
Executive Committee

Meeting No.	6	Contact	Patsy Morris, Committee Administrator
Meeting Date	Monday, March 26, 2007	Phone	416-392-9151
Start Time	9:30 AM	E-mail	pmorris@toronto.ca
Location	Committee Room 1, City Hall		

Executive Committee		
Mayor David Miller (Chair) Councillor Joe Pantalone (Vice-Chair) Councillor Brian Ashton Councillor Shelley Carroll	Councillor Glenn De Baeremaeker Councillor Paula Fletcher Councillor Norm Kelly Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby Councillor Giorgio Mammoliti	Councillor Pam McConnell Councillor Joe Mihevc Councillor Howard Moscoe Councillor Kyle Rae

Members of Council and Staff: Please keep this agenda and the accompanying material until the City Council meeting dealing with these matters has ended. **The City Clerk's Office will not provide additional copies.**

Special Assistance for Members of the Public: City staff can arrange for special assistance with some advance notice. If you need special assistance, please call (416-392-8485), TTY 416-338-0889 or e-mail (pmorris@toronto.ca).

Closed Meeting Requirements: If the Executive Committee wants to meet in closed session (privately), a member of the committee must make a motion to do so and give the reason why the Committee has to meet privately. (*City of Toronto Act, 2006*)

Notice to People Writing to the Committee: The City of Toronto collects any personal information in your communication to Toronto City Council or its committees under the City of Toronto Act, 2006 and the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The City collects this information to enable it to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s). Individuals who submit letters and other information should be aware that any personal information in their communication will become part of the public record. The City will make it available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove the personal information. Direct any questions about this collection to the City Clerk's Office, City Hall, 100 Queen St. W. Toronto ON M5H 2N2 or by calling 416-392-8485.

If the Executive Committee wishes to meet in closed session (privately), a motion must be made to do so, and the reason given (*City of Toronto Act, 2006*).

Declarations of Interest under the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act*.

Confirmation of Minutes – February 27, 2007

Speakers/Presentations - A complete list will be distributed at the meeting.

Communications/Reports

EX6.1	Information		Policy	Ward: All
-------	-------------	--	--------	-----------

**Framework for an Integrated Climate Change and Clean Air Action Plan
(Presentation Item - 10:00 a.m.)**

(March 12, 2007) report from the Deputy City Manager

Financial Impact

There are no financial impacts arising from this report.

Summary

This report is to advise that a follow-up “action” report, regarding a recommended Integrated Climate Change and Clean Air Action Plan for the City of Toronto, will be submitted to the March 26, 2007 meeting of the Executive Committee through the Supplementary Agenda.

Background Information

Framework for an Integrated Climate Change and Clean Air Action Plan
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-2174.pdf>)

EX6.2	ACTION		Policy	Ward: All
-------	--------	--	--------	-----------

Toronto Energy Plan - Update and Related Initiatives

(March 7, 2007) report from the Chief Corporate Officer

Recommendations

The Chief Corporate Officer recommends that:

1. Toronto Water, the Toronto Transit Commission, the Toronto Community Housing Corporation, and other ABCDs as appropriate, work with Facilities and Real Estate to:
 - identify approved capital projects and projects proposed in their 2007 Capital Budgets and 2008-2011 Capital Plans with the potential for energy savings in order to determine their eligibility for funding under the 90 MW program;

- identify and implement potential Demand Response opportunities in cooperation with Toronto Hydro Energy Services Inc. (THESI);
 - identify potential projects for funding under the OPA's upcoming Clean Energy Standard Offer Program;
2. The Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, and the Chief Corporate Officer ensure that applicants for developments over 1,000 square metres of gross floor area are advised of the opportunity to enrol in the Better Buildings New Construction Program, and the Chief Planner note in any report prepared for Council whether the applicant has enrolled in the Program, and the level of energy efficiency that is contemplated for the development; and
 3. The Chief Corporate Officer communicate to Natural Resources Canada the City's interest in working with them on the EcoEnergy Efficiency Initiative.

Financial Impact

The final Energy Plan recommendations, when reported to Council later this year, will have impact on both the capital and operating budgets. Potential financial implications will be reported at that time.

Once the City negotiates an agreement for the 90 MW program, the OPA will provide funding for eligible projects until December 31, 2010. The amount of funding will depend on the details of the contract with the OPA, and will be based on the electricity savings generated. Payments from the OPA may take the form of up-front capital funding, payments for availability of load dispatch, and/or payments for demonstrated kilowatts/kilowatt hours saved. The OPA will also provide funds to cover the City's overhead program costs. Further report on this issue will be provided as part of the final Energy Plan.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Summary

This report provides an update on the preparation of the Toronto Energy Plan, including the development of a program to achieve 90 megawatts (MW) of electricity savings across the city by 2010. Under this program, the Ontario Power Authority (OPA) will provide incentive payments to eligible City and community projects. The report also identifies three other issues:

- the proposed linking of Police Headquarters and City Hall to Enwave's Deep Lake Water Cooling system;
- the proposed construction of a 3rd major electricity transmission line into the downtown; and
- opportunities for cooperation with the federal government on energy efficiency.

In the short term, the recommendations in this report will help the City achieve its target of reducing energy consumption by 15% within its own operations, as well as reducing electricity

consumption in the wider community. The recommendations will also assist in developing a longer-term plan for moving Toronto from its current unsustainable state of energy consumption to a state of energy sustainability, through energy conservation and the generation of clean and renewable energy.

Background Information

Toronto Energy Plan - Update and Related Initiatives

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-2001.pdf>)

EX6.3	ACTION		Policy	Ward: All
-------	--------	--	--------	-----------

Toronto Environmental Protection Plan (TEPP): Toward Integrated Action on Climate Change and Air-Quality

(March 9, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Thompson

Recommendations

1. Council adopt a carbon dioxide and greenhouse gas emissions reduction goal of 20% relative to 1990 levels by the year 2012 as a City-wide target for the City of Toronto;
2. Council urge the Federal government to expeditiously implement measures to reduce Canada's pollution emissions to meet our commitments to the Kyoto Protocol;
3. Council direct the City Manager to identify all areas (i.e. by-law amendments and purchasing parameters) of City business that could be changed in order to help the City of Toronto to achieve its pollution emission reduction program, reporting no later than to the July meeting of the Executive Committee;
4. Council direct the City Manager to produce a Status Report to the Executive Committee on all City "green" initiatives (ongoing and completed since Amalgamation) to support the City's "Clean, Green and Healthy: A Plan for an Environmentally Sustainable Toronto (February 2000)", and to produce updated Appendices A and B adopted with that Plan;
5. Council direct the City Manager to report to the Executive Committee on the City of Toronto's green house gas emissions in 1998, compared to the most recent data;
6. Council authorize the Mayor, on behalf of City Council, to develop a Resolution on climate change action to be supported by other Mayors in Canada (similar to the U.S. Conference of Mayors, January 25, 2007) with the aim of receiving specific funding (Block Grants proportionate to population) from the Federal government for environmental initiatives to reduce pollution emissions and aid Canadian Cities' transition to sustainability;

7. Council direct the City Manager to report to the Executive Committee on the powers of the new City of Toronto Act and the new opportunities for the City to use to reach the goals of our climate action plan (i.e. providing incentives for retrofit modifications or renewable energy production by individuals, homeowners, and owners of multi-residential dwellings);
8. Council urge the Provincial government to assist the City of Toronto to meet its environmental protection goals by providing programs and sustained funding (i.e. for annual transit system construction);
9. Council urge the Federal government to direct the Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA) to conduct projects in developing countries using the Kyoto Protocol's clean development mechanism (CDM) to help Canada meet its Kyoto Protocol emission reduction target by 2012;
10. Council direct the City Manager to report to the Executive Committee on the Resolutions passed by the U.S. Conference of Mayors for action against global warming, including the U.S. Mayors Climate Protection Agreement and the \$4 Billion Energy and Environment Block Grant proposal;
11. Council adopt a policy of annually reporting pollution emissions to the public, such report to be completed by the Auditor General;
12. Council urge the Provincial government to permanently close the remaining four (4) coal-fired power plants in Ontario (Nanticoke, near Lake Erie; Lambton, near Sarnia; Atikokan and Thunder Bay, both in the northwest) as soon as possible; and
13. Council urge the Federal government to work with Canadian cities, especially Toronto as its largest, to help the country achieve and surpass its Kyoto Protocol commitments.

Summary

City Council on March 5 to 8, 2007, referred the Motion by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Thompson, to the Executive Committee respecting the Toronto Environmental Protection Plan (TEPP): Toward Integrated Action on Climate Change and Air-Quality.

Background Information

Toronto Environmental Protection Plan: Climate Change and Air-Quality
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-2009.pdf>)

EX6.4	ACTION		Policy	
-------	--------	--	--------	--

Establish and Construct The Toronto Environmental Protection Centre (TEP) in the Eastern Portlands

(March 9, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Thompson

Recommendations

1. Council instruct the City Manager and appropriate staff to investigate establishing a “Toronto Environmental Protection Centre” to implement the goals of the City of Toronto Environmental Plan, and findings of this investigation be reported to the June 2007 meeting of the Executive Committee.
2. Council instruct the City Manager and appropriate staff to investigate constructing an environmentally-friendly landmark building to be known as the “Toronto Environmental Protection Centre” on Toronto’s Eastern Portlands area and include provisions for such a landmark building in all future plans, design studies or Requests for Proposals regarding the Eastern Portlands portion of the Waterfront Revitalization Plan, and the findings of this investigation be reported to the June 2007 meeting of the Executive Committee.

Summary

City Council on March 5 to 8, 2007, referred the Motion by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Thompson, to the Executive Committee.

To help the Federal government reach Canada’s commitment to the Kyoto Protocol, Toronto needs to create resources for its citizens and businesses. One such centralized resource should be an Environmental Protection Centre where information could be disseminated, seminars could be held, questions could be asked and sustainable technologies could be purchased, spawned and nurtured.

For instance, to show Chicago’s (Illinois, USA) commitment to reaching its environmental protection targets, especially a reduction in pollution emissions, Chicago’s Mayor has established and constructed the Chicago Center for Green Technology. Chicago’s centre is constructed using the LEED (Leader in Energy Efficiency in Design) Platinum standard and houses a small factory to produce solar panels on site.

Toronto should take its lead from Chicago and build a centre for excellence in environmental protection to lead Canada to reach our common reduction targets under the Kyoto Protocol.

Background Information

Establish The Toronto Environmental Protection Centre in the Eastern Portlands
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1990.pdf>

EX6.5	ACTION		Policy	Ward: All
-------	--------	--	--------	-----------

**A Discussion of Public Policy Revenue Tools under the City of Toronto Act, 2006
(Presentation Item – 1:30 p.m.)**

(March 12, 2007) report from the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Recommendations

The City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer recommend that:

1. the Executive Committee direct the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer to:
 - (a) consult with appropriate stakeholders about the potential tax measures as identified in the discussion paper attached to this report; and
 - (b) report back to the Executive Committee following stakeholder consultations with results and options for consideration of potential implementation of tax measures, estimation of net revenues and economic impacts; and
2. the Executive Committee authorize appropriate officials and direct them to take necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications arising out of the discussion paper. Final consideration of new revenues under the Act, including allocation of the proceeds, will determine the ultimate financial impact on the City.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to provide information and initiate public consultation regarding the new taxation options under the City of Toronto Act, 2006, including the key results of a study on the potential revenues, economic impacts and comparable tax regimes in other jurisdictions.

Background Information

Paper entitled "Discussion of Public Policy Revenue Tools/City of Toronto Act"
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-2052.pdf>)

A Discussion of Public Policy Revenue Tools under the City of Toronto Act, 2006
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-2051.pdf>)

EX6.6	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: All
-------	--------	--	---------------	-----------

Toronto Community Housing Corporation 2007 – 2009 Community Management Plan

(March 9, 2007) report from the City Manager

Recommendations

The City Manager recommends that:

1. City Council, in its role as Shareholder of the Toronto Community Housing Corporation, receive Toronto Community Housing Corporation's 2007 – 2009 Community Management Plan; and
2. the City Manager forward a copy of this report to the Board of Directors of the Toronto Community Housing Corporation as the City's comments.

Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

Through the submission of its 2007 – 2009 CMP, TCHC has requested a \$7 million increase to its annual operating subsidy. The City's operating agreement with TCHC does not include a mechanism to increase annual funding for the specific items identified in the 2007 – 2009 CMP. If the agreement were to be amended to allow for these items, the Shelter, Support and Housing Administration (SSHA) operating budget would have to increase by \$7 million annually to accommodate TCHC's request. This request from TCHC will be reviewed and reported on as part of the 2007 operating budget process.

Summary

The City's Shareholder Direction to Toronto Community Housing Corporation (TCHC) requires TCHC to submit an annual Community Management Plan (CMP) to the City for comment. The CMP is a rolling three-year business plan including long-term business strategies, key multi-year targets and financial plans, but is not a detailed activity plan. The City's comments on the CMP are to be taken into consideration in preparing detailed action plans to be approved by TCHC's Board of Directors.

A review by staff indicates that the 2007 – 2009 CMP complies with the requirements of the Shareholder Direction. The CMP is an ambitious plan that proposes to improve housing for TCHC tenants and the services and activities TCHC undertakes. Through implementing the Plan, TCHC will help deliver on the Mayor's commitment to provide 1,000 new affordable housing units, especially through the revitalization of Regent Park. The CMP highlights the need for the City and TCHC to co-ordinate their capital programs on projects of mutual interest such as Regent Park, Lawrence Heights and the Railway lands. As part of its CMP, TCHC has requested a \$7 million annual increase to its funding. This request will be reviewed and reported on as part of the 2007 operating budget process.

Background Information

Letter to City Manager from Toronto Community Housing Corp. Dec. 1, 2006

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-2084.pdf>)

Community Management Plan - 2007-2009 - November 2006 - DRAFT

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-2083.pdf>)

Toronto Community Housing Corporation 2007 - 2009 Community Management Plan

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-2050.pdf>)

EX6.7	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: All
-------	--------	--	---------------	-----------

North York Symphony Association (operating as The Toronto Philharmonia) Line of Credit Guarantee

(March 8, 2007) report from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Recommendations

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer recommends that:

- (1)
 - (a) Council approve the renewal and increase of the line of credit guarantee on behalf of the North York Symphony Association (NYSA) (operating as The Toronto Philharmonia) to its financial institution in the amount of \$250,000.00 (inclusive of all interest payable by the NYSA), commencing on April 30, 2007 and ending on April 30, 2009;
 - (b) the City extend the agreement with the NYSA respect to the line of credit guarantee; and
 - (c) the City extend the tri-party agreement with the NYSA and with its financial institution with respect to the line of credit guarantee;
- (2) such guarantee and all related agreements be on terms and conditions satisfactory to the City Solicitor, the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and Deputy City Manager Sue Corke, and that the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be requested to negotiate appropriate and adequate safeguards, to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor, with the City being promptly advised in the event of default or delay in the payment of interest;
- (3) the guarantee be deemed to be in the interest of the municipality; and
- (4) the appropriate officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact

Issuance of a line of credit guarantee is considered to be a financial commitment of the City. However, there is no direct cost to the City for providing this guarantee unless the organization defaults on its obligation and the City cannot recover the funds.

Summary

This report seeks Council approval to renew the line of credit guarantee for the North York Symphony Association (operating as the The Toronto Philharmonia) and increase the amount from \$200,000.00 to \$250,000.00 until April 30, 2009.

Background Information

North York Symphony Assoc (operating as The Toronto Philharmonia) Line of Credit
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1926.pdf>)

EX6.8	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: All
-------	--------	--	---------------	-----------

Recruitment and Selection Process for Citizen Members of the Toronto Zoo Board of Management

(March 12, 2007) report from the City Manager

Recommendations

The City Manager recommends that:

1. City Council amend the City's Public Appointments Policy by replacing Appendix D.12 with the revised Appendix D.12 attached to this report that sets out the eligibility requirements, necessary qualifications, and recruitment and selection process for citizen members on the Toronto Zoo Board of Management; and
2. City Council maintain the residency requirement for citizen members on the City's Agencies, Boards and Commissions, including the Toronto Zoo Board of Management, as provided for in the Public Appointments Policy adopted by City Council in September 2006.

Financial Impact

Costs related to advertising for the recruitment of the Zoo Board citizen members from the Toronto community at large is approximately \$5000. Funds are available in the 2007 base budget for this type of recruitment activity pending approval of the 2007 operating budget. No additional funds are required.

The Chief Financial Officer and Deputy City Manager has reviewed this report and concurs with the financial impact statement.

Summary

At its February 2007 meeting, City Council approved a change of the Board of Management of the Toronto Zoo and requested the City Manager develop the eligibility, qualifications, and recruitment and selection process in consultation with the Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Zoo.

The previous Zoo Board composition included six members of Council, three members nominated by the Toronto Zoo Foundation Board and two scientific citizen members. The amended Zoo Board composition includes six members of Council, one member nominated by the Toronto Zoo Foundation Board and four citizen members. The total composition remains at eleven members.

The Toronto Zoo has proposed that all four citizen members be recruited by the Board of Management using the “by invitation process” to ensure the citizen members have specific skills and experience to support the Zoo in its future work and planned initiatives. The Zoo has also proposed that the residency requirement for citizen members be waived.

The City Manager is recommending that two citizen members be selected by invitation as is currently the case and two citizens be recruited through an open advertised process. Using a combination of processes will enable recruitment of individuals with specific skills, qualifications and experience while at the same time ensuring representation on the board from the broader Toronto community.

Appendix D.12 attached to this report outlines the eligibility requirements and sets out the recruitment and selection process.

Background Information

Recruitment Process for Citizen Members of the Toronto Zoo Board of Management
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-2049.pdf>)

EX6.9	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: All
--------------	--------	--	---------------	-----------

Toronto Film Board - Terms of Reference

(March 9, 2007) report from the Film Commissioner

Recommendations

The Film Commissioner recommends that:

1. Council approve the renaming of the Film, Television and Commercial Production Industry Committee (Film Board) to the Toronto Film Board;
2. Council approve the amended Terms of Reference for the Toronto Film Board as set out in Attachment 1; and
3. Council request staff to take the necessary action to implement the Terms of Reference including the recruitment of industry members and scheduling of meetings.

Financial Impact

There is no financial impact as a result of the recommendations in this report.

Summary

Council recently approved the re-establishment of the Film, Television and Commercial Production Industry Committee (Film Board) and requested staff to report back with any required changes to the Terms of Reference; this report responds to that request for the Toronto Film Board.

The first two years of operation of the Film Board provided an opportunity to refine and clarify both the roles and responsibilities and the composition requirements. In addition, changes in the City's governance structure have necessitated a change in reporting relationship from Policy and Finance Committee to Executive Committee. These modifications are reflected in the new Terms of Reference for the Film Board recommended in this report.

Background Information

Toronto Film Board - Terms of Reference

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-2047.pdf>

Toronto Film Board - Terms of Reference

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-2046.pdf>

EX6.10	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: All
--------	--------	--	---------------	-----------

2007 Property Tax Levy By-Laws and Related Matters

(March 8, 2007) report from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Recommendations

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer recommends that:

1. The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be authorized and directed to report directly to Council at its meeting scheduled for April 20 and 23, 2007, with respect to the 2007 property tax levy by-law and other related property tax by-laws.

Financial Impact

There are no direct financial implications associated with the adoption of this report.

Summary

To serve notice that the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer will be reporting directly to Council at its special meeting scheduled for April 20 and 23, 2007, upon adoption of the City's 2007 Operating Budget, with respect to the 2007 property tax levy by-laws.

The property tax by-laws cannot be finalized until the Operating Budget is adopted.

Background Information

2007 Property Tax Levy By-Laws and Related Matters

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1927.pdf>

EX6.11	ACTION		Policy	Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--------	-----------

French Language Services and Translation of By-laws

(March 9, 2007) report from the City Manager

Recommendations

The City Manager recommends that:

1. the City of Toronto translate selected by-laws through the services provided by the *Association française des municipalités de l'Ontario* /Association of French Municipalities of Ontario (AFMO);
2. the City Manager, in consultation with the City Clerk and the City Solicitor, establish a priority system for translating City by-laws, and that the City's French Committee/*Comité français de la ville de Toronto* (CFVT) be asked to provide input; and
3. The City Manager develop and implement a communications strategy, including exploring the posting of translated materials on the City's website, to inform members of Toronto's Francophone community about the City's French language services.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications arising from the recommendations in this report.

Summary

This report provides information on the range of French Language services provided by the City of Toronto, including translation, interpretation and access to services in French. Information on the availability of funding for translation services from the *Association française des municipalités de l'Ontario*/Association of French Municipalities of Ontario (AFMO) and Canadian Heritage is also provided.

The City of Toronto has mechanisms and procedures to provide French Language translation and interpretation. This service will be enhanced when the 311 service is introduced. To date, all requests have been accommodated using existing mechanisms.

City of Toronto by-laws have not been translated. Resources are available through the *Association française des municipalités de l'Ontario*/ Association of French Municipalities of Ontario (AFMO) to translate City by-laws. These services are provided without cost to municipalities.

Background Information

French Language Services and Translation of By-laws
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1998.pdf>)

EX6.12	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: All
--------	--------	--	---------------	-----------

Preliminary Capital Variance Report for the Year Ended December 31, 2006

(February 23, 2007) report from the Budget Committee

Recommendations

The Budget Committee recommended to the Executive Committee that:

1. Council approve the Facilities and Real Estate technical budget adjustments detailed in Appendix 2 (Sec. 1.i) attached, to reallocate funds from projects completed under-budget to projects that were overspent with no incremental impact on the Council Approved 2006 Capital Budget;
2. Council approve the technical budget adjustments to transfer \$1.100 million in unspent funding from Toronto Police Service 2006 approved capital project funds (Jetforms \$0.500 million and Police Integration Systems \$0.600 million) to the Transportations Services 2006 Capital Budget – Front Street Extension project to cover additional expenditures for the Police Traffic Services and Garage Facility – 9 Hanna subproject Appendix 2 (Sec. 1.ii);
3. Council approve the Toronto Water technical budget adjustments detailed in Appendix 2 (Sec. 1.iii) which reallocate funds between projects with no incremental impact on the 2006 Approved Capital Budget;
4. Council approve the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority technical budget adjustments detailed in Appendix 2 (Sec. 2.i) to adjust during 2006 for \$1.563 million in unspent funding carried forward from 2005 for land acquisition for source water protection; and
5. Council authorize and direct the appropriate staff to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact

As shown in Table 1 below, Tax Supported Programs spent \$1.069 billion or 69.8 percent of the 2006 approved cash flow of \$1.531 billion during the year ended December 31, 2006. By comparison, spending in 2004 approximated 68.3 percent and in 2005, 68.3 percent of the respective Council approved cash flow. Excluding uncontrollable projects (defined as projects that are subject to conditions that are not within the control of staff, such as unforeseen natural or environmental factors, and dependency on third party performance), the 2006 Tax Supported Programs' spent 72.4 percent of the adjusted cash flow:

Table 1 Corporate Preliminary Capital Variance Summary for the Year Ended December 31, 2006 (\$000s)				
	2006 Approved Budget	January to December 2006		
		Total		% Spent of Controllable Projects
		Actuals	% Spent	
Tax Supported Programs:				
Citizen Centred Services - "A"	184,775	104,447	56.5%	61.5%
Citizen Centred Services - "B"	439,906	240,382	54.6%	55.9%
Internal Services	162,598	83,583	51.4%	52.9%
Other City Programs	41,800	24,874	59.5%	61.9%
Agencies, Boards & Commissions	702,519	616,181	87.7%	89.7%
Total - Tax Supported	1,531,597	1,069,467	69.8%	72.4%
Rate Supported Programs:				
Toronto Parking Authority	39,709	8,040	20.2%	52.9%
Toronto Water	394,468	264,770	67.1%	71.0%
Total Rate Supported	434,177	272,810	62.8%	70.2%
Total	1,965,774	1,342,277	68.3%	72.0%

Rate-Supported Programs spent \$272.810 million or 62.8 percent of the 2006 approved cash flow of \$434.177 million. Excluding uncontrollable projects, the spending rate improves to 70.2 percent.

In accordance with the City's Carry Forward Policy, the 2007 Budget Committee Recommended Capital Budget will include 2006 unspent funding carried forward to 2007. This will enable staff to complete projects for which capital work was not completed in 2006 as planned. It should be noted that the majority of the reduced spending on capital projects in the fourth quarter are committed and should be spent in early 2007.

It is noted that in compliance with good financial management practices, debt is issued only when needed to finance actual or committed capital expenditures. This strategy minimizes the incurrence of debt service cost and the resultant impact on the Operating Budget. In accordance with the Financial Control By-law, completed projects will be closed and any unspent funds will be returned to the original funding source. A recommended list of project closures will be included in the final 2006 Year-end Capital Variance report.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to provide the City of Toronto Preliminary Capital Variance Report for the year ended December 31, 2006, and to request Council's approval of technical budget adjustments which reallocate funds between projects with no incremental impact on the Council Approved 2006 Capital Budget. A final report will be submitted to Council following the completion of the external audit of the City's accounts and financial statements.

Capital spending during 2006 totalled \$1.342 billion or 68.3% of the approved cash flow of \$1.965 billion. The under expenditure is primarily attributed to the inability to find or secure suitable sites in accordance with planned timeframes; delays in securing funds from cost-sharing partners; and, significantly higher than expected rainfall which delayed performance of roofing and asphalt projects, exterior site work, park construction projects and playground replacement work. In addition, several projects were completed under-budget. Unspent funds for incomplete projects will be carried forward to 2007 on an as required basis, in accordance with the City's Carry Forward Policy

Background Information

Preliminary Capital Variance Report for the Year Ended December 31, 2006
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1930.pdf>

Communications

(February 20, 2007) report from The Budget Committee - EXMain

EX6.13	ACTION		Transactional	
--------	--------	--	---------------	--

Occupational Health and Safety Act Charge Against the City of Toronto

Confidential Attachment - The receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege

(February 26, 2007) report from the Employee and Labour Relations Committee

Recommendations

That the Executive Committee recommend that City Council adopt the Confidential recommendations of the Employee and Labour Relations Committee.

Financial Impact

Funding, as identified in the confidential attachment, for the costs relating to the potential fine against the City are available in the 2006 (Accrued) Toronto Building's Approved Operating Budget.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Summary

The City Solicitor seeks instructions with respect to an Occupational Health and Safety Act ("OHSA") charge brought against the City following the fatal fall of a City Building Inspector in September 2003, which will be before the Court on May 17, 2007. Confidential Information and Recommendations are found in Attachment 1.

Background Information

Occupational Health and Safety Act Charge Against the City of Toronto
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-2085.pdf>)

EX6.14	Information		Transactional	Ward: All
--------	-------------	--	---------------	-----------

Remuneration and Expenses of Members of Council and of Council Appointees to Local Boards and Other Special Purpose Bodies for the year ended December 31, 2006

(March 9, 2007) report from the Treasurer and City Clerk

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications arising from this report.

Summary

This report is submitted for information purposes as required under Section No. 284(1) of the Municipal Act (S.O. 2001) and provides a statement of Remuneration and Expenses of Members of Council and of Council Appointees to Local Boards and other Special Purpose Bodies for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Background Information

Remuneration and Expenses of Members of Council - Appendix A to H
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-2354.pdf>)

Remuneration and Expenses of Members of Council & Other Special Purpose Bodies
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-2353.pdf>)

EX6.15	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: All
---------------	--------	--	---------------	-----------

2007 Water and Wastewater Service Rate and Related Matters

(Referral Motions from City Council)

(February 15, 2007) report from the City Clerk

City Council on February 5, 6, 7 and 8, 2007, considered this Item, and referred the following motions to the Executive Committee for consideration:

Moved by Councillor Del Grande:

That Recommendation 1.i. of the Executive Committee be deleted and replaced with the following instead:

“1.i. the water and wastewater rates charged to metered low volume users for water consumed less than 240 m3 per year (Block 1) be increased by the Consumer Price Index for Toronto.”

Moved by Councillor Perruzza:

That Recommendation 1.i. of the Executive Committee be deleted and replaced with the following instead:

“1.i. the water and wastewater rates charged to metered low volume users for water consumed less than 240 m3 per year (Block 1) be frozen at the 2006 level, and that the funding for this be by way of increasing the rates on Blocks 2 through 7 by 10.0 percent on an annualized basis, effective March 1, 2007, as shown in the following table:

2007 Combined Water and Wastewater Rates

	Not paid before the due date \$/m ³	Paid on or before the due date \$/m ³
For the first 240 m ³ of water used per year	1.4954	1.4206
For the next 5,760 m ³ of water used per year	1.7312	1.6446
For the next 6,000 m ³ of water used per year	1.7454	1.6582
For the next 48,000 m ³ of water used per year	1.7282	1.6418
For the next 60,000 m ³ of water used per year	1.7153	1.6295

For the next 120,000 m3 of water used per year	1.7296	1.6432
For any volume over 240,000 m3 of water used per year	1.6323	1.5506

Summary

2007 Water and Wastewater Service Rate and Related Matters.

Background Information

2007 Water and Wastewater Service Rate and Related Matters

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1931.pdf>)

Communications

(February 15, 2007) Member Motion from City Council on February 5, 6, 7 and 8, 2007 - EXMain (<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/comm/communicationfile-788.pdf>)

EX6.16	Information		Transactional	
--------	-------------	--	---------------	--

Fact Sheets Summarizing TAVIS Results, Pedestrian Safety Statistical Information and Staff Strategy Initiatives

(February 22, 2007) report from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications in regard to the receipt of this report.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to provide the Executive Committee with a report containing fact sheets summarizing TAVIS results, pedestrian safety statistical information, and staffing strategy initiatives.

Background Information

Fact Sheets Summarizing TAVIS Results, Pedestrian Safety Statistical Info

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1977.pdf>)

EX6.17	ACTION		Policy	Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--------	-----------

Compensation of Elected Officials

(February 15, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Jenkins

Recommendations

1. City Council rescind the pay increase for Councillors and the Mayor, adopted, as

amended, in Recommendation (2) of Policy and Finance Committee Report 6, Clause 57, headed “Compensation Review: Elected Officials”.

2. City Council adopt the policy that any salary increase for City Councillors and the Mayor be capped at the cost of living index.

Summary

At its meeting of July 25, 26 and 27, 2006, City Council adopted, as amended, Policy and Finance Committee Report 6, Clause 57, headed “Compensation Review: Elected Officials”. This package was adopted by a slim 22-21 vote of City Council.

This Clause increases the salary level of Councillors and the Mayor to \$95,000.00 and \$160,000.00 per annum, respectively, as of January 1, 2007.

In September 2006, several City Councillors signed a pledge to re-open the issue of the recent salary hike for City Councillors and the Mayor, rescind the 9% raise, and recommend only a cost of living increase to the salary of City Councillors and the Mayor. Councillors Walker and Jenkins, the movers of this motion, signed that petition.

Background Information

Compensation of Elected Officials

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1932.pdf>)

EX6.18	ACTION		Policy	Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--------	-----------

Toronto Election Finance Reform - Toward Implementation of By-laws

(February 15, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Jenkins

Recommendations

1. City Council reaffirm its decisions of September 28, 29, 30 and October 1, 2004 and October 31, 2005 in support of the recommendations of the Toronto Election Finance Review Task Force (as amended).
2. The City Clerk be directed to report to the April 2007 meeting of the Executive Committee on the current status of election campaign finance reform in the City of Toronto.
3. The City Clerk be directed to report to the April 2007 meeting of the Executive Committee on the current status of negotiations with the provincial government regarding municipal election campaign finance reform.
4. The City Clerk be directed to report to the April 2007 meeting of the Executive Committee on whether the provisions contained in Bill 53, Stronger City of Toronto for a Stronger Ontario Act, 2006, are adequate to implement the Toronto Election Finance Review Task Force recommendations adopted by City Council in October 2004 and if not, what in addition is needed to implement the recommendations of the Task Force.

5. The City Clerk be directed to report to the April 2007 meeting of the Executive Committee on a draft by-law, for adoption, which prohibits corporate and union donations to municipal election campaigns in the City of Toronto.

Summary

City Council on February 5 to 8, 2007, referred the Motion by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Jenkins, to the Executive Committee.

City Council at its meeting of September 28, 29, 30 and October 1, 2004, adopted as amended, by a vote of 35 to 8, the Toronto Election Finance Review Task Force recommendations package for reform of municipal election campaign finances in the City of Toronto. This package was then forwarded to our Provincial Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing, the Honourable John Gerretsen, on October 6, 2004.

These reforms were adopted by City Council to improve electoral fiscal transparency and accountability, to reduce the influence of special interests and to eliminate the financial advantages of incumbency.

On October 31, 2005, by a vote of 29 to 3, City Council re-affirmed its support for these reforms by adopting a motion regarding the urgent implementation of the Toronto Election Finance Review Task Force recommendations.

The main recommendations of this reform package are:

1. Prohibit all corporate and union donations to municipal election campaigns.
2. Disallow candidates from transferring financial surpluses from one campaign to the next.
3. Update spending limits and redefine rules with respect to excluded expenses.
4. Require Elections Ontario to be responsible for the monitoring and enforcement of the provisions of the Municipal Elections Act, 1996.

As we all know, Minister Gerretsen did not include the permissive legislation City Council needed to implement any of the Toronto Election Finance Review Task Force recommendations until Bill 53, Stronger City of Toronto for a Stronger Ontario Act, 2006, which was introduced in the Legislature for First Reading on December 14, 2005 and given Royal Assent on June 12, 2006. Further, the provincial government did not include in Bill 53 the required permissions to implement all the recommendations of the Toronto Election Finance Review Task Force adopted by City Council, except to prohibit corporate and union donations to municipal election campaigns.

For nearly a year and a half, the provincial government held off on passing the needed reforms for the City of Toronto. This prevented City Council from implementing new election campaign finance rules for the 2006 Municipal Election.

Now there is no reason to delay. City Council needs to implement new rules governing municipal election campaign finances as per the Toronto Election Finance Review Task Force recommendations.

Background Information

Toronto Election Finance Reform - Toward Implementation of By-laws
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1933.pdf>)

EX6.19	ACTION		Policy	
--------	--------	--	--------	--

Habitat for Humanity Request for Fee Forgiveness - 4200 Kingston Road

(February 15, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Ainslie, seconded by Councillor De Baeremaeker

Recommendations

1. City Council agree to rebate and waive all fees attributed to the development and construction by Habitat for Humanity Toronto of nineteen town homes at 4200 Kingston Road in Scarborough.

Summary

City Council on February 5 to 8, 2007, referred the Motion by Councillor Ainslie, seconded by Councillor De Baeremaeker, to the Executive Committee stating that Habitat for Humanity Toronto is a non-profit charitable organization which has had many successful years of experience in the development and construction of affordable ownership housing for low income families with children. The average sale price of a home in Toronto in 2005 was \$330,000.00 and the average rent of an apartment with three or more bedrooms in 2005 was \$1,200.00, meaning that families with lower incomes are having a very difficult time in finding suitable housing which they could afford. Habitat for Humanity Toronto constructs and renovates homes which are then sold to families at reduced rates with zero-interest mortgages.

Habitat for Humanity Toronto has previously requested and been granted dispensation from the payment of fees related to the construction of homes consistent with the Council policy supporting the construction of affordable rental housing stock by other non-profit organizations, in order to further reduce the housing costs for projects at 38 St.Lawrence Street, 150 Pinery Trail in Scarborough and 8 Elsinore Path in Etobicoke.

Discussions with staff have been conducted: City right-of-way advised that there may be hoarding fees if Habitat chooses to construct a fence on the City right-of-way to protect the public from their construction site. These fees are estimated at \$535.00 plus a monthly fee of \$240.00 for the time that the hoarding is in place. Other applicable fees would be Toronto Water administrative fee, which is usually a percentage of the servicing cost within the road allowance.

Background Information

Habitat for Humanity Request for Fee Forgiveness - 4200 Kingston Road
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1939.pdf>

EX6.20	ACTION		Policy	Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--------	-----------

Designation of City of Toronto Wards

(February 15, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Moscoe, seconded by Councillor De Baeremaeker

Recommendations

1. That Council formally determine that ward numbers will be replaced with names.
2. That this matter be referred to the Executive Committee with a request that they establish a process for naming each of the wards.
3. That the process include solicitation of potential names for each ward from Councillors and the general public.
4. That at least one public hearing is held at the Community Council level.
5. That a recommended list of names be presented for adoption by Council.
6. That the final list of names, adopted by Council, replace all ward numbers wherever they are currently used.

Summary

City Council on February 5 to 8, 2007, referred the Motion by Councillor Moscoe, seconded by Councillor De Baeremaeker to the Executive Committee stating that the City of Toronto wards have been designated by numbers, primarily because provincial legislation would not permit the official use of names.

The City of Toronto Act now allows Council the determination of how wards may be designated. This City has grown up around original settlements whose names are woven into the fabric of our urban environment. In the past, Council has expressed a desire to replace ward numbers with names that reflect the settlement and past political history and geography of our neighbourhoods.

Background Information

Designation of City of Toronto Wards
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1937.pdf>

EX6.21	ACTION		Policy	Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--------	-----------

Federal Literacy Funding

(February 15, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Ainslie, Seconded by Councillor Carroll

Recommendations

1. Toronto City Council petition the Government of Canada to reinstate the \$17.7 million in funding for literacy projects/programs to maintain the level of services literacy programs across Canada strive to meet.

Summary

City Council on February 5 to 8, 2007, referred the Motion by Councillor Ainslie, seconded by Councillor Carroll, to the Executive Committee stating that:

the withdrawal of \$17.7 million of federal funding towards literacy programs across Canada will prove to be harmful to institutions and programs campaigning for literacy awareness and teaching the millions of adults to learn how to read.

The City of Toronto campaigns through its libraries special services for children and adults the importance of literacy. Literacy programs are listed on our City website at www.torontopubliclibrary.ca.

The lack of funding from the Federal Government towards literacy programs sends the wrong message to our children and will, in effect, place the burden of cost on local municipalities.

Background Information

Federal Literacy Funding

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1941.pdf>

EX6.22	ACTION			Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--	-----------

Council Support for Post-Secondary Students

(February 8, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Perruzza, seconded by Councillor Vaughan

Recommendations

1. In recognizing education as a basic right of all people and a necessity of the knowledge-based economy, that City Council endorse Ontario Legislative Assembly Bill 12 which, if passed, will keep tuition fees at their current levels until the completion of the fall provincial elections.

2. That City Council petition the Government of Ontario to adopt and implement Bill 12.
3. That members of Council be encouraged to support the National Student Day of Action on February 7, 2007.

Summary

According to Assoc. of Colleges of Applied Arts & Technology of Ontario, more than 70% of new job openings in Canada will require postsecondary education. Despite this, only half of high school students in Ontario go directly to college or university. The vast majority of students who do not attend a post-secondary institution cite the cost of education as the main reason.

This reality is the result of sky-rocketing tuition fees & the debt burden that accompanies them. Tuition fees in Ont have increased by more than 4 times the rate of inflation in the past 15 yrs and are now the 3rd highest in Canada.

To address the problem of high tuition fees, which is acknowledged as a barrier to accessibility, the current Ontario Liberal government ran on a platform of increasing funding to post-secondary education institutions & immediately freezing tuition fees.

The provincial government cancelled the tuition fee freeze & approved a fee increase of 5% to 8% in the year 2006 as part of a 4 yr plan which is likely to increase of 20% to 36% in just 4 yrs.

The Government of Ontario increased student loan limits which will increase average student loan debt for a 4 yr post-secondary programme to approximately \$28,000. Considering the average student debt in Ontario is already the highest in Canada (in excess of \$25,000 after graduation), further fee increases resulting in mounting debt will exacerbate the lack of accessibility to post-secondary education for low and middle-income families.

The Department of Epidemiology at the University of Western Ontario proved that accessibility has been affected by tuition fee increases when it completed a 4 yr survey entitled Study on Accessibility. The study examined participation rates by socio-economic status & documented a 50% decline in the participation rates of low income students. This occurred at the same time as the deregulation of tuition fees for graduate & professional programmes pushed fees up considerably.

A number of organizations including the Canadian Federation of Students, Ontario Confederation of University Faculty Assoc., and the Canadian Union of Public Employees have been calling for the government to continue the tuition fee freeze. This sentiment is reciprocated by the population at large, as 70% of Ontarians support freezing tuition fees and 89% consider post-secondary education a high priority for the government of Ontario according to survey's commissioned by the OCUFA.

Rosario Marchese, MPP for Trinity-Spadina, has presented Bill 12 No Tuition Hikes Act, 2005 to the Legislative Assembly of Ontario. The bill calls for no tuition fee increases until voters have had a chance to decide on the matter in the upcoming Ontario election in October 2007 & has passed 1st reading.

With over 169 589 post-secondary students currently studying in the GTA, the impact of this fee increase on Toronto families is far reaching.

Mayor of Toronto, David Miller was elected with a strong mandate from the people of Toronto who want government to provide opportunities for them to improve their quality of life that allow them to better contribute to Toronto communities & the success of the City. Part of this mandate is to lobby the government for accessible post-secondary education, which Mayor Miller summarized by stating:

“I pledge to represent the tens of thousands of students that are enrolled in Toronto’s post-secondary institutions by demanding that the Premier of Ontario, Minister of Education, Minister of Training, Colleges & Universities, and the Federal Government of Canada freeze tuition fees and develop a long-term strategy to reduce tuition fees.”

Toronto City Council should join the other 10 Municipalities, including Halifax, NS, Kamloops, BC, and Sudbury, On, that are urging their respective provincial governments to make post-secondary education affordable.

Background Information

Council Support for Post-Secondary Students

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1954.pdf>

EX6.23	ACTION			Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--	-----------

Prohibiting Lobbyists from Contributing to Municipal Election Campaigns

(February 9, 2007) letter from Councillor Cesar Palacio

Recommendations

Recommending that:

With the passage of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*, the Province, responding to a request from the city, provided Council with the authority to ban corporations and trade unions from making a contribution to any candidate for an office on City Council. In keeping with the spirit of this new power, I would like to recommend that the Executive Committee consider the following and ask the Clerk, in conjunction with the Lobbyist Registrar and the City Solicitor, where appropriate, report back on the following:

1. that the Province be requested to amend the *City of Toronto Act* to prohibit lobbyists from making campaign contributions in future municipal elections, similar to the manner in which the City of Toronto is authorized to ban corporations and trade unions from making donations under the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*.

2. that the City Clerk, in consultation with the Lobbyist Registrar, develop a mechanism to make lobbyists ineligible from participating in the 2010 Contribution Rebate Program; and
3. by prohibiting donations from lobbyists as a Council we will be sending a strong, unmistakable message that donations and contributions cannot be used as leverage to gain influence in municipal affairs. This is the right message, and right approach and I urge you to support it.

Summary

Communication proposing that lobbyists be prohibited from contributing to Municipal Campaigns.

Background Information

Prohibiting Lobbyists from Contributing to Municipal Election Campaigns
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1984.pdf>)

Executive Committee

Meeting No.	6	Contact	Patsy Morris, Committee Administrator
Meeting Date	Monday, March 26, 2007	Phone	416-392-9151
Start Time	9:30 AM	E-mail	pmorris@toronto.ca
Location	Committee Room 1, City Hall		

Executive Committee		
Mayor David Miller (Chair) Councillor Joe Pantalone (Vice-Chair) Councillor Brian Ashton Councillor Shelley Carroll	Councillor Glenn De Baeremaeker Councillor Paula Fletcher Councillor Norm Kelly Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby Councillor Giorgio Mammoliti	Councillor Pam McConnell Councillor Joe Mihevc Councillor Howard Moscoe Councillor Kyle Rae

Members of Council and Staff: Please keep this agenda and the accompanying material until the City Council meeting dealing with these matters has ended. **The City Clerk's Office will not provide additional copies.**

Special Assistance for Members of the Public: City staff can arrange for special assistance with some advance notice. If you need special assistance, please call (416-392-8485), TTY 416-338-0889 or e-mail (pmorris@toronto.ca).

Closed Meeting Requirements: If the Executive Committee wants to meet in closed session (privately), a member of the committee must make a motion to do so and give the reason why the Committee has to meet privately. (*City of Toronto Act, 2006*)

Notice to People Writing to the Committee: The City of Toronto collects any personal information in your communication to Toronto City Council or its committees under the City of Toronto Act, 2006 and the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The City collects this information to enable it to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s). Individuals who submit letters and other information should be aware that any personal information in their communication will become part of the public record. The City will make it available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove the personal information. Direct any questions about this collection to the City Clerk's Office, City Hall, 100 Queen St. W. Toronto ON M5H 2N2 or by calling 416-392-8485.

If the Executive Committee wishes to meet in closed session (privately), a motion must be made to do so, and the reason given (*City of Toronto Act, 2006*).

Declarations of Interest under the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act*.

Confirmation of Minutes – February 27, 2007

Speakers/Presentations - A complete list will be distributed at the meeting.

Communications/Reports

1a Framework for a Climate Change and Clean Air Action Plan

(March 22, 2007) report from the Deputy City Manager

Recommendations

1. It is recommended that the Executive Committee request the Deputy City Manager to proceed with public engagement on the framework for a Climate Change and Clean Air Action Plan, and report back to Executive Committee in time for the July 2007 session of City Council.

Financial Impact

The recommended Action Plan will be developed using funds currently contained in the 2007 Toronto Environment Office operating budget, with re-allocation of funds, on an as required basis, from within other 2007 operating budgets. The Action Plan will identify program priorities for ABC&D's and new 2008 operating and capital budget pressures.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Summary

The City of Toronto (the "City") is a committed participant in the growing global effort to address climate change and improve local air quality. This is reflected in the Mayor's call for the development of a comprehensive climate change plan to cut greenhouse gas emissions and the development of an aggressive clean air action plan.

New energy conservation and renewable energy technologies hold great promise for reducing emissions in the future. However, in the short-term we cannot allow greenhouse gas levels to increase and air quality to further deteriorate and we must take action now.

The City, as a government and an urban area, can and must continue to lead by example in efforts towards cleaner air and lower greenhouse gas emissions. By engaging every member of the community and all key sectors in partnership with the City, significant, lasting and positive

change can occur. Through an emphasis on personal responsibility we trigger personal ability and desire to effect change.

In order to ensure that the maximum results possible are attained, a co-ordinated effort on the part of the City's agencies, boards, commissions and divisions ("ABC&D's"), and the urban community of Toronto, including residents and the business sector, will be required. A Climate Change and Clean Air Action Plan (the "Action Plan") that is innovative, reflects progressive ideas and is bold in its implementation will guide the City – both the City government and the Toronto urban area -- in meeting its objectives.

The working title for the Action Plan is "Change is in the Air". A draft framework for the Action Plan is enclosed with this report and will be used as the basis for engaging the citizens of Toronto in discussion and action. The framework provides examples of actions to address the major sources of greenhouse gases and smog-producing emissions in the Toronto urban area and beyond.

This report recommends that Executive Committee direct the Deputy City Manager to proceed with engagement of the public and stakeholders in a review of the framework for a Climate Change and Clean Air Action Plan, and report back to Executive Committee on the outcomes.

Background Information

Framework for a Climate Change and Clean Air Action Plan

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-2418.pdf>)

Change is in the Air

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-2428.pdf>)