

Etobicoke York Community Council

Meeting No.	3	Contact	Glenda Jagai, Committee Administrator
Meeting Date	Tuesday, February 13, 2007	Phone	416-394-2516
Start Time	9:30 AM	E-mail	etcc@toronto.ca
Location	Council Chamber, Etobicoke Civic Centre		

Attendance

Members of the Etobicoke York Community Council were present for some or all of the time periods indicated under the section headed “Meeting Sessions”, which appears at the end of the Minutes.

Councillor Frances Nunziata, Chair	X
Councillor Suzan Hall, Vice-Chair	X
Councillor Frank DiGiorgio	X
Councillor Rob Ford	X
Councillor Mark Grimes	X
Councillor Holyday	X
Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby	X
Councillor Giorgio Mammoliti	X
Councillor Milczyn	X
Councillor Cesar Palacio	X
Councillor Bill Saundercook	X

EY3.1	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 17
-------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

Request for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes (Ward 17 - Davenport)

(January 30, 2007) letter from Councillor Cesar Palacio

Summary

Letters from Councillor Palacio, requesting City Council, for liquor licensing purposes, declare the Corso Italia Toronto Festival and the Corso Italia/CIRV FM Summerfest/2007, events of municipal significance and that the Alcohol and Gaming Commission be advised accordingly.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council, for liquor licensing purposes, declare the following to be events of municipal and/or community significance, and advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it has no objection to these events taking place:

1. Corso Italia Toronto Festival to be held on July 6, 7 and 8, 2007, from 12:00 noon to 12:00 midnight daily, on St. Clair Avenue West, between Westmount Avenue and Lansdowne Avenue; and
2. Corso Italia/CIRV FM Summerfest/2007, being held on June 15, 16 and 17, 2007, in EarlsCourt Park from 11:00 a.m. to 10:30 p.m.

Links to Background Information

communication

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1240.pdf>

communication

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1664.pdf>

EY3.2	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 1
-------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Gihon Spring Drive - Speed Limit Reduction

(December 27, 2006) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Council approve the following actions that:

1. the by-law associated with the 40 km/h speed limit on Gihon Spring Drive between Martin Grove Road and Steeles Avenue West be rescinded; and
2. the speed limit on Gihon Spring Drive, between Martin Grove Road and Steeles Avenue West be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved; and enact any appropriate related bills.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$600.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to reduce the speed limit on Gihon Spring Drive from Kidron Valley Drive to Steeles Avenue West to 40 km/h.

As the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant requirements are achieved, extension of the 40 km/h speed limit is recommended on Gihon Spring Drive to reduce operating speeds and enhance safety in the vicinity of the curve. This limit will be posted on the entire length of the road between Martin Grove Road and Steeles Avenue West.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Hall, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council approve:

1. the by-law associated with the 40 km/h speed limit on Gihon Spring Drive between Martin Grove Road and Steeles Avenue West be rescinded; and
2. the speed limit on Gihon Spring Drive, between Martin Grove Road and Steeles Avenue West be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved; and enact any appropriate related bills.

Links to Background Information

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1295.pdf>)

attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1296.pdf>)

EY3.3	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 2
-------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Carlingview Drive Northbound at Attwell Drive - Proposed Exclusive Right Turn Lane

(December 18, 2006) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. The northbound curb lane on Carlingview Drive at Attwell Drive be designated for right

turning vehicles only, from Attwell Drive to a point 30.5 metres southerly thereof; including installation of appropriate signs and pavement markings.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$600.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval for the installation of an exclusive right turn lane northbound on Carlingview Drive at Attwell Drive. The designation of the northbound curb lane as an exclusive right turn lane is recommended to improve traffic movements on Carlingview Drive at Attwell Drive.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Ford, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that the northbound curb lane on Carlingview Drive at Attwell Drive be designated for right turning vehicles only, from Attwell Drive to a point 30.5 metres southerly thereof; including the installation of appropriate signs and pavement markings.

Links to Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1282.pdf>

attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1283.pdf>

EY3.4	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 2
-------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Lemsford Road - U-Turn Prohibition

(January 4, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services-Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. U-turns be prohibited anytime for eastbound traffic on Lemsford Road between Islington Avenue and the east limit of Lemsford Road including enacting the associated by-law.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$300.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose an eastbound U-turn prohibition on Lemsford Road from Islington Avenue to the east limit of Lemsford Road, approximately 100.0 metres east of Islington Avenue. Approval of this report will result in the installation of regulatory “No U-turn” signs at this location. U-turns are occurring in close proximity to Islington Avenue, a major arterial road, and motorists are travelling onto the gravel shoulder to perform these turns. Since these movements compromise the safety of other road users in this area, a U-turn prohibition is recommended

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Ford, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that U-turns be prohibited anytime for eastbound traffic on Lemsford Road between Islington Avenue and the east limit of Lemsford Road, including enacting the associated by-law.

Links to Background Information

report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1309.pdf>)

attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1310.pdf>)

EY3.5	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 2
-------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Pinecone Drive - Speed Limit Reduction

(January 4, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services - Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Council approve the following actions:

1. The speed limit on Pinecone Drive, between Humberwood Boulevard and Upper Humber Drive be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved and enact any appropriate related bills.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$600.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to reduce the speed limit on Pinecone Drive from

Humberwood Boulevard to Upper Humber Drive to 40 km/h. As the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant requirements are achieved, a 40 km/h speed limit is recommended on Pinecone Drive. This limit will be posted on the entire length of the road between Humberwood Boulevard and Upper Humber Drive. Additional controls, such as an all-way stop control at Humberwood Boulevard and Pinecone Drive are not warranted or recommended.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Ford, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that the speed limit on Pinecone Drive, between Humberwood Boulevard and Upper Humber Drive be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved, and enact any appropriate related bills.

Links to Background Information

report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1339.pdf>

attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1340.pdf>

EY3.6	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 4
-------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Islington Avenue/Dundas Street West Community - Speed Limit Reduction

(January 10, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services - Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Council approve the following:

1. The speed limit on Chestnut Hills Parkway, Finchley Road, Herne Hill, Robin Hood Road and Nottingham Drive be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved; including the enacting of any appropriate bills.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$3000.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to reduce the legal speed limit on the majority of roads within the community bounded by Dundas Street West, Islington Avenue, Wimbleton Road, and Hilldowntree Road from 50 km/h to 40 km/h. As the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant

requirements are achieved in this community, 40 km/h speed limits are recommended on all of the streets bounded by Dundas Street West, Islington Avenue, Wimbleton Road and Hilldowntree Road (Chestnut Hills Parkway, Finchley Road, Herne Hill, Robin Hood Road and Nottingham Drive) with the exception of Chestnut Hills Crescent.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Lindsay Luby, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that the speed limit on Chestnut Hills Parkway, Finchley Road, Herne Hill, Robin Hood Road and Nottingham Drive be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved; including the enacting of any appropriate bills.

Links to Background Information

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1302.pdf>)

attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1303.pdf>)

EY3.7	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 5
-------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

104 Advance Road - Commercial Boulevard Parking

(January 23, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. Etobicoke York Community Council allow the applicant to maintain a maximum of five vehicle parking stalls within the boulevard area of Advance Road;
2. the applicant enters into a boulevard parking agreement with the City of Toronto, to the satisfaction of the City solicitor;
3. the boulevard parking stalls are for use by the applicant's employees and customers, and cannot be loaned, leased, rented, or transferred;
4. the applicant at their expense, registers the boulevard parking agreement on-title, to the satisfaction of the City solicitor; and
5. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

Financial Impact

Introducing boulevard parking stalls at this location will provide the City of Toronto with an annual fee of \$1,273.05.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to recommend that Etobicoke York Community Council permit a maximum of five parking stalls to be located in the boulevard area in front of 104 Advance Road. The applicant proposes to introduce a day care centre at this location, and maintaining five stalls in the boulevard area of Advance Road adjoining the subject site allows for the pick up/drop off activities associated with this use. Right-of-Way Management staff has determined that the applicant can use this section of Advance Road for vehicle parking purposes without any significant impact on traffic operations.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

1. Etobicoke York Community Council allow the applicant to maintain a maximum of five vehicle parking stalls within the boulevard area of Advance Road;
2. the applicant enters into a boulevard parking agreement with the City of Toronto, to the satisfaction of the City solicitor;
3. the boulevard parking stalls are for use by the applicant's employees and customers, and cannot be loaned, leased, rented, or transferred;
4. the applicant at their expense, registers the boulevard parking agreement on-title, to the satisfaction of the City solicitor; and
5. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1228.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1229.pdf>

Attachment 2

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1230.pdf>

Attachment 3

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1231.pdf>

Attachment 4

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1232.pdf>

EY3.8	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 5
-------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

3030 Bloor Street West – Standing Prohibition Removal

(January 16, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

It is the recommendation of Transportation Services that:

1. The “No Standing , 6:00 p.m. to 12:00 a.m., Monday to Saturday and Public Holidays” prohibition on the north side of Bloor Street West between a point 70 metres west of Royal York Road, and a point 14 metres east there of be removed.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$50.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose the removal of the “No Standing, 6:00 p.m. to 12:00 a.m., Monday to Saturday and Public Holidays” prohibition from the north side of Bloor Street West between a point 70 metres west of Royal York Road and a point 14 metres east thereof. Approval of this report will result in the removal of regulatory “No Standing, 6:00 p.m. to 12:00 a.m., Monday to Saturday and Public Holidays” signs at this location. Given that the Kingsway Theatre (3030 Bloor Street West) has closed and the building is currently vacant, the standing prohibition is no longer required. As a result, we are recommending the removal of the no standing prohibition in front of the former theatre.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that the “No Standing, 6:00 p.m. to 12:00 a.m., Monday to Saturday and Public Holidays” prohibition on the north side of Bloor Street West between a point 70 metres west of Royal York Road, and a point 14 metres east thereof, be removed.

Links to Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1265.pdf>

attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1266.pdf>

EY3.9	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 5
-------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Brentwood Road South - Parking Prohibitions

(January 10, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. parking be prohibited, 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” on the west side of Brentwood Road South between Meadowvale Drive and Van Dusen Boulevard; and
2. parking be prohibited anytime on the east side of Brentwood Road South between

Meadowvale Drive and Van Dusen Boulevard; along with enacting the appropriate bills.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	750.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose a “No Parking, 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” regulation on the west side of Brentwood Road South between Meadowvale Drive and Van Dusen Boulevard and; to propose the introduction of a “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the east side of Brentwood Road South between Meadowvale Drive and Van Dusen Boulevard. Approval of this report will result in the installation of regulatory “No Parking, 8 a.m. to 6 p.m., Monday to Friday” and “No Parking Anytime” signs at this location. A poll of the affected residents has shown that a large majority supports the proposed parking amendments. The introduction of these parking prohibitions will discourage commuter and non-residential parking during the day.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

1. parking be prohibited, 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” on the west side of Brentwood Road South between Meadowvale Drive and Van Dusen Boulevard; and
2. parking be prohibited anytime on the east side of Brentwood Road South between Meadowvale Drive and Van Dusen Boulevard; along with enacting the appropriate bills.

Links to Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1280.pdf>

attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1281.pdf>

EY3.10	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 5
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Leland Avenue and Allan Park Road – All-Way Stop Sign Installation

(January 29, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services-Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommend that:

1. an all-way stop control be installed at the intersection of Leland Avenue and Allan Park Road.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$450.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose the installation for an all-way stop control at the intersection of Leland Avenue and Allan Park Road. The proposed all-way stop control will enhance traffic safety at this intersection by more clearly defining right-of-way for all road users.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that an all-way stop control be installed at the intersection of Leland Avenue and Allan Park Road.

Links to Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1307.pdf>

attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1308.pdf>

EY3.11	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 7
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Walsh Avenue ramp onto Weston Road – U-Turn Prohibition

(January 4, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

It is the recommendation of Transportation Services that:

1. U-turns be prohibited anytime for northbound traffic on the Weston Road East Ramp to Weston Road; and
2. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$300.00
Additional funding request for current budget year	Not applicable	Nil
Funding required for next budget year	Not applicable	Nil
Total financial impact		\$300.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose a northbound U-turn prohibition on the ramp from Walsh Avenue (Weston Road East Ramp) onto Weston Road. Approval of this report will result in the installation of regulatory “No U-turn” signs at this location. Considering Weston Road is a four lane arterial road with limited sightlines due to the curve in the road to the south of this location, combined with the Walsh Avenue overpass, we are recommending that northbound U-turns be prohibited in this sensitive area.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Mammoliti, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

1. U-turns be prohibited anytime for northbound traffic on the Weston Road East Ramp to Weston Road; and
2. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

Links to Background Information

report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1344.pdf>

attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1345.pdf>

EY3.12	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 7
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

690 – 730 Garyray Drive - No Standing Anytime

(January 4, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. “No Standing Anytime” regulation on the north side of Garyray Drive from Turbine Drive, to a point 101.0 metres further east be implemented; including enacting the associated by-law.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$300.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose a “No Standing Anytime” regulation on the north side of Garyray Drive, east of Turbine Drive. Staff recommends introducing a “No Standing Anytime” regulation in front of 690 - 730 Garyray Drive because it is supported by the abutting property owners and implementation will address the sightline concerns and enhance safety. The proposed “No Standing Anytime” regulation should be enacted on the north side of the road from Turbine Drive to a point 101.0 metres east of Turbine Drive and the requested signs installed.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Mammoliti, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that a “No Standing Anytime” regulation on the north side of Garyray Drive from Turbine Drive, to a point 101.0 metres further east be implemented, including enacting the associated by-law.

Links to Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1272.pdf>

attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1273.pdf>

EY3.13	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 11
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

70 Guestville Avenue - Amendment to Parking Regulations

(January 16, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services - Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. the existing parking prohibition at all times on the east and west sides of Guestville Avenue, between Lambton Avenue and Eglinton Avenue West, be rescinded;
2. parking be prohibited at all times on the east side of Guestville Avenue, between Lambton Avenue and a point 43.0 metres south of Dennis Avenue and on the east side of Guestville

Avenue, between Dennis Avenue and Eglinton Avenue West;

3. parking be prohibited at all times on the west side of Guestville Avenue, between Lambton Avenue and a point 30.5 metres further north thereof and on the west side of Guestville Avenue, from a point 115.8 metres north of Lambton Avenue and Eglinton Avenue West;
4. parking be prohibited at other times on the east side of Guestville Avenue, between Dennis Avenue and a point 43.0 metres further south thereof; and
5. parking be allowed for a maximum period of ten minutes, from 8:30 a.m. to 11:00 a.m., 12:30 p.m. to 2:30 p.m. and 3:30 p.m. to 7:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the east side of Guestville Avenue, from Dennis Avenue to a point 43.0 metres further south thereof.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$3,000.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose an amendment to the existing parking regulations on Guestville Avenue, between Lambton Avenue and Dennis Avenue based on the results of Transportation Services staff investigation. The implementation of less restrictive parking regulations would allow pick-up and drop-off activities to occur at this location which are required to service the school.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

1. the existing parking prohibition at all times on the east and west sides of Guestville Avenue, between Lambton Avenue and Eglinton Avenue West, be rescinded;
2. parking be prohibited at all times on the east side of Guestville Avenue, between Lambton Avenue and a point 43.0 metres south of Dennis Avenue and on the east side of Guestville Avenue, between Dennis Avenue and Eglinton Avenue West;
3. parking be prohibited at all times on the west side of Guestville Avenue, between Lambton Avenue and a point 30.5 metres further north thereof and on the west side of Guestville Avenue, from a point 115.8 metres north of Lambton Avenue and Eglinton Avenue West;
4. parking be prohibited at other times on the east side of Guestville Avenue, between Dennis Avenue and a point 43.0 metres further south thereof; and
5. parking be allowed for a maximum period of ten minutes, from 8:30 a.m. to 11:00 a.m., 12:30 p.m. to 2:30 p.m. and 3:30 p.m. to 7:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the east side of Guestville Avenue, from Dennis Avenue to a point 43.0 metres further south thereof.

Links to Background Information

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1276.pdf>)

attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1277.pdf>)

EY3.14	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 11, 13, 17
--------	--------	---------	---------------	------------------

Disabled Person Parking Permit

(January 10, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services - Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. the installation and removal of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities at various locations as identified in the attached Appendix A be approved.

Financial Impact

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$2700

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval for the installation/removal of a number of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that the installation and removal of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities at various locations as identified in Appendix A, be approved.

Appendix A

On-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities to be installed:

<u>Ward</u>	<u>Location</u>
13	High Park Avenue, west side, between a point 32 metres north of Glenlake Avenue and a point 5.5 metres further north.
17	Glenholme Avenue, east side, between a point 30.5 metres south of Rogers Road and a point 5.5 metres further south.
17	Hounslow Heath Road, west side, between a point 85.5 metres south of St. Clair Avenue West and a point 5.5 metres further south.
17	McRoberts Avenue, east side, between a point 57 metres north of Rogers Road and a point 5.5 metres further north.

On-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities to be removed:

<u>Ward</u>	<u>Location</u>
11	Florence Crescent, west side, between a point 54.5 metres south of Eileen Avenue and a point 5.5 metres further south thereof.
11	Norval Street, east side, between a point 114.0 metres north of Henrietta Street and a point 5.5 metres north thereof.
13	Windermere Avenue, east side, between a point 53.8 metres south of Ardagh Street and a point 5.5 metres further south thereof.
17	Salem Avenue North, east side, between a point 144.5 metres north of Geary Avenue and a point 5.5 metres further north thereof.
17	Silverthorn Avenue, west side, between a point 65.5 metres south of Rowntree Avenue and a point 5.5 metres further south.

Links to Background Information

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1290.pdf>)

EY3.15	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 12
---------------	---------------	---------	---------------	----------

Gulliver Road and Hurdman Street – All-Way Stop Sign Installation

(January 22, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services and Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommend that Council approve the following actions that:

1. an all-way stop control be installed at the intersection of Gulliver Road and Hurdman Street; and
2. the speed limit on Hurdman Street be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funding	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$1000.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval for an all-way “Stop” control at the intersection of Gulliver Road and Hurdman Street. As the requirements are met, an all-way “Stop” is recommended to enhance the operational safety of this intersection.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Di Giorgio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

1. an all-way stop control be installed at the intersection of Gulliver Road and Hurdman Street; and
2. the speed limit on Hurdman Street be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h.

Links to Background Information

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1300.pdf>)

attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1301.pdf>)

EY3.16	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 12
---------------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

2392 Keele Street - Amendments to Parking Regulations

(January 16, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services - Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommend that:

1. the existing parking prohibition at all times on both sides of Keele Street, between Finch Avenue and Yore Road, be rescinded;
2. stopping be prohibited at all times on the west side of Keele Street, between Lawrence Avenue West and a point 106.0 metres further south thereof; and
3. parking be prohibited at all times on the east side of Keele Street, between Finch Avenue and Yore Road and on the west side of Keele Street, between Finch Avenue and Lawrence Avenue West and on the west side of Keele Street, between a point 106.0 metres south of Lawrence Avenue and Yore Road.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funding	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$1,500.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose an amendment to the existing parking regulations on Keele Street, fronting Premises Nos. 2392 and 2394 based on the results of Transportation Services staff investigation. The implementation of more restrictive regulation would prevent the motorists from illegally parking their vehicles on the exclusive right turning lane leading to the parking lot of the Premises.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Di Giorgio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

1. the existing parking prohibition at all times on both sides of Keele Street, between Finch Avenue and Yore Road, be rescinded;
2. stopping be prohibited at all times on the west side of Keele Street, between Lawrence Avenue West and a point 106.0 metres further south thereof; and
3. parking be prohibited at all times on the east side of Keele Street, between Finch Avenue and Yore Road and on the west side of Keele Street, between Finch Avenue and Lawrence Avenue West and on the west side of Keele Street, between a point 106.0 metres south of Lawrence Avenue and Yore Road.

Links to Background Information

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1260.pdf>)

attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1261.pdf>)

EY3.17	ACTION	Amended	Transactional	Ward: 12
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

Duckworth Street – Amendments to Parking Regulations

(January 4, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommend that:

1. the existing stopping prohibition, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the east side of Duckworth Street, between Lawrence Avenue West and Thurodale Avenue, be rescinded; and
2. stopping be prohibited Monday to Friday, on the east side of Duckworth Street, between Lawrence Avenue West and Thurodale Avenue, be rescinded.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funding	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$1000.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to lengthen the existing stopping prohibition on Duckworth Street between Lawrence Avenue West and Thurodale Avenue. The prohibition of stopping on the east side at all times, Monday to Friday, will enhance the safety of pedestrians and motorists.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Di Giorgio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

1. the existing stopping prohibition, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the east side of Duckworth Street, between Lawrence Avenue West and Thurodale Avenue be rescinded; and

2. stopping be prohibited Monday to Friday, on the east side of Duckworth Street, between Lawrence Avenue West and Thurodale Avenue.

Links to Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1291.pdf>

attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1292.pdf>

EY3.18	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 12
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

Dante Road and DeMarco Boulevard – All-Way Stop Signs and Speed Limits

(January 4, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services - Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Council approve the following actions:

1. an all-way stop control be installed at the intersection of Dante Road and DeMarco Boulevard;
2. the speed limit on Dante Road be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved; and
3. the speed limit on DeMarco Boulevard be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funding	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$1,500.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to recommend the installation of all-way stop at the intersection of Dante Road and DeMarco Boulevard and reduce the speed limits on both streets. The stop signs and speed reduction will enhance pedestrian safety given the unusual site conditions.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Di Giorgio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

1. an all-way stop control be installed at the intersection of Dante Road and DeMarco

Boulevard;

2. the speed limit on Dante Road be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved; and
3. the speed limit on DeMarco Boulevard be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved.

Links to Background Information

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1288.pdf>)

attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1289.pdf>)

EY3.19	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 13
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

167 Evelyn Avenue-Amendments to Parking Regulations

(January 16, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services - Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommend that:

1. the existing parking prohibition at all times on the east side of Evelyn Avenue, between Glenlake Avenue and St. John's Road, be rescinded;
2. stopping be prohibited at all times on the east side of Evelyn Avenue, between Annette Street and a point 37.0 metres further south thereof;
3. parking be prohibited at all times on the east side of Evelyn Avenue, between Glenlake Avenue and a point 37.0 metres south of Annette Street and on the east side of Evelyn Avenue, from a point 153.0 metres north of Annette Street and St. John's Road;
4. parking be prohibited at other times on the east side of Evelyn Avenue, between Annette Street and a point 153.0 metres further north thereof; and
5. parking be allowed for a maximum period of 15 minutes, from 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the east side of Evelyn Avenue, from Annette Street to a point 153.0 metres further north thereof.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funding	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$3,000.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose an amendment to the existing parking regulations on Evelyn Avenue, north and south of Annette Street based on the results of Transportation Services staff investigation. The implementation of more restrictive regulation would prevent the parents from blocking the school bus loading zone and the implementation of less restrictive parking regulations would allow pick-up and drop-off activities to occur at this location which are required to service the school.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

1. the existing parking prohibition at all times on the east side of Evelyn Avenue, between Glenlake Avenue and St. John's Road, be rescinded;
2. stopping be prohibited at all times on the east side of Evelyn Avenue, between Annette Street and a point 37.0 metres further south thereof;
3. parking be prohibited at all times on the east side of Evelyn Avenue, between Glenlake Avenue and a point 37.0 metres south of Annette Street and on the east side of Evelyn Avenue, from a point 153.0 metres north of Annette Street and St. John's Road;
4. parking be prohibited at other times on the east side of Evelyn Avenue, between Annette Street and a point 153.0 metres further north thereof; and
5. parking be allowed for a maximum period of 15 minutes, from 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the east side of Evelyn Avenue, from Annette Street to a point 153.0 metres further north thereof.

Links to Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1236.pdf>

EY3.20	Information	Deferred	Transactional	Ward: 13
--------	-------------	----------	---------------	----------

71 Jane Street - Amendments to Parking Regulations

(January 16, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services and Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. the existing parking prohibition from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., except Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holidays on the east side of Jane Street, between Annette Street and Bloor Street West, be rescinded;
2. the existing parking prohibition from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., on the east side of Jane Street, between Rivercrest Road and Weatherell Street, be rescinded;

3. parking be prohibited from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., except Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holidays on the east side of Jane Street, between Annette Street and Weatherell Street and on the east side of Jane Street, between Bloor Street West and Rivercrest Road;
4. parking be prohibited at other times on the east side of Jane Street, between Rivercrest Road and Weatherell Street; and
5. parking be allowed for a maximum period of fifteen minutes, from 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., 11:00 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the east side of Jane Street, from a point 17.4 metres north of Rivercrest Road to a point 98.6 metres further north.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funding	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$3,000.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose an amendment to the existing parking regulations on Jane Street, between Rivercrest Road and Weatherell Street based on the results of Transportation Services staff investigation. The implementation of less restrictive parking regulations would allow pick-up and drop-off activities to occur at this location which are required to service the school.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council deferred this report to its March 27, 2007 meeting.

Links to Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1278.pdf>

EY3.21	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 13
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

Morningside Avenue and Beresford Avenue/Rennie Terrace – New All-Way Stop Signs

(January 4, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto - Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommend that Council approve the following actions:

1. That “Stop” signs be installed for eastbound and westbound traffic on Morningside Avenue and Beresford Avenue/Rennie Terrace.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$500.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval for an all-way “Stop” at Morningside Avenue and Beresford Avenue/Rennie Terrace. As the warrant requirements are met, an all-way “Stop” is recommended to enhance the operational safety of this intersection.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that “Stop” signs be installed for eastbound and westbound traffic on Morningside Avenue and Beresford Avenue/Rennie Terrace.

Links to Background Information

report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1333.pdf>)

attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1334.pdf>)

EY3.22	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 13
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

2220 Bloor Street West - Payment in lieu of Parking

(December 19, 2006) report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The Transportation Services recommends that:

1. Council exempt the applicant at 2220 Bloor Street West from the former City of Toronto Zoning Bylaw 438-86 parking requirement of five parking stalls, subject to a \$115,000.00 payment.
2. The applicant sign an agreement with the City that satisfies the City Solicitor.

Financial Impact

The City of Toronto will receive \$115,000 plus a \$300 application processing fee. This money will go to the parking reserve.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer have reviewed this report and agrees with

the financial impact information.

Summary

This report seeks Council's approval to exempt Otis Brothers Holdings from a requirement in the former City of Toronto's Zoning Bylaw 438-86. Instead of providing the five parking stalls the bylaw requires, the applicant will pay the City \$115,000. The applicant plans to build a three-storey bank building with 945.63 square metres of floor area at 2220 Bloor Street West. The parking exemption is appropriate because the shortfall in spaces will not have a significant impact on parking conditions in the area. Council has the power to grant exemptions that it considers appropriate under Section 40 of the Planning Act. Council also has the power to require payment instead. This exemption practice is called "payment in lieu of parking."

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. exempt the applicant at 2220 Bloor Street West from the former City of Toronto Zoning Bylaw 438-86 parking requirement of five parking stalls, subject to a \$115,000.00 payment; and
2. require the applicant sign an agreement with the City that satisfies the City Solicitor.

Links to Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1253.pdf>

attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1254.pdf>

attachment 3

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1255.pdf>

attachment 4

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1256.pdf>

attachment 2

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1257.pdf>

EY3.23	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 17
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

Glenholme Avenue-Amendments to Parking Regulations

(January 15, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services - Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommend that:

1. the existing no parking prohibition at all times on the east side of Glenholme Avenue, from a point 147 metres north of Earnscliffe Road and Rogers Road, be rescinded;
2. parking be prohibited at all times on the east side of Glenholme Avenue, from a point 147 metres north of Earnscliffe Road and Holland Park Avenue; and
3. permit parking be allowed from 12:01 a.m. to 6:00 a.m., daily, on the east side of Glenholme Avenue between Holland Park Avenue and Rogers Road; and enacting all appropriate bills.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$750.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to amend the existing parking regulations on Glenholme Avenue, between Holland Park Avenue and Rogers Road.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

1. the existing no parking prohibition at all times on the east side of Glenholme Avenue, from a point 147 metres north of Earnscliffe Road and Rogers Road, be rescinded;
2. parking be prohibited at all times on the east side of Glenholme Avenue, from a point 147 metres north of Earnscliffe Road and Holland Park Avenue; and
3. permit parking be allowed from 12:01 a.m. to 6:00 a.m., daily, on the east side of Glenholme Avenue between Holland Park Avenue and Rogers Road; and enacting all appropriate bills.

Links to Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1297.pdf>

attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1298.pdf>

EY3.24	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 17
---------------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

Poll Results - Request for Parking on Both Sides of Lauder Avenue, Between Regal Road and Rosemount Avenue

(January 22, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services - Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommend that Council approve the following actions:

1. It is recommended that parking on both sides of Lauder Avenue, between Regal Road and Rosemount Avenue not be introduced.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to provide the results of a resident poll to determine support for parking on both sides of Lauder Avenue, between Regal Road and Rosemount Avenue. The poll results did not meet the 50 percent criteria necessary to support the proposal.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that parking on both sides of Lauder Avenue, between Regal Road and Rosemount Avenue, not be introduced.

Links to Background Information

report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1341.pdf>

attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1342.pdf>

EY3.25	Information	Deferred	Transactional	Ward: All
---------------	-------------	----------	---------------	-----------

On-street Parking Permits Waitlisted Streets Current Policies and Procedures

(January 26, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services-Etobicoke York District

Summary

City of Toronto Council adopted the existing on-street parking permit program in 2001. The bylaw enacting it came into force in September 2006. The program is predicated on a three level priority system. Residents without access to off-street parking take the highest priority, receive permits first, and pay the lowest fees. Currently there are 9,000 on-street parking permits on-issue in Etobicoke York District, distributed across 437 streets. Of these 437 streets, just 21 are presently “waitlisted”; that is, there is more resident demand for on-street parking permits than there are on-street parking

stalls. Residents who cannot obtain a permit are queued on a waitlist, where they remain until they become eligible for permits when existing residents do not renew their on-street parking permits. The existing bylaw offers a number of alternatives for dealing with waitlisted streets such as ‘bumping’ lower priority permit holders, increasing the number of on-street parking permits that we issue by up to 10 per cent on specific streets, consolidating streets into areas to increase the available on-street parking supply, and reviewing the number of parking stalls that can be accommodated on-street. The current bylaw does not allow staff to revoke permits on waitlisted streets and reissue them, nor does it allow specific permitting regimes for waitlisted streets. This would require amending the bylaw City-wide. Although, regardless of what options we introduce, without any increase in on-street parking the waitlist system remains our only practical alternative for those streets where parking demand exceeds parking supply.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council deferred this report to its meeting on March 27, 2007.

Links to Background Information

report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1336.pdf>

EY3.26	ACTION	Amended	Transactional	Ward: 5
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

11 Placid Road - Application for Fence Exemption

(August 22, 2006) report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

It is recommended that the request for the exemption be refused based on non-compliance with the requirements set out in this Chapter.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

To report on an application submitted by the owner of 11 Placid Road, requesting an exemption to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447, Fences, to maintain a closed boarded wooden fence with lattice work attached at the top of the fence to a height of 2.44 metres (8.0 feet) within the front yard of the property.

Communications

(September 11, 2006) letter from (Personal Information Withheld on request) - EYMainEY3.26.1

(September 13, 2006) letter from (Personal Information Withheld on request) - EYMainEY3.26.2

(February 7, 2007) e-mail from Gord McFarlane - EYMainEY3.26.3

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. receive the report (August 22, 2006) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards;
2. approve a fence variance at 11 Placid Road to allow:
 - a. a fence no higher than 5 feet;
 - b. no portion of the fence to be located closer than within 8 feet of the north lot line; and
 - c. that all work must be completed by June 30, 2007.

Links to Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1233.pdf>

EY3.27	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 6
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

14 Symons Street – Private Tree Removal

(January 23, 2007) report from General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation

Recommendations

The General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation recommends that the request for permit to remove one (1) privately-owned tree at 14 Symons Street be denied.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

To report on an application for a permit to remove one (1) privately-owned 43-centimetre diameter white pine tree (*Pinus strobus*) located at the rear of 14 Symons Street that has been filed by the agent for the owner of 14 Symons Street. The application has been made due to concerns expressed by the property owner that the tree leans towards the home and could fail and fall on their home. A planting plan has been submitted with the application that shows the planting of one (1) basswood tree (*Tilia americana*) should approval be granted to remove the white pine tree. Inspection of the tree by staff revealed that the tree is in good condition and shows no outward signs that would indicate that it is hazardous to people or property. The white pine is Ontario's Provincial tree and is part of a large majestic community of trees that contribute to the character of this neighbourhood.

With proper care and maintenance, the tree should continue to provide benefits to the community for years to come. Urban Forestry cannot support removal of this tree.

Communications

(February 8, 2007) e-mail from Laurence F. Moore - EYMainEY3.27.1

Speakers

Frank Perri

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council deny the request for a permit to remove one (1) privately-owned tree at 14 Symons Street.

Decision Advice and Other Information

Recorded votes:

Councillor Ford moved that the tree be removed.

For:	Councillors DiGiorgio, Ford and Holyday	(3)
Against:	Councillors Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti Milczyn, Palacio and Saundercook	(8)

Motion lost.

Councillor Grimes moved that the request for a permit to remove the tree be denied.

For:	Councillors Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti Milczyn, Palacio and Saundercook	(8)
Against:	Councillors DiGiorgio, Ford and Holyday	(3)

Carried.

Links to Background Information

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1235.pdf>)

EY3.28	ACTION	Amended	Transactional	Ward: 6
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Outdoor Café Encroachment Request – 3527 Lake Shore Boulevard West

(January 22, 2007) report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

It is recommended that this application to lease 36.17 square metres (3.71 metres x 9.75 metres) of the municipal boulevard, located at the front of the establishment on Lake Shore Boulevard West, be approved in accordance with the applicant fulfilling the following conditions upon approval:

1. enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Toronto;
2. documents for the agreement be prepared by the City Solicitor;
3. applicant pay all cost associated with the preparation and execution of the agreement and an annual fee to the City of Toronto for use of the road allowance in the amount of \$11.00 per square metre, plus GST. All fees are subject to change
4. the signed agreement to be returned to the City along with the required Certificate of Insurance, evidencing a third party bodily injury and property damage insurance in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 or such other coverage and greater amount as the City may require, and naming the City of Toronto as an additional insured party under the policy;
5. the said Certificate of Insurance to be renewed and a copy thereof submitted to Municipal Licensing and Standards on an annual basis for the life of the encroachment;
6. the applicant operate the boulevard café in compliance with the requirements for Municipal Code, Chapter 591, Noise;
7. the applicant shall comply at all times with regulations set out in the former Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto By-law 41-93, as amended; and
8. the applicant shall obtain a construction/streets occupation permit prior to the commencement of any construction.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

To report on an application by the owner of “Sloppy Joe’s Bar and Grill”, to lease 36.17 square metres of the municipal boulevard for the purpose of an Outdoor Boulevard Café at the front of 3527 Lake Shore Boulevard West. The application request has been submitted to effected departments and utilities with no negative responses being received. In addition, the application request was circulated to landowners within a radius of 60 metres of the subject property. The results of the poll indicated one objection.

Communications

(February 8, 2007) e-mail from Chris McGregor - EYMainEY3.28.1

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that this application to lease 36.17 square metres (3.71 metres x 9.75 metres) of the

municipal boulevard, located at the front of the establishment on Lake Shore Boulevard West, be approved in accordance with the applicant fulfilling the following conditions upon approval:

1. enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Toronto;
2. documents for the agreement be prepared by the City Solicitor;
3. applicant pay all cost associated with the preparation and execution of the agreement and an annual fee to the City of Toronto for use of the road allowance in the amount of \$11.00 per square metre, plus GST. All fees are subject to change
4. the signed agreement to be returned to the City along with the required Certificate of Insurance, evidencing a third party bodily injury and property damage insurance in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 or such other coverage and greater amount as the City may require, and naming the City of Toronto as an additional insured party under the policy;
5. the said Certificate of Insurance to be renewed and a copy thereof submitted to Municipal Licensing and Standards on an annual basis for the life of the encroachment;
6. the applicant operate the boulevard café in compliance with the requirements for Municipal Code, Chapter 591, Noise;
7. the applicant shall comply at all times with regulations set out in the former Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto By-law 41-93, as amended; and
8. the applicant shall obtain a construction/streets occupation permit prior to the commencement of any construction.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council requested that the Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards report back in one year on any complaints received from the community regarding the café.

Links to Background Information

report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1337.pdf>)

EY3.29	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 7
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

45 Milvan Drive Sign Variance Report

(January 15, 2007) report from Building and Deputy Chief Building Official

Recommendations

It is recommended that:

1. the request for the variance be approved for the reasons outlined in this report; and
2. the applicant be advised, upon approval of the variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permit, and
3. granting of the variance be conditional upon the applicant obtaining approval for a Metro Licence for the new use.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report

Summary

Request for approval of variance from City of North York Sign By-law No 30788, to replace an existing Non-illuminated First Party Sign with a new First Party Illuminated Pylon Sign for Enterprise rent-a-car in the front yard of 45 Milvan Dr. The request comes from Kim Paterson with DNS Signs Ltd for Mary Monardo of Mondial Fine Cars for approval of the variance from Chapter 215, Signs, of the former City of North York Sign By-law No 30788.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Mammoliti, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

1. the request for the variance be approved for the following reasons as outlined in the staff report:
 - a. the proposed replacement sign is located in the middle of an industrial area therefore the illumination will not have any impact on any residential areas; and
 - b. there are already other ground and roof signs in the area so the replacement sign is in keeping with other businesses;
2. the applicant be advised, upon approval of the variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permit, and
3. the granting of the variance be conditional upon the applicant obtaining approval for a Metro Licence for the new use.

Links to Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1267.pdf>

EY3.30	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 12
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

2611 Eglinton Avenue West - Sign Variance Application

(January 30, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District and Director of Building and Deputy Chief Building Official

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council approve the requested variance to permit two illuminated signs on the west elevation of the building, subject to:
 - a. the owner submitting to the satisfaction of the Director of Building and Chief Building Official, Etobicoke York District, an encroachment agreement that has been executed between the owner of the land and the adjacent owner at 2615 Eglinton Avenue West, to permit the sign encroachment for a minimum period of ten years; and
 - b. the signs permits being obtained and the signs being installed in accordance with the application plans filed with Toronto Building, Etobicoke York District;
2. City Council authorize and direct the appropriate City Officials to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

Summary

An application has been submitted to request a variance from Sign By-law No. 3369-79. The variance is to permit the installation of two illuminated business identification wall signs that will be on a building wall not fronting onto a street. This report reviews and recommends approval of the variance to amend the former City of York Sign By-law No. 3369-79, as amended, subject to conditions relating to encroachments.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Di Giorgio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. approve the requested variance to permit two illuminated signs on the west elevation of the building, subject to:
 - a. the owner submitting to the satisfaction of the Director of Building and Chief Building Official, Etobicoke York District, an encroachment agreement that has been executed between the owner of the land and the adjacent owner at 2615 Eglinton Avenue West, to permit the sign encroachment for a minimum period

of ten years; and

- b. the signs permits being obtained and the signs being installed in accordance with the application plans filed with Toronto Building, Etobicoke York District; and
2. authorize and direct the appropriate City Officials to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Links to Background Information

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1264.pdf>)

EY3.31	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 12
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

1747-1755 Jane St - Sign Variance Report

(January 15, 2007) report from Building and Deputy Chief Building Official

Recommendations

It is recommended that:

1. the request for variances be approved for the reasons outlined in this report; and
2. the applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permit, and
3. granting of the variance be conditional upon the applicant installing a timer on the sign that would turn off the lights in the sign before 11:00 pm, and
4. of approval from Urban Forestry for the protection of the trees on site in full accordance with the City of Toronto Tree Protection Policy and Specification for Construction Near Trees as required under the City of Toronto, Municipal Code, Chapter 813, Article II or III.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

Request for approval of variance from City of North York Sign By-law No 30788, to erect a First Party Illuminated Ground Sign for a business directory along Jane St on the property known as 1747-1755 Jane St. The request comes from Jilan Balbaa, Manager of Development with O'Shanter

Development Company Ltd for the owner O’Shanter Development Company Ltd for approval of the variance from Chapter 215, Signs, of the former City of North York Sign By-law No 30788.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Di Giorgio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

1. the request for variances be approved for the following reasons as outlined in the staff report:
 - a. the proposed illuminated directory sign will be located approximately 30 ft from the corner of Marshlyn Ave. and will be erected on a strip of landscaping in the front yard of 1747 – 1755 Jane Street;
 - b. the sign height will be approximately the height of a 2 storey building therefore the commercial buildings along Jane St will act as barriers between the sign and the single family residential areas;
 - c. the illumination from the sign will only impact the buildings along Jane Street and seeing that it is for the most part a commercial strip, the directory ground sign will be in keeping with the commercial nature of the area; and
 - d. as for the apartment buildings to the north, the illumination will be blocked in part by the building at 1747 – 1755 Jane Street.
2. the applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permit, and
3. the granting of the variance be conditional upon the applicant installing a timer on the sign that would turn off the lights in the sign before 11:00 pm, and
4. that the granting of the variance be conditional upon the applicant obtaining approval from Urban Forestry for the protection of the trees on site in full accordance with the City of Toronto Tree Protection Policy and Specification for Construction Near Trees as required under the City of Toronto, Municipal Code, Chapter 813, Article II or III.

Links to Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1237.pdf>

EY3.32	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 17
---------------	---------------	---------	---------------	----------

2343 Eglinton Avenue West - Sign Variance Application

(January 29, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District and

Director of Building and Deputy Chief Building Official, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council approve the requested variance to permit installation of four additional illuminated wall signs along the north side of the building, subject to sign permits being obtained and the signs being installed in accordance with the plans filed with Toronto Building, Etobicoke York District; and
2. City Council authorize and direct the appropriate City Officials to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

Summary

This is an application to permit the installation of four additional illuminated fascia signs on the front elevation of the one and two storey commercial building, operating as a car dealership business. This reports reviews and recommends approval of the application to amend the former City of York Sign By-law No. 3369-79, as amended.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. approve the requested variance to permit installation of four additional illuminated wall signs along the north side of the building, subject to sign permits being obtained and the signs being installed in accordance with the plans filed with Toronto Building, Etobicoke York District; and
2. authorize and direct the appropriate City Officials to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Links to Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1258.pdf>

EY3.33	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 17
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

68 Lightbourn Avenue - Application for Fence Encroachment Agreement

(January 25, 2007) report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommend that the application for an Encroachment Agreement be approved and the City Solicitor authorized to prepare an Encroachment Agreement for the proposed encroachments subject to the following conditions:

1. the applicant to enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Toronto as prepared by Legal Services;
2. the applicant to pay the City of Toronto all fees associated with the preparation of this agreement, and an annual fee for the use of the road allowance should such fee be imposed for the said encroachment in future;
3. the existing 1.83 metres high open board wooden fence at the north west corner of the property to be cut back at a 45-degree angle to provide a minimum of 2.40 metres (8 feet) sight line clearance measured from both the north and west property lines respectively – Attachments 1 and 4;
4. the signed agreement to be returned to the City along with the required Certificate of Insurance, evidencing a third party bodily injury and property damage insurance in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 or such other coverage and greater amount as the City may require, and naming the City of Toronto as an additional insured party under the policy;
5. the said Certificate of Insurance to be renewed and a copy thereof submitted to Municipal Licensing and Standards on an annual basis for the life of the encroachments;
6. the owner to obtain all necessary construction/streets occupation permit(s) for the fence construction;
7. the owner to take responsibility to constantly cut and maintain the grass, and remove any debris or refuse (including the bricks and unused construction materials) stored on the property as well as the part of road allowance enclosed by the fence;
8. owner to maintain the subject property in good repair and comply at all times with the regulations set out in the former Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, Streets and Sidewalks, as amended.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from adoption of this report.

Summary

To report on an application for Encroachment Agreement submitted by the owner to maintain an existing open board wooden fence, with a height ranging from 0.79 metre (2' – 7") to 0.89 metre (2' – 11") (on Lightbourn Avenue frontage and Chandos Avenue flankage) and 1.83 metres (6' – 0") at the rear, within the Lightbourn Avenue and Chandos Avenue road allowance (enclosing an area of approximately 146.97 square metres or 1,582 square feet) – see Attachments 1, 2 and 3.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City

Council that the application for an Encroachment Agreement be approved and that the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare an Encroachment Agreement for the proposed encroachments subject to the following conditions:

1. the applicant to enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Toronto as prepared by Legal Services;
2. the applicant to pay the City of Toronto all fees associated with the preparation of this agreement, and an annual fee for the use of the road allowance should such fee be imposed for the said encroachment in future;
3. the existing 1.83 metres high open board wooden fence at the north west corner of the property to be cut back at a 45-degree angle to provide a minimum of 2.40 metres (8 feet) sight line clearance measured from both the north and west property lines respectively – Attachments 1 and 4;
4. the signed agreement to be returned to the City along with the required Certificate of Insurance, evidencing a third party bodily injury and property damage insurance in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 or such other coverage and greater amount as the City may require, and naming the City of Toronto as an additional insured party under the policy;
5. the said Certificate of Insurance to be renewed and a copy thereof submitted to Municipal Licensing and Standards on an annual basis for the life of the encroachments;
6. the owner to obtain all necessary construction/streets occupation permit(s) for the fence construction;
7. the owner to take responsibility to constantly cut and maintain the grass, and remove any debris or refuse (including the bricks and unused construction materials) stored on the property as well as the part of road allowance enclosed by the fence; and
8. the owner to maintain the subject property in good repair and comply at all times with the regulations set out in the former Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, Streets and Sidewalks, as amended.

Links to Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1270.pdf>

EY3.34	Information	Deferred	Transactional	Ward: 17
--------	-------------	----------	---------------	----------

267½ Glenholme Avenue - Application for Encroachment Agreement (Amended)

(February 8, 2007) report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommend that the application for an Encroachment Agreement be approved and the City Solicitor authorized to prepare an Encroachment Agreement for the proposed encroachments subject to the following conditions:

1. the applicant to enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Toronto;
2. the applicant to pay all fees associated with the preparation of this agreement, and an annual fee to the City of Toronto for use of the road allowance in the amount of \$25.00 plus \$5.50 per square metre, plus GST. All fees are subject to change;
3. the subject chain link fence to be kept in a state of good repair and maintenance, and, should the fence be replaced in future, it has to be realigned to allow a clearance of 800 mm – 1000 mm from the existing hydro pole on the Earlsdale Avenue road allowance, in compliance with the requirements of Toronto Hydro – Attachments 5 and 8;
4. the signed agreement to be returned to the City along with the required Certificate of Insurance, evidencing a third party bodily injury and property damage insurance in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 or such other coverage and greater amount as the City may require, and naming the City of Toronto as an additional insured party under the policy;
5. the said Certificate of insurance to be renewed and a copy thereof submitted to Municipal Licensing and Standards on an annual basis for the life of the encroachments;
6. the owner to obtain a construction/streets occupation permit prior to the commencement of any construction;
7. the dilapidated garage to be repaired in accordance with Chapter 629 of the Toronto Municipal Code, Property Standards, and, should the repairs involve any structural alteration, drawings for a Building Permit are to be submitted to Toronto Building for approval – Attachments 4 and 6;
8. to maintain a sight line clearance, the hedges behind the chain link fence to be constantly trimmed to a height of no more than 1 metre for a distance of 2.4 metres along the perimeter of the fence on the north and east side measured from the north east corner of the fence on Earlsdale Avenue road allowance, and the rest of the hedges to be maintained at a maximum height of 1.37 metres – Attachments 6, 7 and 8;
9. the tree/shrubs adjacent to the hydro pole to be constantly trimmed and maintained to avoid overgrowth and sight line obstruction to the traffic signs erected thereon – Attachment 8;
10. the area enclosed by the chain link fence to be kept free of debris and litter, and the grass constantly cut and maintained, in line with the requirements of Chapter 489 of Toronto Municipal Code, Grass and Weeds – Attachment 7;
11. the broken driveway to the east side of the driveway to be paved with asphalt or an acceptable hard surface, including crushed limestone or gravel, to avoid water ponding – Attachment 6;

12. a handrail in compliance with Ontario Building Code to be installed at the front steps on Glenholme Avenue road allowance; and
13. the owner to maintain the subject property in good repair and comply at all times with the regulations set out in the former Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto By-law 41-93, as amended, including the retaining wall on which the chain link fence is sitting – Attachment 7.

Financial Impact

There are not financial implications resulting from adoption of this report.

Summary

To report on an application for Encroachment Agreement submitted by the owner to maintain an existing chain link fence, part of which is sitting on a retaining wall, and the area enclosed therein (including an installed air conditioning unit and a hose reel) within the Earlsdale Avenue and Glenholme Avenue road allowance (for an area of approximately 101.14 square metres or 1,088.7 square feet), as well as part of an existing garage that is encroaching on the Earlsdale Avenue road allowance (for an area of approximately 3.74 square metres or 40.25 square feet) – see Attachments 2, 6 and 7.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council deferred this report to its meeting on September 10, 2007.

Links to Background Information

February 8, 2007 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1596.pdf>)

EY3.35	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 5
---------------	---------------	---------	---------------	---------

7-11, 19-25, 33-35 and 45 Zorra Street Zoning Application – Final Report

Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990

(January 30, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council amend Zoning By-law No. 747-2006 of the Etobicoke Zoning Code for 7-11, 19-25, 33-35 and part of 45 Zorra Street substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 1; and
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the

draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.

Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

Summary

This report reviews and recommends approval of an application to amend the Etobicoke Zoning Code to delete provision 4B.(c) of By-law No. 747-2006 for 7-11, 19-25, 33-35 and 45 Zorra Street. The requested amendment is appropriate since the applicant has satisfied the environmental requirement of Site and Area Specific Policy No. 6 of the Official Plan. The policy requires the completion of environmental studies that demonstrate acceptable compatibility between residential units and /or recreational space on the site and the adjacent industrial use (Vintage Hardwood Flooring). The application conforms to the Official Plan.

Speakers

Barry Horosko, Bratty and Partners

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. amend Zoning By-law No. 747-2006 of the Etobicoke Zoning Code for 7-11, 19 25, 33-35 and part of 45 Zorra Street substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 1; and
2. authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Etobicoke York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on February 13, 2007 and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*.

Links to Background Information

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1275.pdf>)

public meeting notice

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1390.pdf>)

EY3.36	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 5
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

977, 979 and 981 Kipling Avenue Part Lot Control Application – Final Report

(January 30, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council direct that a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law with respect to the subject lands be prepared to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor, and that such By-law shall expire two years after it has been enacted;
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to introduce the necessary Bill provided that:
 - a. all tax arrears and current taxes owing be paid in full; and
 - b. the owner of the subject lands has registered, satisfactory to the City Solicitor, a Section 118 restriction under the Land Titles Act agreeing not to transfer or charge any part of the lands without the prior written consent of the Chief Planner or his delegate;
3. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to take the necessary steps to release the Section 118 restriction at such time as the Common Elements Condominium Plan has been registered;
4. City Council authorize and direct the appropriate City Officials to register the By-law on title.

Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

Summary

This report reviews and recommends approval of an application by St. Andrews Lane Inc. to lift Part Lot Control for a development consisting of 20 townhouse dwelling units, on lands municipally known as 977, 979 and 981 Kipling Avenue. An exemption from Part Lot Control will allow the site to be subdivided into 20 individual residential lots. An exemption from Part Lot Control is appropriate as the townhouse development conforms to the Official Plan and the site is zoned to permit 20 townhouses. The lifting of Part Lot Control for a period of two years is considered to be appropriate for the orderly development of these lands.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. direct that a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law with respect to the subject lands be prepared to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor, and that such By-law shall expire two years after it has been enacted;
2. authorize the City Solicitor to introduce the necessary Bill provided that:
 - a. all tax arrears and current taxes owing be paid in full; and

- b. the owner of the subject lands has registered, satisfactory to the City Solicitor, a Section 118 restriction under the Land Titles Act agreeing not to transfer or charge any part of the lands without the prior written consent of the Chief Planner or his delegate;
3. authorize the City Solicitor to take the necessary steps to release the Section 118 restriction at such time as the Common Elements Condominium Plan has been registered; and
4. authorize and direct the appropriate City Officials to register the By-law on title.

Links to Background Information

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1279.pdf>)

EY3.37	ACTION	Amended	Transactional	Ward: 5
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Dundas Street West/Highway 427 Planning Framework Study – Terms of Reference Outline

(January 30, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council endorse the Terms of Reference for the Dundas/427 Planning Framework Study in Attachment 4; and
2. City Council authorize the City Planning Division to prepare the Request for Proposals, and retain the consultants to conduct the study subject to the applicants first providing their agreement to the City to pay for the study costs.

Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

Summary

Two large development applications have been received for the area in the vicinity of Dundas Street West and Highway 427. Given the potential impacts and opportunities these applications present, Council has directed City Planning staff to work with the applicants and adjacent land owners to develop a planning framework study. The purpose of this report is to present an outline of the Terms of Reference for the Dundas/427 Planning Framework Study and seek Council direction in undertaking the study. The Study Area will consist of lands between Highway 427 and Shorncliffe Road which includes all properties fronting on Dundas Street West.

Communications

(February 12, 2007) letter from Mary L. Flynn-Guglietti, McMillan Binch Mendelsohn -

EYMainEY3.37.1

(February 12, 2007) letter from Ivan Fleischmann, Miller Thomson - EYMainEY3.37.2

Speakers

Mary L. Flynn-Guglietti, McMillan Binch Mendelsohn
Ivan Fleischmann, Miller Thomson

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. endorse the Terms of Reference for the Dundas/427 Planning Framework Study in Attachment 4; and
2. authorize the City Planning Division to prepare the Request for Proposals, and retain the consultants to conduct the study subject to the applicants first providing their agreement to the City to pay for the study costs.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council directed that the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District report to a future meeting of the Etobicoke York Community Council, on discussions with the two current applicants on an appropriate cost-sharing arrangement for the study.

Recorded vote on the motion by Councillor Milczyn to recommend approval of the staff recommendations

For: Councillors DiGiorgio, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn,
Nunziata, Palacio and Saundercook (10)

Absent: Councillor Holyday

Links to Background Information

staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1294.pdf>

EY3.38	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 6
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Mimico-By-The-Lake Community Study – Request for Direction

(January 30, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council direct staff, in conjunction with a public consultation facilitator if necessary,

to engage residents and stakeholders in preliminary discussions regarding community issues, needs and objectives;

2. City Council direct staff in consultation with the local councillor, to issue the appropriate notices for the public engagement process to landowners and residents within the area roughly bounded by Lake Ontario, Royal York Road, C.N. Railway tracks and the Mimico Creek; and
3. City Council direct staff to report to EYCC on the findings of the community consultation process along with recommendations on proceeding with an implementation strategy.

Financial Impact

Approval of these recommendations may result in the requirement of a public consultation facilitator who is to engage residents and stakeholders in preliminary discussions regarding community issues, needs and objectives, as contemplated in Recommendation 1.

Based on past experiences an expenditure of approximately \$7,000 would be necessary to obtain a public consultation facilitator. If required, this facilitator would be funded from City Planning's Growth Studies (2006) Capital project (Account # CUR028-09), which is carry forward funding from the 2006 Capital Budget and has been Pre-Approved for spending in 2007. There will be no future year financial impact associated with approval of these recommendations.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Summary

This report responds to the September 13, 2006 direction of Etobicoke York Community Council that requested the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District to report on the next steps involved in moving the "Mimico by the Lake Project 20/20: A Perfect Vision for our Community" initiative forward (see Attachment 1). The purpose of this report is to seek Council direction for Planning to facilitate a wider public consultation and participation process. It is expected that the results of this process will provide Planning staff with a basis to recommend a suitable implementation strategy prior to proceeding with the next phases of the project.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. direct staff that in conjunction with a public consultation facilitator if necessary, engage residents and stakeholders in preliminary discussions regarding community issues, needs and objectives;
2. direct staff that in consultation with the local councillor, issue the appropriate notices for the public engagement process to landowners and residents within the area roughly bounded by Lake Ontario, Royal York Road, C.N. Railway tracks and the Mimico Creek; and
3. direct staff to report to the Etobicoke York Community Council on the findings of the community consultation process, along with recommendations on proceeding with an

implementation strategy.

Links to Background Information

report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1332.pdf>)

EY3.39	Information	Deferred	Transactional	Ward: 7
--------	-------------	----------	---------------	---------

148-156 Rowntree Mill Road Supplementary Report (Addendum) Zoning and Plan of Subdivision Applications

(January 5, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council adopt the recommendations of the Supplementary Report from the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District dated December 21, 2006; and
2. City Council refuse the current revised proposal (submitted December 29, 2006) for the rezoning and subdivision of the lands at 148-156 Rowntree Mill Road.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

A Supplementary Report from the Director of Community Planning, Etobicoke York District (December 21, 2006) recommending refusal of a proposed development consisting of 12 single detached houses, two open space blocks and a private road is before Community Council for consideration. Following the submission of the report to the Community Council Clerk, a further revised development proposal was received on December 29, 2006 along with supporting reports and documentation. The current revised development proposes 12 detached lots and homes, a new public road and one open space block on a reduced site comprising the properties at 148 and 156 Rowntree Mill Road. This report recommends refusal of the current revised proposal.

Communications

(January 15, 2007) letter from Giuseppe Lodato - EYMainEY3.39.1

(September 12, 2006) letter from Giuseppe Lodato - EYMainEY3.39.2

(February 13, 2007) e-mail from Nino and Maria Torelli - EYMainEY3.39.3

Speakers

Franco Romano, Action Planning

Vincenzo Scida, North Islington Seniors

Giuseppe Lodato
Michael Sirianni
Robert Valitutti
Mark Rapus, Toronto and Region Conservation

Links to Background Information

January 5, 2007 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1248.pdf>)

39a 144-156 Rowntree Mill Road Zoning and Plan of Subdivision Applications Supplementary Report

(December 21, 2006) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council adopt Recommendation 1 of the report from the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District dated April 25, 2006 to refuse the rezoning application and the Plan of Subdivision application as represented by the original proposal;
2. City Council refuse the revised proposal discussed in the report from the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District dated May 31, 2006 and in this report; and
3. City Council direct the City Solicitor and appropriate City staff to attend, if necessary, the Ontario Municipal Board, to support City Council's decision to refuse the rezoning and subdivision applications as represented in the original or revised proposals.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

A revised development proposal in association with a rezoning application and plan of subdivision application to permit 12 single detached houses consisting of 8 lots with detached houses on a new 8 metre wide private street and 4 lots with detached houses fronting onto Rowntree Mill Road was deferred by Etobicoke York Community Council on June 13, 2006 until the applicant submitted additional required information and staff had time to assess the revision. City Council received a Status Report on the matter in September 2006. This report recommends refusal of the revised proposal and original proposal in view of the applicant's non-compliance over the last six months, with Community Council's conditions and direction for further consideration of the application, and on the basis of an evaluation of the revised proposal with no new information available.

Links to Background Information

January 5/07 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1241.pdf>)

December 21, 2006 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1242.pdf>)

May 31, 2006 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1243.pdf>)

April 25, 2006 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1244.pdf>)

August 24, 2006 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1245.pdf>)

39b Refusal Report (April 25, 2006) 144-156 Rowntree Mill Road

(April 25, 2006) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

It is recommended that City Council:

- 1 refuse the Rezoning application 04 203855 WET 07 OZ and Draft Plan of Subdivision application 04 203864 WET 07 SB for 144-156 Rowntree Mill Road;
- 2 request the Director of Community Planning, Etobicoke York District to report back on rezoning the City owned parcel within Rowntree Mills Park from “R3” to “G”; and
- 3 direct the City Solicitor and appropriate City staff to attend, if necessary, the Ontario Municipal Board, to support City Council’s decision to refuse the rezoning and subdivision applications, as currently proposed.

Summary

This report reviews and recommends refusal of a rezoning application and a draft plan of subdivision application to facilitate the construction of a new public road and 3 single detached dwellings and 22 semi-detached dwelling units.

39c Status Report (May 31, 2006) 144-156 Rowntree Mill Road

(May 31, 2006) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

It is recommended that Community Council’s further consideration of the revised application be deferred until:

- (1) the applicant has submitted all required information identified by City staff and appropriate agencies, including the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, in regard to the revised proposal, within six months;
- (2) the applicant has submitted an Official Plan Amendment application to amend the new Official Plan for the City of Toronto;
- (3) City staff has completed its review of the revised proposal and supporting documentation and has reported back to Community Council; and

- (4) the applicant has withdrawn the plan of subdivision application and has confirmed in writing on the form of plan of condominium that will be sought to provide for the orderly development of the land.

Summary

This report provides a status update on the rezoning application and draft plan of subdivision application for a residential development at 144-156 Rowntree Mill Road, as directed by Etobicoke York Community Council at its meeting held on May 9, 2006.

39d Status Report (August 24, 2006) 144 - 156 Rowntree Mill Road

(August 24, 2006) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

It is recommended that this report be:

- (1) received for information; and
- (2) the Director of Community Planning, Etobicoke York District be requested to report back on rezoning the City owned parcel within Rowntree Mills Park from “R3” to “G”.

Summary

This report provides a status update on the rezoning application and draft plan of subdivision application for a revised residential development proposal at 144-156 Rowntree Mill Road as requested by Etobicoke York Community Council at its meeting held on June 3, 2005 (Clause 6, Report 5).

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Mammoliti, the Etobicoke York Community Council:

1. deferred consideration of the report (January 5, 2007, December 21, 2006 and April 25, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District to its March 27, 2007 meeting;
2. requested appropriate staff from the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority and the owner and applicant to commence discussions regarding the acquisition, in whole or in part, of the lands at 148-156 Rowntree Mill Road, by the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority;
3. requested the applicant to provide to Community Planning staff all requested supporting information, as detailed in the report (December 21, 2006) from the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District; and
4. directed the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District, to report to the March 27, 2007 meeting of the Etobicoke York Community Council, including any

outstanding requested information not yet received by Community Planning staff.

EY3.40	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 11
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

Lands at the Northwest Corner of Buttonwood Avenue and Charlton Settlement Boulevard – Part of Lots 7 and 8, Registered Plan 652 – Official Plan, Zoning, Applications – Request for Direction

(January 30, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council refuse the Official Plan Amendment application;
2. City Council direct the City Solicitor and appropriate staff to:
 - a. request the Ontario Municipal Board to refuse the Zoning By-law Amendment application appeal on the basis that it is incomplete and is premature because it does not comply with the Official Plan; and
 - b. in the event that the matter proceeds to an Ontario Municipal Board hearing, attend a future Ontario Municipal Board hearing to oppose the Zoning By-law Amendment application and any appeal of the Official Plan Amendment application, subject to the City offering to participate in mediation of the matter by the Ontario Municipal Board, such mediation to be between the City, the applicant and any other party which the OMB may add as parties to the proceedings should they request party status from the OMB.

Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

Summary

An application has been submitted to permit the redevelopment of the lands at the northwest corner of Buttonwood Avenue and Charlton Settlement Boulevard with seven (7) single detached dwellings. The purpose of this report is to recommend the direction that the City Solicitor and appropriate City staff undertake on the appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board of the rezoning application by the owner of the lands, including direction in regard to opposition to any subsequent appeal of the Official Plan Amendment application.

Speakers

Anne Marie Malek, Westpark Health Centre
Gary Morrison, B.G. Schickedanz Homes

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. refuse the Official Plan Amendment application;
2. direct the City Solicitor and appropriate staff to:
 - a. request the Ontario Municipal Board to refuse the Zoning By-law Amendment application appeal on the basis that it is incomplete and is premature because it does not comply with the Official Plan; and
 - b. in the event that the matter proceeds to an Ontario Municipal Board hearing, attend a future Ontario Municipal Board hearing to oppose the Zoning By-law Amendment application and any appeal of the Official Plan Amendment application, subject to the City offering to participate in mediation of the matter by the Ontario Municipal Board, such mediation to be between the City, the applicant and any other party which the OMB may add as parties to the proceedings should they request party status from the OMB.

Links to Background Information

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1304.pdf>)

EY3.41	Information	Amended	Transactional	Ward: 5
--------	-------------	---------	---------------	---------

21 Old Mill Road - Official Plan and Zoning Amendment Applications - Preliminary Report

(January 30, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor and the Ward Councillor from the adjacent Ward 13;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

Summary

An application has been submitted to permit a ten-storey residential condominium building at the

site across from 21 Old Mill Road, currently being used as a surface parking lot for the Old Mill Inn and restaurant/banquet hall. This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process. This application has been circulated to City divisions and external agencies, where appropriate, for comment. Staff anticipate holding a community consultation meeting in the first quarter of 2007.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council directed that:

1. staff schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor and the Ward Councillor from the adjacent Ward 13;
2. City Planning staff give notice for the community consultation meeting to landowners and residents within an area to be determined in consultation with the Ward Councillors from Ward 5 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore and Ward 13 - Parkdale-High Park, and that the applicant be responsible for the costs associated with the expanded notification area; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Links to Background Information

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1252.pdf>)

EY3.42	Information	Amended	Transactional	Ward: 11
--------	-------------	---------	---------------	----------

North-East Corner of Weston Rd and Oak St - Zoning Application - Preliminary Report

(January 30, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

Summary

An application has been submitted to amend the former City of York Zoning By-law No. 1-83 to permit a gasoline bar on the north-east corner of Weston Road and Oak Street, the site of the newly developed Real Canadian Superstore. The proposed development is to be a complementary use to the existing store. This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeks Community Council's direction on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process. A community meeting is recommended to present the application to the community and obtain comments. The completion of a further staff report and statutory public meeting is targeted for the third quarter of this year. These targets assume that all required information shall be provided without delay and that issues arising from the application can be resolved in a timely manner.

Speakers

Dimitrios Markopoulos, Chainsaw Clinic Ltd.

Ron Robinson, Chainsaw Clinic Ltd.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Etobicoke York Community Council directed that:

1. staff schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site and an expanded area, and that staff consult with the Ward Councillor to determine the expanded area of notification, such area to include Dee Avenue running west off Weston Road, and that the applicant be responsible for the costs associated with the expanded notification area; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the *Planning Act* be given according to the regulations under the *Planning Act*.

Councillor Mammoliti moved that Transportation Services staff be directed to report on the parking issues in the public laneway and the impact on adjacent businesses across the street, and how they would relate to the proposed development.

The Chair ruled Councillor Mammoliti's motion out of order.

Councillor Mammoliti challenged the Chair.

The Chair's ruling was upheld.

Links to Background Information

report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1335.pdf>)

EY3.43	Information	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 11
---------------	-------------	---------	---------------	----------

Duern Street and Handel Avenue Area Zoning Review - Preliminary Report

(January 30, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the study area; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

This report reviews and evaluates the zoning in the Duern Street and Handel Avenue study area with the view to addressing the concern of area residents that the current zoning does not maintain and promote compatible development in keeping with the existing physical character of the area. This report seeks Community Council's directions to hold a community consultation meeting in March 2007 to discuss the findings of an area study and the proposed zoning changes.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Etobicoke York Community Council directed that:

1. staff schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Links to Background Information

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1293.pdf>)

EY3.44	Information	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 12
--------	-------------	---------	---------------	----------

2 Keelesdale Road - Zoning Application - Preliminary Report

(January 29, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

Summary

An application has been submitted to amend the former City of York Zoning By-law No. 1-83 to permit a gasoline bar and future car wash at 2 Keelesdale Road. The proposed development is to be located on the southerly portion of the newly developed No Frills food store site and is to be a complementary use to the existing food store. This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeks Community Council's direction on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process. A community meeting is recommended to present the application to the community and obtain comments. The completion of a further staff report and statutory public meeting is targeted for the third quarter of this year. These targets assume that all required information shall be provided without delay and that issues arising from the application can be resolved in a timely manner.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Etobicoke York Community Council directed that:

1. staff schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Links to Background Information

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1250.pdf>)

EY3.45	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 17
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

Poll Results: Requests to Rescind the Alternate Side Parking on Rosemount Avenue, between Alberta Avenue and Oakwood Avenue and Implement the Parking Prohibition at All Times on the south Side of the Street

(August 25, 2006) report from City Clerk

Recommendations

It is recommended that the alternate side parking on Rosemount Avenue, between Alberta Avenue and Oakwood Avenue not be rescinded, since the poll results did not meet the 50% criteria.

Financial Impact

The funds associated with the introduction of the appropriate regulatory signage, if approved, are contained in the Transportation Services Division Operating Budget.

Summary

To provide the results of a resident poll to determine support for rescinding the existing “alternate side parking” prohibition on Rosemount Avenue, between Alberta Avenue and Oakwood Avenue.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that alternate side parking on Rosemount Avenue, between Alberta Avenue and Oakwood Avenue, not be rescinded, since the poll results did not meet the 50% criteria.

Links to Background Information

report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1343.pdf>

EY3.46	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 2
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

55 St. Phillip’s Road (Arthur Crumpton House) Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties and Intention to Designate under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act

(January 29, 2007) report from Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendations

The TorontoPreservation Board recommended to the Etobicoke York Community Council that:

1. City Council include the property at 55 St. Phillip’s Road (Arthur Crumpton House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties;
2. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 55 St. Phillip’s Road (Arthur Crumpton House) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;

3. if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
4. if there are any objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board; and
5. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Summary

The Toronto Preservation Board on January 29, 2007, considered: a. communication (July 19, 2006) from the City Clerk advising that the Etobicoke York Community Council on July 11, 2006, referred the report (April 23, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division, back to the Toronto Preservation Board for reconsideration at its first meeting in 2007; and b. report (April 23, 2006) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division. Doris J. Cassan, The Unitarian Fellowship of Northwest Toronto, addressed the Toronto Preservation Board.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Ford, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that:

1. City Council include the property at 55 St. Phillip's Road (Arthur Crumpton House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties;
2. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 55 St. Phillip's Road (Arthur Crumpton House) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
3. if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, authorize the solicitor to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
4. if there are any objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the City Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board; and
5. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Links to Background Information

transmittal

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1268.pdf>

EY3.47	Information	Referred	Transactional	Ward: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 17
--------	-------------	----------	---------------	---

Citizen Appointments to the Committee of Adjustment Panels

Confidential - Personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local

board employees

(January 30, 2007) letter from City Clerk

Summary

Attached for Etobicoke York Community Council's consideration is the following confidential information: 1. Summary table of citizen applicants reviewed for eligibility by the City Clerk's Office and for qualifications by the Staff Review Team, and 2. Applications for the Committee of Adjustment Panel for the Etobicoke York Community Council. The Etobicoke York Community Council is requested to review the applications and recommend to the Civic Appointments Committee a short list of citizen candidates (up to 2 candidates for each position) for the Civic Appointments Committee to interview.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that the Civic Appointments Committee:

1. interview the candidates listed on the confidential Attachment 1, for appointment to the Committee of Adjustment Etobicoke York Panel; and
2. waive the eligibility requirements for the two applicants as noted on the confidential Attachment 1, for the reasons as indicated.

Links to Background Information

letter of Citizen appointments to the CofA Panels

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1286.pdf>

EY3.48	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 7
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Emery Village Business Improvement Area Revision of Entry Sign Location

(February 13, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor George Mammoliti

Recommendations

It is recommended that:

1. Council approve the Emery Village BIA sign location on the north side of Finch Avenue West and that this approval be subject to such terms and conditions as the General Managers of Transportation Services and Parks, Forestry and Recreation Services consider appropriate.

Financial Impact Statement:

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this motion as funding for the sign is available in the approved 2006 EDCT Capital Budget (CED032-42).

Summary

At its meeting on July 25, 26 and 27, 2006, City Council approved the siting of three gateway signs

within the public road allowance in Emery Village BIA (Clause 6, EYCC Report 6) This Notice of Motion seeks Council's approval to relocate one of these gateway signs due to existing utility conflicts and sight line issues. The sign is proposed to be moved from the south side of Finch Avenue West, west of Rumike Road adjacent to 2503-2541 Finch Avenue West, to the north side of Finch Avenue West, west of Rumike Road within the Duncanwoods Greenbelt. The Parks, Forestry and Recreation Division, Urban Forestry Ravine Protection have determined that no ravine features will be impacted and that the location is suitable for an entry sign. The Transportation Services Division is also satisfied with the proposed location.

Community Council Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Mammoliti, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council approve the Emery Village BIA sign location on the north side of Finch Avenue West, and that this approval be subject to such terms and conditions as the General Managers of Transportation Services and Parks, Forestry and Recreation Services, consider appropriate.

Decision Advice and Other Information

(Ref. Clause 6 in Report 6, of the Etobicoke York Community Council, which was adopted without amendment by Council on July 25, 26 and 27, 2006)

Links to Background Information

motion

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-1663.pdf>)

Meeting Sessions

Session Date	Session Type	Start Time	End Time	Public or Closed Session
2007-02-13	Morning	9:30 AM	11:45 AM	Public
2007-02-13	Afternoon	1:30 PM	3:40 PM	Closed
2007-02-13	Evening	7:00 PM	9:30 PM	Public

Chair