

STAFF REPORT ACTION REQUIRED

Wallasey Avenue - Traffic Calming

| Date: | March 8, 2007 |
|----------------------|---|
| То: | Etobicoke York Community Council |
| From: | Director, Transportation Services Etobicoke York District |
| Wards: | Ward 7 - York West |
| Reference Number: | P07045 |

SUMMARY

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to outline the findings of an investigation to determine the need to install speed humps on Wallasey Avenue between Weston Road and Highbury Road. A staff assessment has shown that the criteria for the installation of speed humps on Wallasey Avenue is met.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Transportation Services recommends to Etobicoke York Community Council that:

- 1. The City Clerk be authorized to conduct a poll of eligible householders on Wallasey Avenue between Weston Road and Highbury Road, to determine resident support for the proposed speed hump plan, in accordance with the City of Toronto traffic calming policy.
- 2. Subject to favourable poll results:
 - a. The City Solicitor prepare a by-law to alter sections of the roadway on Wallasey Avenue between Weston Road and Highbury Road, for traffic calming purposes, generally as shown on the speed hump plan that was circulated to residents during the polling process.

b. Transportation Services take the necessary actions to reduce the speed limit from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Wallasey Avenue between Weston Road and Highbury Road, when the speed humps are installed.

Financial Impact

| Type of Funding | Source of Funds | Amount | |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| Available within current budget | Transportation Services Operating Budget | \$27,000.00 | |

ISSUE BACKGROUND

As a result of a petition from residents of Wallasey Avenue between Weston Road and Highbury Road, submitted to Councillor Giorgio Mammoliti, Ward 7, York West, Transportation Services Division staff were requested to investigate the feasibility of installing traffic calming (speed humps) on Wallasey Avenue. The concern of residents is with speeding on Wallasey Avenue. Transportation Services staff conducted investigations further to this concern. A map of the area is Attachment No. 1.

COMMENTS

Wallasey Avenue is classified as a local street operating two-way (east/west) with a posted speed limit of 40 km/h and a pavement width of 8.5 metres. St. Simon Catholic School is located on the north side of the street east of Weston Road. Sidewalk exists on the north side of the street between Weston Road and Strathburn Boulevard.

As part of our investigation, automatic speed and volume studies were conducted on Wallasey Avenue and for this purpose we divided Wallasey Avenue into two sections.

The first section of Wallasey Avenue is between Weston Road and Strathburn Boulevard. Our speed study revealed an 85th percentile speed (the speed at or below which the majority of motorists feel comfortable travelling under the existing roadway conditions) of 51 km/h. The 24 hour volume is 1,151. The current speed limit is 40 km/h.

The second section of Wallasey Avenue is between Strathburn Blvd and Highbury Road. Our speed study revealed an 85th percentile speed of 48 km/h. The 24 hour volume is 460. The current speed limit is 40 km/h.

Application of study data to the Traffic Calming Warrant determined that traffic characteristics on first section of Wallasey Avenue do satisfy the criteria to warrant traffic calming but the second section of Wallasey Avenue do not satisfy the criteria to warrant traffic calming. Nonetheless, as we already have speed humps on Highbury Road, located to the immediate east of Wallasey Avenue and the first section of Wallasey Avenue does satisfy the criteria to warrant traffic calming, we are also recommending that speed humps be considered on second section of Wallasey Avenue. The complete results are shown in Appendix "A" and Appendix "B".

Toronto Police Service collision records for a three-year period ending December 31, 2006, indicate no speed related collisions on Wallasey Avenue.

Conduct poll

In accordance with the provisions of the City of Toronto traffic calming policy, householders who would be directly affected by installing speed humps on this section of Wallasey Avenue must be formally polled. Under this policy, a minimum response of 50 percent plus one ballot must be achieved, of which at least 60 percent of the responding households must be in favour of installing speed humps in order to proceed with the installation. Accordingly, subject to a favourable poll supporting speed humps on Wallasey Avenue between Weston Road and Highbury Road, Transportation Services staff would schedule installation based on relative need and competing priorities.

Should the residents of Wallasey Avenue be in favour of the speed hump proposal, we applied the traffic calming ranking criteria for the purpose of setting priorities for the installation; based on traffic volume, vehicle speed percentages, speed related collisions, and the presence of schools, parks, seniors' residences or bicycle routes. Based on this technical assessment, Wallasey Avenue scored 19 ranking points out of a possible 100.

No alterations to parking regulations are required, nor would the number of parking spaces be affected, and the effects on winter services, street cleaning and garbage collection should be minimal.

Consultation with emergency services (Police, Fire and Emergency Medical Services) is required in order to ensure that the design and layout of a traffic calming proposal does not unduly affect their operations. Although we have advised emergency services of our intentions, we have not received a formal response. However, Toronto Fire Services has provided the following general statement in the past regarding the installation of speed humps:

"...Toronto Fire Service is supportive of initiatives that improve the life safety of our citizens. Our concern is that the physical calming measures being proposed may negatively impact emergency response to the area.

The vertical restrictions imposed by speed humps have a much greater affect on large fire vehicles than smaller passenger vehicles. Response time increases with every obstacle a fire vehicle encounters en route from the fire station to the incident. Although the increase at each hump may only be seconds, the cumulative effect can be a significant amount of time that could result in increased property damage, unnecessary injury or loss of life. Speed humps are generally hard on large, heavy vehicle (fire vehicles) and increase the potential to suffer mechanical damage. This in turn can lead to a vehicle being placed out of service for considerable periods of time. Aside from the costs associated with repairs, there is a decrease in the resources available to respond to other emergency situations."

CONTACT

Bruce Clayton, Supervisor, Traffic Engineering-Etobicoke York District Tel:(416)394-8408; Fax:(416)394-8942 e-mail: clayton@toronto.ca

SIGNATURE

John Niedra, P. Eng. Director, Transportation Services-Etobicoke York District

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment No. 1 Map Appendix "A" – Table 1: Traffic Calming Warrant Criteria Wallasey Avenue between Weston Road and Strathburn Road Appendix "A" – Table 2: Traffic Calming Warrant Criteria Wallasey Avenue between Strathburn Road and Highbury Road

Appendix "A" – Table 1

Traffic Calming Warrant Criteria Wallasey Avenue between Weston Road and Strathburn Road

All the following criteria must be met to warrant traffic calming.

| Warrant | Criterion | Requirement | | Met | Not Met |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|----------|------------------------------|
| Warrant 1 | 1.1 | Petition signed by at least 25% of the households on | | | |
| Petition | Petition | the street | | | |
| Impacts to adjacent streets | | If significant impacts are expected on adjacent streets these streets should be included in the proposal | | ~ | |
| Warrant 2 Safety Criteria (all three criteria must be | 2.1 Sidewalks | Continuous sidewalks on at least one side of street (both sides for collector or higher classification) OR On streets were no sidewalks exist, installation of sidewalks on at least one side must first be considered | | | Sidewalk on one Side |
| fulfilled to satisfy warrant) | 2.2 Road Grade | Traffic calming measure near locations were road | es must not be installed at or d grade exceeds 8% * | × | |
| | 2.3 Emergency Response | Impacts on Emergency Services will not be significant (as determined in consultation with Fire Ambulance and Police) | | ✓ (TBD) | |
| Warrant 3 | 3.1 Minimum | The 85 th percentile speed must be a minimum of 10 km/h over the warranted speed limit and the | | ✓ 51 | |
| Technical Requirements | Speed | volume warrant of 3.2 must be fulfilled (3.2 not required if 85 th percentile > 15km/h over speed limit) | | km/h | |
| | 3.2 Traffic Volumes | Local Roads Traffic volume must be between 1,000 and 8,000 vehicles per day | Collector Roads Traffic volumes must be between 2,500 and 8,000 | ~ | 1,151 vehicles per day |
| | 3.3 Minimum Block Length | On streets where mid-block traffic calming measures are proposed the minimum block length must exceed 120 metres | | × | |
| | 3.4 Transit Service | Impacts on regularly scheduled Toronto Transit Service vehicles will not be significant (as determined in consultation with TTC staff) | | v | No TTC |

Appendix "A" – Table 2

Traffic Calming Warrant Criteria Wallasey Avenue between Strathburn Road and Highbury Road

All the following criteria must be met to warrant traffic calming.

| Warrant | Criterion | Requirement | | Met | Not Met |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|----------|---------------------------------|
| Warrant 1 Petition | 1.1 Petition | Petition signed by at least 25% of the households on the street | | | |
| Impacts to adjacent streets | | If significant impacts are expected on adjacent streets these streets should be included in the proposal | | √ | |
| Warrant 2 Safety Criteria (all three criteria must | 2.1 Sidewalks | Continuous sidewalks on at least one side of street (both sides for collector or higher classification) OR On streets were no sidewalks exist, installation of sidewalks on at least one side must first be considered | | | ✓ No Sidewalk |
| be fulfilled to satisfy warrant) | 2.2 Road Grade | | s must not be installed at or d grade exceeds 8% * | √ | |
| | 2.3 Emergency Response | Impacts on Emergency Services will not be significant (as determined in consultation with Fire Ambulance and Police) | | ✓ (TBD) | |
| Warrant 3 Technical Requirements | 3.1 Minimum Speed | The 85 th percentile speed must be a minimum of 10 km/h over the warranted speed limit and the volume warrant of 3.2 must be fulfilled (3.2 not required if 85 th percentile > 15km/h over speed limit) | | | √ 48 km/h |
| | 3.2 Traffic Volumes | Local Roads | Collector Roads Traffic volumes must be between 2,500 and 8,000 | | ✓ 460 vehicles per day |
| | 3.3 Minimum Block Length | On streets where mid-block traffic calming measures are proposed the minimum block length must exceed 120 metres | | √ | |
| | 3.4 Transit Service | Impacts on regularly sch Service vehicles will not in consultation with TTC | be significant (as determined | √ | No TTC |