

---

## Etobicoke York Community Council

<b>Meeting No.</b>	4	<b>Contact</b>	Glenda Jagai, Committee Administrator
<b>Meeting Date</b>	Tuesday, March 27, 2007	<b>Phone</b>	416-394-2516
<b>Start Time</b>	9:30 AM	<b>E-mail</b>	etcc@toronto.ca
<b>Location</b>	Council Chamber, Etobicoke Civic Centre		

---

Councillor Frances Nunziata (Chair)	Councillor Mark Grimes	Councillor Peter Milczyn
Councillor Suzan Hall (Vice-Chair)	Councillor Doug Holyday	Councillor Cesar Palacio
Councillor Frank Di Giorgio	Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby	Councillor Bill Saundercook
Councillor Rob Ford	Councillor Giorgio Mammoliti	

**Members of Council and Staff:** Please keep this agenda and the accompanying material until the City Council meeting dealing with these matters has ended. **The City Clerk's Office will not provide additional copies.**

**Special Assistance for Members of the Public:** City staff can arrange for special assistance with some advance notice. If you need special assistance, please call 416-394-8101, TTY 416-338-0889 or e-mail [etcc@toronto.ca](mailto:etcc@toronto.ca).

**Closed Meeting Requirements:** If the Etobicoke York Community Council wants to meet in closed session (privately), a member of the committee must make a motion to do so and give the reason why the Committee has to meet privately. (City of Toronto Act, 2006).

**Notice to People Writing to the Committee:** The City of Toronto collects any personal information in your communication to Toronto City Council or its committees under the City of Toronto Act, 2006 and the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The City collects this information to enable it to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s). Individuals who submit letters and other information should be aware that any personal information in their communication will become part of the public record. The City will make it available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove the personal information.

Direct any questions about this collection to the City Clerk's Office, 399 The West Mall Toronto ON M9C 2Y2 or by calling 416-394-8101.

### Timed Items

**10:00 a.m. – Items EY4.33, EY4.34, EY4.35, EY4.36, EY4.37, EY4.38, EY4.39 and EY4.40**

**12:00 p.m. – Items EY 4.41**

**1:30 p.m. – Items EY 4.57, EY4.58, EY4.59 and EY4.60**

**Introduction and Enactment of General Bills and Bill to Confirm the Proceedings of Community Council will be last items.**

**Declarations of Interest under the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act***

**Confirmation of Minutes – January 16, 2007 and February 13, 2007**

**Speakers/Presentations – A complete list will be distributed at the meeting.**

EY4.1	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 12
-------	--------	--	---------------	----------

**Request for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes - Jamaica Independence Day**

(March 2, 2007) letter from Cornel Davis, Public Relations, Independent Promotions

**Recommendations**

For Etobicoke York Community Council consideration.

**Summary**

Jamaica Independence Day Celebration will be taking place on July 28, 2007 from 12:00 noon to 12:00 midnight, at Keele Dale Park, 2801 Eglinton Avenue West. This annual summer festival will commence the 45th year of Independence and 17th Anniversary in Toronto, and requesting that the event be declared a community festival for liquor licensing purposes.

**Background Information**

March 2, 2007 letter

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2310.pdf>)

EY4.2	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: All
-------	--------	--	---------------	-----------

**Nomination of Two Citizen Representatives from the Etobicoke York Community Council area to the Heritage Toronto Board of Directors**

**Confidential - Personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees**

(March 9, 2007) report from Nomination Selection Committee

## Recommendations

The Nominations Selection Committee for the Heritage Toronto Board recommends:

1. That the Etobicoke York Community Council recommend to Council the appointment of the selected individuals listed in confidential Attachment No. 1, to the Heritage Toronto Board of Directors for a term commencing upon approval for the current term of Council, or until a successor is appointed; and
2. That the names become public once Council appoints them.

## Financial Impact

There are no financial implications to this report.

## Summary

The Heritage Toronto Nominations Selection Committee recommends two citizen representatives from the Etobicoke York Community Council area to be approved as members of the Heritage Toronto Board of Directors for the 2006 - 2010 term of Council.

## Background Information

March 9, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2053.pdf>

EY4.3	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 11
-------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Nominees for the City Board for George Bell Arena

(March 12, 2007) report from Senior Management Consultant, Governance Liaison for Board operated Arenas

## Recommendations

The City Board for George Bell Arena recommends the following residents of the City of Toronto for appointment to the City Board for George Bell Arena for a term expiring at the end of this term of Council, in accordance with the Civic Appointments Policy:

Mr. Michael Galea  
 Ms. Gillian Godfrey  
 Mr. Michael Gusche  
 Mr. Sandy McIntyre  
 Mr. Michael McVey; and  
 Mr. Bruce Tokiwa.

## Summary

The George Bell Arena has been operated by a Board of Management since it was established in 1961. Chapter 25 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code which governs appointments to the George Bell Arena Board states that the Board shall be composed of seven citizens appointed by Council and one Councillor representing the ward in which the arena is geographically located.

## Background Information

March 12, 2007 letter

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2059.pdf>)

EY4.4	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 13
-------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Swansea Town Hall 2007 Appointments

(March 5, 2007) letter from Executive Director, Swansea Town Hall Community Centre

## Recommendations

The Board of Management of Swansea Town Hall recommends that the Etobicoke York Community Council appoint at the pleasure of Council:

1. the following individuals nominated at the Swansea Town Hall annual general meeting and whose appointments comply with the Public Appointments Policy;
  - a. for a two-year term ending March 2009, Arlene Crooks, Ed Benson, Cheryl Crompton, Louis Gris and Susan Zalepa or until their successors are appointed;
  - b. for a one-year term ending March 2008, Brenda Futz, Glen Gogal, Murial Sides and John Woodburn or until their successors are appointed;
2. the following Community Group (Interest Group) nominees, whose appointments comply with the Public Appointments Policy for a term of office ending March 2011 or until their successors are appointed;
  - a. Doreen Sharkey replacing Isabel McKerihan nominated by the Swansea Area Seniors Association;
  - b. Jack Slaughter nominated by the Swansea Community Recreation Centre Advisory Council;
  - c. Norman McLeod nominated by the Swansea Historical Society;

- d. Joan Oreto nominated by the James T. Bonham Residences;
- e. Muriel Casey nominated by the Swansea Horticultural Society; and
- f. William Roberts nominated by the Swansea Area Ratepayer Association.

### Summary

At the Swansea Town Hall Annual General Meeting held on February 27, 2007, the membership of the Swansea Town Hall Association nominated the individuals listed in item 1 for appointment by Etobicoke York Community Council to the Board of Management of the Swansea Town Hall. Appointments to the Swansea Town Hall Board of Management is a matter for which the community council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision, provided that the recommendations do not vary from the Public Appointments Policy. In addition, the Community Groups (Interest Groups) nominated the individuals listed in item 2 for appointment by Etobicoke York Community Council to the Board of Management of the Swansea Town Hall.

### Background Information

March 5, 2007 letter

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2177.pdf>

**(Deferred from February 13, 2007 - 2007.EY3.25)**

EY4.5	Information		Transactional	Ward: All
-------	-------------	--	---------------	-----------

### On-street Parking Permits Waitlisted Streets Current Policies and Procedures

(January 26, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services-Etobicoke York District

### Summary

City of Toronto Council adopted the existing on-street parking permit program in 2001. The bylaw enacting it came into force in September 2006. The program is predicated on a three level priority system. Residents without access to off-street parking take the highest priority, receive permits first, and pay the lowest fees. Currently there are 9,000 on-street parking permits on-issue in Etobicoke York District, distributed across 437 streets. Of these 437 streets, just 21 are presently “waitlisted”; that is, there is more resident demand for on-street parking permits than there are on-street parking stalls. Residents who cannot obtain a permit are queued on a waitlist, where they remain until they become eligible for permits when existing residents do not renew their on-street parking permits. The existing bylaw offers a number of alternatives for dealing with waitlisted streets such as ‘bumping’ lower priority permit holders, increasing the number of on-street parking permits that we issue by up to 10 per cent on specific streets, consolidating streets into areas to increase the available on-street parking supply, and reviewing the number of parking stalls that can be accommodated on-street. The current bylaw does not

allow staff to revoke permits on waitlisted streets and reissue them, nor does it allow specific permitting regimes for waitlisted streets. This would require amending the bylaw City-wide. Although, regardless of what options we introduce, without any increase in on-street parking the waitlist system remains our only practical alternative for those streets where parking demand exceeds parking supply.

### Background Information

extract

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1871.pdf>)

report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1805.pdf>)

EY4.6	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 6
-------	--------	--	-----------	---------

### Coules Court – Bus Loading Zone Extension

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. The Etobicoke York Community Council approve the removal of the current school bus loading zone designation from the north side of Coules Court (north leg) between a point 130.0 metres west of Brown’s Line to a point 18.0 metres west thereof;
2. The Etobicoke York Community Council approve the designation of a school bus loading zone on the north side of Coules Court (north leg) between a point 72.0 metres west of Brown’s Line to a point 76.0 metres west thereof;
3. The Etobicoke York Community Council rescind the “No Parking, 8: 00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition from the north side of the north leg of Coules Court between Brown’s Line and a point 114.0 metres west thereof; and
4. The Etobicoke York Community Council approve a “No Parking, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the north side of the north leg of Coules Court between Brown’s Line and a point 72.0 metres west thereof.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$150.00

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to obtain approvals to extend the existing school bus loading zone located on the north side of the north leg of Coules Court in front of St. Ambrose Elementary School and, to amend the limits of the current parking prohibition in this area. The extension to the existing bus loading zone in conjunction with the parking prohibition amendment are required in order to accommodate school buses that are no longer allowed to use the parking lot of the church located next to the school to load and unload students

## Background Information

attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2004.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2003.pdf>)

EY4.7	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 7
-------	--------	--	-----------	---------

## Encroachment Agreement for 71 Fenmar Drive

(March 6, 2007) report from Acting Director, Development Engineering

## Recommendations

The Technical Services Division recommends that:

1. the Etobicoke York Community Council authorize the appropriate City Officials to negotiate and enter into an encroachment agreement with the owner of 71 Fenmar Drive on such terms and conditions as may be required by the Executive Director of Technical Services, including, but not limited to the following:
  - a. Prepare a Plan of Survey which details the location of the private watermain within the easement;
  - b. That the applicant indemnify the City against all claims for loss or damage which may occur to the proposed work as a result of a leak or break in the City infrastructure; that the Applicant also indemnify the City against all claims for loss or damage which may occur to the proposed work for any future construction activity upon, or within the City easement, to repair, install, modify, or replace the existing City infrastructure by City forces or by contractors hired by City to carry out this work;
  - c. Hold the City of Toronto harmless for any and all damages or loss associated with future temporary closure of the proposed driveway entrance to the subject property to facilitate any maintenance or rehabilitation work

within the easement;

- d. That the applicant will maintain the proposed services in the condition as approved under the site plan approval for the site in a state of good repair; and,
- e. The applicant must modify Instrument No. NY332310 to reflect the Plan of Survey for the Easement which details all private encroachments, above and below grade, including the Land Registry Office's Parcel Register for this property. The applicant must submit documentation to the Technical Services Division demonstrating that this undertaking has been satisfied.

### Financial Impact

There are no financial implications.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to seek authority from Community Council to negotiate and enter into an encroachment agreement permitting the property owner to encroach on the City's sewer easement at 71 Fenmar Drive with an existing 200mm private watermain.

### Background Information

attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2045.pdf>)

March 6, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2044.pdf>)

EY4.8	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 1
-------	--------	--	---------------	---------

### Albion Road, East of Finch Avenue - Pedestrian Signals

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. Toronto City Council approve installing pedestrian actuated traffic control signals on Albion Road, 280.0 metres east of Finch Avenue West.



**Financial Impact**

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within the capital works budget	Project No. CTP707-01	\$89,200.00

**Summary**

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval for the installation of pedestrian signals on Albion Road, approximately 280.0 metres east of Finch Avenue West. The installation of pedestrian actuated traffic control signals is justified as the Pedestrian Crossover (PXO) Warrant requirements are achieved; however, since several of the key environmental standards fail, the installation of pedestrian actuated traffic control signals are recommended. The pedestrian activated traffic control signals will provide safe and convenient access for pedestrians, and will not compromise the integrity of the arterial road network. Although the warrants are met and the installation is compliant with City policies, Albion Road is an established Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) route and this matter must be approved by City Council. TTC staff support this installation.

**Background Information**

attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2106.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2097.pdf>)

EY4.9	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 1
-------	--------	--	-----------	---------

**Stevenson Road at Kipling Avenue – Left-Turn Prohibition**

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

**Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. The Etobicoke York Community Council approve removing the current eastbound Monday to Friday left-turn prohibition on Stevenson Road at Kipling Avenue.

**Financial Impact**

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$50.00

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to propose removing the eastbound, left-turn prohibition applicable to motorists on Stevenson Road at Kipling Avenue. Approval of this report will result in removing the regulatory “No Left-Turn, Monday to Friday” signs at this location. The traffic control change near the previous pedestrian crossover (PXO) on Kipling Avenue north of Stevenson Road replacement with mid-block pedestrian signals means the prohibition is no longer necessary. Removing the left-turn prohibition will improve traffic movements at this intersection for all motorists including police vehicles exiting from the new 23 Division under construction on the southwest corner of the intersection.

## Background Information

Attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2107.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2098.pdf>)

EY4.10	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 2
--------	--------	--	-----------	---------

## Greensboro Drive – Parking and Heavy Truck Amendments

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

## Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. The Etobicoke York Community Council prohibit parking on the south side of Greensboro Drive between a point 265.0 metres west of Greensboro Drive and a point 40.0 metres further east thereof.
2. The Etobicoke York Community Council restrict heavy trucks from parking and travelling on Greensboro Drive between Kipling Avenue and the easterly limit of the road between 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m.

## Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$500.00

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to recommend a “No

"Parking Anytime" regulation on the south side of Greensboro Drive opposite the loading dock of 34 Greensboro Drive and to recommend the restriction of heavy trucks between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. on this street. The parking prohibition is being recommended to provide an area clear of parked vehicles opposite the loading docks to 34 Greensboro Drive, to facilitate access. This will result in the elimination of approximately six on-street parking spaces, however, ample on-street parking will remain to the east and west of this location. Trucks will also be restricted from parking and travelling on this road between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m., unless they are making a delivery to, or collection from, the businesses on this street.

### Background Information

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2108.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2099.pdf>)

EY4.11	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 2
--------	--------	--	---------------	---------

### Renault Crescent and Griggsden Avenue – All-Way Stop Sign Installation

(February 22, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. City Council approve an all-way stop control at the intersection of Renault Crescent and Griggsden Avenue (south intersection); and
2. The existing pedestrian crossover on Griggsden Avenue at the south intersection of Renault Crescent be removed in conjunction with the installation of the all-way stop.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$2,500.00

### Summary

The purpose of this report is to seek Community Council's approval to install an all-way stop control at the intersection of Renault Crescent and Griggsden Avenue (south intersection), in conjunction with the removal of the existing pedestrian crossover. The proposed all-way stop

control enhances traffic safety at this intersection by more clearly defining the right-of-way for all road users.

### Background Information

February 22, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2103.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2109.pdf>)

EY4.12	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 11
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

### Florence Crescent and Pritchard Avenue – All-Way Stop Signs

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. City Council approve an all-way stop control at the intersection of Florence Crescent and Pritchard Avenue.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funding	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$1,000.00

### Summary

The purpose of this report is to recommend the installation of an all-way stop at the intersection of Florence Crescent and Pritchard Avenue. The stop signs will enhance the operational and pedestrian safety conditions at this intersection.

### Background Information

attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2105.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2104.pdf>)

EY4.13	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 11
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

### **Dalrymple Drive and Cameo Crescent (East) – All-Way Stop Signs**

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

#### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. City Council approve an all-way stop control at the intersection of Dalrymple Drive and the east junction of Cameo Crescent.

#### **Financial Impact**

Type of Funding	Source of Funding	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$1,000.00

#### **Summary**

The purpose of this report is to recommend the installation of all-way stops at the intersection of Dalrymple Drive and the east junction of Cameo Crescent. The stop signs will enhance pedestrian safety given the unusual site conditions.

#### **Background Information**

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2115.pdf>

March 8, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2114.pdf>

EY4.14	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 3
--------	--------	--	---------------	---------

### **Centennial Park Road - Speed Limit Reduction**

(March 5, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

#### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. City Council reduce the speed limit on Centennial Park Road, between Rathburn

Road and the north limit of the road, from 50 km/h to 40 km/h as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$600.00

### Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to reduce the speed limit on Centennial Park Road from 50 km/h to 40 km/h. As the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant requirements are achieved, a 40 km/h speed limit is recommended on Centennial Park Road.

### Background Information

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2117.pdf>)

March 5, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2116.pdf>)

EY4.15	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 4
--------	--------	--	---------------	---------

### Hawthorne Road - Speed Limit Reduction

(March 8, 2007) memo from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. City Council approve reducing the speed limit on Hawthorne Road from Kipling Avenue to Marblehead Road from 50 km/h to 40 km/h as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$600.00

### Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to reduce the speed limit on Hawthorne Road

from Kipling Avenue to Marblehead Road to 40 km/h. As the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant requirements are achieved, a 40 km/h speed limit is recommended on Hawthorne Road. This limit will be posted from Kipling Avenue to Marblehead Road. Additional controls, such as an all-way stop control at Hawthorne Road and Marblehead Road are not warranted or recommended.

### Background Information

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2119.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2118.pdf>)

EY4.16	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 12
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

### Renfield Street – Speed Reduction

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommend that:

1. City Council approve reducing the speed limit on Renfield Street, between Lawrence Avenue West and Harding Avenue from 50 km/h to 40 km/h. as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$1000.00

### Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to reduce the speed limit on Renfield Street, between Lawrence Avenue West and Harding Avenue from 50 km/h to 40 km/h. As the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant requirements are achieved, a 40 km/h speed limit is recommended on Renfield Street. This limit will be posted from Lawrence Avenue West to Harding Avenue.

### Background Information

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2121.pdf>)

March 8, 2007

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2120.pdf>)

EY4.17	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 12
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

### Harding Avenue – Speed Limit Reduction

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

#### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommend that:

1. City Council approve reducing the existing speed limit on Harding Avenue, between Jane Street and Renfield Street from 50 km/h to 40 km/h as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved.

#### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$1000.00

#### Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to reduce the existing speed limit on Harding Avenue, between Jane Street and Renfield Street from 50 km/h to 40 km/h. The warrant for the reduction in the speed limit is met and recommended.

#### Background Information

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2123.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2122.pdf>)

EY4.18	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 12
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

### Chiswick Street – Speed Limit Reduction

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District



## Recommendations

Transportation Services recommend that:

1. City Council approve reducing the existing speed limit on Chiswick Street, between Lawrence Avenue West and Hearst Circle from 50 km/h to 40 km/h as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved.

## Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$1000.00

## Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to reduce the existing speed limit on Chiswick Street, between Lawrence Avenue West and Hearst Circle from 50 km/h to 40 km/h. The warrants for the reduction in the speed limit is met and recommended.

## Background Information

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2125.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2124.pdf>)

EY4.19	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 6
--------	--------	--	---------------	---------

## Park Boulevard - Traffic Control Amendments

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

## Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. City Council approve reducing the speed limit on Park Boulevard between Thirty Sixth Street and Thirty First Street from 50 km/h to 40 km/h, as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved; and
2. City Council approve the installation of an all-way stop control at the intersection of Park Boulevard and Thirty Fifth Street.

**Financial Impact**

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$900.00

**Summary**

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to reduce the legal speed limit on Park Boulevard, from 50 km/h to 40 km/h; and, to propose the installation of an all-way stop control at the intersection of Park Boulevard and Thirty Fifth Street. As the 40km/h Speed Limit Warrant requirements are achieved, a 40 km/h speed limit is recommended on Park Boulevard between Thirty Sixth Street and Thirty First Street. The proposed all-way stop control will address the safety concerns related to the restricted sight lines at this intersection.

**Background Information**

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2127.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2126.pdf>)

EY4.20	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 7
--------	--------	--	-----------	---------

**Stanley Road - Parking/Stopping Regulation Amendments**

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

**Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends to Etobicoke York Community Council that:

1. The existing stopping prohibition from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the south side of Stanley Road, between a point 152.5 metres and 335.5 metres west of Jane Street be rescinded;
2. A stopping prohibition from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the south side of Stanley Road, between a point 176.0 metres and 335.3 metres west of Jane Street be approved;
3. The existing “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the north side of Stanley Road, between Jane Street and Laura Road be rescinded;
4. A “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the north side of Stanley Road between Jane Street and a point 32.0 metres east of Ricklan Drive be approved; and

5. A “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the north side of Stanley Road between the westerly limit of Ricklan Drive and the easterly limit of Laura Road be approved.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$300.00

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to propose changes to the “No Stopping, 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” regulation on the south side of Stanley Road and the “No Parking Anytime” regulation on a portion of the north side of the road near the Domenic DiLuca Community Centre. Removing a short portion of the “No Stopping, 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” regulation on the south side of Stanley Road near the entrance to the Community Centre will allow 3 or 4 more vehicles to park on the street during the daytime, evenings and weekends up to the three hour city wide limit. On the opposite side of the road (north side) to the west of this area, removal of a portion of the “No Parking Anytime” regulation will allow for 2 to 3 more vehicles to park during the evenings and on weekends up to the three hour city wide limit. During the weekdays, the “No Stopping, 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” regulation will still apply.

### Background Information

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2135.pdf>

March 8, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2134.pdf>

EY4.21	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 12
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### Paulson Road – Amendments to Traffic Regulations

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that the Etobicoke York Community Council approve the following:

1. The City Clerk conduct a poll of residents in English, Italian and Portuguese on Paulson Road, between King Georges Drive and Trethewey Drive to determine whether residents support a one-way eastbound operation.
2. Subject to favourable results of the poll, a one-way eastbound regulation on Paulson

Road, between King Georges Drive and Freeman Road.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$1,000.00

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to outline the findings of the investigation to introduce a one-way traffic operation on a small section of Paulson Road.

### Background Information

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2138.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2137.pdf>)

**(Deferred from February 13, 2007 - 2007.EY3.20)**

EY4.22	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 13
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

### 71 Jane Street - Amendments to Parking Regulations

(January 16, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services and Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. the existing parking prohibition from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., except Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holidays on the east side of Jane Street, between Annette Street and Bloor Street West, be rescinded;
2. the existing parking prohibition from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., on the east side of Jane Street, between Rivercrest Road and Weatherell Street, be rescinded;
3. parking be prohibited from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., except Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holidays on the east side of Jane Street, between Annette Street and Weatherell Street and on the east side of Jane Street, between Bloor Street West and Rivercrest Road;

4. parking be prohibited at other times on the east side of Jane Street, between Rivercrest Road and Weatherell Street; and
5. parking be allowed for a maximum period of fifteen minutes, from 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., 11:00 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the east side of Jane Street, from a point 17.4 metres north of Rivercrest Road to a point 98.6 metres further north.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$3,000.00

### Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose an amendment to the existing parking regulations on Jane Street, between Rivercrest Road and Weatherell Street based on the results of Transportation Services staff investigation. The implementation of less restrictive parking regulations would allow pick-up and drop-off activities to occur at this location which are required to service the school.

### Background Information

extract

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1870.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1869.pdf>)

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1804.pdf>)

EY4.23	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 7
--------	--------	--	-----------	---------

### Wallasey Avenue - Traffic Calming

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends to Etobicoke York Community Council that:

1. The City Clerk be authorized to conduct a poll of eligible householders on Wallasey Avenue between Weston Road and Highbury Road, to determine resident support for the proposed speed hump plan, in accordance with the City of Toronto traffic calming policy.
2. Subject to favourable poll results:

- a. The City Solicitor prepare a by-law to alter sections of the roadway on Wallasey Avenue between Weston Road and Highbury Road, for traffic calming purposes, generally as shown on the speed hump plan that was circulated to residents during the polling process.
- b. Transportation Services take the necessary actions to reduce the speed limit from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Wallasey Avenue between Weston Road and Highbury Road, when the speed humps are installed.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$27,000.00

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to outline the findings of an investigation to determine the need to install speed humps on Wallasey Avenue between Weston Road and Highbury Road. A staff assessment has shown that the criteria for the installation of speed humps on Wallasey Avenue is met.

### Background Information

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2140.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2139.pdf>)

EY4.24	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 11
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### Howbert Drive – Traffic Calming

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends to Etobicoke York Community Council that:

1. Traffic calming not be installed on Howbert Drive, between Gary Drive and Pellatt Avenue.

### Financial Impact

The adoption of the above noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Council decides to approve installing traffic calming devices on this section of Howbert Drive, the following financial impact will result:

1. The estimated cost for installing three speed humps would be \$6,000.00. Transportation Services has requested that the 2007 Capital budget process allocate \$750,000.00 for traffic-calming initiatives and installing speed humps on Howbert Drive would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to outline the findings of an investigation to determine the need to install speed humps on Howbert Drive, between Gary Drive and Pellatt Avenue. A staff review has shown that the criteria for the installation of speed humps on Howbert Drive are not met.

### Background Information

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2142.pdf>

March 8, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2141.pdf>

EY4.25	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 12
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### Bicknell Avenue – Traffic Calming

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council approve the following:

1. Transportation Services staff consult with Councillor Di Giorgio to develop a speed hump plan;
2. the City Clerk be authorized to conduct a poll of eligible householders in English, Italian and Portuguese, on Bicknell Avenue, between Eglinton Avenue West and Rogers Road, to determine resident support for the proposed speed hump plan, in accordance with the City of Toronto traffic calming Policy;
3. subject to favourable results of the poll;

- a. The City Solicitor prepare a by-law to alter sections of the roadway on Bicknell Avenue, between Eglinton Avenue West and Rogers Road, for traffic calming purposes, generally as the speed hump plan that the City Clerk circulated to residents during the polling process shows; and
- b. Transportation Services take the necessary actions to reduce the speed limit from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Bicknell Avenue, between Eglinton Avenue West and Rogers Road when the speed humps are installed.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Capital Budget	\$24,000.00

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to outline the findings of an investigation to determine the need to install speed humps on Bicknell Avenue, between Eglinton Avenue West and Rogers Road. A staff assessment has shown that the criteria for the installation of speed humps on Bicknell Avenue are met.

### Background Information

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2144.pdf>

March 8, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2143.pdf>

EY4.26	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 8
--------	--------	--	-----------	---------

### Renfield Street – Traffic Calming

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommend to Etobicoke York Community Council that:

1. Traffic calming not be installed on Renfield Street, between Lawrence Avenue West and Harding Avenue.

### Financial Impact

The adoption of the above noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If,



however, Council decides to approve installing traffic calming devices on this section of Renfield Street, the following financial impact will result.

The estimated cost for installing three speed humps would be \$6,000.00. Transportation Services has requested that the 2007 Capital budget process allocate \$750,000.00 for traffic-calming initiatives. Installing speed humps on Renfield Street would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to outline the findings of an investigation to determine the need to install speed humps to address residents' concerns with the speed of traffic on Renfield Street, between Lawrence Avenue West and Harding Avenue. Our assessment indicates the speeds on the street are already below a level which would benefit from traffic calming. Therefore, speed humps should not be installed on Renfield Street.

### Background Information

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2145.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2146.pdf>)

EY4.27	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 12
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### Strathnairn Avenue – Traffic Calming

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends to Etobicoke York Community Council that:

1. Traffic calming not be installed on Strathnairn Avenue, between Keele Street and Castlefield Avenue.

### Financial Impact

The adoption of the above noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Council decides to approve installing traffic calming devices on this section of Strathnairn Avenue, the following financial impact will result:

The estimated cost for installing three speed humps would be \$15,000.00. Transportation Services has requested that the 2007 Capital Budget process allocate \$750,000.00 for traffic-

calming initiatives and installing speed humps on Strathnairn Avenue would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

### Summary

This staff report about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to outline the findings of an investigation to determine the need to install speed humps on Strathnairn Avenue, between Keele Street and Castlefield Avenue. A staff assessment has shown that the criteria for the installation of speed humps on Strathnairn Avenue are not met.

### Background Information

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2148.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2147.pdf>)

EY4.28	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 12
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### Harding Avenue – Traffic Calming

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends to Etobicoke York Community Council that:

1. Traffic calming not be installed on Harding Avenue between Jane Street and its termination point.

### Financial Impact

The adoption of the above noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Council decides to approve installing traffic calming devices on this section of Harding Avenue, the following financial impact will result:

1. The estimated cost for installing three speed humps would be \$15,000.00. Transportation Services has requested that the 2007 Capital budget process allocate \$750,000.00 for traffic-calming initiatives and installing speed humps on Harding Avenue would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from

City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to outline the findings of an investigation to determine the need to install speed humps to address residents' concerns with the speed of traffic on Harding Avenue. Our assessment has shown that the speeds are already below a level which would benefit from traffic calming. Therefore, speed humps should not be installed on Harding Avenue.

### **Background Information**

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2151.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2150.pdf>)

EY4.29	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 12
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### **Chiswick Street – Traffic Calming**

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends to Etobicoke York Community Council that:

1. Traffic calming not be installed on Chiswick Street, between Lawrence Avenue West and Hearst Circle.

### **Financial Impact**

The adoption of the above-noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Council decides to approve installing traffic calming devices on this section of Chiswick Street, the following financial impact will result:

1. The estimated cost for installing three speed humps would be \$6,000.00. Transportation Services has requested that the 2007 Capital budget process allocate \$750,000.00 for traffic-calming initiatives and installing speed humps on Chiswick Street would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to outline the findings of an investigation to determine the need to install speed humps to address residents' concerns with the speed of traffic on Chiswick Street, between Lawrence Avenue West and Hearst Circle. Our assessment has shown that traffic calming is not warranted. Therefore, speed humps should not be installed on Chiswick Street, between Lawrence Avenue West and Hearst Circle.

## Background Information

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2154.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2153.pdf>)

EY4.30	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 17
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Brandon Avenue – Installation of Speed Humps

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommend that:

1. the City Clerk conduct a poll of eligible householders in English, Italian and Portuguese, on Brandon Avenue, between between Dufferin Street and Lightbourn Avenue, determining resident support for the proposed speed hump plan, in accordance with the City of Toronto traffic calming policy;
2. subject to favourable results of the poll:
  - a. the City Solicitor prepare a by-law to alter the roadway on Brandon Avenue, between Dufferin Street and Lightbourn Avenue, for traffic calming purposes generally as shown on Drawing No. 8610, November 2006, and circulated to residents through the polling process; and
  - b. Transportation Services take the necessary actions to reduce the speed limit from for 40km/h to 30km/h on Brandon Avenue, between Dufferin Street and Lightbourn Avenue, when the speed humps are installed.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funding	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Capital Budget	\$7000.00

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to outline the findings of the investigation on installing speed humps on Brandon Avenue, between Dufferin Street and Lightbourn Avenue. The criteria for the installation of speed humps on Brandon Avenue are met.

## Background Information

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2158.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2157.pdf>)

EY4.31	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 13
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Disabled Persons' Parking

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

## Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. The Etobicoke York Community Council approve the installation of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities at various locations as identified in the attached Appendix A.

## Financial Impact

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$2400

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to obtain approval for the installation of a number of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities.

## Background Information

March 8, 2007

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2160.pdf>)

EY4.32	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 11
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

## **Weston Heritage Conservation District – Settlement of OMB Appeal**

(March 7, 2007) report from Director, Policy and Research, Community Planning Division

### **Recommendations**

The Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division recommends:

1. that City Council approve the modifications to the Weston Heritage Conservation District Plan contained in Attachment 1 to this report; and
2. that the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, be authorized to settle the appeal of Bylaw 798-2006 designating the Weston Heritage Conservation District under the Ontario Heritage Act, generally on the basis of Attachment 1; and
3. that the appropriate City officials be authorized to take such further and other steps as are required to implement the intent of the settlement.

### **Financial Impact**

This report has no financial impact.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

### **Summary**

The owner of property at 2000-2016 Weston Road has appealed Bylaw 798-2006 to the Ontario Municipal Board. This Bylaw designated a portion of the former Town of Weston as a Heritage Conservation District (HCD) and adopted a HCD Plan which contains Guidelines intended to maintain and enhance the heritage character of the District. The basis of the appeal is that the Guidelines contained in the HCD Plan are relevant for low-rise residential properties but do not address commercial properties in a Main Street context, such as that owned by the appellant. Staff agree that the District Plan is deficient in this respect. The most efficient way to correct this situation is to modify the Guidelines to take into account the properties in the District fronting on Weston Road. The OMB then can be asked to incorporate these Guidelines into the HCD Plan and put the Weston HCD into effect. The appellant, staff and the local residents group which is leading the HCD project in Weston have agreed upon a set of Guidelines that will maintain and enhance the heritage character of the Weston Road properties. Staff recommend a settlement based on these Guidelines

## Background Information

Attachment 2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2043.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2042.pdf>)

Clause 25, Report 7, 2006

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2218.pdf>)

March 7, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2041.pdf>)

EY4.33	ACTION	10:00 AM	Transactional	Ward: 1
--------	--------	----------	---------------	---------

## Intention to Designate, Part IV, Ontario Heritage Act 19 and 23 Jason Road

*Statutory - Ontario Heritage Act, RSO 1990*

(March 1, 2007) report from Toronto Preservation Board

## Recommendations

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Etobicoke York Community Council that:

1. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 19 Jason Road(Elm Bank, circa 1834) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
2. City Council state its intention to designate the properties at 23 Jason Road(Elm Bank, pre-1820) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
3. If there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 26(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
4. If there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board.
5. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

## Summary

The Toronto Preservation Board on March 1, 2007, considered the report (February 1, 2007) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division regarding its intention to

designate the properties at 19 and 23 Jason Road under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

### Background Information

Attachment 2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1848.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1850.pdf>)

February 1, 2007 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1847.pdf>)

Attachment 3

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1849.pdf>)

Attachment 4

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1851.pdf>)

March 1, 2007 transmittal

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1798.pdf>)

EY4.34	ACTION	10:00 AM	Transactional	Ward: 6
--------	--------	----------	---------------	---------

### Intention to Designate, Part IV, Ontario Heritage Act 329 Royal York Road

(March 1, 2007) report from Toronto Preservation Board

### Recommendations

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Etobicoke York Community Council that:

1. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 329 Royal York Road (Christ Church Mimico Cemetery) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
2. If there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 26(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.
3. If there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board.
4. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto;

subject to amending the first sentence of Paragraph 2 under Historical or Associative Value in the Reasons for Listing by deleting the word “congregation” and inserting the word “community”, so the sentence now reads:



“Christ Church Mimico Cemetery contains the graves of many prominent Etobicoke residents associated with the founding and development of the community.”.

### Summary

The Toronto Preservation Board on March 1, 2007, considered the report (February 7, 2007) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division regarding its intention to designate the property at 329 Royal York Road (Christ Church Mimico Cemetery) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

### Background Information

attachment 2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1854.pdf>)

attachment 3

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1856.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1853.pdf>)

March 1, 2007 transmittal

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1855.pdf>)

February 7, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1852.pdf>)

EY4.35	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 2
--------	--------	----------	-----------	---------

### Fence Exemption Request - 68 Frost Street

(February 26, 2007) report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

### Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that:

The Etobicoke York Community Council deny the request for the exemption based on non-compliance with the requirements set out in Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code.

### Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

### Summary

This Staff Report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority

from City Council to make a final decision. The subject matter is an application for an exemption to the Fence By-law under Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code to maintain an existing chain link fencing with a mesh size of 50 millimetres (2 inches) in the rear yard and permission to maintain a window opening facing the pool, which forms part of the swimming pool enclosure, without a limiting device to 100 millimetres (4 inches).

### **Background Information**

February 26, 2007 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1865.pdf>)

<b>EY4.36</b>	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 3
---------------	--------	----------	-----------	---------

### **Fence Exemption Application 15 Sagamore Crescent**

(March 8, 2007) report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

### **Recommendations**

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that:

The Etobicoke York Community Council refuse this application on the grounds that the fence under question is in violation of the Fence By-law.

### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

### **Summary**

This staff report is about an application for exemption to the Fence By-law under Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code, to maintain a 3.66 metres (12 feet) long section of a 2.85 metres (9 feet 4 inches) high wooden lattice/close board fence at the north side yard of the property municipally known as 15 Sagamore Crescent, for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

### **Background Information**

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2077.pdf>)

EY4.37	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 12
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

### **Fence Exemption Request - 4 Paulson Road**

(February 26, 2007) report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

#### **Recommendations**

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that:

The Etobicoke York Community Council deny the request for the exemption based on non-compliance with the requirements set out in Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code.

#### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

#### **Summary**

This Staff Report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The subject matter is an application for an exemption to the Fence By-law under Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code to maintain an existing board fencing complete with lattice-work at the West elevation in the rear yard which is in violation of the bylaw.

#### **Background Information**

February 26, 2007 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1866.pdf>)

EY4.38	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 12
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

### **Fence Exemption Request - 553 Rustic Road**

(February 26, 2007) report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

#### **Recommendations**

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that:

The Etobicoke York Community Council deny the request for the exemption for the private property fences based on non-compliance with the requirements set out in Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code.

## Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

## Summary

This Staff Report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The subject matter is an application for an exemption to Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code, Fences, to maintain an existing board on board wooden fence in the front yard which is in violation of the by-law.

## Background Information

February 26, 2007 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1867.pdf>)

EY4.39	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 12
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

## Fence Exemption Request - 96 Hyde Avenue

(February 26, 2007) report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

## Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that:

The Etobicoke York Community Council deny the request for the exemption based on non-compliance with the requirements set out in Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code.

## Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

## Summary

This Staff Report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The subject matter is an application for an exemption to the Fence By-law, Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code to allow the installation of 7.62 metre high fencing made of corrugated metal panels in the rear yard which is in violation of the by-law.

## Background Information

February 26, 2007 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1868.pdf>)

EY4.40	ACTION	10:00 AM	Transactional	Ward: 12
--------	--------	----------	---------------	----------

### **2562 Eglinton Avenue West Sign Variance Application**

(March 5, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District Director of Building and Deputy Chief Building Official

#### **Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council refuse the sign variance application at 2562 Eglinton Avenue West.

#### **Financial Impact**

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

#### **Summary**

CBS Outdoor has submitted an application for a variance from Sign By-law No. 3369-79, for the former City of York. The application is to permit the installation of a third party illuminated roof sign, on the property located at 2562 Eglinton Avenue West, in excess of the maximum 177 third party sign locations, and larger than the maximum permitted sign area of 25 square metres, allowed by the Sign By-law. This report reviews and recommends refusal of the application to amend Sign By-law No. 3369-79, as amended.

#### **Background Information**

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1827.pdf>)

EY4.41	ACTION	12:00 PM	Transactional	Ward: 7
--------	--------	----------	---------------	---------

### **148-156 Rowntree Mill Road – Zoning and Plan of Subdivision Applications (March 13, 2007)**

(March 13, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

#### **Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council refuse the applications as presented in this report;

2. City Council adopt the refusal recommendations of the Supplementary Report (Addendum), dated January 5, 2007, the Supplementary Report dated December 21, 2006, and Recommendation 1 of the Final Report dated April 25, 2006, all from the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District; and
3. In the event of an appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board or approval of the applications, City Council require that the statutory parkland dedication requirement be secured.

### **Financial Impact**

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### **Summary**

Action Planning Consultants has submitted applications to permit a revised residential development proposal on the properties at 148-156 Rowntree Mill Road. This report provides information on the direction provided by Etobicoke York Community Council at its meeting on February 13, 2007 and recommends adoption of the refusal recommendations in various outstanding reports and contained in the Supplementary Report (Addendum) dated January 5, 2007 from the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District.

### **Background Information**

March 13, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2074.pdf>

**(Deferred from February 13, 2007 - 2007.EY3.39)**

## **41a 148-156 Rowntree Mill Road Supplementary Report (Addendum) Zoning and Plan of Subdivision Applications**

(January 5, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

### **Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council adopt the recommendations of the Supplementary Report from the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District dated December 21, 2006; and
2. City Council refuse the current revised proposal (submitted December 29, 2006) for the rezoning and subdivision of the lands at 148-156 Rowntree Mill Road.

## Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

## Summary

A Supplementary Report from the Director of Community Planning, Etobicoke York District (December 21, 2006) recommending refusal of a proposed development consisting of 12 single detached houses, two open space blocks and a private road is before Community Council for consideration. Following the submission of the report to the Community Council Clerk, a further revised development proposal was received on December 29, 2006 along with supporting reports and documentation. The current revised development proposes 12 detached lots and homes, a new public road and one open space block on a reduced site comprising the properties at 148 and 156 Rowntree Mill Road. This report recommends refusal of the current revised proposal.

## Background Information

extract

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1872.pdf>)

January 5, 2007 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1806.pdf>)

## Communications

(September 12, 2006) letter from Giuseppe Lodato - EYMainEY3.39.2

(January 15, 2007) letter from Giuseppe Lodato - EYMainEY3.39.1

(February 13, 2007) e-mail from Nino and Maria Torelli - EYMainEY3.39.3

**(Deferred from February 13, 2007 - 2007.EY3.39a)**

## **41b 144-156 Rowntree Mill Road Zoning and Plan of Subdivision Applications Supplementary Report**

(December 21, 2006) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council adopt Recommendation 1 of the report from the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District dated April 25, 2006 to refuse the rezoning application and the Plan of Subdivision application as represented by the original proposal;
2. City Council refuse the revised proposal discussed in the report from the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District dated May 31, 2006 and in this report; and

3. City Council direct the City Solicitor and appropriate City staff to attend, if necessary, the Ontario Municipal Board, to support City Council's decision to refuse the rezoning and subdivision applications as represented in the original or revised proposals.

### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

### **Summary**

A revised development proposal in association with a rezoning application and plan of subdivision application to permit 12 single detached houses consisting of 8 lots with detached houses on a new 8 metre wide private street and 4 lots with detached houses fronting onto Rowntree Mill Road was deferred by Etobicoke York Community Council on June 13, 2006 until the applicant submitted additional required information and staff had time to assess the revision. City Council received a Status Report on the matter in September 2006. This report recommends refusal of the revised proposal and original proposal in view of the applicant's non-compliance over the last six months, with Community Council's conditions and direction for further consideration of the application, and on the basis of an evaluation of the revised proposal with no new information available.

### **Background Information**

December 21, 2006 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1838.pdf>)

**(Deferred from February 13, 2007 - 2007.EY3.39b)**

## **41c Refusal Report (April 25, 2006) 144-156 Rowntree Mill Road**

(April 25, 2006) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

### **Recommendations**

It is recommended that City Council:

- 1 refuse the Rezoning application 04 203855 WET 07 OZ and Draft Plan of Subdivision application 04 203864 WET 07 SB for 144-156 Rowntree Mill Road;
- 2 request the Director of Community Planning, Etobicoke York District to report back on rezoning the City owned parcel within Rowntree Mills Park from "R3" to "G"; and
- 3 direct the City Solicitor and appropriate City staff to attend, if necessary, the Ontario Municipal Board, to support City Council's decision to refuse the rezoning and subdivision applications, as currently proposed.



**Summary**

This report reviews and recommends refusal of a rezoning application and a draft plan of subdivision application to facilitate the construction of a new public road and 3 single detached dwellings and 22 semi-detached dwelling units.

**Background Information**

April 25, 2006 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1839.pdf>)

**(Deferred from February 13, 2007 - 2007.EY3.39c)**

**41d Status Report (May 31, 2006) 144-156 Rowntree Mill Road**

(May 31, 2006) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

**Recommendations**

It is recommended that Community Council's further consideration of the revised application be deferred until:

- (1) the applicant has submitted all required information identified by City staff and appropriate agencies, including the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, in regard to the revised proposal, within six months;
- (2) the applicant has submitted an Official Plan Amendment application to amend the new Official Plan for the City of Toronto;
- (3) City staff has completed its review of the revised proposal and supporting documentation and has reported back to Community Council; and
- (4) the applicant has withdrawn the plan of subdivision application and has confirmed in writing on the form of plan of condominium that will be sought to provide for the orderly development of the land.

**Summary**

This report provides a status update on the rezoning application and draft plan of subdivision application for a residential development at 144-156 Rowntree Mill Road, as directed by Etobicoke York Community Council at its meeting held on May 9, 2006.

**Background Information**

May 31, 2006 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1841.pdf>)

**(Deferred from February 13, 2007 - 2007.EY3.39d)****41e Status Report (August 24, 2006) 144 - 156 Rowntree Mill Road**

(August 24, 2006) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

**Recommendations**

It is recommended that this report be:

- (1) received for information; and
- (2) the Director of Community Planning, Etobicoke York District be requested to report back on rezoning the City owned parcel within Rowntree Mills Park from “R3” to “G”.

**Summary**

This report provides a status update on the rezoning application and draft plan of subdivision application for a revised residential development proposal at 144-156 Rowntree Mill Road as requested by Etobicoke York Community Council at its meeting held on June 3, 2005 (Clause 6, Report 5).

**Background Information**

August 24, 2006 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1840.pdf>)

EY4.42	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 11
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

**2387 Weston Road – Sign Variance Application**

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District Director of Building and Deputy Chief Building Official, Etobicoke York District

**Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council approve the requested variance to permit an illuminated fascia sign on the west front elevation of the building, subject to:
  - a. the applicant amending the Building Permit plans to include the following notation:

“Sign display and message shall be stationary and shall not be flashing, scrolling, changing or animated while on display”; and,

- b. the sign permit being obtained and being installed in accordance with the amended application plans filed with Toronto Building, Etobicoke York District; and
2. City Council authorize and direct the appropriate City Officials to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### Summary

This is an application for approval of the installation of an animated and flashing fascia sign on the front elevation of the two-storey building, operating as an art gallery. This sign has been installed without a building permit and it would be in addition to the existing canopy sign. This report reviews and recommends approval of the application to amend the former City of York Sign By-law No. 3369-79, as amended, to permit the sign subject to conditions.

### Background Information

March 8, 2007 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1920.pdf>)

EY4.43	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 17
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### 39 Bristol Avenue Natural Garden Exemption Request

(February 20, 2007) report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

### Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that:

The Etobicoke York Community Council grants the Natural Garden Exemption and cancels the Notice, on the condition that the owner complies with the following recommendations of Parks, Forestry and Recreation:

1. Removal of invasive weeds and inappropriate plants at the front, side and rear yards as described in the Parks, Forestry and Recreation report (Attachment 1). This shall be conducted on an ongoing basis to ensure eradication and prior to additional

- planting of native species and/or alternate ground covers;
2. Pruning back of perennial herbaceous plant material and woody shrubs where required;
  3. Use of an organic mulch spread 38 millimetres to 50 millimetres (1½ inches to 2 inches deep around all plants, such as shredded pine bark which will suppress weeds, help retain moisture in the soil and add valuable nutrients to the soil; and
  4. On-going weed removal and pruning of shrub material is recommended in order to comply with the requirement to be “managed”.

### Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

### Summary

This staff report is about an application for exemption to the requirements under Chapter 489 of the Toronto Municipal Code, Grass and Weeds, for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. A Notice of Violation has been issued which requires that the long grass and weeds be cut at 39 Bristol Avenue to comply with the said Chapter of the Toronto Municipal Code. This application is made on the basis that the growth is exempt as a Natural Garden.

### Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1843.pdf>

EY4.44	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 6
--------	--------	--	---------------	---------

### 200 Manitoba Street - Amendment to Development Agreement Related to Parkland Conveyance of Parcel G

(March 5, 2007) report from General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation

### Recommendations

The General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation recommends that:

1. Council authorize the City Solicitor to amend Clauses 67-70 and 72.3 of Development Agreement (Instrument No. E042854) to grant the City a public easement over Parcel G for a trail connection;

2. Council authorize the City Solicitor to enter into an Easement Agreement with the owner to grant an easement in favour of the City over Parcel G on Plan 66R-22265;
3. Council authorize the City Solicitor to release Parcel G from the Escrow Agreement executed on May 13, 2002; and
4. Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the agreement as required.

### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

### **Summary**

The purpose of this report is to replace an over dedication of parkland for a trails connection and replace it with an easement in favour of the City.

### **Background Information**

March 5, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1948.pdf>)

EY4.45	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 1, 2, 5, 11
--------	--------	--	---------------	-------------------

### **Designation of Fire Routes and amendment to Chapter 880 – Fire Routes**

(March 8, 2007) report from District Chief, Toronto Fire Services

### **Recommendations**

Toronto Fire Services recommends that:

1. part or those parts of the private road or roads shown on the site plan filed with the Fire Chief in respect to the municipal addresses set out below be designated as fire routes pursuant to Municipal Code Chapter 880- Fire Routes – 2209 Weston Road, 7 and 9 Michael Power Place, 265 Albion Road, 710 Humberwood Boulevard, 23 Fasken Drive, 25 Photography Drive and 5 Tyre Avenue.
2. City Council authorize the Fire Chief and City Solicitor to take the appropriate action to make a designated Fire Route.

## Financial Impact

There are no financial implications associated with this report.

## Summary

To obtain Council approval for the amendment of the Fire Route By-law to designate certain locations as fire routes within the meaning of City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 880, as amended. Fire Services uses designated fire routes as a key mechanism in regulating fire prevention, including the spread fires and the delivery of fire protection services.

## Background Information

by-law

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1986.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1985.pdf>)

EY4.46	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 6, 11, 17
--------	--------	--	-----------	-----------------

## Long Branch, Mount Dennis, St. Clair Avenue West and Weston Village Business Improvement Areas - Board of Management Additions and Deletions

(March 2, 2007) report from General Manager, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism

## Recommendations

The General Manager of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommends that:

1. Etobicoke York Community Council approve the additions and deletions to the Boards of Management for four BIAs as set out in Attachment No. 1; and
2. Schedule A of the Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 19, Business Improvement Areas, be amended to reflect the changes to these BIA Boards.

## Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

## Summary

The staff report is about a matter over which the Etobicoke York Community Council has the delegated authority to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to make changes to the Boards of Management (the “Board”) of the Long Branch BIA, St. Clair West BIA and Weston Village BIA and to appoint nominees to the Mount Dennis BIA.

## Background Information

March 2, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2028.pdf>)

EY4.47	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 5
--------	--------	--	---------------	---------

## Sale of Vacant Land at the rear of 39 Poplar Avenue

(March 7, 2007) report from Chief Corporate Officer

### Recommendations

The Chief Corporate Officer recommends that:

1. The Offer to Purchase from Daniel Joseph Duffy and Marjorie Blanche Duffy to purchase the City-owned property at the rear of 39 Poplar Avenue, described as being Part Block A, Plan 2154, designated as Part 3 on Reference Plan 66R-21901, City of Toronto, also shown as Part 3 on Sketch No. PS-2001-062 (the “Property”), in the amount of \$12,000.00, be accepted substantially on the terms and conditions outlined in Appendix “A” to this report and that each of the Chief Corporate Officer and the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized severally to accept the Offer to Purchase on behalf of the City.
2. Authority be granted to direct a portion of the proceeds of closing to fund the outstanding expenses related to the Property and the completion of the sale transaction.
3. The City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction(s) on behalf of the City, including making payment of any necessary expenses and amending the closing and other dates to such earlier or later date(s) and on such terms and conditions as she may from time to time consider reasonable.

### Financial Impact

Revenue in the amount of \$12,000.00 plus GST if applicable, less closing costs and the usual adjustments is anticipated.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

### Summary

The purpose of this report is to authorize the sale of the landlocked parcel of City-owned vacant land at the rear of 39 Poplar Avenue, more particularly described in the recommendations

below, and shown as Part 3 on Sketch No. PS-2001-062 attached as Appendix “B”. Having completed negotiations with the adjoining land owners, Daniel Joseph Duffy and Marjorie Blanche Duffy, we are recommending acceptance of their Offer to Purchase substantially on the terms and conditions outlined in the attached Appendix “A”. The terms for completing the transaction, as set out herein are considered to be fair, reasonable and reflective of market value.

### Background Information

Appendix B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2056.pdf>)

Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2055.pdf>)

March 7, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2054.pdf>)

EY4.48	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 12
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

### 55 Ray Avenue - Demolition Application

(March 6, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council approve the application to demolish the building at 55 Ray Avenue pursuant to By-law No. 3102-95 of the former City of York, subject to the owner entering into a Beautification Agreement, containing a beautification plan, with the City to be registered on-title prior to the issuance of demolition permit;
2. City Legal and City Planning staff be authorized to prepare the agreement in consultation with the Ward Councillor and the Owner; and
3. City Planning staff advise the Owner of the following:
  - a. all services in the municipal right-of-way that are to be abandoned will be done so by City forces. The owner will abandon all services within private property. The owner must co-ordinate the abandoning of services in the municipal right-of-way with Bruce Grainger, Toronto Water, (416) 394-8454;
  - b. the owner will be required to obtain approval from the Transportation Services Division for any work within the public right-of-way. For further information, please contact Tamara MacDonald, Right-of-Way Management Section, Etobicoke York District at (416) 394-8348;



- c. the owner is financially responsible for all costs associated with the excavation, improvement, removal and/or relocation of any above-or below-grade municipal services/utilities that may be necessary for the completion of this project; and
- d. all By-laws relating to the protection of City trees, and private trees, are applicable for the subject site. The owner should contact Mike Brain (416) 394-8554, and Brian Mercer (416) 392-2117. The requirement for additional street tree planting will be addressed through the beautification plan or the future planning approval process that will be required for any development on the lands, in consultation with Urban Forestry Services.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### Summary

By-law No. 3102-95 was passed to designate the entire former City of York as an area of demolition control for the purpose of requiring beautification measures as a condition of the issuance of demolition permits. Eastman Chemicals Canada Inc. has submitted an application to obtain a demolition permit for the warehouse building that is currently on site. Demolition approval under former City of York By-law No. 3102-95 is recommended subject to the owner entering into a beautification agreement to be registered on title to the lands, prior to the demolition permit being issued.

### Background Information

March 6, 2007 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1860.pdf>)

EY4.49	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 5
--------	--------	--	---------------	---------

### 6 Aldgate Avenue - Official Plan and Zoning Amendment Application - Supplementary Report

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council not consider further boulevard improvements along The Queensway, adjacent to the first three abutting properties to the immediate west of the existing gas

station, as part of this application.

### **Financial Impact**

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### **Summary**

This report responds to Council's direction that staff report back to Community Council on a landscaping cost estimate for boulevard improvements to the first three properties west of the existing gas station, in relation to Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment applications for 6 Aldgate Avenue. Community Planning staff recommend that the landscaping not be undertaken.

### **Background Information**

extract

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1946.pdf>)

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1945.pdf>)

EY4.50	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 5
--------	--------	--	-----------	---------

### **Naming of Extension of Sherway Gardens Road**

(March 12, 2007) report from City Surveyor

### **Recommendations**

The City Surveyor recommends that:

1. The Etobicoke York Community Council approve the naming of the private street, being the westerly extension of "Sherway Gardens Road", west of Sherway Gate, as "Sherway Gardens Road";
2. Menkes Developments Inc. pay the costs estimated at \$420.00, for the fabrication and installation of street name signs; and
3. the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of a naming by-law.

### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report. The estimated costs of \$420.00 for the street name signs are to be paid by the applicant.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. This report recommends that the private street, being the westerly extension of “Sherway Gardens Road”, west of Sherway Gate, be named “Sherway Gardens Road”. The naming of the private street is required to provide municipal addresses for the new condominium towers fronting thereon.

## Background Information

March 12, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2172.pdf>)

EY4.51	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 1
--------	--------	--	---------------	---------

## Northwest corner, Finch Avenue and Kipling Avenue - Zoning Amendment Application - Preliminary Report

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

## Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

## Summary

An application has been submitted to rezone the site from Agricultural to Limited Commercial to permit a 468 square metre, one-storey, retail commercial building at the northwest corner of Finch Avenue and Kipling Avenue. This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process. The next step in processing this application will be to hold a community consultation meeting. Assuming the applicant provides all required information, staff are targeting the Community Council meeting of May 1, 2007, as

the date for a public meeting on this application.

### Background Information

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1947.pdf>)

EY4.52	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 2
--------	--------	--	---------------	---------

### 558 Scarlett Road and 13 Chapman Road - Rezoning Application - Preliminary Report

(March 8, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### Summary

An application has been submitted to permit a total of nine 3-storey single family detached dwellings at 558 Scarlett Road and 13 Chapman Road. This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the applications and on the community consultation process. Assuming that all required information and drawings are submitted in a timely manner, Planning staff intend to hold a community consultation meeting in the second quarter of 2007.

### Background Information

March 8, 2007 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1919.pdf>)

EY4.53	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 2
--------	--------	--	---------------	---------

### **2267 Islington Avenue - Zoning Application - Preliminary Report**

(March 6, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

#### **Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

#### **Financial Impact**

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

#### **Summary**

An application has been submitted to amend the current Planned Commercial Regional zoning (CPR), as amended by Site Specific By-law No. 1302, to permit a new 1 300.6 square metre, 2-storey bank building and associated drive-through facility. The existing building on this site, municipally known as 2267 Islington Avenue, would remain. The combined total on-site Gross Floor Area would be 9 758 square. This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeks Community Council's direction on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process.

#### **Background Information**

March 6, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2007.pdf>)

EY4.54	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 5
--------	--------	--	---------------	---------

### **1 Valhalla Inn Road - Zoning Amendment - Preliminary Report**

(March 9, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward 3 and 5 Councillors;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

## Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

## Summary

An application has been submitted to permit three residential apartment condominium buildings at 1 Valhalla Inn Road. This report provides preliminary information on the application and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process. This application has been circulated to City divisions and external agencies for comment. Staff anticipate holding a community consultation meeting in the second quarter of 2007. The application is located on the boundary between Wards 3 and 5.

## Background Information

March 9, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2008.pdf>)

EY4.55	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 17
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

## 2080 Dufferin Street – Zoning Application - Preliminary Report

(March 6, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents

within 120 metres of the site; and

3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

### **Financial Impact**

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### **Summary**

The Israelite Nation World Wide Ministries has submitted an application to amend the former City of York Zoning By-law No. 1-83 to permit the conversion of an existing two-storey institutional building into a place of worship at 2080 Dufferin Street. This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process. A Final Report is targeted for the third quarter of 2007, provided all the identified issues have been satisfactorily resolved and all required information is provided in a timely manner.

### **Background Information**

March 6, 2007 staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1862.pdf>

<b>EY4.56</b>	ACTION	1:30 PM	Transactional	Ward: 5
---------------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

### **4187 Dundas Street West, 567, 569 & 571 Prince Edward Drive - Official Plan and Zoning Code Amendment Applications – Request for Direction**

(March 12, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

### **Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council refuse the proposed Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment Application No. 06 106640 WET 05 OZ, respecting 4187 Dundas Street West and 567, 569 & 571 Prince Edward Drive proposing a 10-storey (33.75 m.) 13,995 m<sup>2</sup> condominium apartment building.
2. City Council request the applicant to submit a revised application that addresses the following matters as outlined in this report, including:
  - a reduction in height and massing to a maximum height of 18.5 m (6-

storeys);

- appropriate Section 37 Community Benefits;
  - conformity with the recently approved Avenue By-laws;
  - the elimination of the apartment building from the Neighbourhoods and R2 zoned portion of the development site, and appropriate set back of the building from the R2 zone;
  - a relocated entrance at the corner;
  - setbacks that more closely meet the setbacks set out in By-law 717-2006;
  - altered truck exits from the driveway access on Prince Edward Drive and the elimination of the driveway for a loading area on Dundas Street West;
  - commercial live/work units at grade fronting onto Dundas and the removal of private patios to provide for a common outdoor space; and
  - such other matters as may be determined upon completion of review and through further analysis of the submission.
3. Authorize the City Solicitor to take the necessary steps, including attending at the Ontario Municipal Board in opposition to the current application.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### Summary

An application has been submitted to permit a 10-storey (33.75 m.) condominium apartment building at 4187 Dundas Street West, and 567, 569 & 571 Prince Edward Drive. The purpose of this report is to seek Council's direction on an appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board on the 10-storey proposal. Staff are recommending a building with a height of 6-storeys (18.5 m.), and a number of changes to the plans.

### Background Information

March 12, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2170.pdf>)

EY4.57	ACTION	1:30 PM	Transactional	Ward: 13
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

### 1844, 1846, 1848, 1850, 1852 and 1854 Bloor Street West; 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 Oakmount Road; 35 and 37 Pacific Avenue - Demolition Control Applications

(March 13, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District



## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council refuse the applications to demolish the residential buildings; and
2. In the event of an appeal, the City Solicitor and appropriate City staff be authorized to attend the Ontario Municipal Board in support of this recommendation.

## Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

## Summary

On March 2, 2006, Toronto Building received demolition control permit applications to demolish thirteen houses at 1844, 1846, 1848, 1852 and 1854 Bloor Street West; 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 Oakmount Road; 35 and 37 Pacific Avenue. No building permit application to replace the buildings to be demolished has been received by Toronto Building. In accordance with By-law No.1009-2006 (former City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 14, Article 1, Demolition Control), the demolition permit applications are submitted to Community Council for consideration and recommendation to City Council. Council may refuse the demolition permit applications, or grant permission to demolish the buildings and include conditions attached to the permits. City Planning Division staff have identified concerns related to the replacement of rental units within the buildings to be demolished in accordance with the Official Plan. Urban Forestry staff have advised that there are numerous significant trees located on or in close proximity to this site that qualify for protection under either the City's Street Tree or Private Tree by-laws. Staff recommend refusal of the applications as no redevelopment plan including a rental housing replacement strategy has been filed with the City. In the event that Council decides to approve the demolition, staff should be directed to report further on appropriate conditions.

## Background Information

March 13, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2073.pdf>

<b>EY4.58</b>	ACTION	1:30 PM	Delegated	Ward: 5
---------------	--------	---------	-----------	---------

## Demolition Control Applications 2956 Dundas Street West

(March 8, 2007) report from Director and Deputy Chief Building Official, Etobicoke York District

## Recommendations

Toronto Building recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council give consideration to

the demolition application and decide to:

- a. Refuse the application to demolish the subject mixed use commercial residential building because there is no permit application to replace the buildings on the site; or,
- b. Approve the application to demolish the subject mixed use commercial residential building without conditions; or
- c. Approve the application to demolish the subject mixed use commercial residential building with the following conditions;
  - i. that a construction fence be erected in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article III, if deemed appropriate by the Chief Building Official;
  - ii. that all debris and rubble be removed immediately after demolition;
  - iii. that sod be laid on the site and be maintained free of garbage and weeds, in accordance with the Municipal Code Chapter 623-5, and 629-10, paragraph B; and
  - iv. that any holes on the property are backfilled with clean fill.

### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report

### **Summary**

On September 28, 2006, Toronto Building received a demolition permit application to demolish one two-storey mixed use residential/commercial building at 2956 Dundas Street West. During review of the application, it was discovered that the property has two residential units. Toronto Building has not received a building permit application to replace the building to be demolished as of this date. In accordance with By-law No.1009-2006 (former City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 14, Article 1, Demolition Control), the above noted demolition permit application is submitted to the Etobicoke York Community Council for consideration and decision, due to the fact that building permit has not been issued to replace the building, whether to refuse or grant the demolition permit application, including conditions, if any, to be attached to the permit.

### **Background Information**

March 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2163.pdf>)

EY4.59	ACTION	1:30 PM	Delegated	Ward: 5
--------	--------	---------	-----------	---------

### **Demolition Control Applications -1272 A and 1272 B The Queensway**

(March 8, 2007) report from Director and Deputy Chief Building Official, Etobicoke York District

#### **Recommendations**

Toronto Building recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council give consideration to the demolition application and decide to:

- a. Refuse the application to demolish the subject mixed use commercial residential building because there is no permit application to replace the buildings on the site; or,
- b. Approve the application to demolish the subject mixed use commercial residential building without conditions; or
- c. Approve the application to demolish the subject mixed use commercial residential building with the following conditions;
  - i. that a construction fence be erected in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article III, if deemed appropriate by the Chief Building Official;
  - ii. that all debris and rubble be removed immediately after demolition;
  - iii. that sod be laid on the site and be maintained free of garbage and weeds, in accordance with the Municipal Code Chapter 623-5, and 629-10, paragraph B; and
  - iv. that any holes on the property are backfilled with clean fill.

#### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report

#### **Summary**

On February 8, 2007, Toronto Building received demolition permit applications to demolish two semi-detached mixed use commercial/residential buildings, with one residential unit in each building. Toronto Building has not received any building permit applications to replace the buildings to be demolished as of this date. In accordance with By-law No 1009-2006 (Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article II), the above noted demolition permit applications are submitted to the Etobicoke York Community Council for consideration and decision due to the fact that a building permit has not been issued to replace the buildings, whether to refuse or

grant the demolition permit applications, including conditions, if any, to be attached to the permit

**Background Information**

March 8, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-2165.pdf>

EY4.Bills	ACTION		Delegated	
-----------	--------	--	-----------	--

**General Bills**

**Confirmatory Bill**