

Toronto and East York Community Council

Meeting No.	9	Contact	Christine Archibald, Committee Administrator
Meeting Date	Tuesday, October 2, 2007	Phone	416-392-7033
Start Time	9:30 AM	E-mail	teycc@toronto.ca
Location	Committee Room 1, City Hall		

Attendance

Members of the Toronto and East York Community Council were present for some or all of the time period indicated under the section headed “Meeting Sessions”, which appears at the end of the Minutes.

Councillor Janet Davis, Chair	X
Councillor Adam Vaughan, Vice-Chair	X
Councillor Sandra Bussin	X
Councillor Paula Fletcher	X
Councillor Adam Giambrone	X
Councillor Pam McConnell	X
Councillor Joe Mihevc	X
Councillor Case Ootes	X
Deputy Mayor Joe Pantalone	X
Councillor Gord Perks	X
Councillor Kyle Rae	X
Councillor Michael Walker	X

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council confirmed the Minutes of its Meeting held on May 1, 2007 and May 29, 2007.

TE9.1	ACTION	Amended	Ward: 21
--------------	--------	---------	----------

Final Report - Approval of Official Plan Amendment and Draft Plan of Condominium Applications - 2 Ridelle Avenue

Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990

(September 13, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that City Council:

1. amend the Official Plan (application No. 07 107384 STE 21 OZ) substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment found in Attachment No. 6;
2. authorize Draft Approval of the Plan of Condominium (application No. 07 107385 STE 21 CD) for 2 Ridelle Avenue, prepared by Wm. E. Bennett on December 19, 2007, and Date Stamped March 22, 2007, subject to the conditions set forth in Attachment No. 7, and authorize the Chief Planner and Executive Director to permit such red line revisions as he may deem appropriate, and that draft plan approval not be issued until the necessary Bill is in full force and effect;
3. require the owner to fulfill the conditions of Draft Approval of Condominium set forth in Attachment No. 7, including the execution and satisfactory registration of any agreements deemed necessary by the City Solicitor, prior to the City's consent for final registration and authorize the City Solicitor to prepare any necessary agreements to secure the conditions, as the City Solicitor deems necessary;
4. authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and Conditions of Draft Approval of Condominium as may be required; and
5. authorize and direct City Officials to take necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Summary

Applications have been submitted to permit conversion of a 44-unit residential co-ownership building at 2 Ridelle Avenue to condominium.

This report reviews and recommends approval of the applications to amend the Official Plan and recommends approval of the Draft Plan of Condominium, subject to a number of conditions being met.

Speakers

Barnet Kussner, WeirFoulds LLP
Polly Ann Couture
Yola Edwards

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. amend the Official Plan (application No. 07 107384 STE 21 OZ) substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment found in Attachment No. 6;
2. amend Attachment 7: Conditions of Draft Plan of Condominium Approval, by revising Condition 12(a) to read:

- “12. (a) Provide and maintain a minimum of 16 single car spaces plus eight two-car tandem parking spaces on the site;” and

authorize Draft Approval of the Plan of Condominium (application No. 07 107385 STE 21 CD) for 2 Ridelle Avenue, prepared by Wm. E. Bennett on December 19, 2007, and Date Stamped March 22, 2007, subject to the conditions set forth in Attachment No. 7, as amended, and authorize the Chief Planner and Executive Director to permit such red line revisions as he may deem appropriate, and that draft plan approval not be issued until the necessary Bill is in full force and effect;

3. amend the Section titled “Parking and Access” in the body of the report (September 13, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District by deleting reference to “25 parking spaces”, and replacing with the words “24 parking spaces”;
4. require the owner to fulfill the conditions of Draft Approval of Condominium set forth in Attachment No. 7, as amended, including the execution and satisfactory registration of any agreements deemed necessary by the City Solicitor, prior to the City’s consent for final registration and authorize the City Solicitor to prepare any necessary agreements to secure the conditions, as the City Solicitor deems necessary;
5. authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and Conditions of Draft Approval of Condominium as may be required;
6. authorize and direct City Officials to take necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Toronto and East York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on October 2, 2007 and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7076.pdf>

TE9.2	ACTION	Without Recs		Ward: 22
-------	--------	--------------	--	----------

Residential Demolition Application - 609 Avenue Road

(September 13, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that City Council:

1. Approve the application to demolish the residential building at 609 Avenue Road and instruct the Chief Building Official to issue the demolition permit once a building permit has been issued for a temporary sales office, on the condition that:
 - a. Prior to the issuance of the demolition permit, the applicant submit a Designated Substance Report, a Dust Control Plan and other information, if any, required for review and approval by the Medical Officer of Health;
 - b. Prior to the issuance of the demolition permit, the applicant submit a Temporary Landscape Plan to the satisfaction of the Director of Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, showing the:
 - i. siting of the sales office
 - ii. location of temporary parking
 - iii. temporary landscaping
 - c. Prior to the issuance of the demolition permit, the applicant submit financial securities in the amount of 120% of the temporary landscaping estimate, as approved by the Director of Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, to guarantee provision of the temporary landscaping on site;
 - d. The Owner remove all debris and rubble from the site immediately after demolition;
 - e. The Owner erect a fence in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article III, if deemed appropriate by the Deputy Chief Building Official;
 - f. The Owner maintain the site free of garbage and weeds, in accordance with the Municipal Code Chapters 632-5 and 629-10, Paragraph B;
 - g. The Owner erect a replacement building on the site not later than three (3) years from the day demolition of the existing building is commenced;
 - h. The Owner backfill any holes on the property with clean fill; and
 - i. On the Owner's failure to complete the new building within the time specified in Condition 1(g), the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) dollars for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued.

Summary

The proposal is to demolish a six-storey equity co-operative apartment building pursuant to Section 33 of the Planning Act. The Ontario Municipal Board issued its decision, on August 16, 2007, to permit the construction of a 16-storey residential building on the site of a vacant

six-storey equity co-operative apartment building. The demolition of the existing six-storey building is required to construct the new residential building. Planning staff recommend the approval of the application to demolish the existing building, in advance of a building permit for a replacement building, subject to specified conditions.

Communications

(October 1, 2007) e-mail from Patrick Devine (TE.New.TE9.2.1)

Speakers

Paul Barron, Sherman Brown Dryer Karol

Committee Recommendations

The Toronto and East York Community Council submits this matter to City Council without Recommendation, and forwards the following motion to Council for its consideration:

“That the report (September 13, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District be adopted subject to amending Recommendation 1 by:

- a. deleting the words “temporary sales office” and replacing with the words “replaced apartment building of 16 stories as approved by the OMB”, so as to read:

- “1. Approve the application to demolish the residential building at 609 Avenue Road and instruct the Chief Building Official to issue the demolition permit once a building permit has been issued for a replaced apartment building of 16 stories as approved by the OMB, on the condition that:”; and

- b. deleting conditions (b) and (c) ”.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. requested the Medical Officer of Health to conduct an inspection of the site with respect to the ability of the building as a sales pavilion in view of statements from the applicant that mould is present and as referred to in the report (September 13, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District, and report directly to City Council on October 22, 2007 on the outcome of this inspection;
2. On motion by Councillor Mihevc, requested the applicant to submit the Consultants Study and other material to City Council for its meeting on October 22, 2007.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7165.pdf>)

TE9.3	ACTION	Amended		Ward: 30
-------	--------	---------	--	----------

Public Right-of-Way Improvements to Boston Avenue from Queen Street East to Dundas Street East (Rail Spur)

(June 12, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council approve the Comprehensive Plan as shown in Attachments 4a and 4b;
2. City Council direct staff to review development applications adjacent to the west side of Boston Avenue consistent with the recommended Comprehensive Plan discussed in the report and shown in Attachments 4a and 4b;
3. City Council direct staff to continue to negotiate a publicly accessible pedestrian easement over the Rail Spur, from Queen to Dundas Street East; and
4. City Council authorize staff to make substantially-in-accordance modifications to the approved Comprehensive Plan as may be required, when reviewing development application abutting the rail spur.

Summary

This report reviews and recommends approval of Right-of-Way Improvements for the west side of Boston Avenue and the adjacent Rail Spur between Dundas Street East and Queen Street East consistent with the attached Comprehensive Plan – Shifting Parking from East Side to the West Side of Boston Avenue (Attachments 4a and 4b). The Comprehensive Plan discussed below provides an opportunity to implement some of the recommendations of the Community Improvement Plan developed by the community, city staff and the local area Councillor in June 2000 (attachment 1). As this area experiences pressures for further conversions of older industrial buildings to live/work permissions, it is important to look at opportunities to improve the existing conditions in terms of parkland and publicly accessible amenity space.

Communications

(October 1, 2007) e-mail from Steve Nardi and Kevin Meloche (TE.New.TE9.3.1)

(October 2, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Fletcher (TE.Main)

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. amend the report (June 12, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District by:
 - a. deleting Attachment 2b and replacing it with an additional Attachment 2c;

- b. amending references to Attachments 4a and 4b in the Recommendations and replace with Attachments 2a and 2c; and

approve the Comprehensive Plan as shown in Attachment 2a and additional Attachment 2c of this report;

2. direct staff to review development applications adjacent to the west side of Boston Avenue consistent with the recommended Comprehensive Plan discussed in the report and shown in Attachments 2a and 2c;
3. direct staff to continue to negotiate a publicly accessible pedestrian easement over the Rail Spur, from Queen to Dundas Street East;
4. authorize staff to make substantially-in-accordance modifications to the approved Comprehensive Plan as may be required, when reviewing development application abutting the rail spur; and
5. request Toronto Hydro to investigate the feasibility of adding street lighting on the west side of Boston Avenue.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7125.pdf>

Attachment - Additional 2C

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7348.pdf>

TE9.4	ACTION	Deferred		Ward: 27
-------	--------	----------	--	----------

Deferral of Ontario Realty Corporation Barrier-Free Access Project - Osgoode Hall, 130 Queen Street West

(August 20, 2007) Report from Director Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Authority be granted by City Council for the execution of a Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with the owners of the property, Osgoode Hall, at 130 Queen Street West;
2. Council request that the Ontario Realty Corporation defer the approval of the Barrier-Free Access Project Number R40090 for Osgoode Hall, 130 Queen Street West, until such time as provincial standards and guidelines are developed for the provision of barrier-free access to heritage buildings;
3. Council request the Ministry of Community and Social Services to include a

representative from Heritage Preservation Services, City Planning Division on the committee struck to develop standards and guidelines for the provision of barrier-free access to heritage buildings under the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act 2005 (AODA).

Summary

Staff of Heritage Preservation Services, (HPS) have been working with representatives of the Ontario Realty Corporation (ORC) on the introduction of barrier-free access to the front entrance of Osgoode Hall, and consider it premature to proceed with implementation at this time. Provincial standards and guidelines have not been developed for the provision of barrier-free access to heritage buildings.

Osgoode Hall, home to the Supreme Court of Ontario and the Law Society of Upper Canada and one of the most significant and beautiful heritage buildings in Canada is a well-known and well-loved heritage landmark in Toronto, located next to City Hall. The ORC plans to proceed this fall with construction of a barrier-free entrance at the front of Osgoode Hall. This alteration will have a serious negative impact on the heritage value of Osgoode and is proceeding in advance of the development of standards and guidelines under the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA). HPS is requesting deferral of this project until provincial guidelines are developed. In the meantime HPS will continue working with the ORC to find alternatives, and is recommending that the current accessible entryways to Osgoode be improved.

Communications

(October 1, 2007) letter from Peggy Mooney, Executive Director, Heritage Toronto (TE.New.TE9.4.1)

Speakers

The Ontario Realty Corporation:
Nik Chatterjee – Asset Manager
Frank Dieterman – Heritage Manager
Heather Elston – Accessibility Planner
Jill Taylor – Taylor Hazell Architects

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council deferred consideration of the report (August 20, 2007) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division to its meeting on April 8, 2008.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7150.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Location Map

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7151.pdf>)

Attachment 2 - Current Barrier Routes

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7152.pdf>)

Attachment 3 - Photos of Osgoode Hall

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7153.pdf>)

Attachment 4 - Proposed Plans

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7154.pdf>)

Attachment 5 - Elevation and Photo

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7155.pdf>)

Attachment 6 - Section Details

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7156.pdf>)

4a Deferral of Ontario Realty Corporation Barrier-Free Access Project R40090 - Osgoode Hall, 130 Queen Street West, (All Wards) (A)

(September 14, 2007) Letter from Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendations

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that:

1. authority be granted by City Council for the execution of a Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with the owners of the property, Osgoode Hall, at 130 Queen Street West;
2. Council request that the Ontario Realty Corporation defer the approval of the Barrier-Free Access Project Number R40090 for Osgoode Hall, 130 Queen Street West, until such time as provincial standards and guidelines are developed for the provision of barrier-free access to heritage buildings; and
3. Council request the Ministry of Community and Social Services to include a representative from Heritage Preservation Services, City Planning Division on the committee struck to develop standards and guidelines for the provision of barrier-free access to heritage buildings under the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act 2005 (AODA).

Summary

For consideration with the report (August 20, 2007) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Links to Background Information

Letter from Toronto Preservation Board

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7169.pdf>)

TE9.5	ACTION	Received	Delegated	Ward: 20
--------------	---------------	----------	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 359 Davenport Road

(September 6, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to maintain, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the north elevation of the building at 359 Davenport Road.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by David Mackie of Titan Outdoor on behalf of 1420110 Ontario Ltd. for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign located on the north elevation of the building at 359 Davenport Road.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Communications

(September 24, 2007) e-mail from David Mackie, Titan Worldwide (TE.Main.TE9.5.1)

(September 29, 2007) e-mail from Sara Lipson (TE.Main.TE9.5.2)

(October 1, 2007) letter from Rami Tabello (TE.Main.TE9.5.3)

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from Scott Gavura (TE.Main.TE9.5.4)

(October 26, 2007) e-mail from Adriana Beemans (TE.Main.TE9.5.5)

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from Alison Gorbould (TE.Main.TE9.5.6)

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Vaughan, the Toronto and East York Community Council received the report (September 6, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District as the applicant has withdrawn the application.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7034.pdf>

TE9.6	ACTION	Received	Delegated	Ward: 20
-------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 539 King Street West

(August 1, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the east elevation

of the building at 539 King Street West.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Keith Perkin on behalf of Seniority Investments for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the east elevation of the building at 539 King Street West.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Communications

(September 7, 2007) e-mail from Alison Gorbould (TE.Main.TE9.6.1)

(September 7, 2007) e-mail from Michael Greco (TE.Main.TE9.6.2)

(September 29, 2007) e-mail from Sara Lipson (TE.Main.TE9.6.3)

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from Juliet Palmer (TE.Main.TE9.6.4)

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from Scott Gavura (TE.Main.TE9.6.5)

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from Alison Gorbould (TE.Main.TE9.6.6)

(September 9, 2007) e-mail from Raj M. Bharati (TE.Main.TE9.6.7)

(September 7, 2007) e-mail from Anna Rytel (TE.Main.TE9.6.8)

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Vaughan, the Toronto and East York Community Council received the report (August 1, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District as the applicant has withdrawn the application.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7100.pdf>)

TE9.7	ACTION	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 22
-------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 1982 Yonge Street

(September 10, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for identification purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the front elevation of the building at 1982 Yonge Street.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

To review and make recommendations on a request by Terry Kaufman on behalf of Jaks Construction for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the front elevation of the building at 1982 Yonge Street.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The requested variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Communications

(September 29, 2007) e-mail from Sara Lipson (TE.Main.TE9.7.1)

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from Scott Gavura (TE.Main.TE9.7.2)

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from Alison Gorbould (TE.Main.TE9.7.3)

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from Adriana Beemans (TE.Main.TE9.7.4)

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from Juliet Palmer (TE.Main.TE9.7.5)

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council approved the request for variances to permit, for identification purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the front elevation of the building at 1982 Yonge Street for a period of 18 months.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7031.pdf>

TE9.8	ACTION	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 22
-------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 1670 Bayview Avenue

(September 5, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 1670 Bayview Avenue.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Leslie Abro of Abcon Media on behalf of Northam Realty Advisors Ltd. for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs,

of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 1670 Bayview Avenue.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Communications

- (September 29, 2007) e-mail from Sara Lipson (TE.Main.TE9.8.1)
- (September 26, 2007) e-mail from Scott Gavura (TE.Main.TE9.8.2)
- (September 26, 2007) e-mail from Adriana Beemans (TE.Main.TE9.8.3)
- (September 26, 2007) e-mail from Alison Gorbould (TE.Main.TE9.8.4)
- (September 26, 2007) e-mail from Juliet Palmer (TE.Main.TE9.8.5)

Speakers

Rami Tabetto, Illegal Signs
John McMulkin, Abcon Outdoor Inc.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. refused the revised application as outlined in the supplementary report (October 1, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District; and
2. requested the Director, Investigations, Municipal Licensing and Standards to report to the October 30, 2007 meeting of the Toronto and East York Community Council on the status of enforcement of illegal signs currently identified by Municipal Licensing and Standards within the Toronto and East York Community Council area.

The following motion was placed and withdrawn by Councillor Walker:

“The Toronto and East York Community Council adopt the supplementary report (October 1, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District.”

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7066.pdf>

8a Sign Variance - 1670 Bayview Avenue

(October 1, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the request for a variance to

permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non- illuminated fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 1670 Bayview Avenue; and

2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk’s Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. This report replaces the staff report dated September 5, 2007. This report reviews and makes recommendations based on information from Leslie Abro of Abcon Media on behalf of Northam Realty Advisors Ltd. and additional information from Toronto Building. The request is for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 1670 Bayview Avenue. Staff recommends approval of this application. The variance is minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7342.pdf>)

TE9.9	ACTION	Received	Delegated	Ward: 27
-------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 481 Church Street

(September 6, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the requested variances to maintain, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the south elevation of the building, at 481 Church Street.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by David Mackie on behalf of Yoannov Holdings and Lepidas Holdings for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 481 Church Street.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Communications

(September 24, 2007) e-mail from David Mackie, Titan Worldwide (TE.Main.TE9.9.1)
 (September 29, 2007) e-mail from Sara Lipson (TE.Main.TE9.9.2)
 (October 26, 2007) e-mail from Scott Gavura (TE.Main.TE9.9.3)
 (September 26, 2007) e-mail from Juliet Palmer (TE.Main.TE9.9.4)
 (September 26, 2007) e-mail from Adriana Beemans (TE.Main.TE9.9.5)
 (September 26, 2007) e-mail from Alison Gorbould (TE.Main.TE9.9.6)

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council received the report (September 6, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District as the applicant has withdrawn the application.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7067.pdf>

TE9.10	ACTION	Received	Delegated	Ward: 27
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 615-617 Yonge Street

(September 6, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the north elevation of the building at 615-617 Yonge Street.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Alex Byod of Titan Worldwide on behalf of Oberon Development Corporation for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the north elevation of the building at 615-617 Yonge Street.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Communications

(September 24, 2007) e-mail from David Mackie, Titan Worldwide (TE.Main.TE9.10.1)
 (September 26, 2007) e-mail from Scott Gavura (TE.Main.TE9.10.2)
 (September 26, 2007) e-mail from Juliet Palmer (TE.Main.TE9.10.3)

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from Adriana Beemans (TE.Main.TE9.10.4)

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council received the report (September 6, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District as the applicant has withdrawn the application.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7078.pdf>

TE9.11	ACTION	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 27
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 133 Bloor Street East (650 Church Street)

(September 6, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, two illuminated ground signs and three illuminated fascia signs at 133 Bloor Street East (650 Church Street).

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Alex Boyd of Titan Worldwide on behalf of Salvation Army for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, two illuminated ground signs and three illuminated fascia signs at 133 Bloor Street East (650 Church Street).

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Communications

(September 29, 2007) e-mail from Sara Lipson (TE.Main.TE9.11.1)

(September 27, 2007) letter from Ron Palmer (TE.Main.TE9.11.2)

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from Scott Gavura (TE.Main.TE9.11.3)

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from Juliet Palmer (TE.Main.TE9.11.4)

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from Adriana Beemans (TE.Main.TE9.11.5)

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from Alison Gorbould (TE.Main.TE9.11.6)

Speakers

Rami Tabetlo

Mildred Jarvis, President & CEO, The Salvation Army, Toronto Grace Health Centre
Lisa McKeon, Director of Procurement Services, The Salvation Army, Toronto Grace Health Centre
Brian Mackie, Vice President, Finance & Support Services, The Salvation Army, Toronto Grace Health Centre

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council refused the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, the sign facing south on Church Street, being the 20ft by 50 ft sign shown on Attachment 1 of the report (September 6, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District and approved the remaining requests for sign variances at 133 Bloor Street East (650 Church Street).

The following motion by Councillor Perks was not put:

“That Community Council adopt the supplementary report (October 1, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District to refuse the sign application.”

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7075.pdf>

11a Sign Variance - 133 Bloor Street East (650 Church Street)

(October 1, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, two illuminated ground signs and three non-illuminated fascia signs at 133 Bloor Street East (650 Church Street).

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. This revised report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Alex Boyd of Titan Worldwide on behalf of Salvation Army for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, two illuminated ground signs and three non-illuminated fascia signs at 133 Bloor Street East (650 Church Street). There is no change in the recommendation. The only change is that the applicant has confirmed the proposed fascia signs are non-illuminated. Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7341.pdf>

TE9.12	ACTION	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 30
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 11 Sunlight Park Road

(August 2, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for advertising BMW products, an illuminated tri-vision fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 11 Sunlight Park Road.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Dominic Rotundo on behalf of Toronto BMW Inc. for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for advertising BMW products, an illuminated tri-vision fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 11 Sunlight Park Road. The sign is 18.29m wide and 12.19m high with an area of 222.96m².

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Communications

- (September 7, 2007) e-mail from Michael Greco (TE.Main.TE9.12.1)
- (September 9, 2007) e-mail from Raj M. Bharati (TE.Main.TE9.12.2)
- (September 14, 2007) e-mail from Anna Rytel (TE.Main.TE9.12.3)
- (September 7, 2007) e-mail from Alison Gorbould (TE.Main.TE9.12.4)
- (September 29, 2007) e-mail from Sara Lipson (TE.Main.TE9.12.5)
- (September 26, 2007) e-mail from Scott Gavura (TE.Main.TE9.12.6)
- (September 26, 2007) e-mail from Adriana Beemans (TE.Main.TE9.12.7)
- (September 26, 2007) e-mail from Alison Gorbould (TE.Main.TE9.12.8)
- (September 26, 2007) e-mail from Juliet Palmer (TE.Main.TE9.12.9)

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council refused the request for variances to permit, for advertising BMW products, an illuminated tri-vision fascia sign on the south elevation of the building at 11 Sunlight Park Road.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7092.pdf>

TE9.13	ACTION	Received	Delegated	Ward: 27
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 819 Yonge Street

(September 6, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated fascia sign on the north elevation of the building at 819 Yonge Street.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Alex Byod of Titan Worldwide on behalf of John and Pat Mobilos for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated fascia sign on the north elevation of the building at 819 Yonge Street.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Communications

- (September 24, 2007) e-mail from David Mackie, Titan Worldwide (TE.Main.TE9.13.1)
- (September 29, 2007) e-mail from Sara Lipson (TE.Main.TE9.13.2)
- (September 27, 2007) letter from Ron Palmer (TE.Main.TE9.13.3)
- (September 26, 2007) e-mail from Juliet Palmer (TE.Main.TE9.13.4)
- (September 27, 2007) e-mail from Gee Chung (TE.Main.TE9.13.5)
- (September 26, 2007) e-mail from Alison Gorbould (TE.Main.TE9.13.6)

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council received the report (September 6, 2007) from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District as the applicant has withdrawn the application.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7077.pdf>

TE9.14	ACTION	Deferred	Delegated	Ward: 20
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

Residential Demolition Application - 54 Harbord Street

(August 23, 2007) Report from Acting Director, Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

1. That Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the application to demolish the subject residential building because there is no building permit for a replacement building on the site; in the alternative,
2. Approve the application to demolish the subject residential buildings without conditions; or,
3. Approve the application to demolish the subject residential building with the following conditions:
 - a. Any reasonable conditions which have regard to the nature of the residential property, including the preservation of significant natural features and requiring the erection and maintenance of structures and enclosures.

Summary

In accordance with city-wide residential demolition control under Article II, Demolition Control, of Municipal Code Ch. 363, as amended by By-law No.1009-2006, enacted by the City Council on September 27, 2006 under the authority of section 33 of the Planning Act, I refer the demolition application for 54 Harbord Street to you, to whether to grant or refuse the application, including any conditions, if any, to be attached to the permit.

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Communications

(September 7, 2007) letter from Susan Dexter, Harbord Village Residents' Association (TE.Main.TE9.14.1)

(September 8, 2007) letter from David Powell, Huron-Sussex Residents' Organization (TE.Main.TE9.14.2)

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Vaughan, the Toronto and East York Community Council deferred consideration of the report (August 23, 2007) from the Acting Director, Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District to its next meeting on October 30, 2007.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7101.pdf>

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7102.pdf>)

TE9.15	ACTION	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 14
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Carport and Fence - 155 Sunnyside Avenue

(September 17, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. approve the request to construct a carport, a portion of which will encroach approximately 1.83 m together with the maintenance of a 1.8 m high close board fence within the public right of way on Garden Avenue flank of 155 Sunnyside Avenue, subject to the owners entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - a. indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages, charges and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
 - b. modify the portion of the fence that abuts the driveway by installing a 45 degree angle splay from a point 1.8 m parallel and perpendicular to the driveway;
 - c. maintain the carport and the board fence at their own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
 - d. obtain approval for associated work on private property from the Toronto Building Division;
 - e. remove the encroachments upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so;
 - f. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
2. direct Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to a new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City

Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed a request from the owners of 155 Sunnyside Avenue to demolish an existing garage and construct a carport on the Garden Avenue flank, which will encroach approximately 1.83 m onto the public right of way. Of note, during the course of our review, Transportation Services has also determined that a 1.8 m high close board fence has been constructed within the public right of way of Garden Avenue without the benefit of a permit and the requisite encroachment agreement. Of note, the fence has been constructed adjacent to the private driveway entrance.

Given that these encroachments will not impact negatively on the public right of way, providing that the owners modify the existing fence that abuts the driveway entrance by providing a vision splay, Transportation Services recommends approval of the encroachments.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Perks, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. approved the request to construct a carport, a portion of which will encroach approximately 1.83 m together with the maintenance of a 1.8 m high close board fence within the public right of way on Garden Avenue flank of 155 Sunnyside Avenue, subject to the owners entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - a. indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages, charges and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
 - b. modify the portion of the fence that abuts the driveway by installing a 45 degree angle splay from a point 1.8 m parallel and perpendicular to the driveway;
 - c. maintain the carport and the board fence at their own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
 - d. obtain approval for associated work on private property from the Toronto Building Division;
 - e. remove the encroachments upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so;
 - f. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
2. directed Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to a new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7128.pdf>)

Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7129.pdf>)

TE9.16	ACTION	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 22
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Request for a Fence Exemption - 16 Browside Avenue

(September 14, 2007) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council not grant the fence exemption

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to consider a request by the owner(s) of 16 Browside Avenue to be exempted from Chapter 447 – Fences, Section 447-2(B). This section states that the maximum height of a fence in the rear yard cannot exceed 2 metres, (6 feet, 6 inches).

The existing wood/ lattice fence is located in the rear yard of 16 Browside Avenue and consists of 3 sections of fence that are not in compliance with the Bylaw

Section 1 West side: 3.7 metres (12 foot 4 inches) in height and 12.5 metres (41 feet) in length
 Section 2 North side: 3.4 metres (11 feet) in height and 4.8 metres (16 feet) in length
 Section 3 South side: 3.4 metres (11 feet) in height and 4.8 metres (16 feet) in length

Photographs have been included in this report (Attachments 1 – 4).

Speakers

Ilana Markowitz, applicant

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council granted the request for exemption of the fence as it existed at 16 Browside Avenue on the date of the application subject to conditions that:

1. approval only be for the period in which the applicant resides at this property;
2. the owners remove the fence upon ceasing occupancy; and
3. the applicant advise Municipal Licensing and Standards when they propose to vacate

the property.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7119.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7216.pdf>)

Attachment 2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7217.pdf>)

Attachment 3

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7218.pdf>)

Attachment 4

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7219.pdf>)

TE9.17	ACTION	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 22
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Request for a Fence Exemption - 6 Clarendon Crescent

(September 14, 2007) Report from Municipal Licensing and Standards Division, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing & Standards recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council not grant the proposed fence exemption.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The applicant, being the solicitor for the owners of 6 Clarendon Crescent, is seeking approval for a fence exemption to the provisions of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 Fences, Section 447-2(B), to permit a proposed wrought iron metal fence construction to provide security.

The proposed construction of the front yard fence consists of three, (3) portions measuring approximately 21.85 metres (71.68 feet) in length along the south property line, 18.72 metres (61.4 feet) portion of the proposed 37.30 metres (122.4 feet) length along the east property line and 12.4 metres (41.4 feet) along the west property line on the private property portion of the front yard of 6 Clarendon Crescent.

The proposed fence is open construction wrought iron, 2 metres, (6 feet, 6 inches) in height whereas the by-law restricts the maximum height of a front yard fence to 1.2 metres (4 feet). Attachment 1 shows an artists rendering of the proposed fence, Attachment 2 shows photographs of neighbouring properties with similar fences as proposed for 6 Clarendon Crescent which is shown in Attachment 3 pictures.

Communications

(October 2, 2007) Submission from Rodney Northey (TE.Main)

Speakers

Rodney Northey, Birchall Northey LLP

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council granted the proposed fence exemption for 6 Clarendon Crescent.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7099.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7180.pdf>)

Attachment 2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7220.pdf>)

Attachment 3a

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7221.pdf>)

Attachment 3b

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7222.pdf>)

Attachment 3c

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7223.pdf>)

17a Request for a Fence Exemption - 6 Clarendon Crescent - Revised Page 1**Summary**

Revised Page 1 of staff report

Links to Background Information

Revised Page 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7265.pdf>)

TE9.18	ACTION	Deferred	Delegated	Ward: 28
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

Request for a fence exemption to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447-Fences at 55 Harbour Square

(September 17, 2007) Report from Municipal Licensing and Standards Division, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council not grant the fence exemption.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The applicant, being the Landscape Architect for

the condominium complex at 55 Harbour Square is seeking exemption to the provisions of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 Fences, Section 447-2(B), to permit the construction of two, (2) pillars as an architectural treatment which will provide stability to a proposed fence construction. Both pillars, pillar 'A' on the on the west side of the driveway entrance and pillar 'B' on the east side of the driveway entrance are wrought iron of open construction as shown in attachments 1a, b & c & 2a, b & c. Attachment 3. provides photographs of the proposed site of the fence and pillars.

The Fence by-law provides that an open fence construction within 8 feet, (2.4 metres) of the front lot line not exceed a height of 4 feet, (1.2 metres). The proposed pillars are set back 6 feet 6 inches, (1.98 metres) from the front lot line which abuts the public sidewalk and exemption is being requested to permit them to be 6 feet, (1.83 metres) in height. The remainder of the proposed fence is in accordance with the by-law provisions.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council deferred consideration of the report (September 17, 2007) from the Municipal Licensing and Standards Division, Toronto and East York District to its next meeting on October 30, 2007.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7146.pdf>

Attachment 1a

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7230.pdf>

Attachment 1b

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7231.pdf>

Attachment 1c

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7232.pdf>

Attachment 2a

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7233.pdf>

Attachment 2b

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7234.pdf>

Attachment 2c

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7235.pdf>

Attachment 3

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7236.pdf>

TE9.19	ACTION	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 30
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Maintenance of a Shed, Privacy Fence & Retaining Wall - 73 Monarch Park Avenue

(September 17, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. approve the maintenance of the storage shed and wooden privacy fence and concrete block retaining wall on the Mountjoy Avenue flank of 73 Monarch Park Avenue, subject to the owner providing a vision splay adjacent to the driveway on the Mountjoy Avenue flank and entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - a. maintain the storage shed and privacy fence and retaining wall at his/her own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
 - b. remove the storage shed, privacy fence and retaining wall upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so; and
 - c. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
2. direct Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 73 Monarch Park Avenue for the maintenance of a wooden privacy fence surmounted on a concrete block retaining wall measuring an overall height of 2.4 m to 2.5 m together with a storage shed within portions of the public right of way on the Mountjoy Avenue flank of 73 Monarch Park Avenue.

Although the fence and retaining wall exceed the allowable height under the Municipal Code, they do not impact negatively on the public right of way, subject to the property owner modifies the fence adjacent to the private driveway servicing 73 Monarch Park Avenue by providing a vision splay. Therefore, Transportation Services recommends approval of the fence and block wall together with the storage shed.

The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. approved the maintenance of the storage shed and wooden privacy fence and concrete block retaining wall on the Mountjoy Avenue flank of 73 Monarch Park Avenue, subject to the owner providing a vision splay adjacent to the driveway on the Mountjoy Avenue flank and entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:

- a. maintain the storage shed and privacy fence and retaining wall at his/her own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
 - b. remove the storage shed, privacy fence and retaining wall upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so; and
 - c. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
2. directed Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7062.pdf>

Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7063.pdf>

TE9.20	ACTION	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 14
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Driveway Widening Appeal for a Second Vehicle - 93 Tyndall Avenue

(August 16, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services
Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council deny the request for driveway widening for a second vehicle at 93 Tyndall Avenue.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 93 Tyndall Avenue for the parking of a second vehicle at this location. We do not recommend approval for the parking of a second vehicle at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Perks, the Toronto and East York Community Council denied the

request for driveway widening for a second vehicle at 93 Tyndall Avenue.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7110.pdf>)

Appendix A - sketch

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7111.pdf>)

Appendix B - property data map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7112.pdf>)

Appendix C - photos

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7113.pdf>)

TE9.21	ACTION	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 14
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Driveway Widening Appeal for a Second Vehicle - 95 Tyndall Avenue

(August 16, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council deny the request for driveway widening for a second vehicle at 95 Tyndall Avenue.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 95 Tyndall Avenue for the parking of a second vehicle at this location. We do not recommend approval for the parking of a second vehicle at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Perks, the Toronto and East York Community Council denied the request for driveway widening for a second vehicle at 95 Tyndall Avenue.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7109.pdf>)

Appendix A - sketch

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7106.pdf>)

Appendix B - property data map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7107.pdf>)

Appendix C - photos

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7108.pdf>)

TE9.22	ACTION	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 14
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Front Yard Parking Poll Results - 100 Glendale Avenue

(September 10, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council deny the request for front yard parking at 100 Glendale Avenue.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services reports that the results of the poll to determine support for front yard parking at 100 Glendale Avenue are not in favour. We do not recommend approval of the front yard parking at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

Communications

(September 28, 2007) e-mail from Alison Jessamine (TE.Main.TE9.22.1)

Speakers

Mary Alberti, applicant

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Perks, the Toronto and East York Community Council denied the request for front yard parking at 100 Glendale Avenue.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7045.pdf>

Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7046.pdf>

Appendix B

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7047.pdf>

Appendix C

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7048.pdf>

Appendix D

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7049.pdf>

TE9.23	ACTION	Deferred	Delegated	Ward: 21
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

Driveway Widening Appeal - 10 Glenayr Road

(August 17, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services
Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. deny the request for driveway widening at 10 Glenayr Road;
2. request that the owner:
 - a. remove the excess brick paving and restore the boulevard to soft landscaping, as shown on Appendix 'D'; and
 - b. install a permanent physical barrier to separate the driveway and the walkway;
3. request that the owner pay \$406.44 for the removal of the unauthorized ramp and reinstate the proper curb.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 10 Glenayr Road for driveway widening. We do not recommend approval of driveway widening at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Mihevc, the Toronto and East York Community Council deferred consideration of the report (August 17, 2007) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District to its next meeting on October 30, 2007.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7120.pdf>

Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7121.pdf>

Appendix B

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7123.pdf>

Appendix C

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7122.pdf>

Appendix D

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7124.pdf>

TE9.24	ACTION	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 22
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Asphalt Paving Appeal - 55 Duncannon Drive

(September 17, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. deny the request to maintain the existing asphalt paving for the approved driveway widening application at 55 Duncannon Drive; and
2. request that the owner remove the existing asphalt paving and repave the area using semi-permeable materials.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 55 Duncannon Drive to maintain the existing asphalt paving. We do not recommend approval to maintain the existing asphalt paving at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

Speakers

Alicia Grynypas, applicant

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. granted the appeal to maintain the existing asphalt paving at 55 Duncannon Drive for a period of 2 years, and directed that:
 - a. the existing asphalt paving for the driveway widening be approved;
 - b. the applicant disconnect the downspout in accordance with the requirements of the Downspout Disconnection Program;
 - c. the applicant provide the landscape features substantially in accordance with the plan as shown on Appendix 'D', to the satisfaction of the General Manager of Transportation Services; and
 - d. the applicant pay all applicable fees and comply with all other criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licenses.

2. directed that this matter be brought back to the November 2009 meeting of the Toronto and East York Community Council for re- consideration.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7051.pdf>)

Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7052.pdf>)

Appendix B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7053.pdf>)

Appendix C

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7054.pdf>)

Appendix D

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7055.pdf>)

TE9.25	ACTION	Received	Delegated	Ward: 22
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

Front Yard Parking Appeal - 82 Duggan Avenue

(August 23, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. deny the request for front yard parking at 82 Duggan Avenue; and
2. request that the owner remove the existing paving and restore the area to soft landscaping.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 82 Duggan Avenue for front yard parking. We do not recommend approval for front yard parking at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

Communications

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from C.P. Slater (TE.Main.TE9.25.1)

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council received this matter as the permit has now been issued for this appeal.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7115.pdf>)

Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7114.pdf>)

Appendix B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7116.pdf>)

Appendix C

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7117.pdf>)

Appendix D

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7118.pdf>)

TE9.26	ACTION	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 22
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Driveway Widening Appeal for a Second Vehicle -107 Soudan Avenue

(September 17, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council deny the request for driveway widening for a second vehicle at 107 Soudan Avenue.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 107 Soudan Avenue for the parking of a second vehicle at this location. We do not recommend approval for the parking of a second vehicle at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Walker, that Toronto and East York Community Council granted the appeal for driveway widening for a second vehicle at 107 Soudan Avenue, and directed that.

1. the parking area for the second parking space not exceed 2.2 m by 5.3 m in dimension;
2. the owner remove the existing concrete paving and re-pave the parking area with semi-permeable paving materials;
3. the applicant pay for the installation of the ramp to service the parking space;
4. the applicant provide the landscape features substantially in accordance with the plan as shown on Appendix 'D' of the report (September 17, 2007) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District, to the

satisfaction of the General Manager of Transportation Services; and

5. the applicant pay all applicable fees and comply with all other criteria set out in the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences.

The following motion was placed by Councillor Perks and lost:

“That Toronto and East York Community Council deny the request for driveway widening for a second vehicle at 107 Soudan Avenue.”

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7056.pdf>

Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7057.pdf>

Appendix B

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7058.pdf>

Appendix C

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7059.pdf>

Appendix D

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7060.pdf>

TE9.27	ACTION	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 31
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Revocation of a Sidewalk/Boulevard Vending Permit located at the East Side of Coxwell Avenue, 20.12m north of Cosburn Avenue

(August 23, 2007) Report from Manager, Licensing Services, Municipal Licensing & Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that the Toronto and East York Community Council to revoke the existing vending permit location #200125.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Toronto East York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. To report on a directive from Toronto and East York Community Council at its meeting held on June 26, 2007 that the Manager, Licensing Services, Municipal Licensing and Standards issue a permit for the existing vending location until such time as an alternative location is found.

Speakers

George Konstantinos, applicant's son

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Davis, with Councillor Vaughan in the Chair, the Toronto and East York Community Council authorized City staff to:

1. revoke the existing vending permit location #200125 upon the issuance of a vending permit to this vendor at another location, or on November 1, 2007, whichever is sooner; and
2. waive the fees for one additional vending permit application from the vendor.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7104.pdf>)

Attachment - Letter from Municipal Licensing and Standards, Licensing Services and Refusal Letter

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7105.pdf>)

TE9.28	ACTION	Amended		Ward: 32
--------	--------	---------	--	----------

Preliminary Report - Zoning and Site Plan Applications - 763-765 Woodbine Avenue & 1945-1955 Gerrard Street East

(September 7, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Summary

This application was made on or after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

This application proposes to construct a 7 storey mixed use building containing 36 residential units with commercial units at grade at 763-765 Woodbine Avenue and 1945-1955 Gerrard Street East.

This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted applications and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process.

This application has been circulated to City departments and external agencies, where appropriate, for comment. Staff will hold a community consultation meeting in the fall of 2007. The target date assumes that applicant will provide all required information in a timely manner.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. directed City Planning staff to schedule and give notice for a community consultation meeting in consultation with the Ward Councillor and that any additional costs incurred for expanding the notification area for this meeting be paid for by the applicant.
2. directed City Clerk's staff to give notice for the public meeting under the *Planning Act* according to the regulations under the *Planning Act*.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7088.pdf>

TE9.29	ACTION	Adopted		Ward: 28
--------	--------	---------	--	----------

Request to amend Section 37 Agreement - 38 – 40 The Esplanade and 1 Scott Street

(September 17, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Subject to Recommendation 2 of this report, City Council authorize the revisions to the Section 37 Agreement for 38-40 The Esplanade and 1 Scott Street, which will permit the required weather protection to be discontinuous in three locations and to have a depth reduced to 2.5 metres and reduced to 1.5 metres within 13 metres of the east property line.
2. The Section 37 Agreement be revised as set in Recommendation 1 only on condition that:
 - a. the Committee of Adjustment grants minor variances to permit a reduced width of weather protection and interruptions in the weather protection; and
 - b. the applicant submit revised Site Plan drawings showing the revisions satisfactory to the Chief Planner;
3. City Council direct the City Solicitor to prepare revisions to the Section 37 Agreement necessary to implement the changes set out in Recommendation 1; and

4. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Summary

This report reviews a request by the owner of 38-40 The Esplanade and 1 Scott Street to amend the Section 37 Agreement registered against the title of the property. The requested amendment would permit the width of weather protection canopies required over the sidewalk to be reduced. The purpose of the reduction relates to structural issues raised by the public art plan for the development. The agreement would only be revised if the Committee of Adjustment approves required minor variances.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

1. Subject to Recommendation 2 of this report, City Council authorize the revisions to the Section 37 Agreement for 38-40 The Esplanade and 1 Scott Street, which will permit the required weather protection to be discontinuous in three locations and to have a depth reduced to 2.5 metres and reduced to 1.5 metres within 13 metres of the east property line.
2. The Section 37 Agreement be revised as set in Recommendation 1 only on condition that:
 - a. the Committee of Adjustment grants minor variances to permit a reduced width of weather protection and interruptions in the weather protection; and
 - b. the applicant submit revised Site Plan drawings showing the revisions satisfactory to the Chief Planner;
3. City Council direct the City Solicitor to prepare revisions to the Section 37 Agreement necessary to implement the changes set out in Recommendation 1; and
4. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7134.pdf>)

TE9.30	ACTION	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 22
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Maintenance of an Existing Identification Sign - 619 and 625 Avenue Road

(September 17, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto East York Community Council:

1. approve the maintenance of the identification sign within the public right of way fronting 619 and 625 Avenue Road, subject to the property owners entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - a. indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted and providing of an insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the Agreement in a form as approved by the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and in an amount not less than \$2,000,000 or such greater amount as the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer may require;
 - b. maintain the identification sign at their own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the agreement;
 - c. remove the identification sign upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so; and
 - d. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
2. direct Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed a request from Kenair Apartments Limited and TSCC 1516, the condominium located at 619 and 625 Avenue Road, known as “The Lonsdale”, to maintain an existing sign fronting the property that encroaches within the public right of way.

Given that the sign does not impact negatively on the public right of way, Transportation Services recommends approval of the maintenance of the identification sign.

Communications

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from Scott Gavura (TE.Main.TE9.30.1)

(September 26, 2007) e-mail from Adriana Beemans (TE.Main.TE9.30.2)

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. approved the maintenance of the identification sign within the public right of way fronting 619 and 625 Avenue Road, subject to the property owners entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - a. indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted and providing of an insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the Agreement in a form as approved by the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and in an amount not less than \$2,000,000 or such greater amount as the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer may require;
 - b. maintain the identification sign at their own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the agreement;
 - c. remove the identification sign upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so; and
 - d. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City; and
2. directed Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7126.pdf>

Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7127.pdf>

TE9.31	ACTION	Adopted		Ward: 28
--------	--------	---------	--	----------

Application to remove the Holding Symbol (H) from the Zoning By-law and Application for Residential Demolition - Part of 620 Dundas Street East (230 Sumach Street, 202-208 Sumach Street, and 638-644 Dundas Street East) Regent Park Revitalization

(September 17, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council amend the Zoning By-law to remove the Holding Symbol (H) from the lands shown on the map attached to this report as Attachment 1;
2. City Council approve the application to demolish the subject residential buildings with the following conditions, notwithstanding that there is no building permit for a replacement buildings on the site:
 - a. prior to the issuance of demolition permits for any buildings on the site, TCHC shall obtain the Provincial consent for the sale or lease of land as required under the Social Housing Reform Act;
 - b. the removal, handling and disposal of all hazardous materials including but not limited to asbestos, lead, mercury, silica and PCBs shall be conducted in accordance with the Ministry of the Environment and the Ministry of Labour regulations and guidelines;
 - c. the removal of ozone-depleting substances, if encountered, shall be performed by a licensed contractor in compliance with the Ministry of the Environment regulations;
 - d. the owner shall ensure the implementation of the demolition and excavation dust control measures approved by the Medical Officer of Health;
 - e. the owner shall not remove privately owned trees until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities commence which warrant the destruction of trees;
 - f. the owner shall install tree protection hoarding for tree nos. 216, 217, 218, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 228, 229, 244, and 245, as inventoried in the arborist report prepared by Bruce Tree Expert Company Ltd., dated August 9, 2007, in accordance with the tree protection zone listed in the above-noted arborist report, prior to the commencement of any on-site construction and/or demolition activity;

- g. the owner shall erect a construction fence in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article III, if deemed appropriate by the Chief Building Official;
 - h. all debris and rubble shall be removed from the site immediately after demolition;
 - i. the site shall be maintained free of garbage and weeds, in accordance with the Municipal Code 632-5 and 629-10, Paragraph B; and
 - j. any holes on the property shall be back-filled with clean fill;
3. City Council advise TCHC that under the terms of their fee deferral, the private tree by-law application fees must be paid once the final proportion of the development to be comprised of non-profit housing is determined.

Financial Impact

Removal of the Holding Symbol from the Zoning By-law enables TCHC to develop the site under previously approved zoning standards. It does not force or obligate the owner to proceed with development. Therefore, the recommendations of this report have no direct financial implications.

The intended development on the land is an indoor swimming pool to be constructed and operated by the City. The swimming pool is included in the approved 5-Year Capital Plan for Parks, Forestry and Recreation and is within existing targets.

Summary

This application was made after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

This application proposes to remove the Holding Symbol (H) from the Zoning By-law for a parcel of land in Regent Park. The parcel is intended to be conveyed by TCHC to the City for construction of an indoor swimming pool that will be incorporated into the future park in the neighbourhood. TCHC has also applied to demolish the three residential buildings that exist on the parcel, known as 230 Sumach Street, 202-208 Sumach Street, and 638-644 Dundas Street East.

The proposal is consistent with the previous planning approvals for the Regent Park redevelopment and is part of the implementation of a major community facility in the neighbourhood.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. amend the Zoning By-law to remove the Holding Symbol (H) from the lands shown on the map attached to this report as Attachment 1;

2. approve the application to demolish the subject residential buildings with the following conditions, notwithstanding that there is no building permit for a replacement buildings on the site:
 - a. prior to the issuance of demolition permits for any buildings on the site, TCHC shall obtain the Provincial consent for the sale or lease of land as required under the Social Housing Reform Act;
 - b. the removal, handling and disposal of all hazardous materials including but not limited to asbestos, lead, mercury, silica and PCBs shall be conducted in accordance with the Ministry of the Environment and the Ministry of Labour regulations and guidelines;
 - c. the removal of ozone-depleting substances, if encountered, shall be performed by a licensed contractor in compliance with the Ministry of the Environment regulations;
 - d. the owner shall ensure the implementation of the demolition and excavation dust control measures approved by the Medical Officer of Health;
 - e. the owner shall not remove privately owned trees until permitted construction and/or demolition related activities commence which warrant the destruction of trees;
 - f. the owner shall install tree protection hoarding for tree nos. 216, 217, 218, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 228, 229, 244, and 245, as inventoried in the arborist report prepared by Bruce Tree Expert Company Ltd., dated August 9, 2007, in accordance with the tree protection zone listed in the above-noted arborist report, prior to the commencement of any on-site construction and/or demolition activity;
 - g. the owner shall erect a construction fence in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article III, if deemed appropriate by the Chief Building Official;
 - h. all debris and rubble shall be removed from the site immediately after demolition;
 - i. the site shall be maintained free of garbage and weeds, in accordance with the Municipal Code 632-5 and 629-10, Paragraph B; and
 - j. any holes on the property shall be back-filled with clean fill;
3. advise TCHC that under the terms of their fee deferral, the private tree by-law application fees must be paid once the final proportion of the development to be comprised of non-profit housing is determined.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7083.pdf>)

TE9.32	ACTION	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 22
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 255 Spadina Road

(September 11, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the requested variances to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated ground sign on the southwest corner of the property at 255 Spadina Road with a condition that the sign be turned off between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. by means of an automated timing device and require that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Chris Gonzaga on behalf of City of Toronto, for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated ground sign on the southwest corner of the property at 255 Spadina Road. Staff recommends approval of the application. The variances are minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Walker, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. approved the requested variances to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated ground sign on the southwest corner of the property at 255 Spadina Road with a condition that the sign be turned off between 10:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. by means of an automated timing device and require that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. directed the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7087.pdf>)

TE9.33	ACTION	Adopted		Ward: 27
--------	--------	---------	--	----------

Sign Variance - 95A Bloor Street West

(September 6, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council approve the request for variances to maintain, for identification purposes, an illuminated projecting sign on the north elevation of the building at 95A Bloor Street West; and require that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

Summary

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by David Adam, with Zip Signs Ltd., on behalf of Jacob Developments Ltd. for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code and from former Metropolitan Toronto By-law No. 118 to maintain, for identification purposes, an illuminated projecting sign to represent "Far Coast" on the north elevation of the building, at 95A Bloor Street West. Staff recommends approval of the application. The variances are minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that:

1. City Council approve the request for variances to maintain, for identification purposes, an illuminated projecting sign on the north elevation of the building at 95A Bloor Street West; and require that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7086.pdf>)

TE9.34	ACTION	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 19
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Traffic Calming - Carling Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Leeds Street

(September 13, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The Transportation Services Division recommends that traffic-calming devices not be installed on Carling Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Leeds Street.

Financial Impact

The adoption of the above-noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Community Council decides speed humps on Carling Avenue would be beneficial, the following financial impact will result:

1. Transportation Services has been allocated \$695,000.00 in its 2007 Capital budget for traffic calming initiatives and installing speed humps on Carling Avenue would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services staff have investigated installing traffic calming devices to reduce the operating speed of Carling Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Leeds Street.

The operating speed of the street is already below a level which would benefit from installing traffic-calming devices. Therefore, Transportation Services staff should not install speed humps on Carling Avenue.

Committee Decision

On motion by Deputy Mayor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council directed that:

1. Transportation Services poll eligible voters on Carling Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Leeds Street to determine whether residents support the installation, and consult with Toronto Fire Service, Emergency Medical Service and Toronto Police Service, in accordance with the City of Toronto Traffic-Calming Policy; and
2. subject to favourable results of the poll;
 - a. The City Solicitor prepare a by-law to alter sections of the roadway on Carling Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Leeds Street, for traffic calming purposes, generally as shown in Drawing No. 421F-9073, dated August 2007;

and

- b. Transportation Services take the necessary actions to reduce the speed limit from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on Carling Avenue, between Bloor Street West and Leeds Street, when the speed humps are installed.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7135.pdf>)

Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7136.pdf>)

Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7137.pdf>)

TE9.35	ACTION	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 30
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Results of the Speed Hump Poll - Larchmount Avenue, Between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East

(September 13, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends to Toronto and East York Community Council that traffic calming (speed humps) not be installed on Larchmount Avenue, between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East.

Financial Impact

Adopting the above-noted recommendation carries no financial impact. If, however, Toronto and East York Community Council decides to approve installing speed humps on Larchmount Avenue, the following financial impact will result:

1. Larchmount Avenue is \$15,000.00. Funds are contained in the Transportation Services 2007 Capital Budget for traffic calming initiatives. The installation of these speed humps would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report summarizes the results of the traffic calming poll undertaken on Larchmount Avenue, between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East. The poll results showed less than the required “50 percent plus one” ballot return rate. Installation of speed humps should not proceed on Larchmount Avenue as there is no clear indication that residents support this proposal.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council directed that:

1. the City Solicitor prepare a bylaw to alter the roadway to install speed humps on Larchmount Avenue, between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East, generally as shown on Drawing No. 421F-8758 (Attachment 1); and
2. Transportation Services take the necessary actions to reduce the speed limit on Larchmount Avenue, between Eastern Avenue and Queen Street East from 40 km/h to 30 km/h, when the speed humps are installed.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7142.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7143.pdf>

Drawing

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7144.pdf>

TE9.36	ACTION	Deferred	Delegated	Ward: 32
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

Traffic Calming - Benlamond Avenue, between Glenoak Drive and Main Street

(September 13, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends to Toronto and East York Community Council that traffic calming not be installed on Benlamond Avenue, between Glenoak Drive and Main Street.

Financial Impact

The adoption of the above-noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Toronto and East York Community Council decides speed humps on Benlamond Avenue would be beneficial, the following financial impact will result:

1. Funds in the amount of \$695,000.00 have been allocated in the Transportation Services 2007 Capital Budget for traffic calming initiatives. Installing speed humps on Benlamond Avenue would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services staff have investigated installing traffic calming to address residents' concerns with the speed of traffic on Benlamond Avenue, between Glenoak Drive and Main Street.

Our assessment indicates the speeds and traffic volumes on the street are too low to justify traffic calming. Therefore, speed humps should not be installed on Benlamond Avenue at this time.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto and East York Community Council deferred consideration of the report (September 13, 2007) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District indefinitely.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7138.pdf>)

Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7139.pdf>)

Appendix B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7140.pdf>)

Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7141.pdf>)

TE9.37	ACTION	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 32
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Traffic-Calming - Columbine Avenue, between Kingston Road and Woodbine Avenue

(September 13, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends to Toronto and East York Community Council that traffic-calming not be installed on Columbine Avenue, between Kingston Road and Woodbine Avenue.

Financial Impact

The adoption of the above-noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Toronto and East York Community Council decides speed humps on Columbine Avenue would be beneficial, the following financial impact will result:

1. Installing speed humps on Columbine Avenue would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services staff have investigated installing traffic-calming to address residents' concerns with the speed of traffic on Columbine Avenue, between Kingston Road and Woodbine Avenue.

Our assessment indicates the speeds on the street are already below a level which would benefit from traffic calming. Therefore, speed humps should not be installed on Columbine Avenue at this time.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto and East York Community Council requested the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District to poll eligible voters on Columbine Avenue, between Kingston Road and Woodbine Avenue, to determine whether residents support the installation, in accordance with the City of Toronto Traffic Calming Policy; and subject to favourable results of the poll:

- a. The City Solicitor prepare a by-law to alter sections of the roadway on Columbine Avenue, between Kingston Road and Woodbine Avenue, for traffic-calming purposes, generally as shown on the attached copy of Drawing No. 421F-9042;
- b. Transportation Services take the necessary actions to reduce the speed limit from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on Columbine Avenue, between Kingston Road and Woodbine Avenue, when the speed humps are installed; and
- c. Transportation Services take the necessary actions to remove the eastbound and westbound “Stop” signs from Columbine Avenue at Rainsford Road when speed humps are installed and proper notification to motorists has been achieved.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7130.pdf>

Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7131.pdf>

Appendix B

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7132.pdf>

Drawing

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7133.pdf>

TE9.38	ACTION	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 14, 19
---------------	---------------	---------	-----------	--------------

Installation of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons With Disabilities – September 2007

(September 17, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council approve the installation of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities at the locations identified in the attached Appendix A.

Financial Impact

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Division 2007 Operating Budget	\$600.00

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose is to obtain approval for the installation of a number of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Perks, the Toronto and East York Community Council approved the installation of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities at the locations identified in Appendix A attached to the report (September 17, 2007) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7145.pdf>

TE9.39	ACTION	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 27
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Encroaching Decorative Lights - 1–11 St. Thomas Street

(September 18, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. approve the installation of 4 decorative pedestrian light standards on St. Thomas Street and 6 decorative pedestrian light standards on Charles Street West flank within the public right of way, together with decorative lights attached to the building wall over the main entrances to the building on Thomas Street and Charles Street West, subject to the owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - a. indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted and providing of an insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the Agreement in a form as approved by the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and in the amount not less than \$2,000,000 or such greater amount as the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer may require;
 - b. maintain the encroachments at their own expense in good repair and a condition

satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the decorative light standards or decorative lights beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;

- c. obtain approval for the wall mounted lights from the Toronto Building Division;
 - d. pay for the costs of preparing and registration of the agreement on title; and
 - e. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City;
2. direct Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachments, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services; and
 3. request Legal Services to prepare, execute and arrange to register the Encroachment Agreement on title.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed a request from the agent of the owner of 1-11 St. Thomas Street for permission to install 4 decorative pedestrian light standards on St. Thomas Street and 6 decorative pedestrian light standards on the Charles Street West flank within the public right of way. In addition, the applicant is seeking permission to maintain existing decorative lights attached to the building wall over the main entrances to the building on St. Thomas Street and the Charles Street West which will encroach over the public right of way anywhere from 0.22 m to 0.23 m.

Given that the encroachments will not impact negatively on the public right of way, Transportation Services recommends approval of the encroachments.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. approved the installation of 4 decorative pedestrian light standards on St. Thomas Street and 6 decorative pedestrian light standards on Charles Street West flank within the public right of way, together with decorative lights attached to the building wall over the main entrances to the building on Thomas Street and Charles Street West, subject to the owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
 - a. indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted and providing of an insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the Agreement in a form as approved by the Deputy City Manager and Chief

Financial Officer and in the amount not less than \$2,000,000 or such greater amount as the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer may require;

- b. maintain the encroachments at their own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the decorative light standards or decorative lights beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
 - c. obtain approval for the wall mounted lights from the Toronto Building Division;
 - d. pay for the costs of preparing and registration of the agreement on title; and
 - e. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City;
2. directed Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachments, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services; and
 3. requested Legal Services to prepare, execute and arrange to register the Encroachment Agreement on title.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7095.pdf>

Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7096.pdf>

TE9.40	ACTION	Adopted		Ward: 28
--------	--------	---------	--	----------

Toronto Waterfront Revitalization – West Don Lands Precinct – Surplus Land Declaration and Proposed Closing and Leasing of a Portion of the Un-named Link Road West of Old Brewery Lane, extending between River Street and Bayview Avenue

(August 14, 2007) Report from General Manager, Transportation Services and Chief Corporate Officer

Recommendations

The General Manager, Transportation Services and the Chief Corporate Officer recommend that Toronto City Council:

1. Permanently close the portion of the un-named Link Road, shown as Part 1 on the attached Sketch No. PS-2006-027a (the “Link Road”) as public highway, upon construction, conveyance to the City and dedication of the new Bayview Avenue

extension, subject to compliance with the requirements of City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 162;

2. Direct Transportation Services staff to give notice of a proposed by-law to close the Link Road in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 162 of City of Toronto Municipal Code, with the Toronto and East York Community Council to hear any member of the public who wishes to speak to the matter;
3. Declare the Link Road surplus to the City's requirements subject to the retention of a permanent easement in favour of the City for the protection and maintenance of an existing 1650 mm storm sewer, 150 mm water main, 375 mm combined sewer, a 300 mm combined sewer, and that all steps be taken to comply with the City's real estate disposal process as set out in Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code;
4. Direct Ontario Realty Corporation ("ORC"), as agent for Her Majesty the Queen Right of Ontario (the "Province/Tenant") and/or Waterfront Toronto ("WT"), to pay the cost to remove the existing street lighting infrastructure of Toronto Hydro located within the Link Road, with the cost to be determined prior to removal;
5. Direct ORC or WT to pay Toronto Hydro directly and provide the City Solicitor with written confirmation from Toronto Hydro that the cost to remove the street lighting infrastructure has been paid, prior to posting the Public Notice on the City's web site;
6. Authorize the Chief Corporate Officer to negotiate with Toronto and Region Conservation Authority ("TRCA") for the possible disposal of all or part of the Link Road;
7. Authorize the City to enter into a lease agreement to lease the Link Road, once closed, to ORC, as the Province/Tenant, for nominal rent, on the terms and conditions set out in Appendix "A" of this report, and that the lease agreement be in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
8. Authorize the Chief Corporate Officer to administer and manage the lease agreement, including the provision of any consents, approvals, notices and notices of termination, provided the Chief Corporate Officer may, at any time, refer consideration of such matters (including their content) to City Council for its determination and direction; and
9. Authorize the appropriate City officials to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in City Council of any bills necessary to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications arising from this report. However, lands for new streets, parks and infrastructure will eventually be conveyed or dedicated to the City for nominal consideration, as part of the subdivision approval process for the West Don Lands Precinct. The granting of leases, for nominal rent, is in keeping with the principles set out in the report entitled "Governance Structure for Toronto Waterfront Revitalization" approved by City Council in 2004, whereby access to public funds is to be made available on an incremental

basis to facilitate implementation of, among other things, the trigovernment approved West Don Lands Precinct revitalization plan.

Summary

The General Manager, Transportation Services and the Chief Corporate Officer request that the portion of the un-named link road, west of Old Brewery Lane to River Street, be closed and be declared surplus to the City's requirements. In addition, the Chief Corporate Officer is also requesting authorization to lease the un-named link road to Ontario Realty Corporation as agent for Her Majesty the Queen Right of Ontario.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that Toronto City Council:

1. Permanently close the portion of the un-named Link Road, shown as Part 1 on the attached Sketch No. PS-2006-027a (the "Link Road") as public highway, upon construction, conveyance to the City and dedication of the new Bayview Avenue extension, subject to compliance with the requirements of City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 162;
2. direct Transportation Services staff to give notice of a proposed by-law to close the Link Road in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 162 of City of Toronto Municipal Code, with the Toronto and East York Community Council to hear any member of the public who wishes to speak to the matter;
3. declare the Link Road surplus to the City's requirements subject to the retention of a permanent easement in favour of the City for the protection and maintenance of an existing 1650 mm storm sewer, 150 mm water main, 375 mm combined sewer, a 300 mm combined sewer, and that all steps be taken to comply with the City's real estate disposal process as set out in Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code;
4. direct Ontario Realty Corporation ("ORC"), as agent for Her Majesty the Queen Right of Ontario (the "Province/Tenant") and/or Waterfront Toronto ("WT"), to pay the cost to remove the existing street lighting infrastructure of Toronto Hydro located within the Link Road, with the cost to be determined prior to removal;
5. direct ORC or WT to pay Toronto Hydro directly and provide the City Solicitor with written confirmation from Toronto Hydro that the cost to remove the street lighting infrastructure has been paid, prior to posting the Public Notice on the City's web site;
6. authorize the Chief Corporate Officer to negotiate with Toronto and Region Conservation Authority ("TRCA") for the possible disposal of all or part of the Link Road;
7. authorize the City to enter into a lease agreement to lease the Link Road, once closed, to ORC, as the Province/Tenant, for nominal rent, on the terms and conditions set out in Appendix "A" of this report, and that the lease agreement be in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;

8. authorize the Chief Corporate Officer to administer and manage the lease agreement, including the provision of any consents, approvals, notices and notices of termination, provided the Chief Corporate Officer may, at any time, refer consideration of such matters (including their content) to City Council for its determination and direction; and
9. authorize the appropriate City officials to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in City Council of any bills necessary to give effect thereto.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7082.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7103.pdf>

TE9.41	ACTION	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 29
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

“No U-Turn” regulation - Durant Avenue

(September 18, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council prohibit U-turns at all times by northbound and southbound vehicles on Durant Avenue, between Plains Road and Cosburn Avenue.

Financial Impact

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Toronto Transportation Services 2007 operating budget	\$500.00

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services is requesting Community Council’s authority to prohibit U-turns on Durant Avenue, between Plains Road and Cosburn Avenue in front of Cosburn Middle School. The investigation indicates drivers making U-turns on this street create a safety hazard for children walking to/from the school. Transportation Services is requesting Community Council’s authority to prohibit U-turns on this section of the street.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto and East York Community Council prohibited U-

turns at all times by northbound and southbound vehicles on Durant Avenue, between Plains Road and Cosburn Avenue.

Links to Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7064.pdf>)

Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7065.pdf>)

TE9.42	ACTION	Amended		Ward: 20
--------	--------	---------	--	----------

Appointments to Scadding Court Community Centre

(September 10, 2007) Letter from Kevin Lee, Scadding Court Community Centre

Recommendations

The Toronto and East York Community Council appoint the following persons to the Scadding Court Community Centre's Board of Management:

Jim Montgomery
 Julie Gibson
 Raymond Coburn
 Doug Lowry
 Gloria Zhang
 Carmen McGee

Summary

Communication (September 10, 2007) from Kevin Lee, Executive Director, Scadding Court Community Centre submitting names of Appointees.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Vaughan, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. waive the length of service limit set out in section 3.2 of the Public Appointments Policy based on the exceptions defined in section 3.7 of the policy, and
2. appoint Doug Lowry to the Scadding Court Community Centre Board of Directors for a further term of office ending November 30, 2010, or until his successor is appointed.

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Toronto and East York Community Council appointed the following persons to the Scadding Court Community Centre's Board of Management for a term of office ending November 30, 2010, or until their successors are appointed:

Jim Montgomery
 Julie Gibson
 Raymond Coburn
 Gloria Zhang
 Carmen McGee

Links to Background Information

Letter

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7162.pdf>)

TE9.43	ACTION	Adopted		Ward: 31
--------	--------	---------	--	----------

Appointments - Crescent Town Club Inc.

(August 23, 2007) Letter from Butch Windsor, Crescent Town Club Inc.

Recommendations

That City Council submit to the Annual General Meeting of Crescent Town Club Inc. on October 25, 2007, a nominee for the expiring term of Councillor Davis for a three year term to the Board of Directors.

Summary

Requesting that City Council submit a City Councillor nominee to the Board of Directors appoint Members to the Crescent Town Club Inc. The City Clerk will be canvassing members of Council for their choice in this appointment, and the results will be submitted to the October 2, 2007 meeting of the Toronto and East York Community Council.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council submit to the Annual General Meeting of Crescent Town Club Inc. on October 25, 2007, Councillor Davis as the nominee for a further three year term to the Board of Directors. Crescent Town Club Inc..

Links to Background Information

Letter

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7089.pdf>)

43a Appointments - Crescent Town Club Inc

(September 26, 2007) Memo from City Clerk

Summary

Results of City Clerk's canvassing of Members of Council

Links to Background Information

Letter

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7263.pdf>)

TE9.44	ACTION	Adopted		
--------	--------	---------	--	--

Requests for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes

Summary

Seeking Council's endorsement of these events for liquor licensing purposes.

Committee Recommendations

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council, for liquor licence purposes, advise the AGCO that it has no objection to:

1. the Fringe Festival of Toronto Theatre Festival taking place at The Factory Theatre from January 2 to 13, 2008, being permitted to sell and serve alcohol on an outside patio from 6:00 p.m. to 12:00 midnight on weeknights, and 2:00 p.m. to 12:00 midnight on weekends for the duration of the event, which has been designated by Toronto and East York Community Council as an event of municipal significance.

Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Toronto and East York Community Council, for liquor licence purposes, declared the following events to be of municipal significance:

1. the Fringe Festival of Toronto Theatre Festival taking place at The Factory Theatre from January 2 to 13, 2008;
2. The Royal Agricultural Winter Fair taking place from October 31, 2007 to November 11, 2007 at Exhibition Place; and
3. the Spirit of Edmonton Grey Cup Event taking place on November 22 to 24, 2007 at the Grand Ballroom, Sheraton Centre.

44a Royal Agricultural Winter Fair

Recommendations

Recommending that the Royal Agricultural Winter Fair be declared an event of municipal significance.

Summary

Seeking Council's endorsement of this event as a Significant Event

Communications

(July 25, 2007) letter from The Royal Agricultural Winter Fair (TE.Main)

44b The Fringe Festival

(September 18, 2007) Letter from Chuck McEwen, Fringe of Toronto Theatre Festival

Recommendations

That the Fringe of Toronto Theatre Festival taking place at The Factory Theatre from January 2 to 13, 2008, for liquor licence purposes, be declared an event of municipal significance for liquor license purposes and advise the AGCO that there is no objection to this event taking place, or to the sale and service of alcohol on an outside patio from 6:00 p.m. to 12:00 midnight on weeknights, and 2:00 p.m. to 12:00 midnight on weekends for the duration of the event.

Summary

Seeking Council's endorsement of this event for liquor license purposes.

Communications

(September 18, 2007) letter from Chuck McEwen, Fringe of Toronto Theatre Festival (TE.Main)

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/comm/communicationfile-3603.pdf>

44c Spirit of Edmonton Grey Cup Hospitality Committee**Recommendations**

That The Spirit of Edmonton taking place at the Sheraton Centre be declared an event of municipal significance. .

Summary

Seeking Council's endorsement of this event as a Community Festival or Significant Event A

Communications

(September 11, 2007) letter from The Spirit of Edmonton (TE.Main)

TE9.45	ACTION	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 32
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Board Composition and Recruitment Process for Ted Reeve Arena

(September 28, 2007) Letter from The City Clerk

Recommendations

That the Toronto and East York Community Council, appoint a member of the Toronto and East York Community Council, to the Selection Panel to short list qualified candidates to the Board of Management for the Ted Reeve Arena.

Summary

Submitting Council's action taken at it's meeting on September 26 and 27, 2007.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto and East York Community Council, appointed Councillor Fletcher to the Selection Panel to short list qualified candidates to the Board of Management for the Ted Reeve Arena.

Links to Background Information

Letter from the City Clerk

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7297.pdf>

City Clerk Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7298.pdf>

TE9.46	ACTION	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 29
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Appointment to Board of Management – Greektown on the Danforth Business Improvement Area

(September 28, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Ootes

Recommendations

That Toronto and East York Community Council appoint John Kiru to the Greektown on the Danforth BIA Board of Management to replace George Tsioutsoulas for a term expiring on November 30, 2010 or as soon after as successors are appointed.

Summary

The 2007-2010 Board of Management for the Greektown on the Danforth Business Improvement Area (BIA) was appointed by City Council at its meeting on February 5-8, 2007. All members appointed by Council are new to the Board; no members of the previous Board were elected to the new Board by the BIA membership at their 2006 annual general meeting.

The Board has 15 members in total, including Councillor Fletcher and Councillor Ootes. In August, 2007, a member of the Board resigned his position, leaving a vacancy.

The Greektown on the Danforth BIA is one of the City's flagship BIAs, producing and hosting the tremendously successful Taste of the Danforth event each year. The BIA also produces other special events, participates in the City's Cavalcade of Lights initiative, and is very active in streetscape improvement, beautification and maintenance. The responsibility of continuing the BIAs demanding program has proven to be a considerable challenge for the new, inexperienced Board.

At the request of the local Councillors, City staff approached John Kiru, Executive Director of the Toronto Association of BIAs (TABIA), to see if he would be interested in filling the existing vacancy on the Greektown Board on an interim basis. Mr. Kiru's considerable knowledge of BIA operations would be of great assistance to the Board as they gain the experience necessary to manage the operations of the BIA.

The term of his appointment will expire on November 30, 2010, as required by the City of Toronto Act, 2006 and Municipal Code Chapter 19, Business Improvement Areas or until such a time that a member of the local business community would replace him. The determination of Mr. Kiru's replacement would be undertaken in consultation with Councillor Fletcher, Councillor Ootes and Mr. Kiru and would be subject to a vote of Community Council.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto and East York Community Council appointed John Kiru to the Greektown on the Danforth BIA Board of Management to replace George Tsioutsoulas for a term expiring on November 30, 2010 or until his successor is appointed.

Links to Background Information

Motion

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7299.pdf>)

TE9.47	ACTION	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 31
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Disabled Loading Zone, Cedarcrest Boulevard, west side, from a point 77 metres south of Glencrest Boulevard to a point 10.5 metres further south Reopening of Item TE 3.67

(September 28, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Janet Davis

Recommendations

That Recommendation 1 of City Council Item TE3.67 be replaced with the following:

1. designate an on-street loading zone for disabled persons on the west side of Cedarcrest Boulevard, from a point 81.5 metres south of Glencrest Boulevard to a point 11.5 metres further south, to operate from November 1 of one year to March 31 of the following year.

Summary

This motion is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

At its meeting on March 5, 6 and 7, 2007, City Council adopted a motion to designate an on-street loading zone for disabled persons on the west side of Cedarcrest Boulevard, from a point 77 metres south of Glencrest Boulevard to a point 10.5 metres further south, as recommended in a staff report dated January 29, 2007 from the Director, Transportation Services Toronto and

East York District.

This designated loading zone was intended to enable a resident of Cedarcrest Boulevard to be picked up and dropped off by Wheeltrans buses. The resident subsequently indicated this location is not suitable for pick-up/drop-off purposes during winter months. Access by way of a curb-cut between the bus and the sidewalk is often blocked by accumulation of snow. As a compromise I am asking Community Council to rescind authority for the disabled persons loading zone on Cedarcrest Boulevard, 77 metres south of Glencrest Boulevard. A fire hydrant located in this area prohibits parking and this area can be used for loading/unloading during favourable seasons without need of additional signs. Instead, I am requesting Community Council to approve installation of a loading zone for a disabled person on Cedarcrest Boulevard, 81.5 metres south of Glencrest Boulevard with the stipulation that this loading zone only operate from November 1 of one year to March 31 of the following year.

As a result, I request that approved Recommendation 1 of the report (January 29, 2007) from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District be re-opened so that Community Council can approve an amendment which would allow relocation of the on-street loading zone for disabled persons.

Communications

(October 1, 2007) e-mail from Brian Harrigan (TE.New.TE9.47.1)

(October 1, 2007) e-mail from Darrell Wolfgram (TE.New.TE9.47.2)

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Davis, with Councillor Vaughan in the Chair, the Toronto and East York Community Council designated an on-street loading zone for disabled persons on the west side of Cedarcrest Boulevard, from a point 81.5 metres south of Glencrest Boulevard to a point 11.5 metres further south, to operate from November 1 of one year to March 31 of the following year.

Links to Background Information

Motion

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7302.pdf>)

TE9.48	ACTION	Adopted		Ward: 21
--------	--------	---------	--	----------

Parking on Christie Street

(September 26, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Mihevc

Committee Recommendations

The Toronto and East York Community Council requested the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District to report to the Toronto and East York Community Council on the feasibility of permitting parking on the stretch of Christie Street, north of the CN tracks and north of Davenport Road, for the 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. period.

Summary

Requesting that staff report back on feasibility of permitting parking on this stretch of Christie for the 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. period.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Deputy Mayor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council requested the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District to report to the Toronto and East York Community Council on the feasibility of permitting parking on the stretch of Christie Street, north of the CN tracks and north of Davenport Road, for the 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. period.

Links to Background Information

Member Motion

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7343.pdf>

TE9.49	ACTION	Amended		Ward: 19, 20
--------	--------	---------	--	--------------

Naming of Bathurst Street Bridge as Sir Isaac Brock Bridge

(September 26, 2007) Memo from Deputy Mayor Pantalone and Councillor Vaughan

Committee Recommendations

The Toronto and East York Community Council requested appropriate City staff to report to the November 27, 2007 meeting of Toronto and East York Community Council on naming the Bathurst Street Bridge as “Sir Isaac Brock Bridge”

Summary

Endorsing the naming of the Bathurst Street Bridge to the Sir Isaac Brock Bridge.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Deputy Mayor Pantalone, the Toronto and East York Community Council requested appropriate City staff to report to the November 27, 2007 meeting of Toronto and East York Community Council on naming the Bathurst Street Bridge as “Sir Isaac Brock Bridge”.

Links to Background Information

Memo

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7344.pdf>

TE9.50	ACTION	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 20
--------	--------	---------	-----------	----------

Vending in the vicinity of the Royal Ontario Museum

(October 2, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Vaughan

Recommendations

It is recommended that the Toronto and East York Community Council request Municipal Licensing and Standards staff to report back to the November meeting of Toronto and East York Community Council with potential alternative locations to site the hot dog vendors and the ice cream truck in the vicinity of the Royal Ontario Museum.

Summary

To request Municipal Licensing and Standards staff report back on potential alternative locations in the vicinity of the Royal Ontario Museum for the hot dog vendors and ice cream truck that are currently operating on the north and east sides of the museum.

Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council requested Municipal Licensing and Standards staff to report to the November 27, 2007 meeting of Toronto and East York Community Council with potential alternative locations to site the hot dog vendors and the ice cream truck in the vicinity of the Royal Ontario Museum.

Links to Background Information

Member Motion

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7340.pdf>

TE9.51	ACTION	Adopted		Ward: 20
--------	--------	---------	--	----------

Committee of Adjustment Decision - 20 Brunswick Avenue

(October 2, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Vaughan

Recommendations

It is recommended that Toronto and East York Community Council recommend that City Council

1. request the City Solicitor to support the residents appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board of the 20 Brunswick decision; and
2. request City Solicitor to seek party status for the City of Toronto at this hearing based on the reasoning that this project was before the Ontario Municipal Board in 2001 and therefore, it is not appropriate that the application is before the board again as it is essentially the same application with minor changes.

Summary

This motion requests staff to support the Harbord Village Residents Association in their appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board on the 20 Brunswick decision.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council

recommended that City Council request the City Solicitor to:

1. support the residents appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board of the 20 Brunswick decision; and
2. seek party status for the City of Toronto at this hearing based on the reasoning that this project was before the Ontario Municipal Board in 2001 and therefore, it is not appropriate that the application is before the board again as it is essentially the same application with minor changes.

Links to Background Information

Member Motion

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2007/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-7339.pdf>

TE9.52	ACTION	Adopted		
--------	--------	---------	--	--

The Toronto and East York Community Council Hospitality Budget

Recommendations

The Toronto and East York Community Council requested that \$850.00 be allocated from the Toronto and East York Community Council Hospitality Budget to assist in finding a re-naming ceremony for the Stanley G. Grizzle Parkette.

Summary

For consideration at the October 2, 2007 meeting of the Toronto and East York Community Council.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Davis, with Councillor Vaughan in the Chair, the Toronto and East York Community Council requested that \$850.00 be allocated from the Toronto and East York Community Council Hospitality Budget to assist in funding a re-naming ceremony for the Stanley G. Grizzle Parkette.

TE9.53	ACTION	Adopted		Ward: 30
--------	--------	---------	--	----------

Planning Strategy - South of Eastern Lands

(October 2, 2007) Member Motion from Councillor Fletcher

Recommendations

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that City Council request appropriate City staff to analyze and consider incorporating recommendations to ensure that the land use planning and urban design guidelines for the South of Eastern incorporate a good relationship amongst three parcels of land being the West Donlands, the Portlands and the lands

defined as the South of Eastern and such recommendations will be included in the further Staff Report from City Planning Staff in the winter of 2007.

Summary

City Council at its meeting of November, 2004 recommended that planning staff undertake a review of the planning strategy for the area bounded by Lake Shore Boulevard, Don Valley, Leslie Street and Eastern Avenue in consultation with the Ward Councillor and the community as appropriate as required by Policy 9.18 of the Official Plan of the former City of Toronto. Since this time staff have undertaken the study and reported back to Council on several issues. It is expected that a Supplementary Report with recommendations will be submitted by City Planning in the Winter 2007.

Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Fletcher, the Toronto and East York Community Council recommended that City Council request appropriate City staff to analyze and consider incorporating recommendations to ensure that the land use planning and urban design guidelines for the South of Eastern incorporate a good relationship amongst three parcels of land being the West Donlands, the Portlands and the lands defined as the South of Eastern and such recommendations will be reflected in the further Staff Report from City Planning Staff in the winter of 2007.

TE9.Bills	ACTION		Delegated	
------------------	--------	--	-----------	--

General Bills

Councillor Perks, moved that leave be granted to introduce the following Bills and that these Bills, prepared for this meeting of Community Council, be passed and hereby declared as By-laws, which carried.

The Toronto and East York Community Council passed By-laws 1111-2007 to 1122-2007.

Bill No.	By-law No.	Date of Adoption	Title/Authority
Bill No. 1091	1111-2007	October 2, 2007	To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Balmuto Street.
Bill No. 1092	1112-2007	October 2, 2007	To authorize the alteration of Wyndham Street, between Brock Avenue and St. Clarens Avenue, by the installation of speed humps.
Bill No. 1093	1113-2007	October 2, 2007	To authorize the alteration of Leslie Street, between Queen Street East and Gerrard Street East, by the

installation of speed humps.

Bill No. 1094	1114-2007	October 2, 2007	To authorize the alteration of Carlaw Avenue, between Danforth Avenue and Riverdale Avenue, by the installation of speed humps.
Bill No. 1095	1115-2007	October 2, 2007	To authorize the alteration of Vesta Drive, between Bathurst Street and Mayfair Avenue, by the installation of speed humps.
Bill No. 1096	1116-2007	October 2, 2007	To authorize the alteration of Helena Avenue, between Bathurst Street and Wychwood Avenue, by the installation of speed humps.
Bill No. 1097	1117-2007	October 2, 2007	To authorize the alteration of Lambertlodge Avenue, between Christie Street and Melita Crescent, by the installation of speed humps.
Bill No. 1098	1118-2007	October 2, 2007	To amend By-law No. 2958-94 of the former City of York, being a By-law “To regulate traffic on City of York Roads”, regarding Maplewood Avenue.
Bill No. 1099	1119-2007	October 2, 2007	To amend By-law No. 196-84 of the former City of York, being a By-law “To regulate traffic on City of York Roads”, regarding Maplewood Avenue.
Bill No. 1100	1120-2007	October 2, 2007	To amend the City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 903, Parking for Persons with Disabilities, respecting Maple Grove Avenue and Richmond Street West.
Bill No. 1101	1121-2007	October 2, 2007	To amend further By-law No. 92-93, a by-law “To regulate traffic on roads in the Borough of East York”, being a by-law of the former Borough of East York, respecting Durant Avenue.

Bill No. 1102 1122-2007 October 2, 2007 To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Maple Grove Avenue and Richmond Street West.

Confirmatory Bills

Councillor Perks, moved that leave be granted to introduce the following Bills and that these Bills, prepared for this meeting of Community Council, be passed and hereby declared as By-laws, which carried.

Bill No. 1106 1123-2007 October 2, 2007 To confirm the proceedings of Toronto and East York Community Council at its meeting held on the 2nd day of October, 2007 as it relates to decisions made under delegated authority.

(this final confirming By-law confirms the actions taken by Community Council under delegated authority at this meeting, including the enactment of any previous confirming By-laws).

Meeting Sessions

Session Date	Session Type	Start Time	End Time	Public or Closed Session
2007-10-02	Morning	8:35 AM	9:50 AM	Public
2007-10-02	Morning	10:00 AM	12:30 PM	Public
2007-10-02	Afternoon	1:45 PM	2:50 PM	Public