
Executive Committee

Meeting No. 2
Meeting Date Monday, January 15, 2007
Start Time 9:30 AM
Location Committee Room No. 1, City Hall

Contact Patsy Morris, Committee Administrator
Phone 416-392-9151
E-mail pmorris@toronto.ca

EX2.2	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Wards: All
-------	--------------	--	---------------	------------

Greater Toronto Transportation Authority - City of Toronto Nominations To The Board of Directors

City Council Decision

City Council on February 5, 6, 7 and 8, 2007, adopted the following motion:

1. the City of Toronto's representation on the Board of Directors of the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority comprise:
 - a. the Mayor or his designate;
 - b. the Chair of the Toronto Transit Commission;
 - c. the Chair of the Planning and Growth Management Committee; and
 - d. Mr. Paul Bedford, the person being recommended by the Mayor, who has:
 - i. experience in the transit and transportation field;
 - ii. an understanding of infrastructure financing; and
 - iii. knowledge of growth management and regional planning.

(December 20, 2006) report from Mayor David Miller

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that:

1. the City of Toronto's representation on the Board of Directors of the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority comprise:
 - a. the Mayor or his designate;
 - b. the Chair of the Toronto Transit Commission;
 - c. the Chair of the Planning and Growth Management Committee; and
 - d. Mr. Paul Bedford, the person being recommended by the Mayor, who has:
 - i. experience in the transit and transportation field;

- ii. an understanding of infrastructure financing; and
- iii. knowledge of growth management and regional planning.

Summary

The Province of Ontario has established the Great Toronto Transportation Authority with the board of directors to include eleven members, four members of which are to be nominated by Toronto Council. The Minister of Transportation requested Toronto Council nominations by December 31, 2006. The Mayor indicated that Toronto Council would make its recommendations early in 2007.

Background Information

2007-ex2-2b

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-985.pdf>

2007-ex2-2

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-582.pdf>

2a Greater Toronto Transportation Authority - City of Toronto Nominations to the Board of Directors

Summary

Communication (January 8, 2007) from the Minister of Transportation, regarding the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority (GTTA) and the establishment of the GTTA Board of Directors; and requesting the City of Toronto Council to submit its recommendations for appointments to the GTTA Board of Directors at its earliest convenience.

2b Greater Toronto Transportation Authority (GTTA) - Item EX2.2

Summary

Communication (January 15, 2007) from Mayor David Miller, advising that it is his intention to recommend Mr. Paul Bedford for a position on the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority Board of Directors.

EX2.3	NO AMENDMENT		Policy	Wards: All
-------	--------------	--	--------	------------

Designation of Certain Officials under New Statutory Accountability Requirements

City Council Decision

City Council on February 5, 6, 7 and 8, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. City Council deem the current Auditor General, Mr. Jeffrey Griffiths, to be the auditor general for the purposes of Part V of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*;
2. City Council authorize the Auditor General's mandate be expanded to permit undertaking reviews of operations of City agencies at the request of their boards and any resulting recommendations be submitted to the respective board;
3. City Council designate the Auditor General to be the City representative under the shareholder direction for the Toronto Community Housing Corporation, and its subsidiaries; such representative to be permitted full access to the corporations' records for audit purposes;
4. City Council direct the Auditor General to report on audits of City and partnered corporations as follows:
 - a. to the City's Executive Committee for recommendations regarding shareholder issues; and
 - b. to the corporation's board of directors for recommendations regarding operations or other responsibilities of the board, with a copy submitted to the City Manager;
5. City Council deem the current Integrity Commissioner, Mr. David Mullan, to be the integrity commissioner for the purposes of Part V of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006* to carry out the duties in Appendix A;
6. City Council deem the Members' Code of Conduct originally adopted in 1999 and as amended in September 2006 to be the code of conduct as required under Part V of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*, and come into full effect once Council has adopted an appeal and defence mechanism for Members of Council; and
7. City Council designate the Auditor General to be the City's representative under the shareholder direction for Toronto Hydro Corporation (THC) with the representative's role being limited to where staff has not been able to obtain the necessary information to evaluate its concern through the Shareholder Direction reporting mechanisms or through inquiries to officers or staff or through a request from City Council to the directors of THC and further, that the access right not be exercised until City Council has first issued a separate direction to the Auditor General in relation to a perceived breach by THC of the provisions of the Shareholder Direction and with such access right being limited to those books and records relating to the perceived violation.

(January 2, 2007) report from the City Manager

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that:

1. City Council deem the current Auditor General, Mr. Jeffrey Griffiths, to be the auditor general for the purposes of Part V of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*;
2. City Council authorize the Auditor General's mandate be expanded to permit undertaking reviews of operations of City agencies at the request of their boards and any resulting recommendations be submitted to the respective board;
3. City Council designate the Auditor General to be the City representative under the shareholder direction for the Toronto Community Housing Corporation, and its subsidiaries; such representative to be permitted full access to the corporations' records for audit purposes;
4. City Council direct the Auditor General to report on audits of City and partnered corporations as follows:
 - a. to the City's Executive Committee for recommendations regarding shareholder issues; and
 - b. to the corporation's board of directors for recommendations regarding operations or other responsibilities of the board, with a copy submitted to the City Manager;
5. City Council deem the current Integrity Commissioner, Mr. David Mullan, to be the integrity commissioner for the purposes of Part V of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006* to carry out the duties in Appendix A;
6. City Council deem the Members' Code of Conduct originally adopted in 1999 and as amended in September 2006 to be the code of conduct as required under Part V of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*, and come into full effect once Council has adopted an appeal and defence mechanism for Members of Council; and
7. City Council designate the Auditor General to be the City's representative under the shareholder direction for Toronto Hydro Corporation (THC) with the representative's role being limited to where staff has not been able to obtain the necessary information to evaluate its concern through the Shareholder Direction reporting mechanisms or through inquiries to officers or staff or through a request from City Council to the directors of THC and further, that the access right not be exercised until City Council has first issued a separate direction to the Auditor General in relation to a perceived breach by THC of the provisions of the Shareholder Direction and with such access right being limited to those books and records relating to the perceived violation.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to bring the City into compliance with new accountability

provisions that come into effect with the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

Background Information

2007-ex2-3

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-583.pdf>)

Speakers

Councillor Ron Moeser, Ward 44-Scarborough East

Councillor Michael Walker, Ward 22-St. Paul's

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Executive Committee requested the City Manager to report back to the Executive Committee on the current status of the Toronto Hydro Shareholders' Agreement in meeting the City's goals and objectives.

EX2.4	AMENDED		Policy	Wards: All
-------	---------	--	--------	------------

Lobbying Control Framework

City Council Decision

City Council on February 5, 6, 7 and 8, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. City Council approve the draft by-law to add Chapter 140, Lobbying, to the Municipal Code as set out in *Appendix 1 of this report, and that authority be given to introduce the necessary bill in Council, subject to amending Schedule B to the by-law, headed "Exempted Employee and Labour Groups", by adding the Toronto Police Association to the list.
2. Details of Lobbyist registration be made available to Members of Council, staff and the general public and they be posted within two business days after the lobbying has occurred.
3. The Lobbyist Registrar and the City Manager report to the Executive Committee on refinements to the Lobbyist Registry after it has been in operation for six months.
4. The City Manager, in consultation with the Lobbyist Registrar, be authorized to determine the in force date for the Lobbying By-law based on the completion of the lobbyist registry system and to allow appropriate prior notice to the public and stakeholders.
5. The City Solicitor be authorized to submit the necessary bill in Council to amend the in force provision in the Lobbying By-law to reflect that the in force date determined in Recommendation 4 above.

6. the City Solicitor, in consultation with the City Manager and the Lobbyist Registrar, be authorized to submit any necessary Bill to Council to make any necessary technical amendments and other refinements to the Lobbying By-law to reflect the final form of the lobbyist registry system.
7. Council approve the appointment of the Lobbyist Registrar as recommended in confidential Attachment 1 to the report (January 29, 2007) from the Lobbyist Registrar Selection Panel.
8. The City Manager be authorized to negotiate the terms and conditions of employment with the recommended candidate based on mutually satisfactory terms and reflective of the City's employment and compensation policies, and to execute any related documents as necessary.
9. Council authorize the public release of the information in confidential Attachment 2 upon approval of the appointment of the Lobbyist Registrar in Recommendation 7.
10. the City Manager, in consultation with the Lobbyist Registrar, ensure that an effective, comprehensive communications plan is undertaken prior to the implementation of the lobbyist registry system to ensure that all stakeholders and the public understand the new system.
11. the Lobbyist Registrar and the Integrity Commissioner provide guidance to Members of Council on how the lobbying rules and Members' Code of Conduct apply to Members' attendance at fund raisers, lectures, seminars, charitable or similar events at the invitation of, or attended by, lobbyists, and if necessary, report on any modifications required to eliminate confusion or conflicting provisions.
12. The new Lobbyist Registrar report on a plan to partially recover costs related to the operation of a Registry, by charging lobbyists a registration fee from the beginning of the program.
13. The following motion be referred to the Lobbyist Registrar and the City Manager for report as part of the six month review:

Moved by Councillor Mammoliti:

“That the same requirements that are placed on lobbyists relating to communications with Members of Council and their staff also be placed on communications with City of Toronto staff;”.

14. Council grant authority for the introduction of any necessary bills required to give effect to these recommendations.
15. The appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Confidential Attachment 2 to the report (January 29, 2007) from the Lobbyist Registrar Selection Panel is now public in its entirety, and contains the following recommendation:

1. Council approve the appointment of Marilyn Abraham to the position of Lobbyist Registrar.

Confidential Attachment 1 to the report (January 29, 2007) from the Lobbyist Registrar Selection Panel remains confidential, in its entirety, in accordance with the provisions of the City of Toronto Act, 2006, as it relates to a personal matter about an identifiable person and labour relations or employee negotiations.

(December 21, 2006) report from the City Manager

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that:

1. City Council approve the draft by-law to add Chapter 140, Lobbying, to the Municipal Code as set out in *Appendix 1 of this report, and that authority be given to introduce the necessary bill in Council;
2. details of Lobbyist registration be made available to Members of Council, staff and the general public and they be posted within two business days after the lobbying has occurred;
3. Members of Council be entitled to a timely official opinion about the status of a potential lobbyist prior to meeting with any individual;
4. the Lobbyist Registrar and the City Manager report to the Executive Committee on refinements to the Lobbyist Registry after it has been in operation for six months.
5. the following motion be referred to the Lobbyist Registrar and the City Manager for report as part of the six month review:

Moved by Councillor Mammoliti:

“That the same requirements that are placed on lobbyists relating to communications with Members of Council and their staff also be placed on communications with City of Toronto staff;” and

6. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

*(Appendix 1 is attached to the Committee Report)

Summary

This report sets out a lobbying control framework for the City, encompassing a lobbyists' code of conduct, lobbyist registry, offence provisions, and responsibilities of the lobbyist registrar. The lobbying control framework brings the City into compliance with the requirements in Part V of the City of Toronto Act, 2006 and responds to recommendations from Commissioner Denise Bellamy resulting from the Toronto Computer Leasing Inquiry.

Background Information

2007-ex2-4-2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-584.pdf>)

2007-ex2-4-1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-196.pdf>)

[Link to By-law 150 - 2007, "To adopt a new City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 140, Lobbying"](#)

Council also considered the following:

- [Report \(January 29, 2007\) from the Lobbyist Registrar Selection Panel \(EX2.4a\).](#)

Speakers

Councillor Denzil Minnan-Wong, Ward 34,-Don Valley East

Councillor David Shiner, Ward 24-Willowdale

Councillor Michael Walker, Ward 22-St. Paul's

Mr. Tim Lambrinos, Adult Entertainment Association of Canada

EX2.5	AMENDED		Policy	Wards: All
-------	---------	--	--------	------------

Delegation of Certain Matters to Community Councils

City Council Decision

City Council on February 5, 6, 7 and 8, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. City Council delegate the legislative authority for the local routine matters described in *Appendix A (the "Matters") to Community Councils effective March 2007, subject to the necessary statutory powers being in effect and subject to the following conditions and amendments;
 - a. The delegated authority to act with respect to the Matters applies only when such Matters, in the opinion of the City Manager, do not have City-wide significance and do not affect more than one Community Council;
 - b. City Council retains the ability to revoke the delegation to Community Councils by by-law at any time;

- c. Subject to (a) and (b), the legislative authority to act with respect to the Matters is fully delegated to Community Councils, and City Council retains no authority to act with respect to the Matters;
- d. The delegated authority to Community Councils includes the power to pass any necessary by-laws with respect to the Matters, and all by-laws enacted by Community Councils under this delegated authority have the full force and effect as if enacted by City Council, including any necessary amendments to the Municipal Code;
- e. Table 1 contained in Appendix A under the heading “Bike Lanes, Delegation to Community Councils for Final Decision” being amended to read as follows:

“Proposals and decisions on local, collector, minor and major arterial roads compliant with City by-laws and policies, including the Toronto Bike Plan of 2001;”;
- f. Table 1 contained in Appendix A under the heading “Bike Lanes, Limitations to Delegation” being amended to read as follows:

“Proposals and decisions on local, collector, minor and major arterial roads that deviate from City by-laws and policies, including the Toronto Bike Plan of 2001, must be approved by Council;”;
- g. Table 1 contained in Appendix A under the heading “Sign By-law Exemptions, Limitations to Delegation” being amended to read as follows:

“Proposals involving video display signs or in contravention of By-law 211-79, as amended, must be approved by Council”;
- h. Appendix A being amended to require Council approval on local collector and arterial roads if the road includes a transit route for matters involving on-street parking/standing/stopping, on-street permit parking, on-street traffic regulations, road alterations and traffic calming;
- i. Subject to the necessary regulations being filed by the Province of Ontario, Council delegate the legislative authority to Community Councils for the local routine matters described in Table 1 of the report (January 29, 2007) from the City Manager, by amending Appendix A of Report EX2.5, Delegation of Certain Matters to include these matters;
- j. Council delegate the legislative authority to Community Councils for the local routine matters described in Table 2 of this report, by amending Appendix A of Report EX2.5, Delegation of Certain Matters to include these matters;

- k. designation of Fire Routes be delegated to Community Councils; and
 - l. Council amend Appendix A of Report EX2.5, Delegation of Certain Matters to Community Councils by deleting the words “through the appropriate standing committee” under the heading “Limitations to Delegation” for “Sidewalks”;
2. City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 27, Council Procedures, be amended to:
- a. give effect to the delegation set out in Recommendation 1;
 - b. make other amendments required to give effect to the delegation to Community Councils, including:
 - i. to allow Community Councils to re-open and to reconsider final decisions under the same rules as apply to City Council;
 - ii. to provide a process for Community Councils to pass by-laws;
 - iii. to make applicable to Community Councils certain other rules effecting final decision-making which currently only apply to City Council.
3. Authority be granted to the City Solicitor to submit any bills required to enact the delegation of authority to Community Councils including all necessary amendments to City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 27, Council Procedures, and other chapters in the Municipal Code and other by-laws, generally in accordance with the report recommendations and Appendix A, and subject to any necessary technical adjustments.
4. The Province of Ontario be requested to file regulations, as provided in the City of Toronto Act, 2006, by March 27, 2007 to provide authority to the City of Toronto to delegate to a person or body, its powers and duties with respect to the following matters under the following Acts:
- a. speed changes, restrictions and reductions provided for in the Highway Traffic Act R.S.O. 1990;
 - b. installation of stop signs provided for in the Highway Traffic Act R.S.O. 1990;
 - c. designation of fire routes provided for in the Fire Prevention and Protection Act, 1997;
 - d. designation of events of municipal significance for issuing special occasion permits provided for in the Liquor License Act R.S.O. 1990;
 - e. powers provided for in the Ontario Heritage Act R.S.O. 1990;
 - f. the delegation of the above-mentioned matters (a) to (e) come into effect when the necessary regulations are filed by the Province of Ontario.

5. Council retain its legislative authority with respect to powers under the Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990.
 6. Council grant authority for the introduction of any necessary bills required to give effect to these recommendations.
-

(January 2, 2007) report from the City Manager

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that:

1. City Council delegate the legislative authority for the local routine matters described in *Appendix A (the “Matters”) to Community Councils effective March 2007, subject to the necessary statutory powers being in effect and subject to the following conditions;
 - a. The delegated authority to act with respect to the Matters applies only when such Matters, in the opinion of the City Manager, do not have City-wide significance and do not affect more than one Community Council;
 - b. City Council retains the ability to revoke the delegation to Community Councils by by-law at any time;
 - c. Subject to (a) and (b), the legislative authority to act with respect to the Matters is fully delegated to Community Councils, and City Council retains no authority to act with respect to the Matters;
 - d. The delegated authority to Community Councils includes the power to pass any necessary by-laws with respect to the Matters, and all by-laws enacted by Community Councils under this delegated authority have the full force and effect as if enacted by City Council, including any necessary amendments to the Municipal Code;
 - e. Table 1 contained in Appendix A under the heading “Bike Lanes, Delegation to Community Councils for Final Decision” being amended to read as follows:

“Proposals and decisions on local, collector, minor and major arterial roads compliant with City by-laws and policies;”;
 - f. Table 1 contained in Appendix A under the heading “Bike Lanes, Limitations to Delegation” being amended to read as follows:

“Proposals and decisions on local, collector, minor and major arterial roads that deviate from City by-laws and policies must be approved by Council;”;

- g. Appendix A being amended to require Council approval on local collector and arterial roads if the road includes a transit route for matters involving on-street parking/standing/stopping, on-street permit parking, on-street traffic regulations, road alterations and traffic calming;
2. City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 27, Council Procedures, be amended to:
- a. give effect to the delegation set out in Recommendation 1;
 - b. make other amendments required to give effect to the delegation to Community Councils, including:
 - i. to allow Community Councils to re-open and to reconsider final decisions under the same rules as apply to City Council;
 - ii. to provide a process for Community Councils to pass by-laws;
 - iii. to make applicable to Community Councils certain other rules effecting final decision-making which currently only apply to City Council; and
3. authority be granted to the City Solicitor to submit any bills required to enact the delegation of authority to Community Councils including all necessary amendments to City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 27, Council Procedures, and other chapters in the Municipal Code and other by-laws, generally in accordance with the report recommendations and Appendix A, and subject to any necessary technical adjustments;
4. the Province of Ontario be requested to file regulations, as provided in the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*, by March 27, 2007 to provide authority to the City of Toronto to delegate to a person or body, its powers and duties with respect to the following matters under the following Acts:
- a. speed changes, restrictions and reductions provided for in the Highway Traffic Act R.S.O. 1990;
 - b. installation of stop signs provided for in the Highway Traffic Act R.S.O. 1990;
 - c. designation of fire routes provided for in the Fire Prevention and Protection Act, 1997;
 - d. designation of events of municipal significance for issuing special occasion permits provided for in the Liquor License Act R.S.O. 1990;
 - e. powers provided for in the Ontario Heritage Act R.S.O. 1990; and
 - g. the delegation of the above-mentioned matters (a) to (e) come into effect when the necessary regulations are filed by the Province of Ontario.

*(Appendix A is attached to the Committee Report).

Summary

The City of Toronto Act provides authority to City Council to delegate certain final-decision-making powers to Community Councils. This report proposes delegation of authority to Community Councils of some routine local matters, subject to the necessary statutory powers being in effect, to improve local decision-making.

The City of Toronto Act prohibits delegation of the majority of planning matters including adopting official plans and official plan amendments, passing zoning by-laws and adopting community improvement plans. A few matters are not recommended for delegation to Community Councils as they have City-wide implications. These include exemptions issued under Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 813, Trees and listing on the City's Inventory of Heritage Properties. Some minor administrative matters that are recommended for delegation to Community Councils could likely be further delegated to City Officers. These matters will be evaluated for further delegation to staff and reported out in the second quarter of 2007.

Background Information

2007-ex2-5-2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-585.pdf>)

2007-ex2-5-1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-586.pdf>)

[Link to Consolidated Table of Delegated Matters](#)

Council also considered the following:

- [Report \(January 29, 2007\) from the City Manager \(EX2.5a\).](#)

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Executive Committee requested the City Manager to report directly to Council for its meeting to be held on February 5, 2007, with recommendations on delegating the above-mentioned matters (contained in Committee Recommendation 4) to Community Councils and amending Appendix A contained in the report (January 2, 2007) from the City Manager, as necessary.

The Executive Committee held a public meeting on January 15, 2007, in accordance with the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*, and notice of the proposed amendments to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 27, Council procedures, was posted on the City's web site for a minimum of 5 days.

EX2.6	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Wards: All
-------	--------------	--	---------------	------------

Authority to Execute Contribution Agreements with the Government of Canada for Transit-Secure Funding and with the Government of Ontario for Transit Technology and Infrastructure Program Funding

City Council Decision

City Council on February 5, 6, 7 and 8, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. The Mayor, Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the City Clerk be authorized to execute Contribution Agreements for transit-related security initiatives under the Transit-Secure (TS) Passenger Rail and Transportation Safety Program between the City of Toronto, the Toronto Transit Commission and Her Majesty The Queen in Right of Canada in accordance with the terms described herein.
2. The Mayor, Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the City Clerk be authorized to execute a Contribution Agreement under the Transit Technology and Infrastructure Program (TTIP) between the City of Toronto, the Toronto Transit Commission and Her Majesty The Queen in Right of Ontario in accordance with the terms described herein.
3. The TTC request their staff to meet with Transport Canada staff to review the conclusions and recommendations from the recently completed - and Transport Canada funded - Threat, Risk and Vulnerability Assessment (TRVA).
4. Future phases of Transit-Secure funding take into account this TRVA and transit ridership particularly noting that TTC carries more customers than any other transit system in Canada and that TTC's subway infrastructure is highly integrated with its surface network and along with its subway and surface vehicles require an appropriate proportion of funding to address these security programs.
5. The Government of Canada be requested to modify the current Transit-Secure program to include additional funds, to expand the scope of the program and, extend its intended duration beyond March 31, 2008.
6. The appropriate officials be authorized and directed to take necessary actions to give effect thereto.

(December 21, 2006) report from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that:

1. the Mayor, Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the City Clerk be authorized to execute Contribution Agreements for transit-related security initiatives

under the Transit-Secure (TS) Passenger Rail and Transportation Safety Program between the City of Toronto, the Toronto Transit Commission and Her Majesty The Queen in Right of Canada in accordance with the terms described herein;

2. the Mayor, Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the City Clerk be authorized to execute a Contribution Agreement under the Transit Technology and Infrastructure Program (TTIP) between the City of Toronto, the Toronto Transit Commission and Her Majesty The Queen in Right of Ontario in accordance with the terms described herein;
3. the TTC request their staff to meet with Transport Canada staff to review the conclusions and recommendations from the recently completed - and Transport Canada funded - Threat, Risk and Vulnerability Assessment (TRVA);
4. future phases of Transit-Secure funding take into account this TRVA and transit ridership particularly noting that TTC carries more customers than any other transit system in Canada and that TTC's subway infrastructure is highly integrated with its surface network and along with its subway and surface vehicles require an appropriate proportion of funding to address these security programs;
5. the Government of Canada be requested to modify the current Transit-Secure program to include additional funds, to expand the scope of the program and, extend its intended duration beyond March 31, 2008; and
6. the appropriate officials be authorized and directed to take necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Summary

This report recommends that staff be authorized to execute transit capital funding Contribution Agreements under the Transit-Secure program between the City, the TTC and the Federal Government. This report also recommends that staff be authorized to execute a transit capital funding Contribution Agreement under the TTIP program between the City, the TTC and the Provincial Government.

The Transit-Secure program is a federal Ministry of Transport, Infrastructure and Communities program which has approximately \$80 million to support and enhance Canada's transportation security infrastructure. The program covers expenditures on security initiatives during the period June 2006 to March 2008. The TTC has received approval under Round 1 of Transit-Secure for \$1.463 million. The Transit Technology and Infrastructure Program (TTIP), which was formerly the Golden Horseshoe Transit Improvement Partnerships (GTIP), is part of the Ontario Ministry of Transportation's transit investment plan. A total of \$33.7 million in funding has been approved under TTIP towards TTC expansion and improvement capital projects during the period 2002 to March 2007.

These Contribution Agreements will be signed in early 2007. By signing these agreements, the City can receive funding before the end of the federal and provincial governments' year ends on March 31, 2007.

Background Information

2007-ex2-6

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-587.pdf>)

EX2.7	AMENDED		Policy	Wards: All
-------	---------	--	--------	------------

Enwave Capital Call - Funding Source

Confidential - Attachment regarding the security of the property of the municipality or local board

City Council Decision

City Council on February 5, 6, 7 and 8, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer fund the City's participation in an anticipated 2007 Enwave Energy Corporation ("Enwave") capital call (amount identified in confidential Attachment 1 to this report) from the City's "Strategic Infrastructure Partnership Reserve Fund Account.
2. The Chief Financial Officer and Deputy City Manager report to the Executive Committee on a long-term financial investment analysis with regard to the City's investment in Enwave, such a report to include economic and climate change benefits and implications for the City of Toronto.

Confidential Attachment 1 to the report (January 2, 2007) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer remains confidential in its entirety, in accordance with the provisions of the City of Toronto Act, 2006, as it contains information regarding the security of the property of the municipality or local board.

(January 2, 2007) report from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that Council direct that the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer fund the City's participation in an anticipated 2007 Enwave Energy Corporation ("Enwave") capital call (amount identified in confidential Attachment 1 to this report) from the City's "Strategic Infrastructure Partnership Reserve Fund Account.

Summary

The City owns 43 percent of Enwave Energy Corporation ("Enwave"), with Council having previously resolved to maintain its shareholding at this level. (Council, February 4, 2003,

Report No. 1, Clause 7 of the Policy and Finance Committee
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/2003/agendas/council/cc030204/pof1rpt/cl007.pdf>.

Enwave's district cooling business includes its Deep Lake Water Cooling project ("DLWC"), and is a growing business. During its developmental phase, for project financing purposes Enwave has required periodic infusions of equity capital (in addition to bank debt), which have been satisfied through requests of its shareholders for additional investment capital ("capital calls"). A final capital call in support of DLWC is anticipated to be issued in February 2007. This report recommends that the City fund its participation in this capital call from the "Strategic Infrastructure Partnership Reserve Fund Account".

Background Information

2007-ex2-7

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1003.pdf>

Council also considered the following:

- Submission from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer (undated) entitled "Deep Lake Water Cooling Benefits" (EX2.7a).
- Confidential material (undated) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer (EX2.7b and EX2.7c). This material remains confidential in its entirety, in accordance with the provisions of the City of Toronto Act, 2006, as it contains information regarding the security of the property of the municipality or local board.

Declared Interest – City Council

Councillor David Shiner - declared an interest in this Item, in that he works with a Company associated with Enwave.

EX2.8	NO AMENDMENT		Policy	
-------	--------------	--	--------	--

Deploying the City's Heavy Urban Search And Rescue (HUSAR) Team outside Ontario

City Council Decision

City Council on February 5, 6, 7 and 8, 2007, adopted the following motion:

1. City Council authorize staff to draw up an agreement with Ontario's Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services and the federal Department of Public Safety. The agreement will enable the City to deploy a HUSAR team anywhere in Canada, when needed. The Department of Public Safety will reimburse the City for all costs associated with heavy urban search and rescue;

2. City Council authorize the Fire Chief to deploy the team internationally if this is approved by both Canadian and international authorities;
3. The Fire Chief and the City Solicitor ensure that the agreement is satisfactory; and
4. City Council authorize the Fire Chief to sign the agreement and any related documents on behalf of the City.

(January 2, 2007) report from Toronto Fire Services

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that:

1. City Council authorize staff to draw up an agreement with Ontario's Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services and the federal Department of Public Safety. The agreement will enable the City to deploy a HUSAR team anywhere in Canada, when needed. The Department of Public Safety will reimburse the City for all costs associated with heavy urban search and rescue;
2. City Council authorize the Fire Chief to deploy the team internationally if this is approved by both Canadian and international authorities;
3. The Fire Chief and the City Solicitor ensure that the agreement is satisfactory; and
4. City Council authorize the Fire Chief to sign the agreement and any related documents on behalf of the City.

Summary

Toronto Fire Services has a Heavy Urban Search and Rescue (HUSAR) team that can respond to large-scale structural collapse and other urban emergencies in an organized and timely way. Right now, the Province pays for equipment and training to respond to emergencies in Toronto and throughout Ontario as needed. The proposed agreement will allow the HUSAR team to offer help outside the province, when needed. Council's approval of this agreement with the Federal Government and Province will ensure full cost recovery when the Toronto HUSAR team deploys anywhere in Canada outside Toronto boundaries.

Background Information

2007-ex2-8

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-588.pdf>

EX2.9	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Wards: All
-------	--------------	--	---------------	------------

2007 Temporary Borrowing Authority

City Council Decision

City Council on February 5, 6, 7 and 8, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. Council authorize the temporary borrowing limit to meet 2007 current expenditures, pending receipt of tax levies and other revenues, be established at \$300,000,000.
2. Council authorize the temporary borrowing limit for capital purposes for 2007 be established at \$550,000,000.
3. Authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bills in Council by the City Solicitor to give effect to the foregoing.
4. The appropriate City of Toronto officials be authorized to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

(December 19, 2006) report from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that:

1. Council authorize the temporary borrowing limit to meet 2007 current expenditures, pending receipt of tax levies and other revenues, be established at \$300,000,000;
2. Council authorize the temporary borrowing limit for capital purposes for 2007 be established at \$550,000,000;
3. authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary Bills in Council by the City Solicitor to give effect to the foregoing; and
4. the appropriate City of Toronto officials be authorized to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Summary

This report requests Council's authority to temporarily borrow funds, if required, pending the receipt of tax revenues and the issuance and sale of debentures and bank loans during 2007.

Background Information

2007-ex2-9

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-589.pdf>)

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Executive Committee requested the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the City Solicitor to report to the Executive Committee with appropriate recommendations to allow Council to delegate to the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer the temporary borrowing limits for each remaining year of the current term of Council, such report to include past history pertaining to this matter.

EX2.10	NO AMENDMENT		Policy	Wards: 5
--------	--------------	--	--------	----------

Capital Funding for the Kipling / Islington Redevelopment Initiative

City Council Decision

City Council on February 5, 6, 7 and 8, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. City Council approve the Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative and the concepts for improvements to the Kipling and Islington Stations shown in the December 13, 2006 TTC report “Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Strategy – Status of Cost-sharing Discussions”, subject to confirmation of cost-sharing commitments of MT, GO, GTTA , and the Province;
2. The 2007 – 2011 Capital Plan for the TTC be increased from \$3,300,000 to \$58,400,000 gross; zero net, with cash flows of:
 - \$8,500,000 gross, funded by the Land Acquisition Reserve Fund (LARF) in 2007;
 - \$30,700,000 gross, funded in the amount of \$9,000,000 by the LARF and \$21,700,000 from other stakeholders in 2008;
 - \$14,500,000 gross, funded from other stakeholders in 2009; and
 - \$4,700,000 gross, funded from other stakeholders in 2010;
3. Proceeds from the sale of the Islington lands to SNC, as well as potential proceeds from the proposed sale of (Phase II) Islington lands and the Westwood lands, be used to repay the LARF;
4. City and TTC staff continue to negotiate the cost-sharing arrangements necessary for these projects to proceed with Mississauga Transit (MT), GO Transit (GO), Greater Toronto Transportation Authority (GTTA), and Provincial staff;
5. City Council forward its recommendations on the Kipling Islington Redevelopment Initiative to the GTTA and request priority funding for the proposed inter-regional

transit project at Kipling Station;

6. The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the Chair of the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) write to the Minister of Transport, Infrastructure and Communities requesting the Federal government to contribute a share of the total budget for these important inter-regional transit improvements; and
7. The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be directed to provide a further report back to Committee and City Council should alternative cost-sharing allocations be suggested or if negotiations fail to result in full funding of the capital costs of the Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative.

(December 21, 2006) report from Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that:

1. City Council approve the Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative and the concepts for improvements to the Kipling and Islington Stations shown in the December 13, 2006 TTC report “Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Strategy – Status of Cost-sharing Discussions”, subject to confirmation of cost-sharing commitments of MT, GO, GTTA , and the Province;
2. The 2007 – 2011 Capital Plan for the TTC be increased from \$3,300,000 to \$58,400,000 gross; zero net, with cash flows of:
 - \$8,500,000 gross, funded by the Land Acquisition Reserve Fund (LARF) in 2007;
 - \$30,700,000 gross, funded in the amount of \$9,000,000 by the LARF and \$21,700,000 from other stakeholders in 2008;
 - \$14,500,000 gross, funded from other stakeholders in 2009; and
 - \$4,700,000 gross, funded from other stakeholders in 2010;
3. Proceeds from the sale of the Islington lands to SNC, as well as potential proceeds from the proposed sale of (Phase II) Islington lands and the Westwood lands, be used to repay the LARF;
4. City and TTC staff continue to negotiate the cost-sharing arrangements necessary for these projects to proceed with Mississauga Transit (MT), GO Transit (GO), Greater Toronto Transportation Authority (GTTA), and Provincial staff;

5. City Council forward its recommendations on the Kipling Islington Redevelopment Initiative to the GTTA and request priority funding for the proposed inter-regional transit project at Kipling Station;
6. The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the Chair of the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) write to the Minister of Transport, Infrastructure and Communities requesting the Federal government to contribute a share of the total budget for these important inter-regional transit improvements; and
7. The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be directed to provide a further report back to Committee and City Council should alternative cost-sharing allocations be suggested or if negotiations fail to result in full funding of the capital costs of the Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative.

Summary

Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative consists of the construction of a new inter-regional bus terminal at Kipling for shared use of MT and GO, a new accessible TTC bus terminal at Islington, and consequent replacement of accessory transit facilities and commuter parking. This Redevelopment Initiative is the culmination of a study over the past three years of how new transit facilities at Kipling/Islington could improve inter-regional transit movements and create opportunities for intensification and redevelopment adjacent to these two subway stations.

This report recommends approval of the Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Initiative and allocation of capital funding of \$17,500,000, the City's proposed share of the total \$58,400,000 budget for the Redevelopment Initiative, subject to confirmation that Mississauga Transit (MT), GO Transit and Province of Ontario and/or the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority (GTТА) will make the capital contributions required of them. City Council has on the same agenda for approval a TTC report and recommendations adopted December 13, 2006, on this redevelopment strategy and a cost-sharing arrangement with MT, GO, GTТА and the Province for these projects. The City's share would be funded from the Land Acquisition Reserve Fund, resulting in no new debt for the City. Proceeds from the sale of lands to SNC-Lavalin Group Inc. (SNC) and from the possible sale of two other properties (Phase II Islington/Westwood lands) would be repaid to the LARF.

Background Information

2007-ex2-10

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-604.pdf>

2007-ex2-10.1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-1004.pdf>

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Executive Committee received the communication (December 14, 2006) from The General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission.

10a Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Strategy – Status of Cost Sharing Discussions

(December 14, 2006) letter from The General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission

Summary

At its meeting on Wednesday, December 13, 2006, the Toronto Transit Commission considered the attached report entitled, “Kipling/Islington Redevelopment Strategy – Status of Cost Sharing Discussions.”

EX2.11	AMENDED		Policy	Wards: All
--------	---------	--	--------	------------

Ratification of the Canada-Ontario-Toronto Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on Immigration and Settlement

City Council Decision

City Council on February 5, 6, 7 and 8, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. Council ratify the Memorandum of Understanding between Her Majesty in Right of Canada (“Canada”), Her Majesty in Right of the Province of Ontario (“Ontario”), and the City of Toronto (“Toronto”) dated the 29th day of September, 2006 which provides the framework for formalized discussions between the three governments with respect to immigration and settlement issues in Toronto.
2. The City Manager report to the September 4, 2007 meeting of the Executive Committee on progress made under the Memorandum of Understanding.
3. The appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take all necessary actions to give effect thereto.

(December 18, 2006) report from the City Manager

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that:

1. Council ratify the Memorandum of Understanding between Her Majesty in Right of Canada (“Canada”), Her Majesty in Right of the Province of Ontario (“Ontario”), and the City of Toronto (“Toronto”) dated the 29th day of September, 2006 which provides the framework for formalized discussions between the three governments with respect to immigration and settlement issues in Toronto; and

2. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take all necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Summary

On September 29, 2006 the Mayor signed a Canada-Ontario-Toronto Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on behalf of the City of Toronto that provides for tri-level collaboration on immigration and settlement, subject to ratification by City Council. The federal and provincial signatories were Minister of Citizenship and Immigration Canada Monte Solberg and the Minister of Citizenship and Immigration Mike Colle respectively.

Background Information

2007-ex2-11-1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-591.pdf>

2007-ex2-11-2

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-590.pdf>

EX2.19	AMENDED		Transactional	
--------	---------	--	---------------	--

Change to Composition of the Board of Management of the Toronto Zoo

City Council Decision

City Council on February 5, 6, 7 and 8, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. That two positions on the Board of Management of the Toronto Zoo be changed from Toronto Zoo Foundation Board representatives to citizen representatives.
2. That the City Manager consult with the Chief Executive Officer of the Toronto Zoo and report to the Executive Committee on the eligibility requirements, qualifications and process for recruitment and selection of the citizen members and any amendments to the Public Appointments Policy resulting from the change in composition of the Board.

(December 19, 2006) report from the Secretary, Board of Management of the Toronto Zoo

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that two positions on the Board of Management of the Toronto Zoo be changed from Toronto Zoo Foundation Board representatives to citizen representatives.

Summary

To recommend changes to the composition of the Board of Management and a selection process for non Councillor positions on the Board.

Background Information

2007-ex2-19-2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-597.pdf>)

2007-ex2-19-1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-598.pdf>)

Noted and Filed Items:

The Executive Committee noted and filed the following agenda item(s):

EX2.14 Request of the Province of Ontario to Declare Transit Service in Toronto an Essential Service

(October 6, 2006) Member Motion from Councillor Palacio, seconded by Councillor Lindsay Luby.

EX2.15 Toronto Hydro Purchases Of Nuclear Power From The Ontario Power Generation Corporation

(October 6, 2006) Member Motion from Councillor Saundercook, seconded by Councillor Mihevc.

EX2.16 Establishment of a Property Assessment Reform Task-Force (PART)

(October 6, 2006) Member Motion from Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Stintz.

EX2.17 Response to Request for Information on How Quickly the Toronto Public Service Can Recruit and Train the 250 New Police Officers Hired Under the “Safer Communities – 1,000 Officers Partnership Program”, Associated Costs and Multi-Cultural Diversity of the New Police Officers

(November 16, 2006) report from Alok Mukherjee, Chair, Toronto Police Services Board.

*Submitted Monday, January 15, 2007
Mayor David Miller, Chair, Executive Committee*