and Anna Collins-Osterling;
- Draft By-law No. 438-86;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Alison Curtis;
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Rehgina Rhomberg and Dave Stevenson;
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Don Rodbard, King-Spadina Residents Association;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Barbara Greene; and
- Communication (undated) from Peter Couto.

The Toronto and East York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on February 7, 2006 and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

The following appeared before the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- Wayne Scott;
- Don Rodbard, King Spadina Residents' Association;
- Barbara Greene;
- Bruce Mckay;
- Gordon Hatanaka;
- Peter Couto;
- Mirjana Medved D'Amato; and
- Ralph J. Daley.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- (1) requested the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District to report to City Council on February 14, 2006:
  - (a) with the following amendments to the Draft By-law to Amend the Zoning By-law 438-86:
    - (i) a revised map referred to in Section 1.b.i. which makes a minor adjustment to the northern boundary;
    - (ii) a revision to Section 1.b iv. to delete the number "20" and replace with the number "13" and provide a corresponding revised maximum gross floor area;
  - (b) with a clarification of the intent of the wording on page 7 of the staff report relating to existing and proposed permissions for nightclubs in the King Spadina area;
  - (c) on a fee structure to be placed on the entertainment facility-nightclub use to ensure that enhanced policing resources are financed by club owners; and
- (2) requested the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District to report to the Toronto and East York Community Council on other permitted night club uses in the King/Parliament and Downtown areas and on any additional restrictions which may be needed in those areas.

(Letter sent to: Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District – February 20, 2006)

**(Report 2, Clause 3)**

### **2.3 Refusal and Directions Report – Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application – 429 Lake Shore Boulevard East (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 19, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Refusal and Directions Report – Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application – 429 Lake Shore Boulevard East (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that City Council:

- (1) refuse the application to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law 438-86, as amended, of the former City of Toronto, in its current form for the reasons outlined in this report; and
- (2) request the applicant to participate in a Working Committee, chaired by the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation (TWRC) and comprised of appropriate City staff and other stakeholders, for the completion of the Precinct Plan for the east of Parliament lands (Parliament to Cherry Streets) in the East Bayfront Precinct Plan area.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a Revised Page 6 of the Report (January 19, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a communication (February 7, 2006) from Steven A. Zakem, Aird and Berlis, LLP, Barristers and Solicitors, on behalf of Home Depot of Canada Inc.

The following appeared before the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- Bill Renieris;
- Doug Fisher, Old Cabbagetown BIA;
- Vip Jain;
- Kim Kovar, Aird and Berlis, LLP, Barristers and Solicitors, on behalf of Home Depot of Canada, Inc.;
- Chris Williams, Aird and Berlis, LLP, Barristers and Solicitors; and
- Michael Rosenberg, Environment and Economy Coalition.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 19, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District subject to amending Recommendation (2) by including in the Working Committee representation from the Waterfront Action Coalition; the West Donlands Committee; the Gooderham and Worts Neighbourhood Association and the local Business Improvement Area.

**(Report 2, Clause 4)**

**2.4. Site Plan Approval Application, Our Lady of Mercy Wing, St. Joseph Health Centre - 30 The Queensway (Ward 14 – Parkdale-High Park)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Site Plan Approval Application, Our Lady of Mercy Wing, St. Joseph Health Centre - 30 The Queensway (Ward 14 – Parkdale-High Park), and recommending that Council:

- (1) approve the proposed Site Plan application for the new Our Lady of Mercy Wing (OLM) at St. Joseph's Health Centre as indicated on Drawings Nos. A0-00, A0-01, A0-02, A0-03, A1-01 to A1-06, A1-08 to A1-14, prepared by B + H Architects, date stamped December 15, 2005 and Drawings Nos. L-1 to L-4, prepared by Quinn Design Associates Inc., date stamped as November 16, 2005 subject to the following conditions:

- (a) Revisions and additional information required to Site Plans, Studies and Drawings

The owner is required to revise the drawings submitted in support of the site plan application, and provide additional information, as follows, prior to the granting of site plan approval by the City under Section 41 of the Planning Act.

Additional comments and/or conditions may be made as a result of the review of the required plans or additional information.

**Fire Services**

Revise the drawings to:

- (1) Show on the plans the required fire access route(s) in accordance with the requirements of the Ontario Building Code, which requires among other things, the widening of the Sunnyside Avenue driveway inbound lane to 6 m with proper turning radii to allow sufficient clearance for fire trucks; and
  - (2) Show the sidewalk extending across the outbound driveway on Sunnyside Avenue.

- (b) (Preliminary) Notice of Approval Conditions

The following (preliminary) conditions are to be satisfied prior to the granting of site plan approval by the City under Section 41 of the Planning Act. Further conditions may be added as a result of the review of the required plans/or additional information requested above.

- (1) Submit an application to the Executive Director, Technical Services, prior to filing an application for a building permit, for revised municipal numbering, which must include a site plan showing the entrances to the buildings.

(c) (Preliminary) Site Plan Agreement Conditions

The following conditions are to be included in the site plan agreement. Further conditions may be added as a result of the review of the required plans/or additional information requested above:

- (1) Pay all costs associated with the implementation of the outbound left-turn prohibitions at all of the existing and proposed Sunnyside Avenue vehicular access points, save and except the access point to the loading area, the payment for which must be made prior to the issuance of any construction or occupancy permits for any associated work within the public right-of-way;
- (2) Maintain the delineation of the patient drop-off area, located on the east side of the Our Lady of Mercy addition, with appropriate pavement markings and/or decorative pavers, and designate this area, by means of clearly visible signs, for “Patient Drop-off Activities Only”;
- (3) Maintain a heating system to prevent ice and snow build-up on any exposed portion of the Our Lady of Mercy underground parking garage ramp that has a slope exceeding 10%;
- (4) Install and maintain convex mirrors at all right-angled turns within the Our Lady of Mercy underground parking garage to be negotiated by 2-way traffic, such that motorists are provided with clear views of oncoming traffic;
- (5) Install and maintain:
  - (i) clearly visible “No Parking” signs adjacent to the loading area; and
  - (ii) appropriate “Do Not Enter” signage, adjacent to the outbound only Parkdale Road vehicular access ramp;
- (6) Construct the new 2-way entrance/exit to the existing above-grade parking facility coincident with the completion of the Our Lady of Mercy addition;



- (7) Implement the Transportation Demand Management (TDM) measures outlined in the documentation submitted by Poulos and Chung Limited, dated July 14, 2003;
  - (8) Eliminate any existing vehicular access ramps on Sunnyside Avenue, Parkdale Road, Glendale Avenue, and The Queensway that are no longer required, and restore the curb and public boulevard to City of Toronto standards;
  - (9) Provide and maintain private refuse collection services for this development with the exception of the co-mingled recycling materials that are collected by the City; and
  - (10) Integrate the disposal of all refuse generated by the proposed development with the existing hospital facilities.
- (d) Other City Approvals and Requirements

That the owner be advised of the following:

- (1) To contact Mr. Stephen Benjamin of Transportation Services Division at (416) 392-7773, at least 6 months prior to the occupancy of the OLM addition, for the implementation of the left-turn prohibitions at all of the existing and proposed Sunnyside Avenue vehicular access points, save and except the access point to the loading area;
- (2) To obtain approval from the General Manager of Transportation Services and any necessary construction permits to carryout any works involving construction in, or occupancy of public rights-of-way, at which time payment must be made in connection with the implementation of the outbound left-turn prohibitions. For further information in this regard, contact Right of Way Management, Construction Activities, Toronto and East York District at (416) 392-7877, to discuss the application requirements;
- (3) That the City collection of co-mingled recycling materials may be discontinued in future in which case these recyclable materials must be collected by a private collection firm; and
- (4) To make an application to the Executive Director, Technical Services, for the installation of any proposed services within the public rights-of-way. For further information in this regard, the Owner should contact Toronto Water at (416) 392-7676.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (December 7, 2005) from Jeff Mulligan;
- Communication (February 2, 2006) from Michael Craig, Sunnyside Community Association; and
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Jeffery Hanning and Odette Ziezold.

On motion by Councillor Watson, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District subject to adding the following additional Recommendation (1)(c)(11):

“(1)(c)(11) That the owner be requested to work with appropriate City staff, local residents and the Ward Councillor to consider eliminating on street parking on Sunnyside Avenue from Queensway to Pearson Avenue and, if eliminated, request the owner to provide up to 20 parking spaces within their parking facilities to residents on Sunnyside Avenue between Queensway and Parkdale Avenue, Marion Avenue and Pearson Avenue who would be eligible for permit parking with first priority being given to those residents with no on site parking. The owner would be able to charge, as a maximum, the same amount for parking in the aforesaid 20 spots that the residents would pay for on-street permit parking.”;

- (2) request the owner to continue to consult with the residents in the surrounding communities and the Ward Councillor to resolve any issues:
  - (a) that may arise during the construction phase; and
  - (b) relating to traffic, noise or other hospital-related neighbourhood issues that may arise at any time;
- (3) request the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, in consultation with the Ward Councillor, the Toronto Transit Commission, local residents and the owner to enable left turns to be made by eastbound Queensway traffic to northbound Roncesvalles as soon as possible and report to the Toronto and East York Community Council no later than June, 2006;
- (4) request the owner to consult with appropriate City staff, the Ward Councillor and the residents in the surrounding community to consider widening the driveway for

service and delivery vehicles to provide appropriate turning radius for vehicles entering and leaving this driveway.

**(Report 2, Clause 5)**

**2.5 Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – 464 College Street (Empire Building) (Ward 19 – Trinity-Spadina)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 2, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, respecting Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties – 464 College Street (Empire Building) (Ward 19 – Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) City Council include the property at 462 College Street (Empire Building) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties;
- (2) City Council include the property at 464 College Street (Empire Building) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 13, 2006) from the Toronto Preservation Board.

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 2, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) include the property at 464 College Street (Empire Building) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (2) authorize and direct the appropriate City Officials to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**(Report 2, Clause 6)**

**2.6 Harbord Village Heritage Conservation District – Phase 2 – Study Area (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 1, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, respecting Harbord Village Heritage Conservation District – Phase 2 – Study Area (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) City Council identify the area shown in Attachment No. 1 of this report as the Harbord Village Heritage Conservation District – Phase 2 - Study Area; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 13, 2006) from the Toronto Preservation Board.

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 1, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 1, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

**(Report 2, Clause 7)**

**2.7 Residential Demolition Application – 80 Chestnut Park Road (Ward 27 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

**AND**

**Demolition of a Building Located Within the South Rosedale Heritage Conservation District – 80 Chestnut Park Road (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 18, 2006) from the Deputy Chief Building Official and Director, respecting Residential Demolition Application – 80 Chestnut Park Road (Ward 27 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale) and Demolition of a Building Located Within the South Rosedale Heritage Conservation District – 80 Chestnut Park Road (Ward 27 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and

recommending that Toronto and East York Community Council adopt and recommend that City Council either:

- (1) refuse the application to demolish the subject residential buildings because there is no permit for a replacement building on the site; or
- (2) approve the application to demolish the subject residential building without conditions; or,
- (3) approve the application to demolish the subject residential building with the following conditions:
  - (a) a Heritage Permit shall be approved by City Council; and
  - (b) approval from Parks, Forestry and Recreation regarding trees' removal.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following report/communication:

- Report (December 9, 2005) from Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division recommending that:
  - (1) in accordance with Section 42(1) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, Council approve the request to demolish the "unrated" building located at 80 Chestnut Park Road; and
  - (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and
- Communication (January 13, 2006) from the Toronto Preservation Board.

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 9, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) adopt Recommendation (3) of the report (January 18, 2006) from the Deputy Chief Building Official and Director and in so doing approve the application to demolish the residential building at 80 Chestnut Park Road with the following conditions:

- (a) a Heritage Permit shall be approved by City Council; and
  - (b) approval from Parks, Forestry and Recreation regarding the removals of trees; and
- (2) adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 9, 2005) from Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division and in so doing, in accordance with Section 42(1) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, approve the request to demolish the “unrated” residential at 80 Chestnut Park Road and authorize and direct the appropriate City Officials to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**(Report 2, Clause 8)**

**2.8 Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties - 457-463 King Street East (Wilkins Buildings) (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (November 2, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, respecting the Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties - 457-463 King Street East (Wilkins Buildings) (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) City Council include the properties at 457-463 King Street East (Wilkins Buildings) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 13, 2006) from the Toronto Preservation Board.

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (November 2, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, subject to the revisions in Attachment No. 3 revised, headed “Reasons for Listing – 457-463 King Street East”.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (November 2, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division subject to replacing Attachment 3, headed “Reasons for Listing – 457-463 King Street East” attached to this report, with the revised

Attachment 3 attached to the communication (January 13, 2006), from the Toronto Preservation Board.

**(Report 2, Clause 9)**

**2.9 Intention to Designate under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act - 81 St. Nicholas**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 2, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, respecting Intention to Designate under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act – 81 St. Nicholas, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 81 St. Nicholas Street (Robert Barron Stables) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
- (2) if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
- (3) if there are any objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a communication (January 13, 2006) from the Toronto Preservation Board.

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 2, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 2, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

**(Report 2, Clause 10)**

**2.10 Alterations to a Heritage Building and Authority to Enter into a Heritage Easement Agreement - 34 and 34A Hazelton Avenue (Ward 27 - Toronto Centre – Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 6, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, respecting Alterations to a Heritage Building and Authority to Enter into a Heritage Easement Agreement - 34 and 34A Hazelton Avenue (Ward 27 - Toronto Centre – Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) alterations to the heritage property as shown in the plans prepared by Hariri Pontarini Architects (dated December 5, 2005) be approved subject to the owner:
  - (a) prior to the introduction of Bills in Council:
    - (i) entering into and registering on title a Heritage Easement Agreement with the City to provide for the permanent protection of the heritage building;
  - (b) prior to the issuance of a building permit:
    - (ii) providing final plans satisfactory to the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services;
    - (iii) providing a Conservation Plan detailing interventions and conservation work to the heritage building satisfactory to the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services;
    - (iv) providing financial security in an amount and form satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, for the protection of the building during construction and to implement the Conservation Plan.
- (2) authority be granted by City Council for the execution of a Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* with the owners of 34 & 34A Hazelton Avenue, using substantially the form of easement agreement prepared in February 1987 by the City Solicitor on file with the City Clerk, subject to such amendments as may be deemed necessary by the City Solicitor in consultation with the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:



- Communication (January 13, 2006) from the Toronto Preservation Board.

Recommendation:

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 6, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, subject to adding the following new Recommendation (3) and renumbering the remaining Recommendation:

“(3) the style of windows be retained as close to the original as possible; and further, that the brick be reused.”

- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Elizabeth C. H. Macmillan.

The following appeared before the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- Elizabeth Macmillan;
- Shirley Morriss, ABC Residents’ Association; and
- Michael McClelland, ERA Architects.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 6, 2005) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division subject to adding the following new Recommendation (3) and renumbering the existing Recommendation (3) accordingly:

“(3) the style of windows be retained as close to the original as possible; and further, that the brick be reused;”.

**(Report 2, Clause 11)**

**2.11 Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 1100 Bathurst Street (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 20, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 1100 Bathurst Street (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that the requested variance to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated double-sided roof sign on top of the building at 1100 Bathurst Street be refused.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Matthew Cowley;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Rami Tabello;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Steve Mercer;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Liz Hayward;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Ashlee Cooper;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Albert Kwan;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Emily Tu;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Cynthia Gould;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Stewart Russell;
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Heather L. McDonald;
- Communication (February 5, 2006) from Karen Sun;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Ashlee Cooper;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Andrew Woodrow;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Cynthia Gould;
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Andrew Brown; and
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Kevin Bracken.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council refuse the requested variance to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated double-sided roof sign on top of the building at 1100 Bathurst Street.

**(Report 2, Clause 12)**

**2.12 Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 25 Bishop Tutu Boulevard (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (December 7, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 25 Bishop Tutu Boulevard (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that the request for variances be refused to permit a third-party fascia sign on the property known as 25 Bishop Tutu Boulevard.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (January 13, 2006) from Paul Seaman, President, Seaman and Associates;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Matthew Cowley;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Alison Gorbould;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Rami Tabetlo;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Steve Mercer;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Liz Hayward;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Ashlee Cooper;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Albert Kwan;
- Communication (January 15, 2006) from Emily Tu;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Cynthia Gould;
- Communication (January 16, 2006) from Stewart Russell;
- Communication (January 30, 2006) from Josh Paterson;
- Communication (January 25, 2006) from Albert Kwan;
- Communication (January 26, 2006) from Fraser McDonald;
- Communication (January 26, 2006) from Steve Mercer;

- Communication (January 26, 2006) from Juliet Palmer;
- Communication (February 2, 2006) from Leslie S. Abro;
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Heather L. McDonald;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Ashlee Cooper;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Joseph Clement;
- Communication (February 5, 2006) from Karen Sun;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Andrew Woodrow; and
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Andrew Brown.

The Toronto and East York Community Council:

- (1) postponed consideration of the report (December 7, 2005) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District to its next meeting on April 4, 2006 and, in the meantime, requested the Executive Director, Municipal, Licensing and Standards to ensure that an illegal sign is not erected on 25 Bishop Tutu Boulevard; and (Councillor Silva)
- (2) requested the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District to consult with the Toronto Community Housing Corporation and the residents and report to the April 4, 2006 meeting on the possibility of a mural being erected on this site with or without the third party fascia sign. (Councillor Pantalone)

(Letter sent to: Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards, Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, Derek Ballantyne, Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Community Housing Corporation; c.: Heather Inglis Baron, Planner, West Section, Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(a))**

**2.13 Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal – 143 Lake Shore Boulevard East (Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 13, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of

Toronto Municipal – 143 Lake Shore Boulevard East (Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) the requested variance to permit replacement of an existing v-shaped roof sign with a newly designed roof sign consisting of an integrated LED video screen, for third party advertising purposes, on top of the building at 143 Lake Shore Boulevard East be refused.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (February 1, 2006) from Paul Seaman, Clear Channel Outdoor;
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Heather L. McDonald;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Ashlee Cooper;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Alison Gorbould;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- Communication (February 5, 2006) from Karen Sun;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Andrew Woodrow; and
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Andrew Brown.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of this matter to its next meeting on April 4, 2006.

(Letter sent to: Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; c.: Norm Girdhar, Assistant Planner, East Section, Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(b))**

**2.14 Request for Exemption to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 – Fences – 132 Inglewood Drive (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (November 4, 2005) from the Acting Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, Toronto and East York District, respecting Request for Exemption to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 – Fences – 132 Inglewood Drive (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that the exemption for the existing solid wood fence at the subject property not be granted as the fence does not comply with the standards prescribed in the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (January 18, 2006) from Diane Horton, applicant; and
- Communication (January 26, 2006) from Councillor Rae requesting that this item be deferred to the April 4, 2006 meeting.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of this matter to its next meeting on April 4, 2006.

(Letter sent to: Acting Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, Toronto and East York District; c.: Warwick R. Perrin, Acting Co-ordinator, Toronto and East York District, Municipal Licensing and Standards, Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 3, Other Items Clause 51(c))**

**2.15 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Residential Boulevard Parking at 105 Beaconsfield Avenue (Ward 18 - Davenport)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 16, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Residential Boulevard Parking at 105 Beaconsfield Avenue (Ward 18 - Davenport), and recommending that City Council deny the request for residential boulevard parking at 105 Beaconsfield Avenue.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a Revised Page 2 of Report (January 13, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council, notwithstanding that the property is within an area authorized for permit parking, has access to a feasible parking area on private property and the existing concrete paving does not meet the City's paving specifications, approve the request for residential boulevard parking at 105 Beaconsfield Avenue, as shown on Appendix 'A' of the report (January 16, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, subject to:

- (a) the parking area not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.0 m in dimension;
- (b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, such as ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the General Manager of Transportation Services;

- (c) the applicant planting a full shade canopy tree, at the applicant's expense and to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation; and
- (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks;

Mark A. MacNeill appeared before the Toronto and East York Community Council.

**(Report 2, Clause 13)**

**2.16 Application for Commercial Boulevard Parking for Three Additional Vehicles on the Roxton Road Flank of 1102 Dundas Street West (Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 13, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Application for Commercial Boulevard Parking for Three Additional Vehicles on the Roxton Road Flank of 1102 Dundas Street West (Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that City Council approve the request for commercial boulevard parking for an additional three compact vehicles on the Roxton Road flank of 1102 Dundas Street West, as shown on Appendix 'A', subject to:

- (a) the parking areas not exceeding 2.6 m by 4.6 m in dimension;
- (b) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll has a favourable result; and
- (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks.

On motion by Deputy Mayor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 13, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District subject to:

- (1) deleting the following Recommendation (b):
  - “(b) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll has a favourable result; and”;
- (2) requesting the applicant to install tree guards for the four trees located on the Roxton Road Flank of 1102 Dundas Street West to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation.

Mark A. MacNeill appeared before the Toronto and East York Community Council.

**(Report 2, Clause 14)**

**2.17 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Residential Boulevard Parking on the Concord Avenue Flank of 78 Hallam Street (Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 17, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Residential Boulevard Parking on the Concord Avenue Flank of 78 Hallam Street (Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that City Council deny the application for residential boulevard parking on the Concord Avenue flank of 78 Hallam Street.

On motion by Deputy Mayor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of this matter to its next meeting on April 4, 2006.

Hope Terry appeared before the Toronto and East York Community Council.  
(Letter sent to: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District; c.: Eric Jensen, Supervisor, Right of Way Management, Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(d))**

**2.18 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening for Two Vehicles at 139 Lascelles Boulevard (Ward 22 - St. Paul's)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 16, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening for Two Vehicles at 139 Lascelles Boulevard (Ward 22 - St. Paul's), and recommending that City Council deny the application for driveway widening for two vehicles at 139 Lascelles Boulevard.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of this matter to its next meeting on April 4, 2006.

(Letter sent to: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District; c.: Eric Jensen, Supervisor, Right of Way Management; Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(e))**



**2.19 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Angled Driveway Widening at 148 Lascelles Boulevard (Ward 22 - St. Paul's)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 16, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Angled Driveway Widening at 148 Lascelles Boulevard (Ward 22 - St. Paul's), and recommending that City Council deny the application for angled driveway widening at 148 Lascelles Boulevard.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a communication (February 3, 2006) from Barbara J. Austin.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- (1) postponed consideration of this matter to its next meeting on April 4, 2006; (Councillor Pantalone)
- (2) requested the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation to report to that meeting on the work that has been carried out in the front area of this property and its impact on the City-owned tree; and
- (3) requested the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District to:
  - (a) consult with the Toronto Real Estate Board on the issue of Front Yard Parking permits and the need for Real Estate Agents to determine and disclose the status of parking pads on properties being offered for sale; and
  - (b) consult with the City Solicitor to determine if there are any legal mechanisms that can be imposed to protect a potential home owner when purchasing a property with a parking pad; (Councillor Ootes)

and report to the April 4, 2006 meeting of the Toronto and East York Community Council on the outcome of these consultations.

(Letter sent to: General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation; Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District; c.: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, Toronto Real Estate Board, City Solicitor, Eric Jensen, Supervisor, Right of Way Management, Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(f))**

**2.20 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening at 100 Manor Road East (St. Paul's - Ward 22)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 23, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening at 100 Manor Road East (St. Paul's - Ward 22), and recommending that City Council deny the application for driveway widening at 100 Manor Road East.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of this matter to its next meeting on April 4, 2006.

(Letter sent to: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District; c.: Eric Jensen, Supervisor, Right of Way Management, Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(g))**

**2.21 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening for a Second Vehicle at 318 Forman Avenue (Ward 22 – St. Paul's)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 11, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening for a Second Vehicle at 318 Forman Avenue (Ward 22 – St. Paul's), and recommending that City Council deny the application for driveway widening for a second vehicle at 318 Forman Avenue.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a communication (February 1, 2006) from Carolyn Rich, applicant.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of this matter to its next meeting on April 4, 2006.

(Letter sent to: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District c.: Eric Jensen, Supervisor, Right of Way Management, Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(h))**

**2.22 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Residential Boulevard Parking for Four Additional Vehicles at 87 Metcalfe Street (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 12, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Residential Boulevard Parking for Four Additional Vehicles at 87 Metcalfe Street (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that City Council deny the request for residential boulevard parking for four additional vehicles at 87 Metcalfe Street.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (February 2, 2006) from Judy M. Earl;
- Communication (February 2, 2006) from K.R. Irene Bailey and Graeme H.E. Jones;
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Lawrence Earl; and
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Katherine Burke and Robert Knott.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council deny the application for residential boulevard parking for four additional vehicles at 87 Metcalfe Street.

**(Report 2, Clause 15)**

**2.23 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Residential Boulevard Parking on the Stanton Avenue Flank of 213 Hastings Avenue (Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 12, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Residential Boulevard Parking on the Stanton Avenue Flank of 213 Hastings Avenue (Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth), and recommending that City Council deny the application for residential boulevard parking on the Stanton Avenue flank of 213 Hastings Avenue.

Greg Vinci appeared before the Toronto and East York Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of this matter to its next meeting on April 4, 2006.

(Letter sent to: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District; c.: Eric Jensen, Supervisor, Right of Way Management, Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(i))**

**2.24 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening at 75 McGee Street (Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 16, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening at 75 McGee Street (Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth), and recommending that City Council deny the application for driveway widening at 75 McGee Street.

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council deny the application for driveway widening at 75 McGee Street.

**(Report 2, Clause 16)**

**2.25 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking for a Second Vehicle at 48 Williamson Road (Ward 32 - Beaches-East York)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 17, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting a Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking for a Second Vehicle at 48 Williamson Road (Ward 32 - Beaches-East York), and recommending that City Council deny the application for front yard parking for a second vehicle at 48 Williamson Road.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a communication (February 6, 2006) from Deputy Mayor Bussin.

Dawn McArthur appeared before the Toronto and East York Community Council.

On motion by Deputy Mayor Bussin, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council, notwithstanding that the application is for more than one vehicle, approve the application for front yard parking for a second vehicle at 48 Williamson Road, as shown on Appendix 'A' of the report (January 17, 2006) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, subject to:

- (a) the parking area for each vehicle not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.0 m in dimension; and
- (b) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking.

**(Report 2, Clause 17)**

**2.26 Bicycle Lane Guidelines and Royal York Road Pavement Marking Options (All Wards)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a communication (December 19, 2005) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on December 14 and 16, 2005, considered Clause 1b of Report 9 in Works Committee, headed "Bicycle Lane Guidelines and Royal York Road Pavement Marking Options (All Wards)", and ruled the following staff Recommendation (2) contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (September 26, 2005) from the General Manager, Transportation Services, out of order, and referred this matter:

- (1) back to the Works Committee for further consideration, in order to provide an opportunity for Councillor Moscoe to address the Committee; and
- (2) to the Community Councils, with a request that the Community Councils provide comments on the Bicycle Lane Guidelines to the Works Committee.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a communication (February 6, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager.

On motion by Deputy Mayor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council received this matter.

(Letter sent to: Works Committee c.: Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(j))**

**2.27 Residential Front Yard Parking Through Zoning and Front Yard Parking Permits – Supplementary Report No. 1 – Public Consultation (All Wards)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 23, 2006) from the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division and the General Manager, Transportation Services, respecting Residential Front Yard Parking Through Zoning and Front Yard Parking Permits – Supplementary Report No. 1 – Public Consultation (All Wards), and respecting that Community Councils provide comments on the proposed changes to the residential front yard parking regulations to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning and the General Manager, Transportation Services.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a communication (February 7, 2006) from Alex Murray.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a presentation from Klaus Lehmann, Project Manager, Policy and Research, City Planning and Andrew Koropeski, Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

The following appeared before the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- Alex Murray; and
- John Viktorin.

On motion by Councillor Davis, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended to Planning and Transportation and Works Committees, and advises the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning and the General Manager, Transportation Services, that:

- (1) the Planning and Transportation and Works Committees be advised of its strong support for the general approach and direction of the proposed changes to the residential front yard parking regulations, and submits the following recommended amendments:
  - (a) where residential parking is within an area prohibited for front yard or boulevard parking and where a property has no other alternative parking options, then, subject to the property meeting all other physical requirements including a favourable poll, that the General Manager of Transportation Services be authorized to report to the appropriate Community Council on the approval of the application, as suggested by Alex Murray in his communication (February 7, 2006);
  - (b) the General Manager, Transportation Services be requested to review the polling provisions to consider requiring a poll of residents at least every three years even if no licensed parking pad exists on the street;

- (c) for a period of at least 5 years after the enactment of the by-law, if an illegal pad is identified then the owner be given an adequate opportunity to legalise or remove the pad prior to a fine being imposed; and

On motion by Deputy Mayor Bussin:

- (2) if there is a delay in implementing the proposed changes to the residential front yard parking regulations, that these proposed regulations be applied to Ward 32 and that the moratorium on accepting applications for front yard parking currently in effect in that Ward be lifted; and
- (3) a joint meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee and the Works Committee be scheduled to consider these recommendations.

On motion by Councillor Mihevc:

The Toronto and East York Community Council requested the General Manager, Transportation Services to report to the Planning and Transportation and Works Committees on:

- (1) options for reducing the inspection fee and/or increasing fines for unlicensed existing parking pads in order to provide an incentive to legalize pads; and
- (2) ways to implement permit parking on streets where no permit parking exists, prior to parking pad applications being considered;
- (3) options for polling taking into consideration the current process in place for Ward 29; and
- (4) an educational protocol which would clearly advise the City's residents on the new procedures when implemented.

(Letter sent to: Planning and Transportation Committee, Works Committee General Manager, Transportation Services, Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning; c.: Deputy City Manager, Administrator, Planning and Transportation Committee, Interested Persons – February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(k))**

**2.28 Request to Prohibit Driveway Widening and Appeals, and to Grandparent all Existing Licensed Locations within Ward 30 (Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth)**

**(Postponed from January 17 and 19, 2006)**

The Toronto and East York community Council had before it a report (December 9, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District respecting Request to Prohibit Driveway Widening and Appeals, and to Grandparent all Existing Licensed Locations within Ward 30 (Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth), and recommending that:

- (1) driveway widening be prohibited with no right of appeal within Ward 30;
- (2) all currently licensed locations within Ward 30 be grandparented; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of all necessary Bills.

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council postponed consideration of this matter until such time that City Council has considered the proposed changes to the residential front yard parking regulations

(Letter sent to: Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District; c.: Kyp Perikleous, Supervisor, Right of Way Management, Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(l))**

**2.29 Report of the Governing Toronto Advisory Panel, “The City We Want - The Government We Need”**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a communication (January 13, 2005) from the City Clerk, advising that City Council on December 5, 6 and 7, 2005, adopted Report 11, Clause 1, of the Policy and Finance Committee headed “The City We Want – The Government We Need”, and in so doing forwarded a copy of the report to all Community Councils for public consultation, and requested Community Councils to submit their comments to the Policy and Finance Committee for its meeting to be held in May 2006, in conjunction with a forthcoming report from the City Manager.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (February 1, 2006) from Councillor Ashton, Chair, Governance Implementation Working Group advising of the dates and locations of public consultation sessions;



- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Linda Sheppard;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Aileen MacDonald and Hilary J. Bell, The WestBend Community Association;
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Matthias Schlaepfer;
- Communication (February 5, 2006) from Nicholas Singh;
- Communication (February 5, 2006) from Phyllis Creighton;
- Communication (February 4, 2006) from David Simmons;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Brian Graff;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Mary Lou Dickinson;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Bruce Budd;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Josh Paterson;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Madelyn Webb;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from John Ellis, Vice President, Beach Triangle Residents' Association;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from George Milbrandt, Co-Chair, FoNTRA, and Peter Baker, Co-Chair, FoNTRA;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from David White, Co-Chair, Direct City Action;
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Dennis Findlay;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Corrie Galloway;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Shannon Shearer and Carman Guerrieri;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Terri-Lynn Leeking;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from James Phelan;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Nan Budding;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Richard Reinert;

- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Julie Beddoes;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Dagmar Baur;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Linda J. Freedman;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Suzanne McCormick;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Charles Braive; and
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Christian Skjodt.

Liz White appeared before the Toronto and East York Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council directed that the portion of its meeting on February 7, 2006 scheduled to consider the Report of the Governing Toronto Advisory Panel "The City We Want", be continued at its next meeting on April 4, 2006 at 6.00 p.m..

On motion by Councillor Watson, the Toronto and East York Community Council directed that speakers who have spoken at the portion of the meeting begun on February 7, 2006, not be permitted to speak again at its continued meeting on April 4, 2006.

On motion by Councillor Fletcher requested that notice of the continued meeting on April 4, 2006 be placed on the City's website and other community calendars and announcements in community newspapers.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: City Manager - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(m))**

**2.30 Preliminary Report - Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application - 150 Sudbury Street (Ward 18 – Davenport)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District respecting a Preliminary Report - Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application - 150 Sudbury Street (Ward 18 – Davenport), and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;

- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners within 120 metres of the site as well as those who have registered as interested parties in relation to the West Queen West Triangle Area Study; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Giambrone, the Toronto and East York Community Council approved the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

(Letter sent to: Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; c.: Elsie Hug, Planner, West Section, Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(n))**

**2.31 Preliminary Report - Rezoning Application - 524 Dupont Street and 903 Palmerston Avenue (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 17, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Preliminary Report - Rezoning Application - 524 Dupont Street and 903 Palmerston Avenue (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina), and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto and East York Community Council approved the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 17, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

(Letter sent to: Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; c.: Steve Daniels, Planner, Toronto and East York District, Midtown Section, Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(o))**

**2.32 Preliminary Report - Rezoning Application - 555 Sherbourne Street (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 19, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Preliminary Report - Rezoning Application - 555 Sherbourne Street (Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor; and
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 300 metres of the site.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council approved the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 19, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

(Letter sent to: Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; c.: Kyle Knoeck, Planner, East Section, Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(p))**

**2.33 Further Report – Amendment to Section 37 Agreement - Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application – Wellesley Central Health Corporation – 146-160 Wellesley Street East (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 16, 2006) Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Further Report – Amendment to Section 37 Agreement - Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application – Wellesley Central Health Corporation – 146-160 Wellesley Street East (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that City Council:

- (1) authorize the City Solicitor in consultation with the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, to amend Section 6 of the registered Section 37 Agreement to permit the relocation of a pedestrian easement in favour of the City to the northerly portion of Parcel B on Block 1; and
- (2) authorize City officials to take necessary steps, including the execution and registration of an amending Section 37 Agreement in a manner satisfactory to the City Solicitor, to give effect to Recommendation (1).

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a Draft Notice of Motion from Councillor Rae.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 16, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; and
- (2) recognize that the pedestrian easement on Block 1 is a surface easement to be identified on a strata plan and authorize the City Solicitor to include provisions in the amending Section 37 Agreement requiring the respective owners of Block 1 and Block 3 to provide and maintain the pedestrian easement lands for public use, provide and maintain insurance coverage, and indemnify the City with respect to any liability relating to these public easements.

**(Report 2, Clause 18)**

**2.34 Status Report - Rezoning Application - 90 Stadium Road (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 19, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Status Report - Rezoning Application - 90 Stadium Road (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina), responding to the request by Toronto East York Community Council further to its meeting of November 15, 2005 that the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, explore with the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation (TWRC), the possibility of purchasing 90 Stadium Road for waterfront parks purposes, and recommending that City Council receive this report for information.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a report (January 30, 2006) from the City Solicitor. This confidential report is distributed under confidential cover, and because it relates to solicitor-client privilege, under the *Municipal Act, 2001* discussions may be held in-camera.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (February 5, 2006) from Michael E. Comrie;
- Communication (February 2, 2006) from Marlene Resendes; and
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Ali Belai.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- (1) recommended that City Council receive the report (January 19, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; and
- (2) submitted the confidential report (January 30, 2006) from the City Solicitor, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover, to Council without recommendation and, in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions relating to this matter be held in camera as it relates to solicitor-client privilege.

**(Report 2, Clause 19)**

**2.35 Chapter 297, Sign By-law, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code and the Removal of Illegal Signs in the Toronto and East York Community Council Area (All Wards)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 24, 2006) from the Acting Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards respecting Chapter 297, Sign By-law, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code and the Removal of Illegal Signs in the Toronto and East York Community Council Area (All Wards) and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- (1) received the report (January 24, 2006) from the Acting Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards; and
- (2) requested the Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards to consult with all members of the Toronto and East York Community Council and report to the next meeting of Toronto and East York Community Council on April 4, 2006 with an action plan with recommendations on an enforcement plan to deal with the proliferation of illegal third party signs and include in the report:
  - (a) an indication of the number of illegal signs that currently exist; and
  - (b) how members of Council can distinguish between legal and illegal signs

(Letter sent to: Acting Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards; c.: Elizabeth Glibbery, Manager, Toronto and East York District, Municipal Licensing and Standards, Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(q))**

**2.36 Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 2 St. Clair Avenue West (Ward 22 – St. Paul’s)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 19, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 2 St. Clair Avenue West (Ward 22 – St. Paul’s), and recommending that:

- (1) the request for a variance to permit, for identification purposes, two illuminated fascia signs on the south and east elevations of the building at 2 St. Clair Avenue West be approved; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 19, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

**(Report 2, Clause 20)**

**2.37 Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 1 Dundas Street West (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 1 Dundas Street West (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) the request for a variance to permit an animated copy video display sign, for first and third party advertising purposes, on the northwest corner of the new building forming part of the Eaton Centre complex at 1 Dundas Street West be approved;
- (2) the applicant be advised, should Council approve the variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official for a sign substantially in accordance with the drawings dated stamped as received on December 1, 2005, and on file with the City Planning Division;
- (3) prior to the issuance of any sign permits as identified in Recommendation 2, the applicant shall provide to the Transportation Services Division an irrevocable Letter of Credit or certified cheque payable to the Treasurer, City of Toronto, in

the amount of \$2,500 to pay for an 'after study' to be conducted once the proposed animated copy video display sign has been installed to determine if there is an impact on traffic safety; and

- (4) the proposed animated copy video display sign comply with any recommendations resulting from the 'after study' identified in Recommendation 3 to the satisfaction of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Heather L. McDonald;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Ashlee Cooper;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Alison Gorbould;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Alice Barton;
- Communication (February 5, 2006) from Karen Sun;
- Communication (February 3, 2006) from Andrew Woodrow; and
- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Andrew Brown.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

**(Report 2, Clause 21)**

**2.38 Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code and from By-law No. 13409, the University Avenue By-law – 393 University Avenue (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 13, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code and from By-law No. 13409, the University Avenue By-law – 393 University Avenue (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) the requested variance to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated pedestal sign along the University; and



- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 13, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

**(Report 2, Clause 22)**

**2.39 Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 111 Avenue Road (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 19, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 111 Avenue Road (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) the request for variances to permit, for identification purposes, four projecting signs, an illuminated fascia sign in the form of a corporate name and an illuminated fascia sign in the form of a corporate logo, at the top floor level on the front elevation of the building at 111 Avenue Road be approved; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 19, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

**(Report 2, Clause 23)**

**2.40 Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 2 Bloor Street East (90 Bloor Street East) (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 13, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 2 Bloor Street East (90 Bloor Street East) (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) the request for a variance to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated v-shaped projecting “Marriott” sign on the front elevation of the building at 2 Bloor Street East (90 Bloor Street East) be approved; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 13, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

**(Report 2, Clause 24)**

**2.41 Prohibition of Large Vehicles – Laneway System bounded by Beaconsfield Avenue, Argyle Street, Lisgar Street and Queen Street West (Ward 18 – Davenport)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Prohibition of Large Vehicles – Laneway System bounded by Beaconsfield Avenue, Argyle Street, Lisgar Street and Queen Street West (Ward 18 – Davenport), and recommending that:

- (1) vehicles over 2.0 metres in width be prohibited from travelling in the laneway system bounded by Beaconsfield Avenue, Argyle Street and Lisgar Street as shown on the attached Drawing No. 421F-8174, dated January 2006; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a Revised Page 1 of the Report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

On motion by Councillor Giambrone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

**(Report 2, Clause 25)**

**2.42 Establishment of a Pick-up and Drop-off Zone for Disabled Persons – 69 Salem Avenue (Ward 18 – Davenport)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 18, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Establishment of a Pick-up and Drop-off Zone for Disabled Persons – 69 Salem Avenue (Ward 18 – Davenport), and recommending that:

- (1) an on-street pick-up and drop-off zone for disabled persons operating from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. daily, be established on the east side of Salem Avenue from a point 139.5 metres south of Shanly Street to a point 11 metres further south; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Giambrone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 18, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

**(Report 2, Clause 26)**

**2.43 Proposed Rescission of the Weekday Afternoon Rush Period Stopping Prohibition – Avenue Road, east side, from Kilbarry Road to Hillholm Road and Kilbarry Road, north side, from Avenue Road to Oriole Parkway (Ward 22 – St. Paul’s)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Proposed Rescission of the Weekday Afternoon Rush Period Stopping Prohibition – Avenue Road, east side, from Kilbarry Road to Hillholm Road and Kilbarry Road, north side, from Avenue Road to Oriole Parkway (Ward 22 – St. Paul’s), and recommending that:

- (1) the existing “No Stopping from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the east side of Avenue Road, from Oxtan Avenue to Kilbarry Road, be adjusted to operate from Oxtan Avenue to Hillholm Road;
- (2) the existing “No Stopping from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition, on the north side of Kilbarry Road, from Avenue Road to Oriole Parkway, be rescinded; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

**(Report 2, Clause 27)**

**2.44 Reduction of the Speed Limit to Fifty Kilometres Per Hour – Mount Pleasant Road, between Jarvis Street and Crescent Road (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Reduction of the Speed Limit to Fifty Kilometres Per Hour – Mount Pleasant Road, between Jarvis Street and Crescent Road (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) the maximum speed limit of sixty kilometres per hour on Mount Pleasant Road, between Jarvis Street and Inglewood Drive be rescinded;
- (2) a maximum speed limit of sixty kilometres per hour on Mount Pleasant Road, between Crescent Road and Inglewood Drive be introduced;
- (3) a maximum speed limit of fifty kilometres per hour on Mount Pleasant Road, between Jarvis Street and Crescent Road be introduced; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it a communication (February 1, 2006) from Elizabeth Bandeen.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Stephen Moranis appeared before the Toronto and East York Community Council.

**(Report 2, Clause 28)**

**2.45 Request to Prohibit Westbound Left Turns, between 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday – O’Connor Drive and Hutton Avenue (Ward 31 – Beaches-East York)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 24, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Request to Prohibit Westbound Left Turns, between 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday – O’Connor Drive and Hutton Avenue (Ward 31 – Beaches-East York), and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Davis, with Councillor Fletcher in the Chair, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) westbound left turns at the intersection from O’Connor Drive to Hutton Avenue be prohibited between 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m., and 4:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday (Public Holidays Excepted); and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

**(Report 2, Clause 29)**

**2.46 Proposed Amendments to Parking Regulations – Dupont Street, between Dufferin Street and Dovercourt Road (Ward 18 – Davenport)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 18, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Proposed Amendments to Parking Regulations – Dupont Street, between Dufferin Street and Dovercourt Road (Ward 18 – Davenport), and recommending that:

- (1) the “No Parking” regulation in effect from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., except Saturday, Sunday and public holidays, on the north side of Dupont Street, from a point 33.5 metres west of Dovercourt Road to Emerson Avenue, be amended to be in effect from Dufferin Street to Emerson Avenue;
- (2) the “No Parking” regulation in effect from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., except Saturday, Sunday and public holidays, on the south side of Dupont Street, from Dufferin Street to Concord Avenue, be amended to be in effect from Dovercourt Road to Concord Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Giambrone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 18, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

**(Report 2, Clause 30)**

**2.47 Provision of On-Street Parking Spaces Exclusively for Use by Buses in Proximity to the Toronto Coach Terminal (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Provision of On-Street Parking Spaces Exclusively for Use by Buses in Proximity to the Toronto Coach Terminal (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) the regulations outlined in Appendix 1, attached to this report, in respect to parking, standing or stopping on the sections of Chestnut Street, Edward Street and Elm Street, identified in this report and in the aforementioned Appendix 1, be rescinded;
- (2) the regulations outlined in Appendix 2, attached to this report, in respect to parking, standing or stopping on the sections of Chestnut Street, Edward Street and Elm Street, identified in this report and in the aforementioned Appendix 2, be implemented; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

The Toronto and East York Community Council requested the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to report to City Council on February 14, 2006 on how buses with out-of-province licence plates can be required to pay parking fines incurred.

**(Report 2, Clause 31)**

**2.48 Proposed Amendments to the Parking Regulations – Queen Street East, between Jarvis Street and Sherbourne Street (Wards 27 and 28 – Toronto-Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Proposed Amendments to the Parking Regulations – Queen Street East, between Jarvis Street and Sherbourne Street (Wards 27 and 28 – Toronto-Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) the existing “No Parking, from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday except public holidays” regulation on the north side of Queen Street East, from a point opposite the east curb line of George Street to Sherbourne Street be rescinded;
- (2) the existing “No Parking, from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday except public holidays” regulation on the south side of Queen Street East, from George Street to Sherbourne Street be rescinded;
- (3) the operation of the parking machines for a maximum period of two hours, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday and 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Saturday at a rate of \$1.50 an hour, on the north side of Queen Street East, from George Street to Sherbourne Street, be adjusted to apply from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday and 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Saturday;
- (4) the operation of the parking machines for a maximum period of two hours, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday and 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Saturday at a rate of \$1.50 an hour on the south side of Queen Street East, from George Street to Sherbourne Street, be adjusted to apply from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday and 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Saturday; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

**(Report 2, Clause 32)**

**2.49 Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities (Ward 14 – Parkdale-High Park; Wards 19 and 20 – Trinity-Spadina; Ward 29 – Toronto-Danforth and Ward 31 – Beaches-East York)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities (Ward 14 – Parkdale-High Park; Wards 19 and 20 – Trinity-Spadina; Ward 29 – Toronto-Danforth and Ward 31 – Beaches-East York), and recommending that:

- (1) the installation/removal of on-street disabled parking spaces as noted in Table “A” of this report be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take any action necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Watson, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 23, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

**(Report 2, Clause 33)**

**2.50 Poll Results – Implementation of Overnight On-Street Permit Parking on the eastern branch of Blair Street, between Tucker Street and the east/west branch of Blair Street, and on the western branch of Blair Street, between Tucker Street and Northline Road (Ward 31 - Beaches-East York)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 24, 2006) from the City Clerk, respecting Poll Results – Implementation of Overnight On-Street Permit Parking on the eastern branch of Blair Street, between Tucker Street and the east/west branch of Blair Street, and on the western branch of Blair Street, between Tucker Street and Northline Road (Ward 31 - Beaches-East York), and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Davis with Councillor Fletcher in the Chair, the Toronto and East York Community Council received the report (January 24, 2006) from the City Clerk.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(r))**



**2.51 Poll Results – Implementation of Overnight On-Street Permit Parking on Skopje Gate, between Blair Street and O’Connor Drive (Ward 31 – Beaches-East York)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 24, 2006) from the City Clerk, respecting Poll Results – Implementation of Overnight On-Street Permit Parking on Skopje Gate, between Blair Street and O’Connor Drive (Ward 31 – Beaches-East York), and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Davis with Councillor Fletcher in the Chair, the Toronto and East York Community Council received the report (January 24, 2006) from the City Clerk.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Christine Archibald, Administrator, Toronto and East York Community Council, Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(s))**

**2.52 Surplus Land Declaration and Proposed Closing of a Portion of Hilltop Road Abutting 27 Ridge Hill Drive (Ward 21 – St. Paul’s)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 23, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services and the Chief Corporate Officer, respecting Surplus Land Declaration and Proposed Closing of a Portion of Hilltop Road Abutting 27 Ridge Hill Drive (Ward 21 – St. Paul’s), and recommending that:

- (1) subject to compliance with the requirements of the Municipal Act, 2001, and subject to City Council approving the sale of the part of the public highway known as Hilltop Road, shown as Part 1 on Sketch No. PS-2005-023 (the “Highway”), the Highway be permanently closed as a public highway;
- (2) subject to City Council approving the sale of the Highway, notice be given to the public of a proposed by-law to permanently close the Highway, in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 162 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, and the Toronto and East York Community Council hear any member of the public who wishes to speak to the matter;
- (3) the Highway be declared surplus to the City’s requirements and all steps necessary to comply with the City’s real estate disposal process as set out in Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken;
- (4) the Chief Corporate Officer be authorized to invite an offer to purchase the Highway from the owner of Premises No. 27 Ridge Hill Drive;

- (5) following the closure of the Highway, an unobstructed easement with one (1) metre wide clearance, be reserved for the City, to maintain the existing fire hydrant and access thereto; and
- (6) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in City Council of any bills necessary to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 23, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services, and the Chief Corporate Officer.

**(Report 2, Clause 34)**

**2.53 Sale of 547A Parliament Street (Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 16, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer, respecting Sale of 547A Parliament Street (Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) the Offer to Purchase from 1671479 Ontario Inc. to purchase the property municipally known as 547A Parliament Street, being part of a Private Lane on Registered Plan D281 (the “Property”), as shown on the attached sketch, in the amount of \$36,000.00 be accepted, substantially on the terms outlined in Appendix “A” to this report, and that either one of the Chief Corporate Officer or the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized to accept the Offer on behalf of the City;
- (2) authority be granted to direct a portion of the proceeds of closing to pay the City's expenses related to the Property and the completion of the sale transaction;
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction on behalf of the City, including payment of any necessary expenses and amending the closing date and other transaction dates to such earlier or later date(s), and on such terms and conditions as she may from time to time consider reasonable; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 16, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer.

**(Report 2, Clause 35)**

**2.54 Use of Nathan Phillips Square: Camp Jumoke Turtle Walk – June 3, 2006 (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 17, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer, respecting Use of Nathan Phillips Square: Camp Jumoke Turtle Walk – June 3, 2006 (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) exemption be given to the event organizers of the “Camp Jumoke Turtle Walk” to solicit donations in support of the Camp Jumoke for children with sickle cell disease, a charitable organization (charitable number 89001- 4970-RR0001); and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 17, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer.

**(Report 2, Clause 36)**

**2.55 Use of Nathan Phillips Square: The Toronto Downtown Jazz Festival June 23 to July 2, 2006 (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 17, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer, respecting Use of Nathan Phillips Square: The Toronto Downtown Jazz Festival June 23 to July 2, 2006 (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) exemption be given to the event organizers to operate a beer garden and to serve wine and hard liquor contingent upon the following conditions:
  - (a) approval of the A.G.C.O.;
  - (b) approval of the Medical Officer of Health;
  - (c) compliance with the City of Toronto’s Municipal Alcohol Policy; and
  - (d) receipt of all the necessary permits associated with the production of the event i.e. building permit, noise by-law extension permit;
- (2) the Toronto Downtown Jazz Festival compensate the City of Toronto through the Facilities and Real Estate Division for all the City of Toronto costs associated with the event;

- (3) permission be granted for the event organizers to host “ticketed” performances and to solicit donations in support of the Toronto Downtown Jazz Society; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 17, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer.

**(Report 2, Clause 37)**

**2.56 Requests for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes (Ward 18 – Davenport; Wards 19 and 20 - Trinity-Spadina)**

On motion by Deputy Mayor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council, for liquor licence purposes, declare the following to be events of municipal and/or community significance and advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it has no objection to their taking place:

- (1) The Taste of Italy, taking place from 12.00 noon to 12.00 midnight from June 16 to 18, 2006 on College Street, between Shaw Street and Euclid Avenue and advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it has no objection to the following establishments being granted an extension of their liquor licences to permit the sale and service of alcohol on their patios from 12.00 noon to 12.00 midnight for the duration of this event;
  - Alto Basso, 718 College Street;
  - Bar Burrito, 593 College Street;
  - Bar Italia, 582 College Street;
  - Bella Vista, 660 College Street;
  - Bruyen Brothers Restaurant, 640 College Street;
  - Butt’s, 587 College Street;
  - Café Bar Azzuri, 598 College Street;
  - Café Diplomatico, 594 College Street;
  - Capitol Restaurant, 597 College Street;
  - Coco Lezzone, 602 College Street;
  - College Street Bar, 574 College Street;
  - Collision, 573 College Street;
  - Cupps Coffee House, 622 College Street;
  - Dining Room, 573 College Street
  - Eat My Martini, 648 College Street;
  - El Convento Rico, 750 College Street;
  - Giovanna Trattoria, 637 College Street;

- Ichiban Sushi, 635 College Street;
- Il Gatto Nero, 720 College Street;
- John's Classic Pizza, 591 College Street;
- Joya, 577 College Street;
- Kalendar, 546 College Street;
- L'Anjolino, 50 Clinton Street;
- La Forchetta, 613 College Street;
- La Porta Aperta, 651 College Street;
- Li'ly Lounge, 656 College Street;
- Liquids Lounge, 577A College Street;
- Marlowe, 558 College Street;
- Midtown, 552 College Street;
- Mod Club, 722 College Street;
- Mona's Shawarma, 661 College Street;
- Orbit Room, 580 College Street;
- Paez, 569 College Street;
- Perla Restaurant, 539 College Street;
- Pizz Nova, 703 College Street;
- Revival, 783 College Street;
- Sammy Joe's, 647 College Street;
- Segafredo Zanetti, 647 College Street;
- Sensual Café, 565 College Street;
- Sicilian Sidewalk Café, 712 College Street;
- Sidi's Café, 610 College Street;
- Sintra Wine Bar, 588 College Street;
- Sotto Voce, 595 College Street;
- Southside Louie's, 583 College Street;
- Sous Dal, 636 College Street;
- Spice Bistro, 577 College Street;
- Standard Club, 667 College Street;
- Starbuck's, 542 College Street;
- Sushi Bar, 695 College Street;
- Sushi Island, 571 College Street;
- Sutra Lounge, 612 College Street;
- Tavola Calda, 671 College Street;
- Tempo, 596 College Street;
- Temptation, 589 College Street;
- Tilt Lounge, 669 College Street;
- Trattoria Giancarlo, 41 Clinton Street;
- Urban Thai, 638 College Street;
- Utopia, 586 College Street;
- Veni Vedi Vici, 650 College Street;
- Vivoldi, 665 College Street;
- Wild Indigo, 607 College Street;
- Vecchio Frak, 690 College Street;
- Beba Bar, 614 College Street;

- Susi Bon, 695 College Street; and
  - 65 Degree Steakhouse, 584 College Street;
- (2) The Senhor Santo Cristo Festival taking place from 2.00 p.m. to 11.00 p.m. on May 20 to 21, 2006 at St. Mary's Church at Portugal Square (Bathurst Street and Adelaide Street West).

**(Report 2, Clause 1)**

**2.57 Directions Report – 629, 633 and 675 Eastern Avenue (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (February 1, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Directions Report – 629, 633 and 675 Eastern Avenue (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth), and recommending that City Council:

- (1) refuse the application for 629, 633 and 675 Eastern Avenue in its current form and authorize the City Solicitor and appropriate City staff to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to oppose the subject application;
- (2) authorize staff to enter into discussions with the applicants to work towards a settlement position and direct staff to bring forward any proposed settlement to City Council; and
- (3) Authorize staff to establish in consultation with the Ward Councillor, a working committee to provide input on the South of Eastern Study.

The Toronto and East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Communication (February 6, 2006) from Councillor Fletcher;
- Communication (February 5, 2006) from Suzanne McCormick;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Sharmaine Ryan;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Terri-Lynn Leeking;
- Communication (February 7, 2006) from Charles Braive; and
- Communication (September 30, 2005) from Terri-Lynn Leeking.

The following appeared before the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- Richard Walker;

- Terri-Lynn Leeking;
- Charles Braive;
- Michael Rosenberg;
- Milorad Novic; and
- Ken Ferguson, Toronto Film Studios.

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

- (1) recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (February 1, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District;
- (2) requested the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District to report to City Council on February 14, 2006 outlining the Terms of Reference for the Working Committee as outlined in Recommendation (3) of the report (February 1, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, and include such matters as the composition of the Committee, the time and location of the meetings, and the structure of the individual sessions; and
- (3) requested the General Manager, Economic Development and Culture to report to the Toronto and East York Community Council on the continuation of affordable studio space in the studio district.

**(Report 2, Clause 38)**

**2.58 Request for Alternate Side Parking - Afton Avenue, between the south branch of Lisgar Street and Northcote Avenue (Ward 18 - Davenport)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 26, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District, respecting Request for Alternate Side Parking - Afton Avenue, between the south branch of Lisgar Street and Northcote Avenue (Ward 18 - Davenport), and recommending that:

- (1) the current no parking anytime prohibition, except each Thursday from April 1<sup>st</sup> to November 30<sup>th</sup>, inclusive on the north side of Afton Avenue between Northcote Avenue and Lisgar Street; be rescinded;
- (2) the current no parking prohibition each Thursday from April 1<sup>st</sup> to November 30<sup>th</sup>, inclusive on the south side of Afton Avenue, between Northcote Avenue and Lisgar Street, be rescinded;
- (3) the current permit parking regulation 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m. at all times on the odd (south) side of Afton Street from south branch of Lisgar Street to Northcote Avenue, be rescinded;

- (4) parking be prohibited on the north side of Afton Avenue between Northcote Avenue and the south branch of Lisgar Street, from the first day to the 15<sup>th</sup> day of each month, April 1<sup>st</sup> to November 30<sup>th</sup>;
- (5) parking be prohibited on the south side of Afton Avenue between Northcote Avenue and south branch of Lisgar Street, from the 16<sup>th</sup> day to the last day of each month, April 1<sup>st</sup> to November 30<sup>th</sup>;
- (6) parking be prohibited on the south side of Afton Avenue between Northcote Avenue and the south branch of Lisgar Street, from December 1<sup>st</sup> of one year to March 31<sup>st</sup> of the next following year, inclusive;
- (7) permit parking be implemented from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., except from the first day to the 15<sup>th</sup> day of each month, April 1<sup>st</sup> to November 30<sup>th</sup>, inclusive, on the even (north) side of Afton Avenue, from Northcote Avenue to the south branch of Lisgar Street;
- (8) permit parking be implemented from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., except from the 16<sup>th</sup> day to the last day of each month, April 1<sup>st</sup> to November 30<sup>th</sup>, inclusive, and no parking December 1<sup>st</sup> of one year to March 31<sup>st</sup> of the next following year, inclusive on the odd (south) side of Afton Avenue, from Northcote Avenue to the south branch of Lisgar Street; and
- (9) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Giambrone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 26, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

**(Report 2, Clause 39)**

**2.59 Amendments to Parking Regulations - St. Clarens Avenue, between Hickson Street and Dundas Street West (Ward 18 - Davenport)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 27, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District, respecting Amendments to Parking Regulations - St. Clarens Avenue, between Hickson Street and Dundas Street West (Ward 18 - Davenport), and recommending that:

- (1) a one hour maximum parking regulation between the hours 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 midnight be implemented on the east side of St. Clarens Avenue from Hickson Street to Dundas Street West;



- (2) permit parking hours of operation on St. Clarens Avenue, between Hickson Street and Dundas Street West, be amended from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., daily to operate from 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., daily; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Giambrone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (January 27, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

**(Report 2, Clause 40)**

**2.60 Evaluation for the Installation of Speed Humps - Winnifred Avenue, Caroline Avenue and Berkshire Avenue, between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East (Ward 30 - Toronto - Danforth)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 27, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District, respecting Evaluation for the Installation of Speed Humps - Winnifred Avenue, Caroline Avenue and Berkshire Avenue, between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East (Ward 30 - Toronto - Danforth), and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, recommended that City Council, notwithstanding the results of the review undertaken by the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District:

- (1) authorize appropriate staff to develop a speed hump plan in consultation with the Ward Councillor and conduct a poll of eligible householders on each of Winnifred Avenue, Caroline Avenue and Berkshire Avenue, between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East to determine resident support, in accordance with the City of Toronto Traffic Calming Policy; and public notice be given pursuant to the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, including Notice of Study Commencement to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Service, Emergency Medical Service and Toronto Police Service;
- (2) subject to favourable results of each of the polls direct that;
  - (a) a by-law be prepared for the alteration of sections of the roadways on Winnifred Avenue, Caroline Avenue and Berkshire Avenue, between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East for traffic calming purposes generally as shown on the speed hump plan circulated to residents through the polling process;

- (b) pursuant to the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, Notice of Completion be issued;
  - (c) the speed limit be reduced from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on Winnifred Avenue, Caroline Avenue and Berkshire Avenue, between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (3) authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

**(Report 2, Clause 41)**

**2.61 Continuation of One-Year Trial Programme - Mechanical street sweeping within the area bounded by Bloor Street West to the north, CN rail corridor to the west, College Street to the south and Dufferin Street to the east (Ward 18 - Davenport)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (January 26, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District, respecting Continuation of One-Year Trial Programme - Mechanical street sweeping within the area bounded by Bloor Street West to the north, CN rail corridor to the west, College Street to the south and Dufferin Street to the east (Ward 18 - Davenport), and recommending that:

- (1) the parking prohibitions as described for each of the streets in the area bounded by Bloor Street West to the north, CN rail corridor to the west, College Street to the south and Dufferin Street to the east, as set out in Schedule "A" attached to this report be enacted in order to continue the programme of alternating parking on Thursdays from April 1<sup>st</sup> to November 30<sup>th</sup> of each year;
- (2) the parking prohibitions as described for each of the streets as set out in Schedule "B" which were in place for the pilot programme from April 1<sup>st</sup> to November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2005, be rescinded; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Giambrone, the Toronto and East York Community Council submitted this matter to City Council without recommendation.

**(Report 2, Clause 42)**

**2.62 Appointments to the Applegrove Board of Management (Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a communication (January 23, 2006) from Susan Fletcher, Executive Director, Applegrove Community Complex, recommending that Patrina Bura and Dwayne Byron be appointed to Applegrove Board of Management to replace Heather Boughen and Tracy Greenshields, and advising that Patrina Bura was elected Board Chairperson.

On motion by Deputy Mayor Bussin, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council appoint Patrina Bura and Dwayne Byron to the Applegrove Board of Management until November 30, 2006, on an interim basis, at the pleasure of Council, and until their successors are appointed, to replace Heather Boughen and Tracy Greenshields.

**(Report 2, Clause 43)**

**2.63 Decision of the Agnes Macphail Award Selection Committee – Recipient of the 2006 Agnes Macphail Award**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a communication (February 1, 2006) from Graham Mitchell, Administrative Support, Agnes Macphail Recognition Committee. This confidential report is distributed under confidential cover, as it relates to personal information of an identifiable individual.

On motion by Deputy Mayor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the recommendations in the confidential communication (February 1, 2006) from Graham Mitchell Administrative Support, Agnes Macphail Recognition Committee which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover, and in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions relating to this matter be held in camera as it relates to personal information of an identifiable individual.

**(Report 2, Clause 44)**

**2.64 Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 888 Yonge Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (February 2, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code – 888 Yonge Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) the request for variances to permit, for identification purposes, replacement of an existing illuminated “CTV” fascia sign with “MTV” sign on the rounded southeast corner of the building at 888 Yonge Street be approved; and
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (February 2, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

**(Report 2, Clause 45)**

**2.65 Information Report – Ontario Municipal Board - Decision Successful Motion to Dismiss – 34 Rowanwood Avenue (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (February 1, 2006) from the City Solicitor reporting on the successful outcome of a motion to dismiss related to appeals of By-laws 411-2005 and 412-2005 adopted by City of Toronto Council on May 17, 18 and 19, 2005, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council received the report (February 1, 2006) from the City Solicitor.

(Letter sent to: City Solicitor; c.: Amanda S. Hill, Solicitor, Planning and Administrative Tribunal Law, Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(t))**

**2.66 Amendments to Parking Regulations – Perth Avenue, Ruskin Avenue, Wallace Avenue, Macaulay Avenue and Ernest Avenue (Ward 18 – Davenport)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (February 2, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Amendments to Parking Regulations – Perth Avenue, Ruskin Avenue, Wallace Avenue, Macaulay Avenue and Ernest Avenue (Ward 18 – Davenport), and recommending that:

- (1) parking be permitted for a maximum period of one hour, from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. on Saturdays, on the following streets:
  - (a) on the east side of Perth Avenue, from Parkman Avenue to Ernest Avenue;

- (b) on the south side of Ruskin Avenue, from Perth Avenue to the westerly terminus of Ruskin Avenue;
  - (c) on the north side of Ruskin Avenue, from Franklin Avenue to the westerly terminus of Ruskin Avenue;
  - (d) on the both sides of Macaulay Avenue, from Perth Avenue to the westerly terminus of Macaulay Avenue;
  - (e) on the south side of Wallace Avenue, from the lane first west of Symington Avenue to the westerly terminus of Wallace Avenue;
  - (f) on the south side of Ernest Avenue, from Symington Avenue to the westerly terminus of Ernest Avenue; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Giambrone, the Toronto and East York Community Council submitted this matter to City Council without recommendation.

**(Report 2, Clause 46)**

**2.67 Provision of a “Commercial Loading Zone” – Yorkville Avenue, between Bay Street and Yonge Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (February 6, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Provision of a “Commercial Loading Zone” – Yorkville Avenue, between Bay Street and Yonge Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) a “Commercial Loading Zone”, be delineated within the existing “No Parking” Zone, to operate at all times, on the north side of Yorkville Avenue from a point 40 metres east of Bay Street to a point 48 metres further east thereof; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (February 6, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

**(Report 2, Clause 47)**

**2.68 Relocation of Parking from the North Side to the South Side of the Street During Winter Months – MacPherson Avenue, between Avenue Road and Yonge Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (February 6, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, respecting Relocation of Parking from the North Side to the South Side of the Street During Winter Months – MacPherson Avenue, between Avenue Road and Yonge Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) the “No Parking Anytime, from December 1 of one year to March 31 of the next following year, inclusive” regulation on the south side of MacPherson Avenue, between Yonge Street and a point 42.7 metres east of Avenue Road, be rescinded;
- (2) the “One Hour Maximum, 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., from December 1 of one year to March 31 of the next following year, inclusive” regulation on the north side of MacPherson Avenue, between Yonge Street and a point 42.7 metres east of Avenue Road, be rescinded;
- (3) the “No Parking Except By Permit, 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., at all times, except no parking 16th day to the last day of each month from April 1 to November 30, inclusive” regulation on the north side of MacPherson Avenue, from Yonge Street to Avenue Road, be rescinded;
- (4) the “No Parking Except By Permit, 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., at all times, except no parking 1st day to the 15th last day of each month from April 1 to November 30, inclusive, and no parking anytime from December 1 of one year to March 31 of the next following year inclusive” regulation on the south side of MacPherson Avenue, from Yonge Street to Avenue Road, be rescinded;
- (5) Parking be prohibited at all times from December 1 of one year to March 31 of the next following year, inclusive on the north side of MacPherson Avenue, between Yonge Street and a point 42.7 metres east of Avenue Road;
- (6) Parking be allowed for a maximum period of One Hour, from 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. from December 1 of one year to March 31 of the next following year, inclusive on the south side of MacPherson Avenue, between Yonge Street and a point 42.7 metres east of Avenue Road;
- (7) a “No Parking Except By Permit, 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., at all times, except no parking 16th day to the last day of each month from April 1 to November 30, inclusive and no parking anytime from December 1 of one year to March 31 of

the next following year inclusive” regulation be implemented on the north side of MacPherson Avenue, from Yonge Street to Avenue Road;

- (8) a “No Parking Except By Permit, 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., at all times, except no parking from the 1st day to the 15th day of each month from April 1 to November 30, inclusive” regulation be implemented on the south side of MacPherson Avenue, from Yonge Street to Avenue Road; and
- (9) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council:

- (1) adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (February 6, 2006) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District; and
- (2) request the City Clerk, in conjunction with the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District and the Ward Councillor, to conduct a poll on MacPherson Avenue to determine if the majority of residents support the relocation of parking from the north side to the south side of the street during the winter months and report back to the Toronto and East York Community Council on the results of the poll.

**(Report 2, Clause 48)**

**2.69 Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, for an animated copy video display fascia signs and ticker tape canopy sign, both for first party advertising purposes, on the Atrium on Bay building at 595 Bay Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a report (February 6, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, respecting Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, for an animated copy video display fascia signs and ticker tape canopy sign, both for first party advertising purposes, on the Atrium on Bay building at 595 Bay Street (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale), and recommending that:

- (1) the request for variances to permit an animated copy video display sign and ticker tape canopy sign, both for first party advertising purposes, on the south elevation on the Atrium on Bay building at 595 Bay Street be approved;

- (2) the applicant be advised, should Council approve the variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official for each sign substantially in accordance with the Drawing A-3, Elevations (Elevation Detail – Street Front), date stamped as received on January 16, 2006, and on file with the City Planning Division;
- (3) the proposed animated copy video display fascia sign project no more than 0.48 metres from the wall; and
- (4) the proposed animated copy video display sign comply with any future guidelines regarding such signs adopted by City Council and to the satisfaction of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (February 6, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.

**(Report 2, Clause 49)**

**2.70 Heritage Designation for St. Matthews Church – 135 First Avenue (Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a communication (February 7, 2006) from Councillor Fletcher requesting that the Toronto and East York Community Council support the following motion:

“That Heritage Preservation Services be requested to prepare a report for the Toronto Preservation Board that would permit the Board to consider recommending the designation under the provisions of the Ontario Heritage Act of St. Matthew's Church, 135 First Avenue, Toronto.”

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council requested the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division to report to the Toronto Preservation Board with recommendations to designate St. Matthew's Church, 135 First Avenue, Toronto, under Park IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

(Letter sent to: Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division; c.: Denise Gendron, Manager, Heritage Preservation Services; Secretary, Toronto Preservation Board; Interested Persons - February 9, 2006)

**(Report 2, Other Items Clause 51(u))**



**2.71 Ontario Municipal Board Hearing – Committee of Adjustment Decision and Opposition of Site Plan Application – 49 Front Street East (Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

The Toronto and East York Community Council had before it a motion (undated) from Councillor McConnell recommending that Council direct the City Solicitor and appropriate City Staff to attend at the Ontario Municipal Board to uphold the Committee of Adjustment Decision and oppose the Site Plan Application respecting 49 Front Street East.

On motion by Councillor \_\_\_\_\_, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council direct the City Solicitor and appropriate City Staff to attend at the Ontario Municipal Board to uphold the Committee of Adjustment Decision and oppose the Site Plan Application respecting 49 Front Street East.

**(Report 2, Clause 50)**

The Community Council adjourned its meeting at 6:15 p.m.

**Attendance:**

	February 7 9:30 a.m. – 12:30 p.m.	February 7 2:00 p.m.6 15:00 .p.m.
Councillor Davis (Chair)	x	x
Councillor Fletcher (Vice-Chair)	x	x
Councillor Bussin	x	x
Councillor Giambrone	x	
Councillor McConnell	x	
Councillor Mihevc	x	x
Councillor Ootes	x	x
Councillor Pantalone	x	x
Councillor Rae	x	x
Councillor Walker	x	x
Councillor Watson	x	
Councillor Silva	x	
Mayor Miller		

\* Members were present for some or all of the time indicated.

---

Councillor Janet Davis, Chair