
Etobicoke York Community Council

Meeting No.	7	Contact	Glenda Jagai, Committee Administrator
Meeting Date	Tuesday, June 26, 2007	Phone	416-394-2516
Start Time	9:30 AM	E-mail	etcc@toronto.ca
Location	Council Chamber, Etobicoke Civic Centre		

Attendance

Members of the Etobicoke York Community Council were present for some or all of the time periods indicated under the section headed “Meeting Sessions”, which appears at the end of the Minutes.

Councillor Frances Nunziata, Chair	X
Councillor Suzan Hall, Vice-Chair	X
Councillor Frank DiGiorgio	X
Councillor Rob Ford	X
Councillor Mark Grimes	X
Councillor Doug Holyday	X
Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby	X
Councillor Giorgio Mammoliti	Regrets
Councillor Peter Milczyn	X
Councillor Cesar Palacio	X
Councillor Bill Saundercook	X

Confirmation of Minutes

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Minutes of the meeting of the Etobicoke York Community Council held on May 29, 2007 were confirmed.

EY7.1	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 5
-------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

Application for Fence Exemption - 31 King Georges Road - Supplementary Report

(June 12, 2007) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommend that the Etobicoke York Community Council approve this application for the fence exemption subject to the following conditions:

1. the 1.83 metres high close board wooden fence at the rear west of the property which is also partly encroaching on City road allowance is cut back 2.4 metres along the driveway and Prince Edward Drive to avoid sight line obstructions to pedestrian and vehicular traffic; and
2. the Encroachment Agreement Application submitted in conjunction with this report to the Etobicoke York Community Council is modified.

Summary

This Staff Report is about an application for an exemption to the Fence by-law under Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code to maintain an existing 1.83 metres high close board wooden fence on the west flankage of Prince Edward Drive which is in violation of the by-law, for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This application should be read and considered in conjunction with the application for the encroachment agreement for existing fences erected on the property and within the road allowance and for which a report has been submitted to the Etobicoke York Community Council at this time.

Communications

(June 25, 2007) e-mail from Ron and Penny Moles (EY.Main)

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the application for the fence exemption at 31 King Georges Road, subject to the following conditions:

1. the 1.83 metres high close board wooden fence at the rear west of the property which is also partly encroaching on City road allowance is cut back 2.4 metres along the driveway and Prince Edward Drive to avoid sight line obstructions to pedestrian and vehicular traffic;

2. the Encroachment Agreement Application submitted in conjunction with this report to the Etobicoke York Community Council is modified; and
3. that all work be completed no later than September 30, 2007.

Links to Background Information

June 12, 2007 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4723.pdf>)

(Deferred from May 1, 2007 - 2007.EY5.21)

1a Application for Fence Exemption 31 King Georges Road

(April 13, 2007) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommend that:

1. The Etobicoke York Community Council approve this application for the fence exemption only upon the approval of the Encroachment Agreement Application submitted in conjunction with this report to the Etobicoke York Community Council.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

This Staff Report is about an application for an exemption to the Fence by-law under Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code to maintain an existing close board wooden fence on the west flankage of Prince Edward Drive which is in violation of the by-law, for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This application should be read and considered in conjunction with the application for the encroachment agreement for existing fences erected on the property and within the road allowance and for which a report has been submitted to the Etobicoke York Community Council at this time.

Communications

(April 27, 2007) e-mail from John and Sandy Clarke (EY.Main)

(April 29, 2007) e-mail from Lydia Lebed (EY.Main)

(April 30, 2007) e-mail from Elizabeth Flavelle and David Windeyer (EY.Main)

(April 30, 2007) e-mail from D. Bertucci (EY.Main)

(April 28, 2007) e-mail from James and Benita DesRoches (EY.Main)
 (April 30, 2007) e-mail from Lydia Luckevich (EY.Main)
 (April 29, 2007) e-mail from Lydia Lebed (EY.Main)
 (April 30, 2007) e-mail from Patrick Savage (EY.Main)
 (April 29, 2007) e-mail from Penny and Ron Moles (EY.Main)
 (April 29, 2007) e-mail from Regina and Sal Masionis (EY.Main)
 (April 30, 2007) e-mail from Yvonne Greig (EY.Main)
 (April 30, 2007) e-mail from Karen Zurawski (EY.Main)
 (April 30, 2007) e-mail from Scott Colbourne (EY.Main)
 (April 30, 2007) e-mail from Carol and Douglas Pell (EY.Main)
 (May 1, 2007) letter from Kingsway Park Ratepayers (EY.Main)
 (April 30, 2007) e-mail from John and Linda Armstrong (EY.Main)
 (May 16, 2007) letter from Ronald Rhodes (EY.Main)

Speakers

Ron Rhodes
 Jason Butorac

Links to Background Information

April 13, 2007 report
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-3997.pdf>

EY7.2	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 5
-------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

31 King Georges Road - Application for Encroachment Agreement - Supplementary Report

(June 11, 2007) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommend that the Etobicoke York Community Council modifies this application for fence encroachment and adopt the following:

1. the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare an Encroachment Agreement for the existing and proposed encroachments on King Georges Road and Prince Edward Drive flankage as modified (Attachment 2);
2. the applicant to pay the City of Toronto all fees associated with the preparation of this agreement, and an annual fee for the use of the road allowance at a rate of \$11.00 per square metre for the encroaching area; such fees are subject to change;
3. the signed agreement is returned to the City along with the required Certificate of Insurance, evidencing a third party bodily and property damage insurance in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 or such other coverage and greater amount as the City may

require, and naming the City of Toronto as an additional insured party under the policy;

4. the Certificate of Insurance shall be renewed on an annual basis for the life of the encroachments;
5. the proposed hedge on the outside of the open wood fence adjacent to Prince Edward Drive be eliminated;
6. the owner to constantly trim and maintain the proposed hedges and vines that encroach on road allowance to a maximum height of 0.80 metres, and ensure they do not encroach on the sidewalk and cause any obstruction, damage or injury to pedestrians; hedges on private property shall comply with the requirements of the Fence By-law under Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code;
7. the owner to cut the grass and maintain the fence on road allowance in a state of good repair;
8. vehicles shall not be parked to encroach over the sidewalk (Attachment 5); and
9. the owners to obtain all necessary construction/streets occupation permit(s) for any work on road allowance.

Summary

This Staff Report is about a revised application submitted by the property owners of 31 King Georges Road to maintain the existing encroachments, including two different fences on the Prince Edward Drive flankage and a series of proposed hedges and vines, which are within City road allowance, for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report, which has incorporated the comments submitted by various City Divisions, Utility Companies, the Ward Councillor as well as the local residents, should be considered in conjunction with the applicants' request for a Fence Exemption to Municipal Code, Chapter 447, Fences, for the close board wooden fence built partly on private property.

Communications

(June 25, 2007) e-mail from Ron and Penny Moles (EY.Main)

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the modification to the application for fence encroachment at 31 King Georges Road, and adopted the following:

1. the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare an Encroachment Agreement for the existing and proposed encroachments on King Georges Road and Prince Edward Drive flankage as modified in Attachment 2 to the report (June 11, 2007) from the Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards;

2. the applicant to pay the City of Toronto all fees associated with the preparation of this agreement, and an annual fee for the use of the road allowance at a rate of \$11.00 per square metre for the encroaching area; such fees are subject to change;
3. the signed agreement is returned to the City along with the required Certificate of Insurance, evidencing a third party bodily and property damage insurance in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 or such other coverage and greater amount as the City may require, and naming the City of Toronto as an additional insured party under the policy;
4. the Certificate of Insurance shall be renewed on an annual basis for the life of the encroachments;
5. the proposed hedge on the outside of the open wood fence adjacent to Prince Edward Drive be eliminated;
6. the owner to constantly trim and maintain the proposed hedges and vines that encroach on road allowance to a maximum height of 0.80 metres, and ensure they do not encroach on the sidewalk and cause any obstruction, damage or injury to pedestrians; hedges on private property shall comply with the requirements of the Fence By-law under Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code;
7. the owner to cut the grass and maintain the fence on road allowance in a state of good repair;
8. vehicles shall not be parked to encroach over the sidewalk (Attachment 5);
9. the owners to obtain all necessary construction/streets occupation permit(s) for any work on road allowance; and
10. that all work related to the fence be completed no later than September 30, 2007.

Links to Background Information

June 11, 2007 staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4724.pdf>

(Deferred from May 1, 2007 - 2007.EY5.22)

2a Application for Encroachment Agreement 31 King Georges Road

(April 12, 2007) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that the Etobicoke York Community Council

approve:

1. the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare an Encroachment Agreement for the existing and proposed encroachments on King Georges Road and Prince Edward Drive flankage; Attachment 1;
2. the applicant to pay the City of Toronto all fees associated with the preparation of this agreement, and an annual fee for the use of the road allowance. Fees are subject to change;
3. the signed agreement is returned to the City along with the required Certificate of Insurance, evidencing a third party bodily and property damage insurance in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 or such other coverage and greater amount as the City may require, and naming the City of Toronto as an additional insured party under the policy;
4. the Certificate of Insurance shall be renewed and a copy thereof submitted to Municipal Licensing and Standards on an annual basis for the life of the encroachments;
5. pay an annual fee to Municipal Licensing and Standards in the amount of \$11.00 per square metre; and
6. the owners to obtain all necessary construction/streets occupation permit(s) for any work on road allowance.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

This Staff Report is about an application submitted by the property owners of 31 King Georges Road to maintain an existing encroachment, including a fence and a proposed hedge, which are both within the City road allowance, for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report, which has incorporated the comments submitted by various City Divisions and Utility Companies, should be considered in conjunction with the applicants' request for a Fence Exemption to Municipal Code, Chapter 447, Fences, for the close board wooden fence built within the private property.

Communications

(April 27, 2007) e-mail from John and Sandy Clarke (EY.Main)

(April 30, 2007) e-mail from Yvonne Greig (EY.Main)

(May 16, 2007) letter from Ronald Rhodes (EY.Main)

Links to Background Information

April 12, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-3998.pdf>

(Deferred from May 29, 2007 - 2007.EY6.9)

EY7.3	Information	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 5
-------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

Application for Fence Exemption - 66 King Georges Road

(May 9, 2007) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that The Etobicoke York Community Council refuse the application, as the erected fence does not comply with the provisions of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 447 Fence, Section 2, Subsection B. (1).

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

This staff report is in response to an application for a Fence Exemption to maintain an existing fence located at 66 King Georges Road in the flankage yard along Jackson Avenue, for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Communications

(May 27, 2007) letter from Patrick Whitney and Camie Tang-Chang (EY.Main)

(May 28, 2007) letter from Diane Celotti (EY.Main)

(May 29, 2007) letter from A. Drinkwalter (Personal information withheld on request) (EY.Main)

(June 12, 2007) e-mail from Kathryn Collins (EY.Main)

Speakers

John McLeod

Camie Tang-Chang

Diane Celotti

Allan Drinkwalter

John Litwin

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council:

1. approved the application for fence exemption at 66 King Georges Road based on the

revised drawing subsequently submitted, involving the replacement of the closed board wood fence with a 2-metre high open wrought iron fence with hedges behind ;

2. that an exemption be granted to allow for hedges in excess of 800 mm to be located on the interior of the new open ornamental metal fence; and
3. directed that all work related to the fence be completed no later than September 30, 2007.

Links to Background Information

Application for Fence Exemption - 66 King Georges Road

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4477.pdf>)

EY7.4	Information	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 12
-------	-------------	---------	-----------	----------

Fence Exemption Request - 553 Rustic Road

(June 6, 2007) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that:

1. The Etobicoke York Community Council deny the request for the exemption for the private property fences based on non-compliance with the requirements set out in Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code.

Summary

This Staff Report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The subject matter is an application for an exemption to Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code, Fences, to maintain an existing board on board wooden fence in the front yard which is in violation of the by-law.

Speakers

Marta Simeoni

Basil Pistilli

Maria Pistilli

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Di Giorgio, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the application for fence exemption, subject to the condition that the lattice on top of the existing board on board fence measured 2.4 metres northward and 2.4 metres westward from the south

east corner of the fence at the front yard of the property at 553 Rustic Road be removed, and the remaining fence be no higher than 1 metre within this location.

Links to Background Information

June 6, 2007 staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4831.pdf>

(Deferred from March 27, 2009 -2007.EY4.38 and May 29, 2007 - 2007.EY6.10)

4a Fence Exemption Request - 553 Rustic Road

(February 26, 2007) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that:

The Etobicoke York Community Council deny the request for the exemption for the private property fences based on non-compliance with the requirements set out in Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

This Staff Report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The subject matter is an application for an exemption to Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code, Fences, to maintain an existing board on board wooden fence in the front yard which is in violation of the by-law.

Speakers

Mr. Basil Pistilli

Links to Background Information

February 26, 2007 staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4484.pdf>

(Deferred from May 29, 2007 - 2007.EY6.5)

EY7.5	Information	Deferred	Delegated	Ward: 5
--------------	-------------	----------	-----------	---------

Sign Variance Report - 111 Eastside Drive

(May 7, 2007) Report from Director and Deputy Chief Building Official

Recommendations

Toronto Building recommends:

1. The request for variance be refused for the reasons outlined in this report.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

This staff report is a matter for which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Toronto Building received a sign variance application from Johnni Sbrocchi, President and owner, Atarctic Storage and Logistics, for approval of variance from Chapter 215, Signs, of the former City of Etobicoke Municipal Code, to install an 18.29 metres high Third Party Illuminated Ground Sign with a display area of 62.43 square metres, within 23 metres of the Provincial Highway on 111 Eastside Drive.

Communications

- (May 27, 2007) e-mail from Shayla Duval (EY.Main)
- (May 27, 2007) e-mail from Alice Barton (EY.Main)
- (May 27, 2007) e-mail from Sara Lipson (EY.Main)
- (May 28, 2007) e-mail from Stewart C. Russell (EY.Main)
- (May 28, 2007) e-mail from Amy Stewart (EY.Main)
- (May 28, 2007) e-mail from Alison Gorbould (EY.Main)
- (May 28, 2007) e-mail from Rajat M. Bharati (EY.Main)

Speakers

Anthony Sbrocchi

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community deferred this matter to its September 10, 2007 meeting, to allow the applicant to provide staff with a revised application for first party signage.

Links to Background Information

May 7, 2008

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4476.pdf>

EY7.6	Information	Deferred	Delegated	Ward: 5
-------	-------------	----------	-----------	---------

Sign Variance Report - 10 Wickman Road

(June 7, 2007) Report from Director and Deputy Chief Building Official

Recommendations

Toronto Building recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council:

1. Refuse the request for a sign variance for the reasons outlined in this report.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council, to make a final decision.

On May 7, 2007, Toronto Building received a sign variance application request from Rav Banwait, Applicant, on behalf of John and Mary Kudlak, Owners, for approval of variance from Chapter 215, Signs, of the former City of Etobicoke Municipal Code, to install a 18.29 metre height Third Party Illuminated Ground Sign with a display area of 62.43 square metres, within 23 metres of the former Provincial Highway, on 10 Wickman Road.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council deferred consideration of this matter to its September 10, 2007 meeting.

Links to Background Information

June 7, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4630.pdf>

EY7.7	Information	Deferred	Delegated	Ward: 13
-------	-------------	----------	-----------	----------

Demolition Control Applications - 1844, 1846, 1848, 1850, 1852 and 1854 Bloor Street West; 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 Oakmount Road; 35 and 37 Pacific Avenue - Status Report

(June 12, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Summary

This report provides a status update on the demolition applications related to this site. A staff report recommending refusal of the request to demolish the houses on these properties was deferred to the June 26th meeting of Etobicoke York Community Council at its meeting of March 27, 2007.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council deferred this report to its September 10, 2007 meeting.

Links to Background Information

June 12, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4783.pdf>

(Deferred from March 27, 2007 - 2007.EY4.57)

7a 1844, 1846, 1848, 1850, 1852 and 1854 Bloor Street West; 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 Oakmount Road; 35 and 37 Pacific Avenue - Demolition Control Applications

(March 13, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council refuse the applications to demolish the residential buildings; and
2. In the event of an appeal, the City Solicitor and appropriate City staff be authorized to attend the Ontario Municipal Board in support of this recommendation.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

On March 2, 2006, Toronto Building received demolition control permit applications to demolish thirteen houses at 1844, 1846, 1848, 1852 and 1854 Bloor Street West; 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 Oakmount Road; 35 and 37 Pacific Avenue. No building permit application to replace the buildings to be demolished has been received by Toronto Building. In accordance with By-law No.1009-2006 (former City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 14, Article 1, Demolition Control), the demolition permit applications are submitted to Community Council for consideration and recommendation to City Council. Council may refuse the demolition permit applications, or grant permission to demolish the buildings and include conditions attached to the permits. City Planning Division staff have identified concerns related to the replacement of rental units within the buildings to be demolished in accordance with the Official Plan. Urban Forestry staff have advised that there are numerous significant trees located on or in close proximity to this site that qualify for protection under either the City's Street Tree or Private Tree by-laws. Staff recommend refusal of the applications as no redevelopment plan including a rental housing replacement strategy has been filed with the City. In the event that Council decides to approve the demolition, staff should be directed to report further on appropriate conditions.

Communications

(March 26, 2007) e-mail from Eda Sepp (EY.Main)

(March 26, 2007) e-mail from Linda Sepp (EY.Main)

Links to Background Information

March 13, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-3999.pdf>)

EY7.8	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 13, 17
-------	--------	---------	---------------	--------------

Intention to Designate, Part IV, Ontario Heritage Act Two Properties with Heritage Easement Agreements 70 High Park Avenue and 1100 Lansdowne Avenue

Statutory - Ontario Heritage Act, RSO 1990

(May 31, 2007) Report from Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations

City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 70 High Park Avenue (Third Church of Christ, Scientist) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
2. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 1100 Lansdowne Avenue (Canada Foundry Company Warehouse) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
3. If there are no objections to the designations in accordance with Section 26(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the properties under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
4. If there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designations for which there are objections to the Conservation Review Board; and
5. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

Summary

This report recommends that City Council state its intention to designate the properties at 70 High Park Avenue (Third Church of Christ, Scientist) and 1100 Lansdowne Avenue (Canada Foundry Company Warehouse) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

Each property has a heritage easement agreement registered on title. City Legal has advised that any property with a heritage easement agreement should also be designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act to allow the Chief Building Official to refuse to issue a permit on the basis of lack of conformity with applicable law.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that:

1. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 70 High Park Avenue (Third Church of Christ, Scientist) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
2. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 1100 Lansdowne Avenue (Canada Foundry Company Warehouse) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
3. if there are no objections to the designations in accordance with Section 26(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the properties under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
4. if there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designations for which there are objections to the Conservation Review Board; and
5. the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

Links to Background Information

May 31, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4552.pdf>

8a Intention to Designate, Part IV, Ontario Heritage Act - 20 Properties with Heritage Easement Agreements

(May 11, 2007) Report from Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendations

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended, in part, to the Etobicoke York Community Council that:

1. City Council state its intention to designate the following 2 properties under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act:
 - ix. 70 High Park Avenue (Third Church of Christ, Scientist) – Ward 13;
 - xii. 1100 Lansdowne Avenue (Canada Foundry Company Warehouse) – Ward 17;
2. If there are no objections to the designations in accordance with Section 26(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the properties under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
3. If there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designations for which there are objections to the Conservation Review Board; and
4. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

Summary

The Toronto Preservation Board on May 11, 2007, considered a report (March 7, 2007) from the Director, Policy and Research City Planning Division regarding its intention to designate two properties (70 High Park Avenue and 1100 Lansdowne Avenue) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

Links to Background Information

May 11, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4964.pdf>

March 7, 2007 staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4965.pdf>

EY7.9	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 6
-------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Intention to Designate, Part IV, Ontario Heritage Act Bell Telephone Company Building - 80 Birmingham Street

Statutory - Ontario Heritage Act, RSO 1990

(June 4, 2007) Report from Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendations

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Etobicoke York Community Council that:

1. City Council include the property at 80 Birmingham Street (Bell Telephone Company Building) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties;
2. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 80 Birmingham Street (Bell Telephone Company Building) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
3. If there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 26(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
4. If there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation for which there is an objection to the Conservation Review Board; and
5. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

Summary

The Toronto Preservation Board on June 4, 2007, considered a report (May 10, 2007) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division regarding its intention to designate the property at 80 Birmingham Street (Bell Telephone Company Building) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that:

1. City Council include the property at 80 Birmingham Street (Bell Telephone Company Building) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties;

2. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 80 Birmingham Street (Bell Telephone Company Building) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
3. if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 26(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
4. if there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation for which there is an objection to the Conservation Review Board; and
5. the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

Links to Background Information

June 4, 2007 transmittal

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4535.pdf>

9a Bell Telephone Company Building - Intention to Designate, Part IV, Ontario Heritage Act

(May 10, 2007) Report from Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations

City Planning Division recommends that

1. City Council include the property at 80 Birmingham Street (Bell Telephone Company Building) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties;
2. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 80 Birmingham Street (Bell Telephone Company Building) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
3. If there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 26(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
4. If there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation for which there is an objection to the Conservation Review Board; and
5. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

Summary

This report recommends that City Council state its intention to designate the property at 80 Birmingham Street (Bell Telephone Company Building) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

The property owner has requested the designation to recognize the significance of the site and to qualify for the Toronto Heritage Grant Program.

Links to Background Information

May 10, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5012.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5013.pdf>)

Attachment 2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5014.pdf>)

Attachment 3

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5015.pdf>)

EY7.10	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 5
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Intention to Designate, Part IV, Ontario Heritage Act 515 Royal York Road

Statutory - Ontario Heritage Act, RSO 1990

(June 4, 2007) Report from Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendations

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Etobicoke York Community Council that:

1. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 515 Royal York Road (Eden Court) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
2. if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 26(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
3. if there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation for which there is an objection to the Conservation Review Board; and
4. the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

Summary

The Toronto Preservation Board on June 4, 2007, considered a report (April 5, 2007) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division regarding its intention to designate the property at 515 Royal York Road (Eden Court) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that:

1. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 515 Royal York Road (Eden Court) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
2. if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 26(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
3. if there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation for which there is an objection to the Conservation Review Board; and
4. the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto

Links to Background Information

June 4, 2007 transmittal

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4536.pdf>

10a 515 Royal York Road - Intention to Designate, Part IV, Ontario Heritage Act

(April 5, 2007) Report from Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations

City Planning Division recommends that

1. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 515 Royal York Road (Eden Court) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
2. If there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 26(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
3. If there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation for which there is an objection to

the Conservation Review Board; and

4. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

Summary

This report recommends that City Council state its intention to designate the property at 515 Royal York Road (Eden Court) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

The property at 515 Royal York Road is listed on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties. A heritage easement agreement between the property owners and the City of Toronto was registered on title on May 26, 2006. City Legal has advised that any property with a heritage easement agreement should also be designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act to allow the Chief Building Official to refuse to issue a permit on the basis of lack of conformity with applicable law.

Links to Background Information

April 5, 2007 staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5016.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5017.pdf>

Attachment 2

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5018.pdf>

Attachment 3

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5019.pdf>

EY7.11	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 5
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

82 Daniels Street - Common Elements Condominium Application - Final Report

Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990

(June 11, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. in accordance with the delegated approval under By-law No. 229-2000, City Council be advised that the Chief Planner intends to approve the draft plan of common elements condominium, as generally illustrated on Attachment 1, subject to:
2. the conditions as generally listed in Attachment 2, which otherwise noted must be

fulfilled prior to the release of the plan of condominium for registration; and

3. such revisions to the proposed condominium plan or such additional or modified conditions as the Chief Planner may deem to be appropriate to address matters arising from the on-going technical review of this development.

Summary

This application was made after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

This application proposes a common elements condominium consisting of a common private street and services.

The application for common elements condominium is necessary to provide legal access to the individual residential dwellings and to ensure ongoing shared ownership and maintenance of the private road and services.

This report reviews and recommends approval of the Draft Plan of Common Elements Condominium.

Communications

(June 22, 2007) e-mail from JR Hergel and Anita Crux (EY.Main)

Speakers

Sergiy Kabuzan
Tom Czerwinski

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that:

1. in accordance with the delegated approval under By-law No. 229-2000, City Council be advised that the Chief Planner intends to approve the draft plan of common elements condominium, as generally illustrated on Attachment 1, subject to:
 - (a) the conditions as generally listed in Attachment 2, which otherwise noted must be fulfilled prior to the release of the plan of condominium for registration; and
 - (b) such revisions to the proposed condominium plan or such additional or modified conditions as the Chief Planner may deem to be appropriate to address matters arising from the on-going technical review of this development.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Etobicoke York Community Council requested the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District to report directly to the July 16, 2007

meeting of City Council on the requirements of the construction management plan and specifically whether it requires protective fencing for neighbouring properties and dust mitigation measures.

The Etobicoke York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on June 26, 2007 and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*.

Links to Background Information

June 11, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4583.pdf>

Notice

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4823.pdf>

EY7.12	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 7
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

115 Torbarrie Road (Plan 66M-2436, Block 151) - Common Elements Condominium Application and Part Lot Control Exemption Application - Final Report

Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990

(June 12, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. In accordance with the delegated approval under By-law No. 229-2000, City Council be advised that the Chief Planner or his designate intends to approve the draft plan of common elements condominium, as generally illustrated on Attachment 1, subject to:
 - (a) the conditions as generally listed in Attachment 2, which unless otherwise noted must be fulfilled prior to the release of the plan of condominium for registration; and
 - (b) such revisions to the proposed condominium plan or such additional or modified conditions as the Chief Planner or his designate may deem to be appropriate to address matters arising from the on-going technical review of this development.
2. City Council direct that a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law with respect to the subject lands be prepared to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor, and that such By-law shall expire one year after it has been enacted;

3. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to introduce the necessary Bill provided that:
 - (a) all tax arrears and current taxes owing be paid in full; and
 - (b) the owner of the subject lands has registered, satisfactory to the City Solicitor, a Section 118 restriction under the Land Titles Act agreeing not to transfer or charge any part of the lands without the prior written consent of the Chief Planner or his designate;
4. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to take the necessary steps to release the Section 118 restriction at such time as final Site Plan Approval and the Common Elements Condominium Plan has been registered; and
5. City Council authorize and direct the appropriate City Officials to register the Part Lot Control Exemption By-law on title.

Summary

The applications for Draft Plan of Common Elements Condominium and Part Lot Control Exemption were made on or after January 1, 2007 and are subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

The applications propose a common elements condominium consisting of a common driveway, visitor parking spaces and walkways and requests exemption from Part Lot Control provisions of the Planning Act on Block 151 of Registered Plan of Subdivision 66M-2436 forming part of the lands at 115 Torbarrie Road to create 191 separate conveyable townhouse lots.

The application for common elements condominium is necessary to provide legal access to the individual townhouse units proposed on Block 151 and to ensure ongoing shared ownership and maintenance of the driveway, visitor parking spaces and other shared aspects of the development by the condominium corporation.

This report reviews and recommends approval of the Draft Plan of Common Elements Condominium and approval of the application for Part Lot Control Exemption.

Communications

(June 19, 2007) e-mail from Louise Monaghan (EY.Main)

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that:

1. in accordance with the delegated approval under By-law No. 229-2000, City Council be advised that the Chief Planner or his designate intends to approve the draft plan of common elements condominium, as generally illustrated on Attachment 1, subject to:

- (a) the conditions as generally listed in Attachment 2, which unless otherwise noted must be fulfilled prior to the release of the plan of condominium for registration; and
 - (b) such revisions to the proposed condominium plan or such additional or modified conditions as the Chief Planner or his designate may deem to be appropriate to address matters arising from the on-going technical review of this development.
2. City Council direct that a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law with respect to the subject lands be prepared to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor, and that such By-law shall expire one year after it has been enacted;
3. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to introduce the necessary Bill provided that:
 - (a) all tax arrears and current taxes owing be paid in full; and
 - (b) the owner of the subject lands has registered, satisfactory to the City Solicitor, a Section 118 restriction under the Land Titles Act agreeing not to transfer or charge any part of the lands without the prior written consent of the Chief Planner or his designate;
4. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to take the necessary steps to release the Section 118 restriction at such time as final Site Plan Approval and the Common Elements Condominium Plan has been registered; and
5. City Council authorize and direct the appropriate City Officials to register the Part Lot Control Exemption By-law on title.

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Etobicoke York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on June 26, 2007 and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*. No one appeared before the Community Council.

Links to Background Information

June 12, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4814.pdf>

Notice

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4822.pdf>

EY7.13	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 7
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

115 Torbarrie Road (Plan 66M-2436 - Lots 2 to 51) - Part Lot Control Exemption Application - Final Report

(June 12, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council direct that a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law with respect to the subject lands be prepared to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor, and that such By-law shall expire one year after it has been enacted;
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to introduce the necessary Bill provided that:
 - (a) all tax arrears and current taxes owing be paid in full; and
 - (b) the owner of the subject lands has registered, satisfactory to the City Solicitor, a Section 118 restriction under the Land Titles Act agreeing not to transfer or charge any part of the lands without the prior written consent of the Chief Planner or his delegate;
3. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to take the necessary steps to release the Section 118 restriction at the appropriate time; and
4. City Council authorize and direct the appropriate City Officials to register the Part Lot Control Exemption By-law on title.

Summary

This application was made after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

This report reviews and recommends approval of an application by Ringley Construction Limited for Part Lot Control Exemption for a development consisting of 50 pairs of semi-detached dwellings (100 units), on lands municipally know as 115 Torbarrie Road. An exemption from Part Lot Control will allow each lot containing a pair of semi-detached dwelling units to be subdivided yielding one hundred individual residential lots each with a semi detached dwelling unit.

The proposal complies with the existing Official Plan and Zoning By-law amendments approved by Toronto City Council in April 2003 (OPA No. 537 and By-law No. 416-2003). The lifting of Part Lot Control for a period of one year is considered appropriate for the orderly development of these lands.

Committee Recommendations

Councillor Lindsay Luby, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that:

1. City Council direct that a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law with respect to the subject lands be prepared to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor, and that such By-law shall expire one year after it has been enacted;
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to introduce the necessary Bill provided that:
 - (a) all tax arrears and current taxes owing be paid in full; and
 - (b) the owner of the subject lands has registered, satisfactory to the City Solicitor, a Section 118 restriction under the Land Titles Act agreeing not to transfer or charge any part of the lands without the prior written consent of the Chief Planner or his delegate;
3. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to take the necessary steps to release the Section 118 restriction at the appropriate time; and
4. City Council authorize and direct the appropriate City Officials to register the Part Lot Control Exemption By-law on title.

Links to Background Information

June 12, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5020.pdf>

EY7.14	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 5
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

252, 270, 272 and 276 Bering Avenue - Common Elements Condominium Application and Part Lot Control Application - Final Report

Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990

(June 11, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. In accordance with the delegated approval under By-law No. 229-2000, City Council be advised that the Chief Planner intends to approve the Draft Plan of Common Elements Condominium, as generally illustrated on Attachment 1, subject to:

- a. the conditions as generally listed in Attachment 3, which otherwise noted must be fulfilled prior to the release of the plan of condominium for registration; and
 - b. such revisions to the proposed condominium plan or such additional or modified conditions as the Chief Planner may deem to be appropriate to address matters arising from the on-going technical review of this development.
2. City Council direct that a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law with respect to the subject lands be prepared to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor, and that such By-law shall expire two years after it has been enacted;
 3. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to introduce the necessary Bill for a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law provided that:
 - a. the owner provide proof of payment to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor that all tax arrears and current taxes for the subject site owing be paid in full; and
 - b. the owner of the subject lands has registered, satisfactory to the City Solicitor, a Section 118 restriction under the Land Titles Act agreeing not to transfer or charge any part of the lands without the prior written consent of the Chief Planner or his delegate;
 4. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to take the necessary steps to release the Section 118 restriction at such time as the Common Elements Condominium Plan has been registered;
 5. The owner must place notifications on title of each residential lot which state that using the visitor and disabled parking stalls for resident parking purposes is prohibited, and that the internal streets and alleys are privately-owned and the City neither maintains them nor clears them of snow and ice.
 6. City Council authorize and direct the appropriate City Officials to register the By-law on title.

Summary

The applications for Draft Plan of Common Elements Condominium and Part Lot Control Exemption were made after January 1, 2007 and are subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

The applications propose a common elements condominium consisting of a common roadway and visitor parking spaces to service the 73 townhouse units and permission to permit the lifting of Part Lot Control to create 73 separate townhouse lots at 252, 270, 272 and 276 Bering Avenue.

The application for common elements condominium is necessary in order to provide the individual townhouses with legal access and to ensure ongoing shared ownership and maintenance of the common elements.

This report reviews and recommends approval of the Draft Plan of Common Elements Condominium and approval of the application to lift Part Lot Control.

Speakers

E. Downs-Barker

Barry Horosko, Solicitor

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that:

1. in accordance with the delegated approval under By-law No. 229-2000, City Council be advised that the Chief Planner intends to approve the Draft Plan of Common Elements Condominium, as generally illustrated on Attachment 1, subject to:
 - (a) the conditions as generally listed in Attachment 3, which otherwise noted must be fulfilled prior to the release of the plan of condominium for registration; and
 - (b) such revisions to the proposed condominium plan or such additional or modified conditions as the Chief Planner may deem to be appropriate to address matters arising from the on-going technical review of this development.
2. City Council direct that a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law with respect to the subject lands be prepared to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor, and that such By-law shall expire two years after it has been enacted;
3. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to introduce the necessary Bill for a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law provided that:
 - (a) the owner provide proof of payment to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor that all tax arrears and current taxes for the subject site owing be paid in full; and
 - (b) the owner of the subject lands has registered, satisfactory to the City Solicitor, a Section 118 restriction under the Land Titles Act agreeing not to transfer or charge any part of the lands without the prior written consent of the Chief Planner or his delegate;
4. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to take the necessary steps to release the Section 118 restriction at such time as the Common Elements Condominium Plan has been registered;
5. the owner must place notifications on title of each residential lot which state that using the visitor and disabled parking stalls for resident parking purposes is prohibited, and that the internal streets and alleys are privately-owned and the City neither maintains

them nor clears them of snow and ice; and

6. City Council authorize and direct the appropriate City Officials to register the By-law on title.

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Etobicoke York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on June 26, 2007 and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*.

Councillor Holyday moved that this matter be deferred until the conditions are met.

Recorded vote:

For: Councillors Holyday and Ford (2)
 Against: Councillors Di Giorgio, Grimes, Hall, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn,
 Nunziata, Palacio, Saundercook, (8)
 Absent: Councillor Mammoliti

Links to Background Information

June 11, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4579.pdf>

EY7.15	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 11
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

21 Oak Street - Subdivision Application and Common Elements Condominium Application - Final Report

(June 12, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. in accordance with the delegated approval under By-law No. 229-2000, City Council be advised that the Chief Planner intends to approve the draft plan of subdivision, as generally illustrated on Attachment No. 1, subject to:
 - (a) the conditions as generally listed in Attachment No. 2, which except as otherwise noted must be satisfied or secured through the subdivision agreement prior to the release of the plan of subdivision for registration; and
 - (b) such revisions to the proposed subdivision plan or such additional or modified conditions as the Chief Planner may deem to be appropriate to

address matters arising from the ongoing technical review of this development.

2. in accordance with the delegated approval under By-law No. 229-2000, City Council be advised that, on the basis of the approval of the above draft Plan of Subdivision, the Chief Planner intends to approve the draft plan of Common Element Condominium for Block 100 on the Plan of Subdivision, subject to:
 - (a) the conditions as generally listed in Attachment No. 3, which except as otherwise noted must be fulfilled prior to the release of the plan of condominium for registration; and
 - (b) such revisions to the proposed condominium plan or such additional or modified conditions as the Chief Planner may deem to be appropriate to address matters arising from the on-going technical review of this development.

Summary

This report reviews and recommends approval of a Draft Plan of Subdivision and a Draft Plan of Common Elements Condominium for a townhouse development at 21 Oak Street. The application for Draft Plan of Common Elements Condominium was made after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006. This report also advises that the Chief Planner intends to approve the Draft Plan of Subdivision and the Draft Plan of Common Elements Condominium under delegated authority pursuant to By-law No. 229-2000.

The proposal complies with the amended Official Plan and Zoning By-laws. Prior to final approval and registration of the Plans the applicant will be required to fulfill the conditions listed in Attachment Nos. 2 and 3 which include entering into a subdivision agreement.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Hall, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that:

1. in accordance with the delegated approval under By-law No. 229-2000, City Council be advised that the Chief Planner intends to approve the draft plan of subdivision, as generally illustrated on Attachment No. 1, subject to:
 - (a) the conditions as generally listed in Attachment No. 2, which except as otherwise noted must be satisfied or secured through the subdivision agreement prior to the release of the plan of subdivision for registration; and
 - (b) such revisions to the proposed subdivision plan or such additional or modified conditions as the Chief Planner may deem to be appropriate to address matters arising from the ongoing technical review of this development.
2. in accordance with the delegated approval under By-law No. 229-2000, City Council be advised that, on the basis of the approval of the above draft Plan of Subdivision, the

Chief Planner intends to approve the draft plan of Common Element Condominium for Block 100 on the Plan of Subdivision, subject to:

- (a) the conditions as generally listed in Attachment No. 3, which except as otherwise noted must be fulfilled prior to the release of the plan of condominium for registration; and
- (b) such revisions to the proposed condominium plan or such additional or modified conditions as the Chief Planner may deem to be appropriate to address matters arising from the on-going technical review of this development.

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Etobicoke York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on June 26, 2007 and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*. No one appeared before the Community Council.

Links to Background Information

June 12, 2007 staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4923.pdf>

notice

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4924.pdf>

EY7.16	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 11
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

Northeast Corner of Weston Road and Oak Street Zoning Application - Final Report

Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990

(June 12, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council amend Zoning By-law No. 1-83 for the former City of York substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 5;
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required; and
3. before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, require the owner to fulfill the Notice of Approval Conditions for site plan approval under

Section 114 of the City of Toronto Act.

Summary

An application has been submitted to amend the former City of York Zoning By-law No. 1-83 to permit a gasoline bar on the northeast corner of Weston Road and Oak Street which is the south westerly portion of Real Canadian Superstore property. The proposed development is a complementary use to the existing store.

Planning staff have evaluated the merits of the proposal and are of the opinion that the proposal is consistent with the Official Plan and that it is an appropriate use for the site. The proposed gasoline bar will support and contribute to the economic function of the existing Employment District by providing a local service to area businesses and adjacent uses.

This report reviews and recommends approval of the application to amend Zoning By-law No. 1-83 to permit a site-specific gasoline bar use on this property subject to the owner fulfilling the Notice of Approval Conditions for site plan approval.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that:

1. City Council amend Zoning By-law No. 1-83 for the former City of York substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 5;
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required; and
3. before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, require the owner to fulfill the Notice of Approval Conditions for site plan approval under Section 114 of the City of Toronto Act.

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Etobicoke York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on June 26, 2007 and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*. No one appeared before the Community Council.

Links to Background Information

June 12, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4921.pdf>

notice

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4922.pdf>

EY7.17	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 2
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

555 Rexdale Boulevard - Official Plan, Zoning and Subdivision Applications - Final Report

Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990

(June 12, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council amend the Official Plan substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan amendment outlined in Attachment No. 7;
2. City Council amend Zoning Code No. 11,737 substantially in accordance with the draft amendment described in this report and to be provided at the public meeting;
3. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan and Zoning amendments as may be required to implement the intent of the Council approval;
4. City Council recommend to the Chief Planner that the draft plan of subdivision be approved, generally as illustrated on Attachment No. 8, subject to:
 - (a) the conditions as generally listed in Attachment No. 9, which, except as otherwise noted, must be fulfilled or secured through the subdivision agreement before the release of the plan of subdivision for registration;
 - (b) such revisions to the proposed subdivision plan or such additional or modified conditions as the Chief Planner may deem to be appropriate to address matters arising from the on-going technical review of this development, including provisions for phasing; servicing and extent of the roadway network beyond that currently proposed;
5. That the Executive Director of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to develop a local employment strategy in cooperation with the applicant and the local area academic and labour stakeholders to ensure that local residents are positioned and prepared to take advantage of the Woodbine rejuvenation;
6. The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be requested to report to the Executive Committee, prior to the execution of the subdivision agreement, regarding the applicant's request for possible financial strategies to assist implementation of this development in consultation with the Executive Director and Chief Planner, the Executive Director of Technical Services and the Executive Director of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; and

7. Appropriate City officials be authorized to enter into discussions and amend the existing agreements as necessary to implement a sanitary sewer connection to Peel Region, if required by this development.

Summary

The application proposes Official Plan and Zoning Code amendments, and a Draft Plan of Subdivision to permit a retail and entertainment complex and future residential uses related to the Woodbine Racetrack at 555 Rexdale Boulevard.

The proposal is to create an expanded entertainment and retail commercial centre for residents, workers and visitors in the Greater Toronto Area and to provide a new residential neighbourhood on the northwest portion of the lands that will be integrated with and support the commercial district. The overall proposal represents good land use planning, will provide significant social and economic benefits to the City and Province, and implements the strategic objectives and land uses contemplated for the property by the Official Plan.

This report reviews and recommends approval of the application to amend the Official Plan for all phases of development; approval of the application for Zoning Code amendment for Phase I consisting of the entertainment and retail commercial centre; and approval of a draft plan of subdivision, subject to conditions.

Speakers

Blake Cordish

Steve Diamond, Solicitor

Shafiq Quaadri, MPP, Etobicoke North

Zaleda Davis, Community Organizing for Responsible Development

Rick Embree, Dean, Planning and Development, Humber College

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Ford, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council adopt the following recommendations contained in the report (June 12, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District, as amended to substitute the Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment, appended to the Supplementary Report (June 25, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District:

That:

1. City Council amend the Official Plan substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan amendment outlined in Attachment No. 7;
2. City Council amend Zoning Code No. 11,737 substantially in accordance with the draft amendment described in this report and to be provided at the public meeting;
3. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan and Zoning amendments as may be required to implement the

intent of the Council approval;

4. City Council recommend to the Chief Planner that the draft plan of subdivision be approved, generally as illustrated on Attachment No. 8, subject to:
 - (a) the conditions as generally listed in Attachment No. 9, which, except as otherwise noted, must be fulfilled or secured through the subdivision agreement before the release of the plan of subdivision for registration;
 - (b) such revisions to the proposed subdivision plan or such additional or modified conditions as the Chief Planner may deem to be appropriate to address matters arising from the on-going technical review of this development, including provisions for phasing; servicing and extent of the roadway network beyond that currently proposed;
5. the Executive Director of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to develop a local employment strategy in cooperation with the applicant and the local area academic and labour stakeholders to ensure that local residents are positioned and prepared to take advantage of the Woodbine rejuvenation;
6. the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be requested to report to the Executive Committee, prior to the execution of the subdivision agreement, regarding the applicant's request for possible financial strategies to assist implementation of this development in consultation with the Executive Director and Chief Planner, the Executive Director of Technical Services and the Executive Director of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; and
7. the appropriate City officials be authorized to enter into discussions and amend the existing agreements as necessary to implement a sanitary sewer connection to Peel Region, if required by this development.

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Etobicoke York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on June 26, 2007 and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*.

Recorded vote on the motion by Councillor Ford to adopt the recommendations in the report (June 12, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District:

For:	Councillors Di Giorgio, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio and Saundercook	(10)
Absent:	Councillor Mammoliti	

Links to Background Information

June 12, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4936.pdf>

notice OPA Zoning

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4937.pdf>)

notice - plan of subdivision

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4938.pdf>)

17a 555 Rexdale Boulevard – Official Plan, Zoning and Subdivision Applications – Supplementary Report

(June 25, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council adopt the staff report dated June 12, 2007 as amended to substitute the Official Plan amendment, and zoning By-law amendment appended to the staff report of June 25, 2007.

Summary

As noted in the Final Report dated June 12, 2007, staff are submitting a draft by-law for Council's approval. In addition, staff are submitting a technical amendment to the Official Plan submitted with the Final Report.

Links to Background Information

June 25, 2007 Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5532.pdf>)

EY7.18	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 5
---------------	---------------	---------	---------------	---------

3741-3751 Bloor Street West - Rezoning Application for a Temporary Use By-law - Final Report

Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990

(June 12, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council amend the Etobicoke Zoning Code and By-law No. 1088-2002 substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment 2;

2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required; and
3. before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, require the applicant to:
 - (i) enter into a Site Plan Agreement under Section 41 of the Planning Act;
 - (ii) submit a Site Grading and Servicing Plan and a Stormwater Management Report to the satisfaction of the Executive Director of Technical Services and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division; and
 - (iii) submit a Transportation Impact Study that examines the effect this proposal will have on the boundary road network to the satisfaction of the Director of Transportation Services and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division.

Summary

This application is for a Temporary Use Zoning By-law in order to construct an interim commuter parking lot on a portion of the Westwood Theatre Lands, for a period of two years.

The site is already being used as a parking facility and can continue to be used for parking, until long term plans are finalized, consistent with the policies of the Etobicoke Centre Secondary Plan. The use is suitable for the site on a temporary basis in terms of site layout, design and accessibility. City Planning staff are recommending approval of the application provided that the applicant satisfies the conditions set out in this report.

This report reviews and recommends approval of the application to amend the Etobicoke Zoning Code.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that:

1. City Council amend the Etobicoke Zoning Code and By-law No. 1088-2002 substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment 2;
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required; and
3. before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, require the applicant to:
 - (i) enter into a Site Plan Agreement under Section 41 of the Planning Act;
 - (ii) submit a Site Grading and Servicing Plan and a Stormwater Management

Report to the satisfaction of the Executive Director of Technical Services and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division; and

- (iii) submit a Transportation Impact Study that examines the effect this proposal will have on the boundary road network to the satisfaction of the Director of Transportation Services and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division.

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Etobicoke York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on June 26, 2007 and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*. No one appeared before the Community Council.

Links to Background Information

June 12, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4851.pdf>

notice

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4853.pdf>

EY7.19	Information	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 3
--------	-------------	---------	---------------	---------

2 Holiday Drive - Zoning Application - Preliminary Report

(June 12, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Summary

This application was made after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

This application proposes to redevelop the former Ramada Inn property at 2 Holiday Drive with a condominium apartment development of 887 units contained in four towers with a maximum height of 24 storeys, connected by podiums.

This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process.

Staff continue to work with the applicant to refine the application, in response to the identification of preliminary issues. Staff recommend that a community consultation meeting be held in September, 2007.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the following:

1. that staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. that notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
3. that notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Links to Background Information

June 12, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4784.pdf>

EY7.20	Information	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 13
--------	-------------	---------	---------------	----------

3385 Dundas Street West - Zoning By-law Amendment Application Preliminary Report

(May 10, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents

within 120 metres of the site; and

3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Summary

This application was made on or after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

This application proposes to amend the former City of York By-law No. 1-83 to permit a six storey, mixed use building at 3385 Dundas Street West. The proposed use of the building is a senior's residence with complementary retail at grade.

This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the applications and on the community consultation process.

It is recommended that a community meeting be scheduled by staff, in consultation with the Ward Councillor. The completion of a further staff report and statutory public meeting under the Planning Act is targeted for the third quarter of 2007. This target assumes that all required information shall be provided without delay and that issues arising from the application can be resolved in a timely manner.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the following:

1. that staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. that notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within an area to be determined in consultation with the local Councillor; and that the applicant be responsible for the costs associated with an expanded notification area; and
3. that notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Links to Background Information

May 10, 2007 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4514.pdf>)

EY7.21	Information	Deferred	Delegated	Ward: 17
--------	-------------	----------	-----------	----------

Application for Encroachment Agreement - 1 Roseneath Gardens

(June 12, 2007) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommend that the Etobicoke York Community Council modify this application and adopt the following:

1. the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare an Encroachment Agreement for the existing and proposed encroachments as modified;
2. the owner to enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Toronto and pay all fees associated with the preparation of the Agreement;
3. the owner to pay an annual fee to the City of Toronto for use of the road allowance in the amount of \$25.00 plus \$5.50 per square metre, plus GST (all fees are subject to change);
4. the signed agreement to be returned to the City along with the required Certificate of Insurance, evidencing a third party bodily injury and property damage insurance in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 or such other coverage and greater amount as the City may require, and naming the City of Toronto as an additional insured party under the policy;
5. the Certificate of Insurance to be renewed on an annual basis for the life of the encroachments;
6. the combined height of the existing fence and the wooden retaining wall on the Glenhurst Avenue road allowance to be reduced to a height of 1 metre (Attachment 2); and
7. the owner to maintain the subject property in good repair and comply at all times with the regulations set out in the former City of York Municipal Code, Chapter 1004, Street.

Summary

This staff report is about an application for an Encroachment Agreement to construct a retaining wall on Roseneath Gardens road allowance, and to maintain the existing encroachments on both Roseneath Gardens and Glenhurst Avenue road allowance, amounting to a total area of 91.20 square metres encroaching on the City road allowance, for which the

Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision (Attachment 2).

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council deferred consideration of this report to its September 10, 2007 meeting.

Links to Background Information

June 12, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4834.pdf>)

EY7.22	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 17
--------	-------------	---------	-----------	----------

Application for Outdoor Boulevard Marketing Display and Encroachment Agreement - 314 Oakwood Avenue

(June 4, 2007) Memo from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommend that the Etobicoke York Community Council approve the application for an Encroachment Agreement to lease 30.32 square metres of the municipal boulevard for the purposes of an outdoor boulevard marketing area at the flankage of 314 Oakwood Avenue (abutting Earlsdale Avenue) as indicated on Attachment #1 be approved in accordance with the former City of York Streets By-law 3343-79, as amended, subject to the applicant fulfilling the following conditions:

1. the City Solicitor to be authorized to enter into an encroachment agreement for the proposed encroachment;
2. the applicant to pay all fees associated with the preparation of all agreements, and an annual fee to the City of Toronto for use of the road allowance in the amount of \$25 annual permit fee plus \$5.50 per square metre, plus GST. All fees are subject to change;
3. comply at all times with regulations set out in the former City of York Streets By-law 3343-79, as amended;
4. the signed agreement to be returned to the City along with the required Certificate of Insurance, evidencing a third party bodily injury and property damage insurance in the amount of Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000.00) or such other coverage and greater amount as the City may require, and naming the City of Toronto as an additional insured party under the policy;

5. the said Certificate of insurance to be renewed and a copy thereof submitted to Municipal Licensing and Standards on an annual basis for the life of the encroachment;
6. the applicant operate the boulevard marketing area in compliance with the requirements for Municipal Code, Chapter 591, Noise;
7. the applicant shall obtain construction/street occupation permits on an as needed basis prior to the commencement of any construction of any structures;
8. the applicant receive all necessary clearances from the Right-of-Way Management Section of Transportation services and comply with any conditions identified;
9. the outdoor display of merchandise shall not exceed the measurements in this application, which are approximately 4.52 metres X 6.71 metres, as per Attachment #1.
10. the application shall comply with the Streets By-law under Chapter 1004 of the former City of York Municipal code.

Summary

To report on an application submitted by the owner(s) to lease an area of approximately 30.32 square metres of the municipal boulevard for the purposes of an outdoor boulevard marketing area to vend seasonal flowers at 314 Oakwood Avenue, for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the application for an Encroachment Agreement to lease 30.32 square metres of the municipal boulevard for the purposes of an outdoor boulevard marketing area at the flankage of 314 Oakwood Avenue (abutting Earlsdale Avenue) as indicated on Attachment #1 be approved in accordance with the former City of York Streets By-law 3343-79, as amended, subject to the applicant fulfilling the following conditions:

1. the City Solicitor to be authorized to enter into an encroachment agreement for the proposed encroachment;
2. the applicant to pay all fees associated with the preparation of all agreements, and an annual fee to the City of Toronto for use of the road allowance in the amount of \$25 annual permit fee plus \$5.50 per square metre, plus GST. All fees are subject to change;
3. comply at all times with regulations set out in the former City of York Streets By-law 3343-79, as amended;
4. the signed agreement to be returned to the City along with the required Certificate of Insurance, evidencing a third party bodily injury and property damage insurance in the amount of Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000.00) or such other coverage and greater

amount as the City may require, and naming the City of Toronto as an additional insured party under the policy;

5. the said Certificate of insurance to be renewed and a copy thereof submitted to Municipal Licensing and Standards on an annual basis for the life of the encroachment;
6. the applicant operate the boulevard marketing area in compliance with the requirements for Municipal Code, Chapter 591, Noise;
7. the applicant shall obtain construction/street occupation permits on an as needed basis prior to the commencement of any construction of any structures;
8. the applicant receive all necessary clearances from the Right-of-Way Management Section of Transportation services and comply with any conditions identified;
9. the outdoor display of merchandise shall not exceed the measurements in this application, which are approximately 4.52 metres X 6.71 metres, as per Attachment #1.
10. the application shall comply with the Streets By-law under Chapter 1004 of the former City of York Municipal code.

Links to Background Information

June 4, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4843.pdf>

EY7.23	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 17
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

Assumption of Roads and Services - 1403120 Ontario Ltd., Registered Plan of Subdivision 66M-2387 South of Turnberry Avenue, East of Union Street

(May 30, 2007) Report from Acting Director, Development Engineering

Recommendations

It is recommended that:

1. the roads and municipal services installed for Registered Plan 66M-2387 be assumed and that the City formally assume the roads within the Plan of Subdivision;
2. the Acting Director, Development Engineering be authorized to release the performance guarantee;
3. an assumption By-law be prepared to assume the public highways and municipal

services in Subdivision Plan 66M-2387;

4. the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to register the assumption By-law in the Land Registry Office, at the expense of the Owner; and
5. the City Clerk and Treasurer be authorized to sign any release or other documentation necessary to give effect thereto.

Summary

This report requests Council's authority for the City to assume the services with respect to the above development.

Speakers

Joe Luis Cecilio

Helen Mah, Turnberry Residents Association

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council approve the following:

1. the roads and municipal services installed for Registered Plan 66M-2387 be assumed and that the City formally assume the roads within the Plan of Subdivision;
2. the Acting Director, Development Engineering be authorized to release the performance guarantee;
3. an assumption By-law be prepared to assume the public highways and municipal services in Subdivision Plan 66M-2387;
4. the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to register the assumption By-law in the Land Registry Office, at the expense of the Owner;
5. the City Clerk and Treasurer be authorized to sign any release or other documentation necessary to give effect thereto; and
6. that the developer be requested to refund the lot grading deposit paid to him by the purchasers.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council requested the Acting Director, Development Engineering, to report to its September 10, 2007 meeting on the construction of the missing link of sidewalk on the west side of Alentejo Street.

Links to Background Information

May 30, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4513.pdf>)

EY7.24	Information	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 6
---------------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

Sign Variance Report - 164 Evans Avenue

(June 12, 2007) Report from Director and Deputy Chief Building Official

Recommendations

Toronto Building recommends that

1. The request for variance be approved for the reasons outlined in this report with the following conditions.
 - a. The display panel will not play any video will not have animation or any other continuous moving light or display.
 - b. The general advertisement of goods, services and activities on the premises will not exceed fifty percent of the total display area of the sign and;
 - c. The sign will have on one display area that is facing the Islington Avenue and
2. the applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Request for approval of variance from Chapter 215, Signs, of the former City of Etobicoke Municipal Code to install First Party Illuminated Fascia sign on ground floor north elevation at 164 Evans Avenue.

The request comes from Berardo Mascioli of Stratega Consulting Ltd. for 2074039 Ontario Inc. for approval of the variance from Chapter 215, Signs, of the former City of Etobicoke Municipal Code.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the following:

1. the request for variance at 164 Evans Avenue, be approved for the reasons outlined in this report with the following conditions:
 - a. the display panel will not play any video will not have animation or any other continuous moving light or display.
 - b. the general advertisement of goods, services and activities on the premises will not exceed fifty percent of the total display area of the sign and;
 - c. the sign will have on one display area that is facing the Islington Avenue; and
2. the applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council directed that the Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards monitor the situation for compliance and to report back to the Etobicoke York Community Council within six (6) months.

Links to Background Information

June 12, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4665.pdf>

EY7.25	Information	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 12
---------------	-------------	---------	-----------	----------

Demolition Control Applications - 1759 Lawrence Avenue West

(June 8, 2007) Report from Director and Deputy Chief Building Official

Recommendations

Toronto Building recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council give consideration to the demolition application and decide to:

- a. Refuse the application to demolish the subject one-storey single family dwelling because there is no permit application to replace the buildings on the site; or,
- b. Approve the application to demolish the subject one-storey single family dwelling without conditions; or
- c. Approve the application to demolish the subject one-storey single family dwelling with the following conditions:

- i. that a construction fence be erected in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article III, if deemed appropriate by the Chief Building Official;
- ii. that all debris and rubble be removed immediately after demolition;
- iii. that sod be laid on the site and be maintained free of garbage and weeds, in accordance with the Municipal Code Chapter 623-5, and 629-10, paragraph B; and
- iv. that any holes on the property are backfilled with clean fill.

Summary

This staff report is regarding a matter for which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

On May 30, 2007, Toronto Building received a demolition permit application to demolish a one storey single family dwelling at 1759 Lawrence Ave West. Toronto Building has not received a building permit application to replace the building to be demolished as of this date.

In accordance with By-law No 1009-2006 (former City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 14, Article 1, Demolition Control), the above noted demolition permit application is submitted to the Etobicoke York Community Council for consideration and decision, due to the fact that a building permit has not been issued to replace the building, and whether to refuse or grant the demolition permit application, including conditions if any, to be attached to the permit.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Di Giorgio, the Etobicoke York Community Council:

1. Approved the application to demolish the subject one-storey single family dwelling with the following conditions:
 - i. that a construction fence be erected in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article III, if deemed appropriate by the Chief Building Official;
 - ii. that all debris and rubble be removed immediately after demolition; and
 - iii. that any holes on the property are backfilled with clean fill.

Links to Background Information

June 8, 2007 staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4673.pdf>

EY7.26	Information	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 12
--------	-------------	---------	-----------	----------

Demolition Control Applications - 1761 Lawrence Avenue West

(June 8, 2007) Report from Director and Deputy Chief Building Official

Recommendations

Toronto Building recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council give consideration to the demolition application and decide to:

- a. Refuse the application to demolish the subject one-storey single family dwelling because there is no permit application to replace the buildings on the site; or,
- b. Approve the application to demolish the subject one-storey single family dwelling without conditions; or
- c. Approve the application to demolish the subject one-storey single family dwelling with the following conditions:
 - i. that a construction fence be erected in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article III, if deemed appropriate by the Chief Building Official;
 - ii. that all debris and rubble be removed immediately after demolition;
 - iii. that sod be laid on the site and be maintained free of garbage and weeds, in accordance with the Municipal Code Chapter 623-5, and 629-10, paragraph B; and
 - iv. that any holes on the property are backfilled with clean fill.

Summary

This staff report is regarding a matter for which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

On May 30, 2007, Toronto Building received a demolition permit application to demolish a one storey single family dwelling at 1761 Lawrence Ave West. Toronto Building has not received a building permit application to replace the building to be demolished as of this date.

In accordance with By-law No 1009-2006 (former City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 14, Article 1, Demolition Control), the above noted demolition permit application is submitted to the Etobicoke York Community Council for consideration and decision, due to the fact that a building permit has not been issued to replace the building, and whether to refuse or grant the demolition permit application, including conditions if any, to be attached to the permit.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Di Giorgio, the Etobicoke York Community Council:

1. Approved the application to demolish the subject one-storey single family dwelling with the following conditions:
 - i. that a construction fence be erected in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article III, if deemed appropriate by the Chief Building Official;
 - ii. that all debris and rubble be removed immediately after demolition; and
 - iii. that any holes on the property are backfilled with clean fill.

Links to Background Information

June 8, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4677.pdf>

EY7.27	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 6
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Algoma Street, Melrose Street and Wesley Street Speed Limit Amendments

(May 31, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. City Council rescind the existing 40 km/h speed limit regulation on Algoma Street between Royal York Road and Milton Street;
2. City Council rescind the existing 40 km/h speed limit regulation on Melrose Street between Royal York Road and Milton Street;
3. City Council approve a 40 km/h speed limit on Algoma Street between Royal York Road and Grand Avenue;
4. City Council approve a 40 km/h speed limit on Melrose Street between Royal York Road and Grand Avenue; and
5. City Council approve a 40 km/h speed limit on Wesley Street between Oxford Street and Portland Street, as the requirements for a 40 km/h speed limit are achieved.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to extend the existing 40 km/h speed limits on Algoma Street and Melrose Street eastward to Grand Avenue; and, to introduce a 40 km/h speed limit on Wesley Street between Oxford Street and Portland Street.

Currently, the sections of Algoma Street and Melrose Street between Royal York Road and Milton Street have a legal speed limit of 40 km/h. The sections of Algoma Street and Melrose Street between Milton Street and Grand Avenue have a legal speed limit of 50 km/h. However, for regulatory continuity, a lower (40 km/h) speed limit is recommended on Algoma Street and Melrose Street, on the entire sections between Royal York Road and Grand Avenue. Also, a 40 km/h speed limit is recommended on Wesley Street, between Oxford Street and Portland Street, as the 40 km/h speed limit warrant is met due to the width of the road and the existence of an elementary school that abuts the street.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. rescind the existing 40 km/h speed limit regulation on Algoma Street between Royal York Road and Milton Street;
2. rescind the existing 40 km/h speed limit regulation on Melrose Street between Royal York Road and Milton Street;
3. approve a 40 km/h speed limit on Algoma Street between Royal York Road and Grand Avenue;
4. approve a 40 km/h speed limit on Melrose Street between Royal York Road and Grand Avenue; and
5. approve a 40 km/h speed limit on Wesley Street between Oxford Street and Portland Street, as the requirements for a 40 km/h speed limit are achieved.

Links to Background Information

May 31, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4564.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4565.pdf>

EY7.28	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 5
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Ambleside Avenue - Speed Limit Amendment

(May 31, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. City Council rescind the existing 40 km/h speed limit regulation on Ambleside Avenue between Islington Avenue and Chartwell Road; and
2. City Council approve a 40 km/h speed limit on Ambleside Avenue between Islington Avenue and Bentley Drive.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to extend the east limit of the existing 40 km/h speed limit on Ambleside Avenue from Chartwell Road to Bentley Drive.

Currently, the section of Ambleside Avenue between Islington Avenue and Chartwell Road has a posted speed limit of 40 km/h. The section of road between Chartwell Road and Bentley Drive has a legal speed limit of 50 km/h. However, for regulatory continuity, a 40 km/h speed limit is recommended on Ambleside Avenue, on the entire section between Islington Avenue and Bentley Drive.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. rescind the existing 40 km/h speed limit regulation on Ambleside Avenue between Islington Avenue and Chartwell Road; and
2. approve a 40 km/h speed limit on Ambleside Avenue between Islington Avenue and Bentley Drive.

Links to Background Information

May 31, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4572.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4573.pdf>

EY7.29	ACTION	Amended	Transactional	Ward: 5
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Shaver Avenue North - Speed Limit Amendment

(May 31, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. City Council rescind the existing 40 km/h speed limit regulation on Shaver Avenue North between Burnhamthorpe Road and Northhampton Drive; and
2. City Council approve a 40 km/h speed limit on Shaver Avenue North between Burnhamthorpe Road and Bloor Street West.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to extend the south limit of the existing 40 km/h speed limit on Shaver Avenue North, from Northhampton Drive to Bloor Street West.

Currently, the section of Shaver Avenue North between Burnhamthorpe Road and Northhampton Drive has a posted speed limit of 40 km/h. The section of road between Northhampton Drive and Bloor Street West has a legal speed limit of 50 km/h. However, for regulatory continuity, a 40 km/h speed limit is recommended on Shaver Avenue North, on the entire section between Burnhamthorpe Road and Bloor Street West.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. rescind the existing 40 km/h speed limit regulation on Shaver Avenue North between Burnhamthorpe Road and Northhampton Drive; and
2. approve a 40 km/h speed limit on Shaver Avenue North between Burnhamthorpe Road and Bloor Street West.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council requested the Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District, to study reducing the speed limit from 50 km/h to 40 km/h on Shaver Avenue from Dundas Street West to Bloor Street West, and to report to its September 10, 2007 meeting.

Links to Background Information

May 31, 2007 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4545.pdf>)

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4546.pdf>

EY7.30	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 13
--------	-------------	---------	-----------	----------

Brule Gardens - Amendments to Parking Regulations

(June 7, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommend that:

1. Etobicoke York Community Council rescind the existing parking prohibition from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. and from 6:00 p.m. of one day to 7:00 a.m. of the next following day, Monday to Friday, and anytime on Saturday and Sunday, on the north side of Brule Gardens, from a point 15.0 metres west of Riverside Drive and a point 20.0 metres further west thereof;
2. Etobicoke York Community Council rescind the existing parking regulation for a maximum period of ten minutes, from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the north side of Brule Gardens, from a point 15.0 metres west of Riverside Drive and a point 20.0 metres further west thereof; and
3. Etobicoke York Community Council approve a No Parking prohibition at all times on the north side of Brule Gardens, from a point 15.0 metres west of Riverside Drive to a point 20.0 metres further west thereof.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to outline the findings of an investigation to amend the parking regulations on Brule Gardens, between Riverside Drive and Brule Terrace.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the following:

1. rescind the existing parking prohibition from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. and from 6:00 p.m. of one day to 7:00 a.m. of the next following day, Monday to Friday, and anytime on Saturday and Sunday, on the north side of Brule Gardens, from a point 15.0 metres west of Riverside Drive and a point 20.0 metres further west thereof;
2. rescind the existing parking regulation for a maximum period of ten minutes, from 7:00

a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the north side of Brule Gardens, from a point 15.0 metres west of Riverside Drive and a point 20.0 metres further west thereof; and

3. approves a No Parking prohibition at all times on the north side of Brule Gardens, from a point 15.0 metres west of Riverside Drive to a point 20.0 metres further west thereof.

Links to Background Information

June 7, 2007

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4562.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4563.pdf>

EY7.31	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 7
--------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

Torbarrie Road - Parking Regulation Amendments

(May 30, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. The Etobicoke York Community Council approve a “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the east side of Torbarrie Road between a point 510.0 metres north of the westerly limit of Jethro Road and a point 630.0 metres further north thereof.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to propose the installation of a “No Parking Anytime” regulation on a portion of Torbarrie Road in front of the new residential housing subdivision (Oakdale Village). Approval of this report will result in the installation of a section of “No Parking Anytime” signs.

Implementation of this “No Parking Anytime” regulation on Torbarrie Road will prevent motorists from parking on this collector road and will conform to the signage plan approved as part of this development.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved a “No

Parking Anytime” regulation on the east side of Torbarrie Road between a point 510.0 metres north of the westerly limit of Jethro Road and a point 630.0 metres further north thereof.

Links to Background Information

May 30, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4780.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4781.pdf>

EY7.32	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 11
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

Garrow Avenue and Hilldale Road - Stop Sign Installation

(June 5, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. City Council approve the installation of a westbound stop sign control on Garrow Avenue at Hilldale Road.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to recommend the installation of a westbound stop sign control on Garrow Avenue at Hilldale Road. The stop sign will enhance the operational and pedestrian safety conditions at this intersection.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Lindsay Luby, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council approve the installation of a westbound stop sign control on Garrow Avenue at Hilldale Road.

Links to Background Information

June 5, 2007 staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4776.pdf>

attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4777.pdf>

EY7.33	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 5
---------------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

Subway Crescent - Stopping Prohibition

(June 4, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. Etobicoke York Community Council approve the installation of a “No Stopping 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the south side of Subway Crescent, between a point 60.0 meters west of Aukland Road and a point 105.0 meters west thereof; and
2. Etobicoke York Community Council approve the installation of a “No Stopping 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the north side of Subway Crescent, between a point 103.0 meters west of Aukland Road and a point 70.0 meters west thereof.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to propose the installation of “No Stopping , 7:00 a.m. – 7:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibitions on both sides of Subway Crescent within the “S” curve west of Aukland Drive, in the area of the Kipling Subway Terminal.

Although Subway Crescent is not part of a Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) route, the TTC have been contacted and have no concerns with this stopping proposal.

The proposed stopping prohibitions will address traffic flow and safety issues in the area of the Kipling Subway Terminal.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved:

1. the installation of a “No Stopping 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the south side of Subway Crescent, between a point 60.0 meters west of Aukland Road and a point 105.0 meters west thereof; and
2. the installation of a “No Stopping 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the north side of Subway Crescent, between a point 103.0 meters west of Aukland Road and a point 70.0 meters west thereof.

Links to Background Information

June 4, 2007 staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4759.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4760.pdf>)

Attachment 2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4761.pdf>)

EY7.34	Information	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 17
--------	-------------	---------	-----------	----------

Osler Street between Davenport Road and St. Clair Avenue West - Traffic Calming

(June 7, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. The City Clerk be authorized to conduct a poll of eligible householders in English, Italian and Portuguese, on Osler Street, between Davenport Road and St. Clair Avenue West, to determine resident support for the proposed speed hump plan, in accordance with the City of Toronto Traffic Calming Policy;
2. Subject to favourable results of the poll:
 - a. The City Solicitor prepare a by-law to alter sections of the roadway on Osler Street, between Davenport Road and St. Clair Avenue West, for traffic calming purposes, generally as shown on the attached print Drawing No. EY07-142, dated May 2007 and circulated to residents through the polling process;
 - b. Transportation Services take the necessary actions to reduce the speed limit from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Osler Street, between Davenport Road and St. Clair Avenue West when the speed humps are installed.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to outline the findings of an investigation to determine the need to install speed humps on Osler Street, between Davenport Road and St Clair Avenue West. A staff assessment has shown that the criteria for the installation of speed humps on this section of Osler Street are met.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved that:

1. the Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District, request the City Clerk (Elections and Registry Services) to conduct a poll of eligible householders in English, Italian, Portuguese and Spanish, on Osler Street, between Davenport Road and St. Clair Avenue West, to determine resident support for the proposed speed hump plan, in accordance with the City of Toronto Traffic Calming Policy;
2. Subject to favourable results of the poll:
 - a. the City Solicitor prepare a by-law to alter sections of the roadway on Osler Street, between Davenport Road and St. Clair Avenue West, for traffic calming purposes, generally as shown on the attached print Drawing No. EY07-142, dated May 2007 and circulated to residents through the polling process;
 - b. Transportation Services take the necessary actions to reduce the speed limit from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Osler Street, between Davenport Road and St. Clair Avenue West when the speed humps are installed.

Councillor Ford voted in the Negative.

Links to Background Information

June 7, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4778.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4779.pdf>

EY7.35	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 5
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Proposed Bicycle Lanes on Stephen Drive from Berry Road to The Queensway

(June 11, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Infrastructure Management

Recommendations

Transportation Services Division recommends that City Council:

1. approve the installation of bicycle lanes on both sides of Stephen Drive, from Berry Road to The Queensway, as detailed in Appendix A of this report;
2. approve the amendments to the traffic and parking regulations detailed in Appendix B of this report; and

3. authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain authority to install bicycle lanes on Stephen Drive from Berry Road to The Queensway.

Bicycle lanes are being incorporated into the reconstruction of Stephen Drive. The proposed design of Stephen Drive will provide one traffic lane and one bicycle lane in each direction. The Ward Councillor has been consulted and supports the proposed bicycle lanes on Stephen Drive.

Community Council does not have delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision because this report recommends amendments to on-street parking/standing/stopping regulations on a road where there is an established T.T.C. route.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. approve the installation of bicycle lanes on both sides of Stephen Drive, from Berry Road to The Queensway, as detailed in Appendix A of this report;
2. approve the amendments to the traffic and parking regulations detailed in Appendix B of this report; and
3. authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

Links to Background Information

June 11, 2007

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4745.pdf>

Appendix A and B

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4746.pdf>

Drawing 421P0028

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4747.pdf>

Drawing 421P0029

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4748.pdf>

Drawing 421P0032

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4750.pdf>

EY7.36	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 2
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Carlingview Drive and International Boulevard - U-Turn Prohibitions

(May 25, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. City Council approve a U-turn prohibition anytime for eastbound traffic on International Boulevard between Carlingview Drive and a point 100.0 metres east of Carlingview Drive; and
2. City Council approve a U-turn prohibition anytime for northbound traffic on Carlingview Drive between International Boulevard/Renforth Drive and a point 100.0 metres north of International Boulevard/Renforth Drive.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose a U-turn prohibition for eastbound traffic on International Boulevard between Carlingview Drive and a point 100.0 metres east of Carlingview Drive. Also proposed is a U-turn prohibition for northbound traffic on Carlingview Drive between International Boulevard/Renforth Drive and a point 100.0 metres north of International Boulevard/Renforth Drive.

To address safety concerns at the signalized intersection of Carlingview Drive and International Boulevard/Renforth Drive created by a very large number of U-turning vehicles in this congested area, it is recommended that U-turns be prohibited for eastbound motorists on International Boulevard and northbound motorists on Carlingview Road east and north of the intersection respectively.

The Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) has service on streets involved in this report, therefore the matter must be approved by Toronto City Council. The TTC has been advised of the proposed U-turn prohibition and has not objected to the proposal.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Ford, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. approve a U-turn prohibition anytime for eastbound traffic on International Boulevard between Carlingview Drive and a point 100.0 metres east of Carlingview Drive; and
2. approve a U-turn prohibition anytime for northbound traffic on Carlingview Drive between International Boulevard/Renforth Drive and a point 100.0 metres north of International Boulevard/Renforth Drive.

Links to Background Information

May 25, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4730.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4731.pdf>)

EY7.37	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 2
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---------

Humberwood Boulevard and Morning Star Drive - Traffic Control Signals

(May 30, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. Toronto City Council approve the removal of the all-way stop control at the intersection of Humberwood Boulevard and Morning Star Drive; and
2. Toronto City Council approve the installation of traffic control signals at the intersection of Humberwood Boulevard and Morning Star Drive.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval for the installation of traffic control signals at the intersection of Humberwood Boulevard and Morning Star Drive.

The installation of traffic control signals is justified as the Traffic Control Signal warrant requirements are achieved. The installation of traffic control signals will provide safe and convenient access for vehicles and pedestrians, and will not compromise the integrity of the arterial road network.

As the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) operates a transit service on Humberwood Boulevard, TTC staff have been consulted and advised of the proposed signal installation and have not objected. However, City Council approval is required.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Ford, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. approve the removal of the all-way stop control at the intersection of Humberwood Boulevard and Morning Star Drive; and

2. approve the installation of traffic control signals at the intersection of Humberwood Boulevard and Morning Star Drive.

Links to Background Information

May 20, 2007 staff report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4726.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4729.pdf>

EY7.38	ACTION	Amended	Transactional	Ward: 17
---------------	---------------	---------	---------------	----------

St. Clair Avenue West between Westmount Avenue and McRoberts Avenue - Transit Improvement Project

(June 12, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. City Council enact a by-law for the alteration of St. Clair Avenue West, between Westmount Avenue and McRoberts Avenue, to permit construction of the Preferred Design Concept identified through the St. Clair Avenue West Transit Improvement Class Environmental Assessment study process. The modifications, generally as shown on Drawing No.'s EY07 – 151 to EY07 - 155, dated June 2007, include:
 - (i) Construction of a raised, mountable trackbed in the centre of St. Clair Avenue West, between signalized intersections, for the exclusive use of public transit vehicles and emergency service vehicles, including Police, Fire, and Emergency Medical Services;
 - (ii) Removal of all existing streetcar platforms and replacement with new, wider farside platforms on the north side and south side of St. Clair Avenue West, west of Dufferin Street; on the north side and south side of St. Clair Avenue West, east of EarlsCourt Avenue; and nearside platforms on the north and south side of St. Clair Avenue West east and west of Lansdowne Avenue;
 - (iii) Widening the pavement, up to 2.5 metres, on the north and south sides of St. Clair Avenue West, at Dufferin Street, to allow for two through lanes and a westbound left-turn/U-turn lane;
 - (iv) Widening the pavement, up to 2.5 metres, on the north and south sides of St. Clair Avenue West, at Via Italia, to allow for two through lanes, a westbound left-turn/U-turn lane and an eastbound U-turn lane;

- (v) Widening the pavement, up to 2.5 metres, on the north and south sides of St. Clair Avenue West, at Earls court Avenue, to allow for two through lanes and an eastbound U-turn lane;
 - (vi) Widening the pavement, up to 2.5 metres, on the north and south sides of St. Clair Avenue West, at Lansdowne Avenue, to allow for two through lanes, a westbound left-turn/U-turn lane and an eastbound U-turn lane; and
 - (vii) Curb extensions on St. Clair Avenue West on the northwest corners of St. Clair Gardens, Boon Avenue and Harvie Avenue; on the northeast corners of Earls court Avenue and Nairn Avenue; and on the southeast corner of St. Clarens Avenue.
2. The appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to introduce road alteration by-laws on St. Clair Avenue, from Westmount Avenue to McRoberts Avenue, to allow for the construction and implementation of the extended second phase of the St. Clair Avenue West Transit Improvement Project as approved by City Council.

Communications

(June 24, 2007) e-mail from Anton H. Turriffin (EY.Main)

(June 25, 2007) e-mail from Vid Ingelevics (EY.Main)

Speakers

Margaret Smith

Jeffrey Gillan

Howard Katz, President, TCLC Member, Connaught-Lonsmount Area Ratepayers Association

David Keith

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that:

1. City Council enact a by-law for the alteration of St. Clair Avenue West, between Westmount Avenue and McRoberts Avenue, to permit construction of the Preferred Design Concept identified through the St. Clair Avenue West Transit Improvement Class Environmental Assessment study process. The modifications, generally as shown on Drawing No.'s EY07 – 151 to EY07 - 155, dated June 2007, include:
 - (i) construction of a raised, mountable trackbed in the centre of St. Clair Avenue West, between signalized intersections, for the exclusive use of public transit vehicles and emergency service vehicles, including Police, Fire, and Emergency

Medical Services;

- (ii) removal of existing streetcar platforms and replacement with new, wider farside platforms on the north side of St. Clair Avenue West, west of Dufferin Street; on the north side and south side of St. Clair Avenue West, east of Earls court Avenue; and nearside platforms on the north and south side of St. Clair Avenue West east and west of Lansdowne Avenue;
 - (iii) widening the pavement, up to 2.5 metres, on the north and south sides of St. Clair Avenue West, at Dufferin Street, to allow for two through lanes and a westbound left-turn/U-turn lane;
 - (iv) widening the pavement, up to 2.5 metres, on the north and south sides of St. Clair Avenue West, at Via Italia, to allow for two through lanes, a westbound left-turn/U-turn lane and an eastbound U-turn lane;
 - (v) widening the pavement, up to 2.5 metres, on the north and south sides of St. Clair Avenue West, at Earls court Avenue, to allow for two through lanes and an eastbound U-turn lane;
 - (vi) widening the pavement, up to 2.5 metres, on the north and south sides of St. Clair Avenue West, at Lansdowne Avenue, to allow for two through lanes, a westbound left-turn/U-turn lane and an eastbound U-turn lane; and
 - (vii) curb extensions on St. Clair Avenue West on the northwest corners of St. Clair Gardens, Boon Avenue and Harvie Avenue; on the northeast corners of Earls court Avenue and Nairn Avenue; and on the southeast corner of St. Clarens Avenue.
2. the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council:

- 1. requested the General Manager, Transportation Services, in consultation with the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) and City Planning, to report directly to the July 16, 2007 meeting of City Council on:
 - a. how eastbound left turns at Dufferin Street can be accommodated;
 - b. the benefits of far side loading, and necessity for it in this location due to the large volumes of commuters at this intersection.
 - c. the viability of allowing the TTC platforms at Dufferin Street and St. Clair Avenue West to be the same width as the rest of the platforms on St. Clair

Avenue West (2m);

- d. the viability of incorporating a mandatory set back in the Avenue Study already underway of St. Clair Avenue West, requiring that the sidewalk be widened as part of New Redevelopment north of St. Clair Avenue West;
 - e. maintaining access north of St. Clair Avenue West as much as possible to local residents and to avoid side street traffic infiltration; and
 - f. given the comprehensive Green Plan Climate Change Document, adopted by the City Executive Committee on June 25, 2007 concerning reducing greenhouse gas output, to report on negative neighbourhood impacts on air quality and pollution.
2. directed that a Special Meeting of the Etobicoke York Community Council be held on Thursday, July 12, 2007 at 7:00 p.m. at the York Civic Centre, prior to the July 16, 2007 meeting of City Council, to hear speakers on the proposed configuration of the Dufferin Street/St. Clair Avenue West intersection; and that staff send notice to the affected local community bounded by Westmount Avenue to the east, McRoberts Avenue to the west, Rogers Road to the north and Davenport Road to the south; and
 3. directed that staff address any concerns arising out of this Special Meeting in a concurrent report to the July 16, 2007 meeting of City Council.

Links to Background Information

June 12, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4738.pdf>)

attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4739.pdf>)

EY7.39	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 5
--------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

58 Advance Road - Commercial Boulevard Parking

(June 5, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. Etobicoke York Community Council allow the applicant to maintain eighteen vehicle parking stalls within the boulevard area of Advance Road;
2. The applicant shall introduce a 3.0m wide landscape strip across the south building

wall of 58 Advance Road adjoining the proposed boulevard parking stalls;

3. The landscape strip shall be bordered by continuous poured raised concrete curb, and the landscaping shall be maintained in a state of healthy and vigorous growth;
4. The applicant enters into a boulevard parking agreement with the City of Toronto, to the satisfaction of the City solicitor;
5. The boulevard parking stalls are for use by the applicant's employees and customers, and cannot be loaned, leased, rented, or transferred;
6. The applicant at their expense, registers the boulevard parking agreement on-title, to the satisfaction of the City solicitor; and
7. The appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to recommend that Etobicoke York Community Council permit a maximum of 18 parking stalls within the boulevard area of 58 Advance Road.

Right-of-Way Management staff has determined that the applicant can use this section of Advance Road for vehicle parking purposes without any significant impact on traffic operations.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved:

1. that the applicant be allowed to maintain eighteen vehicle parking stalls within the boulevard area of Advance Road;
2. the applicant shall introduce a 3.0m wide landscape strip across the south building wall of 58 Advance Road adjoining the proposed boulevard parking stalls;
3. the landscape strip shall be bordered by continuous poured raised concrete curb, and the landscaping shall be maintained in a state of healthy and vigorous growth;
4. the applicant enters into a boulevard parking agreement with the City of Toronto, to the satisfaction of the City solicitor;
5. the boulevard parking stalls are for use by the applicant's employees and customers, and cannot be loaned, leased, rented, or transferred;

6. the applicant at their expense, registers the boulevard parking agreement on-title, to the satisfaction of the City solicitor; and
7. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any bills that may be required.

Links to Background Information

June 5, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4734.pdf>

Attachments

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4735.pdf>

EY7.40	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 11, 12
--------	--------	---------	---------------	-----------------------------------

2007 Capital Works Projects - Highway Alteration By-laws on Transit Routes

(June 12, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. City Council approve the alterations and modifications at various locations within the Etobicoke York District, generally as shown on the attached sketches and descriptions as indicated in Appendix “A” dated June 12, 2007.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to recommend the enactment of highway alteration by-laws for the installation of lay-bys, sidewalks and realignments of intersections at various locations in the Etobicoke York District.

The proposed modifications and alterations will be undertaken as part of the Capital Works Program and will improve traffic operations and enhance pedestrian safety. The alterations identified in this report are on established Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) routes and require the approval of City Council.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that:

1. City Council approve the alterations and modifications at various locations within the

Etobicoke York District, generally as shown on the attached sketches and descriptions as indicated in Appendix “A” dated June 12, 2007.

Links to Background Information

June 12, 2007

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4927.pdf>)

Attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4928.pdf>)

EY7.41	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 1, 4, 6, 17
--------	-------------	---------	-----------	-------------------

2007 Capital Works Projects - Highway Alterations By-laws

(June 12, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. Etobicoke York Community Council approve the alterations and modifications at various locations within the Etobicoke York District, generally as shown on the attached sketches and descriptions as indicated in Appendix “A”, dated June 12, 2007.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to recommend the enactment of highway alteration by-laws for the installation of lay-bys, sidewalks and realignments of intersections at various locations in the Etobicoke York District.

The proposed modifications and alterations will be undertaken as part of the Capital Works Program and will improve traffic operations and enhance pedestrian safety.

Committee Decision

Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the alterations and modifications at various locations within the Etobicoke York District, generally as shown on the attached sketches and descriptions as indicated in Appendix “A”, dated June 12, 2007.

Links to Background Information

2007 capital works projects highway alteration bylaws eycc070089-to-app A & att.doc

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4948.pdf>)

2007 capital works projects highway alteration bylaws
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4949.pdf>

EY7.42	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 17
--------	--------	---------	---------------	--

Appointment of Members of Council to Toronto and Region Conservation Authority Humber and Etobicoke-Mimico Watersheds Sub-Committees

(June 8, 2007) Report from City Clerk

Recommendations

The City Clerk recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council consider TRCA's request to nominate Members to the Humber Watershed Alliance and the Etobicoke-Mimico Watersheds Coalition and the list of Members' preferences in Attachment 2 and, if desired, recommend to Council the appointment for a term ending December 31, 2008, and until a successor is appointed:

- a. One Member to the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority Humber Watershed Alliance.
- b. One Member to the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority Etobicoke-Mimico Watersheds Coalition.

Summary

This report forwards Toronto and Region Conservation Authority's (TRCA) request for appointments to the following sub-committees: Humber Watershed Alliance and the Etobicoke-Mimico Watersheds Coalition (Attachment 1) and a list of interested Members (Attachment 2) so that Community Council may nominate Members for appointment by Council.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

1. Councillor Suzan Hall be appointed to the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority Humber Watershed Alliance, for a term ending December 31, 2008 and until a successor is appointed; and
2. Councillor Peter Milczyn be appointed to the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority Etobicoke-Mimico Watersheds Coalition, for a term ending December 31, 2008 and until a successor is appointed.

Links to Background Information

June 8, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4950.pdf>)

attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4951.pdf>)

attachment 2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4952.pdf>)

EY7.43	ACTION	Adopted	Transactional	Ward: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 17
--------	--------	---------	---------------	---

Appointment of Members of Council to the Community Museum Management Boards and Etobicoke York Community Preservation Panel

(June 8, 2007) Report from City Clerk

Recommendations

The City Clerk recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council consider Members' preferences listed in Attachment 1 and recommend to Council the appointment of:

1. One or two Members to the Montgomery's Inn Museum Management Board Panel for a term of office ending December 31, 2008, and until their successors are appointed.
2. One Member to the Colborne Lodge/Spadina/Mackenzie House Community Museum Management Board Panel for a term of office ending December 31, 2008, and until a successor is appointed.
3. One or three Members to the Etobicoke York Community Preservation Panel for a term of office ending December 31, 2008, and until their successors are appointed.
4. One to two Members to the York Museum Management Board for a term of office ending December 31, 2008 and until their successors are appointed.

Summary

This report forwards information and a list of Members' preferences for appointment to the Montgomery's Inn, Colborne Lodge/Spadina/Mackenzie House and York Museum Management Boards and the Etobicoke York Community Preservation Panel, so that Community Council may nominate Members for appointment by Council.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

1. Councillor Peter Milczyn be appointed to the Montgomery's Inn Museum Management Board Panel for a term of office ending December 31, 2008, and until a successor is appointed;
2. Councillor Bill Saundercook be appointed to the Colborne Lodge/Spadina/Mackenzie House Community Museum Management Board Panel for a term of office ending December 31, 2008, and until a successor is appointed;
3. Councillors Peter Milczyn, Frances Nunziata and Cesar Palacio be appointed to the Etobicoke York Community Preservation Panel for a term of office ending December 31, 2008, and until their successors are appointed; and
4. Councillor Frank Di Giorgio be appointed to the York Museum Management Board for a term of office ending December 31, 2008, or until a successor is appointed.

Links to Background Information

Appointment of Members of Council to the Community Museum Management Boards and Etobicoke York Community Preservation Panel

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4945.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4946.pdf>

EY7.44	ACTION	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 6, 12
--------	--------	---------	-----------	-------------

Eglinton Hill and Lakeshore Village Business Improvement Areas - Boards of Management Additions and Deletions

(June 12, 2007) Report from General Manager, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism

Recommendations

The General Manager of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommends that:

1. Etobicoke York Community Council approve the deletions and additions to the Boards of Management of the Eglinton Hill and Lakeshore Village BIAs as set out in Attachment No. 1; and
2. Schedule A of the Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 19, Business Improvement Area, be amended to reflect the changes to this BIA Board of Management.

Summary

The staff report is about a matter over which the Etobicoke York Community Council has the

- Friday, August 17, 2007 from 12:00 noon to 1:00 a.m.
- Saturday, August 18, 2007 from 12:00 noon to 1:00 a.m., and
- Sunday, August 19, from 1:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.

to be an event of community significance and advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that:

- it has no objection to the event taking place and to the issuance of a Special Occasion Permit; and
- it also has no objection to the request for occupancy of an additional 100 people in the outside area for the duration of this event, in addition to the 117 people permitted inside by the existing liquor licence.

Summary

Letter (June 11, 2007) from Happy's Bar and Grill.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council, for liquor licensing purposes:

1. declare the following to be community festivals of municipal significance, and that the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario be advised that the City of Toronto has no objection to the events taking place:
 - the 3rd Annual Happy Fest event at Happy's Bar and Grill, 498 Horner Avenue, to be held on:

Friday, August 17, 2007 from 12:00 noon to 1:00 a.m.;

Saturday, August 18, 2007 from 12:00 noon to 1:00 a.m., and

Sunday, August 19, 2007 from 1:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.; and

that the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario be also advised that the City has no objection to the occupancy of an additional 100 persons in the outside area for the duration of this event, in addition to the 117 persons allowed inside by the existing licence;
 - St. Matthew's Catholic Church Festival of Our Lady of Light, to be held on August 31, September 1, 2 and 3, 2007; and
2. advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that the City has no objection to the issuance of a Special Occasion Permit for a wedding luncheon taking place on the patio at Thorncrest Village Clubhouse on Saturday, July 21, 2007 from 11:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Links to Background Information

Motion from Councillor Mark Grimes

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5021.pdf>

45a Request for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes

(June 3, 2007) Letter from K. Marchesan

Summary

Advising of an application for a Special Occasion Permit for wedding luncheon on the patio at Thorncrest Village Clubhouse on Saturday, July 21, 2007 from 11:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

45b Request for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes

(June 20, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Frank Di Giorgio

Summary

Request for Endorsement of Event for Liquor Licensing Purposes - St. Matthew's Our Lady of Light Festival, to be held at St. Matthew's Catholic Church on August 31st and September 1st, 2nd and 3rd, 2007.

Links to Background Information

Motion from Councillor Frank Di Giorgio

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5228.pdf>

EY7.46	Information	Deferred	Delegated	Ward: 7
---------------	-------------	----------	-----------	---------

Naming of Proposed Public Streets at 115 Torbarrie Road Re - Judy Sgro Street Naming

(June 8, 2007) Report from City Clerk

Recommendations

1. That consideration be given to re-naming Judy Sgro Avenue one of the following names:

Re-elect Judy Sgro Avenue
 Brack Avenue
 Kurtz Avenue
 Speight Avenue

Wreggitt Avenue

2. That this matter be referred to the Etobicoke York Community Council for its consideration.

Summary

City Council on May 23, 24 and 25, 2007, adopted Motion M51 from Councillor Moscoe regarding the re-naming of Judy Sgro Avenue.

Communications

(June 21, 2007) e-mail from Larry Perlman (EY.Main)

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council deferred this matter to its September 10, 2007 meeting.

Links to Background Information

May 23, 2007 motion from Councillor Howard Moscoe

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4527.pdf>)

Clause 44 or Report 7, 2006

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4528.pdf>)

June 8, 2007 transmittal

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4541.pdf>)

46a Naming of Proposed Public Streets at 115 Torbarrie Road

(June 11, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Doug Holyday

Recommendations

1. Etobicoke York Community Council recommend to City Council that this decision be reversed, in order to avoid greater cost and undue anxiety in the future, and that a public meeting be held at the next meeting of the Etobicoke York Community Council to allow for public deputation on the matter.

Summary

At the Council meeting before the November 2006 election, Council passed without debate Clause 44 of Etobicoke York Community Council Report 7, which recommended the following:

- (1) a street be named Fred Young Drive in the Torbarrie Road subdivision (2) subject to the

granting of an exception to the policy of avoiding the naming of streets after living persons, the remaining streets in the Torbarrie Road subdivision be named Jorma Palomaki Terrace, Sergio Marchi Street, Leila Jackson Terrace and Judy Sgro Avenue.

This action was taken even though Council's street naming policies were violated in two ways and even though two of the streets were recommended against by Emergency Services staff because these names could result in increased response times in the event of an emergency.

Furthermore, local residents, particularly those purchasing the new homes, have indicated their displeasure with these names and have indicated that as more properties are purchased they will be enlisting the support of all purchasers to have these street names changed.

Links to Background Information

Motion from Councillor Doug Holyday

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4925.pdf>)

EY7.47	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 11
--------	-------------	---------	-----------	----------

Avenue Study Request - Weston Road between Ray Avenue and Humber Boulevard

(June 12, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Frances Nunziata

Recommendations

1. That the Etobicoke York Community Council recommend the commencement of an Avenue Study on Weston Road between Ray Avenue and Humber Boulevard, and request planning staff to report back on the earliest that this study can be funded.

Summary

The Weston Road area is undergoing significant change. There is growing pressure in the form of planning applications and interest from the local community in the future vision for the neighbourhood. The zoning bylaw does not address the modern-day needs of the area, and is overdue to be updated. Future economic and social development in this area depends on the revitalization vision that an Avenue Study can provide. This area is also badly in need of overarching planning guidelines that will address height, sidewalk space, density, urban design, and other issues. There is an interest from private parties to invest in the area; however, they cannot proceed without the proper parameters first being established by the City of Toronto, making now an ideal time to undertake an Avenue Study on Weston Road.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Lindsay Luby, the Etobicoke York Community Council requested the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District, to undertake an Avenue Study of

Weston Road between Ray Avenue and Humber Boulevard, and to report back on the earliest that this study can be funded.

Links to Background Information

Motion from Councillor Nunziata

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4947.pdf>)

EY7.48	Information	Referred	Delegated	Ward: 17
--------	-------------	----------	-----------	----------

Traffic Impacts of Bridge Widening - St. Clair and Old Weston Road - Report Request

(June 12, 2007) Report from Councillor Palacio

Recommendations

1. That staff conduct a traffic study and traffic counts and to report to an upcoming meeting of the Etobicoke York Community Council on the traffic volumes and movements at these two adjacent intersections, including rush-hours, midday and weekends, and discuss the potential benefits of bridge widening or other alternatives to alleviate the traffic problem.

Summary

The St. Clair Avenue West – Keele Street and St. Clair Avenue West – Old Weston Road intersections are two of Toronto’s worst and infamous bottlenecks and traffic congestion locations. Local residents and businesses continue to be frustrated by this massive congestion, as are TTC vehicles which get caught in the congestion that interferes with the efficiency of their operations significantly.

Traffic infiltrating down from the 400-series highways continues to inundate this area with congestion. The recent and planned residential developments in the former stockyards area and Turnberry area have also significantly contributed to the problem, as has the proliferation of several big box stores in the immediate vicinity. The current and projected congestion levels are clearly unsustainable, and the economic and social impacts substantial.

A traffic study is needed to determine the extent of the problem and what options are available to remedy it, such as widening the underpass. This report will determine if there is a justification for doing so, or what other measures may be introduced to deal with the present problem which is expected to increase exponentially in the near future.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council requested the Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District to conduct a traffic study of the

intersections of St. Clair Avenue West and Keele Street, and St. Clair Avenue West and Old Weston Road, including traffic volume and movements during rush-hours, mid-day and on weekends, and to address the potential benefits of the bridge widening or other alternatives to alleviate the traffic problem.

Links to Background Information

Motion from Councillor Palacio

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4956.pdf>)

(Deferred from May 29 (EY6.18), May 1 (EY5.14), March 27 (EY4.22) and February 13, 2007 (EY3.20))

EY7.49	Information	Deferred	Transactional	Ward: 13
--------	-------------	----------	---------------	----------

71 Jane Street - Amendments to Parking Regulations

(January 16, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services and Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. the existing parking prohibition from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., except Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holidays on the east side of Jane Street, between Annette Street and Bloor Street West, be rescinded;
2. the existing parking prohibition from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., on the east side of Jane Street, between Rivercrest Road and Weatherell Street, be rescinded;
3. parking be prohibited from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., except Saturdays, Sundays and Public Holidays on the east side of Jane Street, between Annette Street and Weatherell Street and on the east side of Jane Street, between Bloor Street West and Rivercrest Road;
4. parking be prohibited at other times on the east side of Jane Street, between Rivercrest Road and Weatherell Street; and
5. parking be allowed for a maximum period of fifteen minutes, from 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., 11:00 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the east side of Jane Street, from a point 17.4 metres north of Rivercrest Road to a point 98.6 metres further north.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$3,000.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose an amendment to the existing parking regulations on Jane Street, between Rivercrest Road and Weatherell Street based on the results of Transportation Services staff investigation. The implementation of less restrictive parking regulations would allow pick-up and drop-off activities to occur at this location which are required to service the school.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council deferred this report to its September 10, 2007 meeting.

Links to Background Information

staff report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4485.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4486.pdf>)

extract

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-4487.pdf>)

EY7.50	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 11
--------	-------------	---------	-----------	----------

Proposed renaming of Eglinton Flats Park – North East Quadrant to Fergy Brown Park

(June 21, 2007) Report from General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation

Recommendations

The General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation recommends that the Etobicoke Community Council approve the renaming of Eglinton Flats Park – North East Quadrant, located at 101 Emmett Avenue at the corner of Eglinton Avenue and Jane Street to Fergy Brown Park.

Summary

This report seeks Community Council approval to rename Eglinton Flats Park – North East Quadrant, to Fergy Brown Park. Parks, Forestry & Recreation staff have exercised due diligence in ensuring that all criteria in the Naming and Renaming Policy has been met and recommends the renaming of the Eglinton Flats Park – North East Quadrant, to Fergy Brown Park.

Speakers

Peter Frampton

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the renaming of Eglinton Flats Park – North-East Quadrant, located at 101 Emmett Avenue at the corner of Eglinton Avenue West and Street, to Fergy Brown Park.

Links to Background Information

June 21, 2007 Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5472.pdf>

EY7.Bills	ACTION		Delegated	
------------------	--------	--	-----------	--

Councillor Milczyn moved that the following Bills be introduced and that these Bills, prepared for this meeting of Community Council, be passed and hereby declared as By-laws, which carried.

Bill No.	By-law No.	Date of Adoption	Title/Authority
Bill No. 697	709-2007	June 26, 2007	To amend the Municipal Code of the former City of Etobicoke with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I, regarding Greensboro Drive. Etobicoke York Community Council Item 4.10, as adopted by Etobicoke York Community Council on March 27, 2007 under the delegated authority of §§ 27-149B and 27-152 of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.
Bill No. 698	710-2007	June 26, 2007	To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Brule Gardens. Etobicoke York Community Council Item 7.30, as adopted by Etobicoke York Community Council on June 26, 2007 under the delegated authority of

§§ 27-149B and 27-152 of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Bill No. 699 711-2007 June 26, 2007 To amend By-law No. 31001 of the former City of North York, as amended, regarding Torbarrie Road.

Etobicoke York Community Council Item 7.31, as adopted by Etobicoke York Community Council on June 26, 2007 under the delegated authority of §§ 27-149B and 27-152 of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Bill No. 700 712-2007 June 26, 2007 To amend the Municipal Code of the former City of Etobicoke with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I, regarding Subway Crescent.

Etobicoke York Community Council Item 7.33 as adopted by Etobicoke York Community Council on June 26, 2007 under the delegated authority of §§ 27-149B and 27-152 of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Bill No. 701 713-2007 June 26, 2007 To authorize highway alterations on Regal Road, Goodmark Place, Wincott Drive and Second Street.

Etobicoke York Community Council Item 7.41, as adopted by Etobicoke York Community Council on June 26, 2007 under the delegated authority of §§ 27-149B and 27-152 of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto

Municipal Code.

Bill No. 702 714-2007 June 26, 2007 To amend City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 19, Business Improvement Areas, to make changes to the size of the Lakeshore Village Business Improvement Area Board of Management.

Etobicoke York Community Council Item 7.44, as adopted by Etobicoke York Community Council on June 26, 2007 under the delegated authority of §§ 27-149B and 27-152 of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Bill No. 751 715-2007 June 26, 2007 To confirm the proceedings of Etobicoke York Community Council at its meeting held on the 26th day of June, 2007 as it relates to decisions made under delegated authority.

(this final confirming By-law confirms the actions taken by Community Council under delegated authority at this meeting, including the enactment of any previous confirming By-laws).

Submitted Tuesday, June 26, 2007

Councillor Frances Nunziata, Chair, Etobicoke York Community Council

Meeting Sessions

Session Date	Session Type	Start Time	End Time	Public or Closed Session
2007-06-26	Morning	9:30 AM	11:30 AM	Public
2007-06-26	Afternoon	1:30 PM	4:10 PM	Public

Chair