
Executive Committee

Meeting No.	19	Contact	Patsy Morris, Committee Administrator
Meeting Date	Monday, April 7, 2008	Phone	416-392-9151
Start Time	9:30 AM	E-mail	pmorris@toronto.ca
Location	Committee Room 1, City Hall		

Item		Page
EX19.2	Pandemic Influenza Stockpiling Requirements – Status of Intergovernmental Discussions (Ward: All)	1
EX19.4	Toronto's 2006 Performance Measurement and Benchmarking Report (Ward: All)	5
EX19.6	Establishment of a Reserve Account for Parks Improvements - Glen Cedar Park Fundraising Committee (Ward: 21)	7
EX19.7	Report on Congruence between Lobbying By-law and Obligations under Members Code of Conduct (Ward: All)	8
EX19.9	Personal Vehicle Tax - Administrative Design Features and Implementation Authorities (Ward: All)	10
EX19.10	Toronto Water Capital Budget: 2007 Carry Forward Funding and 2008 Cashflow Reallocations	14
EX19.11	Additional Federal Funding for Security at Union Station and Other Facilities Capital Adjustments	15
EX19.12	Preliminary Capital Variance Report for the Year Ended December 31, 2007 (Ward: All)	16
EX19.13	AOCC Settlement of Operating Results for Year 2006 (Ward: All)	18
EX19.14	Funding the Parkland Acquisition at 50 St. Joseph Street (Ward: 27)	20
EX19.15	Potential Acquisition of 2427 Lake Shore Boulevard West (Amos Waites Park) (Ward: 6)	21

Item		Page
EX19.16	Report to Executive Committee Update on Toronto Gun Violence Strategy (Ward: All)	23
EX19.22	2008 Business Improvement Area Operating Budgets: Report No. 4 (Ward: 11, 16, 21, 29, 30, 31, 32)	26

Executive Committee

Meeting No.	19	Contact	Patsy Morris, Committee Administrator
Meeting Date	Monday, April 7, 2008	Phone	416-392-9151
Start Time	9:30 AM	E-mail	pmorris@toronto.ca
Location	Committee Room 1, City Hall		

EX19.2	AMENDED			Ward: All
--------	---------	--	--	-----------

Pandemic Influenza Stockpiling Requirements – Status of Intergovernmental Discussions

City Council Decision

City Council on April 28 and 29, 2008, adopted the following motions:

1. The antiviral medication Oseltamivir (Tamiflu) in the total amount of \$1,541,719.00 be purchased from Hoffman-LaRoche Ltd. for staff who as part of their work are expected to provide direct care to persons who are ill with influenza.
2. Expenditures totalling \$606,000.00 be approved to set up a warehousing and distribution system for pandemic influenza supplies.
3. The 2008 Non-Program Operating Budget, Other Corporate Expenditures, be increased by \$1,541,719.00 gross, \$0 net; and the 2008 Office of the Treasurer's 2008 Operating Budget be increased by \$606,000.00 gross, \$0 net; for a total of \$2,147,719.00 to be funded from the City's Emergency Planning Reserve Fund.
4. The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer report to the Executive Committee and the Budget Committee, in September 2008, on funding options for the remaining stockpiling requirements for pandemic influenza preparedness, as outlined in the Financial Impact Statement of the January 24, 2008 report from the City Manager, the Medical Officer of Health and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer.
5. The City Manager, the Medical Officer of Health and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer report back to the Executive Committee before the end of 2008 on the status of consultations with both the Federal and Provincial Governments.
6. The City Solicitor be requested to submit a report to the Executive Committee on a possible request to the Federal Government to enact legislation to override drug

patent protection in the event of a widespread pandemic and to seek international co-operation with other countries through the World Health Organization.

7. The Medical Officer of Health be requested to submit a report to the Executive Committee on the potential 80/20 diversification of the City's stockpile of anti-viral medications for prophylactic use in an influenza pandemic before any further purchases of anti-viral medications are made and include any potential rebalancing within the overall purchase.
8. The members of the Toronto Emergency Management Committee be included in the distribution of prophylactic antiviral medications in the event of an influenza pandemic.
9. The City Manager, the Medical Officer of Health and the Chair of the Board of Health request a meeting with the Federal Minister of Health and Deputy Minister of Health to urge release, for consultation, of Federal recommendations for the use of antiviral medication in the event of a flu pandemic.
10. The City Manager be requested to report to the Executive Committee, by September 2008, on the following:
 - a. additional stockpiling of antiviral medication for prophylactic use by essential City staff to ensure continuity of operations;
 - b. alternative methods of protection, such as plastic shields at City counters, for City staff needed for the continuity of essential services;
 - c. a plan for the immediate start to the stockpiling of personal protective equipment and infection control supplies as outlined in the January 24, 2008, report to the Executive Committee;
 - d. an evaluation of the assumptions used to recommend that an 8-week supply of antiviral medication and protective equipment is adequate; and
 - e. plans to fund the above-noted purchases beyond the amount currently set aside in the reserve fund.
11. The appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

(March 19, 2008) Report from the City Manager, the Medical Officer of Health and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends to City Council that:

1. the antiviral medication Oseltamivir (Tamiflu) in the total amount of \$1,541,719.00 be purchased from Hoffman-LaRoche Ltd. for staff who as part of their work are expected to provide direct care to persons who are ill with influenza;
2. expenditures totalling \$606,000.00 be approved to set up a warehousing and distribution system for pandemic influenza supplies;
3. the 2008 Non-Program Operating Budget, Other Corporate Expenditures, be increased by \$1,541,719 gross, \$0 net; and the 2008 Office of the Treasurer's 2008 Operating Budget be increased by \$606,000.00 gross, \$0 net; for a total of \$2,147,719.00 to be funded from the City's Emergency Planning Reserve Fund;
4. the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer report to the Budget Committee in 2008 on funding options for the remaining stockpiling requirements for pandemic influenza preparedness as outlined in the financial impact statement of the January 24, 2008 report from the City Manager, Medical Officer of Health and Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer;
5. the City Manager, the Medical Officer of Health and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer report back to the Executive Committee before the end of 2008 on the status of consultations with both the Federal and Provincial Governments;
6. the City Solicitor be requested to submit a report to the Executive Committee on a possible request to the Federal Government to enact legislation to override drug patent protection in the event of a widespread pandemic and to seek international co-operation with other countries through the World Health Organization;
7. the Medical Officer of Health be requested to submit a report to the Executive Committee on the potential 80/20 diversification of the City's stockpile of anti-viral medications for prophylactic use in an influenza pandemic before any further purchases of anti-viral medications are made and include any potential rebalancing within the overall purchase;
8. the members of the Toronto Emergency Management Committee be included in the distribution of prophylactic antiviral medications in the event of an influenza pandemic;
9. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact

Of the total \$27.450 million identified in the January 24, 2008 stockpiling report required for the City's influenza pandemic preparedness, this report recommends commitments to purchase Oseltamivir (Tamiflu) totalling \$1.542 million and expenditures to set up a warehouse and distribution system totalling \$0.606 million in 2008. With the exception of ongoing costs of warehousing staff of \$0.136 million, all expenditures are one-time. Thus, the total estimated 2008 expenditures of \$2.148 million will be funded from the City's Emergency Planning Reserve Fund with a current balance of \$5.1 million.

Pending the result of consultations with both the federal and provincial governments, additional expenditures may be required to further purchase antiviral medications. Staff will report back to Executive Committee before the end of 2008 on the status of these consultations and as indicated in the January 24, 2008 report to Executive Committee, the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer will report to Budget Committee in 2008 on a funding source for the remaining requirements for PPE and infection control supplies, antiviral medications, stockpiling costs for 8 weeks of operational supplies and ongoing staffing costs for warehousing.

Summary

This report responds to the Executive Committee's request to the Mayor and City Manager to undertake discussions with the Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) and the Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care regarding the City's influenza pandemic planning and preparedness process and issues arising as a result.

The intent of these discussions was to seek support through FCM for engaging the federal government on municipal concerns around influenza pandemic planning, including the establishment of a working group of interested municipalities, and to request the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care to assist in finding ways to minimize financial loss to all government and government funded bodies on the stockpiling of antiviral medications in the event that the stockpiled supplies expire and can no longer be used before a pandemic occurs.

Background Information

Pandemic Influenza Stockpiling Requirements - Status of Intergovernmental Discussions
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11754.pdf>)

2a Stockpiling Requirements for Pandemic Influenza Preparedness - EX Report

(February 6, 2008) Report from the Executive Committee

Summary

Communication (February 6, 2008) from the City Clerk advising the Executive Committee of the action taken at its meeting held on February 6, 2008, regarding the report (January 24, 2008) from the City Manager, the Medical Officer of Health and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, entitled "Stockpiling Requirements for Pandemic Influenza Preparedness".

Background Information

Stockpiling Requirements for Pandemic Influenza Preparedness - EX Report
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-12254.pdf>)

2b Stockpiling Requirements for Pandemic Influenza Preparedness

(January 24, 2008) Report from the City Manager, the Medical Officer of Health and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Financial Impact

The following table summarizes the estimated City expenditures for the stockpiling requirements for pandemic influenza preparedness.

Stockpiling Requirements for Pandemic Influenza Preparedness Expenditures (\$ millions)						
Year	Personal Protective Equipment and Infection Control Supplies	Antiviral Medication		Stockpiling 8 weeks of Operational Supplies	Warehousing and Distribution of supplies	Total
		TAMIFLU	RELENZA			
2008					0.606	0.606
2009	13.745	4.724	0.601	7.507	0.267	26.844
Total	13.745	4.724	0.601	7.507	0.873	27.450
Emergency Planning Reserve Fund						5.100
Additional Funding Requirements						22.350

Of the total \$27.450 million required, this report recommends commitments to purchase Oseltamivir (Tamiflu) totalling \$4.724 million and expenditures to set up a warehouse and controls of distribution totalling \$0.606 million in 2008. The City's Emergency Planning Reserve Fund with a balance of \$5.1 million will fund 2008 expenditures. With the exception of ongoing cost of warehousing staff of \$0.136 million, all expenditures are one-time. However, as the shelf life of the antiviral medication is only 4.25 years, future expenditures may be required to maintain the stock.

The City Divisions and ABCs considered essential to service continuity were also requested to provide their requirements for operational supplies to be stockpiled in the event of a pandemic influenza. Essential operational supplies are required to last 8 weeks in the event of a Pandemic. The required inventory totalling \$7.507 million is beyond what City Divisions and ABCs normally stockpile for ongoing operations. Stockpiling for personal protective equipment (PPE) and infection control supplies estimated at \$13.745 million is also well beyond what would be considered normal stockpiling of these types of supplies at the City.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer will report to Budget Committee in 2008 on a funding source for the remaining requirements for PPE and infection control supplies, antiviral medication, stockpiling costs for 8 weeks of operational supplies and ongoing staffing

cost for warehousing totalling \$22.350 million.

In regard to provincial partnership funding, the City submits that the unique circumstances surrounding the planning for an event such as pandemic influenza requires appropriate provincial funding of antiviral medication, PPE and infection control supplies. However, the stockpiling for operational supplies and warehousing should remain a municipal responsibility.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to advise City Council of the stockpiling requirements essential to help ensure the continuity of critical City operations in the event of a pandemic influenza and to seek Council approval to purchase the antiviral medication Oseltamivir (Tamiflu) and report further on a funding source for the remaining requirements.

Background Information

Stockpiling Requirements for Pandemic Influenza Preparedness
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11217.pdf>)

Communications

(April 3, 2008) letter from Bridget Wells, Director, Ontario Health Policy and Government Relations, Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. (EX.Main.EX19.2.1)

(April 7, 2008) letter from Danielle Kotras, Senior Manager, Public Affairs & Stakeholder Relations, GlaxoSmithKline (EX.Main.EX19.2.2)

(April 7, 2008) Presentation on Tamiflu and the Role of Antivirals in Pandemic Planning, from Jim Hall, Vice President, Roche Canada. (EX.Main.EX19.2.3)

Speakers

Ms. Danielle Kotras, Public Affairs and Stakeholder Relations, GlaxoSmithKline

Mr. Noel Saraza, Manager, Public Affairs and Reimbursement, GlaxoSmithKline

Mr. Jamie Slater, Manager-Tamiflu, Hoffman-La Roche, Hoffman LaRoche Ltd

Ms. Lisa Yee, GSK

Mr. Jim Hall, Vice President Sales and Marketing, Hoffmann LaRoche Ltd

EX19.4	AMENDED			Ward: All
--------	---------	--	--	-----------

Toronto's 2006 Performance Measurement and Benchmarking Report

City Council Decision

City Council on April 28 and 29, 2008, adopted the following motions:

1. Applicable sections of the attached report entitled Toronto's 2006 Performance Measurement and Benchmarking Report, be considered in the development and review of Service Plans.
2. The General Manager of Transportation Services report back to the Public Works and Infrastructure Committee after the seven-year winter control contracts have been awarded, on any savings or increased effectiveness that are expected to be realized in the future from these contracts.
3. The City Manager be requested to include in next year's report the one best and the one worst areas of performance, and the summary report be succinct.
4. The City Manager be directed to request the Ontario Municipal Benchmarking Initiative (OMBI) to develop measurements to evaluate the cleanliness of the City of Toronto and other relevant municipal jurisdictions.
5. City officials responsible for any services found to be in the bottom 2 quartiles be requested to report to the relevant Standing Committee with strategies and recommendations for improving service standards and efficiencies to the general public.

(March 19, 2008) Report from the City Manager

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends to City Council that:

1. Applicable sections of the attached report entitled Toronto's 2006 Performance Measurement and Benchmarking Report, be considered in the development and review of Service Plans;
2. The General Manager of Transportation Services report back to the Public Works and Infrastructure Committee after the seven-year winter control contracts have been awarded, on any savings or increased effectiveness that are expected to be realized in the future from these contracts;
3. the City Manager be requested to include in next year's report the one best and the one worst areas of performance, and the summary report be succinct.

Decision Advice and Other Information

Ms. Shirley Hoy, City Manager and Mr. Lorne Turner, Senior Financial Advisor, delivered a presentation to the Executive Committee on Toronto's 2006 Performance Measurement and Benchmarking Report, and filed a copy of their presentation material.

Financial Impact

As this report deals with performance measurement results of prior years, there are no financial implications arising from this report.

Summary

This and the accompanying report included as Attachment B, entitled Toronto's 2006 Performance Measurement and Benchmarking Report, provide service level and performance measurement results in nineteen service areas. It includes up to seven years of Toronto's historical data to examine internal trends, and compares results externally to fourteen other municipalities through the Ontario Municipal CAOs Benchmarking Initiative (OMBI).

In December 2007, the fifteen OMBI member municipalities released a joint report entitled OMBI 2006 Performance Benchmarking Report (OMBI Joint Report), which is included as Attachment C. The OMBI Joint Report provides 2005 and 2006 summary data in sixteen service areas. Municipal results for each performance measure are presented as information in alphabetical order, but the report does not attempt to interpret or rank the results of municipalities in any way.

Toronto's 2006 Performance Measurement and Benchmarking Report, expands on the OMBI Joint Report by focusing on Toronto's results in terms of our internal year-over-year changes and longer term trends, and the ranking of Toronto's results in an external comparison to the other OMBI municipalities. It also includes three additional service areas, more performance measures and service level indicators, and the identification of key factors influencing Toronto's results.

Toronto is unique among Ontario municipalities because of its size and its role as the centre of business, culture, entertainment, sporting and provincial and international governance activities in the Greater Toronto Area. The most accurate comparison for Toronto is to examine our own year-over-year performance and longer-term historical trends.

Results show that for 83% of the service level indicators, Toronto's service levels were maintained (stable) or have increased in 2006 compared to 2005. Toronto's internal trends in performance measurement results (efficiency, customer service and community impact) indicate that 73% of the measures had results that were either improved or stable in 2006 in relation to 2005. This report also includes for each service area, a number of continuous improvement initiatives from 2007 and 2008 that may further improve Toronto's operations in the future.

Despite Toronto's unique place in Ontario, there is also value in comparing Toronto's 2006 results to those of other Ontario municipalities. Toronto's results have been ranked by quartile, in relation to other municipalities for 43 service level indicators and 89 performance measures. Between Toronto's 2005 and 2006 Benchmarking Reports, there has been very little change in Toronto's quartile ranking for each of the indicators and measures in relation to other municipalities. Changes in Toronto's quartile ranking for individual measures is more likely to occur over a five-year or longer period.

Factors that make Toronto unique, such as our high population density, fully developed urban

form and older infrastructure, can have a significant influence on why Toronto's results are higher or lower in relation to other municipalities. To assist in understanding the impact these factors can have on Toronto's ranking, results in this report have also been grouped from across service areas with these key influencing factors.

It is also recognized that comparisons of Toronto's service delivery and quality of life should also go beyond Ontario and include results from other large Canadian and international cities if comparable data is available. Other national and international initiatives Toronto is involved in are described briefly in this report.

Background Information

Toronto's 2006 Performance Measurement and Benchmarking Report - Covering Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11759.pdf>)

Attachment A - Review of Winter Maintenance of Roads

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11760.pdf>)

Toronto's 2006 Performance Measurement and Benchmarking Report - Attachment B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11879.pdf>)

OMBI 2006 Performance Benchmarking Report - Attachment C

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11882.pdf>)

EX19.6	NO AMENDMENT			Ward: 21
--------	--------------	--	--	----------

Establishment of a Reserve Account for Parks Improvements - Glen Cedar Park Fundraising Committee

City Council Decision

City Council on April 28 and 29, 2008, adopted the following motions:

1. The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be authorized to establish a reserve called the "Glen Cedar Park Reserve" for receiving cash donations toward enhancements of Glen Cedar Park.
2. Municipal Code, Chapter 227 [Reserves and Reserve Funds] be amended by adding the "Glen Cedar Park Reserve" to Schedule 5 – Donations Reserves.
3. All donations received by the Glen Cedar Park Fundraising Committee and by the City for the Glen Cedar Park Reserve Account be held separately in the Glen Cedar Park Reserve designated for this purpose, and receipts for income tax purposes be issued by the City of Toronto to donors for eligible donations in accordance with the Income Tax Act and City policy in this regard.
4. Authority be granted to Parks, Forestry and Recreation to enter into an agreement, if necessary, with the Glen Cedar Park Fundraising Committee to allow the Glen Cedar Park Fundraising Committee to fundraise on behalf of the City of Toronto for enhancements in Glen Cedar Park, in a form and content satisfactory to the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation and the City Solicitor.

5. The appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and leave be granted for the introduction of any necessary bills in Council to give effect thereto.

(March 19, 2008) Report from the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends to City Council that:

1. the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be authorized to establish a reserve called the "Glen Cedar Park Reserve" for receiving cash donations toward enhancements of Glen Cedar Park;
2. Municipal Code, Chapter 227 [Reserves and Reserve Funds] be amended by adding the "Glen Cedar Park Reserve" to Schedule 5 – Donations Reserves;
3. all donations received by the Glen Cedar Park Fundraising Committee and by the City for the Glen Cedar Park Reserve Account be held separately in the Glen Cedar Park Reserve designated for this purpose, and receipts for income tax purposes be issued by the City of Toronto to donors for eligible donations in accordance with the Income Tax Act and City policy in this regard;
4. authority be granted to Parks, Forestry and Recreation to enter into an agreement, if necessary, with the Glen Cedar Park Fundraising Committee to allow the Glen Cedar Park Fundraising Committee to fundraise on behalf of the City of Toronto for enhancements in Glen Cedar Park, in a form and content satisfactory to the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation and the City Solicitor; and
5. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and that leave be granted for the introduction of any necessary bills in Council to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact

This report recommends the creation of a Reserve to support fundraising for the purchase of playground equipment and protective surfacing at Glen Cedar Park. The fundraising target for this Reserve is \$50,000.00 to be used entirely in 2009 as a supplement to the \$100,000 the City has allocated to this park.

Operating costs are already allocated for maintenance and operation of the existing children's playground in Glen Cedar Park. Those operating costs are not expected to increase due to the proposed park enhancement.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain authorization to establish a reserve account to receive donations from the Glen Cedar Park Fundraising Committee in order to enhance the \$100,000 in funds the City has committed to Glen Cedar Park improvements in 2009. The report will also request authority for the City of Toronto to issue receipts for income tax purposes for eligible donations in accordance with the Income Tax Act.

The Glen Cedar Park Fundraising Committee wishes to raise funds to improve Glen Cedar Park. The raised funds will be used primarily to purchase new play equipment and protective surface material, with specifics to be determined once the total amount of funds raised is known.

The fundraising target for this reserve fund is \$50,000.00, to be used entirely in 2009 as a supplement to the \$100,000 the City has allocated to this park.

The goals and objectives of the Glen Cedar Park Fundraising Committee are in keeping with the mandate of the Parks, Forestry and Recreation Division to provide active recreation opportunities. Consequently, the Parks, Forestry and Recreation Division recommends that the reserve fund be established.

Background Information

Establishment of a Reserve Account for Parks Improvements - Glen Cedar Park Fundraising Committee
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11818.pdf>

EX19.7	AMENDED			Ward: All
--------	---------	--	--	-----------

Report on Congruence between Lobbying By-law and Obligations under Members Code of Conduct

City Council Decision

City Council on April 28 and 29, 2008, adopted the following motions:

1. Council approve the following amendments to Articles XIII and IV of the Members Code of Conduct:

1. Substitute the following for existing Article XIII:

XIII. CONDUCT RESPECTING LOBBYISTS:

Lobbying of public office holders is a permissible but regulated activity in the City of Toronto. Lobbying is defined and regulated by Municipal Code Chapter 140, Lobbying (the City's lobbying by-law inclusive of the Lobbyist Code of Conduct).

Members of Council and Local Boards (Restricted Definition), and

their staff are public office holders. As a matter of general principle as public office holders, members of Council and Local Boards (Restricted Definition) should be familiar with the terms of this lobbying by-law inclusive of the Lobbyist Code of Conduct (Chapter 140).

Specifically, members of Council and Local Boards (Restricted Definition) should not engage knowingly in communications in respect of the list of subject matters contained in the definition of "Lobby" as set out in Chapter 140 with a person who is not registered as required by Chapter 140.

Members of Council and Local Boards (Restricted Definition) should also not knowingly communicate with a registered lobbyist who is acting in violation of Chapter 140.

If a member of Council or Local Board (Restricted Definition) is or at any time becomes aware that a person is in violation of Chapter 140, that member should either refuse to deal with the lobbyist or, where appropriate, either terminate the communication with the lobbyist at once or, if in the member's judgment it is appropriate to continue the communication, at the end of the communication, draw that person's attention to the obligation imposed by Chapter 140.

A member should report any such violation or attempted violation of Chapter 140 to the Lobbyist Registrar unless the member believes in good faith that the violation in communicating or attempting to communicate with the member was inadvertent or insignificant.

2. Substitute the following for the existing fourth paragraph of Article IV:

Except for category (c) (political contributions allowable by law), these exceptions do not apply where such gifts or benefits are provided by lobbyists or their clients or employers (as defined or described in Municipal Code Chapter 140, Lobbying). For these purposes, a lobbyist is an individual, organization or business that:

- i. is lobbying or causing the lobbying of any public office holder at the City, a local board (restricted definition) or the board of health;
- ii. the member knows is intending to lobby, having submitted or intending to submit a registration to the Lobbyist Registrar for approval to communicate on a subject matter; or
- iii. is maintaining an active lobbyist registration with the City even though not having a current active subject matter registered with the lobbyist registry.

2. The June 2008 report from the Lobbyist Registrar include options for a Council mechanism to clarify interpretation of the Lobbying By-law and the Members Code of Conduct in instances where they appear to be, or may be inconsistent.
3. The following motion be referred to the Executive Committee for consideration when further amendments to the lobbying by-law are brought forward in June 2008:

Moved by Councillor Hall:

That:

1. Executive Committee Recommendation 1 be deleted.
2. Part XIII of the Members' Code of Conduct, headed "Conduct Respecting Lobbyists" be deleted.

(March 18, 2008) Report from the Lobbyist Registrar and Integrity Commissioner

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that Council approve the following amendments to Articles XIII and IV of the Members Code of Conduct:

1. Substitute the following for existing Article XIII:

XIII. CONDUCT RESPECTING LOBBYISTS:

Lobbying of public office holders is a permissible but regulated activity in the City of Toronto. Lobbying is defined and regulated by Municipal Code Chapter 140, Lobbying (the City's lobbying by-law inclusive of the Lobbyist Code of Conduct).

Members of Council and Local Boards (Restricted Definition), and their staff are public office holders. As a matter of general principle as public office holders, members of Council and Local Boards (Restricted Definition) should be familiar with the terms of this lobbying by-law inclusive of the Lobbyist Code of Conduct (Chapter 140).

Specifically, members of Council and Local Boards (Restricted Definition) should not engage knowingly in communications in respect of the list of subject matters contained in the definition of "Lobby" as set out in Chapter 140 with a person who is not registered as required by Chapter 140.

Members of Council and Local Boards (Restricted Definition) should also not knowingly communicate with a registered lobbyist who is acting in violation of Chapter 140.

If a member of Council or Local Board (Restricted Definition) is or at any time becomes aware that a person is in violation of Chapter 140, that member should either refuse to deal with the lobbyist or, where appropriate, either terminate the communication with the lobbyist at once or, if in the member's judgment it is appropriate to continue the communication, at the end of the communication, draw that person's attention to the obligation imposed by Chapter 140.

A member should report any such violation or attempted violation of Chapter 140 to the Lobbyist Registrar unless the member believes in good faith that the violation in communicating or attempting to communicate with the member was inadvertent or insignificant.

2. Substitute the following for the existing fourth paragraph of Article IV:

Except for category (c) (political contributions allowable by law), these exceptions do not apply where such gifts or benefits are provided by lobbyists or their clients or employers (as defined or described in Municipal Code Chapter 140, Lobbying). For these purposes, a lobbyist is an individual, organization or business that:

- i. is lobbying or causing the lobbying of any public office holder at the City, a local board (restricted definition) or the board of health;
- ii. the member knows is intending to lobby, having submitted or intending to submit a registration to the Lobbyist Registrar for approval to communicate on a subject matter; or
- iii. is maintaining an active lobbyist registration with the City even though not having a current active subject matter registered with the lobbyist registry.

Financial Impact

This report will have no financial impact.

Summary

This report addresses the issue of the relationship between the Lobbying By-law and the Code of Conduct for Members of Council and Local Boards (Restricted Definition) ("Members Code of Conduct"). It provides information on the current operating protocols of the offices of the Lobbyist Registrar and the Integrity Commissioner with respect to the responsibilities of members of Council and Local Boards (Restricted Definition) in engaging with lobbyists. It also proposes various amendments to the Members Code of Conduct to ensure greater clarity in the obligations of members of Council and Local Boards (Restricted Definition) in dealings with lobbyists.

Background Information

Report on Congruence between Lobbying By-law and Obligations under Members Code of Conduct

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11779.pdf>

Additional Communications (City Council)

- (June 29, 2007) from Lynn Morrison, Lobbyist Registrar, Legislative Assembly of Ontario, forwarding the Annual Report, April 1, 2006 to March 31, 2007, for the Lobbyists Registration Office, Ontario, submitted by Councillor Suzan Hall, Ward 1, Etobicoke North (EX19.7.1)

EX19.9	AMENDED			Ward: All
--------	---------	--	--	-----------

Personal Vehicle Tax - Administrative Design Features and Implementation Authorities

Confidential Attachment - The security of the property of the municipality or local board

City Council Decision

City Council on April 28 and 29, 2008, adopted the following motions:

1. Council approve the administrative design features for the Personal Vehicle Tax (PVT) to be effective September 1, 2008, as set out in Appendix A.
2. Council request the Province to take necessary actions to implement the PVT effective September 1, 2008, including:
 - a. amend the relevant legislation or regulations to allow for refusal to renew vehicle permits for failure to pay the PVT, and to allow the Province to provide collection services to the City in respect of the PVT; and
 - b. make any other legislative or regulatory amendments as may be necessary to implement the PVT as recommended by this report.
3. Council authorize and direct appropriate City officials to enter into all necessary agreements with the Province, pursuant to which:
 - i. the Province would be named the City's collection agent of the PVT;
 - ii. the Province will develop systems to collect the PVT, in accordance with the design features in Part 1; and
 - iii. appropriate authority for information sharing between the two levels of government is provided;

all in accordance with the terms and conditions set out in Confidential Attachment 1 and in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor (the "Provincial Agreement") and with costs to be funded from proceeds of the tax.

4. The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be granted authority to recruit and hire the necessary staff required for the on-going administration of the PVT by the City as described herein at an estimated annualized cost of \$350,000.00 (\$275,000.00 in 2008) to be funded from the proceeds of the tax.
5. Council delegate the hearing of any PVT appeals to the Government Management Committee and designate the Government Management Committee as the City's appeal and dispute resolution body under the PVT by-law.
6. The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be requested to continue to meet with the Specialty Vehicle Association of Ontario to determine if adjustments in the licensing of specialty vehicles can be accommodated for September 1, 2009.
7. Council approve Recommendations 1 and 2 contained in the confidential attachment (April 1, 2008) from the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer.
8. The recommendations contained in the confidential attachment to the report (April 1, 2008) from the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be made public upon execution of the Provincial Agreement, subject only to any confidentiality provisions that the Province requires from the City, as communicated to the City Solicitor.
9. The City Solicitor be authorized to introduce the necessary bills to give effect to the PVT and to amend the City's procedural by-law to delegate the hearing of PVT appeals to the Government Management Committee, and the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Confidential Attachment 1 to the report (April 1, 2008) from the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, remains confidential in its entirety, at this time, in accordance with the provisions of the City of Toronto Act, 2006, as it contains information related to the security of the property of the municipality or local board. The recommendations contained in Confidential Attachment 1 will be made public upon execution of the Provincial Agreement, subject only to any confidentiality provisions that the Province requires from the City, as communicated to the City Solicitor.

(April 1, 2008) Report from the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends to City Council that:

1. Council approve the administrative design features for the Personal Vehicle Tax (PVT) to be effective September 1, 2008, as set out in Appendix A.
2. Council request the Province to take necessary actions to implement the PVT effective

September 1, 2008, including:

- a. amend the relevant legislation or regulations to allow for refusal to renew vehicle permits for failure to pay the PVT, and to allow the Province to provide collection services to the City in respect of the PVT;
 - b. make any other legislative or regulatory amendments as may be necessary to implement the PVT as recommended by this report.
3. Council authorize and direct appropriate City officials to enter into all necessary agreements with the Province, pursuant to which:
- i. the Province would be named the City's collection agent of the PVT,
 - ii. the Province will develop systems to collect the PVT in accordance with the design features in Recommendation 1, and
 - iii. appropriate authority for information sharing between the two levels of government is provided,
- all in accordance with the terms and conditions set out in Confidential Attachment 1 and in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor (the "Provincial Agreement") and with costs to be funded from proceeds of the tax.
4. The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be granted authority to recruit and hire the necessary staff required for the on-going administration of the PVT by the City as described herein at an estimated annualized cost of \$350,000 (\$275,000 in 2008) to be funded from the proceeds of the tax.
5. Council delegate the hearing of any PVT appeals to the Government Management Committee and designate the Government Management Committee as the City's appeal and dispute resolution body under the PVT by-law.
6. Council approve Recommendations 1 and 2 contained in the confidential attachment (April 1, 2008) from the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer;
7. the recommendations contained in the confidential attachment to the report (April 1, 2008) from the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be made public upon execution of the Provincial Agreement, subject only to any confidentiality provisions that the Province requires from the City, as communicated to the City Solicitor; and
8. The City Solicitor be authorized to introduce the necessary bills to give effect to the PVT and to amend the City's procedural by-law to delegate the hearing of PVT appeals to the Government Management Committee, and the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take necessary actions to give effect thereto.

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Executive Committee:

1. requested the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer to submit a report directly to Council for its meeting to be held on April 28, 2008, on how best to collect the tax on the old specialty vehicles; and
2. approved Recommendation 3. contained in the confidential attachment (April 1, 2008) from the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer.

The Executive Committee held a public meeting on April 7, 2008, in accordance with the City of Toronto Act, 2006, and notice of the proposed amendment to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 27, Council procedures, was posted on the City's web site for a minimum of 5 days.

Financial Impact

The projected revenue for the PVT included in the recommended 2008 Operating Budget is \$20 million, net of collection / administration / enforcement costs. This budget was set before specific design parameters, the precise implementation date, and the collection agreement terms and costs estimates had been finalized. Also, because the PVT tax is new, staff have relied on estimates of the net revenues that may be obtained based on the best data available. Actual revenues will be closely monitored and reported to Council as part of the year-end variance process.

Furthermore, it must be noted that any material change to the recommended tax design of the PVT or to the terms of the collection agreement with the Province would very likely affect the proposed September 1, 2008 implementation date and lead to increases in system development costs payable to the Province.

The City is incurring costs for the development of PVT for outside legal services related to tax design and drafting the bylaw, and will also incur communication costs related to providing public notice of the PVT. These costs are expected to total \$150,000 and will be funded from within operating budget allocations.

Additionally, the administrative programs required for PVT (e.g. call centre customer services, PVT revenue accounting/reporting and corporate IT support) would cost Revenue Services Division an estimated \$275,000 in 2008 and \$350,000 annually thereafter, and are recommended to be funded from the proceeds of the PVT. The estimated costs incorporate recruiting and hiring of four FTE (full time equivalent) support staff. It is recommended that the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be granted authority to recruit and hire the necessary staff required for the implementation and on-going administration of the PVT.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to seek all necessary authorities to implement a Personal Vehicle Tax (PVT) effective September 1, 2008, including approval of the administrative design features for the tax, and authority to enter into a PVT administration and collection agreement with the Province of Ontario.

This report is submitted in accordance with the decisions of Council from its meetings of October 22-23, 2007 in regard to report EX 10.1 (entitled "New Taxation Measures – City of Toronto Act, 2006") and November 19-20, 2007 in regard to report EX 13.7 (entitled New Taxation Measures – Design Features and Implementation Authorities”).

Background Information

Personal Vehicle Tax - Administrative Design Features and Implementation Authorities

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11889.pdf>)

Appendix A - PVT Administrative Design Features

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11890.pdf>)

Additional Background Information (City Council)

- Report (April 21, 2008) from the City Manager and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer ([EX.19.9b](#))

9a Personal Vehicle Tax – Administrative Design Features and Implementation Authorities

(March 18, 2008) Report from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Summary

This is to advise that the Personal Vehicle Tax – Administrative Design Features and Implementation Authorities report will be on the Supplementary Agenda for the Executive Committee meeting of April 7, 2008.

Background Information

Personal Vehicle Tax - Administrative Design Features and Implementation Authorities

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11781.pdf>)

Communications

- (April 4, 2008) letter from Glenn Burton-Thomas (EX.Main.EX19.9.1)
- (April 5, 2008) letter from Bob McJannett (EX.Main.EX19.9.2)
- (April 6, 2008) letter from Larry, Cheryl and Allan Parsons (EX.Main.EX19.9.3)
- (April 5, 2008) letter from Mac MacCabe (EX.Main.EX19.9.4)
- (April 5, 2008) letter from Glenn Burton-Thomas (EX.Main.EX19.9.5)
- (April 6, 2008) letter from Mrs. Arlene de Graauw-Baillie (EX.Main.EX19.9.6)

Speakers

Mr. Glenn Burton Thomas, Director, Specialty Vehicle Association of Ontario
Ms. Arlene Degraauw-Baillie, Secretary, Toronto Area Street Rod Association

EX19.10	NO AMENDMENT			
---------	--------------	--	--	--

Toronto Water Capital Budget: 2007 Carry Forward Funding and 2008 Cashflow Reallocations

City Council Decision

City Council on April 28 and 29, 2008, adopted the following motions:

1. City Council approve the \$112.0 million in unspent cash-flow from Toronto Water's approved 2007 Capital Budget and related financing, as detailed in Appendix 1, being carried forward and included in Toronto Water's approved 2008 Capital Budget. As the total carry-forward amount remains unchanged, the adjustments have no net impact on Toronto Water's approved 2008 Capital Budget cashflow of \$410.0 million.
2. City Council approve the technical adjustments and budget reallocations detailed in Appendix 2 of this report, which have no impact on the Toronto Water's total approved 2008 Capital Budget cashflow.
3. The appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

(March 18, 2008) Memo from the Budget Committee

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends to City Council that:

1. City Council approve the \$112.0 million in unspent cash-flow from Toronto Water's approved 2007 Capital Budget and related financing, as detailed in Appendix 1, being carried forward and included in Toronto Water's approved 2008 Capital Budget. As the total carry-forward amount remains unchanged, the adjustments have no net impact on Toronto Water's approved 2008 Capital Budget cashflow of \$410.0 million.
2. City Council approve the technical adjustments and budget reallocations detailed in Appendix 2 of this report, which have no impact on the Toronto Water's total approved 2008 Capital Budget cashflow.
3. The appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact

There are no direct financial impacts to the 2008 approved Capital Budget of \$410.0 million,

comprised of \$112.0 million of unspent 2007 carry-forwards and \$298.0 million of approved new 2008 cashflow.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to recommend carry-forward funding to continue 2007 approved projects and to advise Council of budget reallocations recommended to realign the Council Approved Budget with Toronto Water's 2008 work program.

Background Information

Toronto Water Capital Budget: 2007 Carry Forward Funding and 2008 Cashflow Reallocations - Staff Report and Appendices 1 and 2

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11782.pdf>

Toronto Water Capital Budget: 2007 Carry Forward Funding and 2008 Cashflow Reallocations

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11819.pdf>

Communications

(April 4, 2008) letter from Lee Anne Jones, Manager, Capital Programming & Facility Asset Planning, Toronto Water (EX.Main.EX19.10.1)

EX19.11	NO AMENDMENT			
---------	--------------	--	--	--

Additional Federal Funding for Security at Union Station and Other Facilities Capital Adjustments

City Council Decision

City Council on April 28 and 29, 2008, adopted the following motions:

1. City Council approve the contribution agreements between the City and Transport Canada-Transit Secure to secure Round 3 and Round 4 funding for security upgrades at Union Station and authorize the appropriate City officials to sign the agreements on behalf of the City.
2. The 2009 Approved Capital Estimates for Union Station Capital Plan be increased by \$1.838 million gross, \$0 net, to accommodate Round 4 security funding; funded in the amount of \$1.838 million from Transport Canada and \$0.612 million reallocated from within the Approved Capital Estimates as outlined in Schedule 'A'.
3. City Council approve the reallocation of funding in the 2008 Approved Union Station Capital Budget in the amount of \$0.669 million, as illustrated in Schedule "B" attached, with a gross and net impact of zero.

4. City Council approve an increase in 2007 carry-forward funding in the Pedestrian Bridge subproject, as illustrated in Schedule "C" attached.
5. City Council amend the 2008 Approved Facilities and Real Estate Capital Budget and 2009-2012 Capital Plan by adding four new subprojects for a total cashflow of \$0.881 million in 2008 and future year estimates of \$0.535 million in 2009, with funding provided by reallocations from within the Approved 2008 Capital Budget and 2009-2012 Capital Plan, as outlined in the attached Schedule "D".

(March 18, 2008) Memo from the Budget Committee

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends to City Council that:

1. City Council approve the contribution agreements between the City and Transport Canada-Transit Secure to secure Round 3 and Round 4 funding for security upgrades at Union Station and authorize the appropriate City officials to sign the agreements on behalf of the City.
2. The 2009 Approved Capital Estimates for Union Station Capital Plan be increased by \$1.838 million gross, \$0 net, to accommodate Round 4 security funding; funded in the amount of \$1.838 million from Transport Canada and \$0.612 million reallocated from within the Approved Capital Estimates as outlined in Schedule 'A'.
3. City Council approve the reallocation of funding in the 2008 Approved Union Station Capital Budget in the amount of \$0.669 million, as illustrated in Schedule "B" attached, with a gross and net impact of zero.
4. City Council approve an increase in 2007 carry-forward funding in the Pedestrian Bridge subproject, as illustrated in schedule "C" attached.
5. City Council amend the 2008 Approved Facilities and Real Estate Capital Budget and 2009-2012 Capital Plan by adding four new subprojects for a total cashflow of \$0.881 million in 2008 and future year estimates of \$0.535 million in 2009, with funding provided by reallocations from within the Approved 2008 Capital Budget and 2009-2012 Capital Plan, as outlined in the attached Schedule "D".

Financial Impact

There are no additional costs to the City as a result of approval of this report.

Union Station

Funding for Round 3 of the security project, in the amount of \$6.551 million, is included in the 2008 Approved Capital Budget and the 2009 to 2012 Capital Plan. The Round 4 security project cost is \$2.45 million, with \$1.838 million of Federal funding and \$0.612 million of City

funding. The funding of \$0.612 million is being reallocated from within the Union Station's approved 2008 – 2012 Capital Budget and Plan; Structural project. The amount of \$0.831 million is being carried forward from 2007 to 2008 for the Pedestrian Bridge project and funding in the amount of \$0.669 million is being reallocated from the Exterior Façade Repairs project to the Pedestrian Bridge project.

Facilities and Real Estate

The approval of this report will result in the addition of four new subprojects to the Facilities and Real Estate 2008 Approved Capital Budget and 2009-2012 Capital Plan and reallocation of the already approved cash flows and estimates in the amount of \$0.881 million and \$0.535 million in 2008 and 2009 respectively. (Schedule "D").

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Summary

This report requests Council's authority to amend the 2008 Approved Capital Budget and 2009-2012 Capital Plan for Union Station and the Facilities and Real Estate Division (F&RE) by including the latest round of Federal approved funding for Security related subprojects at Union Station, adjusting the cashflow in the Pedestrian Bridge subproject, and by adding four new subprojects to the F&RE 5-year capital plan. These projects will be funded by reallocations from already approved projects, with a zero net impact corporately.

Background Information

Additional Federal Funding for Security at Union Station and Other Facilities Capital Adjustments

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11820.pdf>

Additional Federal Funding for Security at Union Station and Other Facilities Capital Adjustments - Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11821.pdf>

Additional Federal Funding for Security at Union Station and Other Facilities Capital Adjustments - Schedules A-D

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11784.pdf>

The Transit-Secure Contribution Program

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11822.pdf>

The Transit-Secure Contribution Program - Letter January 28, 2008

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11823.pdf>

EX19.12	NO AMENDMENT			Ward: All
---------	--------------	--	--	-----------

Preliminary Capital Variance Report for the Year Ended December 31, 2007

City Council Decision

City Council on April 28 and 29, 2008, adopted the following motions:

1. City Council approve the budget and technical adjustments to the 2007 Approved Capital Budget as detailed in Appendix 2 attached.
2. City Council approve draws of \$69.509 million from the Provincial Gas Tax Reserve Fund; \$41.015 million from the Canada Strategic Infrastructure Reserve Fund; \$75.000 million from the Public Transit Capital Trust Reserve Fund; \$3.290 million from the Ontario Bus Replacement Program Reserve Fund; \$1.638 million from the Transit Technology Infrastructure Program Reserve Fund and \$44.564 million from the Ontario Rolling Stock Infrastructure Reserve Fund for a total of \$235.016 million to fund the provincial share of the Toronto Transit Commission 2007 actual capital expenditures.
3. City Council approve closure of completed projects as detailed in Appendix 3, and in accordance with the Financial Control By-law, direct that, after fully funding overspent projects, any remaining unspent funds be returned to original funding sources.

(March 6, 2008) Report from the Budget Committee

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends to City Council that:

1. City Council approve the budget and technical adjustments to the 2007 Approved Capital Budget as detailed in Appendix 2 attached.
2. City Council approve draws of \$69.509 million from the Provincial Gas Tax Reserve Fund; \$41.015 million from the Canada Strategic Infrastructure Reserve Fund; \$75.000 million from the Public Transit Capital Trust Reserve Fund; \$3.290 million from the Ontario Bus Replacement Program Reserve Fund; \$1.638 million from the Transit Technology Infrastructure Program Reserve Fund and \$44.564 million from the Ontario Rolling Stock Infrastructure Reserve Fund for a total of \$235.016 million to fund the provincial share of the Toronto Transit Commission 2007 actual capital expenditures.
3. City Council approve closure of completed projects as detailed in Appendix 3, and in accordance with the Financial Control By-law, direct that, after fully funding overspent projects, any remaining unspent funds be returned to original funding sources.

Financial Impact

As shown in Table 1 below, Tax Supported Programs spent \$1.425 billion or 71.2% of the 2007 Approved Capital Budget of \$2.002 billion during the year ended December 31, 2007. By comparison, spending in 2005 approximated 68.3% and in 2006, 72.8% of the respective Council Approved Budgets. Rate-Supported Programs spent \$259 million or 59.1% of their collective 2007 Approved Budget of \$437.507 million.

In accordance with the City's Carry Forward Policy, the 2008 Capital Budget, which was approved by Council at its December 11, 2007 meeting, included 2007 carry forward funding of \$305.122 million. This will enable staff to complete projects for which capital work was not completed in 2007 as planned. It should be noted additional adjustment to carry forward funding from 2007 into 2008 for previously approved projects will be included in the final year-end variance report based on 2007 final year-end results.

Table 1			
Corporate Capital Variance Summary			
for the Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007			
(\$000s)			
	2007 Approved Budget	Actual Expenditures \$	Spent %
Tax Supported Programs:			
Citizen Centred Services – "A"	196,104	106,663	54.4%
Citizen Centred Services – "B"	648,911	538,092	82.9%
Internal Services	172,972	116,484	67.3%
Other City Programs	33,220	13,059	39.3%
Agencies, Boards & Commissions	950,647	650,906	68.5%
Total - Tax Supported	2,001,854	1,425,204	71.2%
Rate Supported Programs:			
Toronto Parking Authority	27,506	6,416	23.3%
Toronto Water	410,001	252,136	61.5%
Total - Rate Supported	437,507	258,552	59.1%
Total	2,439,361	1,683,756	69.0%

In compliance with prudent financial management practices, debt is issued only when needed to finance actual or committed capital expenditures. This strategy minimizes the incurrence of debt service costs and the resultant impact on the Operating Budget. A list of completed projects and / or projects that are otherwise recommended for closure will be included with the Final 2007 Year-end Capital Variance report. In accordance with the Financial Control By-law, uncommitted unspent funds from these completed capital projects will be returned to the original funding source.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to provide the City of Toronto Preliminary Capital Variance Report for the year ended December 31, 2007, and to request Council's approval for budget adjustments which reallocate funds between projects with no incremental impact on the Council Approved 2007 Capital Budget. A final report will be submitted to Council following the completion of the external audit of the City's accounts and financial statements.

Capital expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2007 totalled \$1.684 billion or 69% of the approved budget of \$2.439 billion (see Appendix 1). The under-expenditure is primarily

attributed to the inability to find or secure suitable sites in accordance with planned timeframes; delays in securing funds from cost-sharing partners; later than planned delivery of 161 buses to the Toronto Transit Commission due to an employee strike at the bus manufacturer; and late delivery of garbage packers, side loaders and street sweepers as a result of challenges faced by suppliers in acquiring major components for these vehicles. In addition, several projects were completed under-budget. Unspent funds for incomplete projects will be carried forward to 2008 on an as required basis, in accordance with the City's Carry Forward Policy.

Background Information

Preliminary Capital Variance Report for the Year Ended December 31, 2007 (All Wards)

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11824.pdf>

Preliminary Capital Variance Report for the Year Ended December 31, 2007

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11785.pdf>

Preliminary Capital Variance Report for the Year Ended December 31, 2007 - Appendices 1-3

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11878.pdf>

EX19.13	NO AMENDMENT			Ward: All
----------------	--------------	--	--	-----------

AOCC Settlement of Operating Results for Year 2006

City Council Decision

City Council on April 28 and 29, 2008, adopted the following motion:

1. The accumulated surpluses of \$3,265.00 be paid to the City of Toronto for two AOCCs and be used to partially fund the payment of operating deficits of \$46,737.00 for the other eight AOCC Community Centres core administration operations resulting in a net payment of \$43,472.00 as detailed in Appendix 1.

(February 25, 2008) Report from the Budget Committee

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends to City Council that:

1. the accumulated surpluses of \$3,265 be paid to the City of Toronto for two AOCCs and be used to partially fund the payment of operating deficits of \$46,737 for the other eight AOCC Community Centres core administration operations resulting in a net payment of \$43,472 as detailed in Appendix 1.

Financial Impact

The total surpluses of \$3,265 from two Community Centres will partially offset the funding of total deficits of \$46,737, resulting in a net payment of \$43,472 from the City to the AOCCs in 2007 arising mainly from the Core Administration Operations' year end results for 2006. The amount will be funded from under-expenditures reported through the final 2007 year-end

variance report.

A summary of net funding to the City/Community Centre is attached as Appendix 1.

Appendix 1

Association of Community Centres				
Summary of net payable to City / Community Centres				
	2006			
Community Centre	Net Surplus (payable to City)	Net (Deficit) (payable to Centre)	Total Net Adjusted Settlement to City/(Centre)	% of Budget
519 Church Street Community Centre		(7,386)	(7,386)	0.7%
Applegrove Community Complex		(7,264)	(7,264)	2.3%
Cecil Community Centre	3,030		3,030	0.5%
Central Eglinton Community Centre		(2,544)	(2,544)	0.5%
Community Centre 55	235		235	0.0%
Eastview Neighbourhood Community Centre		(14,845)	(14,845)	3.5%
Harbourfront Community Centre		(40)	(40)	0.0%
Ralph Thornton Community Centre		(3,566)	(3,566)	0.7%
Scadding Court Community Centre		(8,107)	(8,107)	1.1%
Swansea Town Hall		(2,986)	(2,986)	1.2%
TOTAL	3,265	(46,737)	(43,472)	0.7%

Summary

This report recommends settlement with the 10 Community Centres (Association of Community Centres or AOCCs) on their Core Administration Operations for 2006 based on audited financial results.

Background Information

AOCC Settlement of Operating Results for Year 2006 - Letter
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11825.pdf>

AOCC Settlement of Operating Results for Year 2006
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11786.pdf>

EX19.14	NO AMENDMENT			Ward: 27
---------	--------------	--	--	----------

Funding the Parkland Acquisition at 50 St. Joseph Street

City Council Decision

City Council on April 28 and 29, 2008, adopted the following motion:

1. The 2008 Parks, Forestry and Recreation Capital Budget be amended by addition of a new Land Acquisition sub-project, 50 St. Joseph Street, in the amount of \$600,000.00, funded from the Parkland Acquisition – Toronto Local Reserve Fund, (XR2053) to complete the acquisition of parkland for the site.

(February 25, 2008) Report from the Budget Committee

Committee Recommendation

The Executive Committee recommends that:

1. the 2008 Parks, Forestry and Recreation Capital Budget be amended by addition of a new Land Acquisition sub-project, 50 St. Joseph Street, in the amount of \$600,000.00, funded from the Parkland Acquisition – Toronto Local Reserve Fund, (XR2053) to complete the acquisition of parkland for the site.

Financial Impact

No provision has been made in the Approved 2008 Capital Budget and 2008-2011 Capital Plan for this acquisition. Funds are currently available in the Parkland Acquisition – Toronto Local Reserve Fund (XR2053).

The land that is presently designated University Open Space (UOS) is to be dedicated and conveyed to the City prior to development of this site. The development of the parkland will be completed in a couple of years. This will come after park designs have been considered through a public participation process. The new parkland is to be improved by the developer in lieu of payment of Development Charges to the City, to the standard required by the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation. Prior to completion of the park development, an estimate of the required additional funds needed to operate and maintain this site will have been completed, and will be provided for consideration in the development of future Operating Budgets.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to fund the parkland acquisition at 50 St. Joseph Street.

A proposal has been submitted for development at 50 St. Joseph Street. Through the development, the City will be obtaining a substantial amount of parkland equivalent to approximately 30% of the development site area. The parkland will be obtained through three methods. The developer is required to provide a statutory parkland dedication. Another portion of parkland is being secured as a Section 37 contribution, through a Section 37 agreement. In addition the City will make a direct payment to the owner of the land in order to complete the proposed parkland acquisition.

Background Information

Funding the Parkland Acquisition at 50 St. Joseph Street - Letter
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11826.pdf>)
Funding the Parkland Acquisition at 50 St. Joseph Street
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11788.pdf>)

Communications

(April 7, 2008) Map entitled “Attachment 10: Zoning Excerpt (current)” depicting Part of 50 St. Joseph Street. (EX.Main.EX19.14.1)

EX19.15	NO AMENDMENT			Ward: 6
---------	--------------	--	--	---------

Potential Acquisition of 2427 Lake Shore Boulevard West (Amos Waites Park)

Confidential Attachment - A proposed or pending acquisition or sale of land for municipal or local board purposes

City Council Decision

City Council on April 28 and 29, 2008, adopted the following motions:

1. City Council adopt the confidential recommendations to staff in Attachment 1 to the report (February 6, 2008) from Chief Corporate Officer and General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation.
2. City Council authorize the public release of the confidential information in Attachment 1 of the report, upon City Council’s adoption of the Recommendations in the report.
3. The 2008 Approved Capital Budget for Parks, Forestry and Recreation be amended by the addition of a project “2427 Lake Shore Boulevard West Acquisition” with funding provided from the City Wide Parkland Acquisition Reserve Fund.
4. The Offer to Sell from the estate trustee of the property known as 2427 Lake Shore Boulevard West be accepted substantially on the terms outlined in Appendix “A” and Confidential Attachment 1 to the report, and that each of the Chief Corporate Officer

and the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized severally to accept the Offer on behalf of the City.

5. The City Solicitor be authorized to complete these transactions on behalf of the City including making payment of any necessary expenses, and amending the closing date and other dates to such earlier or later date(s) and on such terms and conditions as she may from time to time consider reasonable.
6. The appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Confidential Attachment 1 to the report (February 6, 2008) from the Chief Corporate Officer and the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation, is now public in its entirety and contains the following recommendations:

1. The 2008 Approved Capital Budget for Parks, Forestry and Recreation be amended by the addition of a project "2427 Lake Shore Boulevard West Acquisition" for \$496,195.00, with funding provided from the City Wide Parkland Acquisition Reserve Fund (XR2210) in the amount of \$496,195.00.
2. The Offer to Sell from Cristina Harrer, Estate Trustee, Estate of Gordana Starcevic, the property municipally known as 2427 Lake Shore Boulevard West in the amount of \$481,000.00 be accepted substantially on the terms outlined in Attachment 1 to this report, and that each of the Chief Corporate Officer and the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized severally to accept the Offer on behalf of the City.

(February 25, 2008) Report from the Budget Committee

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that:

1. City Council adopt the confidential recommendations to staff in Attachment 1 to the report (February 6, 2008) from Chief Corporate Officer and General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation.
2. City Council authorize the public release of the confidential information in Attachment 1 of the report, upon City Council's adoption of the Recommendations in the report.
3. The 2008 Approved Capital Budget for Parks, Forestry and Recreation be amended by the addition of a project "2427 Lake Shore Boulevard West Acquisition" with funding provided from the City Wide Parkland Acquisition Reserve Fund.
4. The Offer to Sell from the estate trustee of the property known as 2427 Lake Shore Boulevard West be accepted substantially on the terms outlined in Appendix "A" and Confidential Attachment 1 to the report, and that each of the Chief Corporate Officer and the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized severally to accept the Offer on

behalf of the City.

5. The City Solicitor be authorized to complete these transactions on behalf of the City including making payment of any necessary expenses, and amending the closing date and other dates to such earlier or later date(s) and on such terms and conditions as she may from time to time consider reasonable.
6. The appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Financial Impact

No provision has been made for this acquisition in the 2008 Approved Capital Budget. Funding is currently available in the Parks, Forestry and Recreation's City Wide Parkland Acquisition Reserve Fund. City Council's approval is required to amend the 2008 Approved Capital Budget by the addition of this acquisition project and appropriate funding.

In addition to the acquisition of the property at 2427 Lake Shore Boulevard West, the Amos Waites Park project anticipates park improvements, including a new playground, bike and pedestrian pathways, seating area and water play area. The entrance to the park will also be expanded by removing the buildings on the abutting lots. The buildings will be removed through the fulfillment of the offsite parkland dedication of a nearby developer who has also agreed to construct the above base park improvements.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to secure City Council approval to acquire 2427 Lake Shore Boulevard West (the "Property") that is essential to the overall development of the park.

The property known as 2427 Lake Shore Boulevard West is a privately owned site consisting of approximately 5,617 ft² and is part of a block of properties known as 2423 to 2437 Lake Shore Boulevard West. The former City of Etobicoke acquired 2435-2437 Lakeshore Boulevard West in 1991 for the purposes of expanding Amos Waites Park. A future parkland conveyance to the City of the property known as 2423-2425 Lake Shore Boulevard West is pending through the development planning process. Negotiations with the Owner of 2427 Lake Shore Boulevard West have been on-going since the fall of 2005 and since the death of the owner in September of 2007, with the estate trustee. The estate trustee has signed an irrevocable offer to sell ("Offer to Sell") the property to the City. Appendix "A" to this report describes the salient terms of this proposed acquisition, which is considered fair and reasonable.

Background Information

Potential Acquisition of 2427 Lake Shore Boulevard West (Amos Waites Park) with Confidential Attachment 1 (GM12.9)
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11827.pdf>)

Potential Acquisition of 2427 Lake Shore Boulevard West (Amos Waites Park) with Confidential Attachment 1 (GM12.9)

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11828.pdf>

Potential Acquisition of 2427 Lake Shore Boulevard West (Amos Waites Park)

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11791.pdf>

Potential Acquisition of 2427 Lake Shore Boulevard West (Amos Waites Park)

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11792.pdf>

EX19.16	AMENDED			Ward: All
---------	---------	--	--	-----------

Report to Executive Committee Update on Toronto Gun Violence Strategy

City Council Decision

City Council on April 28 and 29, 2008, adopted the following motions:

1. City Council request the Parliament of Canada to prohibit all handguns in Canada, including the sale or purchase and/or possession of any handgun by any Canadian citizen or any person within Canada (excluding police officers and military personnel).
2. City Council request the Parliament of Canada to institute mandatory sentencing (5 years minimum) of any person found guilty of possession of any handgun(s) or involvement in the sale or purchase of any handgun.
3. City Council request the Mayor to deliver this Resolution to the Prime Minister of Canada and to all the leaders of the Federal Opposition.
4. City Council request the Premier of Ontario to support this Resolution and, working with the Mayor of Toronto, convey that support to the Prime Minister of Canada and to all the leaders of the Federal Opposition.
5. The following motions be referred to the City Manager for consideration as part of her report on this matter to the June 2008 meeting of the Executive Committee:

Moved by Councillor Cho:

That Recommendation 2 of the Executive Committee be amended by adding the words “and that bail not be available for individuals charged with these offences”, so that Recommendation 2 now reads as follows:

- “2. City Council request the Parliament of Canada to institute mandatory sentencing (5 years minimum) of any person found guilty of possession of any handgun(s) or involvement in the sale or purchase of any handgun and that bail not be available for individuals charged with these offences.”

Moved by Councillor Grimes:

That Recommendation 2 of the Executive Committee be amended by deleting the words “5 years” and replacing them with the words “10 years”, so that Recommendation 2 now reads as follows:

- “2. City Council request the Parliament of Canada to institute mandatory sentencing (10 years minimum) of any person found guilty of possession of any handgun(s) or involvement in the sale or purchase of any handgun.”

Moved by Councillor Nunziata:

That City Council endorse the Private Member’s Bill (Bill 56) introduced by Mike Colle in the Ontario Legislature, entitled “Unlawful Firearms in Vehicles Act, 2008”.

Moved by Councillor Palacio:

That Mayor Miller, on behalf of City Council, request the Prime Minister of Canada and the Premier of Ontario to make Toronto an “urban gun free zone” as a Pilot Project, including the sale and purchase and/or possession of handguns by any private citizen, and that the Mayor report back on the progress made with this request.

(March 19, 2008) Report from Mayor David Miller

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends that:

1. City Council request the Parliament of Canada to prohibit all handguns in Canada, including the sale or purchase and/or possession of any handgun by any Canadian citizen or any person within Canada (excluding police officers and military personnel).
2. City Council request the Parliament of Canada to institute mandatory sentencing (5 years minimum) of any person found guilty of possession of any handgun(s) or involvement in the sale or purchase of any handgun.
3. City Council request the Mayor to deliver this Resolution to the Prime Minister of Canada and to all the leaders of the Federal Opposition.
4. City Council request the Premier of Ontario to support this Resolution and, working with the Mayor of Toronto, convey that support to the Prime Minister of Canada and to all the leaders of the Federal Opposition.

Decision Advice and Other Information

The Executive Committee:

1. referred the following motions to the Mayor's Office for further consideration and report back to the June, 2008, meeting of the Executive Committee:

Moved by Councillor DeBaeremaeker on behalf of Councillor Palacio

“That the Executive Committee request Mayor David Miller, on behalf of City Council, to request the Prime Minister of Canada and the Premier of Ontario to prohibit all handguns in Canada and make Toronto an “urban gun free zone” as a Pilot Project, including the sale and purchase and/or possession of handguns by any private citizen and that the Mayor be requested to submit a report to the Executive Committee on the progress made with this request.

Moved by Councillor Moscoe

“That the Executive Committee recommend to City Council that:

- i. the call for a ban against handguns be extended to include a ban on assault weapons;
 - ii. the Federal Government be requested to raise the fee for a gun license to be on a cost recovery basis.
2. received, for information, the report (March 19, 2008) from Mayor David Miller.

Financial Impact

The recommendations carry no financial impact on the City of Toronto.

Summary

Report from the Mayor advising that he is committed to making Toronto safe. This commitment has resulted in City investment in community-based prevention efforts to tackle the root causes of violence and to develop more opportunities for youth. More officers have been placed on Toronto streets and have seen positive results from the Toronto Police Service's Toronto Antiviolence Interventions Strategy (TAVIS). As you know, his recent efforts have focused on the issue of guns, and the violence and disruptive behaviour they bring to Toronto.

This report updates Council on the actions he has taken to date in fulfillment his mandate to make our safe city safer; and a strategy and action plan has been developed to meet the following objectives:

- i. a handgun ban;
- ii. tougher crime legislation for illegal gun use;
- iii. increased anti-gun smuggling security at the Canada-U.S. border;
- iv. stronger U.S. gun controls; and

- v. continue community-based prevention and youth opportunity development.

Background Information

Report to Executive Committee Update on Toronto Gun Violence Strategy
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11790.pdf>)

Communications

(April 7, 2008) Submission from Councillor Fletcher forwarding responses from Members of Parliament respecting Hand Gun Ban. (EX.Main.EX19.16.1)

(April 7, 2008) letter from Martin Traub-Werner (EX.Main.EX19.16.2)

Speakers

Ms. Molly Sutkaitis, The Harmony Project

Ms. Kate Chung (Submission Filed)

Mr. Martin Traub-Werner

Mr. Jim Bell, CEO, Diamond Taxi, Diamond Taxicab Dispatch Services Ltd

Mr. Sean Daly

Dr. Alok Mukherjee, Chair, Toronto Police Services Board

Ms. Jennifer Allen

Mr. Eric Bouchard

Mr. Peter Jecchinis

Mr. Tony Sneyd

Ms. Andrea Aster

Ms. Rebecca Gadsten

Mr. Kevin Halligan

Mr. Andrew Martin

Mr. Brian Eaton

Ms. Philippa Jecchinis, Raging Grannies (Submission Filed)

Ms. Maria Kasstan

Ms. Audette Shephard, United Mothers Opposing Violence Everywhere (Submission Filed)

Ms. Hazel Jackson

Renaldo Matthews

Ms. Hyacinth Challenger

Dr. Elizabeth Ford-Jones, Social Pediatrics, Sick Kids Hospital

Mr. David Mitchell, Chair, TCHC

Ms. Myrna Desroches

Mr. Winston LaRose, Jane Finch Concerned Citizens Organization

Ms. Silvina Hollingsworth, Tenant Representative

Mr. Deva Dondapaty, Secretary, Tenant Representative Association

Mr. Sal Gouled, SOSCA (Submission Filed)

Mr. Abdi Warsame

Mr. Ryan Teschner

Councillor Raymond Cho, Ward 42, Scarborough-Rouge River

Councillor Frances Nunziata, Ward 11, York South-Weston

Councillor Cesar Palacio, Ward 17, Davenport

Councillor Michael Walker, Ward 22, St. Paul's

16a Request Federal Government to Completely Ban Handguns in Canada

(February 1, 2008) Member Motion from Moved by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Cho

Summary

In the last two years, Torontonians have experienced two outrageous, tragic acts of handgun violence on Yonge Street in the heart of Toronto's downtown. In late 2005, Ms. Jane Creba, 15, was shot while shopping on Boxing Day, near the Eaton Centre and, last weekend, Mr. John O'Keefe, 42, was shot while walking past a bar just south of Bloor Street. These random victims were innocent bystanders, minding their own business, perhaps chatting to a friend when they were cut down by a stray bullet from a handgun, having their life ended before they knew it, without understanding why. Mr. O'Keefe was shot with a registered, legal handgun by the owner of the handgun. These two incidents are only the most outrageous examples of the many murders that occur in our City.

Violent crime is increasing and the general populous feels less safe on the streets of Toronto than they did in the past. To date, governments have been reticent to follow through on an outright ban of handguns. Making all handguns illegal would reduce their prevalence in our society by providing a clear cut, zero-tolerance law that would both deter criminals before they pick up a handgun and deal with those criminals who act using a handgun. Simply, if there are less handguns available because of prohibition, then less of them will be used. The handguns that are used in crimes or found on persons can be easily ruled as illegal and the source of these illegal guns can be focused upon. There just is not a good enough reason to continue to legally allow handguns in our City, our Province and our Country.

Making all handguns illegal would help make our communities safer. Knowing what we know, we cannot stand on guard to the best of our ability against tragedies on our streets, such as the murders of Ms. Creba and Mr. O'Keefe, without prohibiting handguns across Canada.

Communications

(February 1, 2008) Member Motion from Councillor Walker and Councillor Cho (EX.Main)
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/comm/communicationfile-6637.pdf>)

Additional Communications (City Council)

- (April 21, 2008) from Reverend Sky Starr (EX.19.16.3)

EX19.22	NO AMENDMENT			Ward: 11, 16, 21, 29, 30, 31, 32
---------	--------------	--	--	-------------------------------------

City Council Decision

City Council on April 28 and 29, 2008, adopted the following motions:

1. The 2008 expenditure requests and levy requirements of the following Business Improvement Areas be approved:

Business Improvement Area	2008 Expenditure Estimates (\$)	2008 Levy Funds Required (\$)
Midtown Danforth	254,128	254,128
Mount Dennis	15,050	3,063
The Eglinton Way	255,520	234,821
Wychwood Heights	123,545	67,950

2. Authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary bill in Council.

(March 28, 2008) Report from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Committee Recommendations

The Executive Committee recommends to City Council that:

1. the 2008 expenditure requests and levy requirements of the following Business Improvement Areas be approved:

Business Improvement Area	2008 Expenditure Estimates (\$)	2008 Levy Funds Required (\$)
Midtown Danforth	254,128	254,128
Mount Dennis	15,050	3,063
The Eglinton Way	255,520	234,821
Wychwood Heights	123,545	67,950

2. authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary bill in Council.

Financial Impact

No City funding is required since Business Improvement Area budgets are raised by a special levy on members which totals \$569,962 in this report.

All of the 2008 BIA operating budgets submitted for consideration are balanced budgets. The appeal provision surplus or appeal provision deficit for each BIA, as determined by the Revenue Services Division, has been addressed by the BIA and incorporated into their 2008 Operating Budget. The BIA budgets have provisions for required capital cost-sharing contributions for those projects approved in 2007 or prior and carried forward into 2008, as

well as new capital cost-share projects approved in the 2008 Capital Budget for Economic Development, Culture and Tourism..

Summary

This report brings forward Business Improvement Area (BIA) annual operating budgets for approval by Council as required by the City of Toronto Act, 2006. Council approval is required to permit the City to collect funds through the tax levy on behalf of the BIAs. Complete budgets and supporting documentation received in February and March 2008 have been reviewed and are reported herein; BIA budgets received after this date will be brought forward in later reports. Of the 65 established BIAs, a total of 59 BIA budgets have been approved by Council to-date; four BIA budgets are submitted for approval in this report; and two BIAs are inactive. This is the final report for 2008. The recommendations reflect 2008 approved budgets for BIAs and have been reviewed by City staff to ensure BIA budgets reflect Council's approved policies and practices.

Background Information

2008 Business Improvement Area Operating Budgets: Report No. 4
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11886.pdf>)

22a 2008 Business Improvement Area Operating Budgets: Report No. 4

(March 28, 2008) Report from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer

Summary

This is to advise that the 2008 Business Improvement Area Operating Budgets: Report No. 4 report will be on the Supplementary Agenda for the Executive Committee of April 7, 2008.

Background Information

2008 Business Improvement Area Operating Budgets: Report No. 4
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ex/bgrd/backgroundfile-11887.pdf>)

Noted and Filed Items

EX19.18 Reduction of City Council

(March 11, 2008) Member Motion by Councillor Ford, seconded by Councillor Nunziata.

EX19.19 2008 Salary Freeze for Mayor and City Councillors

(March 11, 2008) Member Motion by Councillor Walker, seconded by Councillor Jenkins.

Submitted Monday, April 7, 2008

Mayor David Miller, Chair, Executive Committee