
Executive Committee

Meeting No. 2 **Contact** Patsy Morris, Committee Administrator
Meeting Date Monday, January 15, 2007 **Phone** 416-392-9151
Start Time 9:30 AM **E-mail** pmorris@toronto.ca
Location Committee Room 1, City Hall

Attendance

Members of the Executive Committee were present for some or all of the time periods indicated under the section headed "Meeting Sessions", which appears at the end of the Minutes.

Mayor David R. Miller, Chair	X
Councillor Brian Ashton	X
Councillor Shelley Carroll	X
Councillor Glenn De Baeremaeker	X
Councillor Paula Fletcher	X
Councillor Norm Kelly	X
Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby	X
Councillor Giorgio Mammoliti	X
Councillor Pam McConnell	X
Councillor Joe Mihevc	X
Councillor Howard Moscoe	X
Councillor Joe Pantalone, Vice-Chair	X
Councillor Kyle Rae	X

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Executive Committee adopted the Minutes of the Meeting held on December 6, 2006.

EX2.1	Information			
-------	-------------	--	--	--

2007 Operating and Capital Budget Guidelines

(January 8, 2007, report from Mayor David Miller)

Summary

This report provides guidelines to be taken into consideration by City Staff in developing the 2007 Operating and Capital Budget.

- The 2007 Operating Base Budget target be set at a zero net increase over the previous year for all City Programs, Agencies, Boards and Commissions;
- to achieve further service delivery efficiencies, the continuous improvement initiative will be expanded to include program reviews and service rationalization.
- services and service levels that are aligned to my mandate for this term of Council must be protected;
- Only investments in new and enhanced service that deliver on my mandate will be considered;
- given the significant structural deficit, the following measures be considered in order to protect City services in 2007;
 - use Toronto Hydro Revenues (dividends and interest earnings) as a revenue source;
 - use of reserve and reserve funds to balance the 2007 Operating Budget; and
 - Maintain debt affordability targets for 2007 – 2011 per Council direction.

Finally, in accordance with my mandate, I am directing that, in the preparation of the draft budget for presentation to the Budget Committee, the residential property tax increase be generally in line with the City's rate of inflation.

Communications

(January 8, 2007) Report from Mayor David MillerN/A
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/comm/communicationfile-81.pdf>)

1a 2007 Budget Schedule and Process

(January 12, 2007, from the Budget Committee)

Summary

Communication advising that the Budget Committee recommended to the Executive Committee that the Executive Committee direct the Budget Committee to implement the following as part of the 2007 Budget Process: (i) recommend annual capital and operating budgets that align services with the Mayor's mandate and Council's policy agenda; (ii) ensure the efficient use of resources to deliver service results and outcomes; (iii) recommend budgetary strategies to the Executive Committee; and (iv) hear public presentations on behalf of the Executive Committee.

Background Information

ex2.1a

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1080.pdf>

Speaker

Councillor Michael Walker, Ward 22 - St. Paul's

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Executive Committee:

1. directed the Budget Committee to implement the following as part of the 2007 Budget Process:
 - i. to recommend annual capital and operating budgets that align services with the Mayor's mandate and Council's policy agenda;
 - ii. to ensure the efficient use of resources to deliver service results and outcomes;
 - iii. to recommend budgetary strategies to the Executive Committee;
 - iv. to hear public presentations on behalf of the Executive Committee; and
2. received the communication (January 8, 2007) from Mayor David Miller.

EX2.2	ACTION	Amended	Transactional	Wards: All
-------	--------	---------	---------------	------------

Greater Toronto Transportation Authority - City of Toronto Nominations To The Board of Directors

(December 20, 2006) report from Mayor David Miller

Recommendations

Mayor Miller recommends that:

1. the City of Toronto's representation on the board of directors of the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority comprise:
 - a. the Mayor or his designate;
 - b. the Chair of the Toronto Transit Commission;
 - c. the Chair of the Planning and Growth Management Committee; and
 - d. a person to be recommended by the Mayor to Council who has:
 - i. experience in the transit and transportation field;
 - ii. an understanding of infrastructure financing; and
 - iii. knowledge of growth management and regional planning;

Financial Impact

There are no direct financial implications arising from the recommendations of this report.

Summary

The Province of Ontario has established the Great Toronto Transportation Authority with the board of directors to include eleven members, four members of which are to be nominated by Toronto Council. The Minister of Transportation requested Toronto Council nominations by December 31, 2006. The Mayor indicated that Toronto Council would make its recommendations early in 2007.

Background Information

2007-ex2-2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-582.pdf>)

2007-ex2-2b

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-985.pdf>)

2a Greater Toronto Transportation Authority - City of Toronto Nominations to the Board of Directors

(January 8, 2007) from the Minister of Transportation

Summary

Communication (January 8, 2007) from the Minister of Transportation, regarding the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority (GTTA) and the establishment of the GTTA Board of

Directors; and requesting the City of Toronto Council to submit its recommendations for appointments to the GTTA Board of Directors at its earliest convenience.

2b Greater Toronto Transportation Authority (GTTA) - Item EX2.2

(January 15, 2007) from Mayor David Miller

Summary

Communication (January 15, 2007) from Mayor David Miller, advising that it is his intention to recommend Mr. Paul Bedford for a position on the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority Board of Directors.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Executive Committee recommended that:

1. the City of Toronto's representation on the Board of Directors of the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority comprise:
 - a. the Mayor or his designate;
 - b. the Chair of the Toronto Transit Commission;
 - c. the Chair of the Planning and Growth Management Committee; and
 - d. Mr. Paul Bedford, the person being recommended by the Mayor, who has:
 - i. experience in the transit and transportation field;
 - ii. an understanding of infrastructure financing; and
 - iii. knowledge of growth management and regional planning.

EX2.3	ACTION		Policy	Wards: All
-------	--------	--	--------	------------

Designation of Certain Officials under New Statutory Accountability Requirements

(January 2, 2007) report from the City Manager

Recommendations

The City Manager recommends that:

1. City Council deem the current Auditor General, Mr. Jeffrey Griffiths, to be the auditor general for the purposes of Part V of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*;
2. City Council authorize the Auditor General's mandate be expanded to permit undertaking reviews of operations of City agencies at the request of their boards and any resulting recommendations be submitted to the respective board;
3. City Council designate the Auditor General to be the City representative under the shareholder directions for Toronto Hydro Corporation, Toronto Community Housing

Corporation, and their subsidiaries; such representative to be permitted full access to the corporations' records for audit purposes;

4. City Council direct the Auditor General to report on audits of City and partnered corporations as follows:
 - a. to the City's Executive Committee for recommendations regarding shareholder issues; and
 - b. to the corporation's board of directors for recommendations regarding operations or other responsibilities of the board, with a copy submitted to the City Manager;
5. City Council deem the current Integrity Commissioner, Mr. David Mullan, to be the integrity commissioner for the purposes of Part V of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006* to carry out the duties in Appendix A; and
6. City Council deem the Members' Code of Conduct originally adopted in 1999 and as amended in September 2006 to be the code of conduct as required under Part V of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*.

Financial Impact:

There are no material financial impacts arising from the recommendations. The financial impact of establishing a lobbyist registry and an ombudsman will be reported separately.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to bring the City into compliance with new accountability provisions that come into effect with the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

Background Information

2007-ex2-3

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-583.pdf>)

Speakers

Councillor Ron Moeser, Ward 44 - Scarborough East;
Councillor Michael Walker, Ward 22 – St Paul's.

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommended that:

1. City Council deem the current Auditor General, Mr. Jeffrey Griffiths, to be the auditor general for the purposes of Part V of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*;

2. City Council authorize the Auditor General's mandate be expanded to permit undertaking reviews of operations of City agencies at the request of their boards and any resulting recommendations be submitted to the respective board;
3. City Council designate the Auditor General to be the City representative under the shareholder direction for the Toronto Community Housing Corporation, and its subsidiaries; such representative to be permitted full access to the corporations' records for audit purposes; **(Motion by Deputy Mayor Pantalone)**
4. City Council direct the Auditor General to report on audits of City and partnered corporations as follows:
 - a. to the City's Executive Committee for recommendations regarding shareholder issues; and
 - b. to the corporation's board of directors for recommendations regarding operations or other responsibilities of the board, with a copy submitted to the City Manager;
5. City Council deem the current Integrity Commissioner, Mr. David Mullan, to be the integrity commissioner for the purposes of Part V of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006* to carry out the duties in Appendix A;
6. City Council deem the Members' Code of Conduct originally adopted in 1999 and as amended in September 2006 to be the code of conduct as required under Part V of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*, and come into full effect once Council has adopted an appeal and defence mechanism for Members of Council; **(Motion by Councillor Moscoe)** and
7. City Council designate the Auditor General to be the City's representative under the shareholder direction for Toronto Hydro Corporation (THC) with the representative's role being limited to where staff has not been able to obtain the necessary information to evaluate its concern through the Shareholder Direction reporting mechanisms or through inquiries to officers or staff or through a request from City Council to the directors of THC and further, that the access right not be exercised until City Council has first issued a separate direction to the Auditor General in relation to a perceived breach by THC of the provisions of the Shareholder Direction and with such access right being limited to those books and records relating to the perceived violation.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Ashton, the Executive Committee requested the City Manager to report back to the Executive Committee on the current status of the Toronto Hydro Shareholders' Agreement in meeting the City's goals and objectives.

The following motion by Deputy Mayor Pantalone was voted on and lost:

That the motion by Councillor Moscoe be referred to the City manager for a report directly to Council on February 5, 2007, such report to provide possible solutions to the issues raised in his motion.

EX2.4	ACTION	Amended	Policy	Wards: All
-------	--------	---------	--------	------------

Lobbying Control Framework

(December 21, 2006) report from the City Manager

Recommendations

The City Manager recommends that:

1. City Council approve the draft by-law to add Chapter 140, Lobbying, to the Municipal Code as set out in Appendix 1 of this report, and that authority be given to introduce the necessary bill in Council; and
2. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact:

Fund in the amount of \$0.241 million have been included in a program budget for a Council-appointed 'Lobbyist Registrar' and form part of the 2007 Recommended Operating Budget to be considered by City Council in April 2007. This provides part year funding for the Lobbyist Registrar and an administrative assistant. The Lobbyist Registrar will report to Council as soon as practical on the staffing requirements and other expenses for an Office of the Lobbyist Registrar, including further funding required in 2007 and full year impacts in 2008. Provision has been made in the non-program account for an in-year budget adjustment, as required.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Summary

This report sets out a lobbying control framework for the City, encompassing a lobbyists' code of conduct, lobbyist registry, offence provisions, and responsibilities of the lobbyist registrar. The lobbying control framework brings the City into compliance with the requirements in Part V of the City of Toronto Act, 2006 and responds to recommendations from Commissioner Denise Bellamy resulting from the Toronto Computer Leasing Inquiry.

Background Information

2007-ex2-4-1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-196.pdf>

2007-ex2-4-2

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-584.pdf>

Speakers

Councillor Denzil Minnan-Wong, Ward 34 – Don Valley East;
 Councillor David Shiner, Ward 24 – Willowdale;
 Councillor Michael Walker, Ward 22 – St. Paul's; and
 Mr. Tim Lambrinos, Adult Entertainment Association of Canada.

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommended that:

1. City Council approve the draft by-law to add Chapter 140, Lobbying, to the Municipal Code as set out in Appendix 1 of this report, and that authority be given to introduce the necessary bill in Council;
2. details of Lobbyist registration be made available to Members of Council, staff and the general public and they be posted within two business days after the lobbying has occurred;
3. Members of Council be entitled to a timely official opinion about the status of a potential lobbyist prior to meeting with any individual;
4. the Lobbyist Registrar and the City Manager report to the Executive Committee on refinements to the Lobbyist Registry after it has been in operation for six months;
(Motions by Councillor Moscoe)
5. the following motion be referred to the Lobbyist Registrar and the City Manager for report as part of the 6 months review:

Moved by Councillor Mammoliti:

“That the same requirements that are placed on lobbyists relating to communications with Members of Council and their staff also be placed on communications with City of Toronto staff;” and

6. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The following motion by Councillor Kelly was voted on and lost:

That the City Manager be requested to submit a report directly to Council on options requiring labour unions to register when lobbying elected officials.

EX2.5	ACTION	Amended	Policy	Wards: All
-------	--------	---------	--------	------------

Delegation of Certain Matters to Community Councils

(January 2, 2007) report from the City Manager

Recommendations

The City Manager recommends that:

1. City Council delegate the legislative authority for the local routine matters described in Appendix A (the “Matters”) to Community Councils effective March 2007, subject to the necessary statutory powers being in effect and subject to the following conditions;
 - a. The delegated authority to act with respect to the Matters applies only when such Matters, in the opinion of the City Manager, do not have City-wide significance and do not affect more than one Community Council;
 - b. City Council retains the ability to revoke the delegation to Community Councils by by-law at any time;
 - c. Subject to (a) and (b), the legislative authority to act with respect to the Matters is fully delegated to Community Councils, and City Council retains no authority to act with respect to the Matters;
 - d. The delegated authority to Community Councils includes the power to pass any necessary by-laws with respect to the Matters, and all by-laws enacted by Community Councils under this delegated authority have the full force and effect as if enacted by City Council, including any necessary amendments to the Municipal Code;
2. City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 27, Council Procedures, be amended to:
 - a. give effect to the delegation set out in Recommendation 1;
 - b. make other amendments required to give effect to the delegation to Community Councils, including:
 - i. to allow Community Councils to re-open and to reconsider final decisions under the same rules as apply to City Council;
 - ii. to provide a process for Community Councils to pass by-laws;
 - iii. to make applicable to Community Councils certain other rules effecting final decision-making which currently only apply to City Council; and
3. Authority be granted to the City Solicitor to submit any bills required to enact the delegation of authority to Community Councils including all necessary amendments to City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 27, Council Procedures, and other chapters in

the Municipal Code and other by-laws, generally in accordance with the report recommendations and Appendix A, and subject to any necessary technical adjustments.

Financial Impact:

There is no financial impact as a result of this report. The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Summary

The City of Toronto Act provides authority to City Council to delegate certain final-decision-making powers to Community Councils. This report proposes delegation of authority to Community Councils of some routine local matters, subject to the necessary statutory powers being in effect, to improve local decision-making.

The City of Toronto Act prohibits delegation of the majority of planning matters including adopting official plans and official plan amendments, passing zoning by-laws and adopting community improvement plans. A few matters are not recommended for delegation to Community Councils as they have City-wide implications. These include exemptions issued under Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 813, Trees and listing on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties. Some minor administrative matters that are recommended for delegation to Community Councils could likely be further delegated to City Officers. These matters will be evaluated for further delegation to staff and reported out in the second quarter of 2007.

Background Information

2007-ex2-5-1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-586.pdf>

2007-ex2-5-2

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-585.pdf>

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommended that:

1. City Council delegate the legislative authority for the local routine matters described in Appendix A (the "Matters") to Community Councils effective March 2007, subject to the necessary statutory powers being in effect and subject to the following conditions;
 - a. the delegated authority to act with respect to the Matters applies only when such Matters, in the opinion of the City Manager, do not have City-wide significance and do not affect more than one Community Council;
 - b. City Council retains the ability to revoke the delegation to Community Councils by by-law at any time;
 - c. subject to (a) and (b), the legislative authority to act with respect to the Matters is fully delegated to Community Councils, and City Council retains no authority to act with respect to the Matters;

- d. the delegated authority to Community Councils includes the power to pass any necessary by-laws with respect to the Matters, and all by-laws enacted by Community Councils under this delegated authority have the full force and effect as if enacted by City Council, including any necessary amendments to the Municipal Code;
 - e. Table 1 contained in Appendix A under the heading “Bike Lanes, Delegation to Community Councils for Final Decision” being amended to read as follows:

“Proposals and decisions on local, collector, minor and major arterial roads compliant with City by-laws and policies;”; **(Motion by Councillor De Baeremaeker)**
 - f. Table 1 contained in Appendix A under the heading “Bike Lanes, Limitations to Delegation” being amended to read as follows:

“Proposals and decisions on local, collector, minor and major arterial roads that deviate from City by-laws and policies must be approved by Council;”; **(Motion by Councillor De Baeremaeker)**
 - g. Appendix A being amended to require Council approval on local collector and arterial roads if the road includes a transit route for matters involving on-street parking/standing/stopping, on-street permit parking, on-street traffic regulations, road alterations and traffic calming; **(Motion by Deputy Mayor Pantalone)**
2. City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 27, Council Procedures, be amended to:
 - a. give effect to the delegation set out in Recommendation 1;
 - b. make other amendments required to give effect to the delegation to Community Councils, including:
 - i. to allow Community Councils to re-open and to reconsider final decisions under the same rules as apply to City Council;
 - ii. to provide a process for Community Councils to pass by-laws;
 - iii. to make applicable to Community Councils certain other rules effecting final decision-making which currently only apply to City Council; and
3. authority be granted to the City Solicitor to submit any bills required to enact the delegation of authority to Community Councils including all necessary amendments to City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 27, Council Procedures, and other chapters in the Municipal Code and other by-laws, generally in accordance with the report recommendations and Appendix A, and subject to any necessary technical adjustments;

4. the Province of Ontario be requested to file regulations, as provided in the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*, by March 27, 2007 to provide authority to the City of Toronto to delegate to a person or body, its powers and duties with respect to the following matters under the following Acts:
- a. speed changes, restrictions and reductions provided for in the Highway Traffic Act R.S.O. 1990;
 - b. installation of stop signs provided for in the Highway Traffic Act R.S.O. 1990;
 - c. designation of fire routes provided for in the Fire Prevention and Protection Act, 1997;
 - d. designation of events of municipal significance for issuing special occasion permits provided for in the Liquor License Act R.S.O. 1990;
 - e. powers provided for in the Ontario Heritage Act R.S.O. 1990; and
 - f. the delegation of the above-mentioned matters (a) to (e) come into effect when the necessary regulations are filed by the Province of Ontario. **(Motion by Mayor Miller)**

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Mayor Miller, the Executive Committee requested the City Manager to report directly to Council for its meeting to be held on February 5, 2007, with recommendations on delegating the above-mentioned matters (contained in Committee Recommendation 4.) to Community Councils and amending Appendix A contained in the report (January 2, 2007) from the City Manager, as necessary.

The Executive Committee held a public meeting on January 15, 2007, in accordance with the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*, and notice of the proposed amendments to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 27, Council procedures, was posted on the City's web site for a minimum of 5 days.

EX2.6	ACTION	Amended	Transactional	Wards: All
-------	--------	---------	---------------	------------

Authority to Execute Contribution Agreements with the Government of Canada for Transit-Secure Funding and with the Government of Ontario for Transit Technology and Infrastructure Program Funding

(December 21, 2006) report from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Recommendations

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer recommends that:

1. the Mayor, Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the City Clerk be authorized to execute Contribution Agreements for transit-related security initiatives under the Transit-Secure (TS) Passenger Rail and Transportation Safety Program between the City of Toronto, the Toronto Transit Commission and Her Majesty The Queen in Right of Canada in accordance with the terms described herein;
2. the Mayor, Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the City Clerk be authorized to execute a Contribution Agreement under the Transit Technology and Infrastructure Program (TTIP) between the City of Toronto, the Toronto Transit Commission and Her Majesty The Queen in Right of Ontario in accordance with the terms described herein; and
3. the appropriate officials be authorized and directed to take necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact

Transit-Secure Funding

Upon execution of this agreement, total Transit-Secure funding eligible under Round 1 will be \$1.463 million. Funding is expected to be received for \$.558 million for 2006 projects, \$.595 million for 2007 projects and \$.310 million for 2008 projects. All of the projects for which Transit-Secure funding is expected to be received are in the TTC's proposed 2007-2011 Capital Budget.

Transit Technology and Infrastructure Program (TTIP)

Total TTIP funding will be \$33.7 million which represents one third of the cost of \$101 million for projects including Kennedy Station improvements, Bus Roadway improvements to Finch Station, the BRT from Downsview to York University, future computer information systems needs, continuous speed control, work on the transit control centre and other transit priorities. All of the projects for which Transit Technology and Infrastructure Program funding is expected to be received are in the TTC's proposed 2007-2011 Capital Budget.

Summary

This report recommends that staff be authorized to execute transit capital funding Contribution Agreements under the Transit-Secure program between the City, the TTC and the Federal Government. This report also recommends that staff be authorized to execute a transit capital funding Contribution Agreement under the TTIP program between the City, the TTC and the Provincial Government.

The Transit-Secure program is a federal Ministry of Transport, Infrastructure and Communities program which has approximately \$80 million to support and enhance Canada's transportation security infrastructure. The program covers expenditures on security initiatives during the period June 2006 to March 2008. The TTC has received approval under Round 1 of Transit-

Secure for \$1.463 million. The Transit Technology and Infrastructure Program (TTIP), which was formerly the Golden Horseshoe Transit Improvement Partnerships (GTIP), is part of the Ontario Ministry of Transportation's transit investment plan. A total of \$33.7 million in funding has been approved under TTIP towards TTC expansion and improvement capital projects during the period 2002 to March 2007.

These Contribution Agreements will be signed in early 2007. By signing these agreements, the City can receive funding before the end of the federal and provincial governments' year ends on March 31, 2007.

Background Information

2007-ex2-6

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-587.pdf>

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommended that:

1. the Mayor, Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the City Clerk be authorized to execute Contribution Agreements for transit-related security initiatives under the Transit-Secure (TS) Passenger Rail and Transportation Safety Program between the City of Toronto, the Toronto Transit Commission and Her Majesty The Queen in Right of Canada in accordance with the terms described herein;
2. the Mayor, Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the City Clerk be authorized to execute a Contribution Agreement under the Transit Technology and Infrastructure Program (TTIP) between the City of Toronto, the Toronto Transit Commission and Her Majesty The Queen in Right of Ontario in accordance with the terms described herein;
3. the TTC request their staff to meet with Transport Canada staff to review the conclusions and recommendations from the recently completed - and Transport Canada funded - Threat, Risk and Vulnerability Assessment (TRVA); **(Motion by Councillor Moscoe)**
4. future phases of Transit-Secure funding take into account this TRVA and transit ridership particularly noting that TTC carries more customers than any other transit system in Canada and that TTC's subway infrastructure is highly integrated with its surface network and along with its subway and surface vehicles require an appropriate proportion of funding to address these security programs; **(Motion by Councillor Moscoe)**
5. the Government of Canada be requested to modify the current Transit-Secure program to include additional funds, to expand the scope of the program and, extend its intended duration beyond March 31, 2008; **(Motion by Councillor Moscoe)** and
6. the appropriate officials be authorized and directed to take necessary actions to give effect thereto.

EX2.7	ACTION		Policy	Wards: All
-------	--------	--	--------	------------

Enwave Capital Call - Funding Source

Confidential - Attachment regarding the security of the property of the municipality or local board

(January 2, 2007) report from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Recommendations

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial officer recommends that Council direct that the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer fund the City's participation in an anticipated 2007 Enwave Energy Corporation ("Enwave") capital call (amount identified in confidential Attachment 1 to this report) from the City's "Strategic Infrastructure Partnership Reserve Fund Account".

Financial Impact:

Approval of the recommendation embodied in this report will result in an increased City financial investment in Enwave and retention of the current shareholding at 43 percent. The recommended source of funds is the Capital Strategic Infrastructure Partnership Reserve Fund Account, which will have a sufficient balance in 2007 to fund this investment, and so will not result in any increase in City debt. The related dollar amounts are outlined in confidential Attachment 1 to this report.

Summary

The City owns 43% of Enwave Energy Corporation ("Enwave"), with Council having previously resolved to maintain its shareholding at this level. (Council, February 4, 2003, Report No. 1, Clause 7 of the Policy and Finance Committee <http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/2003/agendas/council/cc030204/pof1rpt/cl007.pdf>).

Enwave's district cooling business includes its Deep Lake Water Cooling project ("DLWC"), and is a growing business. During its developmental phase, for project financing purposes Enwave has required periodic infusions of equity capital (in addition to bank debt), which have been satisfied through requests of its shareholders for additional investment capital ("capital calls"). A final capital call in support of DLWC is anticipated to be issued in February 2007. This report recommends that the City fund its participation in this capital call from the "Strategic Infrastructure Partnership Reserve Fund Account".

Background Information

2007-ex2-7

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1003.pdf>

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommended that Council direct that the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer fund the City's participation in an anticipated 2007 Enwave Energy Corporation ("Enwave") capital call (amount identified in confidential Attachment 1 to this report) from the City's "Strategic Infrastructure Partnership Reserve Fund Account.

EX2.8	ACTION		Policy	
-------	--------	--	--------	--

Deploying the City's Heavy Urban Search And Rescue (HUSAR) Team outside Ontario

(January 2, 2007) report from Toronto Fire Services

Recommendations

Toronto Fire Services recommends that:

1. City Council authorize staff to draw up an agreement with Ontario's Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services and the federal Department of Public Safety. The agreement will enable the City to deploy a HUSAR team anywhere in Canada, when needed. The Department of Public Safety will reimburse the City for all costs associated with heavy urban search and rescue;
2. City Council authorize the Fire Chief to deploy the team internationally if this is approved by both Canadian and international authorities;
3. the Fire Chief and the City Solicitor ensure that the agreement is satisfactory; and
4. City Council authorize the Fire Chief to sign the agreement and any related documents on behalf of the City.

Financial Impact

If there is a need to deploy the HUSAR team outside Toronto, there will be no financial impact on Toronto Fire Services. The federal Department of Public Safety will reimburse all costs of deploying the team, including replacing or repairing supplies and equipment.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Summary

Toronto Fire Services has a Heavy Urban Search and Rescue (HUSAR) team that can respond to large-scale structural collapse and other urban emergencies in an organized and timely way. Right now, the Province pays for equipment and training to respond to emergencies in Toronto and throughout Ontario as needed. The proposed agreement will allow the HUSAR team to

offer help outside the province, when needed. Council's approval of this agreement with the Federal Government and Province will ensure full cost recovery when the Toronto HUSAR team deploys anywhere in Canada outside Toronto boundaries.

Background Information

2007-ex2-8

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-588.pdf>

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommended that:

1. City Council authorize staff to draw up an agreement with Ontario's Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services and the federal Department of Public Safety. The agreement will enable the City to deploy a HUSAR team anywhere in Canada, when needed. The Department of Public Safety will reimburse the City for all costs associated with heavy urban search and rescue;
2. City Council authorize the Fire Chief to deploy the team internationally if this is approved by both Canadian and international authorities;
3. the Fire Chief and the City Solicitor ensure that the agreement is satisfactory; and
4. City Council authorize the Fire Chief to sign the agreement and any related documents on behalf of the City.

EX2.9	ACTION		Transactional	Wards: All
-------	--------	--	---------------	------------

2007 Temporary Borrowing Authority

(December 19, 2006) report from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Recommendations

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer recommends that:

1. Council authorize the temporary borrowing limit to meet 2007 current expenditures, pending receipt of tax levies and other revenues, be established at \$300,000,000;
2. Council authorize the temporary borrowing limit for capital purposes for 2007 be established at \$550,000,000;
3. authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bills in Council by the City Solicitor to give effect to the foregoing; and
4. the appropriate City of Toronto officials be authorized to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact

If the entire authority is utilized during 2007, the maximum financial impact would be approximately \$400,000 in interest charges that would be reflected in the 2007 Operating Budget in the area of non-program – temporary borrowing.

Summary

This report requests Council's authority to temporarily borrow funds, if required, pending the receipt of tax revenues and the issuance and sale of debentures and bank loans during 2007.

Background Information

2007-ex2-9

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-589.pdf>)

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommended that:

1. Council authorize the temporary borrowing limit to meet 2007 current expenditures, pending receipt of tax levies and other revenues, be established at \$300,000,000;
2. Council authorize the temporary borrowing limit for capital purposes for 2007 be established at \$550,000,000;
3. authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bills in Council by the City Solicitor to give effect to the foregoing; and
4. the appropriate City of Toronto officials be authorized to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Executive Committee requested the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the City Solicitor to report to the Executive Committee with appropriate recommendations to allow Council to delegate to the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer the temporary borrowing limits for each remaining year of the current term of Council, such report to include past history pertaining to this matter.

EX2.10	ACTION		Policy	Wards: 5
--------	--------	--	--------	----------

Capital Funding for the Kipling / Islington Redevelopment Initiative

(December 21, 2006) report from Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Recommendations

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer recommends that:

1. City Council approve the Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative and the concepts for improvements to the Kipling and Islington Stations shown in the December 13, 2006 TTC report “Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Strategy – Status of Cost-sharing Discussions”, subject to confirmation of cost-sharing commitments of MT, GO, GTTA , and the Province;
2. the 2007 – 2011 Capital Plan for the TTC be increased from \$3,300,000 to \$58,400,000 gross; zero net, with cash flows of:
 - \$8,500,000 gross, funded by the Land Acquisition Reserve Fund (LARF) in 2007;
 - \$30,700,000 gross, funded in the amount of \$9,000,000 by the LARF and \$21,700,000 from other stakeholders in 2008;
 - \$14,500,000 gross, funded from other stakeholders in 2009; and
 - \$4,700,000 gross, funded from other stakeholders in 2010;
3. proceeds from the sale of the Islington lands to SNC, as well as potential proceeds from the proposed sale of (Phase II) Islington lands and the Westwood lands, be used to repay the LARF;
4. City and TTC staff continue to negotiate the cost-sharing arrangements necessary for these projects to proceed with Mississauga Transit (MT), GO Transit (GO), Greater Toronto Transportation Authority (GTTA), and Provincial staff;
5. City Council forward its recommendations on the Kipling Islington Redevelopment Initiative to the GTTA and request priority funding for the proposed inter-regional transit project at Kipling Station;
6. the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the Chair of the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) write to the Minister of Transport, Infrastructure and Communities requesting the Federal government to contribute a share of the total budget for these important inter-regional transit improvements; and
7. the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be directed to provide a further report back to Committee and City Council should alternative cost-sharing allocations be suggested or if negotiations fail to result in full funding of the capital costs of the Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative.

Financial Impact

It is currently estimated that the proposed Kipling/Islington development will have a total capital cost of \$58,400,000. The TTC has proposed that these costs be shared between the City and other stakeholders (MT, GO, GTTA and the Province) as shown in the table below. According to this proposed cost-sharing arrangement, the City's share of the costs will be \$17,500,000 or approximately 30% of the total amount.

	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total
Total Gross Expenditure	8.5M	30.7M	14.5M	4.7M	58.4M
Source of Funding					
City/ LARF*	8.5M	9.0M			17.5M
Other Stakeholders		21.7M	14.5M	4.7M	40.9M
Total Source of Funding	8.5M	30.7M	14.5M	4.7M	58.4M

* \$1,700,000 in pre-approved spending authority; debt-funded was approved by City Council at its meeting of September 25, 26, and 27, 2006 to proceed with design work required for the Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative.

The City's expenditure of \$17,500,000 will be contingent on the other stakeholders' agreement to the proposed cost-sharing arrangement. Although discussions have taken place with the other stakeholders no funding commitments have yet been received.

If sufficient commitments are received from the other stakeholders, the City will proceed to fund its \$17,500,000 contribution by drawing on the LARF rather than increasing its overall debt financing.

The LARF will be reimbursed through any proceeds that are realized from the sale of lands made available for redevelopment through the relocation of the Islington Station bus terminal. Facilities & Real Estate staff has forecast that these land sales revenues can potentially more than offset the City's \$17,500,000 contribution towards this project.

At this early stage of design and planning, there is still potential for variability in the ultimate construction and land acquisition costs. An approach to allocating the impact of any cost increases has not yet been discussed with each of the stakeholders.

In addition, it is anticipated that decreases in parking revenues to the TTC may result from the completion of these projects that may require further adjustment to the TTC budget. The extent of these reductions is dependent upon negotiations with SNC and Hydro One.

Summary

Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative consists of the construction of a new inter-regional bus terminal at Kipling for shared use of MT & GO, a new accessible TTC bus terminal at Islington, and consequent replacement of accessory transit facilities & commuter parking. This

Redevelopment Initiative is the culmination of a study over the past 3 years of how new transit facilities at Kipling/Islington could improve inter-regional transit movements and create opportunities for intensification & redevelopment adjacent to these 2 subway stations.

This report recommends approval of the Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative and allocation of capital funding of \$17,500,000, the City's proposed share of the total \$58,400,000 budget for the Redevelopment Initiative, subject to confirmation that Mississauga Transit (MT), GO Transit and Province of Ontario and/or the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority (GTTA) will make the capital contributions required of them. City Council has on the same agenda for approval a TTC report & recommendations adopted Dec. 13, 2006, on this redevelopment strategy and a cost-sharing arrangement with MT, GO, GTTA and the Province for these projects. The City's share would be funded from the Land Acquisition Reserve Fund, resulting in no new debt for the City. Proceeds from the sale of lands to SNC-Lavalin Group Inc. (SNC) and from the possible sale of two other properties (Phase II Islington/Westwood lands) would be repaid to the LARF.

Background Information

2007-ex2-10.1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1004.pdf>)

2007-ex2-10

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-604.pdf>)

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommended that:

1. City Council approve the Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative and the concepts for improvements to the Kipling and Islington Stations shown in the December 13, 2006 TTC report "Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Strategy – Status of Cost-sharing Discussions", subject to confirmation of cost-sharing commitments of MT, GO, GTTA, and the Province;
2. the 2007 – 2011 Capital Plan for the TTC be increased from \$3,300,000 to \$58,400,000 gross; zero net, with cash flows of:
 - \$8,500,000 gross, funded by the Land Acquisition Reserve Fund (LARF) in 2007;
 - \$30,700,000 gross, funded in the amount of \$9,000,000 by the LARF and \$21,700,000 from other stakeholders in 2008;
 - \$14,500,000 gross, funded from other stakeholders in 2009; and
 - \$4,700,000 gross, funded from other stakeholders in 2010;
3. proceeds from the sale of the Islington lands to SNC, as well as potential proceeds from the proposed sale of (Phase II) Islington lands and the Westwood lands, be used to repay the LARF;

4. City and TTC staff continue to negotiate the cost-sharing arrangements necessary for these projects to proceed with Mississauga Transit (MT), GO Transit (GO), Greater Toronto Transportation Authority (GTTA), and Provincial staff;
5. City Council forward its recommendations on the Kipling Islington Redevelopment Initiative to the GTTA and request priority funding for the proposed inter-regional transit project at Kipling Station;
6. the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the Chair of the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) write to the Minister of Transport, Infrastructure and Communities requesting the Federal government to contribute a share of the total budget for these important inter-regional transit improvements; and
7. the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be directed to provide a further report back to Committee and City Council should alternative cost-sharing allocations be suggested or if negotiations fail to result in full funding of the capital costs of the Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative.

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Executive Committee received the communication (December 14, 2006) from The General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission.

10a Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Strategy – Status of Cost Sharing Discussions

(December 14, 2006) letter from The General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission

Recommendations

The Commission unanimously approved the Recommendation contained in the report, as listed below:

“It is recommended that the Commission:

- (1) approve in principle, the cost sharing formula for the City of Toronto contribution to the Kipling and Islington bus terminal projects outlined in this report as the basis of discussions with Mississauga Transit (MT), GO Transit and the Province of Ontario;
- (2) forward this report directly to the City Executive Committee at its meeting of January 2007;
- (3) direct TTC staff continue to work cooperatively with City, MT, GO, GTTA and Provincial staff with respect to the funding and implementation of the Kipling and Islington projects and the proposed SNC development at Bloor-Islington;

- (4) forward this report to the GTTA for support and consideration of priority funding as an interregional transit initiative; and
- (5) authorize the Chair to write to the Federal Government requesting a Federal contribution to the Kipling/Islington Capital Projects.”

The foregoing is forwarded to the City Executive Committee for consideration and support at its meeting on January 15, 2007.

Summary

At its meeting on Wednesday, December 13, 2006, the Toronto Transit Commission considered the attached report entitled, “Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Strategy – Status of Cost Sharing Discussions.”

Communications

(December 14, 2006) Report from General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission 1-16
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/comm/communicationfile-63.pdf>

EX2.11	ACTION		Policy	Wards: All
--------	--------	--	--------	------------

Ratification of the Canada-Ontario-Toronto Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on Immigration and Settlement

(December 18, 2006) report from the City Manager

Recommendations

The City Manager recommends that:

1. Council ratify the Memorandum of Understanding between Her Majesty in Right of Canada (“Canada”), Her Majesty in Right of the Province of Ontario (“Ontario”), and the City of Toronto (“Toronto”) dated the 29th day of September, 2006 which provides the framework for formalized discussions between the three governments with respect to immigration and settlement issues in Toronto; and
2. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take all necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact:

There are no financial impacts associated with the recommendations in this report.

Summary

On September 29, 2006 the Mayor signed a Canada-Ontario-Toronto Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on behalf of the City of Toronto that provides for tri-level collaboration on immigration and settlement, subject to ratification by City Council. The federal and

provincial signatories were Minister of Citizenship and Immigration Canada Monte Solberg and the Minister of Citizenship and Immigration Mike Colle respectively.

Background Information

2007-ex2-11-2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-590.pdf>)

2007-ex2-11-1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-591.pdf>)

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommended that:

1. Council ratify the Memorandum of Understanding between Her Majesty in Right of Canada (“Canada”), Her Majesty in Right of the Province of Ontario (“Ontario”), and the City of Toronto (“Toronto”) dated the 29th day of September, 2006 which provides the framework for formalized discussions between the three governments with respect to immigration and settlement issues in Toronto; and
2. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take all necessary actions to give effect thereto.

EX2.12	ACTION	Referred		Wards: 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44
---------------	--------	----------	--	---

Scarborough and the Media - Request for a Media Fairness Protocol

(October 10, 2006) Member Motion from Councillor Kelly, seconded by Councillor Thompson

Recommendations

It is recommended that City Council request:

1. the media to stop using the name Scarborough when reporting crime that takes place east of Victoria Park Avenue; and
2. the print and broadcast media to sign a protocol, when crime is reported east of Victoria Park Avenue, to use only the street name, community, or nearest arterial intersection, as is done in other areas of the City of Toronto.

Summary

This motion recommends that City Council request the media to stop using the name Scarborough when reporting crime that takes place east of Victoria Park and to use only the street name, community, or nearest arterial intersection, as is done in other areas of the City of Toronto. In September 2006, City Council referred this Motion to the Executive Committee.

Background Information

2007-ex2-12

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-592.pdf>**Communications**

(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Catherine Eichstedt
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Clare Canning
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Dan Devion
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from David Airth
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Deborah Bodine
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Dennis Campbell
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Donald Blair
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Eli Arkin
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Gina Vasiliadis
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Henrietta Akit
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Herb Hornig
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Jean White
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Jen Ricci
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from John Van Wiechen
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Laura Brown
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Lois and George Cairns
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Lynda McVarish
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Margaret and Bob Crow
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Mary Banks
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Mary Ramsay
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Norm and Terry Clements
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Paul and Josie Kizoff
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Rocco Iannuzziello
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Sherri Somerville
(January 10, 2007) e-mail from Walt Whittaker
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Alfonsina Lucchetta
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Barbara Chin
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Benny Nazzaro
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Bill Guo
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Carole Ireland
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Chris Ulrich
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Darlene Dimock
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Dave McCorquodale
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Dennis Helm
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Elizabeth and Tom Richardson
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Emilia De Marinis
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Florence Verendia
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Frisca Ozorio
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Gloria Kulin
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Gordon Blackwell
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from James McVarish
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Jane Pinto Family
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Javier Melo

(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Joe Kalloo
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from John Potter
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Joseph VanderElst
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Ken Shino
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Laurette Wijetunga
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Luca Rotta-Loria
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Luigi Nunno
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Luis Moura
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from MaryAnne Sieber
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Patricia Mikell
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Reginald A. J. Rego
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Stephen Da Cambra
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Susann Casasanta
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Suzanne Eldridge
(January 11, 2007) e-mail from Yolanda O. Torres
(January 12, 2007) e-mail from Allan and Una Mawson
(January 12, 2007) e-mail from Andrew and Holly Allardyce
(January 12, 2007) e-mail from Councillor Adrian Heaps
(January 12, 2007) e-mail from David Nuernberger
(January 12, 2007) e-mail from Don and Helen Steven
(January 12, 2007) e-mail from Edward G. Robinson
(January 12, 2007) e-mail from J. Alan Burton
(January 12, 2007) e-mail from Marlyn Julien
(January 12, 2007) e-mail from Patrick Pinto
(January 15, 2007) e-mail from Holly McKean
(January 18, 2007) e-mail from Charlene Kopansky
(January 12, 2007) e-mail from Councillor Case Ootes.

Speakers

Councillor Ron Moeser, Ward 44 – Scarborough East

Councillor Michael Thompson, Ward 37 – Scarborough Centre

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor De Baeremaeker, the Executive Committee referred the communication (October 10, 2006) from the City Clerk respecting the motion by Councillor Norm Kelly seconded by Councillor Michael Thompson, together with all communications pertaining thereto, to the Mayor's Office with a request that the Mayor contact Toronto media outlets and express the concerns brought forward to the Executive Committee regarding fair representation of Scarborough, and that the Mayor request the media to be sensitive to these concerns and to identify crime incidents based on main intersections and not by the generic term "Scarborough".

The following motion by Councillor Kelly was ruled Redundant:

The Executive Committee recommends that City Council request:

- (1) the media to stop using the name Scarborough when reporting crime that takes place; and
- (2) the print and broadcast media to sign a protocol, when crime is reported east of Victoria Park Avenue, to use only the street name, community, or nearest arterial intersection.

The following motion by Councillor Moscoe was Withdrawn:

The Executive Committee recommends that City Council request:

- (1) the media to stop using the name Scarborough when reporting crime that takes place east of Victoria Park Avenue; and
- (2) the print and broadcast media be requested to voluntarily agree to a protocol, when crime is reported east of Victoria Park Avenue, to use only the street name, community or nearest arterial intersection.

EX2.13	Action			
--------	--------	--	--	--

Request for Report on the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) - City of Toronto Relationship Framework

(October 6, 2006) Member Motion from Councillor Stintz seconded by Councillor Ashton

Recommendations

It is recommended that the Strategic and Corporate Policy Division, City Manager's Office, be requested to:

1. develop a new relationship framework with the TTC and that such framework include provisions such as access by all Councillors to in-camera meetings and Council oversight for items which have a financial impact;
2. set the TTC framework as a top priority; and
3. submit a report to the Executive Committee (formerly the Policy and Finance Committee) and City Council for approval.

Summary

This motion recommends that the City Manager be requested to develop a relationship framework with the Toronto Transit Commission with respect to such things as access by all

Councillors to in-camera meetings and Council oversight for items which have a financial impact. In September 2006, City Council referred this Motion to the Executive Committee.

Communications

(October 6, 2006) Report from Councillor Stintz, seconded by Councillor Thompsonex2.13 (<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/comm/communicationfile-511.pdf>)

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Executive Committee noted and filed the communication (October 6, 2006) from the City Clerk respecting the motion by Councillor Stintz, seconded by Councillor Ashton.

EX2.14	Information			Wards: All
--------	-------------	--	--	------------

Request of the Province of Ontario to Declare Transit Service in Toronto an Essential Service

(October 6, 2006) Member Motion from Councillor Palacio, seconded by Councillor Lindsay Luby.

Recommendations

It is recommended that as an urgent matter of public health and safety, Toronto City Council request that the provincial government introduce legislation deeming public transit service in the City of Toronto an essential service.

Summary

This motion recommends that City Council request the Province of Ontario to introduce legislation deeming public transit service in the City of Toronto an essential service. In September 2006, City Council referred this Motion to the Executive Committee.

Communications

(October 6, 2006) Report from City Clerk2006-11-I(02) (<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/comm/communicationfile-18.pdf>)

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Executive Committee noted and filed the communication (October 6, 2006) from the City Clerk respecting the motion by Councillor Palacio, seconded by Councillor Lindsay Luby.

The following motion by Councillor Lindsay Luby was ruled Redundant:

That the Executive Committee recommend that the Toronto Transit Commission be designated as an essential service.

EX2.15	Information			Wards: All
--------	-------------	--	--	------------

Toronto Hydro Purchases of Nuclear Power from the Ontario Power Generation Corporation

(October 6, 2006) Member Motion from Councillor Saundercook, seconded by Councillor Mihevc

Recommendations

It is recommended that:

1. the City of Toronto, as Toronto Hydro's sole shareholder, express its formal discomfort to Toronto Hydro with continued purchases of nuclear power from Ontario Power Generation Corporation;
2. in the spirit of full disclosure and forthright assessment of costs and benefits, the City of Toronto, as sole shareholder of Toronto Hydro, formally request the management team of Toronto Hydro to co-ordinate, in four weeks, a briefing session for the Board of Directors of Toronto Hydro, Toronto City Councillors and all interested parties including the press and general public about:
 - i. the economic track record of nuclear power in Ontario;
 - ii. the financial history of the Ontario Government passing on cost overruns from the former Ontario Hydro to Ontario consumers through stranded debt charges;
 - iii. the potential financial impacts on Toronto consumers and the City of Toronto on electricity pricing in 2007 and beyond, including an impact on the City of Toronto's departmental budgets; and
 - iv. options to minimize financial risk to Toronto residents, businesses and the Corporation of the City of Toronto from future increases in the cost of electricity on Ontario; and
3. the City of Toronto also formally request the management team of Toronto Hydro to work with the environmental community to co-ordinate a second briefing session, four weeks following the economic briefing session, to outline the environmental and public health risks associated with the mining and processing of uranium, as well as the transportation, management and storage of nuclear waste.

Summary

This motion recommends that the City of Toronto, as Toronto Hydro's sole shareholder, express its formal discomfort with the continued purchases of nuclear power from the Ontario Power Generation Corporation. In September 2006, City Council referred this Motion to the Executive Committee.

Background Information

2007-ex2-15

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-594.pdf>**Decision Advice and Other Information**

The Executive Committee noted and filed the communication (October 6, 2006) from the City Clerk respecting the motion by Councillor Saundercook, seconded by Councillor Mihevc until such time as the Committee deals with climate change issues.

EX2.16	Information	No Action		Wards: All
--------	-------------	-----------	--	------------

Establishment of a Property Assessment Reform Task-Force (PART)

(October 6, 2006) Member Motion from Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Stintz

Recommendations

It is recommended that City Council immediately establish, in accordance to the terms of reference appended to this Motion, a ‘Property Assessment Reform Task Force (acronym = PART)’ to deal with reform of the property assessment system by investigating other property assessment systems in use in other provinces and other countries to create new options for property assessment systems that may be used by the City of Toronto to collect municipal property taxes in a more fair, equitable, predictable and affordable manner, and that such Task Force will lobby the provincial government for Property Tax Assessment Reform, and that the attached terms of reference be approved.”

Summary

This motion recommends that City Council establish a Property Assessment Reform Task Force to deal with the unfair system of Current Value Assessment (CVA) imposed by the Province of Ontario in 1998 and communicate to the Province the need and desire to control its system of property tax assessment in order to have autonomy over its most fundamental revenue tool.

Background Information

2007-ex2-16

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-595.pdf>**Decision Advice and Other Information**

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Executive Committee noted and filed the communication (October 6, 2006) from the City Clerk respecting the motion by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Stintz.

The following motion by Deputy Mayor Pantalone was voted on and lost:

That this matter be referred to the City Manager for report at a future meeting of the Executive Committee once Provincial and MPAC plans in this area are better understood.

The following motion by Councillor Rae was ruled Redundant:

That the Executive Committee recommend that Council adopt the member Motion from Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Stintz, regarding Establishment of a Property Assessment Reform Task Force.

EX2.17	Information	No Action		Wards: All
--------	-------------	-----------	--	------------

Response to Request for Information on How Quickly the Toronto Police Service Can Recruit and Train the 250 New Police Officers Hired Under the “Safer Communities – 1,000 Officers Partnership Program”, Associated Costs and Multi-Cultural Diversity of the New Police Officers

(November 16, 2006) report from Alok Mukherjee, Chair, Toronto Police Services Board

Recommendations

It is recommended that the Executive Committee (formerly known as the Policy and Finance Committee) receive this report.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to provide a response to a Toronto City Council request for information on how quickly the Toronto Police Service can recruit and train the 250 new police officers hired under the “Safer Communities – 1,000 Officers Partnership Program”, locations to which they will be deployed, associated costs and the multi-cultural diversity of the new police officers.

Background Information

2007-ex2-17

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-596.pdf>

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Executive Committee received the communication (November 16, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board.

EX2.18	Information			Wards: All
--------	-------------	--	--	------------

Semi-Annual Report: Toronto Police Service Parking Enforcement Unit Absenteeism for the period between January and June 2006

(November 7, 2006) report from Alok Mukherjee, Chair, Toronto Police Services Board

Recommendations

It is recommended that the Executive Committee (formerly known as the Policy and Finance Committee):

1. receive this report; and
2. advise the Toronto Police Services Board on whether the Committee would like to continue to receive semi-annual reports on the level of absenteeism in the Toronto Police Service – Parking Enforcement Unit in the future given that previous high rates of absenteeism have been resolved.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications in regard to the receipt of this report.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to advise the Policy and Finance Committee of the level of absenteeism for the period between January and June 2006.

Background Information

2007-ex2-18

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-606.pdf>

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Executive Committee advised the Toronto Police Services Board that it is no longer necessary to provide reports on absenteeism in this area save and except if the absentee rate in any one semi-annual period exceeds 10 percent.

EX2.19	ACTION		Transactional	
--------	--------	--	---------------	--

Change to Composition of the Board of Management of the Toronto Zoo

(December 19, 2006) report from the Secretary, Board of Management of the Toronto Zoo

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommended that two positions on the Board of Management of the Toronto Zoo be changed from Toronto Zoo Foundation Board representatives to citizen representatives.

Summary

To recommend changes to the composition of the Board of Management and a selection process for non Councillor positions on the Board.

Background Information

2007-ex2-19-2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-597.pdf>)

2007-ex2-19-1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-598.pdf>)

Meeting Sessions

Session Date	Session Type	Start Time	End Time	Public or Closed Session
2007-01-15	Morning	9:40 AM	12:35 PM	Public
2007-01-15	Afternoon	1:35 PM	4:50 PM	Public