
Etobicoke York Community Council

Meeting No.	9	Contact	Glenda Jagai, Committee Administrator
Meeting Date	Monday, September 10, 2007	Phone	416-394-2516
Start Time	9:30 AM	E-mail	etcc@toronto.ca
Location	Council Chamber, Etobicoke Civic Centre		

Item		Page
EY9.12	"Kiss 'N' Ride" Zone at Royal York Subway Station - Parking and Traffic Regulations (Ward: 5)	1
EY9.20	Runnymede Road - Installation of On-Street Parking Space for Persons with Disabilities (Ward: 13)	2
EY9.32	Finch Avenue West at Milady Road and Driveway west of Milady Road - Through and Left Turn Prohibitions (Ward: 7)	3
EY9.33	Keele Street - Turning Restriction Amendments (Ward: 12)	4
EY9.36	Oakwood Avenue - Turn Restrictions (Ward: 17)	5
EY9.37	Elmhurst Drive and Hinton Road - All-Way Stop Control (Ward: 2)	6
EY9.39	Colville Road and Sheffield Street - All-Way Stop Control (Ward: 12)	7
EY9.42	Royal York Road and Coney Road - Pedestrian Crossover Relocation (Ward: 5)	8
EY9.43	Lake Shore Boulevard West and Legion Road Traffic Control Signals (Ward: 6)	9
EY9.44	Signet Drive Parking Regulation Amendments (Ward: 7)	9
EY9.45	Davenport Road between Lansdowne Avenue and Lightbourn Avenue - Introduction of On-Street Permit Parking (Ward: 17)	10
EY9.46	High Park Avenue - Amendments to Parking Regulations (Ward: 13)	11

Item		Page
EY9.64	Designation of Fire Routes and amendment to Chapter 880 - Fire Routes (Ward: 2, 5, 11, 12, 13)	12
EY9.71	6 Lloyd Avenue - Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application - Status and Directions Report (Ward: 11)	13
EY9.72	19 Maple Bush Avenue, Lot 113 to 114, Plan 1945 - Request for Attendance at an Ontario Municipal Board Hearing Appeal of Committee of Adjustment Decision (Ward: 11)	18
EY9.74	Avenue Study Request - Lake Shore Boulevard West between Kipling Avenue and Royal York Road (Ward: 6)	19
EY9.75	558 Scarlett Road and 13 Chapman Road - Rezoning Application - Final Report (Ward: 2)	20
EY9.77	Site Plan Bump-Up Report - 252, 270, 272 and 276 Bering Avenue (Ward: 5)	21
EY9.78	96 Queen's Drive - OMB Attendance (Ward: 11)	22
EY9.79	Cost Containment Measures (Ward: All)	23

Etobicoke York Community Council

<p>Meeting No. 9</p> <p>Meeting Date Monday, September 10, 2007</p> <p>Start Time 9:30 AM</p> <p>Location Council Chamber, Etobicoke Civic Centre</p>	<p>Contact Glenda Jagai, Committee Administrator</p> <p>Phone 416-394-2516</p> <p>E-mail etcc@toronto.ca</p>
---	---

EY9.12	NO AMENDMENT	Transactional	Ward: 5
---------------	--------------	---------------	---------

"Kiss 'N' Ride" Zone at Royal York Subway Station - Parking and Traffic Regulations

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. City Council approve the dedication of Part 1 and 2 on Sketch No. PS-2007-163 for public lane purposes.
2. City Council approve the construction of a laneway, varying in width from 4.0 metres to 5.5 metres, generally as shown on DWG. No. EY07-157 dated June 2007, (Attachment No. 2).
3. City Council approve the installation of a "No Standing Anytime" prohibition on the south and west sides of the laneway to be constructed south of the Royal York subway terminal between Royal York Road and the first laneway north of Bloor Street West.
4. City Council approve the installation of a "No Stopping Anytime" prohibition on the north and east sides of the laneway to be constructed south of the Royal York subway terminal between Royal York Road and the first laneway north of Bloor Street west.
5. City Council approve a one-way eastbound designation for the laneway to be constructed south of the Royal York subway terminal between Royal York Road and the first laneway north of Bloor Street West.

(August 22, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council approve:

1. The dedication of Part 1 and 2 on Sketch No. PS-2007-163 for public lane purposes;
2. The construction of a laneway, varying in width from 4.0 metres to 5.5 metres, generally as shown on DWG. No. EY07-157 dated June 2007, (Attachment No. 2).
3. The installation of a “No Standing Anytime” prohibition on the south and west sides of the laneway to be constructed south of the Royal York subway terminal between Royal York Road and the first laneway north of Bloor Street West;
4. The installation of a “No Stopping Anytime” prohibition on the north and east sides of the laneway to be constructed south of the Royal York subway terminal between Royal York Road and the first laneway north of Bloor Street west; and
5. A one-way eastbound designation for the laneway to be constructed south of the Royal York subway terminal between Royal York Road and the first laneway north of Bloor Street West.

Financial Impact

The funds to cover the cost of the construction of the lane/proposed pick-up – drop-off facility in the estimated amount of \$160,000.00 are available in Capital Account Nos. CTP306-01-36, CUR-035-01 and CUR-043-01. Funds to cover the cost of the signage and pavement markings, in the estimated amount of \$1500.00, are available in the Transportation Services Operating Budget.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to authorize the dedication, construction and regulation of a new public lane south of the Royal York subway station and north of the existing laneway north of Bloor Street West. This laneway will extend from the east side of Royal York Road on the north side of the existing laneway, and will operate as a passenger pick-up/drop-off or “Kiss ‘N’ Ride” zone for the Royal York subway station.

The creation of an off-street (i.e. off of Royal York Road) designated “Kiss ‘N’ Ride” zone at the Royal York subway station was recommended in a road safety audit conducted for the reconstruction of Royal York Road from Mimico Creek to Ashley Road. The proposed standing/stopping prohibitions and designated one-way street operation are required in order for the pick-up/drop off zone to operate effectively and efficiently.

Staff of the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) are in full support of the establishment of the Kiss ‘N’ Ride Zone.

Since this proposal impacts on TTC facilities and involves the dedication of lands for public

lane purposes, City Council approval is required.

Background Information

August 22, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5971.pdf>)

Attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5972.pdf>)

EY9.20	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Ward: 13
--------	--------------	--	---------------	----------

Runnymede Road - Installation of On-Street Parking Space for Persons with Disabilities

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motion:

1. City Council approve the installation of an on-street parking space for persons with disabilities on the west side of Runnymede Road, between a point 54 metres south of St. Johns Road and a point 5.5 metres further south.

(August 16, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council approve the installation of an on-street parking space for persons with disabilities on the west side of Runnymede Road, between a point 54 metres south of St. Johns Road and a point 5.5 metres further south.

Financial Impact

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services 2007 Operating Budget	\$300.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval for the installation of an on-street parking space for persons with disabilities on the west side of Runnymede Road, south of St. Johns Road.

Since Runnymede Road is a Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) route, City Council approval of this report is required.

Background Information

August 16, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5925.pdf>

EY9.32	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Ward: 7
--------	--------------	--	---------------	---------

Finch Avenue West at Milady Road and Driveway west of Milady Road - Through and Left Turn Prohibitions

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. City Council approve a “No Left Turn Anytime” prohibition for northbound and westbound traffic at the private driveway on Finch Avenue West located 70.0 metres west of Milady Road.
2. City Council approve a “No Through Movement” anytime prohibition for northbound and southbound traffic at Finch Avenue West and Milady Road/driveway.

(August 13, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council:

1. approve a “No Left Turn Anytime” prohibition for northbound and westbound traffic at the private driveway on Finch Avenue West located 70.0 metres west of Milady Road; and
2. approve a “No Through Movement” anytime prohibition for northbound and southbound traffic at Finch Avenue West and Milady Road/driveway.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report. The cost of the work, estimated at \$1,600.00 will be paid for by the developer (Harmony Park Properties Inc.).

Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose the installation of a “No Through Movement Anytime” prohibition for northbound and southbound traffic at the intersection of Finch Avenue West and Milady Road/private driveway. In addition, a “No Left Turn Anytime” prohibition is proposed at the driveway to the townhouse development 70.0 metres to the west of Milady Road. This left turn prohibition is for northbound left turns from the driveway as well as westbound left turns into the driveway. This will supplement the physical curb alterations to create a right in/right out driveway configuration.

The proposed through and left-turn prohibitions are part of the conditions of the approved site plan for the residential development on the south side of Finch Avenue West. These proposed traffic control measures will address concerns of potential traffic infiltration on Milady Road, and limit full movement access from the private drive to the immediate west of Milady Road onto Finch Avenue West (major arterial road).

As the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) operates a bus route on Finch Avenue West, City Council approval is required.

Background Information

August 13, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5830.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5831.pdf>)

EY9.33	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Ward: 12
--------	--------------	--	---------------	----------

Keele Street - Turning Restriction Amendments

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. City Council approve that the existing westbound right turn prohibition on North Park Drive at Keele Street operating from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. Monday to Friday, be extended to operate from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday.
2. City Council approve that the existing westbound right turn prohibition on Quinan Drive at Keele Street operating from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. Monday to Friday, be extended to operate from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday.
3. City Council approve that the existing southbound left turn prohibition on Keele Street at North Park Drive operating from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. Monday to Friday, be extended to operate from 6:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., Monday to Friday.
3. City Council approve that the existing southbound left turn prohibition on Keele Street at Quinan Drive/Wyndale Drive operating from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. Monday to Friday, be extended to operate from 6:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., Monday to Friday.

(August 15, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council:

1. approve the existing westbound right turn prohibition on North Park Drive at Keele Street operating from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. Monday to Friday, be extended to operate from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday;
2. approve the existing westbound right turn prohibition on Quinan Drive at Keele Street operating from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. Monday to Friday, be extended to operate from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday;
3. approve the existing southbound left turn prohibition on Keele Street at North Park Drive operating from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. Monday to Friday, be extended to operate from 6:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., Monday to Friday; and
4. approve the existing southbound left turn prohibition on Keele Street at Quinan Drive/Wyndale Drive operating from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. Monday to Friday, be extended to operate from 6:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., Monday to Friday.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$1,600.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to respond to a request of extending the hours of operation of the existing turn prohibitions on Keele Street, north of Lawrence Avenue West at North Park Drive, Quinan Drive and Quinan Drive/Wyndale Drive.

As Keele Street is a transit route, this matter requires the approval of City Council. Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) staff have been advised of the issue and have not objected to the recommendations.

Background Information

August 15, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5926.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5927.pdf>

EY9.36	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Ward: 17
--------	--------------	--	---------------	----------

Oakwood Avenue - Turn Restrictions

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. The Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District, request the City Clerk

(Elections and Registry Services) to conduct a poll of residents on Earnscliffe Road, Conway Avenue and Glenholme Avenue to determine whether residents support southbound right turn prohibitions from Oakwood Avenue between 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday, onto Earnscliffe Road and northbound left turns from Oakwood Avenue onto Earnscliffe Road between 3:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday.

2. Subject to favourable results of the poll, the appropriate turn prohibitions be implemented.

—————

(August 8, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends to City Council that:

1. the the Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District, request the City Clerk (Elections and Registry Services) to conduct a poll of residents on Earnscliffe Road, Conway Avenue and Glenholme Avenue to determine whether residents support southbound right turn prohibitions from Oakwood Avenue between 7:00 a.m. and 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday, onto Earnscliffe Road and northbound left turns from Oakwood Avenue onto Earnscliffe Road between 3:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday; and
2. subject to favourable results of the poll, the appropriate turn prohibitions be implemented.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$1,400.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to respond to a request to prohibit southbound right turns from Oakwood Avenue onto Earnscliffe Road during the morning peak periods and northbound left turns from Oakwood Avenue onto Earnscliffe Road during the afternoon peak periods, Monday to Friday.

As Oakwood Avenue is a transit route, this matter requires the approval of City Council. Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) staff have been advised of the issue and have not objected to the recommendations.

Background Information

August 8, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5828.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5829.pdf>

EY9.37	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Ward: 2
--------	--------------	--	---------------	---------

Elmhurst Drive and Hinton Road - All-Way Stop Control

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motion:

1. City Council approve the installation of an all-way stop control at the intersection of Elmhurst Drive and Hinton Road.

(August 9, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council approve the installation of an all-way stop control at the intersection of Elmhurst Drive and Hinton Road.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funding	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$ 700.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to recommend the installation of an all-way stop control at the intersection of Elmhurst Drive and Hinton Road. The stop signs will enhance traffic safety given the restricted sight lines created by the curve on Elmhurst Drive, north of this intersection.

Although delegation of authority for All-Way Stop Controls has been granted to Community Council, both Elmhurst Drive and Hinton Road are Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) routes, therefore this matter requires the approval of City Council.

Background Information

August 9, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5921.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5922.pdf>

EY9.39	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Ward: 12
--------	--------------	--	---------------	----------

Colville Road and Sheffield Street - All-Way Stop Control

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motion:

1. City Council approve the installation of stop signs for eastbound and westbound traffic on Colville Road and Sheffield Street.

(August 13, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council approve the installation of stop signs for eastbound and westbound traffic on Colville Road and Sheffield Street.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$500.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval for an all-way stop control at Colville Road and Sheffield Street. As the warrant requirements are met, an all-way "Stop" is recommended to enhance the operational safety of this intersection.

Although delegated authority has been granted for all-way stop controls, this matter requires the approval of City Council since Benton Road, Colville Road and Sheffield Street are transit routes. The Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) has been consulted and staff have not objected to the all-way stop.

Background Information

August 13, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5826.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5827.pdf>

EY9.42	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Ward: 5
--------	--------------	--	---------------	---------

Royal York Road and Coney Road - Pedestrian Crossover Relocation

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. City Council approve the removal of the pedestrian crossover on Royal York Road at Coney Road.
2. City Council approve the installation of a pedestrian crossover on Royal York Road, at a point 45.0 metres north of Coney Road.

(August 14, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council approve:

1. the removal of the pedestrian crossover on Royal York Road at Coney Road; and
2. the installation of a pedestrian crossover on Royal York Road, at a point 45.0 metres north of Coney Road.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within the capital works budget	Project No. CTP707-01	\$30,000.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval for the relocation of the pedestrian crossover on Royal York Road at Coney Road to a point 45.0 metres north of Coney Road.

The proposed relocation is being done in conjunction with Phase 7 of the reconstruction of Royal York Road. The relocation will address current pedestrian crossing patterns in the area of Bishop Allan Academy.

As the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) operates a transit service on Royal York Road, TTC staff has been consulted and support the proposed pedestrian crossover relocation. City Council approval is required.

Background Information

August 14, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5836.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5837.pdf>

EY9.43	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Ward: 6
--------	--------------	--	---------------	---------

Lake Shore Boulevard West and Legion Road Traffic Control Signals

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motion:

1. City Council approve the installation of traffic control signals at the intersection of Lake Shore Boulevard West and Legion Road.

(August 9, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council approve the installation of traffic control signals at the intersection of Lake Shore Boulevard West and Legion Road.

Financial Impact

An estimated \$221,000.00 for the installation of traffic control signals, including transit priority, is to be paid by the developer, Empire (Beyond the Sea) Limited.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval for the installation of traffic control signals at the intersection of Lake Shore Boulevard West and Legion Road.

Two apartment condominium buildings are being proposed on the site located at the north-east corner of Lake Shore Boulevard West and Legion Road. The installation of traffic control signals at the intersection of Lake Shore Boulevard West and Legion Road is justified as the projected Traffic Control Signal warrant requirements are achieved. The installation of traffic control signals will provide safe and convenient access for vehicles and pedestrians, and will not compromise the integrity of the arterial road network.

As the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) operates street car service on Lake Shore Boulevard West, TTC staff has been consulted and support the proposed signal installation. However, City Council approval is required.

Background Information

August 9, 2007

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5818.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5819.pdf>

EY9.44	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Ward: 7
--------	--------------	--	---------------	---------

Signet Drive Parking Regulation Amendments

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motion:

1. City Council approve enacting a “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the east side of Signet Drive from Steeles Avenue West to a point 110.0 metres south of Steeles Avenue West.

(July 24, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council approve enacting a “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the east side of Signet Drive from Steeles Avenue West to a point 110.0 metres south of Steeles Avenue West.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$300.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose the installation of a “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the east side of Signet Drive from Steeles Avenue West to a point 110.0 metres south of Steeles Avenue West.

It is appropriate to install “No Parking Anytime” signs in this area to prevent motorists, in particular drivers of large trucks, from parking in this area and limiting the sightline visibility for motorists exiting from area driveways.

As the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) operates a bus route on Signet Drive, City Council approval is required.

Background Information

July 24, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5917.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5918.pdf>

EY9.45	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Ward: 17
--------	--------------	--	---------------	----------

Davenport Road between Lansdowne Avenue and Lightbourn Avenue - Introduction of On-Street Permit Parking

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motion:

1. City Council approve on-street parking permits on the south side of Davenport Road, between Lansdowne Avenue and Lightbourn Avenue, within permit parking area 3E, effective between the hours of 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., seven days a week.

(August 9, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council approve on-street parking permits on the south side of Davenport Road, between Lansdowne Avenue and Lightbourn Avenue, within permit parking area 3E, effective between the hours of 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., seven days a week.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from adopting this report.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to inform Etobicoke York Community Council of a request for on-street parking permits on the south side of Davenport Road, between Lansdowne Avenue and Lightbourn Avenue and to recommend the implementation of same.

A survey of area residents shows that nearly two-thirds of survey respondents favour on-street parking permits on the south side of the street, effective between the hours of 12:01 a.m. and 7:00 a.m., seven days a week.

Introducing on-street parking permits on Davenport Road, between Lansdowne Avenue and Lightbourn Avenue provides an additional 32 on-street parking permit stalls within parking permit area 3E.

The Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) provides service on Davenport Road; therefore this request requires Toronto City Council approval. The TTC was notified of this proposal and does not object to the introduction of permit parking.

Background Information

August 9, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrrd/backgroundfile-5838.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5839.pdf>)

EY9.46	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Ward: 13
--------	--------------	--	---------------	----------

High Park Avenue - Amendments to Parking Regulations

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. City Council approve rescinding the existing parking prohibition from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday, except public holidays on the east side of High Park Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Glenlake Avenue.
2. City Council approve rescinding the existing parking prohibition from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday, except public holidays on the west side of High Park Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Humberside Avenue.
3. City Council approve allowing parking for a maximum period of fifteen minutes, from 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the east side of High Park Avenue, from a point 51.0 metres north of Bloor Street West to a point 21.0 metres further north.
4. City Council approve prohibiting parking on the west side of High Park Avenue from Bloor Street West to a point 30.5 metres further north thereof.
5. City Council approve prohibiting parking on the east side of High Park Avenue from Bloor Street West to a point 51.0 metres further north thereof.

(August 8, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council approve:

1. rescinding the existing parking prohibition from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday, except public holidays on the east side of High Park Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Glenlake Avenue;
2. rescinding the existing parking prohibition from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday, except public holidays on the west side of High Park Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Humberside Avenue;
3. allowing parking for a maximum period of fifteen minutes, from 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the east side of High Park Avenue, from a point 51.0 metres north

of Bloor Street West to a point 21.0 metres further north;

4. prohibiting parking on the west side of High Park Avenue from Bloor Street West to a point 30.5 metres further north thereof; and
5. prohibiting parking on the east side of High Park Avenue from Bloor Street West to a point 51.0 metres further north thereof.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$1,000.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose an amendment to the existing parking regulations on High Park Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Glenlake Avenue based on the results of Transportation Services staff investigation. The implementation of less restrictive parking regulations will allow for pick-up and drop-off activities to occur at High Park Early Learning Centre, as well as additional parking for area residents along this section of High Park Avenue.

As High Park Avenue is a transit route, this matter requires the approval of City Council. Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) staff has been advised of the issue and have not objected to the recommendations.

Background Information

August 8, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5973.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5974.pdf>

EY9.64	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Ward: 2, 5, 11, 12, 13
--------	--------------	--	---------------	---------------------------

Designation of Fire Routes and amendment to Chapter 880 - Fire Routes

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. City Council designate part or those parts of the private road or roads shown on the site plan filed with the Fire Chief in respect of the municipal addresses set out below as fire routes pursuant to Municipal Code Chapter 880- Fire Routes – 2088 Lawrence Avenue West, 335 and 355 Carlingview Drive, 10 Turntable Crescent, 2464 Weston Road and 6 Windermere Avenue.

2. City Council authorize the Fire Chief and City Solicitor to take the appropriate action to make a designated Fire Route.

(August 24, 2007) Report from District Chief, West Command

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council:

1. designate part or those parts of the private road or roads shown on the site plan filed with the Fire Chief in respect of the municipal addresses set out below as fire routes pursuant to Municipal Code Chapter 880- Fire Routes – 2088 Lawrence Avenue West, 335 & 355 Carlingview Drive, 10 Turntable Crescent, 2464 Weston Road and 6 Windermere Avenue; and
2. authorize the Fire Chief and City Solicitor be authorized to take the appropriate action to make a designated Fire Route.

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Etobicoke York Community Council requested the Fire Chief, in consultation with the General Manager, Transportation Services, to report to the Works Committee on the feasibility of creating fire routes in residential communities.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications associated with this report.

Summary

To obtain Council approval for the amendment of the Fire Route By-law to designate certain locations as fire routes within the meaning of City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 880, as amended.

Fire Services uses designated fire routes as a key mechanism in regulating fire prevention, including the prevention of spreading of fires and the delivery of fire protection services.

Background Information

August 24, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5956.pdf>)

By-law

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5957.pdf>)

EY9.71	AMENDED		Transactional	Ward: 11
--------	---------	--	---------------	----------

6 Lloyd Avenue - Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application - Status and Directions Report

Confidential Attachment - Litigation or potential litigation that affects the City or one of its agencies, boards and commissions and that is subject to solicitor-client privilege.

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motion:

1. City Council direct the City Solicitor, City staff and any necessary consultants to attend at a future Ontario Municipal Board hearing to oppose the application as represented by the revised proposal outlined in this report.

(August 24, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that:

1. City Council approve the revised application to convert an employment area to a mixed use area to permit a high density residential development with an employment use building, in view of the following information and conditions as noted under the heading "Other Issues" in the Status and Directions Report (August 24, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District:

Other Issues

1. No comments were received from Go Transit or CN Railway as to whether the revised proposal and supporting material and reports addressed their concerns as noted in the August 28, 2006 report.
2. Should the applications be approved it is recommended that community benefits be negotiated with the applicant and secured in a Section 37 agreement as outlined in Attachment 6 to this report.
3. Technical Services have commented that should a development as represented by the current proposal proceed on the site, the following conditions would apply and be required to be included in a site-specific zoning by-law or other development agreement:
 - a. The owner will be required to convey a strip of land 4.94 metres wide along the St. Clair Avenue West frontage of the subject property, to satisfy the Official Plan requirement for a minimum 30 metre right-of-way.
 - b. To accommodate the road allowance improvements associated with the future construction of the dedicated streetcar line along St. Clair Avenue West, the Toronto Transit Commission advises that the proposed above-

and below-grade building structure adjacent to the St. Clair Avenue West frontage maintain a minimum 2.0m setback from the revised St. Clair Avenue West property limit.

- c. To protect for the future conveyance of property for road allowance improvement purposes at the Mulock Avenue/Lloyd Avenue intersection requires that any site-specific bylaw establish a minimum 5.0 metres building setback from the existing street line at the south-west corner of the property adjoining the Lloyd Avenue/Mulock Avenue intersection, and a minimum 4.5 metres building setback along the Lloyd Avenue frontage of the subject site.
- d. For the proposed residential condominium component, Transportation Services requires the following minimum on-site parking requirements:
 - Bachelor dwelling units – 0.70 stalls per dwelling unit.
 - One-bedroom dwelling units - 0.80 stalls per dwelling unit.
 - Two-bedroom dwelling units – 0.90 stalls per dwelling unit.
 - Three or more bedroom dwelling units - 1.10 stalls per dwelling unit.
 - An additional 0.15 stalls per dwelling unit shall be provided for the exclusive use of visitors.
- e. For the proposed office/commercial component, Transportation Services requires that on-site parking be provided at a minimum parking ratio of 3.0 stalls per 100 square metres of gross floor area.
- f. Parking stalls must have minimum dimensions of 2.6 metres in width by 5.6 metres in length, aligned perpendicular to a minimum 6.0 metres wide vehicle manoeuvring aisle; notwithstanding, parking stalls for the physically disabled must provide a minimum width of 3.65 metres, and be situated as close as practicable to the principal entrance(s) to the both the residential and employment buildings.
- g. Loading facilities be provided on-site for both the residential and employment buildings according to Zoning By-law No. 438-86.
- h. The existing combined sewer on Mulock Avenue will have to be reconstructed to a larger size to accommodate flows from this development. The Applicant is responsible for all costs associated with reconstructing the combined sewer. Financial securities for this reconstruction must be provided prior to the enactment of the zoning by-law.
- i. The applicant must provide pressure and flow testing results for the existing watermains on Mulock Ave. and Lloyd Ave. to ensure that the existing watermains are suitable to service the proposed development. The applicant will be required to provide any necessary upgrades to the

existing watermains should the watermain pressure and flow testing results indicate that improvements will be required. Financial securities for any required upgrades must be provided prior to the enactment of the zoning by-law.

- j. Draft Official Plan and zoning by-law amendments were submitted to reflect the revised proposal. The applicant is recommending that the subject lands be redesignated from Employment Areas to Mixed Use and rezoned from I4 D7 to CR. These amendments should be revised to more appropriately reflect the proposed uses being high-rise residential and employment. Also, the proposed draft zoning by-law should be further revised to incorporate provisions to allow for the servicing of the lands to address the comments as raised by Technical Services, subject to:
- i. mitigation issues related to the development, NRT and Canada Bread being addressed in order to be satisfactorily negotiated by the applicant Terrisan; and
 - ii. the applicant and Planning staff working to reconfigure the density to reduce the height of the 18 and 21-storey buildings.

Decision Advice and Other Information

Recorded vote on Recommendation 1. moved by Councillor Nunziata, amended by Councillor Hall with Recommendations i. and ii.:

Yes:	Councillors DiGiorgio, Grimes, Hall, Nunziata, Mammoliti and Palacio	(6)
No:	Councillors Holyday and Saundercook	(2)
Absent:	Councillors Ford, Lindsay Luby and Milczyn	(3)

Carried.

Recorded vote on a motion by Councillor Holyday that the recommendation in the Status and Directions Report that the City Solicitor, City staff and any necessary consultants to attend at a future Ontario Municipal Board hearing to oppose the application as represented by the revised proposal outlined in this report, be approved.

Yes:	Councillors Holyday and Saundercook	(2)
No:	Councillors DiGiorgio, Grimes, Hall, Nunziata, Mammoliti and Palacio	(6)
Absent:	Councillors Ford, Lindsay Luby and Milczyn	(3)

Motion lost.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to provide an update on the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) proceedings related to the appeal of the Official Plan Amendment and rezoning application to permit the conversion of an employment area at 6 Lloyd Avenue for a residential development. The report also reviews and recommends refusal of a revised development proposal that was submitted to the City on March 15, 2007 and is to be considered at a future OMB hearing scheduled to begin on November 20, 2007.

The current proposal has been revised from the previous residential development that was discussed in the August 2006 staff report. The revised application proposes to convert an employment area to a mixed use area to permit a high density residential development with an employment use building. It includes three building components: a 2, 8 and 18-storey tiered residential building along St. Clair Avenue West, a 2, 8 and 21-storey tiered residential building in the interior of the site along Mulock Avenue and a 2-storey employment use building along Lloyd Avenue. The development site has also been enlarged to include all the properties east of Mulock Avenue.

The issues and comments discussed in the August 2006 report continue to apply to the revised proposal as it relates to its consistency with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), the Official Plan Employment Areas, Avenue and Built Form policies, as well as land use compatibility issues. Staff is recommending refusal of the revised application for the following reasons:

1. The proposed development is not consistent with the employment policies of the Provincial Policy Statement. The material submitted in support of this revised application was unable to demonstrate that the site was not required for continued employment purposes or that there was a need for the conversion to residential.
2. The proposed development is not consistent with the Employment Area policies of the Official Plan. The property is designated Employment Areas and conversion to a non-employment use would destabilize an existing stable employment area.
3. The proposed development is not consistent with the Built Form policies of the Official Plan. The proposal does not have appropriate regard for the established pattern of the existing low density area and would be introducing a new building form (high rise residential) into the area that does not exist in terms of height, scale and massing. As a result it does not fit harmoniously into the existing planned context in order to limit adverse impacts on neighbouring uses.
4. The proposed development is not consistent with the Avenue policies of the Official is premature as it is preceding the completion of an Avenue Study. If the application is approved, it has the potential to set a precedent for the remainder of the Avenue which may not be in keeping with the vision that is being created.
5. The proposed development is not compatible with existing employment uses in the area and would be adversely impacted by odour and noise. Conversely, the proposed development has the potential to adversely impact existing employment uses, by creating growth and expansion hardship to a greater extent than exist today. Other adverse impacts may arise such as conflict or safety concerns between industrial truck

and increased vehicular and pedestrian traffic generated by the development. The proposal will not contribute to creating a strong, liveable and healthy community that protects for public health and facilitates economic growth as set out in the Planning Act, PPS and the City of Toronto Official Plan.

Background Information

August 24, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-6074.pdf>)

Additional Background Information (City Council)

- Report (September 18, 2007) from the City Solicitor ([EY9.71a](#))
- Briefing Note (September 26, 2007) submitted by the Acting Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning ([EY9.71b](#))

Confidential Attachment 1 to the supplementary report (September 18, 2007) from the City Solicitor (EY9.71a), remains confidential in its entirety, in accordance with the provisions of the City of Toronto Act, 2006, as it contains information related to litigation or potential litigation that affects the City or one of its agencies, boards and commissions and that is subject to solicitor-client privilege.

Communications

(September 7, 2007) e-mail from James W. Harbell (EY.Main)

(September 7, 2007) letter from Michael Bowman (EY.Main)

Additional Communications (City Council)

- (undated) from Brian Milligan, Interim President, St. Clair West Village Residents Association (EY9.71.3)
- (September 25, 2007) from Jeff Usher, Vice President, Land Development, Terrasan Corporation (EY9.71.4)
- (September 26, 2007) from Tim Topornicki, President, Topper Linen Supply Limited, submitted by Councillor Doug Holyday, Ward 3, Etobicoke Centre (EY9.71.5)
- (Undated) listing of key points related to the Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application for 6 Lloyd Avenue, submitted by Councillor Doug Holyday, Ward 3, Etobicoke Centre (EY9.71.6)

Speakers

Stephen Armstrong, Armstrong Hunter

Colleen Hubble, RWDI Air Inc.

Alan Mihalj, Senior Project Manager, MMM Group

Brian Milligan, St. Clair West Residents Association (Submission Filed)

Michael Bowman, Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt

Peter Thoma, Partner, Urban Metrics

James Harbell, Stikeman Elliott

EY9.72	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Ward: 11
---------------	--------------	--	---------------	----------

19 Maple Bush Avenue, Lot 113 to 114, Plan 1945 - Request for Attendance at an Ontario Municipal Board Hearing Appeal of Committee of Adjustment Decision

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motion:

1. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to retain an outside planning consultant and to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to seek refusal of Consent application B74/06EYK and Minor Variance applications (File Nos. A599/06EYK and A600/06EYK) if they are considered by the Board relating to 19 Maple Bush Avenue.

(August 24, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Frances Nunziata

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council authorize the City Solicitor to retain an outside planning consultant and to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to seek refusal of Consent application B74/06EYK) and Minor Variance applications (file nos. A599/06EYK and A600/06EYK) if they are considered by the Board relating to 19 Maple Bush Avenue.

Summary

On June 28, 2007, the Committee of Adjustment refused a Consent application (file no. B74/06EYK) and two Minor Variance applications (file nos. A599/06EYK and A600/06EYK) for lot 113 to 114, Plan 1945 at 19 Maple Bush Avenue. The applications sought approval of consent to sever the two residential lots (deemed not to be lots on a plan of subdivision by By-law 32717 passed on February 21, 1996) and minor variances to lot frontage and area, side yard setback, lot coverage, permitted dwelling depth and the first floor elevation, all to permit construction of a two storey detached dwelling with an integral, single car garage on each lot.

City Planning Staff did not comment on the proposal but provided an information report and a lot frontage analysis map to the Committee for consideration.

The agent for the owner appealed the Committee of Adjustment consent refusal decision to the Ontario Municipal Board but did not appeal the minor variance refusal decision. An Ontario Municipal Board hearing has not yet been scheduled.

In view of the refusal decisions of the Committee of Adjustment, this motion is brought forward to authorize the City Solicitor to retain an outside planning consultant and to attend the OMB hearing to oppose the application.

Background Information

August 24, 2007 motion

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-6005.pdf>)

Attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-6006.pdf>)

EY9.74	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Ward: 6
--------	--------------	--	---------------	---------

Avenue Study Request - Lake Shore Boulevard West between Kipling Avenue and Royal York Road

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motion:

1. City Council request the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, to include consideration of a New Toronto Avenue Study on Lake Shore Boulevard between Kipling Avenue in the west and Royal York Road in the east, in the 2008 City Planning Work Program.

(September 10, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Mark Grimes

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council request the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, to include consideration of a New Toronto Avenue Study on Lake Shore Boulevard between Kipling Avenue in the west and Royal York Road in the east, in the 2008 City Planning Work Program.

Summary

The previous Avenue study Ward 6 --- Etobicoke-Lakeshore was completed in the Fall of 2005. The study spanned the Long Branch area on Lake Shore Boulevard West between Etobicoke Creek and Kipling Avenue.

Toronto's Official Plan identifies selected corridors along major streets as 'Avenues' where reurbanization, supported by public transit, would create jobs and housing while improving local streetscapes, infrastructure and amenities. Lake Shore Boulevard offers a great deal of potential as a vital well-planned avenue.

The dynamics of the Lake Shore has further changed and my office has received several development applications for the New Toronto segment of the Lake Shore. This area spans from Kipling Avenue (in the West) to Royal York Road (in the East).

This Avenue study will further enhance the work done by the previous study in the Long Branch area as it resumes from the point of completion of the last study.

Furthermore, preliminary work has already been done on the New Toronto segment as part of a university project by students of Ryerson University in 2006. This can form a base for the formal Avenue study in the neighbourhood.

Background Information

September 10, 2007 motion

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-5916.pdf>)

EY9.75	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Ward: 2
---------------	--------------	--	---------------	---------

558 Scarlett Road and 13 Chapman Road - Rezoning Application - Final Report

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motions:

1. City Council refuse rezoning application 06 193639 WET 02 OZ.
2. City Council direct the City Solicitor and appropriate staff to attend, if necessary, the Ontario Municipal Board, to support City Council's decision to refuse this application as represented by the proposal outlined in this report.

(August 24, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council:

1. refuse rezoning application 06 193639 WET 02 OZ; and
2. direct the City Solicitor and appropriate staff to attend, if necessary, the Ontario Municipal Board, to support City Council's decision to refuse this application as represented by the proposal outlined in this report.

Decision Advice and Other Information

Recorded vote on Recommendations 1. and 2. moved by Councillor Ford:

Yes: Councillors DiGiorgio, Ford, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Nunziata and Palacio (7)
 No: Councillor Mammoliti (1)
 Absent: Councillors Lindsay Luby, Miczyn and Saundercook (3)

Carried.

Recorded vote on a motion by Councillor Mammoliti that this matter be deferred for one

month to allow for a meeting with the community, staff and the Ward Councillor.

Yes: Councillors DiGiorgio, Mammoliti and Palacio (3)
 No: Councillors Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Nunziata and Ford (5)
 Absent: Councillors Lindsay Luby, Miczyn and Saundercook (3)

Motion lost.

Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

Summary

This application proposes a total of nine 3-storey single family detached dwellings at 558 Scarlett Road and 13 Chapman Road.

The development proposed does not maintain the intent and purpose of the Official Plan as it does not respect and reinforce the existing physical character of the neighbourhood.

This report reviews and recommends refusal of the application to amend the Zoning By-law.

Background Information

August 24, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-6071.pdf>

Notice

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-6072.pdf>

Speakers

John Lunney

EY9.77	RECEIVED FOR INFORMATION		Transactional	Ward: 5
--------	-----------------------------	--	---------------	---------

Site Plan Bump-Up Report - 252, 270, 272 and 276 Bering Avenue

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, received this Item for information.

(September 10, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Bill Saundercook

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council approve the following motion by Councillor Saundercook:

WHEREAS on July 25, 2006 City Council approved a Site Plan Bump-up Report for Site Plan Application 05 151123 WET 05 SA, from the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning for 252, 270, 272 and 276 Bering Avenue; and

WHEREAS the surrounding area residents and business owners have communicated their concern with having the existing roadways and boulevards along Bering Avenue excavated in order to accommodate several individual water and sanitary sewer connections to townhouse units that front onto the municipal right of ways; and

WHEREAS site servicing and engineering drawings approved in the Site Plan Agreement provide for such individual service connections along Bering Avenue;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that City Council:

1. amend Condition J in Notice of Approval Conditions dated January 26, 2007 by adding the following sentence:

“There shall be no individual townhouse sanitary sewers and water connections directly to City services located in the Bering Avenue right of way for units within blocks e, f and g. These units shall be connected to private services located in the rear condominium laneway.”

2. direct the City Solicitor to make any revisions if necessary to the Site Plan Agreement.

Decision Advice and Other Information

Recorded vote on the motion by Councillor Saundercook:

Yes: Councillors DiGiorgio, Grimes, Mammoliti, Nunziata, Palacio and Saundercook (6)
 No: Councillor Holyday (1)
 Absent: Councillors Ford, Hall, Lindsay Luby and Milczyn (4)

Carried.

Recorded vote on a motion by Councillor Holyday that this motion be referred to the Works Committee so that the matter may be dealt with at the same time as the policy review on private site servicing.

Yes: Councillor Holyday (1)
 No: Councillors DiGiorgio, Grimes, Mammoliti, Nunziata, Palacio and Saundercook (6)
 Absent: Councillors Ford, Hall, Lindsay Luby and Milczyn (4)

Motion lost.

Summary

Motion from Councillor Saundercook regarding an amendment to the Site Plan Agreement - 252, 270, 272 and 276 Bering Avenue.

EY9.78	NO AMENDMENT		Transactional	Ward: 11
--------	--------------	--	---------------	----------

96 Queen's Drive - OMB Attendance

City Council Decision

City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, adopted the following motion:

1. City Council authorize the City Solicitor and City staff to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to seek refusal of Consent Application (File No. B36/07EYK), and Minor Variance Applications (File Nos. A196/07EYK and A197/07EYK) relating to 96 Queen's Drive, Lot 147 and part of Lot 148, Plan M140.

(September 10, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Frances Nunziata

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council authorize the City Solicitor and City staff to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to seek refusal of Consent Application (File No. B36/07EYK), and Minor Variance Applications (File Nos. A196/07EYK and A197/07EYK) relating to 96 Queen's Drive, Lot 147 and part of Lot 148, Plan M140.

Summary

City Solicitor and staff attendance at the Ontario Municipal Board - 96 Queen's Drive

EY9.79	REFERRED		Transactional	Ward: All
--------	----------	--	---------------	-----------

Cost Containment Measures

City Council Decision

This Item was ruled out of order at City Council on September 26 and 27, 2007, because it relates to the 2007 Operating Budget and consideration of budget matters is within the mandate of the Executive Committee and the Budget Committee. This Item was subsequently referred to the Executive Committee for consideration.

(September 10, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Saundercook

Committee Recommendations

The Etobicoke York Community Council recommends that City Council reaffirm its decision

related to the budget of 2007 and not interfere or interrupt any service delivery for the remainder of 2007.

Decision Advice and Other Information

Recorded vote:

Yes:	Councillors Grimes, Holyday, Mammoliti, Nunziata, Palacio and Saundercook	(6)
No:	Councillor DiGiorgio	(1)
Absent:	Councillors Ford, Hall, Lindsay Luby and Milczyn	(4)

Motion carried.

Summary

Service Delivery relating to the budget of 2007.

Additional Background Information (City Council)

- Communication (September 25, 2007) from the City Clerk ([EY9.79a](#))

Submitted Monday, September 10, 2007

Councillor Frances Nunziata, Chair, Etobicoke York Community Council