
Executive Committee

Meeting No.	2	Contact	Patsy Morris, Committee Administrator
Meeting Date	Monday, January 15, 2007	Phone	416-392-9151
Start Time	9:30 AM	E-mail	pmorris@toronto.ca
Location	Committee Room No. 1, City Hall		

Members of the Executive Committee, Officials concerned and all others receiving a copy of the Agenda and accompanying material for this meeting are respectfully requested to bring them to such meeting and retain all documentation until the City Council meeting dealing with these matters has concluded. **No additional copies will be available.**

For further information or assistance, please contact or E-mail at pmorris@toronto.ca. If you require any special assistance, please call 416-392-8485, TTY 416-338-0889. Attendant Care Services can be made available with some advance notice – please call 416-392-8485, if you require this service.

Notice to correspondents

The City of Toronto collects any personal information in your correspondence to Toronto City Council or its committees under the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*, the *Municipal Act, 2001* and the *City of Toronto Municipal Code*. The City collects this information to enable it to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s). Individuals who submit correspondence should be aware that any personal information in their communication will become part of the public record. The city will make it available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove the personal information. Direct any questions about this collection to the City Clerk's Office, Toronto City Hall, 100 Queen Street West, Toronto, ON M5H 2N2, or by calling 416-392-8485.

Executive Committee		
Mayor David Miller (Chair) Councillor Brian Ashton Councillor Shelley Carroll Councillor Glenn De Baeremaeker Councillor Paula Fletcher	Councillor Norm Kelly Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby Councillor Giorgio Mammoliti Councillor Pam McConnell	Councillor Joe Mihevc Councillor Howard Moscoe Councillor Joe Pantalone (Vice-Chair) Councillor Kyle Rae

If the Executive Committee wishes to meet in-camera (privately), a motion must be made to do so, and the reason given (*Municipal Act, 2001*).

Declarations of Interest under the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act*.

Confirmation of Minutes – December 6, 2006

Speakers/Presentations - A complete list will be distributed at the meeting

Communications/Reports

EX2.1	Information			
-------	-------------	--	--	--

2007 Operating and Capital Budget Guidelines

Summary

This report provides guidelines to be taken into consideration by City Staff in developing the 2007 Operating and Capital Budget.

- The 2007 Operating Base Budget target be set at a zero net increase over the previous year for all City Programs, Agencies, Boards and Commissions;
- to achieve further service delivery efficiencies, the continuous improvement initiative will be expanded to include program reviews and service rationalization.
- services and service levels that are aligned to my mandate for this term of Council must be protected;
- Only investments in new and enhanced service that deliver on my mandate will be considered;
- given the significant structural deficit, the following measures be considered in order to protect City services in 2007;
- use Toronto Hydro Revenues (dividends and interest earnings) as a revenue source; and,
- use of reserve and reserve funds to balance the 2007 Operating Budget;
- Maintain debt affordability targets for 2007 – 2011 per Council direction.

Finally, in accordance with my mandate, I am directing that, in the preparation of the draft budget for presentation to the Budget Committee, the residential property tax increase be generally in line with the City's rate of inflation.

Communications

(January 8, 2007) Report from Mayor David Miller - EXMainN/A
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/comm/communicationfile-81.pdf>)

EX2.2	ACTION			Wards: All
-------	--------	--	--	------------

Greater Toronto Transportation Authority - City of Toronto Nominations To The Board of Directors

(December 20, 2006) report from Mayor David Miller

Recommendations

Mayor Miller recommends:

- (1) the City of Toronto's representation on the board of directors of the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority comprise:
 - (a) the Mayor or his designate;
 - (b) the Chair of the Toronto Transit Commission;
 - (c) the Chair of the Planning and Growth Management Committee; and
 - (d) a person to be recommended by the Mayor to Council who has:
 - (i) experience in the transit and transportation field;
 - (ii) an understanding of infrastructure financing; and
 - (iii) knowledge of growth management and regional planning;

Financial Impact

There are no direct financial implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

Summary

The Province of Ontario has established the Great Toronto Transportation Authority with the board of directors to include eleven members, four members of which are to be nominated by Toronto Council. The Minister of Transportation requested that Toronto Council nominations by December 31, 2006. The Mayor indicated that Toronto Council would make its recommendations early in 2007.

Links to Background Information

2007-ex2-2

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-2_582.pdf)

EX2.3	ACTION			Wards: All
-------	--------	--	--	------------

Designation of Certain Officials under New Statutory Accountability Requirements

(January 2, 2007) report from City Manager

Recommendations

The City Manager recommends:

- (1) City Council deem the current Auditor General, Mr. Jeffrey Griffiths, to be the auditor general for the purposes of Part V of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*;
- (2) City Council authorize the Auditor General's mandate be expanded to permit undertaking reviews of operations of City agencies at the request of their boards and any resulting recommendations be submitted to the respective board;
- (3) City Council designate the Auditor General to be the City representative under the shareholder directions for Toronto Hydro Corporation, Toronto Community Housing Corporation, and their subsidiaries; such representative to be permitted full access to the corporations' records for audit purposes;
- (4) City Council direct the Auditor General to report on audits of City and partnered corporations as follows:
 - (a) to the City Executive Committee for recommendations regarding shareholder issues; and
 - (b) to the corporation's board of directors for recommendations regarding operations or other responsibilities of the board, with a copy submitted to the City Manager;
- (5) City Council deem the current Integrity Commissioner, Mr. David Mullan, to be the integrity commissioner for the purposes of Part V of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006* to carry out the duties in Appendix A; and
- (6) City Council deem the Members' Code of Conduct originally adopted in 1999 and as amended in September 2006 to be the code of conduct as required under Part V of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*.

Financial Impact:

There are no material financial impacts arising from the recommendations. The financial impact of establishing a lobbyist registry and an ombudsman will be reported separately.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to bring the City into compliance with new accountability provisions that come into effect with the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*.

Links to Background Information

2007-ex2-3

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-3_583.pdf)

EX2.4	ACTION			Wards: All
-------	--------	--	--	------------

Lobbying Control Framework

(December 21, 2006) report from City Manager

Recommendations

The City Manager recommends that:

- (1) City Council approve the draft by-law to add Chapter 140, Lobbying, to the Municipal Code as set out in Appendix 1 of this report, and that authority be given to introduce the necessary bill in Council; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact:

Fund in the amount of \$0.241 million have been included in a program budget for a Council-appointed 'Lobbyist Registrar' and form part of the 2007 Recommended Operating Budget to be considered by City Council in April 2007. This provides part year funding for the Lobbyist Registrar and an administrative assistant. The Lobbyist Registrar will report to Council as soon as practical on the staffing requirements and other expenses for an Office of the Lobbyist Registrar, including further funding required in 2007 and full year impacts in 2008. Provision has been made in the non-program account for an in-year budget adjustment, as required.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Summary

This report sets out a lobbying control framework for the City, encompassing a lobbyists' code of conduct, lobbyist registry, offence provisions, and responsibilities of the lobbyist registrar. The lobbying control framework brings the City into compliance with the requirements in Part V of the City of Toronto Act, 2006 and responds to recommendations from Commissioner Denise Bellamy resulting from the Toronto Computer Leasing Inquiry.

Links to Background Information

2007-ex2-4-2

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-4-2_584.pdf)
2007-ex2-4-1
(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-4-1_196.pdf)

EX2.5	ACTION			Wards: All
-------	--------	--	--	------------

Delegation of Certain Matters to Community Councils

(January 2, 2007) report from City Manager

Recommendations

The City Manager recommends that:

- (1) City Council delegate the legislative authority for the local routine matters described in Appendix A (the “Matters”) to Community Councils effective March 2007, subject to the necessary statutory powers being in effect and subject to the following conditions;
 - (a) The delegated authority to act with respect to the Matters applies only when such Matters, in the opinion of the City Manager, do not have City-wide significance and do not affect more than one Community Council;
 - (b) City Council retains the ability to revoke the delegation to Community Councils by by-law at any time;
 - (c) Subject to (a) and (b), the legislative authority to act with respect to the Matters is fully delegated to Community Councils, and City Council retains no authority to act with respect to the Matters;
 - (d) The delegated authority to Community Councils includes the power to pass any necessary by-laws with respect to the Matters, and all by-laws enacted by Community Councils under this delegated authority have the full force and effect as if enacted by City Council, including any necessary amendments to the Municipal Code;
- (2) City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 27, Council Procedures, be amended to:
 - (a) give effect to the delegation set out in Recommendation 1;
 - (b) make other amendments required to give effect to the delegation to Community Councils, including:
 - (i) to allow Community Councils to re-open and to reconsider final decisions under the same rules as apply to City Council;

- (ii) to provide a process for Community Councils to pass by-laws;
 - (iii) to make applicable to Community Councils certain other rules effecting final decision-making which currently only apply to City Council; and
- (3) Authority be granted to the City Solicitor to submit any bills required to enact the delegation of authority to Community Councils including all necessary amendments to City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 27, Council Procedures, and other chapters in the Municipal Code and other by-laws, generally in accordance with the report recommendations and Appendix A, and subject to any necessary technical adjustments.

Financial Impact:

There is no financial impact as a result of this report. The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Summary

The City of Toronto Act provides authority to City Council to delegate certain final-decision-making powers to Community Councils. This report proposes delegation of authority to Community Councils of some routine local matters, subject to the necessary statutory powers being in effect, to improve local decision-making.

The City of Toronto Act prohibits delegation of the majority of planning matters including adopting official plans and official plan amendments, passing zoning by-laws and adopting community improvement plans. A few matters are not recommended for delegation to Community Councils as they have City-wide implications. These include exemptions issued under Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 813, Trees and listing on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties. Some minor administrative matters that are recommended for delegation to Community Councils could likely be further delegated to City Officers. These matters will be evaluated for further delegation to staff and reported out in the second quarter of 2007.

Links to Background Information

2007-ex2-5-2

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-5-2_585.pdf)

2007-ex2-5-1

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-5-1_586.pdf)

EX2.6	ACTION			Wards: All
-------	--------	--	--	------------

Authority to Execute Contribution Agreements with the Government of Canada for Transit-Secure Funding and with the Government of Ontario for Transit Technology and Infrastructure Program Funding

(December 21, 2006) report from Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Recommendations

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer recommends that:

1. the Mayor, Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the City Clerk be authorized to execute Contribution Agreements for transit-related security initiatives under the Transit-Secure (TS) Passenger Rail and Transportation Safety Program between the City of Toronto, the Toronto Transit Commission and Her Majesty The Queen in Right of Canada in accordance with the terms described herein;
2. the Mayor, Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the City Clerk be authorized to execute a Contribution Agreement under the Transit Technology and Infrastructure Program (TTIP) between the City of Toronto, the Toronto Transit Commission and Her Majesty The Queen in Right of Ontario in accordance with the terms described herein; and
3. the appropriate officials be authorized and directed to take necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact

Transit-Secure Funding

Upon execution of this agreement, total Transit-Secure funding eligible under Round 1 will be \$1.463 million. Funding is expected to be received for \$.558 million for 2006 projects, \$.595 million for 2007 projects and \$.310 million for 2008 projects. All of the projects for which Transit-Secure funding is expected to be received are in the TTC's proposed 2007-2011 Capital Budget.

Transit Technology and Infrastructure Program (TTIP)

Total TTIP funding will be \$33.7 million which represents one third of the cost of \$101 million for projects including Kennedy Station improvements, Bus Roadway improvements to Finch Station, the BRT from Downsview to York University, future computer information systems needs, continuous speed control, work on the transit control centre and other transit priorities. All of the projects for which Transit Technology and Infrastructure Program funding is expected to be received are in the TTC's proposed 2007-2011 Capital Budget.

Summary

This report recommends that staff be authorized to execute transit capital funding Contribution Agreements under the Transit-Secure program between the City, the TTC and the Federal Government. This report also recommends that staff be authorized to execute a transit capital funding Contribution Agreement under the TTIP program between the City, the TTC and the Provincial Government.

The Transit-Secure program is a federal Ministry of Transport, Infrastructure and Communities

program which has approximately \$80 million to support and enhance Canada's transportation security infrastructure. The program covers expenditures on security initiatives during the period June 2006 to March 2008. The TTC has received approval under Round 1 of Transit-Secure for \$1.463 million. The Transit Technology and Infrastructure Program (TTIP), which was formerly the Golden Horseshoe Transit Improvement Partnerships (GTIP), is part of the Ontario Ministry of Transportation's transit investment plan. A total of \$33.7 million in funding has been approved under TTIP towards TTC expansion and improvement capital projects during the period 2002 to March 2007.

These Contribution Agreements will be signed in early 2007. By signing these agreements, the City can receive funding before the end of the federal and provincial governments' year ends on March 31, 2007.

Links to Background Information

2007-ex2-6

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-6_587.pdf)

EX2.7	ACTION			Wards: All
-------	--------	--	--	------------

Enwave Capital Call - Funding Source

Confidential - Attachment regarding the security of the property of the municipality or local board

(January 2, 2007) report from Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Recommendations

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial officer recommends that:

Council direct that the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer fund the City's participation in an anticipated 2007 Enwave Energy Corporation ("Enwave") capital call (amount identified in confidential Attachment 1 to this report) from the City's "Strategic Infrastructure Partnership Reserve Fund Account".

Financial Impact:

Approval of the recommendation embodied in this report will result in an increased City financial investment in Enwave and retention of the current shareholding at 43%. The recommended source of funds is the Capital Strategic Infrastructure Partnership Reserve Fund Account, which will have a sufficient balance in 2007 to fund this investment, and so will not result in any increase in City debt. The related dollar amounts are outlined in confidential Attachment 1 to this report.

Summary

The City owns 43% of Enwave Energy Corporation (“Enwave”), with Council having previously resolved to maintain its shareholding at this level. (Council, February 4, 2003, Report No. 1, Clause 7 of the Policy and Finance Committee
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/2003/agendas/council/cc030204/pof1rpt/cl007.pdf>).

Enwave’s district cooling business includes its Deep Lake Water Cooling project (“DLWC”), and is a growing business. During its developmental phase, for project financing purposes Enwave has required periodic infusions of equity capital (in addition to bank debt), which have been satisfied through requests of its shareholders for additional investment capital (“capital calls”). A final capital call in support of DLWC is anticipated to be issued in February 2007. This report recommends that the City fund its participation in this capital call from the “Strategic Infrastructure Partnership Reserve Fund Account”.

EX2.8	ACTION			
-------	--------	--	--	--

Deploying the City’s Heavy Urban Search And Rescue (HUSAR) Team outside Ontario

(January 2, 2007) report from Toronto Fire Services

Recommendations

Toronto Fire Services recommends that:

1. City Council authorize staff to draw up an agreement with Ontario’s Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services and the federal Department of Public Safety. The agreement will enable the City to deploy a HUSAR team anywhere in Canada, when needed. The Department of Public Safety will reimburse the City for all costs associated with heavy urban search and rescue:
2. City Council authorize the Fire Chief to deploy the team internationally if this is approved by both Canadian and international authorities:
3. The Fire Chief and the City Solicitor ensure that the agreement is satisfactory; and
4. City Council authorize the Fire Chief to sign the agreement and any related documents on behalf of the City.

Financial Impact

If there is a need to deploy the HUSAR team outside Toronto, there will be no financial impact on Toronto Fire Services. The federal Department of Public Safety will reimburse all costs of

deploying the team, including replacing or repairing supplies and equipment.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Summary

Toronto Fire Services has a Heavy Urban Search and Rescue (HUSAR) team that can respond to large-scale structural collapse and other urban emergencies in an organized and timely way. Right now, the Province pays for equipment and training to respond to emergencies in Toronto and throughout Ontario as needed. The proposed agreement will allow the HUSAR team to offer help outside the province, when needed. Council's approval of this agreement with the Federal Government and Province will ensure full cost recovery when the Toronto HUSAR team deploys anywhere in Canada outside Toronto boundaries.

Links to Background Information

2007-ex2-8

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-8_588.pdf)

EX2.9	ACTION			Wards: All
-------	--------	--	--	------------

2007 Temporary Borrowing Authority

(December 19, 2006) report from Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Recommendations

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer recommends that:

1. Council authorize the temporary borrowing limit to meet 2007 current expenditures, pending receipt of tax levies and other revenues, be established at \$300,000,000;
2. Council authorize the temporary borrowing limit for capital purposes for 2007 be established at \$550,000,000;
3. authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bills in Council by the City Solicitor to give effect to the foregoing; and
4. the appropriate City of Toronto officials be authorized to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact

If the entire authority is utilized during 2007, the maximum financial impact would be approximately \$400,000 in interest charges that would be reflected in the 2007 Operating

Budget in the area of non-program – temporary borrowing.

Summary

This report requests Council's authority to temporarily borrow funds, if required, pending the receipt of tax revenues and the issuance and sale of debentures and bank loans during 2007.

Links to Background Information

2007-ex2-9

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-9_589.pdf)

EX2.10	ACTION			Wards: 5
--------	--------	--	--	----------

Capital Funding for the Kipling / Islington Redevelopment Initiative

(December 21, 2006) report from Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Recommendations

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer recommends that:

1. City Council approve the Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative and the concepts for improvements to the Kipling and Islington Stations shown in the December 13, 2006 TTC report "Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Strategy – Status of Cost-sharing Discussions", subject to confirmation of cost-sharing commitments of MT, GO, GTTA, and the Province;
2. The 2007 – 2011 Capital Plan for the TTC be increased from \$3,300,000 to \$58,400,000 gross; zero net, with cash flows of:
 - \$8,500,000 gross, funded by the Land Acquisition Reserve Fund (LARF) in 2007;
 - \$30,700,000 gross, funded in the amount of \$9,000,000 by the LARF and \$21,700,000 from other stakeholders in 2008;
 - \$14,500,000 gross, funded from other stakeholders in 2009; and
 - \$4,700,000 gross, funded from other stakeholders in 2010;
3. Proceeds from the sale of the Islington lands to SNC, as well as potential proceeds from the proposed sale of (Phase II) Islington lands and the Westwood lands, be used to repay the LARF;
4. City and TTC staff continue to negotiate the cost-sharing arrangements necessary for these projects to proceed with Mississauga Transit (MT), GO Transit (GO), Greater Toronto Transportation Authority (GTTA), and Provincial staff;

5. City Council forward its recommendations on the Kipling Islington Redevelopment Initiative to the GTTA and request priority funding for the proposed inter-regional transit project at Kipling Station;
6. The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the Chair of the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) write to the Minister of Transport, Infrastructure and Communities requesting the Federal government to contribute a share of the total budget for these important inter-regional transit improvements; and
7. The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be directed to provide a further report back to Committee and City Council should alternative cost-sharing allocations be suggested or if negotiations fail to result in full funding of the capital costs of the Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative.

Financial Impact

It is currently estimated that the proposed Kipling/Islington development will have a total capital cost of \$58,400,000. The TTC has proposed that these costs be shared between the City and other stakeholders (MT, GO, GTTA and the Province) as shown in the table below. According to this proposed cost-sharing arrangement, the City's share of the costs will be \$17,500,000 or approximately 30% of the total amount.

	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total
Total Gross Expenditure	8.5M	30.7M	14.5M	4.7M	58.4M
Source of Funding					
City/ LARF*	8.5M	9.0M			17.5M
Other Stakeholders		21.7M	14.5M	4.7M	40.9M
Total Source of Funding	8.5M	30.7M	14.5M	4.7M	58.4M

* \$1,700,000 in pre-approved spending authority; debt-funded was approved by City Council at its meeting of September 25, 26, and 27, 2006 to proceed with design work required for the Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative.

The City's expenditure of \$17,500,000 will be contingent on the other stakeholders' agreement to the proposed cost-sharing arrangement. Although discussions have taken place with the other stakeholders no funding commitments have yet been received.

If sufficient commitments are received from the other stakeholders, the City will proceed to fund its \$17,500,000 contribution by drawing on the LARF rather than increasing its overall debt financing.

The LARF will be reimbursed through any proceeds that are realized from the sale of lands made available for redevelopment through the relocation of the Islington Station bus terminal. Facilities & Real Estate staff has forecast that these land sales revenues can potentially more

than offset the City's \$17,500,000 contribution towards this project.

At this early stage of design and planning, there is still potential for variability in the ultimate construction and land acquisition costs. An approach to allocating the impact of any cost increases has not yet been discussed with each of the stakeholders.

In addition, it is anticipated that decreases in parking revenues to the TTC may result from the completion of these projects that may require further adjustment to the TTC budget. The extent of these reductions is dependent upon negotiations with SNC and Hydro One.

Summary

Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative consists of the construction of a new inter-regional bus terminal at Kipling for shared use of MT & GO, a new accessible TTC bus terminal at Islington, and consequent replacement of accessory transit facilities & commuter parking. This Redevelopment Initiative is the culmination of a study over the past 3 years of how new transit facilities at Kipling/Islington could improve inter-regional transit movements and create opportunities for intensification & redevelopment adjacent to these 2 subway stations.

This report recommends approval of the Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative and allocation of capital funding of \$17,500,000, the City's proposed share of the total \$58,400,000 budget for the Redevelopment Initiative, subject to confirmation that Mississauga Transit (MT), GO Transit and Province of Ontario and/or the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority (GTTA) will make the capital contributions required of them. City Council has on the same agenda for approval a TTC report & recommendations adopted Dec. 13, 2006, on this redevelopment strategy and a cost-sharing arrangement with MT, GO, GTTA and the Province for these projects. The City's share would be funded from the Land Acquisition Reserve Fund, resulting in no new debt for the City. Proceeds from the sale of lands to SNC-Lavalin Group Inc. (SNC) and from the possible sale of two other properties (Phase II Islington/Westwood lands) would be repaid to the LARF.

Links to Background Information

2007-ex2-10

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-10_604.pdf)

10a Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Strategy – Status of Cost Sharing Discussions

(December 14, 2006) letter from The General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission

Recommendations

The Commission unanimously approved the Recommendation contained in the report, as listed below:

“It is recommended that the Commission:

- (1) approve in principle, the cost sharing formula for the City of Toronto contribution to the Kipling and Islington bus terminal projects outlined in this report as the basis of discussions with Mississauga Transit (MT), GO Transit and the Province of Ontario,
- (2) forward this report directly to the City Executive Committee at its meeting of January 2007,
- (3) direct TTC staff continue to work cooperatively with City, MT, GO, GTTA and Provincial staff with respect to the funding and implementation of the Kipling and Islington projects and the proposed SNC development at Bloor-Islington,
- (4) forward this report to the GTTA for support and consideration of priority funding as an interregional transit initiative, and
- (5) authorize the Chair to write to the Federal Government requesting a Federal contribution to the Kipling/Islington Capital Projects.”

The foregoing is forwarded to the City Executive Committee for consideration and support at its meeting on January 15, 2007.

Summary

At its meeting on Wednesday, December 13, 2006, the Toronto Transit Commission considered the attached report entitled, “Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Strategy – Status of Cost Sharing Discussions.”

EX2.11	ACTION			Wards: All
--------	--------	--	--	------------

Ratification of the Canada-Ontario-Toronto Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on Immigration and Settlement

(December 18, 2006) report from City Manager

Recommendations

The City Manager recommends that:

- (1) Council ratify the Memorandum of Understanding between Her Majesty in Right of Canada (“Canada”), Her Majesty in Right of the Province of Ontario (“Ontario”), and the City of Toronto (“Toronto”) dated the 29th day of September, 2006 which provides the framework for formalized discussions between the three governments with respect

to immigration and settlement issues in Toronto; and

- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take all necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact:

There are no financial impacts associated with the recommendations in this report.

Summary

On September 29th , 2006 the Mayor signed a Canada-Ontario-Toronto Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on behalf of the City of Toronto that provides for tri-level collaboration on immigration and settlement, subject to ratification by City Council. The federal and provincial signatories were Minister of Citizenship and Immigration Canada Monte Solberg and the Minister of Citizenship and Immigration Mike Colle respectively.

Links to Background Information

2007-ex2-11-1

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-11-1_591.pdf)

2007-ex2-11-2

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-11-2_590.pdf)

EX2.12	ACTION			Wards: 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44
--------	--------	--	--	---

Scarborough and the Media - Request for a Media Fairness Protocol

(October 10, 2006) Member Motion from Councillor Kelly, seconded by Councillor Thompson (City Clerk)

Recommendations

It is recommended that City Council request:

- (1) the media to stop using the name Scarborough when reporting crime that takes place east of Victoria Park Avenue; and
- (2) the print and broadcast media to sign a protocol, when crime is reported east of Victoria Park Avenue, to use only the street name, community, or nearest arterial intersection, as is done in other areas of the City of Toronto.

Summary

This motion recommends that City Council request the media to stop using the name Scarborough when reporting crime that takes place east of Victoria Park and to use only the

street name, community, or nearest arterial intersection, as is done in other areas of the City of Toronto. In September 2006, City Council referred this Motion to the Executive Committee.

Links to Background Information

2007-ex2-12

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-12_592.pdf)

EX2.13	ACTION			Wards: All
--------	--------	--	--	------------

Request for Report on the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) - City of Toronto Relationship Framework

(October 6, 2006) Member Motion from Councillor Stintz, seconded by Councillor Ashton
(City Clerk)

Recommendations

It is recommended that the Strategic and Corporate Policy Division, City Manager's Office, be requested to:

- (1) develop a new relationship framework with the TTC and that such framework include provisions such as access by all Councillors to in-camera meetings and Council oversight for items which have a financial impact;
- (2) set the TTC framework as a top priority; and
- (3) submit a report to the Executive Committee (formerly the Policy and Finance Committee) and City Council for approval.

Summary

This motion recommends that the City Manager be requested to develop a relationship framework with the Toronto Transit Commission with respect to such things as access by all Councillors to in-camera meetings and Council oversight for items which have a financial impact. In September 2006, City Council referred this Motion to the Executive Committee.

Links to Background Information

2007-ex2-13

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-13_593.pdf)

EX2.14	ACTION			Wards: All
--------	--------	--	--	------------

Request of the Province of Ontario to Declare Transit Service in Toronto an Essential Service

(October 6, 2006) Member Motion from Councillor Palacio seconded by Councillor Lindsay Luby

Recommendations

It is recommended that as an urgent matter of public health and safety, Toronto City Council request that the provincial government introduce legislation deeming public transit service in the City of Toronto an essential service.

Summary

This motion recommends that City Council request the Province of Ontario to introduce legislation deeming public transit service in the City of Toronto an essential service. In September 2006, City Council referred this Motion to the Executive Committee.

Communications

(October 6, 2006) Report from City Clerk - EXMain2006-11-I(02)
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/comm/communicationfile-18.pdf>)

EX2.15	ACTION			Wards: All
--------	--------	--	--	------------

Toronto Hydro Purchases of Nuclear Power from the Ontario Power Generation Corporation

(October 6, 2006) Member Motion from Councillor Saundercook, seconded by Councillor Mihevc (City Clerk)

Recommendations

It is recommended that:

- (1) the City of Toronto, as Toronto Hydro's sole shareholder, express its formal discomfort to Toronto Hydro with continued purchases of nuclear power from Ontario Power Generation Corporation;
- (2) in the spirit of full disclosure and forthright assessment of costs and benefits, the City of Toronto, as sole shareholder of Toronto Hydro, formally request the management team of Toronto Hydro to co-ordinate, in four weeks, a briefing session for the Board of Directors of Toronto Hydro, Toronto City Councillors and all interested parties

including the press and general public about:

- (i) the economic track record of nuclear power in Ontario;
 - (ii) the financial history of the Ontario Government passing on cost overruns from the former Ontario Hydro to Ontario consumers through stranded debt charges;
 - (iii) the potential financial impacts on Toronto consumers and the City of Toronto on electricity pricing in 2007 and beyond, including an impact on the City of Toronto's departmental budgets; and
 - (iv) options to minimize financial risk to Toronto residents, businesses and the Corporation of the City of Toronto from future increases in the cost of electricity on Ontario; and
- (3) the City of Toronto also formally request the management team of Toronto Hydro to work with the environmental community to co-ordinate a second briefing session, four weeks following the economic briefing session, to outline the environmental and public health risks associated with the mining and processing of uranium, as well as the transportation, management and storage of nuclear waste.

Summary

This motion recommends that the City of Toronto, as Toronto Hydro's sole shareholder, express its formal discomfort with the continued purchases of nuclear power from the Ontario Power Generation Corporation. In September 2006, City Council referred this Motion to the Executive Committee.

Links to Background Information

2007-ex2-15

http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-15_594.pdf

EX2.16	ACTION			Wards: All
--------	--------	--	--	------------

Establishment of a Property Assessment Reform Task-Force (PART)

(October 6, 2006) Member Motion from Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Stintz

Recommendations

It is recommended that City Council immediately establish, in accordance to the terms of reference appended to this Motion, a 'Property Assessment Reform Task Force (acronym = PART)' to deal with reform of the property assessment system by investigating other property assessment systems in use in other provinces and other countries to create new options for property assessment systems that may be used by the City of Toronto to collect municipal

property taxes in a more fair, equitable, predictable and affordable manner, and that such Task Force will lobby the provincial government for Property Tax Assessment Reform, and that the attached terms of reference be approved.”

Summary

This motion recommends that City Council establish a Property Assessment Reform Task Force to deal with the unfair system of Current Value Assessment (CVA) imposed by the Province of Ontario in 1998 and communicate to the Province the need and desire to control its system of property tax assessment in order to have autonomy over its most fundamental revenue tool.

Links to Background Information

2007-ex2-16

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-16_595.pdf)

EX2.17	Information			Wards: All
--------	-------------	--	--	------------

Response to Request for Information on How Quickly the Toronto Police Service Can Recruit and Train the 250 New Police Officers Hired Under the “Safer Communities – 1,000 Officers Partnership Program”, Associated Costs and Multi-Cultural Diversity of the New Police Officers

(November 16, 2006) report from Alok Mukherjee, Chair, Toronto Police Services Board

Recommendations

It is recommended that the Executive Committee (formerly known as the Policy and Finance Committee) receive this report.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to provide a response to a Toronto City Council request for information on how quickly the Toronto Police Service can recruit and train the 250 new police officers hired under the “Safer Communities – 1,000 Officers Partnership Program”, locations to which they will be deployed, associated costs and the multi-cultural diversity of the new police officers.

Links to Background Information

2007-ex2-17

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-17_596.pdf)

EX2.18	ACTION			Wards: All
--------	--------	--	--	------------

Semi-Annual Report: Toronto Police Service Parking Enforcement Unit Absenteeism for the period between January and June 2006

(November 7, 2006) report from Alok Mukherjee, Chair, Toronto Police Services Board

Recommendations

It is recommended that the Executive Committee (formerly known as the Policy and Finance Committee):

- (1) receive this report; and
- (2) advise the Toronto Police Services Board on whether the Committee would like to continue to receive semi-annual reports on the level of absenteeism in the Toronto Police Service – Parking Enforcement Unit in the future given that previous high rates of absenteeism have been resolved.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications in regard to the receipt of this report.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to advise the Policy and Finance Committee of the level of absenteeism for the period between January and June 2006.

Links to Background Information

2007-ex2-18)

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-18_606.pdf)

EX2.19	ACTION			
--------	--------	--	--	--

Change to Composition of the Board of Management of the Toronto Zoo

(December 19, 2006) report from Secretary, Board of Management of the Toronto Zoo

Recommendations

The Board of Management of the Toronto Zoo on December 19, 2006, recommended that City Council adopt staff Recommendation (1) contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (December 11, 2006) from the Chief Executive Officer, amended to read as follows:

- “(1) two positions on the Board of Management of the Toronto Zoo be changed from Toronto Zoo Foundation Board representatives to citizen representatives.”

Summary

To recommend changes to the composition of the Board of Management and a selection process for non Councillor positions on the Board.

Links to Background Information

2007-ex2-19-2

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-19-2_597.pdf)

2007-ex2-19-1

(http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/2007-ex2-19-1_598.pdf)