

[Guide to Minutes](#)
[Agenda Index](#)

These Minutes were confirmed by City Council on April 25, 2006.

MINUTES OF A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF TORONTO

**WEDNESDAY, MARCH 29, 2006, AND
THURSDAY, MARCH 30, 2006**

City Council met in the Council Chamber, City Hall, Toronto.

CALL TO ORDER - 9:37 a.m.

S4.1 Mayor Miller took the Chair and called the Members to order.

The special meeting opened with *O Canada*.

S4.2 NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING

Mayor Miller read the following Notice of Special Meeting:

“A special meeting of City Council will be held on March 29, 30 and 31, 2006, and will continue, as necessary on April 3, 5, 6 and 7, 2006, in the Council Chamber, 100 Queen Street West, Toronto at 9:30 a.m., for the following purposes:

- (1) to consider the City of Toronto 2006 Operating Budget;
- (2) to introduce and enact General Bills; and
- (3) to introduce and enact a confirming by-law for this special meeting.”

S4.3 PETITION

Councillor Lindsay Luby submitted a petition (undated), entitled “Petition for Brig’s Law”, containing approximately 1,637 signatures, in support of an amendment to the Criminal Code to make intentional harm of a law enforcement animal an indictable offence.

The above petition was received and considered with Motion J(1), moved by Councillor Lindsay Luby, seconded by Councillor Soknacki, respecting a request for an amendment to the Criminal Code regarding intentional harm to law enforcement animals.

PRESENTATION OF REPORT

S4.4 Councillor Soknacki presented the following Report for consideration by Council:

Policy and Finance Committee Report 2,

and moved, seconded by Councillor Moscoe, that Council now give consideration to such Report, which carried.

S4.5 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor Kelly declared his interest in Policy and Finance Committee Report 2, Clause 1, headed “City of Toronto 2006 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Tax Supported Operating Budget”, as it pertains to the Budget for the Toronto Police Service Administrative Command [Recommendation (A)(133)], in that his spouse is employed as a translator.

Councillor Mihevc declared his interest in Policy and Finance Committee Report 2, Clause 1, headed “City of Toronto 2006 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Tax Supported Operating Budget”, as it pertains to the Budget for the Shelter, Support and Housing Administration Division [Recommendation (A)(24)], in that his spouse is employed at the East York Family Resource Centre in that it receives funding.

Councillor Pitfield declared her interest in Motion J(2), moved by Councillor Silva, seconded by Deputy Mayor Pantalone, regarding 90 Stadium Road – Ontario Municipal Board Hearing, as she is a member of a yacht club on Stadium Road.

Councillor Shiner declared his interest in Policy and Finance Committee Report 2, Clause 1, headed “City of Toronto 2006 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Tax Supported Operating Budget”, as it pertains to the Budget for the Parks, Forestry and Recreation Division [Recommendation (A)(17)], in that a member of his family is employed by the Division for the summer; and in Motion J(7), moved by Councillor Fletcher, seconded by Councillor Davis, regarding MPAC Reform - Request to Establish an Assessment Monitoring Group, in that he has a relative who works for the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation.

Councillor Walker declared his interest in Policy and Finance Committee Report 2, Clause 1, headed “City of Toronto 2006 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Tax Supported Operating Budget”, as it pertains to the Toronto Port Authority, in that his daughter is employed in a management position with the Authority.

**CONSIDERATION OF REPORT
CLAUSES WITH MOTIONS, VOTES, ETC.**

S4.6 Policy and Finance Committee Report 2, Clause 1, headed “City of Toronto 2006 Budget Advisory Committee Recommended Tax Supported Operating Budget”.

March 29, 2006:

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Councillor Soknacki, at 9:48 a.m., moved that Council vary the order of its proceedings to now consider Communication 1(a), dated March 28, 2006, from Mayor Miller and Councillor Soknacki, Chair, Budget Advisory Committee, entitled “Framework for the 2006 Operating Budget Debate”, as the first item of business, the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 16	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Bussin, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, McConnell, Pantalone, Rae, Silva, Soknacki, Watson
No - 24	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Carroll, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Ford, Giambrone, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz, Thompson, Walker

Lost by a majority of 8.

Mayor Miller, due to the above decision of Council, advised the Council that the proposed framework for the budget debate would not be followed by Council and that Council would debate the budget Clause as a whole.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Councillor Soknacki, at 10:30 a.m., proposed that Council support the following procedure for the debate on Policy and Finance Committee Report 2:

- (1) five minutes to question staff;
- (2) five minutes to question the Mayor or the Chair of the Budget Advisory Committee;
- (3) five minutes to speak, with a five-minute extension permitted;
- (4) all motions to be prepared in advance of the Member speaking;
- (5) vote on Clause 1; and
- (6) vote on Clause 2.

Council concurred in the proposal by Councillor Soknacki.

Councillor Shiner, at 10:49 a.m., moved that Council vary the order of its proceedings to provide that §27-28E, Questioning to Obtain Facts, of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived and that Members of Council be permitted a further period of five minutes to ask questions, the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 18 Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz, Walker
No - 21 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Ainslie, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Pantalone, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Watson

Lost, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Soknacki, due to the above decision of Council, advised that Members of Council would not be permitted an extension to question.

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Councillor Kelly in the Chair.

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Motions on Clause 1:

- (a) Councillor Shiner moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee:
 - (1) to provide that:
 - (a) all program budgets for City divisions, agencies, boards and commissions be approved at 99.5 percent of the Policy and Finance Committee recommended level, thereby reducing expenditures by \$37 million;
 - (b) \$11 million of the \$37 million be allocated to increase the subsidy to the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC), and the TTC be requested not to implement the fare increase;
 - (c) the balance of \$26 million be considered as a Contingency Account; and
 - (d) City divisions, agencies, boards and commissions who are unable to achieve this reduction be able to seek Council approval for an additional allocation from the contingency account; and
 - (2) by adding the following:

“That the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be requested to:

 - (a) report to Council for its meeting on June 27, 2006, through the Policy and Finance Committee, outlining their recommendations on service priorities and a plan to review our current service delivery models, prior to consideration of the 2007 budget; and
 - (b) report to Council for its meeting on June 27, 2006, through the Policy and Finance Committee, on any proposed service changes which may be required to achieve a ‘0’ base budget for 2007.”

Councillor Watson in the Chair.

- (b) Councillor Del Grande moved that, recognizing the need for Toronto businesses to be competitive and maintaining Council’s promise not to tax business if it could be so avoided, the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by deleting the following words from Program Recommendation (A)(6) of the Policy and Finance Committee:

“and a Commercial, Industrial, and Multi-residential tax increase of 1.0 percent or \$18.352 million be approved (after assessment growth);”,

so that Program Recommendation (A)(6) now reads as follows:

“(6) a residential property tax increase of 3.0 percent or \$37.232 million;”.

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

- (c) Councillor Thompson moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, for Social Development, Finance and Administration, by adding the following:

“That the City Manager be directed to develop appropriate performance measures on the outcomes of City and community programming in priority neighbourhoods, in conjunction with the Centre of Criminology and other relevant research initiatives, and report to Council, through the Community Services Committee, on the implementation of these performance measures as part of the report on the implementation of the Toronto Strong Neighbourhoods Strategy coming forward in June 2006.”

- (d) Councillor Minnan-Wong moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by adding the following:

“That:

- (1) City Council support the principle of zero-based Budgeting in all City divisions, agencies, boards and commissions; and
- (2) the City Manager be requested to report to the Policy and Finance Committee on opportunities for zero-based Budgeting within the City and all of its divisions, agencies, boards and commissions, and all divisions, agencies, boards and commissions being reviewed on a three-year, rolling basis.”

- (e) Councillor Ootes moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by adding the following:

“That the City Manager be requested to:

- (1) report to the July 25, 2006 meeting of City Council, through the Policy and Finance Committee, providing a list of the following:

- (a) services that are performed by the City and its agencies, boards and commissions;
 - (b) services that are provided by the private sector for the City and its agencies, boards and commissions; and
 - (c) services in Part (a), above, that could also be provided by the private sector; and
- (2) report to the next meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee on the progress and status of performance measures for services performed by the City.”
- (f) Councillor Palacio moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee:
- (1) for the Office of the Mayor [Recommendation (A)(97)] by a reduction of 5 percent, and applying the savings to the Clean and Beautiful City Initiative; and
 - (2) for City Council [Recommendation (A)(98)] by reducing the Councillors’ Office Budget by 5 percent, and applying the savings to the Clean and Beautiful City Initiative.
- (g) Councillor Ford moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee:
- (1) for Children’s Services [Recommendation (A)(2)] by discontinuing the publication of the Annual Report Card on Children, for a savings of \$10,000.00;
 - (2) for Culture [Recommendation (A)(7)] by eliminating the current open position of Manager, Arts Services, for a maximum savings of approximately \$127,920.00 [\$104,000.00 + benefits];
 - (3) for Emergency Medical Services [Recommendation (A)(12)] by eliminating the “Outdoor Exercise During Heat and Smog Alerts” brochure production, for a savings of \$2,000.00;
 - (4) for Homes for the Aged [Recommendation (A)(16)] by discontinuing the Annual Report Card on Homes for the Aged, for a savings of \$6,000.00;

- (5) for Parks, Forestry and Recreation [Recommendation (A)(17)] by:
 - (a) eliminating the Seniors' Recreation Strategy brochure;
 - (b) eliminating the Community Access and Equity brochure, for a savings of \$2,500.00; and
 - (c) eliminating the provision of free golf passes for Members of Council and staff, for a potential savings of \$15,000.00;
- (6) for Shelter, Housing and Support Administration [Recommendation (A)(22)] by:
 - (a) eliminating the free "three bottles of wine a day" program in Shelter Services, for a savings of \$43,000.00; and
 - (b) eliminating the free cigarettes program in Shelter Services, for a savings of \$20,000.00;
- (7) for the 3-1-1 Customer Service Strategy [Recommendation (A)(35)] by eliminating the 3-1-1 project, for a savings of \$389,000.00;
- (8) for Fire Services [Recommendation (A)(48)] by discontinuing the "Connections" newsletter, for a savings of \$10,000.00;
- (9) for Solid Waste Management Services [Recommendation (A)(57)] by discontinuing the "Waste Watch" newsletter, for a savings of \$226,991.00;
- (10) for Transportation Services [Recommendation (A)(63)] by:
 - (a) discontinuing the "City Routes" newsletter, for a savings of \$219,521.00;
 - (b) reducing the City-wide sidewalk snow removal budget by \$7.0 million; and
 - (c) eliminating City-wide mechanical leaf pick-up;
- (11) for Corporate Communications [Recommendation (A)(73)] by:
 - (a) discontinuing the "Inside Toronto" employee newsletter, for a savings of \$17,500.00;
 - (b) eliminating the City news clipping service from Bowdens, for a savings of \$40,000.00;

- (c) removing the Metroland papers from the clipping package to save on Bowdens charges, due to the ongoing duplication of articles from all Mirror and Guardian papers;
 - (d) reducing the number of clipping package copies that the Mayor's office receives from 19 to 2; and
 - (e) eliminating the clipping package service to 85 City staff;
- (12) for Facilities and Real Estate [Recommendation (A)(75)] by reducing the plant watering service in City buildings, for a savings of \$100,000.00;
- (13) for City Council [Recommendation (A)(98)] by:
- (a) reducing the Councillors' Global budget to \$30,000.00 ($\$53,100.00 - 30,000.00 = \$23,100.00 \times 44 = \$1,016,400.00$), for a savings of approximately \$1.0 million;
 - (b) reducing the Councillors' staff budget by one position [$53k + \text{benefits} = \$65,190.00 \times 44 = \$2,868,360.00$], for a savings of approximately \$2.8 million per year;
 - (c) reducing the number of Executive Assistants in each Councillor's office to one, for a savings of approximately \$70,000.00 per year;
 - (d) reducing the City Council food and beverage budget from \$80,000.00 to \$25,000.00, for a savings of \$55,000.00;
 - (e) reducing the Community Councils' hospitality budgets from \$30,000.00 to \$15,000.00, for a savings of \$15,000.00;
 - (f) eliminating the following items from the Councillors' office budgets:
 - (i) newspapers, books and magazine subscriptions, for a savings of approximately \$14,000.00;
 - (ii) conference travel, for a savings of approximately \$29,913.00;
 - (iii) tickets for community events, concerts, etc., for a savings of approximately \$18,100.00;
 - (iv) gas and mileage, for a savings of approximately \$38,700.00;

- (v) transit passes and tokens for staff, for a savings of approximately \$3,300.00;
 - (vi) taxis, for a savings of approximately \$19,700.00;
 - (vii) donations to groups, for a savings of approximately \$56,000.00; and
 - (viii) business expenses, for a savings of approximately \$29,820.00; and
- (g) adding the following:
- “That the City Clerk be requested to track and report, through the annual City Treasurer’s Report, on:
- (i) Remuneration and Expenses of Members of Council and of the Council Appointees to Local Boards and Other Special Purpose Bodies; and
 - (ii) the total amount of alcoholic beverages expensed through the Councillors’ Office Budgets.”;
- (14) for the City Clerk’s Office [Recommendation (A)(81)] by adding the following:
- “That the City Clerk be requested to give written notice from the City to Rogers Centre/SkyDome no later than December 31, 2006, that the City’s skybox lease will terminate on March 31, 2007, for a savings of approximately \$77,000.00.”;
- (15) for the Mayor’s Office [Recommendation (A)(97)] by reducing the office staff by one position, for a savings of approximately \$76,086.00 per year (\$58,586.00 average salary and benefits);
- (16) for Toronto Public Health [Recommendation (A)(99)] by reducing the number of Blackberry devices in use by Public Health by 50 percent, for a savings of approximately \$47,254.00;

- (17) for Exhibition Place [Recommendation (A)(105)] by:
 - (a) eliminating the provision of free admission and parking passes for Members of Council; and
 - (b) discontinuing the “Express” Newsletter;
- (18) for the Toronto Zoo [Recommendation (A)(112)] by discontinuing the issuance of free passes for the Toronto Zoo to Members of Council;
- (19) for the Toronto Transit Commission [Recommendation (A)(125)] by:
 - (a) discontinuing the “Easier Access on the TTC” brochure, for a savings of \$15,471.00;
 - (b) discontinuing the “Coupler” Employee Newsletter, for a savings of \$175,000.00;
 - (c) eliminating the complimentary Metropasses for Councillors and the Mayor;
 - (d) eliminating the complimentary Metropasses for former Toronto Transit Commission employees;
 - (e) eliminating the birthdays off with pay for Toronto Transit Commission staff; and
 - (f) discontinuing the TTC “Operating Stats” Newsletter, for a savings of \$1,500.00;
- (20) for the Community Partnership and Investment Program [Recommendation (A)(141)] by reducing the budget by 10 percent, for a savings of approximately \$4.5 million; and
- (21) for the Toronto Parking Authority [Recommendation (A)(155)] by:
 - (a) eliminating the provision of Green ‘P’ parking passes to Members of Council and senior City staff; and
 - (b) discontinuing the printing of the Annual Report.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

- (h) Councillor Di Giorgio moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by adding the following:
- “That the City Manager be requested to include, in any future reports that examine the benefits of increased contracting out, the historical annual increases that exist in the contract amounts over a 20-year period.”
- (i) Councillor Cowbourne moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, for Social Development, Finance and Administration, by amending Recommendation (A)(26) of the Policy and Finance Committee to include programs for youth at risk, provided by the following Local Arts Service Organizations, in the new and enhanced requests for youth programs and services:
- (1) Scarborough Arts Council;
 - (2) Urban Arts;
 - (3) Arts Etobicoke; and
 - (4) Lakeshore Arts.
- (j) Councillor Kelly moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee:
- (1) for Parks, Forestry and Recreation [Recommendation (A)(21)] by inserting, after the words “highest priorities”, the words “and equity between the four administrative districts”, so that Program Recommendation (A)(21) now reads as follows:

“(21) Parks, Forestry and Recreation’s future new service priorities be considered in the context of Council’s highest priorities and equity between the four administrative districts and within an affordable fiscal framework.”;
 - (2) for the Toronto Police Service [Recommendation (A)(138)] by inserting, after the words “the criteria for redeployment”, the words “including equity between the four administrative districts”, so that Program Recommendation (A)(138) now reads as follows:

“(138) the Chief of Police be requested to report back to the Budget Advisory Committee prior to the start of the 2007 Budget process on the new staffing strategy with respect to the redeployment of 200 positions to uniformed positions, namely the criteria for redeployment including equity between the four administrative districts, whom to redeploy, to and from which department, which services will be impacted or eliminated to accommodate this redeployment, and the resultant impact on base policing activity; and”;

- (3) for Municipal Licensing and Standards [Recommendation (A)(52)] by increasing the Net amount by \$317,300.00, so that the new Net amount now reads “4,858.0”;
- (4) for the Clean and Beautiful City Secretariat [Recommendation (A)(45)] by deleting this budget category and transferring the funds, in the amount of \$317,300.00 gross and \$317,300.00 net, to the 2006 Operating Budget for Municipal Licensing and Standards; and
- (5) for the City Clerk’s Office, by deleting Program Recommendation (A)(86) and inserting instead the following:
 - “(86) the City Clerk’s Office to provide secretariat support to the following Committees, effective May 1, 2006:
 - (a) Steeles Avenue Sub-committee;
 - (b) Works Committee Community Partnership Sub-Committee; and
 - (c) Gardiner Lake Shore Corridor Task Force.”
- (k) Councillor Lindsay Luby moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, for the Community Partnership and Investment Program, by deleting Recommendation (A)(143) of the Policy and Finance Committee and inserting instead the following:
 - “(143) additional funding of \$25,000.00 for the Toronto Region Research Alliance (TRRA) be conditional on TEDCO matching the amount resulting in \$50,000.00 funding to TRRA; and that \$25,000.00 of that be used in support of the Information and Communication Technology (ICT) Sector Marketing Program approved by City Council and that TRRA work with the City and the Greater Toronto Marketing Alliance (GTMA) on the development of the program;”.
- (l) Councillor Rae moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee:
 - (1) for the Community Partnership and Investment Program, by adding the following:
 - “That \$100,000.00 of funding from the Major Cultural Organizations Grants Program within the 2006 Approved Budget for the Community Partnership and Investment Program designated to Pride Toronto be immediately released, pending an appropriate application being submitted and all the grant criteria being met.”; and

- (2) for Capital and Corporate Financing - Non Program, to provide that the Mayor's Homeless Initiative Reserve draw of \$1.469 million and the Capital Revolving Fund Reserve draw of \$9.525 million recommended in Appendix C of Report 3, entitled "Reserve Contribution to the 2006 Operating Budget", be reversed and replaced by a draw of \$9.525 million from the Homes for the Aged Capital Reserve Fund and a further draw of \$1.469 million from the Social Housing Stabilization Reserve Fund.
- (m) Councillor Pitfield moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by:
- (1) deleting Recommendation (A)(6) of the Policy and Finance Committee and addressing the resulting variance by implementing an across-the-board reduction in the Operating Budget (all programs) of 0.733% (\$55.584 million) with no reduction to service levels, to eliminate the 3% residential tax increase and the 1% business tax increase; and
- (2) adding the following:
- "That:
- (a) the following improvements be included in the 2007 budget process:
- (i) modified, zero-based budget process - 1/3 of Toronto's budget examined each year;
- (ii) value for money audits;
- (iii) protection of fixed assets; and
- (iv) Council to set 3 to 5 priorities - not 9; and
- (b) a 1 percent efficiency target be set for the 2006 Operating Budget."
- (n) Councillor Nunziata moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, for Social Development, Finance and Administration, by adding the following:
- "That, with the approval of the new/enhanced funding of Neighbourhood Action Community Development in the Social Development, Finance and Administration budget to allow the City to begin implementing the Neighbourhood Action Plans in all the thirteen priority neighbourhoods, City staff ensure the local police divisions, the local Councillor and communities are directly involved in the planning process."

(o) Councillor Hall moved that:

- (1) Part (2)(b) of motion (a) by Councillor Shiner be amended by deleting the words “to Council for its meeting in June 2006, through the Policy and Finance Committee”, and inserting instead the words “through the 2007 Operating Budget process”, so that Part (2)(b) of motion (a) now reads as follows:

“That the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer report through the 2007 Operating Budget process, on any proposed service changes which may be required to achieve a ‘0’ base budget for 2007.”; and

- (2) the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, for Parks, Forestry and Recreation, by adding the following:

“That the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation, be requested to report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee by June 2006, on the progress of the implementation of the Parks, Forestry and Recreation’s new structure based on the Common Grounds report.”

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

(p) Councillor Moscoe moved that Part (5) of motion (j) by Councillor Kelly be amended by adding the “Parc Downsview Park Operating Protocol Committee” to the secretariat support provided by the City Clerk’s Office.

(q) Councillor De Baeremaeker moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, for the Community Partnership and Investment Program, by adding \$65,000.00 to the grant for the Royal Agricultural Winter Fair to restore the principle of their grant equalling their rent, as approved by City Council in 2005.

(r) Councillor Augimeri moved that:

- (1) Part (5) of motion (j) by Councillor Kelly be amended by adding the “Toronto Centre for the Arts Board of Directors” to the secretariat support provided by the City Clerk’s Office; and

- (2) the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, for Parks, Forestry and Recreation and Social Development, Finance and Administration, to provide that the Youth Apprenticeship program “Training in the Trades”, be given the highest priority amongst the list of youth programs that the City is forwarding

for funding consideration under the recently announced provincial youth-at-risk initiatives, and staff be directed to do as much as possible to prepare for start up of this program to be implemented as soon as Provincial funds are allocated.

- (s) Councillor Carroll moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by deleting from Appendix 4, headed "2006 User Fee Analysis, User Fee Change - BAC Proposed Rate Increase in 2006", to the report (March 23, 2006), entitled "City of Toronto 2006 Recommended Tax Supported Operating Budget" from the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer [as referenced in Recommendation (A)(8) of the Policy and Finance Committee], the following fees, as they were included in error:
- (1) Application Fee for A-Frame Sign;
 - (2) Renewal Fee for A-Frame Sign;
 - (3) Application Fee for Mobile Sign; and
 - (4) Application Fee for Mobile Sign - Minimum.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

- (t) Councillor Cho moved that Part (1) of motion (a) by Councillor Shiner be amended to provide that the 2006 TTC fare increase not be implemented and that the reduced revenue of approximately \$11 million be replaced by an allocation from an appropriate reserve fund draw as determined by the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer.
- (u) Councillor Milczyn moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by adding the following:
- "That the City Manager be requested to report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the potential implementation of a City lottery to fund the Community Investment Partnerships (Grants) budget."
- (v) Councillor Holyday moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee:
- (1) for the City Clerk's Office, by deleting from Recommendation (A)(83) the following amendment by the Policy and Finance Committee:

"Subject to the following amendment by the Policy and Finance Committee:

'That Program Recommendation (83) be amended by deleting Recommendation (2) and replacing it with the following:

“(2) that the in-person Access Toronto reception and information services at the East York Civic Centre be retained until such time as city-wide in-person Access Toronto services are reviewed as part of the 3-1-1 Customer Service Plan and submitted to Council for approval;”’ ”;

(2) for Solid Waste Management Services, by adding the following:

“That the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, be requested to report to the Works Committee on how a managed competition could be held for the residential garbage collection in the former City of North York.”; and

(3) by adding the following:

“That the City Manager be requested to report to the Employee and Labour Relations Committee on:

- (1) how the hydro assets could be utilized to effect a voluntary sick leave pay out program similar to what took place in the former City of Etobicoke; and
- (2) a new sick leave policy for new employees that does not require a pay out when the employee leaves the service of the City.”

(w) Councillor Altobello moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, for Parks, Forestry and Recreation, by adding the following:

“That the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation, be requested to report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee on re-establishing, with the Toronto District School Board, an exchange of service agreement that will result in returning schools to communities as ‘community assets’ that allow recreation programs for children and youth to be expanded in their communities and reduces costs to provide these programs.”

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

(x) Councillor Mammoliti moved that the Clause be amended by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by adding the following:

“That the City Manager be requested to report to the Policy and Finance Committee on:

- (1) possible opportunities in the new '*City of Toronto Act*' (Bill 53), to raise revenue to be used for the establishment of a reserve fund to address 'Emergency City Safety' issues, as it relates to crime and violence, in the City of Toronto; and
- (2) the possibility of opening a Casino in the City of Toronto."

Votes:

Adoption of Part (2)(b) of motion (m) by Councillor Pitfield:

Yes - 16	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz, Walker
No - 27	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 11.

Adoption of motion (t) by Councillor Cho:

Yes - 10	
Councillors:	Augimeri, Cho, Del Grande, Fletcher, Ford, Kelly, Li Preti, Moscoe, Saundercook, Walker
No - 34	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 24.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (a) by Councillor Shiner, without amendment:

Yes - 15	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz, Walker
No - 29	
Mayor:	Miller

Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Watson
--------------	---

Lost by a majority of 14.

Adoption of motion (b) by Councillor Del Grande:

Yes - 15	Councillors: Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz
No - 29	Mayor: Miller Councillors: Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 14.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (m) by Councillor Pitfield:

Yes - 16	Councillors: Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz, Walker
No - 28	Mayor: Miller Councillors: Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 12.

Motion (s) by Councillor Carroll carried.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 9	Councillors: Altobello, Ford, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio
No - 35	Mayor: Miller

Councillors:	Ainslie, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
--------------	---

Lost by a majority of 26.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 9	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Pitfield
No - 35	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 26.

Adoption of Part (3) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 9	
Councillors:	Altobello, Del Grande, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes
No - 35	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 26.

Adoption of Part (4) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5	
Councillors:	Altobello, Del Grande, Ford, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata
No - 39	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti,

Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 34.

Mayor Miller, due to the above decisions of Council, declared Part 5(a) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford, redundant.

Adoption of Part (5)(b) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 4 Councillors:	Altobello, Ford, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata
No - 40 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Ainslie, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 36.

Adoption of Part (5)(c) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 17 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Ainslie, Altobello, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Ford, Grimes, Jenkins, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 27 Councillors:	Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Stintz

Lost by a majority of 10.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (j) by Councillor Kelly:

Yes - 26 Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Cho, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker
No - 18	Miller

Mayor: Councillors: Ainslie, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cowbourne, Davis, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Hall, McConnell, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Silva, Watson

Carried by a majority of 8.

Part (2) of motion (o) by Councillor Hall carried.

Motion (w) by Councillor Altobello carried.

Adoption of Part (6)(a) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 16 Councillors: Altobello, Del Grande, Filion, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Stintz, Watson
No - 28 Mayor: Miller Councillors: Ainslie, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Kelly, Li Preti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Walker

Lost by a majority of 12.

Adoption of Part (6)(b) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 19 Councillors: Ainslie, Altobello, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Filion, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Stintz, Watson
No - 25 Mayor: Miller Councillors: Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Li Preti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Walker

Lost by a majority of 6.

Adoption of motion (i) by Councillor Cowbourne:

Yes - 35 Mayor: Miller

Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 9	
Councillors:	Carroll, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 26.

Motion (c) by Councillor Thompson carried.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (r) by Councillor Augimeri:

Yes - 35	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 8	
Councillors:	Ashton, Feldman, Filion, Ford, Holyday, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Shiner

Carried by a majority of 27.

Motion (n) by Councillor Nunziata carried.

Adoption of Part (7) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 3	
Councillors:	Filion, Ford, Nunziata
No - 40	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 37.

Adoption of Part (4) of motion (j) by Councillor Kelly:

Yes - 10 Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Holyday, Kelly, Nunziata, Ootes, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz, Walker
No - 34 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 24.

Adoption of Part (8) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 8 Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Ford, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata
No - 36 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Ainslie, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 28.

Mayor Miller, due to the above decisions of Council, declared Part (3) of motion (j) by Councillor Kelly, redundant.

Adoption of Part (9) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 6 Councillors:	Altobello, Ford, Kelly, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio
No - 38 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Ainslie, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 32.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (v) by Councillor Holyday:

Yes - 25

Councillors:	Ainslie, Ashton, Cho, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 19	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Silva

Carried by a majority of 6.

Adoption of Part (10)(a) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 9	
Councillors:	Altobello, Del Grande, Ford, Kelly, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio
No - 35	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 26.

Adoption of Part (10)(b) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 4	
Councillors:	Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Walker
No - 40	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 36.

Adoption of Part (10)(c) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 6	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Fletcher, Watson
No - 38	Miller

Mayor:	
Councillors:	Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Ford, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker

Lost by a majority of 32.

Adoption of Part (11)(a) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 7	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ford, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio
No - 37	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 30.

Adoption of Part (11)(b) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 10	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Ford, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Soknacki, Walker
No - 34	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 24.

Adoption of Part (11)(c) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 10	
Councillors:	Ashton, Ford, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield, Watson
No - 34	
Mayor:	Miller

Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Li Preti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker
--------------	---

Lost by a majority of 24.

Adoption of Part (11)(d) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 11	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Ford, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz
No - 33	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 22.

Mayor Miller, due to the above decisions of Council, declared Part (11)(e) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford, redundant.

Adoption of Part (12) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 10	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Davis, Del Grande, Ford, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Soknacki
No - 34	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 24.

Adoption of motion (p) by Councillor Moscoe:

Yes - 30	
Mayor:	Miller

Councillors:	Altobello, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Silva, Soknacki, Walker
No - 14	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Ford, Holyday, Milczyn, Ootes, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz, Watson

Carried by a majority of 16.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (r) by Councillor Augimeri:

Yes - 29	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Shiner, Soknacki, Walker
No - 15	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Nunziata, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Stintz, Watson

Carried by a majority of 14.

Adoption of Part (5) of motion (j) by Councillor Kelly, as amended:

Yes - 11	
Councillors:	Cho, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Kelly, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Ootes, Shiner, Stintz
No - 33	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 22.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (v) by Councillor Holyday:

Yes - 18	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Ashton, Carroll, Feldman, Ford, Giambrone, Holyday, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson
No - 26	
Mayor:	Miller

Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Silva, Walker
--------------	---

Lost by a majority of 8.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (f) by Councillor Palacio:

Yes - 7	
Councillors:	Ford, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz
No - 37	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 30.

Adoption of Part (15) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5	
Councillors:	Feldman, Ford, Nunziata, Pitfield, Shiner
No - 39	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 34.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (f) by Councillor Palacio:

Yes - 13	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz
No - 31	Miller

Mayor:	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 18.

Adoption of Part (14) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 8	
Councillors:	Altobello, Davis, Del Grande, Ford, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Walker
No - 36	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 28.

Adoption of Part (13)(a) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 8	
Councillors:	Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz
No - 36	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 28.

Adoption of Part (13)(b) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 4	
Councillors:	Ford, Holyday, Nunziata, Palacio
No - 40	
Mayor:	Miller

Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
--------------	---

Lost by a majority of 36.

Adoption of Part (13)(c) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 8	
Councillors:	Ashton, Ford, Holyday, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pitfield, Walker
No - 36	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 28.

Adoption of Part (13)(d) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 11	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Ashton, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield
No - 33	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 22.

Adoption of Part (13)(e) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 8	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield
No - 36	
Mayor:	Miller

Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
--------------	---

Lost by a majority of 28.

Adoption of Part (13)(f)(i) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Ford, Milczyn, Nunziata, Pitfield
No - 38	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 33.

Adoption of Part (13)(f)(ii) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 6	
Councillors:	Ford, Holyday, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner
No - 38	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 32.

Adoption of Part (13)(f)(iii) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 6	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Ford, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield
No - 38	
Mayor:	Miller

Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
--------------	---

Lost by a majority of 32.

Adoption of Part (13)(f)(iv) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 8	Councillors: Ainslie, Ford, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield
No - 36	Mayor: Miller Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 28.

Adoption of Part (13)(f)(v) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 6	Councillors: Ainslie, Ford, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Nunziata, Palacio
No - 38	Mayor: Miller Councillors: Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 32.

Adoption of Part (13)(f)(vi) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5	Councillors: Ainslie, Ford, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield
No - 39	Mayor: Miller

Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
--------------	---

Lost by a majority of 34.

Adoption of Part (13)(f)(vii) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 8	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Nunziata, Pitfield, Soknacki
No - 36	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 28.

Adoption of Part (13)(f)(viii) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 4	
Councillors:	Ford, Holyday, Nunziata, Palacio
No - 40	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 36.

Adoption of Part (13)(g) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 20	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio,

Pitfield, Walker	
No - 24	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Davis, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fillion, Giambrone, Kelly, Li Preti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 4.

Adoption of Part (16) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 9	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield
No - 35	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 26.

Adoption of Part (17)(a) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 7	
Councillors:	Davis, Ford, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield, Walker
No - 37	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson

Lost by a majority of 30.

Ruling by Mayor:

Mayor Miller ruled Part (17)(b) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford out of order, as Council can only request an agency, board or commission to take action.

Councillor Ford challenged the ruling of the Mayor.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Mayor:

Yes - 39	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 5	
Councillors:	Bussin, Carroll, Di Giorgio, Ford, Nunziata

Carried by a majority of 34.

Ruling by Mayor:

Mayor Miller ruled Parts (18), (19)(a), (19)(b), (19)(c), (19)(d), (19)(e) and (19)(f) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford out of order, as Council can only request an agency, board or commission to take action.

Councillor Ford challenged the ruling of the Mayor.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Mayor:

Yes - 41	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson
No - 3	
Councillors:	Ford, Nunziata, Walker

Carried by a majority of 38.

Ruling by Mayor:

Mayor Miller ruled Part (2) of motion (j) by Councillor Kelly out of order, as Council has no jurisdiction and cannot influence the Chief of Police on the deployment of the Toronto Police Service.

Adoption of Part (20) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford:

Yes - 5 Councillors:	Ford, Holyday, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata
No - 39 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 34.

Adoption of motion (k) by Councillor Lindsay Luby:

Yes - 24 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Ashton, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Saundercook, Soknacki
No - 19 Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Del Grande, Feldman, Filion, Ford, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Rae, Shiner, Silva, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Carried by a majority of 5.

Adoption of motion (q) by Councillor De Baeremaeker:

Yes - 10 Councillors:	Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Hall, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Pantalone, Pitfield, Silva
No - 33 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Cho, Davis, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Ford, Giambrone, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Mammoliti, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Rae,

Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 23.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (1) by Councillor Rae:

Yes - 37	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 6	
Councillors:	Ashton, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Milczyn, Nunziata

Carried by a majority of 31.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (1) by Councillor Rae:

Yes - 34	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 9	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Shiner

Carried by a majority of 25.

Ruling by Mayor:

Mayor Miller ruled Parts (21)(a) and (21)(b) of motion (g) by Councillor Ford out of order, as Council can only request an agency, board or commission to take action.

Councillor Ford challenged the ruling of the Mayor.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Mayor:

Yes - 39	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson
No - 4	
Councillors:	Ford, Mammoliti, Nunziata, Walker

Carried by a majority of 35.

Adoption of Part (2)(a) of motion (a) by Councillor Shiner:

Yes - 23	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Cowbourne, Del Grande, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 21	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Giambrone, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Silva, Soknacki

Carried by a majority of 2.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (o) by Councillor Hall:

Yes - 26	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Silva, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 18	
Councillors:	Augimeri, Del Grande, Feldman, Filion, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 8.

Part (2)(b) of motion (a) by Councillor Shiner carried, as amended.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (d) by Councillor Minnan-Wong:

Yes - 18 Councillors:	Carroll, Cho, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Jenkins, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker
No - 25 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Watson

Lost by a majority of 7.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (d) by Councillor Minnan-Wong:

Yes - 24 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Ashton, Cho, Cowbourne, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 19 Councillors:	Ainslie, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Silva

Carried by a majority of 5.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (e) by Councillor Ootes:

Yes - 27 Councillors:	Ainslie, Ashton, Cho, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 16 Mayor: Councillors:	Miller Altobello, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Fletcher, Giambrone, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Silva

Carried by a majority of 11.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (e) by Councillor Ootes:

Yes - 39 Mayor: Miller Councillors: Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Cho, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fillion, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 5 Councillors: Bussin, Carroll, Davis, Giambrone, Rae

Carried by a majority of 34.

Adoption of motion (h) by Councillor Di Giorgio:

Yes - 12 Mayor: Miller Councillors: Ashton, Augimeri, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Ford, Grimes, Jenkins, Li Preti, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Pantalone
No - 32 Councillors: Ainslie, Altobello, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, Del Grande, Feldman, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 20.

Adoption of Part (2)(a) of motion (m) by Councillor Pitfield:

Yes - 18 Councillors: Cho, Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz, Walker
No - 26 Mayor: Miller Councillors: Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fillion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Watson

Lost by a majority of 8.

Adoption of motion (u) by Councillor Milczyn:

Yes - 16	
Councillors:	Bussin, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Ford, Grimes, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Stintz, Watson
No - 28	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Carroll, Cho, Davis, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Li Preti, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pitfield, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Walker

Lost by a majority of 12.

Ruling by Mayor:

Mayor Miller ruled Part (3) of motion (v) by Councillor Holyday out of order, as the request is contrary to the current policy of the City of Toronto.

Adoption of Part (1) of motion (x) by Councillor Mammoliti:

Yes - 36	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Augimeri, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 8	
Councillors:	Ashton, Bussin, Filion, Holyday, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva

Carried by a majority of 28.

Adoption of Part (2) of motion (x) by Councillor Mammoliti:

Yes - 11	
Councillors:	Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Ford, Grimes, Jenkins, Mammoliti, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz
No - 33	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho,

Davis, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Walker, Watson

Lost by a majority of 22.

Adoption of Program Recommendation (A)(17), as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment:

Yes - 36
Mayor: Miller
Councillors: Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 7
Councillors: Augimeri, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 29.

Program Recommendation (A)(24), as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, carried, without amendment.

Program Recommendation (A)(133), as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, carried, without amendment.

Adoption of the balance of the Clause, as amended:

Yes - 27
Mayor: Miller
Councillors: Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Giambrone, Hall, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Saundercook, Silva, Soknacki, Watson
No - 17
Councillors: Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Mammoliti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz, Walker

Carried by a majority of 10.

Summary:

In summary, City Council amended this Clause as follows:

- (1) by deleting from Appendix 4, headed “2006 User Fee Analysis, User Fee Change - BAC Proposed Rate Increase in 2006”, to the report (March 23, 2006), entitled “City of Toronto 2006 Recommended Tax Supported Operating Budget” from the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer [as referenced in Recommendation (A)(8) of the Policy and Finance Committee], the following fees, as they were included in error:
 - (a) Application Fee for A-Frame Sign;
 - (b) Renewal Fee for A-Frame Sign;
 - (c) Application Fee for Mobile Sign; and
 - (d) Application Fee for Mobile Sign - Minimum;
- (2) by amending the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, as follows:

Citizen Centred Services - A

Affordable Housing

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Children’s Services

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Court Services

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Culture

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Economic Development

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Emergency Medical Services

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Homes for the Aged

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Parks, Forestry and Recreation

City Council amended the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) by adding to Recommendation (A)(21) of the Policy and Finance Committee, after the words “highest priorities”, the words “and equity between the four administrative districts”, so that Recommendation (A)(21) now reads as follows:

“(21) Parks, Forestry and Recreation’s future new service priorities be considered in the context of Council’s highest priorities and equity between the four administrative districts, and within an affordable fiscal framework.”;

- (2) to provide that the Youth Apprenticeship program “Training in the Trades”, be given the highest priority amongst the list of youth programs that the City is forwarding for funding consideration under the recently announced provincial youth-at-risk initiatives, and staff be directed to do as much as possible to prepare for start-up of this program to be implemented as soon as Provincial funds are allocated (See also Social Development, Finance and Administration); and

- (3) by adding the following:

“That the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation, be requested to report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee:

- (a) by June 2006, on the progress of the implementation of the Parks, Forestry and Recreation’s new structure based on the Common Grounds report; and

- (b) on re-establishing, with the Toronto District School Board, an exchange of service agreement that will result in returning schools to communities as ‘community assets’ that allow recreation programs for children and youth to be expanded in their communities and reduces costs to provide these programs.”

Shelter, Support and Housing Administration

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Social Development, Finance and Administration

City Council amended the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) by amending Recommendation (A)(26) of the Policy and Finance Committee to include programs for youth at risk, provided by the following Local Arts Service Organizations, in the new and enhanced requests for youth programs and services:
 - (a) Scarborough Arts Council;
 - (b) Urban Arts;
 - (c) Arts Etobicoke; and
 - (d) Lakeshore Arts;
- (2) to provide that the Youth Apprenticeship program “Training in the Trades”, be given the highest priority amongst the list of youth programs that the City is forwarding for funding consideration under the recently announced provincial youth-at-risk initiatives, and staff be directed to do as much as possible to prepare for start-up of this program to be implemented as soon as Provincial funds are allocated (See also Parks, Forestry and Recreation); and
- (3) by adding the following:

“That:

 - (a) the City Manager be directed to develop appropriate performance measures on the outcomes of City and community programming in priority neighbourhoods, in conjunction with the Centre of Criminology and other relevant research initiatives, and report to Council, through the Community Services Committee, on the implementation of these performance measures as part of the report on the implementation of the Toronto Strong Neighbourhoods Strategy coming forward in June 2006; and

- (b) with the approval of the new/enhanced funding of Neighbourhood Action Community Development in the Social Development, Finance and Administration budget to allow the City to begin implementing the Neighbourhood Action Plans in all the thirteen priority neighbourhoods, City staff ensure the local police divisions, the local Councillor and communities are directly involved in the planning process.”

Social Services

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Tourism

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

3-1-1 Customer Service Strategy

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Citizen Centred Services - B

Building Services

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Business Support Services

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

City Planning

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Clean and Beautiful City Secretariat

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Fire Services

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Municipal Licensing and Standards

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Solid Waste Management Services

City Council amended the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by adding the following:

“That the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, be requested to report to the Works Committee on how a managed competition could be held for the residential garbage collection in the former City of North York.”

Transportation Services

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Technical Services

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Waterfront Secretariat

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Internal Services

Office of the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Office of the Treasurer

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Corporate Communications

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Facilities and Real Estate

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Fleet Services

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Information and Technology

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

City Manager

City Manager's Office

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Human Resources

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Other City Programs

City Clerk's Office

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Legal Services

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Auditor General's Office

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Mayor's Office

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

City Council

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Agencies, Boards and Commissions

Public Health

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Toronto Public Library

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Association of Community Centres

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Exhibition Place

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Heritage Toronto

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Theatres

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Toronto Zoo

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Arena Boards of Management

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Yonge-Dundas Square

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Parking Tag Enforcement and Operations

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Toronto Atmospheric Fund

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Toronto and Region Conservation Authority

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Toronto Transit Commission - Conventional

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Toronto Transit Commission - Wheel-Trans

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Toronto Police Service

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Toronto Police Services Board

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

Corporate Accounts

Community Partnership and Investment Program

City Council amended the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, by:

- (1) deleting Recommendation (A)(143) of the Policy and Finance Committee and inserting instead the following:

“(143) additional funding of \$25,000.00 for the Toronto Region Research Alliance (TRRA) be conditional on TEDCO matching the amount resulting in \$50,000.00 funding to TRRA; and that \$25,000.00 of that be used in support of the Information and Communication Technology (ICT) Sector Marketing Program approved by City Council and that TRRA work with the City and the Greater Toronto Marketing Alliance (GTMA) on the development of the program;” and

- (2) adding the following:

“That \$100,000.00 of funding from the Major Cultural Organizations Grants Program within the 2006 Approved Budget for the Community Partnership and Investment Program designated to Pride Toronto be immediately released, pending an appropriate application being submitted and all the grant criteria being met.”

Capital and Corporate Financing/Non-Program

City Council amended the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, to provide that the Mayor’s Homeless Initiative Reserve draw of \$1.469 million and the Capital Revolving Fund Reserve draw of \$9.525 million recommended in Appendix C of Report 3, entitled “Reserve Contribution to the 2006 Operating Budget”, be reversed and replaced by a draw of \$9.525 million from the Homes for the Aged Capital Reserve Fund and a further draw of \$1.469 million from the Social Housing Stabilization Reserve Fund.

Non-Levy Operations

Toronto Parking Authority

City Council adopted the Program Recommendations, as recommended by the Policy and Finance Committee, without amendment.

GENERAL

(3) by adding the following:

“That:

(a) the City Manager be requested to:

- (i) report to the next meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee on the progress and status of performance measures for services performed by the City;
- (ii) report to the July 25, 2006 meeting of City Council, through the Policy and Finance Committee, providing a list of the following:
 - (1) services that are performed by the City and its agencies, boards and commissions;
 - (2) services that are provided by the private sector for the City and its agencies, boards and commissions; and
 - (3) services in Part (1), above, that could also be provided by the private sector;
- (iii) report to the Policy and Finance Committee on opportunities for zero-based Budgeting within the City and all of its divisions, agencies, boards and commissions, and all divisions, agencies, boards and commissions being reviewed on a three-year, rolling basis;
- (iv) report to the Policy and Finance Committee on possible opportunities in the new ‘*City of Toronto Act*’ (Bill 53), to raise revenue to be used for the establishment of a reserve fund to address ‘Emergency City Safety’ issues, as it relates to crime and violence, in the City of Toronto; and

(b) the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be requested to:

- (i) report to Council for its meeting on June 27, 2006, through the Policy and Finance Committee, outlining their recommendations on service priorities and a plan to review our current service delivery models, prior to consideration of the 2007 budget; and
- (ii) report through the 2007 Operating Budget process, on any proposed

service changes which may be required to achieve a ‘0’ base budget for 2007.”

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

S4.7 Policy and Finance Committee Report 2, Clause 2, headed “2006 Tax Levy By-laws and Related Matters”.

The Clause was submitted without recommendation.

Motions:

- (a) Councillor Watson moved that Council adopt the following staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the supplementary report (March 30, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the 2006 tax ratios shown in Column II (before budgetary levy increase) for each of the property classes shown in Column I, as set out below, be adopted, wherein the adoption of such ratios together with the 2006 municipal budgetary tax levy increase provided for in Recommendation (3)(b) will result in the 2006 ending tax ratios shown in Column III (after budgetary levy increase):

Column I	Column II	Column III
<u>Property Class</u>	<u>2006 Tax Ratio (before budgetary levy increase)</u>	<u>2006 Ending Ratios (after budgetary levy increase)</u>
Residential	1.000000	1.000000
Multi-Residential	3.706951	3.634972
New Multi-Residential	1.000000	1.000000
Commercial	3.746476	3.673729
Industrial	4.170990	4.090000
Farmlands	0.250000	0.250000
Pipelines	1.923564	1.923564
Managed Forests	0.250000	0.250000

- (2) Council elect to raise the tax rates on the restricted property classes (commercial, industrial, and multi-residential) by one-third of the percentage tax rate increase on the unrestricted property classes (residential, new multi-residential, pipelines, farmlands, and managed forests) as adopted by Council as policy during consideration of the “Enhancing Toronto’s Business Climate” initiative in October 2005, and in accordance with O.Reg. 73/03 as amended;

(3) Council adopt:

- (a) the tax rates set out below in Column II, which rates will raise a base local municipal general tax levy for 2006 of \$3,076,244,287; and
- (b) the tax rates set out below in Column III, which rates will raise an additional local municipal tax levy for 2006 of \$55,584,055 to fund the 2006 operating budget tax levy increase, as determined in accordance with legislative requirements:

Column I Property Class	Column II 2006 Tax Rate for Base General Local Municipal Levy	Column III 2006 Additional Tax Rate for to Fund Budgetary Levy Increase)
Residential	0.5503483%	0.0165104%
Multi-Residential	2.0401142%	0.0204011%
New Multi-Residential	0.5503483%	0.0165104%
Commercial	2.0618666%	0.0206187%
Industrial	2.2954973%	0.0229550%
Farmlands	0.1375871%	0.0041276%
Pipelines	1.0586302%	0.0317589%
Managed Forests	0.1375871%	0.0041276%

(4) a technical adjustment be made to the 2006 Non-Program Tax Account in the amount of \$2,894,756 to fund the mandatory 2006 property tax rebates to registered charities in the commercial and industrial property classes, which adjustment is to be funded, for a net impact on the 2006 operating budget of zero, by the following:

- (a) an additional tax rate of 0.0051285% be levied as part of the general local municipal levy on the commercial class to raise a further additional local municipal tax levy of \$2,821,067 to fund the total estimated rebates to registered charities for properties in the commercial class in 2006; and
- (b) an additional tax rate of 0.0013028% be levied as part of the general local municipal levy on the industrial class to raise a further additional local municipal tax levy of \$73,689 to fund the total estimated rebates to registered charities for properties in the industrial class in 2006;

- (5) the tax rates for school purposes set out in Column II, which rates will raise an education tax levy for 2006 of \$1,875,273,736, be adopted and come into effect when the regulation prescribing these rates is filed:

Column I Property Class	Column II 2006 Tax Rate for Education Levy
Residential	0.264000%
Multi-Residential	0.264000%
New Multi-Residential	0.264000%
Commercial	1.975821%
Industrial	2.059907%
Farmlands	0.066000%
Pipelines	1.802637%
Managed Forests	0.066000%

- (6) as in past years, the instalment dates for the 2006 final tax bills be set as follows:
- (a) the regular instalment dates be the first business days of July, August and September;
 - (b) for taxpayers who are enrolled in the monthly pre-authorized property tax payment program, the instalment dates be the 15th, or first business day thereafter, of each of the months of July to December; and
 - (c) for taxpayers who are enrolled in the two installment program, the final instalment date be July 4;
- (7) (a) the collection of taxes for 2006, other than those levied under By-law No. 1022-2005 (the interim levy by-law) be authorized;
- (b) a penalty charge for non-payment of taxes of 1.25 percent of taxes due and unpaid be added on the first day of default, and interest be charged at a rate of 1.25 percent per month on all outstanding taxes accruing from the first day of default; and
 - (c) a minimum tax amount of \$5.00, for both municipal and school purposes, be established commencing for the 2006 taxation year, and if the total taxes in any year is less than

\$5.00, no taxes shall be payable and no tax bill shall be sent in that year;

- (8) Council enact a by-law to establish the New Multi-Residential tax class for new multi-residential properties constructed in 2006, and that the applicable tax rate for this class be set at a tax rate equivalent to the 2006 residential tax rate;
- (9) Council enact a by-law to limit reassessment-related tax increases for the commercial, industrial, and multi-residential property classes, such a cap limit to be based on 5% of the preceding year's current value taxes, commencing for the 2006 taxation year;
- (10) Council enact a by-law to phase-out the comparable property tax treatment for new construction in the residual commercial, industrial and multi-residential classes by establishing the minimum property taxes for new construction at the lesser of the uncapped (full CVA) taxes and the taxes for comparable properties as defined under legislation, but not less than at 80% of the uncapped taxes for 2006; and
- (11) the appropriate officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto and authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary bills in Council."

(b) Councillor Jenkins moved that Council adopt the following:

"That the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the multi-year impact of the current tax ratio rules including the budgetary levy increase and the natural CVA shift by property class."

Votes:

Motion (b) by Councillor Jenkins carried.

Adoption of motion (a) by Councillor Watson:

Yes - 24 Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Filion, Giambrone, Hall, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Silva, Soknacki, Watson
No - 10 Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Grimes, Jenkins, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Stintz, Walker

Carried by a majority of 14.

The Clause, as amended, carried.

Summary:

In summary, Council adopted the following:

“That:

- (1) the following staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the supplementary report (March 30, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) the 2006 tax ratios shown in Column II (before budgetary levy increase) for each of the property classes shown in Column I, as set out below, be adopted, wherein the adoption of such ratios together with the 2006 municipal budgetary tax levy increase provided for in Recommendation (3)(b) will result in the 2006 ending tax ratios shown in Column III (after budgetary levy increase):

Column I	Column II	Column III
<u>Property Class</u>	<u>2006 Tax Ratio (before budgetary levy increase)</u>	<u>2006 Ending Ratios (after budgetary levy increase)</u>
Residential	1.000000	1.000000
Multi-Residential	3.706951	3.634972
New Multi-Residential	1.000000	1.000000
Commercial	3.746476	3.673729
Industrial	4.170990	4.090000
Farmlands	0.250000	0.250000
Pipelines	1.923564	1.923564
Managed Forests	0.250000	0.250000

- (2) Council elect to raise the tax rates on the restricted property classes (commercial, industrial, and multi-residential) by one-third of the percentage tax rate increase on the unrestricted property classes (residential, new multi-residential, pipelines, farmlands, and managed forests) as adopted by Council as policy during consideration of the “Enhancing Toronto’s Business Climate” initiative in October 2005, and in accordance with O.Reg. 73/03 as amended;
- (3) Council adopt:

- (a) the tax rates set out below in Column II, which rates will raise a base local municipal general tax levy for 2006 of \$3,076,244,287; and
- (b) the tax rates set out below in Column III, which rates will raise an additional local municipal tax levy for 2006 of \$55,584,055 to fund the 2006 operating budget tax levy increase, as determined in accordance with legislative requirements:

Column I	Column II	Column III
Property Class	2006 Tax Rate for Base General Local Municipal Levy	2006 Additional Tax Rate for to Fund Budgetary Levy Increase)
Residential	0.5503483%	0.0165104%
Multi-Residential	2.0401142%	0.0204011%
New Multi-Residential	0.5503483%	0.0165104%
Commercial	2.0618666%	0.0206187%
Industrial	2.2954973%	0.0229550%
Farmlands	0.1375871%	0.0041276%
Pipelines	1.0586302%	0.0317589%
Managed Forests	0.1375871%	0.0041276%

- (4) a technical adjustment be made to the 2006 Non-Program Tax Account in the amount of \$2,894,756 to fund the mandatory 2006 property tax rebates to registered charities in the commercial and industrial property classes, which adjustment is to be funded, for a net impact on the 2006 operating budget of zero, by the following:
 - (a) an additional tax rate of 0.0051285% be levied as part of the general local municipal levy on the commercial class to raise a further additional local municipal tax levy of \$2,821,067 to fund the total estimated rebates to registered charities for properties in the commercial class in 2006; and
 - (b) an additional tax rate of 0.0013028% be levied as part of the general local municipal levy on the industrial class to raise a further additional local municipal tax levy of \$73,689 to fund the total estimated rebates to registered charities for properties in the industrial class in 2006;
- (5) the tax rates for school purposes set out in Column II, which rates will raise an education tax levy for 2006 of \$1,875,273,736, be adopted and come into effect when the regulation prescribing these rates is filed:

Column I Property Class	Column II 2006 Tax Rate for Education Levy
Residential	0.264000%
Multi-Residential	0.264000%
New Multi-Residential	0.264000%
Commercial	1.975821%
Industrial	2.059907%
Farmlands	0.066000%
Pipelines	1.802637%
Managed Forests	0.066000%

- (6) as in past years, the instalment dates for the 2006 final tax bills be set as follows:
- (a) the regular instalment dates be the first business days of July, August and September;
 - (b) for taxpayers who are enrolled in the monthly pre-authorized property tax payment program, the instalment dates be the 15th, or first business day thereafter, of each of the months of July to December; and
 - (c) for taxpayers who are enrolled in the two installment program, the final instalment date be July 4;
- (7) (a) the collection of taxes for 2006, other than those levied under By-law No. 1022-2005 (the interim levy by-law) be authorized;
- (b) a penalty charge for non-payment of taxes of 1.25 percent of taxes due and unpaid be added on the first day of default, and interest be charged at a rate of 1.25 percent per month on all outstanding taxes accruing from the first day of default; and
 - (c) a minimum tax amount of \$5.00, for both municipal and school purposes, be established commencing for the 2006 taxation year, and if the total taxes in any year is less than \$5.00, no taxes shall be payable and no tax bill shall be sent in that year;
- (8) Council enact a by-law to establish the New Multi-Residential tax class for new multi-residential properties constructed in 2006, and that the applicable tax rate for this class be set at a tax rate equivalent to

the 2006 residential tax rate;

- (9) Council enact a by-law to limit reassessment-related tax increases for the commercial, industrial, and multi-residential property classes, such a cap limit to be based on 5% of the preceding year's current value taxes, commencing for the 2006 taxation year;
 - (10) Council enact a by-law to phase-out the comparable property tax treatment for new construction in the residual commercial, industrial and multi-residential classes by establishing the minimum property taxes for new construction at the lesser of the uncapped (full CVA) taxes and the taxes for comparable properties as defined under legislation, but not less than at 80% of the uncapped taxes for 2006; and
 - (11) the appropriate officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto and authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary bills in Council.”; and
- (2) the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the multi-year impact of the current tax ratio rules including the budgetary levy increase and the natural CVA shift by property class.”

MOTIONS (NOTICE PREVIOUSLY GIVEN) AND NOTICES OF MOTION

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

S4.8 J(1) Request for an Amendment to the Criminal Code Regarding Intentional Harm to Law Enforcement Animals

Councillor Lindsay Luby requested that, in accordance with §27-5 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, a Notice of Motion respecting an Amendment to the Criminal Code regarding Intentional Harm to Law Enforcement Animals, be added to the agenda for this special meeting, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Lindsay Luby moved that the necessary provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived to permit introduction of the following Notice of Motion, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

Moved by: Councillor Lindsay Luby

Seconded by: Councillor Soknacki

“**WHEREAS** the Toronto Police Service, and many law enforcement agencies across Canada, rely on service animals to help fight crime and protect our streets; and

WHEREAS Brigadier, an eight-year-old Belgian cross, was deliberately struck by a driver at a high rate of speed; and

WHEREAS there are many examples of intentional harm or injury to law enforcement horses and dogs; and

WHEREAS many U.S. States have made it a felony to harm or injure such service animals; and

WHEREAS there is no protection for law enforcement service animals in the Canadian Criminal Code;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Toronto City Council urge the Government of Canada to amend the Criminal Code to make intentional harm of a law enforcement animal an indictable offence;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT a copy of this Motion be sent to the Prime Minister, the Federal Minister of Justice and Attorney General, and the Federal Minister of Public Safety;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT a copy of this Motion be sent to the Premier of Ontario, the Attorney General of Ontario, the Ontario Minister of Community Safety and Correctional Services, and the Chief of Police, Toronto Police Service.”

Advice by Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki advised the Council that the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code requiring the referral of Motion J(1) to the Policy and Finance Committee would have to be waived, in order to now consider this Motion.

Fiscal Impact Statement:

City Council had before it, during consideration of Motion J(1), a Fiscal Impact Statement from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer advising that there was no financial impact resulting from the adoption of this Motion. (See Fiscal Impact Statement Summary, Page 107)

Procedural Vote:

The vote to waive referral of Motion J(1) to the Policy and Finance Committee carried, more

than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Council also had before it, during consideration of Motion J(1), a Petition (undated) entitled “Petition for Brig’s Law”, containing approximately 1637 signatures, submitted by Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby, Ward 4, Etobicoke Centre.

Vote:

Adoption of Motion J(1), without amendment:

Yes - 34
Councillors: Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 0

Carried, without dissent.

S4.9 **J(2) 90 Stadium Road – Ontario Municipal Board Hearing**

Councillor Silva requested that, in accordance with §27-5 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, a Notice of Motion respecting 90 Stadium Road be added to the agenda for this special meeting, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Silva moved that the necessary provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived to permit introduction of the following Notice of Motion, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

Moved by: Councillor Silva

Seconded by: Deputy Mayor Pantalone

“WHEREAS City Council on February 14th, 2006, considered a confidential report dated January 30, 2006, from the City Solicitor regarding an OMB appeal of a proposed zoning by-law amendment to permit a residential development at 90 Stadium Road; and

WHEREAS upon consideration of such report, City Council directed staff to continue negotiations with Monarch Construction Limited (the ‘Applicant’) to revise its development proposal in a number of respects; and

WHEREAS the City Solicitor and Planning staff have entered into negotiations with the Applicant to discuss those modifications to the development proposal, as requested by Council; and

WHEREAS as a result of such negotiations, the Applicant has made an Offer to Settle; and

WHEREAS this matter is time sensitive because the Ontario Municipal Board hearing of the zoning appeal for 90 Stadium Road is scheduled to commence on April 10, 2006, and this will be the only meeting at which Council will have the opportunity to consider the Offer to Settle submitted in response to the Council direction of February 14, 2006;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendation Section of the confidential report (March 27, 2006) from the City Solicitor, regarding 90 Stadium Road.”

Advice by Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki advised the Council that the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code requiring the referral of Motion J(2) to the Toronto and East York Community Council would have to be waived, in order to now consider this Motion.

Fiscal Impact Statement:

City Council had before it, during consideration of Motion J(2), a confidential Fiscal Impact Statement from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer.

Procedural Vote:

The vote to waive referral of Motion J(2) to the Toronto and East York Community Council carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Council also had before it, during consideration of Motion J(2), a confidential report (March 27, 2006) from the City Solicitor.

Vote:

Motion J(2) was adopted, without amendment.

Summary:

In adopting Motion J(2) without amendment, Council adopted, without amendment, the staff recommendation contained in the Recommendation Section of the confidential report

(March 27, 2006) from the City Solicitor. The following recommendation and Schedule “A” are now public and the balance of the report remains confidential in accordance with the provisions of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, as it contains information pertaining to litigation or potential litigation:

“It is recommended that City Council endorse the settlement of the OMB appeals with respect to 90 Stadium Road on the basis set out in Schedule ‘A’ to this report.”

Schedule “A”
Draft Motion

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council endorse the settlement of the OMB appeal with respect to the 90 Stadium Road on the basis of the following modifications:
 - (a) The zoning by-law and site plan are approved generally in accordance with the instruments before the Ontario Municipal Board, but amended as set out hereunder. The height of the tower element will be decreased to 22 storeys (70.5 metres), plus mechanical penthouse, from the currently proposed 24 storeys. The mechanical penthouse on the tower element will not exceed 5.2 metres in height or 40 percent of the roof area of the tower element.
 - (b) The site plan and zoning by-law to be approved will also be amended to increase the step back of the ninth floor of the portion of the building adjacent to Stadium Road to 3 metres.
 - (c) The site plan will be revised to show a setback at the southwest corner of the site of 6 metres in width, of which 4.5 metres would be unencumbered, with 1.5 metres subject to a building overhang from the third floor up (approximately 5.8 metres in height), being a total area of approximately 6 X 7.6 metres for the purposes of a parkland (trail) dedication, all as discussed with staff.
 - (d) A reasonable public connection through the north end of the site between the Martin Goodman Trail and Stadium Road, consistent with the site plan, will be provided.
 - (e) An irrigation system for street trees will be installed and operated at the owner’s expense, subject to the terms set out below in the section 37 agreement, such that the owner will be responsible for any costs in excess of \$20,000.00.

- (f) The applicant will enter into a section 37 agreement, including the following terms and conditions:
- (i) Applicant will provide the irrigation system set out in paragraph (e) above, provided that it is an extension of the system on the development site.
 - (ii) Applicant will provide a cash payment for parks improvements in the immediate vicinity of the site, provided that the total cost thereof, together with the irrigation system in paragraph (e) above, does not exceed \$825,000.00.
 - (iii) In consideration of the foregoing, the City will provide Monarch with an encroachment agreement and limiting distance agreement respecting adjacent City lands, as discussed with staff, which would permit the construction of the development contemplated by the site plan. The City will also provide an agreement for tiebacks and a park occupation permit and any other permits required to permit Monarch to occupy a portion of the park to the south of the site (including the “crane swing” overhead) for a temporary period of two years (during construction).
- (2) the City Solicitor and other City staff be authorized to make the necessary revisions to the zoning bylaw, enter into such agreements and take all such other actions as may necessary to give effect to Council’s direction as outlined in the confidential report.

S4.10 J(3) Release of Development Agreements from Railway Lands Block 20/23 (Phase III) to Permit the Conveyance of Condominium Units

Councillor Silva requested that, in accordance with §27-5 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, a Notice of Motion respecting Development Agreements for Railway Lands Block 20/23 (Phase III), be added to the agenda for this special meeting, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Silva moved that the necessary provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived to permit introduction of the following Notice of Motion, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

Moved by: Councillor Silva

Seconded by: Councillor Mihevc

“WHEREAS Concord Adex Developments Corp. (‘Concord Adex’) is the owner of

Railway Lands Block 20/23, which it is developing as condominiums in Phases I, II and III; and

WHEREAS Concord Adex expects to convey the units in Phase III during the month of April, 2006; and

WHEREAS Concord Adex has requested the City to release certain development agreements prior to these conveyances dates; and

WHEREAS the next City Council meeting is scheduled to be held on April 25, 26 and 27, 2006, which is after the anticipated conveyance dates; and

WHEREAS the City Solicitor has prepared a report (March 28, 2006) recommending the release of the development agreements, as requested by Concord Adex, subject to certain conditions; and

WHEREAS it is, therefore, appropriate that City Council consider the report of the City Solicitor at its Special Meeting to be held on March 29, 2006;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council consider the attached report (March 28, 2006) from the City Solicitor, and that the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendation Section of the report be adopted.”

Advice by Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki advised the Council that the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code requiring the referral of Motion J(3) to the Toronto and East York Community Council would have to be waived, in order to now consider this Motion.

Fiscal Impact Statement:

City Council had before it, during consideration of Motion J(3), a Fiscal Impact Statement from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer advising that there was no financial impact resulting from the adoption of this Motion. (See Fiscal Impact Statement Summary, Page 107)

Procedural Vote:

The vote to waive referral of Motion J(3) to the Toronto and East York Community Council carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Council also had before it, during consideration of Motion J(3), a report (March 28, 2006) from the City Solicitor (See Attachment 1, Page 102).

Vote:

Motion J(3) was adopted, without amendment.

Summary:

In adopting Motion J(3) without amendment, Council adopted, without amendment, the following staff recommendation contained in the Recommendation Section of the report (March 28, 2006) from the City Solicitor:

“It is recommended that authority be granted to release Phase III of Block 20/23 in the Railway Lands from the development agreements listed in Appendix ‘B’ to the City Solicitor’s report dated March 28, 2006, (subject to the preconditions set out in Appendix ‘B’) and that authority also be granted to enter into the necessary replacement agreement.”

S4.11 J(4) 60 Vernon Street - Ontario Municipal Board Hearing

Councillor Saundercook requested that, in accordance with §27-5 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, a Notice of Motion respecting 60 Vernon Street, be added to the agenda for this special meeting, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Saundercook moved that the necessary provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived to permit introduction of the following Notice of Motion, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

Moved by: Councillor Saundercook

Seconded by: Councillor Grimes

“**WHEREAS** on Thursday, December 15, 2005, the Committee of Adjustment for the City of Toronto (Etobicoke York Panel) refused Minor Variance Application Number A485/05EYK, related to 60 Vernon Street; and

WHEREAS the proposal was to legalize and to maintain the construction of a new three-storey single family detached dwelling with attic access to a roof top deck, constructed beyond the building permit; and

WHEREAS the variances requested from the Zoning By-law included an increase in the gross floor area and a reduction of the front yard and side yard setbacks; and

WHEREAS City Planning staff recommended refusal of the variance request for gross floor area; and

WHEREAS the applicant appealed the Committee of Adjustment decision to the Ontario Municipal Board, and a hearing for Tuesday, April 4, 2006, has been scheduled;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council direct the City Solicitor and appropriate staff to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to oppose the appeal of Minor Variance Application Number A485/05EYK, related to 60 Vernon Street.”

Advice by Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki advised the Council that the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code requiring the referral of Motion J(4) to the Etobicoke York Community Council would have to be waived, in order to now consider this Motion.

Fiscal Impact Statement:

City Council had before it, during consideration of Motion J(4), a Fiscal Impact Statement from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer advising that there was no financial impact resulting from the adoption of this Motion. (See Fiscal Impact Statement Summary, Page 107)

Procedural Vote:

The vote to waive referral of Motion J(4) to the Etobicoke York Community Council carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Council also had before it, during consideration of Motion J(4), a Notice of Decision (December 20, 2005) from the Manager and Deputy Secretary Treasurer, Etobicoke York Panel, Committee of Adjustment, which is on file in the City Clerk’s Office.

Vote:

Adoption of Motion J(4), without amendment:

Yes - 31	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 2	
Councillors:	Ford, Rae

Carried by a majority of 29.

S4.12 J(5) Rescindment of Rush Hour Stopping Prohibitions - Simcoe Street, west side, between King Street West and Wellington Street West, fronting Roy Thompson Hall – 60 Simcoe Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

Councillor Silva requested that, in accordance with §27-5 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, a Notice of Motion respecting Stopping Prohibitions on Simcoe Street, be added to the agenda for this special meeting, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Silva moved that the necessary provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived to permit introduction of the following Notice of Motion, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

Moved by: Councillor Silva

Seconded by: Deputy Mayor Pantalone

“WHEREAS Toronto City Council, at its meeting of January 31 and February 1 and 2, 2006, adopted Toronto and East York Community Council Report 1, Clause 31, regarding rescinding the rush period stopping prohibitions on the west side of Simcoe Street, between King Street West and Wellington Street West, as requested by officials of Roy Thompson Hall; and

WHEREAS by the adoption of the Clause, the ‘No Stopping’ morning and evening rush period prohibitions and ‘No Parking at anytime’ prohibitions on the west side of Simcoe Street, between King Street West and Wellington Street West were rescinded and a ‘No Standing at all times’ regulation was implemented to provide for receiving and discharging passengers in front of Roy Thompson Hall; and

WHEREAS these measures once implemented, have resulted in concerns due to the loss of short term parking for persons operating vehicles appropriately displaying disabled persons parking permits granted in areas designated as ‘No Parking’; and

WHEREAS the staff at Roy Thompson Hall are requesting reconsideration to establish two clearly signed areas as ‘No Parking at all times’, except during the hours of 7:30 a.m. and 9:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, when ‘No Standing’ would be in effect, to facilitate persons operating vehicles appropriately displaying disabled persons parking permits parking on the west side of Simcoe Street, as illustrated in the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-8227, dated February 2006;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT, in accordance with §27-49 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Toronto and East York Community Council Report 1, Clause 31, headed ‘Rescindment of Rush Hour Stopping Prohibitions – Simcoe Street, west side, between King Street West and Wellington Street West, fronting Roy Thompson Hall – 60 Simcoe Street (Ward 20 –Trinity-Spadina)’, be re-opened for further consideration;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Recommendation No. (3) contained in the report (December 1, 2005) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, be deleted and the following new recommendations, as provided by staff of Transportation Services to address the concerns, be approved:

- (1) the ‘No Standing at all times’ regulation on the west side of Simcoe Street, from King Street West to Wellington Street be rescinded;
- (2) standing be prohibited at all times on the west side of Simcoe Street, from King Street West to a point 30.0 metres south thereof;
- (3) standing be prohibited from 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, and parking be prohibited at all other times on the west side of Simcoe Street, from a point 30.0 metres south of King Street West and a point 17.0 metres south thereof;
- (4) standing be prohibited at all times on the west side of Simcoe Street, from a point 47.0 metres south of King Street West to a point 35.0 metres south thereof;
- (5) standing be prohibited from 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, and parking be prohibited at all other times on the west side of Simcoe Street, from a point 82.0 metres south of King Street West and a point 27.0 metres south thereof;
- (6) standing be prohibited at all times on the west side of Simcoe Street, from a point 109.0 metres south of King Street West to Wellington Street West; and
- (7) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.”

Fiscal Impact Statement:

City Council had before it, during consideration of Motion J(5), a Fiscal Impact Statement from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer advising that there was no financial impact resulting from the adoption of this Motion. (See Fiscal Impact Statement Summary, Page 107)

Council also had before it, during consideration of Motion J(5), Drawing No. 421F-8227 (February 2006), headed “Simcoe Street: King Street West - Wellington Street West, Existing and Proposed Parking Regulations”, which is on file in the City Clerk’s Office.

Vote to Re-open:

The first Operative Paragraph contained in Motion J(5) carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Vote:

The balance of Motion J(5) was adopted, without amendment.

S4.13 J(6) Recognition of Release of Members of the Christian Peacemaker Teams in Iraq

Councillor Mihevc requested that, in accordance with §27-5 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, a Notice of Motion respecting Members of the Christian Peacemaker Teams in Iraq, be added to the agenda for this special meeting, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Mihevc moved that the necessary provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived to permit introduction of the following Notice of Motion:

Moved by: Councillor Mihevc

Seconded by: Councillor Davis

“WHEREAS on November 26, 2005 James Loney, a Torontonian and member of the Christian Peacemaker Teams working in Iraq as a peace and human rights activist was detained along with fellow team members Harmeet Singh Sooden, Tom Fox and Norman Kember; and

WHEREAS in December 2005, Toronto City Council adopted a Motion expressing its concern for the safety of James Loney and its hope for his speedy release and safe return home; and expressing its solidarity with people who were holding vigil at the Peace Garden on Nathan Phillips Square; and

WHEREAS on March 23, 2006, James Loney, a Torontonian and member of the Christian Peacemaker Teams, and fellow Christian Peacemakers Norman Kember and Harmeet Singh Sooden, were found; and

WHEREAS James Loney, Norman Kember and Harmeet Singh Sooden are reported to be in good spirits and in remarkably good health; and

WHEREAS on March 9, 2006, the body of Tom Fox, a member of the Christian Peacemaker Teams detained in Iraq, was found; and

WHEREAS James Loney has worked internationally and within the City of Toronto with an aim to end violence, has championed the cause of citizens and has worked in the City to offer refuge to the socially marginalized; and

WHEREAS Christian Peacemaker Teams remained true to pacifist principles and have shown great courage in their steadfast adherence to these principles to which they subscribe, even while members of their Team were held in captivity; and

WHEREAS James Loney, Harmeet Singh Sooden, Tom Fox and Norman Kember have been examples of courage, peace, kindness and unwavering commitment to finding alternatives to war and other conflicts which result in the loss of life, human rights and dignity of peoples; and

WHEREAS the City of Toronto is committed to pursuing peace and social justice in a non-violent manner; and

WHEREAS Christian Peacemaker Teams are committed to and continue to pursue peace and social justice in a non-violent manner;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Toronto City Council express the joy and solidarity that Torontonians feel with the rescue of James Loney, Harmeet Singh Sooden and Norman Kember, and that Toronto City Council wish them well as they reconnect with family, friends and community;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Toronto City Council express its condolence to the family and friends of Tom Fox. We honour his memory and acknowledge his works (and that of other Christian Peacemakers) as instrumental in highlighting the plight of those victimized by war;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Toronto City Council acknowledge the contribution of the individuals, organizations, security forces and community groups of all faiths and walks of life, who gave of themselves to secure the release of the hostages.”

Ruling by Acting Chair:

Councillor Stintz requested the Acting Chair to rule on whether this Notice of Motion was within the jurisdiction of the City of Toronto. Acting Chair Soknacki ruled that Notice of Motion J(6) was in order.

Councillor Stintz challenged the ruling of the Acting Chair.

Vote to Uphold Ruling of Acting Chair:

Yes - 24	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Silva, Soknacki
No - 12	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz, Watson

Carried by a majority of 12.

Vote:

The vote to waive the necessary provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit introduction of Notice of Motion J(6), was taken as follows:

Yes - 27	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Silva, Soknacki, Watson
No - 9	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz

Carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Advice by Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki advised the Council that the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code requiring the referral of Motion J(6) to the Policy and Finance Committee would have to be waived, in order to now consider this Motion.

Fiscal Impact Statement:

City Council had before it, during consideration of Motion J(6), a Fiscal Impact Statement from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer advising that there was no financial impact resulting from the adoption of this Motion. (See Fiscal Impact Statement Summary, Page 107)

Procedural Vote:

The vote to waive referral of Motion J(6) to the Policy and Finance Committee was taken as follows:

Yes - 25	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Jenkins, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Silva, Walker, Watson
No - 12	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Holyday, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Soknacki, Stintz

Carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Vote:

Motion J(6) was adopted, without amendment.

S4.14 J(7) MPAC Reform - Request to Establish an Assessment Monitoring Group

Councillor Davis requested that, in accordance with §27-5 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, a Notice of Motion respecting MPAC Reform, be added to the agenda for this special meeting, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Fletcher moved that the necessary provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived to permit introduction of the following Notice of Motion, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

Moved by: Councillor Fletcher

Seconded by: Councillor Davis

“WHEREAS residents in Toronto have complained that MPAC (the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation) is too secretive in its property assessments and that its lack of transparency has failed to ensure property owners are provided with sufficient and timely information to help them challenge their property assessments fairly; and

WHEREAS residents have been forced to appeal assessments repeatedly because MPAC has failed to carry forward the adjustments that were made by the Assessment Review Board; and

WHEREAS the Provincial Ombudsman has completed an investigation and reported

that the complaints of residents were justified; and

WHEREAS the Ombudsman's report, titled 'Getting it Right', makes a series of 22 recommendations for how MPAC can improve its service and become more accountable to property owners and the public; and

WHEREAS MPAC and the Government of Ontario have accepted the Ombudsman's findings and have committed to implement 18 of the recommendations and to study the remaining four recommendations; and

WHEREAS the Ombudsman's report confirms the concerns outlined in the motion previously approved by Council on October 26, 27, 28, and 31, 2005;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Toronto City Council support and urge MPAC and the Government of Ontario to implement immediately the recommendations in the Ombudsman's report 'Getting it Right', to create greater transparency, accountability and fairness for the taxpayers of Toronto and so advise the Ombudsman;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT an Assessment Monitoring Group be established to monitor and report to Council on the actions of MPAC, the Assessment Appeal Board and the provincial government with respect to Current Value Assessment (CVA), assessment and appeals, and the implementation of the Ombudsman's report;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City Manager poll Councillors to determine interest in joining the Monitoring Group, and report to the July 18, 2006 meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee on the membership and mandate of the Monitoring Group, and report to the January 2007 meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee on the progress that MPAC has made toward implementation of the Ombudsman's recommendations."

Advice by Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki advised the Council that the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code requiring the referral of Motion J(7) to the Policy and Finance Committee would have to be waived, in order to now consider this Motion.

Fiscal Impact Statement:

City Council had before it, for consideration with Motion J(7), a Fiscal Impact Statement from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer advising that there was no financial impact resulting from the adoption of this Motion. (See Fiscal Impact Statement Summary, Page 107)

Procedural Vote:

The vote to waive referral of Motion J(7) to the Policy and Finance Committee was taken as follows:

Yes - 22 Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Feldman, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Pitfield, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker
No - 14 Councillors:	Ashton, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Grimes, Holyday, Kelly, Mihevc, Milczyn, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Rae, Watson

Lost, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Disposition:

Motion J(7) was referred to the Policy and Finance Committee.

S4.15 J(8) Request for Review of Taxi Camera Requirements

Councillor Minnan-Wong requested that, in accordance with §27-5 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, a Notice of Motion respecting Taxi Camera Requirements, be added to the agenda for this special meeting, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Minnan-Wong, with the permission of Council, subsequently withdrew the following Motion:

Moved by: Councillor Minnan-Wong

Seconded by: Councillor Stintz

“WHEREAS the City of Toronto’s Municipal Licensing and Standards Division has passed a ruling as of March 10, 2006, setting standards for taxi cameras so that only one type of taxi camera is permitted in vehicles; and

WHEREAS taxi drivers will be forced to spend hundreds of extra dollars that they may not have, in order for them to meet the new camera requirements; and

WHEREAS there is a limited number of manufacturers and supply, resulting in long wait times and higher costs to operators; and

WHEREAS the benefits of purchasing these new cameras may not match the significant extra costs which taxi drivers are being forced to bear; and

WHEREAS most North American cities do not require taxi drivers to use the expensive cameras required by Municipal Licensing and Standards (MLS), but rather have selected a less expensive model; and

WHEREAS City Council recognizes the need to minimize the operating costs to taxi drivers in order that they may conduct their business in a cost effective manner and make a reasonable living;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council suspend the requirement of taxi drivers to purchase these new cameras until such time as a report can be brought forward examining the specifications and benefits of the standards set by MLS and other options that may be available;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards, be requested to submit this report to the next meeting of Planning and Transportation Committee on May 8, 2006.”

Fiscal Impact Statement:

City Council had before it, for consideration with Motion J(8), a Fiscal Impact Statement from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer advising that there was no financial impact resulting from the adoption of this Motion. (See Fiscal Impact Statement Summary, Page 107)

S4.16 J(9) Toronto District School Board - Catchment Boundaries for Local Public Schools in Scarborough Centre

Councillor De Baeremaeker requested that, in accordance with §27-5 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, a Notice of Motion respecting Catchment Boundaries for Local Public Schools in Scarborough Centre, be added to the agenda for this special meeting, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor De Baeremaeker gave Notice of the following Motion to permit consideration at the next regular meeting of City Council on April 25, 2006:

Moved by: Councillor De Baeremaeker

Seconded by: Councillor Altobello

“**WHEREAS** the Toronto District School Board set the catchment boundaries for local public schools in Scarborough Centre in 1990; and

WHEREAS the 1990 catchment area designated the entire Scarborough Centre Secondary Planning Area as one catchment area; and

WHEREAS in 2005, the City of Toronto approved an updated Scarborough Centre Secondary Plan that would see an additional 30,000 residents moving into this one catchment area; and

WHEREAS some local schools in Scarborough Centre such as St. Andrews, North Bendale and Bendale are below capacity and could therefore be in danger of being closed; and

WHEREAS updating the 16 year-old catchment boundary could help ensure an equitable distribution of new students moving into the area so that all schools have enough students to stay open; and

WHEREAS the closing of any school would have devastating consequences on local students, families and property values;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City of Toronto request the Toronto District School Board to revisit the school catchment boundaries set in 1990, with a goal of ensuring an equitable distribution of students to local public schools so that all schools remain open and no local schools are closed;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the City of Toronto encourage the Toronto District School Board to work with local parents to examine programs such as Special Education classes and Extended French Immersion classes with a goal of ensuring that local schools remain open.”

S4.17 J(10) Funding Support from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment for the Beaches Management Symposium

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Councillor Carroll requested that, in accordance with §27-5 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, a Notice of Motion respecting the Beaches Management Symposium, be added to the agenda for this special meeting, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Councillor Carroll moved that the necessary provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived to permit introduction of the following Notice of Motion, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

Moved by: Councillor Carroll

Seconded by: Deputy Mayor Bussin

“WHEREAS Toronto Water’s application for funding submitted to the Ontario Ministry of the Environment (MOE) to support the Beaches Management Symposium has been approved for funding of up to a total amount of \$15,000.00 for the fiscal year 2005/2006 (the ‘Funds’); and

WHEREAS Toronto Water agreed, within existing budgets, to host, organize and provide logistical support for the Beaches Management Symposium in collaboration with the Great Lakes and St. Lawrence Cities Initiative; and

WHEREAS Toronto Water agrees to form an Organizing Committee to provide guidance to the project, including staff from Toronto Water, Toronto Public Health, the Parks, Forestry and Recreation Division, the City Manager’s Office, the Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Environment Canada; and

WHEREAS the Beaches Management Symposium is directed at municipal representatives across the Great Lakes to discuss the latest advances including the:

- (a) roles that sediment accumulation and wildlife have in contributing to beach postings;
- (b) impacts of beach sampling protocols on beach posting statistics;
- (c) best management practices employed to improve beach water quality conditions and beach aesthetics; and
- (d) updating of the Canadian Recreational Water Quality Guidelines; and

WHEREAS the approved funding is directed at sharing the cost of the Beaches Management Symposium, which may include travel expenses and disbursements for experts and authorities to speak and participate at the Symposium; and

WHEREAS Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Ontario, represented by the Minister of the Environment, who is responsible for the Ontario Ministry of the Environment and, specifically, the MOE requires that the City enter into a Memorandum of Understanding on certain express terms and conditions; and

WHEREAS there is urgent need to conclude arrangements with the MOE, in order to ensure the receipt of the Funds by the City before MOE’s fiscal year end 2005/2006; and

WHEREAS staff are seeking Council approval to receive the Funds and authority for the General Manager, Toronto Water to enter into and execute the Agreements on behalf of the City;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT authority be granted to enter into

an agreement with the Ontario Ministry of the Environment to host the Beaches Management Symposium and to accept funding in the amount of \$15,000.00 from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment to support the Symposium;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the General Manager, Toronto Water be authorized to enter into and execute the Agreement and that staff be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto.”

Advice by Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki advised the Council that the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code requiring the referral of Motion J(10) to the Works Committee would have to be waived, in order to now consider this Motion.

Fiscal Impact Statement:

City Council had before it, during consideration of Motion J(10), a Fiscal Impact Statement from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer advising that there was a financial impact resulting from the adoption of this Motion. (See Fiscal Impact Statement 1, Page 109)

Procedural Vote:

The vote to waive referral of Motion J(10) to the Works Committee carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Vote:

Motion J(10) was adopted, without amendment.

S4.18 J(11) Funding Support from Environment Canada’s Great Lakes Sustainability Fund for a City of Toronto’s Wet Weather Flow Master Plan (WWFMP) Project

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Councillor Giambrone requested that, in accordance with §27-5 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, a Notice of Motion respecting the Wet Weather Flow Master Plan Project, be added to the agenda for this special meeting, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Councillor De Baeremaeker moved that the necessary provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived to permit introduction of the following Notice of Motion, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative:

Moved by: Councillor De Baeremaeker

Seconded by: Councillor Carroll

“WHEREAS Toronto Water’s application for funding submitted to Environment Canada’s Great Lakes Sustainability Fund (GLSF) to support the City’s Wet Weather Flow Master Plan (WWFMP) Projects (the ‘Projects’) has been approved for funding of up to an additional \$75,000.00, to the previously approved funding of up to \$175,000.00, to a total amount of up to \$250,000.00 for the fiscal year 2005/2006 (the ‘Funds’); and

WHEREAS the Funds, previously approved from the GLSF, in an amount of \$175,000.00 are being used to support projects including:

- Class Environmental Assessment (EA) for Coatsworth Cut Stormwater and Combined Sewer Overflow Outfalls;
- Waterfront Modelling Study for Evaluation of WWF Master Plan Implementation; and
- Earl Bales Environmental Management Project/ Stormwater Treatment Study of the WWFMP; and

WHEREAS the Funds, newly approved from the GLSF, in an amount of \$75,000.00 would be used to also support the:

- Highland Creek Geomorphic Systems Master Implementation Project (the ‘Project’), which uses the Ministry of Natural Resources adaptive management methodology for stream erosion/restoration projects, to develop concept plans for stormwater management and stream erosion control works to minimize erosion, improve aquatic habitat and improve water quality within Highland Creek; and

WHEREAS Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada, represented by the Minister of the Environment, who is responsible for Environment Canada and, specifically, the GLSF requires that the City enter into a Memorandum of Understanding for the new Project on certain express terms and conditions; and

WHEREAS there is urgent need to conclude arrangements with the GLSF, in order to ensure the receipt of the Funds by the City before Environment Canada’s fiscal year end 2005/2006; and

WHEREAS staff are seeking Council approval to receive the Funds and authority for the General Manager, Toronto Water to enter into and execute the Agreement on behalf of the City;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council consider this matter and grant approval to receive the Funds from the GLSF for the Wet Weather Flow Master Plan Project and that the General Manager, Toronto Water be authorized to enter into

and execute the Agreements and that staff be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto.”

Advice by Acting Chair:

Acting Chair Soknacki advised the Council that the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code requiring the referral of Motion J(11) to the Works Committee would have to be waived, in order to now consider this Motion.

Fiscal Impact Statement:

City Council had before it, during consideration of Motion J(11), a Fiscal Impact Statement from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer advising that there was a financial impact resulting from the adoption of this Motion. (See Fiscal Impact Statement 2, Page 110)

Procedural Vote:

The vote to waive referral of Motion J(11) to the Works Committee carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Vote:

Motion J(11) was adopted, without amendment.

BILLS AND BY-LAWS

S4.19 On March 29, 2006, at 7:30 p.m., Councillor Moscoe, seconded by Councillor Holyday, moved that leave be granted to introduce the following Bill, and that this Bill, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as a By-law:

Bill No. 216	By-law No. 205-2006	To confirm the proceedings of the Council at its Special meeting held on the 29th day of March, 2006,
--------------	---------------------	---

the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 27	Councillors: Altobello, Bussin, Carroll, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Filion, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Moscoe, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker
No - 3	Councillors: Ashton, Ford, Minnan-Wong

Carried by a majority of 24.

S4.20 On March 30, 2006, at 5:38 p.m., Councillor Cowbourne, seconded by Councillor Hall, moved that leave be granted to introduce the following Bills, and that these Bills, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as By-laws:

Bill No. 197	By-law No. 206-2006	To amend further Metropolitan By-law No. 108-86 designating certain locations on former Metropolitan Roads as Pedestrian Crossovers, regarding Pape Avenue.
Bill No. 198	By-law No. 207-2006	To designate the property at 16 Kimberley Avenue (William Brown House) as being of cultural heritage value or interest.
Bill No. 199	By-law No. 208-2006	To adopt an Amendment No. 1151 to the Official Plan for the former City of Scarborough with respect to lands situated on the east side of East Avenue between Broadbridge Drive and Baronial Court (former TCDSB school site).
Bill No. 200	By-law No. 209-2006	To amend former City of Scarborough Centennial Community Zoning By-law No. 12077, as amended, with respect to the lands on the east side of East Avenue between Baronial Court and Broadbridge Drive.
Bill No. 201	By-law No. 210-2006	To amend former City of North York Zoning By-law No. 7625 with respect to lands municipally known as 140 Finch Avenue West.
Bill No. 202	By-law No. 211-2006	To repeal By-law No. 748-2005, being a by-law to designate a Site Plan Control Area (Malvern Community).
Bill No. 203	By-law No. 224-2006	Municipal Tax Levy By-law for 2006.
Bill No. 204	By-law No. 212-2006	To provide for the levy and collection

		of special charges for the year 2006 in respect of certain business improvement areas.
Bill No. 205	By-law No. 213-2006	To amend Municipal Code Chapter 767, Taxation, to opt to have the New Multi-Residential Property Class apply within the City of Toronto for 2006.
Bill No. 206	By-law No. 214-2006	To amend City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 880, Fire Routes, to designate fire routes.
Bill No. 207	By-law No. 215-2006	To amend the General Zoning By-law No. 438-86 for the former City of Toronto with respect to lands municipally known as 108-116 George Street and 234 Adelaide Street East.
Bill No. 208	By-law No. 216-2006	To amend City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 545, Licensing, respecting the regulation of entertainment establishments/nightclubs in the City of Toronto.
Bill No. 209	By-law No. 217-2006	To amend City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 545, Licensing, respecting Limousines.
Bill No. 210	By-law No. 218-2006	To amend City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 441, Fees, by amending Section 441-11, entitled "Planning Application Fees".
Bill No. 211	By-law No. 219-2006	To amend Municipal Code Chapter 441, Fees, to increase charges for the application of a permit to construct a swimming pool enclosure pursuant to Municipal Code Chapter 447, Fences.

Bill No. 212	By-law No. 220-2006	To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Simcoe Street.
Bill No. 213	By-law No. 221-2006	To amend City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 545, Licensing, respecting Limousines.
Bill No. 214	By-law No. 222-2006	To amend the General Zoning By-law No. 438-86 of the former City of Toronto with respect to the lands municipally known as 30 Morrow Avenue.
Bill No. 215	By-law No. 223-2006	To amend Municipal Code Chapter 441, Fees, to increase the fee for the registration of a birth.

The vote upon these Bills, with the exception of Bill 203, was taken as follows:

Yes - 30	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Walker, Watson
No - 7	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Stintz

Carried by a majority of 23.

The vote upon Bill 203 was taken as follows:

Yes - 23	
Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Hall, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Silva, Soknacki, Watson
No - 14	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz, Walker

Carried by a majority of 9.

S4.21 On March 30, 2006, at 5:42 p.m., Councillor Ainslie, seconded by Councillor Altobello, moved that leave be granted to introduce the following Bill, and that this Bill, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as a By-law:

Bill No. 217	By-law No. 225-2006	To confirm the proceedings of the Council at its Special meeting held on the 29th and 30th days of March, 2006,
--------------	---------------------	---

the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 25 Councillors:	Ainslie, Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Hall, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Silva, Soknacki, Watson
No - 12 Councillors:	Del Grande, Feldman, Ford, Grimes, Jenkins, Kelly, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Shiner, Stintz, Walker

Carried by a majority of 13.

S4.22 On March 30, 2006, at 5:47 p.m., Councillor Silva, seconded by Councillor Ainslie, moved that leave be granted to introduce the following Bill, and that this Bill, prepared for this meeting of Council, be passed and hereby declared as a By-law:

Bill No. 218	By-law No. 226-2006	To confirm the proceedings of the Council at its Special meeting held on the 29th and 30th days of March, 2006,
--------------	---------------------	---

the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 28 Councillors:	Ainslie, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Cho, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Hall, Holyday, Kelly, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Milczyn, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker, Watson
No - 7 Councillors:	Del Grande, Ford, Grimes, Jenkins, Nunziata, Palacio, Shiner

Carried by a majority of 21.

OFFICIAL RECOGNITIONS:**S4.23 Condolence Motions****March 29, 2006:**

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Councillor Holyday, seconded by Mayor Miller, moved that:

“WHEREAS the Mayor and Members of Toronto City Council are deeply saddened to learn of the passing of Mrs. Marie Curtis on Sunday, March 12, 2006, in her 95th year; and

WHEREAS Mrs. Curtis was a long-time resident of the southern Etobicoke community of Long Branch before moving to Markdale following her retirement from municipal politics; and

WHEREAS Mrs. Curtis, an exceptional politician, was Reeve of the Village of Long Branch from 1953 to 1962 and, in addition, was a charter member of the Metropolitan Toronto Council; and

WHEREAS, following her retirement as Reeve, Mrs. Curtis was Executive Director of the Association of Mayors and Reeves of Ontario (known today as the Association of Municipalities of Ontario) for six years; and

WHEREAS Mrs. Curtis was an Honorary Lifetime Member of the Long Branch Royal Canadian Legion, Branch Number 101; and

WHEREAS Mrs. Curtis was recognized for her invaluable community efforts in assisting the victims of Hurricane Hazel in 1954 through the naming of a Toronto park in her honour; and

WHEREAS Mrs. Curtis will be greatly missed and fondly remembered by her many friends and family;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of the Mayor and Members of Toronto City Council, our sincere sympathy to the family of Mrs. Marie Curtis.”

Councillor Grimes, seconded by Councillor Holyday, moved that:

“WHEREAS the Mayor and Members of Toronto City Council are deeply saddened to learn of the passing of Mr. Ken Cox in his 89th year; and

WHEREAS Ken Cox was the founder of the Faustina Sports Club, one of Toronto's oldest youth sports clubs; and

WHEREAS Ken Cox and childhood friends launched the social-sports club in the Lakeshore area in 1935; and

WHEREAS Ken Cox was largely responsible for the seven-decade success of the Faustina Sports Club providing minor hockey for boys and girls in the Lakeshore area; and

WHEREAS Ken Cox coached, managed and refereed as many as 20,000 hockey players aged five to fourteen, from 1951 when the club began its tenancy at Lakeshore Lions Memorial Arena to present; and

WHEREAS Ken Cox received the George Chamandy Memorial Trophy from the Greater Toronto Hockey League in 1995, which is presented to an individual who has contributed a supreme effort over the years to the fostering and promotion of Minor Hockey in the Greater Toronto area; and

WHEREAS Faustina Sports Club named him 'Sportsman of the Year' that same year, which recognized his outstanding contribution to the community in the field of sports; and

WHEREAS the Etobicoke Sports Hall of Fame inducted Ken Cox into its Sports Hall of Fame, a prestigious roster of local athletes; and

WHEREAS Ken Cox devoted his entire life to minor sports and youth in the Lakeshore area that will leave a lasting legacy with Faustina Sports Club and he will be sorely missed by many Faustina members, friends and the many hockey players that went through the Faustina organization; and

WHEREAS Ken Cox's legacy leaves Faustina Sports Club a pillar of the Lakeshore community, with a solid foundation and a history of making hockey affordable for all kids;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Clerk be requested to convey, on behalf of the Mayor and Members of Toronto City Council, our sincere sympathy to Ken Cox's wife of 23 years, Dorothy."

Leave to introduce the Motions was granted and the Motions carried unanimously.

Council rose and observed a moment of silence in memory of the late Marie Curtis and Ken Cox.

March 30, 2006:

Councillor Palacio, seconded by Councillor Soknacki, moved that:

“WHEREAS the Mayor and Members of City Council are saddened to learn of the passing of Fredrick (Fred) H. Thury on Monday, March 6, 2006, at the age of 60; and

WHEREAS Fred was a long-time resident of Ward 17 – Davenport and known for his dedication and unconditional commitment to the community; and

WHEREAS he was the Organist/Choral Director at Faith United before it closed and Mount Dennis United Church for twelve years before his passing, and was involved in volunteering for the PCP12 and PCP13 committees, volunteering for the ‘No More Drugs’ campaign, assisting with community picnics and BBQ’s, and helping to design the Outdoor Amphitheatre at EarlsCourt Park, which was built at no cost to the City, to allow community groups to have a stage to perform and foster community cultural events; and

WHEREAS Fred started his community activism as far back as the 1970’s as Office Manager for the Stop Spadina Campaign and began his teaching career at York University, instructing classes in Acting Techniques, Theatrical Production, Playwrighting, Ancient and Modern Drama, Medieval Drama, and the drama component of a Foundations course in Ancient Egyptian, Grecian, and Roman ritual; and

WHEREAS along the way he mentored many of our Canadian artists such as Albert Shultz, Kate Hennig, Glenn Morley, Kelly Wolfe, Francesca Accinelli, David Mesiano, John Catucci and Mike Ng Nahrgang, as well as numerous teachers who will touch the lives of generations to come; and

WHEREAS Fred was the Founding Member and Artistic Director of Vanier College Productions at York University, serving as an alternative to and/or in tandem with all Fine Arts faculties; and

WHEREAS Fred was also the Founding Chairman of the Toronto Theatre Alliance, serving as Chair for two years, and in 1971, while employed as a writer and director at Global Village Theatre in downtown Toronto, together with Roy Fleming, Leonard McHardy, Brian Robinson and Terri Kuhl, he instituted and devised a forum where the producing bodies of Toronto could deal with issues and problems endemic to the industry; and

WHEREAS Fred touched the lives of many Canadians with his talents in stage direction and production, with shows like the Ontario Bicentennial Showcase in 1984, Toronto Children’s Chorus opera productions for the 1986 World’s Fair in Vancouver, writing and staging the Olympic Torch Relay Ceremonies across Canada

in 1988, the first International Treble Choral Festival staged in Firenze (Florence) Italy in 1995, and the Toronto City Hall Review from 1975-1981; and

WHEREAS as a composer, author, playwright, adjudicator and librettist, many communities have been and continue to offer his works throughout Canada; and

WHEREAS Fred was commissioned in 1990, by the Toronto Children's Chorus, to write an original libretto for a Winter Piece with music by Ruth Watson Henderson, and was also known for such works as Nuts and Bolts and Rusty Things (Simon & Pierre), One Potato Too (Young People's Theatre), Anna's Pet (Mermaid Theatre) The Technicolour Wizard and Behind the Wrinkles (Lampoon Puppet theatre); and

WHEREAS Fred's unique knowledge of 'word sound' and imagery led him from poetry to fable and the original concept of the much put upon camel 'Hoshmakaka', who then became the centre of the story of 'The Last Straw', which was first performed as a Sung Fable featuring Ben Heppner and the Toronto Children's Chorus and subsequently recorded by CBC records as a 28 minute piece on the album 'Along the Road to Bethlehem';

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Clerk be requested to convey, on behalf of the Mayor and Members of Toronto City Council, our sincere sympathy to his loving wife Terri Kuhl and his daughters Jennifer Alexis and Sara Meaghen."

Leave to introduce the Motion was granted and the Motion carried unanimously.

Acting Chair Soknacki requested Members of Council to remember Private Robert Costall of the Canadian Armed Forces, during their moment of silence.

Council rose and observed a moment of silence in memory of the late Fredrick H. Thury and Private Robert Costall.

S4.24 **Presentations/Introductions/Announcements:**

March 29, 2006:

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Mayor Miller acknowledged Councillor Soknacki, as Chair, and Deputy Mayor Bussin and Councillors Carroll, Mihevc, Milczyn, Rae and Watson, as Members of the Budget Advisory Committee, for their contributions of time and effort to the 2006 Budget process.

Mayor Miller also acknowledged the exemplary efforts of the City Manager and all staff associated with the budget process.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

The City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer gave a presentation to the Council on the 2006 Operating Budget.

Councillor Watson, with the permission of Council, during the morning session of the special meeting, introduced the students from Parkdale Library TDSB ESL, present at the special meeting.

Councillor Soknacki, during the morning session of the special meeting, introduced the students of Deer Park Junior and Senior Public School, present at the special meeting.

Deputy Mayor Pantalone, with the permission of Council, during the morning session of the special meeting, introduced Mr. Ying Wang, Deputy Mayor of Shenyang in the Province of Liaoning, present at the special meeting.

Councillor McConnell, with the permission of Council, during the morning session of the special meeting, introduced the ladies from the Women's Literacy Program at the Regent Park Learning Centre which is part of Dixon Hall, present at the special meeting.

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Mayor Miller, during the afternoon session of the special meeting, introduced the "Digital Sister Cities" Delegation from San Francisco, present at the special meeting, and advised the Council that Toronto is interested in working with San Francisco to establish a network of international Cities with significant new/digital media sectors. The Digital Cities initiative will be used to create new business-to-business ventures across jurisdictions and enhance our reputations as leading creative centres.

Mayor Miller, during the afternoon session of the special meeting, introduced the students visiting from Nunavut who are on an exchange program at Eastdale Collegiate Institute, present at the special meeting.

March 30, 2006:

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Councillor Pitfield, with the permission of Council, during the morning session of the special meeting, introduced the students of Grades 4 to 6 of Maria Montessori School, present at the special meeting.

Councillor Cowbourne, with the permission of Council, during the morning session of the special meeting, introduced the Grade 10 students from Pickering High School, present at the special meeting.

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Mayor Miller, during the afternoon session of the special meeting, introduced the following members of a delegation from Changzouh, China, present at the special meeting, escorted by Noble Chummar, Cassels, Brock and Blackwell LLP, and John McBride, Managing Director, CC Capital Partners Group:

- Mr. Han Jiuyun, Mayor of Changzouh;
- Ms. Pan Dongling, Bureau Chief of International Economic Co-operation; and
- Mr. Yao Renmin, Interpreter.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Councillor Stintz, with the permission of Council, during the afternoon session of the special meeting, advised the Council that Councillor Thompson had requested that she convey his apologies to Council for his absence at today's session of the special meeting. Councillor Thompson was invited by the incoming Prime Minister of Jamaica to attend her swearing-in ceremony in Jamaica. Portia Simpson Miller, Jamaica's first female Prime Minister, has many close ties to Toronto's large and vibrant Jamaican community. Councillor Stintz further advised the Council that Councillor Thompson trusted Council would understand his good intentions and respect his desire to offer Toronto's support as Jamaica enters a hopeful new era.

S4.25 MOTIONS TO VARY ORDER OR WAIVE PROCEDURE

Vary the order of proceedings of Council:

March 30, 2006:

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Councillor Soknacki, with the permission of Council, at 9:58 a.m., moved that the necessary provisions of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be waived and that Members of Council be permitted to add Notices of Motions as new business on the Order Paper for this special meeting, the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 28

Councillors: Ashton, Augimeri, Bussin, Cowbourne, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Giambrone, Grimes, Jenkins, Li Preti, Lindsay Luby, McConnell, Mihevc, Minnan-Wong, Moscoe, Nunziata, Palacio, Pantalone, Pitfield, Rae, Saundercook, Shiner, Silva,

Soknacki, Stintz, Walker	
No - 11	Councillors: Ainslie, Altobello, Carroll, Cho, Del Grande, Ford, Holyday, Kelly, Mammoliti, Ootes, Watson

Carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Waive the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code related to meeting times:

March 29, 2006:

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Councillor Soknacki, at 12:28 p.m., proposed that Council now recess and reconvene at 2:00 p.m. Council concurred in the proposal by Councillor Soknacki.

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Councillor Stintz, at 6:07 p.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council waive the requirement of the 7:30 p.m. recess, and that Council now recess, the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 12	
Councillors:	Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Kelly, Li Preti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Stintz, Thompson
No - 19	
Mayor:	Miller
Councillors:	Altobello, Bussin, Cowbourne, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Lindsay Luby, Mihevc, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae, Silva, Walker, Watson

Lost, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Soknacki in the Chair.

Councillor Soknacki, with the permission of Council, at 6:34 p.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council waive the requirement of the 7:30 p.m. recess, and that Council recess at 7:00 p.m., the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 20

Councillors:	Bussin, Carroll, Cowbourne, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Fletcher, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Li Preti, Nunziata, Ootes, Pantalone, Pitfield, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Watson
No - 12	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Ford, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Moscoe, Palacio, Rae, Walker

Lost, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Walker, at 6:43 p.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council waive the requirement of the 7:30 p.m. recess, and that Council recess at 7:01 p.m., the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 20	
Councillors:	Cowbourne, Del Grande, Di Giorgio, Feldman, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Ootes, Palacio, Pitfield, Shiner, Silva, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker
No - 13	
Councillors:	Altobello, Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Fletcher, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Moscoe, Pantalone, Rae

Lost, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

Councillor Stintz, at 7:22 p.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council waive the requirement of the 7:30 p.m. recess, and that Council now consider the confirming bill and immediately recess, the vote upon which was taken as follows:

Yes - 18	
Councillors:	Altobello, Cowbourne, Di Giorgio, Fletcher, Ford, Hall, Holyday, Jenkins, Kelly, Li Preti, Minnan-Wong, Nunziata, Palacio, Pitfield, Rae, Soknacki, Stintz, Walker
No - 12	
Councillors:	Ashton, Bussin, Carroll, Davis, De Baeremaeker, Filion, Grimes, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Moscoe, Ootes, Pantalone

Lost, less than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

March 30, 2006:

Mayor Miller in the Chair.

Mayor Miller at 12:28 p.m., moved that, in accordance with the provisions of §27-11F, Adjournment, of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Council waive the requirement of the 12:30 p.m. recess, and that Council continue in session, in order to permit Councillor Carroll to conclude her remarks, which carried, more than two-thirds of Members present having voted in the affirmative.

S4.26 ATTENDANCE

March 29, 2006	9:40 a.m. to 12:28 p.m.*	2:10 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.*
Miller	x	x
Ainslie	x	x
Altobello	x	x
Ashton	x	x
Augimeri	x	x
Bussin	x	x
Carroll	x	x
Cho	x	x
Cowbourne	x	x
Davis	x	x
De Baeremaeker	x	x
Del Grande	x	x
Di Giorgio	x	x
Feldman	x	x
Filion	x	x
Fletcher	x	x
Ford	x	x
Giambrone	x	x
Grimes	x	x
Hall	x	x
Holyday	x	x
Jenkins	x	x
Kelly	x	x
Li Preti	x	x

March 29, 2006	9:40 a.m. to 12:28 p.m.*	2:10 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.*
Lindsay Luby	x	x
Mammoliti	x	x
McConnell	x	x
Mihevc	x	x
Milczyn	x	x
Minnan-Wong	x	x
Moscoe	x	x
Nunziata	x	x
Ootes	x	x
Palacio	x	x
Pantalone	x	x
Pitfield	x	x
Rae	x	x
Saundercook	x	x
Shiner	x	x
Silva	x	x
Soknacki	x	x
Stintz	x	x
Thompson	x	x
Walker	x	x
Watson	x	x
Total	45	45

* Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

March 30, 2006	9:42 a.m. to 12:37 p.m.*	2:12 p.m. to 5:50 p.m.*
Miller	x	x
Ainslie	x	x
Altobello	x	x
Ashton	x	x
Augimeri	x	x

Minutes of the Special Meeting of the Council of the City of Toronto
March 29 and 30, 2006

March 30, 2006	9:42 a.m. to 12:37 p.m.*	2:12 p.m. to 5:50 p.m.*
Bussin	x	x
Carroll	x	x
Cho	x	x
Cowbourne	x	x
Davis	x	x
De Baeremaeker	x	x
Del Grande	x	x
Di Giorgio	x	x
Feldman	x	x
Filion	x	x
Fletcher	x	x
Ford	x	x
Giambrone	x	x
Grimes	x	x
Hall	x	x
Holyday	x	x
Jenkins	x	x
Kelly	x	x
Li Preti	x	x
Lindsay Luby	x	x
Mammoliti	x	x
McConnell	x	x
Mihevc	x	x
Milczyn	x	x
Minnan-Wong	x	x
Moscoe	x	x
Nunziata	x	x
Ootes	x	x
Palacio	x	x
Pantalone	x	x
Pitfield	x	x

March 30, 2006	9:42 a.m. to 12:37 p.m.*	2:12 p.m. to 5:50 p.m.*
Rae	x	x
Saundercook	x	x
Shiner	x	x
Silva	x	x
Soknacki	x	x
Stintz	x	x
Thompson	-	-
Walker	x	x
Watson	x	x
Total	44	44

* Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

Council adjourned on March 30, 2006, at 5:50 p.m.

**DAVID R. MILLER,
Mayor**

**ULLI S. WATKISS,
City Clerk**

ATTACHMENT 1 [Notice of Motion J(3)]

Report (March 28, 2006) from the City Solicitor, entitled “The Release of Agreements from Phase III of Block 20/23 in the Railway Lands Central, Ward 20 (Trinity-Spadina)”. (See Minute S4.10, Page 68):

Purpose:

To obtain authority to release certain agreements from Phase III of Block 20/23 in the Railway Lands Central.

Financial Implications and Impact Statement:

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that authority be granted to release Phase III of Block 20/23 in the Railway Lands from the development agreements listed in Appendix “B” to the City Solicitor’s report dated March 28, 2006 (subject to the preconditions set out in Appendix “B”), and that authority also be granted to enter into the necessary replacement agreement.

Background:

The blocks within Railway Lands Central (as shown on Appendix “A”) are subject to a number of agreements to secure the necessary hard and soft infrastructure and other matters respecting their development.

Concord Adex Developments Corp. (“Concord Adex”) is the owner of Block 20/23, which it is developing as condominiums in Phases I, II and III. Phase I is the northwest quadrant, Phase II is the southwest quadrant and Phase III is the east half of the Block. Concord Adex expects to convey the residential units in Phase III during the month of April, 2006. Concord Adex has requested the City to release certain development agreements prior to these conveyance dates.

Comments:

Appendix “B” contains a summary of each of the agreements Concord Adex has requested the City to release from Phase III of Block 20/23 and my comments upon the request. I am recommending that the agreements be released subject to certain conditions, which relate to City officials confirming that certain matters have been satisfied and the execution of a replacement agreement.

City Council has previously authorized the release of these same agreements in respect of Blocks 19 and 21 and Phases I and II of Block 20/23.

Conclusion:

It is appropriate for City Council to authorize the release of the agreements listed in Appendix “B” and that authority also be granted to enter into the necessary replacement agreement.

Contact:


Stephen M. Bradley
Solicitor
Legal Services
Telephone (416) 392-7790
Fax (416) 397-4420
E-mail: Sbradley@toronto.ca



Attached: Appendix A – map
Appendix B

Appendix "A"

RAILWAY LANDS – CENTRAL

MAP 2



-  BLOCK BOUNDARIES
-  RAIL ACCESS TRACK AREA (PART 1,63R-2083)



WORKS AND EMERGENCY SERVICES
 SURVEYING SERVICES SECTION
 TORONTO JANUARY, 1999
 CENTRAL2/RLC/MAP2.DGN
 FILE: 2402.42C
 MAP Nos. 50G-321, 50G-322
 DRAWN: PG

Appendix “B”

The 1994 Acknowledgement Agreement

Under this Agreement, the parties confirmed their rights and obligations pursuant to the terms of the 1986 Stadium Precinct Agreement and, among other matters, agreed to two major terms, namely: (1) the parties agreed to a cost sharing formula for hard and soft infrastructure for all of the lands west of Spadina, and (2) the owner agreed to advance the construction of the Community Park from the date stipulated in the Stadium Precinct Agreement to such time as the owner had sold at least 50 percent of its development blocks within either the Railway Lands West or the Railway Lands Central. This park construction trigger was met with the subsequent conveyances to CLC and, thereafter, to Concord Adex. As the construction of this park has since been secured in the Subdivision Agreement for the Railway Lands West dated September 24, 2003, I am recommending that this Agreement be released from Phase III of Block 20/23.

The 1994 Railway Lands Central Agreement

Section 3.1, which is the only section imposing a positive obligation on the owner, requires the owner to provide the Southern Linear Park on that part of Block 20/23 abutting the north side of Lakeshore Boulevard West. As this obligation is currently being addressed as part of the site plan conditions for the development of Phase III, it is appropriate to release Phase III from the Agreement.

The 1994 Environmental Agreement (the “1994 Environmental Agreement”)

This agreement provides that any site plan applications for Block 20/23 must address certain environmental issues applicable to those Blocks. The requirements include an obligation to submit certain studies to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and to undertake all excavation work in accordance with approved soil and groundwater management plans under the supervision of an environmental specialist consultant. Certain ongoing obligations such as a requirement that warning clauses respecting air quality and noise are to be registered on title and that non-glare glass be used above 35 metres also apply to Block 20/23.

The 1994 Environmental Agreement stipulates that it shall be discharged against each block as it is developed provided that all ongoing obligations are secured by a site specific development agreement. I am therefore recommending that the 1994 Environmental Agreement be released from Phase III of Block 20/23 provided: the Chief Planner advises that all required studies have been submitted to the Chief Planner’s satisfaction; that the required environmental compliance certificates are delivered; and, Concord Adex enters into a replacement agreement, to be registered against the Phase III Block 20/23 lands, securing the ongoing obligations in respect of that part of the Block.

1994 Heritage Agreement

This Agreement contains provisions related to archaeological resources. As Concord Adex advises that Block 20/23 has been fully excavated, it is appropriate to release this agreement upon confirmation from the appropriate staff that all heritage obligations have been performed in respect of the Block.

1994 Escrow Agreement

This Agreement provides for the deposit into escrow of park and street conveyances. As the Escrow Agreement is registered against the title to the park and streets to be conveyed to the City and as deeds have been delivered to the escrow agent, I recommend that the Escrow Agreement be released from Phase III of Block 20/23.

The 1997 Acknowledgement Agreement re Stadium Precinct Agreement

There are no outstanding obligations in this Agreement as it simply acknowledges the 1997 release of the owner's obligations under the Stadium Precinct Agreement to provide Tower Park East and Tower Park West at the base of the CN Tower. This was done to permit the expansion of the building at the base of the CN Tower. As this Agreement was originally registered against Block 20/23 because of the 1994 Acknowledgement Agreement, I recommend that it be released from Phase III of Block 20/23 upon the release of the 1994 Acknowledgement Agreement.

The 1997 Cityplace Central and Cityplace West Acknowledgement Agreement

Concord Adex is asking for a release of the obligations relating to public art as set forth in Article 4 of this Agreement. It is appropriate to release these obligations from Phase III upon confirmation from the appropriate staff that all public art obligations have been performed in respect of Phase III.

The 1998 Acknowledgement Agreement re Closing of Station Street

There are no outstanding obligations in this agreement as it simply acknowledges the fulfilment of a condition contained in the Stadium Precinct Agreement. As this Agreement was originally registered against Block 20/23 because of the 1994 Acknowledgement Agreement, I recommend that it be released from Phase III of Block 20/23 upon the release of the 1994 Acknowledgement Agreement.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT SUMMARY

Notices of Motions

Submitted by the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Council Meeting – March 29 and 30, 2006

Motion		Operating	Capital	
#	Title	\$ (net)	\$ (net)	Comments
J(1)	Request for an Amendment to the Criminal Code Regarding International Harm to Law Enforcement Animals	\$0	\$0	Consider.
J(2)	90 Stadium Road – Ontario Municipal Board Hearing			<i>Confidential. See Confidential Report Attached to Motion.</i>
J(3)	Release of Development Agreements from Railway Lands Block 20/23 (Phase III) to Permit the Conveyance of Condominium Units	\$0	\$0	Consider. See Report Attached to Motion.
J(4)	60 Vernon Street – Ontario Municipal Board Hearing	\$0	\$0	Consider. See Committee of Adjustment Decision Attached to Motion.
J(5)	Rescindment of Rush Hour Stopping Prohibitions – Simcoe Street, west side, between King Street West and Wellington Street West, fronting Roy Thompson Hall – 60 Simcoe Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)	\$0	\$0	Consider.
J(6)	Recognition of Release of Members of the Christian Peacemaker Teams in Iraq	\$0	\$0	Consider.
J(7)	MPAC Reform – Request to Establish an Assessment Monitoring Group	\$0	\$0	Consider.
J(8)	Request for Review of Taxi Camera Requirements	\$0	\$0	Consider.
J(9)	Toronto District School Board – Catchment Boundaries for Local Public Schools in Scarborough Centre			Notice Only
J(10)	Funding Support from the Ontario Ministry of the	(\$15,000)	\$0	Consider. Additional funding from the MOE for Beaches

Motion #	Title	Operating \$ (net)	Capital \$ (net)	Comments
	Environment for the Beaches Management Symposium			Management Symposium.
J(11)	Funding Support from Environment Canada's Great Lakes Sustainability Fund for a City of Toronto's Wet Weather Flow Master Plan (WWFMP) Project	(\$75,000)	\$0	Consider. Additional funding from the GLSF for WWFMMP.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT 1 [NOTICE OF MOTION J(10)]
(See Minute S4.17, Page 81)

Financial Implications:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operating
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Current year impacts: (\$15,000) (net)
<input type="checkbox"/> Future year impacts: \$ _____ 0(net)
<input type="checkbox"/> Following year
<input type="checkbox"/> Future years
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Funding sources (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/> Accommodation within approved operating budget
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Reserve/Reserve Fund contributions
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third party funding
<input type="checkbox"/> Tax rate impact
<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> Budget adjustments: (\$15,000) (net)
<input type="checkbox"/> Impact on staffing levels: _____ (positions)

<input type="checkbox"/> Capital
<input type="checkbox"/> Current year impacts: \$ _____ (net)
<input type="checkbox"/> Future year impacts: \$ _____ (net)
<input type="checkbox"/> Following year
<input type="checkbox"/> Future years
<input type="checkbox"/> Funding sources (specify):
<input type="checkbox"/> Accommodation within approved capital budget
<input type="checkbox"/> New revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Reserve/Reserve Fund contributions
<input type="checkbox"/> Third party funding
<input type="checkbox"/> Debt
<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> Budget adjustments: \$ _____ (net)
<input type="checkbox"/> Operating Impact:
<input type="checkbox"/> Program costs: \$ _____ (net)
<input type="checkbox"/> Debt service costs: \$ _____ (net)

Impacts/Other Comments:

- Service Level Impact:(Specify)
- Consistent with Council Strategic directions and fiscal priorities (specify):

Notice of Motion – J(10) – Additional funding from the MOE for Beaches Management Symposium.

- Consider
- Refer to Standing Committee

Submitted by: _____
Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Date: March 30, 2006

**FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT 2 [NOTICE OF MOTION J(11)]
(See Minute S4.18, Page 84)**

Financial Implications:

Operating

Current year impacts: (\$75,000) (net) Future year impacts: \$ _____ 0(net)

Following year
 Future years

Funding sources (specify):

Accommodation within approved operating budget Third party funding
 New revenues Tax rate impact
 Reserve/Reserve Fund contributions Other

Budget adjustments: (\$75,000) (net)

Impact on staffing levels: _____ (positions)

Capital

Current year impacts: \$ _____ (net) Future year impacts: \$ _____ (net)

Following year
 Future years

Funding sources (specify):

Accommodation within approved capital budget Third party funding
 New revenues Debt
 Reserve/Reserve Fund contributions Other

Budget adjustments: \$ _____ (net)

Operating Impact:

Program costs: \$ _____ (net)
 Debt service costs: \$ _____ (net)

Impacts/Other Comments:

- Service Level Impact:(Specify)
- Consistent with Council Strategic directions and fiscal priorities (specify):

Notice of Motion – J(11) – Additional funding from the GLSF for WWFMMP.

- Consider Refer to Standing Committee

Submitted by: _____
Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Date: March 30, 2006