



## Toronto and East York Community Council

**Meeting No.** 6  
**Meeting Date** Tuesday, May 29, 2007  
**Start Time** 9:30 AM  
**Location** Committee Room 1, City Hall

**Contact** Christine Archibald, Committee Administrator  
**Phone** 416-392-7033  
**E-mail** teycc@toronto.ca

Toronto and East York Community Council		
Councillor Sandra Bussin Councillor Janet Davis (Chair) Councillor Paula Fletcher Councillor Adam Giambrone	Councillor Pam McConnell Councillor Joe Mihevc Councillor Case Ootes Councillor Joe Pantalone	Councillor Gord Perks Councillor Kyle Rae Councillor Adam Vaughan (Vice-Chair) Councillor Michael Walker

**Members of Council and Staff:** Please keep this agenda and the accompanying material until the City Council meeting dealing with these matters has ended. **The City Clerk's Office will not provide additional copies.**

**Special Assistance for Members of the Public:** City staff can arrange for special assistance with some advance notice. If you need special assistance, please call 416-392-7033, TTY 416-338-0889 or e-mail: teycc@toronto.ca.

**Closed Meeting Requirements:** If the Toronto and East York Community Council wants to meet in closed session (privately), a member of the committee must make a motion to do so and give the reason why the Committee has to meet privately. (City of Toronto Act, 2006)

**Notice to People Writing to the Committee:** The City of Toronto collects any personal information in your communication to Toronto City Council or its committees under the City of Toronto Act, 2006 and the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The City collects this information to enable it to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s). Individuals who submit letters and other information should be aware that any personal information in their communication will become part of the public record. The City will make it available to the public, unless the individual expressly requests the City to remove the personal information.

Direct any questions about this collection to the City Clerk's Office, City Hall, 100 Queen St. W. Toronto ON M5H 2N2 (12<sup>th</sup> Floor, West Tower) or by calling 416-392-7033.

### Schedule of Timed Items

10:00 a.m.: Items 1 - 6	1:30 p.m.: Items 13 - 18
11:00 a.m.: Items 7 - 12	2:30 p.m.: Item 19

**Declaration of Interest under the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act*****Confirmation of Minutes – February 13, 2007****Speakers/Presentations – A complete list will be distributed at the meeting**

**Introduction and Enactment of General Bills and Bill to Confirm the Proceedings of Community Council will be last items.**

TE6.1	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 18
-------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

**Naming of public highway east of Sterling Road extending southerly from Bloor Street West, as "Ruttan Street"**

(May 14, 2007) memo from City Solicitor

**Recommendations**

That the Draft By-law from the City Solicitor be enacted by the Toronto and East York Community Council.

**Summary**

To enact By-law to name the public highway east of Sterling Road extending southerly from Bloor Street West as "Ruttan Street".

**Background Information**

By-law

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3767.pdf>)

TE6.2	ACTION	10:00 AM	Transactional	Ward: 22
-------	--------	----------	---------------	----------

**Final Report - Zoning Amendment Application - 140, 142 and 144 Redpath Avenue**

*Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990*

(May 9, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council amend the Zoning By-law for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 2;
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required;

3. before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, the owner shall be required to comply with the conditions of existing undertaking No. U195043 and amended undertaking No. U195043A or submit an application to further amend those agreements to the satisfaction of the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division; and
4. before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, the owner shall be required to install a litter receptacle at the ticket machine.

### Summary

This application was made prior to January 1, 2007 and is not subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006. This application proposes to amend By-law 438-86 on a temporary basis (3-years) to permit an existing 42-space commercial parking lot to continue to operate at 140, 142 and 144 Redpath Avenue. In the absence of a residential redevelopment proposal for the site, this report reviews and recommends approval of extending the temporary zoning permission to allow the continued use of the existing commercial parking lot for another 3-year term. The lot provides needed parking for Eglinton Avenue East businesses.

TE6.3	ACTION	10:00 AM	Transactional	Ward: 22
-------	--------	----------	---------------	----------

### Final Report - Zoning Amendment Application - 85 to 97 Eglinton Avenue East and 79 Dunfield Avenue

*Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990*

(May 9, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council amend the Zoning By-law 438-86 for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 8;
2. City Council repeal By-law 1997-0570 subject to the proposed draft Zoning By-law Amendment coming into force and effect;
3. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required;
4. Require the owner, before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, to enter into a Section 37 Agreement to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor, which will:

- (i) secure funds in the amount of \$300,000.00, prior to the issuance of any building permit, that may be used as a contribution towards the construction of a new public swimming pool at the North Toronto Collegiate redevelopment site or towards other community facilities or services in the area as may be determined by the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division in consultation with the local Ward Councillor if the new pool has not become a bona fide City development project within 3 years (subject to extension) of the date of execution of this agreement; and
  - (ii) the cash amounts to be secured under (i) above shall increase in accordance with the increase in the Non-Residential-Construction Price Index for the Toronto CMA, reported quarterly by Statistics Canada in construction Price Statistics Publication No. 62-007-XPB, or its successor, calculated from the date of execution of the Section 37 Agreement to the date of submission of the funds by the owner to the City.
5. Require the owner to enter into a Site Plan Agreement under Section 41 of the *Planning Act* prior to the issuance of any building permit;
6. Require the owner to provide and maintain an irrigation system for the proposed trees within the public road allowances, including an automatic timer designed to be water efficient by a certified Landscape Irrigation Auditor (CLIA) and constructed with a back flow preventer to the satisfaction of the General Manager of Parks and Recreation;
7. Require the owner to submit to the Executive Director of Technical Services for review and acceptance, prior to introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, a site servicing review to determine the storm water runoff, sanitary flow and water supply demand resulting from this development and demonstrate how this site can be serviced and whether the existing municipal infrastructure is adequate;
8. Require the owner to agree to pay all costs associated with the conversion of a portion of Dunfield Avenue to two-way operation, generally as shown on “Two Way Operations Plan” prepared by Marshall Macklin Monaghan and stamped received by City Planning on October 30, 2006, including:
  - adjustments to the signal heads at the Dunfield Avenue/Eglinton Avenue East intersection, if required;
  - provision of revised pavement markings and installation of regulatory signing, generally as shown on the “Two Way Operations Plan” prepared by Marshall Macklin Monaghan and stamped received October 30, 2006 (estimated at \$3,000.00, 2006 dollars);
  - elimination of metered and pay-and-display parking spaces;
  - construction of a traffic island at the south end of the two-way portion of Dunfield Avenue;

- construction of a new catch basin required in conjunction with the construction of the traffic island; and
9. Agree to submit a detailed design and cost estimate for construction of the traffic island at the south end of the proposed two-way portion of Dunfield Avenue, for the review and acceptance of the Executive Director of Technical Services.

### Summary

An application has been submitted to permit the construction of a 22-storey (231-unit) residential condominium with 570 square metres of commercial space at grade facing Eglinton Avenue East and Dunfield Avenue. A total of 247 parking spaces are proposed to be provided in 4 levels of underground parking for the occupants of the residential units and their visitors. Service and residential vehicular access and egress to and from the site is dealt with via a 2-way driveway from Dunfield Avenue. The applicant is also proposing to change Dunfield Avenue from one-way northbound to two-way traffic between the site access drive and Eglinton Avenue. This report reviews and recommends approval of the proposed mixed use development described above.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3737.pdf>)

TE6.4	ACTION	10:00 AM	Transactional	Ward: 27
-------	--------	----------	---------------	----------

### **Final Report - Rezoning Application - 582 Sherbourne Street and 28 Linden Street, and Intention to Designate under the Ontario Heritage Act - 582 Sherbourne Street**

*Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990*

(May 9, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council amend the Zoning By-law for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 3;
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required;
3. before introducing the necessary Bill to City Council for enactment, require the owner to enter an Agreement pursuant to Section 37 of the Planning Act to require the owner to do the following:
  - (a) pay to the City the sum of \$750,000 prior to the issuance of the first building

permit for construction of an indoor swimming pool at the Wellesley Community Centre, located at 495 Sherbourne Street, or construction of other community recreation facilities in the vicinity of the site;

- (b) provide a public art contribution to the City of a value not less than one percent of the gross construction cost of the development, in compliance with the City's public art program
- (c) restore, conserve, and maintain the James Cooper House, notwithstanding the relocation of the house on-site, and enter into a Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* satisfactory to the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services prior to Site Plan Approval pursuant to Section 41 of the *Planning Act*;
- (d) in accordance with the Conservation Plan, the owner shall restore the James Cooper House, at a minimum cost of \$350,000, such restoration costs not to include costs associated with the relocation of the house or related engineering work, and in the event of an expenditure less than \$350,000, the difference shall be re-directed to the provision of an additional payment for the construction of an indoor swimming pool at the Wellesley Community;
- (e) provide and maintain an irrigation system at the owner's expense for any proposed trees within the public road allowance, including an automatic timer, designed to be water efficient by a Certified Landscape Irrigation auditor (CLIA) and constructed with a back flow preventer to the satisfaction of the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation;
- (f) submit a site servicing review satisfactory to City's Executive Director of Technical Services prior to the Zoning By-law amendment coming into full force and effect to determine the stormwater runoff, sanitary flow and water supply demand resulting from the development and to demonstrate how the lot can be serviced and whether the existing municipal infrastructure is adequate;
- (g) pay to the City the costs for improvements to the municipal infrastructure in connection with the site servicing review to the satisfaction of the City's Executive Director of Technical Services, prior to the issuance of the first foundation building permit, should the site servicing review determine that upgrades are required to the infrastructure to support the development;
- (h) provide and maintain design and materials for the exterior of the development, to be secured in an agreement pursuant to Section 41 of the *Planning Act*;
- (i) prior to issuance of a concrete/superstructure permit, provide a survey satisfactory to the City's Manager of Heritage Preservation Services for the purpose of identifying any amendment to the heritage designation by-law required as a result of the relocation of the James Cooper House; and
- (j) provide reasonable streetscape improvements, including, but not limited to, tree

installation on the public right-of-way adjacent to the lot, relocation of the southbound TTC bus stop if necessary to provide a minimum distance between the bus stop and the driveway, and reconstruction of the sidewalk to provide a level loading and alighting condition at the bus stop;

- (k) use reasonable commercial efforts to obtain LEED Certification of the development and provide to the city documentation respecting certification for the development;
- 4. before introducing the necessary Bill to City Council for enactment, the applicant be required to enter into a Site Plan Agreement under Section 41 of the *Planning Act*;
- 5. prior to final site plan approval, the applicant be required to
  - (a) enter into a Heritage Easement Agreement with the City; and
  - (b) submit a Conservation Plan, prepared by a heritage consultant and based on the approved Conservation Strategy as prepared by Goldsmith Borgal and Company Ltd. Architects (dated March 2006), for the adaptive re-use of the James Cooper House, satisfactory to the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services; and
- 6. the owner be required to provide space within the development for the construction of any transformer vaults, Hydro and Bell maintenance holes and sewer maintenance holes required in connection with this development.

## Summary

This application proposes a 32-storey residential building at 582 Sherbourne Street and 28 Linden Street and retention of the heritage James Cooper House. The proposal secures conservation of the James Cooper House and implements intensification of a site that is very close to the Sherbourne subway station. The proposal provides a good built-form relationship to the surrounding public realm and an acceptable relationship to nearby properties. This report reviews and recommends approval of the application to amend the Zoning By-law.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3778.pdf>)

## **4a Intention to Designate under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act, Approval of Alterations to a Heritage Building, and Authority to Enter into a Heritage Easement Agreement - 582 Sherbourne Street**

(April 30, 2007) report from Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

## Recommendations

It is recommended that:

1. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 582 Sherbourne Street (James Cooper House) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*
2. If there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 26(6) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
3. If there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board;
4. The alterations to the heritage building at 582 Sherbourne Street be approved substantially in accordance with the plans by A. Burka Architect Inc. (Planning Division date stamped April 16, 2007) and on file with the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services, subject to the owner:
  - a. prior to final site plan approval:

entering into a Heritage Easement Agreement with the City;

submitting a Conservation Plan, prepared by a heritage consultant and based on the approved Conservation Strategy as prepared by Goldsmith Borgal and Company Ltd. Architects (dated March 2006), for the adaptive re-use of the John Cooper House, satisfactory to the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services.
  - b. prior to the issuance of a demolition permit for the Linden Street

providing a letter of credit in a form and an amount satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, to secure all work included in the Conservation Plan referred to in 4.a above;
5. Authority be granted by City Council for the execution of a Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* with the owners of the property at 582 Sherbourne Street (The James Cooper House); and
6. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

## Summary

This report recommends that City Council state its intention to designate the property at 582 Sherbourne Street under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act and approve alterations to a heritage building. Authority is requested to enter into a Heritage Easement Agreement to provide for the long term protection of the James Cooper House. The generous setback of the original house from Sherbourne Street prohibits the introduction of a development within the remaining available land without relocating the heritage building on the site. The allowance for the relocation of the house towards Sherbourne Street accommodates the heritage building within the proposed development while restoring the house and securing its long-term protection.



## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3765.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3768.pdf>)

Attachment 2 - Photographs

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3769.pdf>)

Attachment 3 - Reasons for Designation

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3770.pdf>)

Attachment 4A - Site Plan

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3771.pdf>)

Attachment 4B - Ground Floor Plan

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3772.pdf>)

Attachment 4B - Ground Floor Plan

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3773.pdf>)

Attachment 4C - Elevations

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3774.pdf>)

Attachment 4D - Elevations

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3775.pdf>)

## **4b Intention to Designate under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act, Approval of Alterations to a Heritage Building, and Authority to Enter into a Heritage Easement Agreement - 582 Sherbourne Street**

(May 11, 2007) letter from Toronto Preservation Board

### **Recommendations**

It is recommended that:

1. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 582 Sherbourne Street (James Cooper House) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
2. if there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 26(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
3. if there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board;
4. the alterations to the heritage building at 582 Sherbourne Street be approved substantially in accordance with the plans by A. Burka Architect Inc. (Planning Division date stamped April 16, 2007) and on file with the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services, subject to the owner:
  - a. prior to final site plan approval:

entering into a Heritage Easement Agreement with the City;

submitting a Conservation Plan, prepared by a heritage consultant and based on the approved Conservation Strategy as prepared by Goldsmith Borgal and Company Ltd. Architects (dated March 2006), for the adaptive re-use of the John Cooper House, satisfactory to the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services; and

- b. prior to the issuance of a demolition permit for the Linden Street wing:

providing a letter of credit in a form and an amount satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, to secure all work included in the Conservation Plan referred to in 4a. above;

5. authority be granted by City Council for the execution of a Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with the owners of the property at 582 Sherbourne Street (The James Cooper House); and
6. the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

### Summary

The Toronto Preservation Board on May 11, 2007, considered the report (April 30, 2007) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

### Background Information

Letter

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3776.pdf>

TE6.5	ACTION	10:00 AM	Transactional	Ward: 30
-------	--------	----------	---------------	----------

### Final Report - Zoning Amendment Application - 625 Queen Street East

*Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990*

(May 4, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council amend Zoning By-law 438-86, as amended, for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment 8;
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.

3. City Council direct City Planning staff to establish a Terms of Reference to develop a Queen-Riverside Vision Plan for lands fronting on Queen Street East and lands to the north and south of Queen Street East, between the Don Valley and Broadview Avenue and Eastern Avenue to the south.

### Summary

This application proposes the construction of a six storey mid-rise building at 625 Queen Street East with 64 residential units and commercial uses on the ground floor. The proposed development features a green roof and a dedicated parking space for car sharing. Mid-rise housing is an important form of development that can create new housing and shopping opportunities and can help the City to more easily address reurbanization objectives on Avenues. Queen Street, east of the Don River is rapidly becoming a desirable residential and commercial destination. The proposed mixed-use development is consistent and compatible with the evolving character of Queen Street East, east of the Don River. This report reviews and recommends approval of the application to amend the Zoning By-law.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3706.pdf>)

TE6.6	ACTION	10:00 AM	Transactional	Ward: 20
-------	--------	----------	---------------	----------

### Transmittal Report - Zoning By-law Amendment Application - 126 Simcoe Street Phase II

*Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990*

(May 14, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. In the event a by-law is authorized in accordance with the May 1, 2007 direction of the Toronto and East York Community Council to bring forward by-laws to permit the applicant's proposal, the City Solicitor be authorized to amend the draft by-law as may be required to address the Technical Services issues identified in the May 14, 2007 report of the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, to the satisfaction of the Executive Director, Technical Services; and
2. Bills not be introduced at Council until the Technical Services issues noted in Recommendation 1 are addressed and a Section 37 agreement is executed.

### Summary

This transmittal report responds to the May 1, 2007 direction of Toronto and East York Community Council to submit a draft zoning by-law amendment for 126 Simcoe Street that

would permit the development of the site for a 35-storey (106.5 metre) residential building with commercial uses on the ground floor.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3694.pdf>)

## Communications

(March 27, 2007) letter from Cynthia MacDougall, McCarthy Tetrault, LLP - TEMain

## 6a Refusal Report - Zoning By-law Amendment Application — 126 Simcoe Street

(March 9, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council refuse zoning by-law amendment application 06 180736 STE 20 SA (drawings date stamped October 2, 2006) respecting the proposal to construct a 35-storey building; and
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor and other appropriate City staff to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board in support of the refusal of this application should the application be appealed.

## Summary

An application has been submitted to permit a 35-storey (106.5 metre) residential condominium building with commercial uses on the ground level at 126 Simcoe Street. This purpose of this report is to recommend refusal of the application and, should the application be appealed, request direction for staff to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board in support of the recommended refusal.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3670.pdf>)

TE6.7	ACTION	11:00 AM	Transactional	Ward: 22
-------	--------	----------	---------------	----------

## Status Report - Official Plan, Zoning, Site Plan, Applications - 609 Avenue Road

(May 14, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council receive this report for information; and
2. City Council consider the Planning staff report to be provided with the supplementary agenda for the Community Council meeting of May 29, 2007.

## Summary

The purpose of this report is to advise Council that a final report regarding the proposed development at 609 Avenue Road is expected to be submitted for consideration at the May 29, 2007 meeting of Toronto and East York Community Council. Planning staff are working toward finalizing the report prior to the meeting date and can advise at this time that the proposal is recommended to be supported at the Ontario Municipal Board hearing on this matter, scheduled for July 2007.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3842.pdf>)

**(Deferred from May 1, 2007 - 2007.TE5.11)**

<b>TE6.8</b>	<b>ACTION</b>	<b>11:00 AM</b>	<b>Transactional</b>	<b>Ward: 28</b>
--------------	---------------	-----------------	----------------------	-----------------

## **Intention to Designate, Part IV, Ontario Heritage Act, and Approval of Alterations to a Heritage Building - 130 Bloor Street West**

(March 12, 2007) report from Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

## Recommendations

It is recommended that:

1. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 130 Bloor Street West (Torno Penthouse) under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
2. If there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 26(6) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
3. If there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board;
4. The alterations to the heritage building at 130 Bloor Street West be approved substantially in accordance with the plans by Quadrangle Architects Limited (see Attachment No. 4) on file with the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services, subject to the owner:

- (a) prior to final site plan approval:

providing a Conservation Plan for the restoration of 130 Bloor Street West (Torno Penthouse), satisfactory to the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services;

providing a landscape plan for the subject property;

- (b) prior to the issuance of any building permit for 130 Bloor Street West (Torno Penthouse), including a permit for the demolition, excavation, and/or shoring of the subject property:

providing a letter of credit, in a form and an amount satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, to secure all work included in the Conservation Plan;

providing a record of the as-found condition of 130 Bloor Street West (Torno Penthouse);

providing final plans satisfactory to the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services;

5. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

## Summary

This report recommends that City Council state its intention to designate the property at 130 Bloor Street West (Torno Penthouse) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act, and approve alterations to the heritage building. The property was listed on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties in 2005. The property contains an office building with a rooftop residential penthouse that is undergoing conversion as a residential condominium both within the existing building and new construction above the penthouse. The property owners have agreed to preserve the two-storey penthouse and the ground-floor entrance that provides access to the penthouse suite by entering into a heritage easement agreement and having the property designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

## Background Information

Attachment 4

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3748.pdf>)

Attachment 3

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3749.pdf>)

Attachment 2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3750.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3751.pdf>)

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3752.pdf>)

## Communications

(April 9, 2007) letter from N. Jane Pepino, Aird & Berlis LLP - TEMain

**(Deferred from May 1, 2007 - 2007.TE5.11a)**

**8a            Intention to Designate, Part IV, Ontario Heritage Act, and  
Approval of Alterations to a Heritage Building - 130 Bloor Street  
West (A)**

(April 5, 2007) letter from Toronto Preservation Board

**Recommendations**

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that:

1. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 130 Bloor Street West(Torno Penthouse) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
2. If there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 26(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
3. If there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board;
4. The alterations to the heritage building at 130 Bloor Street West be approved substantially in accordance with the plans by Quadrangle Architects Limited (see Attachment No. 4) on file with the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services, subject to the owner:
  - (a) prior to final site plan approval:
    - providing a Conservation Plan for the restoration of 130 Bloor Street West(Torno Penthouse), satisfactory to the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services;
    - providing a landscape plan for the subject property;
  - (b) prior to the issuance of any building permit for 130 Bloor Street West(Torno Penthouse), including a permit for the demolition, excavation, and/or shoring of the subject property:
    - providing a letter of credit, in a form and an amount satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, to secure all work included in the Conservation Plan;
    - providing a record of the as-found condition of 130 Bloor Street West(Torno

Penthouse);

providing final plans satisfactory to the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services;

5. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

### Summary

The Toronto Preservation Board on April 5, 2007, considered the report (March 12, 2007) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division.

### Background Information

Letter

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3755.pdf>

TE6.9	NOT USED			
-------	----------	--	--	--

TE6.10	ACTION	11:00 AM	Transactional	Ward: 27
--------	--------	----------	---------------	----------

### **Amendment to Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code - 10 Dundas Street East**

*Statutory - City of Toronto Act, 2006*

(April 16, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council approve an amendment to Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to replace the existing permissions in Permission Exception "T" with permissions to implement the signage program for the Metropolis development as set out in Attachment 5, and further delegate to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, or designate, the authority to approve the size, style, design, materials and treatment of any sign in certain first party and building identification sign locations, Sign Location Nos. K1, K2, K3, K4, CF, CR, CS, CT, CW and CX, as set out in Attachments 1 and 2;
2. City Council require the owner to submit an application for the review and approval of



the General Manager of Transportation Services (Right-of-Way Management) for the proposed encroachment of Sign Location No. CF projecting 0.75 metres into the Yonge Street public right-of-way and enter into an encroachment agreement, prior to the issuance of the necessary sign permit for a sign in such location;

3. City Council authorize the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, to introduce the necessary Bill to the June 19 and 20 meeting of City Council to give effect to Recommendation 1; and
4. City Council repeal By-law 145-2000 upon the adoption of the Bill in Recommendation 3.

### Summary

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Mark Franklin of Baldwin and Franklin Architects Inc. on behalf of Penex Metropolis Ltd., for approval of a site specific amendment to Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to implement a comprehensive signage program at 10 Dundas Street East. Staff recommends approval of this application. The report's recommendations request the City Solicitor to prepare the necessary by-law, and for City Council to delegate the approval of signs for certain first party and building identification sign locations to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, or designate. The report also recommends an encroachment agreement for one sign location and that By-law 145-2000 be repealed once the new by-law is adopted.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3848.pdf>)

TE6.11	ACTION	11:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 20
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

### Sign Variance - 350 Queen's Quay West

(May 11, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for a variance to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated projecting sign at the fifth floor level, on the north elevation of a multi-storey parking garage at 350 Queens Quay West.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Sidney Paul, with PDA Architects for Shoppers Drug Mart for approval of a variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated projecting sign at the fifth floor level, on the north elevation of a multi-storey parking garage at 350 Queens Quay West. Staff recommends

refusal of this application. The variance is major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3616.pdf>)

TE6.12	ACTION	11:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 22
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

## Residential Demolition Application – 26 Old Forest Hill Road

(May 15, 2007) report from Acting Director, Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

The Acting Director, Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District recommends that the Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the application to demolish the subject residential building because there is no building permit for a replacement building on the site; in the alternative,
2. Approve the application to demolish the subject residential buildings without conditions; or,
3. Approve the application to demolish the subject residential building with the following condition:
  - (a) Any reasonable conditions which have regard to the nature of the residential property, including the preservation of significant natural features and requiring the erection and maintenance of structures and enclosures;
  - (b) A Tree Protection Security Deposit of \$8,341.00 received by Urban Forestry Services – Public Trees, Parks, Forestry & Recreation, prior to the issuance of this demolition permit, a copy of the notification letter from Urban Forestry Services is attached.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. In accordance with city-wide residential demolition control under Article II, Demolition Control, of Municipal Code Ch. 363, as amended by By-law No.1009-2006, enacted by the City Council on September 27, 2006 under the authority of section 33 of the Planning Act, I refer the demolition application for 26 Old Forest Hill Road to you, to whether to grant or refuse the application, including any conditions, if any, to be attached to the permit.

## Background Information

### Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3711.pdf>)

#### Attachment 1 - Letter

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3744.pdf>)

#### Attachment 2 - Map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3745.pdf>)

#### Attachment 3 - Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3746.pdf>)

**(Deferred from May 1, 2007 - 2007.TE5.20)**

<b>TE6.13</b>	<b>ACTION</b>	<b>1:30 PM</b>	<b>Delegated</b>	<b>Ward: 14</b>
---------------	---------------	----------------	------------------	-----------------

### **Appeal - Residential Boulevard Parking for Two Vehicles - 1498 King Street West**

(April 16, 2007) report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services  
Toronto and East York District

### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. deny the request for residential boulevard parking for two vehicles at 1498 King Street West; and
2. request that the owner remove the existing concrete paving and restore the area to soft landscaping.

### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 1498 King Street West for residential boulevard parking for two vehicles. Although the location does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, given that the parking pad has been in existence for over 25 years, we recommend consideration of the appeal. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

### **Background Information**

#### Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3756.pdf>)

#### Attachment 1 - Sketch

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3757.pdf>)

#### Attachment 2 - Property Data Map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3758.pdf>)

#### Attachment 3 - Photos

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3759.pdf>)

## Communications

(April 26, 2007) e-mail from Brian and Kathleen Theriault - TEMainTE5.20.1

(April 30, 2007) e-mail from Dirk Townsend - TEMainTE5.20.2

**(Deferred from May 1, 2007 - 2007.TE5.21)**

<b>TE6.14</b>	<b>ACTION</b>	<b>1:30 PM</b>	<b>Delegated</b>	<b>Ward: 29</b>
---------------	---------------	----------------	------------------	-----------------

### **Driveway Widening Poll Results - 182 Milverton Boulevard**

(April 16, 2007) report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services  
Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council deny the request for driveway widening at 182 Milverton Boulevard.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services reports that the results of the poll to determine support for driveway widening at 182 Milverton Boulevard are in favour. Although the results are in favour of driveway widening, staff do not recommend driveway widening at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

## Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3760.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3761.pdf>

Attachment 2

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3762.pdf>

Attachment 3

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3763.pdf>

<b>TE6.15</b>	<b>ACTION</b>	<b>1:30 PM</b>	<b>Delegated</b>	<b>Ward: 27</b>
---------------	---------------	----------------	------------------	-----------------

### **Privacy Fence - 24 Whitehall Road**

(May 10, 2007) report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services  
Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. approve the ongoing maintenance of the privacy fence and gate within portions of the

public right of way on Summerhill Avenue, rear of 24 Whitehall Road, subject to the property owners entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:

- a. maintain the privacy fence and gate at their own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
  - b. obtain approval for associated work on private property from the Toronto Building Division;
  - c. remove the privacy fence and gate upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so; and
  - d. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
2. direct Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachments, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services has assessed a request from the owners of 24 Whitehall Road to allow the ongoing maintenance of an existing privacy fence and gate that encroach within the public right of way on Summerhill Avenue, rear of 24 Whitehall Road. The existing privacy fence and gate do not impact negatively on the public right of way. Staff recommend approval of this request.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3644.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Appendix A - Photos

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3643.pdf>)

TE6.16	ACTION	1:30 PM	Delegated	Ward: 27
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

### Privacy Fence - 26 Whitehall Road

(May 10, 2007) report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services  
Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

**Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:**

1. approve the ongoing maintenance of the privacy fence and gate within portions of the public right of way on Summerhill Avenue, rear of 26 Whitehall Road, subject to the property owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
  - a. maintain the privacy fence and gate at his/her own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
  - b. obtain approval for associated work on private property from the Toronto Building Division;
  - c. remove the privacy fence and gate upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so; and
  - d. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
2. direct Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachments, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services has assessed a request from the owners of 26 Whitehall Road to allow the ongoing maintenance of an existing privacy fence and gate that encroach within the public right of way on Summerhill Avenue, rear of 26 Whitehall Road. The existing privacy fence and gate do not impact negatively on the public right of way. Staff recommend approval of this request.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3613.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Appendix A - Photos

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3668.pdf>)

TE6.17	ACTION	1:30 PM	Delegated	Ward: 27
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

### Privacy Fence - 8 St. Joseph Street

(May 10, 2007) report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services  
Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. approve the maintenance of the privacy fence, gates and decorative light post within the public right of way fronting 8 St. Joseph Street, subject to the property owners entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
  - a. maintain the privacy fence, gates and decorative light post at their own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
  - b. obtain approval for associated work on private property from the Toronto Building Division;
  - c. remove the privacy fence with gates and decorative light post upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so;
  - d. arrange for an inspection by the Electrical Safety Authority for the installation of the decorative light post and provide a copy of the approval to the General Manager of Transportation Services; and
  - e. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
2. direct Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owners of 8 St. Joseph Street for the maintenance of a 1.93 m high privacy fence, gates and decorative light post that encroach within the public right of way fronting 8 St. Joseph Street. Although the fence exceeds the allowable height under the Municipal Code, it does not impact negatively on the public right of way. Therefore, Transportation Services recommends approval of the encroachment. The owners together with any interested parties will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

### **Background Information**

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3685.pdf>

Attachment 1 - Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3686.pdf>

**(Deferred from May 1, 2007 - 2007.TE5.62)**

<b>TE6.18</b>	<b>ACTION</b>	<b>1:30 PM</b>	<b>Delegated</b>	<b>Ward: 29</b>
---------------	---------------	----------------	------------------	-----------------

### **Citizen appointments to the Todmorden Mills Heritage Museum and Arts Centre Community Museum Management Board**

**Confidential Attachment - Personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees**

(April 11, 2007) report from General Manager Economic Development, Culture and Tourism

#### **Recommendations**

The General Manager of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council authorize the public release of the confidential information and recommendations in Attachment 1, upon adoption of this report;
2. The Toronto and East York Community Council nominate the selected individuals listed in Attachment No. 1 to the Todmorden Mills Heritage Museum and Arts Centre Community Museum Management Board for a term expiring on or before November 30, 2010, or until a successor is appointed;
3. The Todmorden Mills Heritage Museum and Arts Centre Community Museum Management Board continue to work with the East York Foundation on a project-by-project basis but that a permanent seat not be established on the Board at this time; and
4. The appropriate City official be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

#### **Summary**

Schedule A to Chapter 103, Heritage, Toronto Municipal Code, states that the Todmorden Mills Heritage Museum and Arts Centre Community Museum Management Board be comprised of nine citizen members, one representative from the Toronto District School Board, one representative from the Toronto Catholic School Board and one to two 2 members of City Council. In November 2005 Council adopted a motion requesting the General Manager, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with the City Solicitor, to report to the Toronto and East York Community Council on the establishment of a seat on the Todmorden Mills Community Museum Management Board for the East York Foundation. In response to Council's request, Culture staff consulted with the Todmorden Mills Heritage Museum and Arts Centre Community Museum Management Board. The Board, in turn, invited the East York Foundation to one of its regular meetings to discuss the issue. The Todmorden Mills Heritage Museum and Arts Centre Community Museum Management Board passed the



following motion in response to Council's request. "That the Todmorden Mills Heritage Museum and Arts Centre Community Museum Management Board is opposed to the creation of a permanent seat for the East York Foundation on the Todmorden Mills Heritage Museum and Arts Centre Community Museum Management Board. This would set a precedent counter to the mandate of the Board to reflect the broad views of the community. The list of organizations that could claim such an appointed seat on the Board is lengthy and may include Don Valley Art Club, East Side Players, The Toronto Regional Conservation Authority, Todmorden Mills Wildflower Preserve Volunteer Committee, Evergreen, the East York Historical Society and others. The Board looks forward to working collaboratively with all of these groups and others to further the goals and objectives of Todmorden Mills on a project by project basis." Members of the East York Foundation were encouraged to apply to sit on the board through the City's regular board appointment process. Through the current recruitment process one member of the East York Foundation is being recommended to sit on the board.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3764.pdf>)

TE6.19	ACTION	2:30 PM	Transactional	Ward: 28
--------	--------	---------	---------------	----------

### Improvements to the 504 King Streetcar Service

(March 28, 2007) letter from General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission

### Recommendations

The Toronto Transit Commission made the following Recommendations:

- "1. Request City Council to:
  - a. approve, in principle, the installation of a temporary, reserved right-of-way on a four-to-five block section of King Street, as a demonstration project during July and August of 2008, using the design concept described in this report, and following a process similar to that used by the Toronto Waterfront Revitalisation Corporation in their demonstration of temporary modifications to Queens Quay, west of Bay Street, in August of 2006; and that staff be requested to report back on rear-door loading.
  - b. expand the designated peak-periods on King Street – when parking, standing, and stopping prohibitions are in effect -- to the hours of 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.;
  - c. direct City staff to:
    - i. introduce the necessary by-laws to designate King Street, between Dufferin Street and Parliament Street, as a "Transit Priority Zone" where, similar to Community Safety Zones, fines for traffic and parking violations are doubled,

and arrange for the installation of the associated signage to make motorists aware of the more-severe penalties;

- ii. immediately initiate, with the Province, the process necessary to expand the red-light camera enforcement program in Toronto to allow camera enforcement of illegal left-turns, and of stopping and parking violations; and
- iii. report back as soon as possible on the feasibility, and cost, of constructing taxi lay-bys on King Street where taxis now block the curb lanes at the office towers between York Street and Bay Street;

direct Toronto Police Service to commit resources for the continual and ongoing enforcement of all applicable traffic regulations within the King Street Transit Priority Zone.”

## Summary

At its meeting on Wednesday, March 21, 2007, the Commission considered the attached report entitled, “Improvements to the 504 King Streetcar Service.”

## Background Information

Letter (<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3632.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3633.pdf>)

## Communications

(April 30, 2007) letter from Jim Eldridge, Mirvish Productions - TEMain

(May 1, 2007) e-mail from Allison Bain, Toronto International Film Festival Group - TEMain

## 19a Tabling of Motions at May 1, 2007 Meeting - 504 King Streetcar

(May 15, 2007) letter from City Clerk

## Recommendations

Advising that the following motions were tabled at the May 1, 2007 meeting for consideration at the May 29, 2007 meeting:

- “1. approve the installation of a temporary, reserved right-of-way on King Street between University Avenue and Yonge Street only, as a demonstration project during July and August of 2008, using the design concept described in the TTC report and following a process similar to that used by the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation in its demonstration of a temporary modification to Queen’s Quay, and that TTC staff report back with a detailed implementation plan in consultation with the Ward Councillor;
- 2. request TTC staff to report to the Toronto and East York Community Council on rear-door loading;

3. refer the following motion to the Works and Infrastructure Committee for consideration:  
  

‘Direct City staff to immediately initiate, with the Province, the process necessary to expand the red-light camera enforcement program in Toronto to allow camera enforcement of illegal left-turns, and of stopping and parking violations’;
4. request Toronto Police Service to commit resources for the continual and ongoing enforcement of all applicable traffic regulations within the King Street Transit Priority Zone;
5. request the TTC to engage an urban design consultant to further develop and illustrate the concept for streetscaping/transit right-of-way for the 504 King streetcar in consultation with Ward Councillors, as described in the TTC report dated March 28, 2007, for the purpose of facilitating effective public consultation; and that a public design charette be held early in the process; and
6. request the TTC to develop a public consultation plan for the concept, using the material developed by the urban design consultant; in consultation with the Ward Councillor.”

### Summary

The Toronto and East York Community Council deferred consideration of the letter (March 28, 2007) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission for public presentations and requested the City Clerk to provide notice of this meeting to occupants in the area of King Street West and East, extending one block north and one block south, and one block east of Yonge Street and one block west of University Avenue, and that costs for this notice be borne by the TTC.

### Background Information

Letter

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3840.pdf>)

TE6.20	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 28
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

### Preliminary Report - Official Plan, Zoning, Site Plan Approval Applications - 52 Sumach Street, 549 and 569 King Street East

(May 7, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward

Councillor; and

2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site.

### Summary

These applications were made after January 1, 2007 and are subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006. The applicant proposes to develop three properties at 52 Sumach Street, 549 and 569 King Street East, containing 134 dwelling units within three six-storey buildings with retail at-grade in the buildings fronting King Street East. This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted applications and seeks Community Council's direction on further processing of the applications and on the community consultation process.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3779.pdf>)

TE6.21	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 29
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

### Preliminary Report - Zoning Application - 270 Donlands Avenue

(May 11, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

### Summary

An application has been submitted to amend the former East York Zoning By-law 6752, as amended, to permit the construction of an 8-storey apartment building. This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the applications and on the community consultation process. It is recommended that a community consultation meeting be scheduled by staff, in consultation with the Ward Councillor. A Final Report and a Public Meeting under the Planning Act to consider this application is targeted for early 2008, provided that the information required is forthcoming in a timely manner.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3703.pdf>)

TE6.22	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 19
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### Sign Variance - 85 Hanna Avenue

(May 9, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the request for a variance to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated ground sign for direction purposes at 85 Hanna Avenue, and require that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Javed Akhtar, with Signlogix, for First Capital (King-Liberty) for approval of a variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated ground sign for direction purposes at 85 Hanna Avenue. Staff recommends approval of the application. The variance is minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3691.pdf>)

TE6.23	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 20
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

### Sign Variance - 100 Queen's Park – Royal Ontario Museum

(May 14, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that Community Council:

1. Approve the request for variances to permit, for identification purposes, four illuminated pedestal signs along the Bloor Street West frontage of the property. The

sign #2 and sign #3 are designed to contain a small LED screen for special displays, shows or programs at the ROM at 100 Queens Park;

2. Require that energy efficient technology be used for the new video signs;
3. Require that the new signs be designed so that they can be dimmed after dusk to the satisfaction of the Chief Planner;
4. Require that the signs be turned off between the hours of 11:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. nightly; and
5. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

### Summary

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Wan-Chi Chang, with B & H Architects on behalf of The Royal Ontario Museum (ROM) for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, four illuminated pedestal signs along the Bloor Street West frontage of the property. The sign #2 and sign #3 are designed to contain a small LED screen for special displays, shows or programs at the ROM. Staff recommends approval of the application. The variances are minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3849.pdf>)

TE6.24	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 20
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### Sign Variance - 170 University Avenue

(May 7, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the request for variances to permit, for identification purposes two illuminated awning signs, on the north elevation of the building at 170 University Avenue; and require that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Lucy Chomolok, with Lescar Signs Ltd. on behalf of Colliers International for approval of a variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, two illuminated awning signs on the north elevation of the building at 170 University Avenue. Staff recommends approval of the application. The variance is minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3850.pdf>)

TE6.25	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 20
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Sign Variance - 180 Queen Street West

(May 9, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the request for variances to permit, for identification purposes an illuminated fascia sign on the south elevation of an arcade column to represent “Starbucks Coffee” store located at the first floor level of the building at 180 Queen Street West; and require that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk’s Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official; and

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Lida Ghiami, with Daniel Johnson Architect Inc. on behalf of Starbucks Coffee Co. for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia sign to represent “Starbucks Coffee Co.” at the grade floor level, on the south elevation of an arcade column of the building at 180 Queen Street West. Staff recommends approval of the application. The variances are minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3684.pdf>)

TE6.26	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 20
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### **Sign Variance - 250A Queens Quay West**

(May 10, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

#### **Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the request for a variance to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia sign in the form of individual letters to represent “Swiss Chalet” on the front elevation of the building, at 250-A Queens Quay West, with a condition that the applicant reduce the height of the sign so that the top and the bottom of the proposed sign aligns with the top and the bottom of the other existing signs located on the front elevation of the building; and require that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk’s Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

#### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Sophia McLean, with DayNite Signs Canada Inc., for RML 250 Queens Quay Limited for approval of a variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia signs in the form of individual letters to represent “Swiss Chalet” on the front elevation of the building, at 250-A Queens Quay West. Staff recommends approval of the application. The variance is minor and is within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

#### **Background Information**

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrrd/backgroundfile-3696.pdf>

TE6.27	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 20
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### **Sign Variance - 350-390 Queen’s Quay West**

(May 11, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

#### **Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:



1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the request for variances to maintain, for identification purposes, an existing fascia sign in the form of individual letters that identifies “Shoppers Drug Mart”, located on the second floor, on the south elevation of the building; and require that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk’s Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Sidney Paul, with PDA Architects for Shoppers Drug Mart for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for identification purposes, an illuminated existing fascia sign located on the second floor, on the south elevation of the building at 350-390 Queens Quay West. Staff recommends approval of this application. The variances are minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3624.pdf>)

TE6.28	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 29
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### Sign Variance - 1015 Broadview Avenue

(May 7, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the request for variances to maintain, for identification purposes, two illuminated fascia signs on the west elevation and two illuminated fascia signs on an angled wall at the southwest corner of the building at 1015 Broadview Avenue with a condition to dim the illumination of the signs between 11:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. by means of an automated dimming device and require that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk’s Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority

from City Council to make a final decision. This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Dan Bovair, with Jones Neon Displays Limited, Sobeys Capital Incorporated for approval of variances from By-law No. 64-87 of the former Borough of East York to maintain, for identification purposes, two illuminated fascia signs on the west elevation and two illuminated fascia signs on an angled wall at the southwest corner of the building at 1015 Broadview Avenue. Staff recommends approval of the application. The variances are minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3839.pdf>)

TE6.29	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 31
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### Sign Variance - 1500 Woodbine Avenue

(May 7, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the request for variances to maintain, for identification purposes, two-illuminated fascia signs on the east elevation, three-illuminated fascia signs on the north elevation of the building, two directional ground signs along the north frontage and two directional ground signs along the east frontage of the property at 1500 Woodbine Avenue, and require that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Isabella Cerelli, with Pride Signs Limited, for Shoppers Drug Mart for approval of variances from By-law No. 64-87 of the former City of East York to maintain, for identification purposes, two-illuminated fascia signs on the east elevation, three-illuminated fascia signs on the north elevation of the building, two direction signs along the north frontage and two direction signs along the east frontage of the property. Staff recommends approval of the application. The variances are minor and are within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3695.pdf>)

TE6.30	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 31
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### **Sign Variance - 650 Cosburn Avenue**

(May 8, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

#### **Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the request for variances to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated electronic scoreboard ground sign for East York Collegiate Institute at 650 Cosburn Avenue with a condition that the back face of the scoreboard that faces Cosburn Avenue would remain non-illuminated, and require that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

#### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Greg Leskew, with Nevco Scoreboards, for East York Collegiate for approval of variances from By-law No. 64-87 of the former Borough of East York to permit, for identification purposes, an electronic scoreboard ground sign for East York Collegiate Institute at 650 Cosburn Avenue. Staff recommends approval of the application. The variances are minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

#### **Background Information**

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3722.pdf>)

TE6.31	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 28
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### **Ground Sign Encroachment - 33 Sherbourne Street**

(May 14, 2007) report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services  
Toronto and East York District

#### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. approve the request to replace and maintain the ground sign within the public right of way on the Front Street East flank of 33 Sherbourne Street, subject to the owners

entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:

- a. indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted and providing of an insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the Agreement in a form approved by the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and in the amount not less than \$2,000,000 or such greater amount as the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer may require;
  - b. maintain the ground sign at their own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
  - c. provide a certified cheque in the amount of \$2,500 to ensure that the public right of way is restored to the satisfaction of the General Manager of Transportation Services;
  - d. remove the ground sign upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so; and
  - e. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
2. direct Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services has assessed a request from the property owner of 33 Sherbourne Street to replace and maintain an existing ground sign fronting the property, within the public right of way. Given that the sign does not impact negatively on the public right of way, Transportation Services recommends approval of the replacement and ongoing maintenance of the ground sign.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3692.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3693.pdf>)

TE6.32	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 18, 19, 30
--------	--------	--	-----------	------------------

### **Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons With Disabilities - May 2007**

(May 14, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

#### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council approve the installation and removal of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities at various locations as identified in the attached Appendix A.

#### **Financial Impact**

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Division 2007 Operating Budget	\$900.

#### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose is to obtain approval for the installation/removal of a number of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities.

#### **Background Information**

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3687.pdf>)

TE6.33	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 18
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### **Traffic Calming - Brock Avenue**

(May 14, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

#### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends to Toronto and East York Community Council that traffic calming not be installed on Brock Avenue, between College Street and Bloor Street West.

#### **Financial Impact**

The adoption of the above-noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Toronto and East York Community Council decides speed humps on Brock Avenue would be beneficial, the following financial impact will result:

1. The estimated cost for installing eight speed humps would be \$24,000.00. Funds in the amount of \$750,000.00 have been allocated in the Transportation Services 2007 Capital Budget for traffic calming initiatives. Installing speed humps on Brock Avenue would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services staff have investigated installing traffic calming to address residents' concerns with the speed of traffic on Brock Avenue, between College Street and Bloor Street West. Our assessment indicates the speeds on Brock Avenue are already at a level to which traffic calming is designed to lower speeds. Therefore, speed humps should not be installed on Brock Avenue at this time.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3740.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Map

TE6.34	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 21
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

## Proposed Bicycle Lanes on Christie Street from St. Clair Avenue West to Dupont Street

(May 14, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Infrastructure Management, Transportation Services Division

## Recommendations

Transportation Services Division recommends that City Council:

1. approve the installation of bicycle lanes on both sides of Christie Street, from St. Clair Avenue West to Dupont Street, as detailed in Appendix A of this report;
2. approve the amendments to the traffic and parking regulations detailed in Appendix B of this report; and
3. authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

## Financial Impact

Funds to implement the bicycle lanes on Christie Street, in the estimated amount of \$48,000 are provided for within the Transportation Services Division 2007 Capital Budget in the Cycling Infrastructure Account CTP 807-05.

## Summary

Community Council does not have delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision because this report recommends amendments to on-street parking and stopping regulations on a road where there is an established T.T.C. route. The purpose of this report is to obtain authority to install bicycle lanes on Christie Street from St. Clair Avenue West to Dupont Street. The installation of bicycle lanes within the existing Christie Street pavement width will require the reduction from four traffic lanes to two traffic lanes. All existing permit

parking spaces will be maintained. South of Davenport Road where the pavement width is narrower, there will be a loss of parking on the west side of Christie Street, however, the existing demand for parking can be accommodated with the reduced number of parking spaces. The ward Councillors have been consulted and they support the proposed bike lanes on Christie Street.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3640.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Appendix A & B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3645.pdf>)

Attachment 2 - Map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3646.pdf>)

Attachment 3 - Map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3647.pdf>)

Attachment 4 - Map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3648.pdf>)

TE6.35	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 22
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Traffic Calming - Taunton Road

(May 9, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends to Toronto and East York Community Council that traffic calming not be installed on Taunton Road, between Soudan Avenue and Eglinton Avenue East.

## Financial Impact

The adoption of the above-noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Toronto and East York Community Council decides speed humps on Taunton Road would be beneficial, the following financial impact will result:

1. The estimated cost for installing three speed humps would be \$9,000.00. Funds in the amount of \$750,000.00 have been allocated in the Transportation Services 2007 Capital Budget for traffic calming initiatives. Installing speed humps on Taunton Road would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services staff have investigated installing traffic calming to address residents' concerns with the speed of traffic on Taunton Road, between Soudan Avenue and Eglinton Avenue East. Our assessment indicates that the speeds on Taunton Road are already at a level to which traffic calming is designed to lower speeds. Therefore, speed humps should not be installed on Taunton Road at this time.



## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3625.pdf>)

Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3626.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3627.pdf>)

Attachment 2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3628.pdf>)

**(Deferred from March 27, 2007 - 2007.TE4.65)**

<b>TE6.36</b>	<b>ACTION</b>		Delegated	Ward: 28
---------------	---------------	--	-----------	----------

## Speed Humps on Dermott Place, between Carlton Street and Spruce Street

(October 6, 2006) letter from City Clerk

## Recommendations

City Council, at its meeting on September 25, 26 and 27, 2006:

- (1) referred the report (September 22, 2006) from the General Manager of Transportation Services, regarding the installation of speed humps on Dermott Place, between Carlton Street and Spruce Street, to the first meeting of the Toronto East York Community Council in 2007;
- (2) approved “Stop” control for eastbound traffic on the public lane first south of Carlton Street at Dermott Place, opposite 13 Dermott Place; and
- (2) requested the appropriate City officials to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

## Summary

City Council referred the report (September 22, 2006) from the General Manager of Transportation Services, regarding the installation of speed humps on Dermott Place, between Carlton Street and Spruce Street, to the first meeting of the Toronto East York Community Council in 2007.

## Background Information

Letter from City Clerk

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3738.pdf>)

Clause 74 of Toronto and East York Community Council Report 7

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3739.pdf>)



TE6.37	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 30
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

### **Traffic - 40 km/h speed limit - Kiswick Street**

(May 14, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

#### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Council approve reduction of the maximum speed limit to 40 km/hour on Kiswick Street.

#### **Financial Impact**

Type of funding	Source of funding	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Division 2007 Operating Budget	\$ 300.00

#### **Summary**

Transportation Services is seeking City Council approval to lower the speed limit on Kiswick Street from 50 km/h to 40 km/h. A 40 km/h speed limit would promote a safer environment for pedestrians, specifically school children, and other road users.

#### **Background Information**

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3719.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3720.pdf>)

TE6.38	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 22
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

### **Parking Amendments Surrounding Eglinton Public School**

(May 11, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

#### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto City Council:

1. approve amending the existing pay-and-display parking operation on the west side of Brownlow Avenue, between Eglinton Avenue East and Soudan Avenue to operate from a point 156 metres south of Eglinton Avenue East to Soudan Avenue;
2. approve adjusting the existing no stopping at anytime prohibition on the west side of Brownlow Avenue, from 35.6 metres south of Eglinton Avenue East to a point 117.0 metres further south, to operate from Eglinton Avenue East to a point 156.0 metres further south;

3. approve rescinding the one-hour maximum parking regulation from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily on the west side of Brownlow Avenue; and
4. approve adjusting the existing no parking regulation from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., daily on the south side of Eglinton Avenue East, from Mount Pleasant Road to Brownlow Avenue, to read from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., daily.

### Financial Impact

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Toronto Transportation Services 2007 operating budget	\$500

### Summary

Transportation Services has been requested by Councillor Michael Walker to relocate the existing school bus loading zone on the east side of Brownlow Avenue, south of Eglinton Avenue East, serving Eglinton Public School, to the south side of Eglinton Avenue East, between Brownlow Avenue and Mount Pleasant Road. Eglinton Public School is bounded by Brownlow Avenue to the west, Eglinton Avenue East to the north and Mount Pleasant Road to the east. This change was requested by Eglinton Public School so that the existing student pick-up and drop-off area on Brownlow Avenue can be expanded. This action would create a school bus loading zone within the eastbound reserved transit lane, which operates during the weekday peak rush periods. The Toronto Transit Commission has expressed strong opposition to the proposal as it would cause delays to the six TTC bus routes operating on Eglinton Avenue East. Transportation Services is in support of the TTC's position. In addition, Transportation Services has been requested to remove the pay-and-display parking on west side of Brownlow Avenue, immediately south of Eglinton Avenue East, and replace it with No Stopping to ease congestion on Brownlow Avenue caused in part by student pick-up and drop-off activities. During the course of our investigation it was noted that there are conflicting parking regulations within Chapter 400 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. To correct this error, Recommendations Nos. 3 and 4, should be approved.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3707.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3708.pdf>)

TE6.39	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 28
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### Temporary Closure of Public Laneway - Rear of 501 Adelaide Street East and 288 King Street East (Convenience Address 286 King Street East)

(May 14, 2007) report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services  
Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council approve the following actions:

1. close the laneway abutting 501 Adelaide Street East and 288 King Street East, as shown on Appendix 'A' of this report, to vehicular traffic for a period of six months commencing June 1, 2007 and ending December 1, 2007;
2. restore and revert the laneway to its pre construction traffic operation in the event that the sale of the portion of the laneway abutting 501 Adelaide Street East and 288 King Street East is not complete by December 1, 2007;
3. waive the monthly rental fee for the area of public right of way enclosed to accommodate the development of 501 Adelaide Street East and 288 King Street East; and
4. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

## Financial Impact

Under the applicable provisions of Chapter 313 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, occupancy of the public highway lands is subject to fees in the amount of \$378.97 (2007) per month. Total revenues for the period of June 1, 2007 to December 1, 2007, the time which the lands are likely to remain as public highway prior to the enactment of a closing by-law, are estimated to be \$2,273.82 including GST. The proposed development of affordable rental apartments at 501 Adelaide Street East meets Council's exception policies for non-profit housing and it is exempt from planning fees, building permit fees, municipal development charges and parkland dedication requirements that would otherwise apply, therefore it may be appropriate to waive the fees associated with the occupation of the public right of way.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and concurs with the financial implications should Toronto and East York Community Council decide to waive the requisite fees.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services has assessed a request from the representative of the owner of 501 Adelaide Street East and 288 King Street East referred to as 286 King Street East (convenience address) to temporarily close the public lane at the rear of their properties to accommodate a construction staging area. This is to facilitate the demolition of 286 King Street East and the construction of a new affordable housing project on the lands including the portion of the public laneway that is requested to be temporarily closed. The process of formally closing and selling the subject laneway has been previously approved by Council, however, due to statutory requirements, it will not be feasible to complete the requisite steps for several months including enactment of a by-law. The process has been further delayed because of an error on the legal description of the lane that will necessitate a republishing of the

Notice of Sale in the newspaper. Accordingly, the requested temporary closure will enable work on the site to progress. This report is being submitted in conjunction with a report from the Chief Corporate Officer with respect to the sale of a portion of the public lane abutting 501 Adelaide Street East and 286 King Street East.

### Background Information

Attachment 1 - Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3700.pdf>)

Attachment 2 - Appendix B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3701.pdf>)

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3828.pdf>)

TE6.40	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 18
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### Naming of Public Lane - Bill Cameron Lane

(May 8, 2007) report from City Surveyor

### Recommendations

The City Surveyor recommends that:

- (1) the public lane located between Dovercourt Road and Rusholme Road, extending northerly from College Street to Hepbourne Street, be named "Bill Cameron Lane"; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of a naming by-law.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. This report recommends that the public lane located between Dovercourt Road and Rusholme Road, extending northerly from College Street to Hepbourne Street, be named "Bill Cameron Lane".

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3724.pdf>)

TE6.41	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 18
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

### Wallace Junction Community Improvement Project (CIP) Area By-law

(May 10, 2007) report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council adopt the draft Community Improvement Project Area By-law for the Employment Areas within the Wallace-Junction, shown on the map included in Attachment 1 to this report, and authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft By-law as may be required;
2. The Community Improvement Plan be developed as an incentive to maintain and grow employment in the area;
3. The Community Improvement Plan be coordinated with the financial incentive program to be developed as part of the Long-Term Employment Land Strategy as adopted by the Economic Development Committee on May 9, 2007;
4. City Council direct City staff to prepare a Community Improvement Plan and schedule community consultation meetings together with the Ward Councillor when appropriate; and
5. City Council authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to give effect thereto.

## Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report. Staff will report on the financial implications of the Community Improvement Plan when it is recommended to Council for approval.

## Summary

The purpose of this report is to: 1. Recommend the passing of a Community Improvement Project Area By-law for Employment Areas in the Wallace-Junction; and 2. Seek Council authorization for community consultation on a draft Community Improvement Plan for the area.

## Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3683.pdf>)

TE6.42	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 19, 20
--------	--------	--	---------------	--------------

## 2007 Steelback Grand Prix of Toronto Race – Temporary Traffic and Parking Regulations

(May 14, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that City Council approve:

1. that stopping be prohibited from 7:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. from July 6 to 8, 2007 inclusive, on both sides of Fleet Street, from Bathurst Street to Strachan Avenue, and on both sides of Strachan Avenue, from Lake Shore Boulevard West to King Street West, and be extended to include July 9, 2007, if necessary.
2. that a taxicab stand for ten taxis be established in the eastbound curb lane on the south side of Lake Shore Boulevard West, between a point 30 metres east of Strachan Avenue and a point 30 metres east of Fort York Boulevard, from July 6 to 8, 2007 inclusive, and be extended to include July 9, 2007, if necessary.

### **Financial Impact**

All costs associated with this event will be borne by the applicant, Grand Prix Association of Toronto.

### **Summary**

The purpose of this report is to obtain authority to amend certain traffic and parking regulations required in connection with the 2007 Steelback Grand Prix of Toronto race at Exhibition Place from July 6 to 8, 2007 (and July 9, 2007, if required.) The 2007 Steelback Grand Prix of Toronto race will use the same format as in previous years. In the event of a delay or cancellation of the Sunday event, the race will be held on Monday, July 9, 2007. Reports by staff of the municipal agencies, the Toronto Police Services and others indicate that previous races held annually since 1986 have been managed in a highly professional manner and have resulted in few public complaints. Transportation Services will again activate the telephone answering “hot line” service to receive current problems or complaints regarding the event. The “hot line” has been successfully tested from the 2001 through the 2006 event seasons, enabling staff to deal with complaints immediately for the greatest customer satisfaction. The 2007 race plans have been carefully reviewed and staff are satisfied that the race can be accommodated with minimum public disruption. The main focus of the plan is to encourage race patrons to rely on public transit for travel to and from race events.

### **Background Information**

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3753.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3754.pdf>)

TE6.43	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 27
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

### **Parking - Jarvis Street, South of Isabella Street – “Pay and Display Parking”**

(May 14, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Council:

1. amend the parking regulations on Jarvis Street as follows to enable installation of parking machines:
  - a. rescind the “1 Hour, 9:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., Monday to Friday” regulation on the west side of Jarvis Street, from Isabella Street to Wellesley Street East;
  - b. adjust the regulation authorizing the operation of parking machines between 9:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, and between 8:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. Saturday, for a maximum period of 2 hours at a rate of \$1.50 per hour on the west side of Jarvis Street, from Dundas Street East to Wellesley Street East, to apply from Dundas Street East to Isabella Street;
  - c. adjust the regulation authorizing the operation of parking machines between 6:30 p.m. and 9:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, for a maximum period of 2.5 hours, at a rate of \$1.50 per hour, on the west side of Jarvis Street, from Dundas Street East to Wellesley Street East, to apply from Dundas Street East to Isabella Street; and
  - d. adjust the regulation authorizing the operation of parking machines between 6:00 p.m. and 9:00 p.m., Saturday, and between 1:00 p.m. and 9:00 p.m. Sunday, for a maximum period of 3 hours, at a rate of \$1.50 per hour, on the west side of Jarvis Street, from Dundas Street East to Wellesley Street East, to apply from Dundas Street East to Isabella Street.

### Financial Impact

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	The Toronto Parking Authority 2007 capital reserve	\$45,000.00

### Summary

On behalf of the Toronto Parking Authority, Transportation Services is seeking approval for “Pay and Display” parking machines on the west side of Jarvis Street, between Isabella Street and Wellesley Street East. Approximately 30 parking spaces are provided on this section of Jarvis Street. The parking machines should promote turnover at parking spaces for visitors of nearby residents, offices or stores.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3713.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3714.pdf>)

<b>TE6.44</b>	<b>ACTION</b>		Delegated	Ward: 29
---------------	---------------	--	-----------	----------

### **Parking - Glebeholme Boulevard, East of Woodington Avenue**

(May 11, 2007) report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

#### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council adjust the “No Parking, from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.” regulation, on the south side of Glebeholme Boulevard, from a point 64 metres east to a point 128 metres east of Woodington Avenue, to indicate “No Parking, from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday.

#### **Financial Impact**

<b>Type of funding</b>	<b>Source of funds</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Available within current budget	Toronto Transportation Services 2007 operating budget.	\$500

#### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services is seeking authority to change the parking regulations on Glebeholme Boulevard at Earl Beatty Public School and Community Centre, east of Woodington Avenue. The existing pick-up/drop-off and Kiss ‘N Go zones should remain. However, a “No Parking” zone in effect everyday can be changed to allow for weekend parking. This will provide residents and visitors to the community centre with additional parking on weekends.

#### **Background Information**

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3709.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3710.pdf>)

<b>TE6.45</b>	<b>ACTION</b>		Transactional	Ward: 17
---------------	---------------	--	---------------	----------

### **Assumption of Roads and Services - 1403120 Ontario Ltd., Registered Plan of Subdivision 66M-2387 South of Turnberry Avenue, East of Union Street**

(May 14, 2007) report from Acting Director, Development Engineering, Toronto and East York District

#### **Recommendations**

It is recommended to City Council that:

1. the roads and municipal services installed for Registered Plan 66M-2387 be assumed



and that the City formally assume the roads within the Plan of Subdivision;

2. the Director, Development Engineering be authorized to release the performance guarantee;
3. an assumption By-law be prepared to assume the public highways and municipal services in Subdivision Plan 66M-2387;
4. the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to register the assumption By-law in the Land Registry Office, at the expense of the Owner; and
5. the City Clerk and Treasurer be authorized to sign any release or other documentation necessary to give effect thereto.

### Summary

This report requests Council's authority for the City to assume the services with respect to the above development.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3743.pdf>)

TE6.46	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 28
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

### Sale of a Portion of the Public Lane Abutting 286 King Street East and 501 Adelaide Street East

(May 1, 2007) letter from City Clerk

### Summary

Submitting a report (March 6, 2007) from the Chief Corporate Officer which was referred back to Toronto and East York Community Council by City Council on April 23 and 24, 2007 for further consideration.

### Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3688.pdf>)

Appendix A and B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3689.pdf>)

Letter from City Clerk

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3690.pdf>)

### Communications

(May 14, 2007) letter from Rick Pennycooke, Lakeshore Group - TEMain

<b>TE6.47</b>	<b>ACTION</b>		Delegated	Ward: 31
---------------	---------------	--	-----------	----------

### **Rename Main Street Parkette Stanley G. Grizzle Park**

(May 9, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Davis

#### **Recommendations**

It is recommended that the General Manager, Parks Forestry and Recreation report to the Toronto and East York Community Council on renaming the Main Street Parkette as Stanley G. Grizzle Park.

#### **Summary**

Renaming the Main Street Parkette the Stanley G. Grizzle Park provides the City of Toronto the opportunity to recognize the tremendous contributions of Stanley G. Grizzle to the City and share his inspiring story with residents of Ward 31, Beaches-East York and City-wide.

#### **Background Information**

Member Motion

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3827.pdf>)

<b>TE6.48</b>	<b>ACTION</b>		Delegated	Ward: 19, 20, 27, 28, 32
---------------	---------------	--	-----------	-----------------------------

### **The Beach, Mirvish Village, Old Queen Street, and Queens Quay Harbourfront Business Improvement Areas - Board of Management Additions and Deletions**

(May 2, 2007) report from General Manager, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism

#### **Recommendations**

The General Manager of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the deletions and additions to the Boards of Management of the Beach, Mirvish Village, Old Queen Street, and Queens Quay Harbourfront BIAs as set out in Attachment No. 1; and
2. Schedule A of the Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 19, Business Improvement Areas, be amended to reflect the changes to these BIA Boards of Management.

#### **Summary**

The staff report is about a matter over which the Community Council has the delegated authority to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to make changes to the Boards of Management of the Beach, Mirvish Village, Old Queen Street, and Queens Quay Harbourfront Business Improvement Areas (BIA).

#### **Background Information**

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3702.pdf>)

TE6.49	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 14
--------	--------	--	---------------	----------

## Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licence Purposes

(April 17, 2007) letter from Andrew Chomentowski, RVBIA

### Recommendations

That City Council, for liquor licence purposes, declare the Roncesvalles Polish Festival, taking place on September 15 and 16, 2007 on Roncesvalles Avenue between Queen Street West and Boutead Avenue, to be an event of community and/or municipal significance, and advise the AGCO that it has no objection to this festival taking place, and to establish being granted a liquor licence extension until 2:00 a.m.

### Summary

Seeking Council's endorsement of this event for liquor licence purposes

### Background Information

Letter

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-3766.pdf>)

TE6.Bills	ACTION		Delegated	
-----------	--------	--	-----------	--

### General Bills

#### Confirmatory Bill