

---

## Public Works and Infrastructure Committee

**Meeting No.** 7  
**Meeting Date** Wednesday, June 27, 2007  
**Start Time** 9:30 AM  
**Location** Committee Room 1, City Hall

**Contact** Rosalind Dyers,  
Committee Administrator  
**Phone** 416-392-8018  
**E-mail** rdyers@toronto.ca

---

Public Works and Infrastructure Committee		
Councillor Glenn De Baeremaeker (Chair)	Councillor Shelley Carroll	Councillor Chin Lee
Councillor Adam Giambrone (Vice-Chair)	Councillor Mark Grimes	Councillor John Parker

**Members of Council and Staff:** Please keep this agenda and the accompanying material until the City Council meeting dealing with these matters has ended. **The City Clerk's Office will not provide additional copies.**

**Special Assistance for Members of the Public:** City staff can arrange for special assistance with some advance notice. If you need special assistance, please call 416-392-8018, TTY 416-338-0889 or e-mail (rdyers@toronto.ca).

**Closed Meeting Requirements:** If the Public Works and Infrastructure Committee wants to meet in closed session (privately), a member of the Committee must make a motion to do so and give the reason why the Committee has to meet privately. (City of Toronto Act, 2006)

**Notice to People Writing to the Committee:** The City of Toronto collects any personal information in your communication to Toronto City Council or its committees under the City of Toronto Act, 2006 and the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The City collects this information to enable it to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s). Individuals who submit letters and other information should be aware that any personal information in their communication will become part of the public record. The City will make it available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove the personal information.

Direct any questions about this collection to the City Clerk's Office, City Hall, 100 Queen Street West, Toronto ON M5H 2N2 or by calling 416-392-8018.

### Schedule of Timed Items

### Declarations of Interest under the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act*.

### Confirmation of Minutes - May 30, 2007

### Speakers/Presentations – A complete list will be distributed at the meeting

**Communications/Reports**

PW7.1	ACTION			Ward: 42
-------	--------	--	--	----------

**Markham By-pass - Morningside Avenue: Individual Environmental Assessment**

(June 12, 2007) Report from the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division.

**Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:

City Council adopt the following position regarding the Markham By-pass – Morningside Avenue Link.

1. City Council does not support the project being divided into three sections as proposed by the Provincial Development Facilitator;
2. City Council will only support the releasing of Section 1, between highway 407 and 9<sup>th</sup> Line, conditional upon:
  - a. York Region supporting the City's preferred alternative west of 9<sup>th</sup> Line, via 9<sup>th</sup> Line, Steeles Avenue and the new alignment of Morningside Avenue; and
  - b. York Region agreeing to evenly share with the City all costs related to improving and maintaining Steeles Avenue across the entire length of our boundary.
3. City Council direct City Planning Division to secure the Morningside Avenue 36-metre right-of-way between Passmore Avenue and Steeles Avenue as part of the Plan of Subdivision process when processing development applications by Manulife Insurance Company and Tap-Steele Investment Ltd in the Tapscott Employment District; and
4. the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**Financial Impact**

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

**Summary**

The purpose of this report is to advise City Council on the discussions that have taken place with the Provincial Development Facilitator regarding the differing positions of York Region Council and City of Toronto Council regarding the planned Markham By-pass-Morningside Road link. This report also recommends a new City position with respect to the resolution of this matter.

**Background Information**

2007-06-27-pw07-1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5162.pdf>

PW7.2	ACTION			Ward: 13, 14
-------	--------	--	--	--------------

**Proposed Bicycle Lanes on The Queensway from Windermere Avenue to Claude Avenue**

(June 11, 2007) Report from the General Manager, Transportation Services.

**Recommendations**

Transportation Services Division recommends that City Council:

1. approve the installation of bicycle lanes on both sides of The Queensway, from Windermere Avenue to Claude Avenue, as detailed in Appendix A of this report; and
2. authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

**Financial Impact**

Funds to implement the bicycle lanes on The Queensway, in the estimated amount of \$40,000.00, are provided for within the Transportation Services Division 2007 Capital Budget in the Cycling Infrastructure Account CTP 807-05.

**Summary**

This report is being submitted to the Public Works and Infrastructure Committee because the proposed bicycle lanes on The Queensway span two Community Council districts.

The purpose of this report is to obtain authority to install bicycle lanes on The Queensway from Windermere Avenue to Claude Avenue.

The reconstruction of The Queensway provides an opportunity to include bicycle lanes in the new design. The installation of bicycle lanes on The Queensway will not impact traffic operations or parking. The T.T.C. has no concerns with this proposal on the bus route service on The Queensway. The Ward Councillors have been consulted and support the proposed bicycle lanes on The Queensway.

## Background Information

2007-06-27-pw07-2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5134.pdf>)

2007-06-27-pw07-2.appA

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5135.pdf>)

2007-06-27-pw07-2.draw.1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5136.pdf>)

2007-06-27-pw07-2.draw.2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5137.pdf>)

PW7.3	ACTION			Ward: All
-------	--------	--	--	-----------

## Regulation of Queuing on the Public Sidewalk

(June 13, 2007) Report from the Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards.

### Recommendations

The Municipal Licensing and Standards Division recommends that:

1. the appropriate internal and external consultations to develop the regulatory strategy to manage queuing on the public sidewalk be undertaken;
2. Municipal Licensing and Standards, in consultation with Transportation Services and the Toronto Police Service, report to the November 28, 2007 meeting of the Public Works and Infrastructure Committee on the necessary amendments to Chapter 743, Streets, Chapter 441, Fees, and Chapter 545, Licensing, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code to implement the regulatory regime;
3. notice of any proposed amendments to Chapter 545, Licensing, and Chapter 441, Fees, shall be given before the Committee meeting, as prescribed in the Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 162, Notice, Public; and
4. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

### Financial Impact

The public consultation process will include a series of focus groups and working groups. A budget of \$10,000 is required to support the consultation process to help ensure effective implementation.. While there are no funds specifically set aside in the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division budget for this purpose, this initiative will require a reallocation within the Division's budget.

If the amendments to the by-law were to be adopted, the fees for the permits will be based on a full cost-recovery model for the administration and enforcement of the by-law provisions, including staff resources and equipment needed. The fees will account for the direct and indirect costs incurred by Municipal Licensing and Standards, Transportation Services, the City

Clerk's Office, and Solid Waste Management Divisions. The amendments to Chapter 743, Streets, of the Municipal Code will contain the applicable fees to be charged for a permit to queue on the sidewalk.

The financial impact in 2007 and 2008 will be determined from the report on the outcome of the consultations and the possible recommendations for amendments to the Municipal code. This report is expected to be brought forward in the fall of 2007. The financial implications of a Sidewalk Queuing Regulation will be deferred for consideration with the 2008 Operating Budget.

### Summary

Staff will be exploring the development of a regulatory strategy to manage queuing on the public sidewalk associated with Entertainment Establishments and Nightclubs. The regulatory regime may include possible classes of permits; regulations for the formation of the queue outside of the establishment prior to entry; the location of line-ups; the installation of appropriate barriers; the requirement for liability insurance; the procedures used by the establishment to monitor the line-ups and to control the number of people in the line-ups; and a process for polling neighbouring properties prior to permit issuance. The fee for the permit will be based upon a full cost recovery model for the administration and enforcement of the by-law provisions.

To implement the regulatory regime, amendments to the City of Toronto Municipal Code (“Municipal Code”) will be necessary including amendments to:

- Chapter 743, Streets, to add permit provisions;
- Chapter 441, Fees, to add permit fees; and
- Chapter 545, Licensing, to require that Queuing Permit be a condition for issuance of an Entertainment Establishment/Nightclub business licence.

The internal and external stakeholder consultations will be undertaken through the summer. It is expected that the report on the outcome of the consultations and the recommendations for amendments to the Municipal Code will be brought forward in the fall of 2007.

### Background Information

2007-06-27-pw07-3

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5138.pdf>

PW7.4	ACTION			Ward: All
-------	--------	--	--	-----------

### **Contract 05TM-01TP, Tender Call 80-2005, Open Contract No. 47010956 for Maintenance and Operation of Electrical Traffic Control Devices**

(June 12, 2007) Report from the General Manager, Transportation Services and the Director, Purchasing and Materials Management.

## Recommendations

The General Manager of Transportation Services and the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management recommend that:

1. the Public Works and Infrastructure Committee grant authority to amend Contract No. 47010956 issued to Stacey Electric Company Limited by an increase of \$1,200,000 net of GST, a 3.4% increase of the original contract, to a revised total value of \$36,847,791.95 net of GST

## Financial Impact

The Contract increase identified in this report is \$1,272,000.00 including all applicable taxes and charges. The cost to the City, net of GST is \$1,200,000.00. Funding is available in the 2007 Transportation Services Capital Budget in the account, Traffic Control Projects, CTP706.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact statement.

## Summary

The purpose of this report is to request the Public Works and Infrastructure Committee's authority to increase the value of Contract No. 47010956 issued to Stacey Electric Company Limited for the maintenance and operation of electrical traffic control and related devices by \$1,200,000 net of GST, which represents a 3.4% increase on the original contract value of \$35,647,791.95 net of GST.

According to the Financial Control By-law, Chapter 71, section 71.11.1.C, any amendment to a commitment which requires expenditures in excess of \$500,000 requires approval of the Standing Committee prior to making payment for the expenditure.

## Background Information

2007-06-27-pw07-4

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5139.pdf>

PW7.5	ACTION			Ward: All
-------	--------	--	--	-----------

## 2007 Amendments to the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

(May 30, 2007) Report from the General Manager, Transportation Services and the General Manager, Toronto Water.

## Recommendations

The Transportation Services and Toronto Water Divisions recommend that:

1. City Council endorse the attached amendments to the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment document as proposed by the Municipal Engineers Association, described herein and included as Attachment Nos. 1 and 2; and

2. the City Clerk forward this report to the Municipal Engineers Association for its information and any necessary action.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations do not have any financial impact beyond what has already been approved in the current year's budget.

### Summary

This report provides an overview of the Municipal Engineers Association's (MEA) 5-Year Review of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (Class EA) and recommends that City Council, in its capacity as one of the proponent municipalities of the Class EA, endorse the amendments proposed by the MEA that comprise the 5-Year Review.

### Background Information

2007-06-27-pw07-5

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5140.pdf>)

2007-06-27-pw07-5.att.1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5141.pdf>)

2007-06-27-pw07-5.att.2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5142.pdf>)

PW7.6	ACTION			Ward: All
-------	--------	--	--	-----------

### Plan to Improve the Development and Implementation of a Co-ordinated Multi-Year Joint Transportation Services and Toronto Water Capital Program

(June 12, 2007) Report from Deputy City Manager Richard Butts and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer.

### Recommendations

Deputy City Manager Richard Butts and Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer Joseph Pennachetti recommend that:

1. the co-ordinated multi-year Transportation Services and Toronto Water capital programming process, as depicted in Attachment 1 (Typical Planning Process of a Capital Works Program), be approved;
2. as part of the annual capital budget submission process, a joint Transportation Services/Toronto Water capital works projects "A-list" (representing projects within the target budget) and a separate "B-list" (representing projects which could be accelerated to the A-list, as required) be submitted and considered for approval for those works within two years of implementation and cannot be amended unless for reasons of health and safety, emergency or for legislative purposes;

3. any such amendments to the approved A-list and B-list of projects within two years of implementation be reported on to the Public Works and Infrastructure Committee for approval;
4. the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

### **Financial Impact**

The Transportation Services and Toronto Water Divisions, in co-operation with Technical Services and Finance staff, will make arrangements to defer cash flow funding arising from delayed projects. In this regard, equivalent cash flows can be accelerated and reallocated to a number of projects that are ready to proceed with no net change to approved net debt funding requirements for the relevant year under discussion. The divisions will submit revised five-year capital plans that will account for the reprogramming of timelines to implement various needed works commensurate with annual capital budget submissions. At no time is it intended that there be a net change to the overall approved net debt cash flows affecting the current construction year and each of the remaining years of the respective five-year capital plans. The capital planning framework presented in this report is based on the principles on which Council's approved five-year Capital Plan is based; namely readiness to proceed and fiscal affordability.

### **Summary**

The purpose of this report is to adopt a policy for an improved co-ordinated effort to develop and implement a multi-year Transportation Services and Toronto Water capital works program. Moreover, not only will this proposed new planning process address a more efficient renewal strategy for rehabilitating the City's aging infrastructure, it will also make significant advances towards minimizing construction disruption and the associated inconvenience to not only motorists but all users of the public right of way including pedestrians, cyclists and transit users.

All users of the public right of way will benefit from this co-ordinated cross-functional initiative that will make significant advances towards minimizing adverse and costly effects of disturbing recently completed works in addition to assisting with much needed improvement of construction completion rates. This new course of action, once fully implemented, will secure a fixed capital program that encapsulates a full five years worth of work well in advance of any construction activity as shown in the accompanying schematic Attachment 1 (Typical Planning Process of a Capital Works Program). In the interim, both Transportation Services and Toronto Water have put into place funding reallocation mechanisms to realign approved cash flows that best match to those program areas that are ready to proceed. In effect, City Council will therefore be approving a multi-year capital program that will allow Transportation Services and Toronto Water to be able to develop a co-ordinated capital program and permit Technical Services staff to proceed in an unimpeded manner with the scoping and design of capital works several years in advance of their scheduled implementation dates.

## Background Information

2007-06-27-pw07-6

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5143.pdf>)

2007-06-27-pw07-6.att.1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5144.pdf>)

PW7.7	ACTION		Policy	Ward: All
-------	--------	--	--------	-----------

## Policy Regarding the Repair of Private Storm Drainage Systems

**(Deferred from the May 30, 2007, meeting.)**

(May 14, 2007) Report from the General Manager, Toronto Water.

### Recommendations

The General Manager, Toronto Water recommends that:

1. City Council adopt a policy, entitled “The Repair of Private Storm Drainage Systems” as follows:
  - a. a private storm drainage system located entirely on private property is one which has been or is constructed, operated, owned and maintained by or on behalf of the private property owner;
  - b. the obligation to operate, maintain and repair a private storm drainage system rests entirely with the private property owner;
  - c. the City will not undertake the operation, maintenance or repair of a private storm drainage system;
  - d. unless otherwise available under the City’s Drain Grant Policy, no financial assistance will be provided by the City to a private property owner for the operation, maintenance or repair of a private storm drainage system; and
  - e. for the purposes of this Policy, “private storm drainage system” means that part of any drain or system of drains, including catch basins, drains, sumps or subsurface drainage pipe for surface or subsurface drainage of the land, lying within the limits of the private lands.

### Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

### Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose the adoption of a policy regarding requests made to the City to repair private storm drainage systems located entirely on private property (the “Policy”).

The Policy is based on the principle that private storm drainage systems are constructed, owned and maintained by or on behalf of private property owners while public storm drainage systems are constructed, owned and maintained by or on behalf of the City. The obligation to maintain and repair private storm drainage systems located entirely on private property rests entirely with the private property owner. In accordance with the terms and conditions of the City's Drain Grant Policy, however, the City may provide financial assistance to the private property owner, for the repair of a blocked drain on private property, but only in instances where the blockage is caused by roots from a City-owned tree.

### Background Information

2007-06-27-pw07-7

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5145.pdf>)

PW7.8	ACTION			Ward: All
-------	--------	--	--	-----------

### Lead Water Services Connection Replacement Program

(June 13, 2007) Report from the General Manager, Toronto Water.

### Recommendations

The General Manager of Toronto Water recommends that:

1. the current Water Service Connection Replacement Program be replaced with a Lead Water Service Connection Replacement Program, directed at an accelerated replacement of lead water service connections over a nine-year period, consisting of the following elements:
  - a. Watermain and Road Reconstruction Associated Replacements - Lead water services will be replaced in conjunction with Transportation Services Road Reconstruction Program and Toronto Water's aggressive watermain renewal program, on a targeted area specific basis and on the following priority:
    1. watermains with high break rates;
    2. watermains with substandard hydraulic capacity;
    3. watermains in areas of the City where past water quality sampling has shown elevated levels of lead;
    4. age of the watermain infrastructure, where a first priority is given to those roads where road reconstruction work is planned; and
    5. roads where records show few lead water services have already been replaced.

- b. Emergency Water Service Replacement - Lead water services will be replaced on an emergency basis, where:
    1. water quality testing shows that lead levels exceed the Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standard of 10 ppb;
    2. where substandard flow rates, lower than 7 litres per minute, as measured at the meter or closest faucet to the entry point of service; and
    3. in cases where water services are found to be leaking.
  - c. On-Demand Water Service Replacement - An annual maximum of 1,500 services be replaced, on a first come first served basis, in the following priority, where a minimum of 500 services are assigned for the replacement of low flow copper service connections:
    1. residential properties serviced by lead or galvanized water services; and
    2. ½ inch copper service connections where the flow rate, is between 7 and 15 litres per minute, as measured at the meter or closest faucet to the entry point of service;
2. the public communication strategy for lead water services be updated to provide information to residents regarding the Lead Water Service Connection Replacement Program; and
  3. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

### **Financial Impact**

The cost for the Lead Water Service Replacement Program described herein represents an increase of \$19.2 million per year over the funding approved in Toronto Water's 2007 Capital Budget: \$17.9 million per year for design and construction work associated with additional water service replacement and watermain reconstruction; and \$1.3 million per year for additional staff resources to oversee the design and construction work associated with the recommendations contained in this report. Subject to Council approval, these funds would be incorporated in Toronto Water's forthcoming 2008-2012 Capital Budget Submission.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

### **Summary**

This report provides information on the status of lead in Toronto's drinking water and proposes a new program directed at accelerating the replacement of lead water services. The report responds to recent concerns expressed by the Public Works and Infrastructure Committee and raised as a result of recent Province-wide drinking water testing ordered by the Ministry of the Environment's Chief Drinking Water Inspector.

This report recommends that Toronto Water's existing Water Service Replacement Program, where services are replaced across the City based on requests received from residents on a first come first served basis, be replaced with a planned, area specific, program tied to Toronto Water's enhanced watermain renewal program.

A new Lead Water Service Replacement Program would accelerate the replacement of the estimated 65,000 remaining lead water service connections within the next nine-years; and provide for the emergency replacement of water service connections with flow rates of less than 7 litres per minute, connections with leaks, and in situations where water quality tests have exceeded the allowable lead concentration limit.

PW7.9	ACTION			Ward: All
-------	--------	--	--	-----------

### **Designating the General Manager of Toronto Water as Owner Representative for Implementation of the Drinking Water Quality Management Standard**

(June 13, 2007) Report from the General Manager, Toronto Water.

#### **Recommendations**

The General Manager of Toronto Water recommends that City Council:

1. designate the General Manager of Toronto Water as the Owner Representative for the Toronto Water Quality Management System.

#### **Financial Impact**

Council adoption of the recommendation will have no additional financial impact beyond what has already been approved in the current year's budget.

#### **Summary**

The purpose of this report is to recommend designating the General Manager of Toronto Water as the Owner Representative for the Division's Quality Management System. As Owner Representative, the General Manager would communicate relevant information to the Public Works and Infrastructure Committee and provide written endorsement of Toronto Water's Operational Plan to the Ministry of the Environment.

Implementing a Drinking Water Quality Management System is necessary to comply with the requirements of the Safe Drinking Water Act. The City's drinking-water system must be accredited to the Ministry of the Environment's Drinking Water Quality Management Standard (DWQMS). The DWQMS includes specific requirements for Owners of municipal residential drinking-water systems and it is necessary to identify who will represent the Owner in relation to the implementation of Toronto Water's Quality Management System.

Designating the General Manager of Toronto Water as the Owner Representative would delegate the transactional authority to staff and allow for a more practical and efficient

development of the Toronto Water Quality Management System.

### Background Information

2007-06-27-pw07-9

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5146.pdf>)

PW7.10	ACTION			Ward: 39
--------	--------	--	--	----------

### Extension of No-Fault Grant Program

(June 13, 2007) Report from the General Manager, Toronto Water.

### Recommendations

The General Manager of Toronto Water recommends that:

1. there should be no extension of the 2005 Flood Damages Grant Program to provide grants to homeowners that were flooded as a result of sewer backups in Ward 39 in December 2006 or February 2007.

### Financial Impact

There are no financial impacts resulting from the adoption of this report.

### Summary

This report recommends that the 2005 Flood Damages Grant Program, also known as the “No-Fault Grant Program”, that was established to provide grants to certain eligible properties that were flooded by the August 19, 2005 storm event, not be extended to properties in Ward 39 that were flooded by a sewer backup in December 2006 or a sewer backup in February 2007.

### Background Information

2007-06-27-pw07-10

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5147.pdf>)

PW7.11	ACTION			Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--	-----------

### Land Acquisition for Source Water Protection Reserve - Updated Property Acquisition List

**Confidential - A proposed or pending acquisition or sale of land for municipal or local board purposes**

(June 12, 2007) Report from the General Manager, Toronto Water and the Chief Administrative Officer, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority.

## Recommendations

The General Manager of Toronto Water recommends that:

1. the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) be authorized to apply to use the \$1.5 million identified in the Toronto Water 2007 Capital Budget from the Land Acquisition for Source Water Protection Reserve to complete the acquisitions as set out in the confidential list dated March 5, 2007, included as Attachment 1;
2. TRCA complete the acquisitions according to the criteria set out in the Policy and Finance Committee Report 7, Clause 37, as adopted by City Council on July 19, 20, 21 and 26, 2005, in a form and manner acceptable to City officials; and
3. the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

## Financial Impact

Sufficient funding is available for this purpose in the 2007 approved Toronto Water Capital Budget under WBS Element CWW473-01 Land Acquisition for Source Water Protection Reserve.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

## Summary

This report has been prepared by Toronto Water and the Toronto and Region Conservation (TRCA) to provide an update on land purchased in 2005 and 2006 using funds from the Land Acquisition for Source Water Protection Reserve and to seek approval to add additional properties to the previously approved Property Acquisition List for TRCA. This approval is necessary to allow TRCA to proceed on negotiations for the purchase of land against the \$1.5 million identified in the approved Toronto Water 2007 Capital Budget.

## Background Information

2007-06-27-pw07-11

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5148.pdf>

PW7.12	Information			Ward: All
--------	-------------	--	--	-----------

## Review of City Policies Regarding Water and Sewer Service Connections for Infill New Development

(June 13, 2007) Report from the General Manager, Toronto Water.

## Financial Impact

There is no financial impact arising from this report.

## Summary

This report reviews the City-wide protocol for the installation of municipal service connections in new developments, including infill developments.

## Background Information

2007-06-27-pw07-12

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5149.pdf>

PW7.13	Information			Ward: 28, 30
--------	-------------	--	--	--------------

## Cherry Street Lift Bridge Over the Keating Channel - Structural Rehabilitation

(May 15, 2007) Report from the Executive Director, Technical Services and the Director, Purchasing and Materials Management Division.

## Financial Impact

Costs associated with this emergency rehabilitation work area are as follows:

- rehabilitation construction costs by Bob Hendricksen Construction Ltd.: \$2,277,691.04 net of GST;
- investigative/loading study and inspection, detailed design and contract administration by Morrison Hershfield Limited: \$183,707.06 net of GST.

Accordingly, the total cost of this work is \$2,461,398.10 net of GST. Funding is available from the approved 2006 Transportation Division Capital Account CTP506-01.

A Sole Source Purchase Order, with a value of \$2,414,352.50, inclusive of GST, was originally issued to Bob Hendricksen Construction Ltd on April 19, 2007. Two (2) Sole Source Purchase Orders, with a total value of \$194,729.48, inclusive of GST for an investigative/loading study (\$17,596.15) plus design and contract administration (\$177,133.33) were originally issued to Morrison Hershfield Limited on February 23, 2007 and October 17, 2006 respectively.

## Summary

The purpose of this report is to advise on the emergency procurement services performed and the status of the rehabilitation work on the Cherry Street Lift Bridge over the Keating Channel.

## Background Information

2007-06-27-pw07-13

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5150.pdf>

PW7.14	Information			Ward: All
--------	-------------	--	--	-----------

## Engineering Contracted Professional Services - Wastewater Treatment Plants

(June 11, 2007) Report from the Executive Director, Technical Services.

### Financial Impact

Not applicable.

### Summary

The purpose of this report is to provide an update on the annual expenditures pertaining to existing long-term engineering contracted professional services agreement for the Humber Treatment Plant.

### Background Information

2007-06-27-pw07-14

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5151.pdf>)

PW7.15	ACTION			Ward: All; Ward 12
--------	--------	--	--	--------------------

## Ingram Reuse Centre and Other Potential Reuse Properties

**(Deferred from the May 2, 2007 meeting.)**

(April 18, 2007) Report from the Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services.

### Recommendations

The Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services recommends that:

1. the vacant lands abutting the Ingram Transfer Station be used by Solid Waste Management Services for site modifications to improve operational efficiencies and customer services at the Ingram Transfer Station and as a potential location for one of eight City-owned reuse facilities;
2. community improvements that would enhance green space in the area near the Ingram Transfer Station be explored and implemented where feasible, should the lands abutting the Ingram Transfer Station be selected as a site for a reuse centre; and
3. staff work with Facilities and Real Estate and other divisions to develop a list of other City-owned properties as potential locations for reuse centres, and that, if a sufficient number of City-owned sites are not available, Solid Waste Management Services be authorized to seek the services of a real estate broker to find suitable private properties available within the City to purchase or lease.

## **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications arising from this report at this time. However, if Solid Waste Management Services were denied the right to use the vacant lands at Ingram Transfer Station, significant costs could be incurred to purchase industrial land with comparable features. The estimated cost for acquiring a similar parcel of land, according to figures provided by Facilities and Real Estate, is \$2.44 million.

Should the vacant lands not continue to be considered as a feasible location for a City-owned reuse facility, the operational costs in the form of staffing and equipment allocation would increase as the current plans rely upon the operation of these facilities in tandem. Additionally, customer service may suffer as the proximity of these facilities at the Ingram property is ideal in providing seamless waste reuse, recycling and removal opportunities for residents.

Capital and operational financial implications arising from the reuse centres will be provided as each individual facility is recommended.

## **Summary**

The western portion of the Ingram Transfer Station property, which is not currently used for waste management activities, but falls under the jurisdiction of Solid Waste Management Services, is an ideal site to improve the operation of the Ingram Transfer Station, including improved traffic flow and better access to the bi-level recycling depot and household hazardous waste (HHW) depot for residents and improved storage and transfer of yard waste and white goods. The site also holds promise as a potential reuse facility. Community improvements that would enhance green space in the area will be explored and implemented where feasible.

## **Background Information**

2007-06-27-pw07-15

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5152.pdf>

## **15a Alternative Uses for the Ingram Drive Site, In Particular a Child Care Centre and a Publicly-Owned and Operated Driving Range**

(June 13, 2007) Report from Deputy City Manager Richard Butts and Deputy City Manager Sue Corke.

## **Recommendations**

Deputy City Manager Richard Butts and Deputy City Manager Sue Corke recommend that:

1. the recommendations contained in the April 18, 2007 report from Solid Waste Management Services, entitled “Ingram Reuse Centre and Other Potential Reuse Properties”, be supported.

## **Financial Impact**

This report has no immediate financial impact.

## Summary

The purpose of this report is to consider alternative uses for the Solid Waste Management transfer site at Ingram Drive. The Public Works and Infrastructure Committee deferred consideration of the report (April 18, 2007) from the Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services until the next meeting of the Public Works and Infrastructure Committee to be held on June 27, 2007 with a request that Deputy City Managers Richard Butts and Sue Corke, and appropriate staff, report on all alternative uses for the Ingram site being proposed by the local Councillor, and in particular on: a proposed Child Care Centre; and a publicly-owned and operated driving range and/or other community recreation purpose under the management and direction of Parks, Forestry and Recreation.

## Background Information

2007-06-27-pw07-15a

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5153.pdf>

## Communications

(May 28, 2007) Petition headed "No To Garbage on our Green Space" signed by approximately 70 individuals. (PW.Main.PW7.15.1)

PW7.16	ACTION			Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--	-----------

## Residual Waste Planning Study

(June 13, 2007) Report from the Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services.

## Recommendations

The Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services recommends that:

1. the proposed terms of reference for the long-term residual waste management study not be submitted to the Minister of the Environment;
2. the Acting General Manager of Solid Waste Management Services be directed to carry out a comprehensive residual waste planning study based on the principles and terms set out in Attachment A;
3. Purchase Order No. 6020066 to MacViro Consultants Inc. for the preparation of the proposed terms of reference be increased by \$62,200 excluding GST to a total of \$296,200 excluding GST to account for MacViro's attendance at additional public meetings and participation in the development of the Health Impact Assessment framework.

Subject to the adoption of Recommendations 1 and 2, the Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services further recommends that:

4. the Acting General Manager of Solid Waste Management Services and the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management be authorized to issue a request for proposals for professional technical services to undertake the planning study described in Recommendation 2;
5. the Community Environmental Assessment Team (CEAT) be split into the 3Rs Working Group recommended in the report, entitled “Proposed Initiatives and Financing Model to get to 70% Solid Waste Diversion by 2010” and a Residual Waste Working Group as described in Attachment B to this report;
6. the Acting General Manager of Solid Waste Management Services, in consultation with CEAT, develop a transition plan for the splitting of CEAT into the 3Rs Working Group and the Residual Waste Working Group and report back to the Public Works and Infrastructure Committee with the details in the fall; and
7. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

### **Financial Impact**

The adoption of Recommendation 3 will result in a Capital expenditure in 2007 of \$62,200 excluding GST. This amount can be accommodated in the approved 2007 Capital budget for the residual waste study, CSW004-16-01.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

### **Summary**

Since March 2006, staff and the Community Environmental Assessment Team (CEAT) have been working diligently to prepare terms of reference for an individual environmental assessment (EA) for the long-term management of Toronto’s residual waste. Key principles of the study were discussed at numerous CEAT meetings and during two rounds of public consultation. The project team also heard from other stakeholders, including government agencies and Toronto’s Medical Officer of Health. Draft terms of reference were issued to stakeholders in March 2007 and a third round of public consultation was held in April to discuss feedback on the draft.

On March 23, 2007, the Province of Ontario enacted a new regulation which changes the EA requirements for waste management projects. Individual EAs are now required for only a few specific types of waste management projects. All other waste management projects, including those that are being considered by the City, are either exempt from the Environmental Assessment Act or can follow a new screening process set out in the new regulation. The new regulation also requires proponents to choose between an individual EA and the exemption and screening provisions. That is, if a proponent submits terms of reference for an individual EA, the exemption and screening provisions no longer apply to the projects included in the individual EA.

This report recommends that the City not submit the terms of reference to the Province at this time. It recommends that the City instead carry out a comprehensive residual waste planning study based on the principles set out in this report.

By completing a comprehensive planning study instead of an individual EA The City should save both time and money.

### **Background Information**

2007-06-27-pw07-16

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5154.pdf>)

## **16a Toronto CEAT Response to "Residual Waste Planning Study"**

(June 13, 2007) Letter from Philip A. Knox, Chair, and Lee Doran, Vice-Chair, Toronto CEAT.

### **Summary**

Providing a response in support of the recommendations contained in the report from the Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services on the Residual Waste Planning Study.

### **Background Information**

2007-06-27-pw07-16a

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5155.pdf>)

<b>PW7.17</b>	<b>ACTION</b>			Ward: All
---------------	---------------	--	--	-----------

## **Contract Extension for Region of Peel Waste Transfer Agreement**

(June 11, 2007) Report from the Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services.

### **Recommendations**

The Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, recommends that:

1. the City exercise the option in the existing contract with the Region of Peel for an additional term of up to three (3) years for the transfer of the Region of Peel waste through the Disco Transfer Station;
2. the Acting General Manager be authorized to execute an amending agreement with the Region of Peel on terms and conditions acceptable to the Acting General Manager and the City Solicitor; and
3. the appropriate officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to effect thereto.

### Financial Impact

The City has the existing infrastructure and resources capable of providing this transfer service to the Region of Peel.

During the 5-year contract with the Region of Peel the City has realized the following revenues (net of GST):

Year	Rate Per tonne	Tonnage	Revenue
2002	\$8.60	38,163	\$328,205.15
2003	\$8.99	94,741	\$851,728.78
2004	\$11.94	106,896	\$1,276,345.88
2005	\$12.06	107,211	\$1,292,972.14
2006	\$12.39	72,071	\$892,961.80
2007 (to end of contract July 11, 2007)	\$12.43	15,000 (estimated)	\$186,450

Should the City extend the agreement for a further term of up to three years the potential revenue realized will be (net of GST):

Year	Estimated Rate Per tonne (Actual based on an estimated annual CPI increase)	Estimated Tonnage*	Estimated Revenue
July 12–December 31, 2007	\$12.43	15,000	\$186,450
2008	\$12.47	30,000	\$374,100
2009	\$12.51	30,000	\$375,300
January 1–July 11, 2009	\$12.55	15,000	\$188,250
Total		90,000	\$1,124,100

\* Estimates only for reporting purposes. The Region of Peel will provide estimates based on their operational needs annually to the City as outlined in the agreement.

The estimated revenue from July 12 to December 31, 2007, has been included as part of the approved 2007 Solid Waste Management Services operating budget (SW0805-8580). Estimated revenue generated in 2008 will be included as part of the 2008 operating budget submission.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

### Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to exercise the extension option available in the current contract between the City and the Region of Peel for the transfer of the Region of Peel waste through the Disco Transfer Station.

**Background Information**

2007-06-27-pw07-17

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5156.pdf>

PW7.18	Information			Ward: All
--------	-------------	--	--	-----------

**Providing Recycling Collection for Small Businesses**

(June 11, 2007) Report from the Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services.

**Financial Impact**

There are no financial impacts arising from this report.

**Summary**

Businesses must be registered with the City yellow bag program to receive collection of waste organics and recyclables. Businesses participating in the City's Yellow Bag Program are required to purchase City issued yellow bags at a cost of \$3.10 each for their waste material and receive recyclables and organic collection for free.

SWMS is considering making changes to the current commercial Yellow Bag Program and will be reporting to this Committee in the fall with a comprehensive plan that will include considerations for businesses that are small volume waste generators.

**Background Information**

2007-06-27-pw07-18

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5157.pdf>

PW7.19	Information			Ward: All
--------	-------------	--	--	-----------

**Interested Business Improvement Areas Hosting Additional Community Environment Days**

(June 12, 2007) Report from the Acting General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services.

**Financial Impact**

There are no financial impacts arising from this report. However, if Council approves BIA Environment Days and chooses not to have the BIAs pay, the Solid Waste Management Services Operating Budget would have to be increased by \$10,000 per event or up to \$600,000 if all 60 BIAs wanted an event. This would result in an increase to the proposed volume based Solid Waste rate.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

**Summary**

The purpose of this report is to provide the Public Works and Infrastructure Committee with a staff report outlining the feasibility of interested Business Improvement Areas (BIAs) hosting their own Community Environment Day.

Should Council decide to allow interested BIAs to host their own Community Environment Day, it is operationally feasible; however certain logistical criteria must first be met. Furthermore, to cover the cost of these additional events, additional funding would be required for the 2008 Solid Waste Management Services Operating Budget or the event would have to be paid for by the interested BIA.

Since the 2007 Community Environment Day season is well underway, BIA-hosted events could not be offered until the 2008 season.

**Background Information**

2007-06-27-pw07-19

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/pw/bgrd/backgroundfile-5158.pdf>