
Etobicoke York Community Council

Meeting No.	17	Contact	Glenda Jagai, Committee Administrator
Meeting Date	Tuesday, June 10, 2008	Phone	416-394-2516
Start Time	9:30 AM	E-mail	etcc@toronto.ca
Location	Council Chamber, Etobicoke Civic Centre		

Councillor Frances Nunziata (Chair) Councillor Suzan Hall (Vice-Chair) Councillor Frank Di Giorgio Councillor Rob Ford	Councillor Mark Grimes Councillor Doug Holyday Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby Councillor Giorgio Mammoliti	Councillor Peter Milczyn Councillor Cesar Palacio Councillor Bill Saundercook
---	---	---

Members of Council and Staff: Please keep this agenda and the accompanying material until the City Council meeting dealing with these matters has ended. **The City Clerk's Office will not provide additional copies.**

Special Assistance for Members of the Public: City staff can arrange for special assistance with some advance notice. If you need special assistance, please call 416-394-8101, TTY 416-338-0889 or e-mail etcc@toronto.ca.

Closed Meeting Requirements: If the Etobicoke York Community Council wants to meet in closed session (privately), a member of the committee must make a motion to do so and give the reason why the Committee has to meet privately. (City of Toronto Act, 2006).

Notice to people writing or making presentations to the Etobicoke York Community Council: The City of Toronto Act, 2006 and the City of Toronto Municipal Code authorize the City of Toronto to collect any personal information in your communication or presentation to City Council or its committees.

The City collects this information to enable it to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s). If you are submitting letters, faxes, e-mails, presentations or other communications to the City, you should be aware that your name and the fact that you communicated with the City will become part of the public record and will appear on the City's website. The City will also make your communication and any personal information in it – such as your postal address, telephone number or e-mail address – available to the public, unless you expressly request the City to remove it.

The City videotapes committee and community council meetings. If you make a presentation to a committee or community council, the City will be videotaping you and City staff may make the video tapes available to the public.

If you want to learn more about why and how the City collects your information, write to the City Clerk's Office, City Hall, 100 Queen Street West, Toronto ON M5H 2N2 or by calling 416-394-8101.

Timed Items**10:00 a.m. – EY17.1, EY17.2, EY17.3, EY17.4, EY17.5, EY17.6, EY17.7****7:00 p.m. – EY17.36 and EY17.37****Introduction and Enactment of General Bills and Bill to Confirm the Proceedings of Community Council will be last items.****Declarations of Interest under the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act*****Confirmation of Minutes – May 6, 2008****Speakers/Presentations – A complete list will be distributed at the meeting.**

EY17.1	ACTION	10:00 AM		Ward: 17
---------------	---------------	-----------------	--	-----------------

2054 Davenport Road – Intention to Designate, Part IV, Section 29, Ontario Heritage Act*Statutory - Ontario Heritage Act, RSO 1990*

(May 9, 2008) Report from Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council include the property at 2054 Davenport Road (Carleton Public School) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
2. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 2054 Davenport Road (Carleton Public School) under Part IV, Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act.
3. If there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV, Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act.
4. If there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report. The public Notice of Intention to Designate will be advertised on the City's web site in accordance with the City of Toronto Act provisions.

Summary

This report recommends that City Council include the property at 2054 Davenport Road (Carleton Public School) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties and state its intention to designate the property under Part IV, Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act. The site has been acquired by the Toronto Police Services as the location of a new police station. The proposed designation would enable City Council to manage change and encourage the retention of the building's heritage values and attributes.

Background Information

May 9, 2008 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13097.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13098.pdf>)

Attachment 2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13099.pdf>)

Attachment 3

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13100.pdf>)

1a 2054 Davenport Road – Intention to Designate, Part IV, Section 29, Ontario Heritage Act

(May 23, 2008) Letter from City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendations

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Etobicoke York Community Council that the following Recommendation 1 be approved:

1. City Council include the property at 2054 Davenport Road (Carleton Public School) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.

and that Recommendations 2, 3 and 4 not be approved.

Summary

For consideration by the Etobicoke York Community Council at its June 10, 2008 meeting.

Background Information

May 23, 2008 transmittal

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13401.pdf>)

(Deferred from April 8, 2008 - EY15.12) (Deferred from February 12, 2008 - EY14.10)

EY17.2	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 6
---------------	--------	----------	-----------	---------

Sign Variance Report - 500 Brown's Line

(January 15, 2008) Report from Director and Deputy Chief Building Official

Recommendations

Toronto Building recommends that:

1. The request for variance be refused for the reasons outlined in this report.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Request for Approval of Variance from Chapter 215, Signs, of the former City of Etobicoke Municipal Code to install a Third Party Illuminated Roof Sign with a display area of 18.58 square metres at 500 Browns Line, which is a residential zone.

The request comes from the applicant, Mr. Peter Azman, on behalf of 492581 Ontario Ltd, the owner of the property, for Approval of the Variance from Chapter 215, Signs, of the former City of Etobicoke Municipal Code.

Background Information

January 15, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13248.pdf>

Extract

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13247.pdf>

Communications

(February 7, 2008) e-mail from Benjamin George Cope (EY.Main)

(February 7, 2008) e-mail from Albert Kwan (EY.Main)

(February 7, 2008) e-mail from Alison Gorbould (EY.Main)

(February 8, 2008) e-mail from Alice Barton (EY.Main)

(February 8, 2008) e-mail from Sara Lipson (EY.Main)

(February 10, 2008) e-mail from Stewart C. Russell (EY.Main)

(April 2, 2008) e-mail from Peter Azman (EY.Main)

(April 3, 2008) e-mail from Corinne Alstrom (EY.Main)

EY17.3	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 3
--------	--------	----------	-----------	---------

Fence Exemption Request – 20 Mapledawn Road (May 7, 2008)

(May 7, 2008) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommend that:

1. The Etobicoke York Community Council deny the request for the fence exemption based on non-compliance with the requirements set out in Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code, Fences.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

This Staff Report is about a matter for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The subject matter is an application for an exemption to Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code, Fences, to maintain an existing fence in the flankage yard which is in violation of the By-law.

Background Information

May 7, 2008

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-12964.pdf>)

extract

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-12965.pdf>)

(Deferred from April 8, 2008 - EY15.1)

3a Fence Exemption Request - 20 Mapledawn Road (March 6, 2008)

(March 6, 2008) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that:

1. The Etobicoke York Community Council deny the request for the fence exemption based on non-compliance with the requirements set out in Chapter 447 of Toronto Municipal Code, Fences.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

This Staff Report is about a matter for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The subject matter is an application for an exemption to Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code, Fences, to maintain an existing fence in the flankage yard which is in violation of the By-law.

Background Information

March 6, 2008 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-12956.pdf>)

Communications

(April 2, 2008) e-mail from Markland Woods Residents (Personal information withheld on request) (EY.Main)

(April 7, 2008) e-mail from Genevieve Imre (EY.Main)

(April 8, 2008) petition from Ruby Beale containing seventeen signatures (EY.Main)

EY17.4	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 2
--------	--------	----------	-----------	---------

Fence Exemption Request – 93 Raymore Drive

(May 2, 2008) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommend that:

1. The Etobicoke York Community Council deny the request for the fence exemption based on non-compliance with the requirements set out in Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code, Fences.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

This Staff Report is about a matter for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The subject matter is an

application for an exemption to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447, Fences, to erect fences in the front and flankage yards which will be in violation of the Fence By-law.

Background Information

May 2, 2008 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-12955.pdf>)

EY17.5	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 13
---------------	---------------	----------	-----------	----------

69 Beresford Avenue - Front Yard Parking

(May 13, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. Etobicoke York Community Council refuse this application.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from adopting this report.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter that Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to inform Etobicoke York Community Council on an application for front yard parking at 69 Beresford Avenue. This application is an appeal and is scheduled as a deputation item.

The owner of 69 Beresford Avenue submitted an application for front yard parking, but was advised that the property is not eligible for front yard parking since the property is located on the same side of the street as on-street parking permits.

The applicant submitted an appeal and a request for an exemption from the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 918 “Parking on Residential Front Yards and Boulevards” to permit front yard parking at this location.

Staff cannot recommend a front yard parking pad at this location since it does not meet the requirements of Chapter 918 of the Toronto Municipal Code; however, if Community Council finds merit in this proposal, it could be approved subject to the conditions described in the “Comments” section of this report.

Background Information

May 13, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13062.pdf>

Attachments

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13063.pdf>

EY17.6	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 13
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

10 Nelles Avenue - Front Yard Parking

(May 12, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. Etobicoke York Community Council refuse the application.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from adopting this report.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter that Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose of this report is to inform Etobicoke York Community Council on an application for front yard parking at 10 Nelles Avenue. This application is an appeal and is scheduled as a deputation item.

The owners of 10 Nelles Avenue submitted an application for front yard parking but were advised that the property is not eligible since the property is situated on the same side of the street as on-street parking permits.

The applicant submitted an appeal and a request for an exemption from the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 918 “Parking on Residential Front Yards and Boulevards” to permit front yard parking at this location.

Staff cannot recommend a front yard parking pad at this location since it does not meet the requirements of Chapter 918 of the Toronto Municipal Code; however, should Community Council find merit in this proposal, it could be approved subject to the conditions described in the “Comments” section of this report.

Background Information

May 12, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13019.pdf>

Attachments

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13020.pdf>

EY17.7	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 17
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

Front Yard Parking - 199 Rosemount Avenue

(May 13, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. Etobicoke York Community Council refuse this application.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from adopting this report.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter that Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to inform Etobicoke York Community Council on an application for front yard parking at 199 Rosemount Avenue. This application is an appeal and is scheduled as a deputation item.

The owner of 199 Rosemount Avenue submitted an application for front yard parking but was advised that the property is not eligible since the property already has access to a rear yard garage from an existing public lane.

The applicant submitted an appeal and a request for an exemption from the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 918 “Parking on Residential Front Yards and Boulevards” to permit front yard parking at this location.

Staff cannot recommend a front yard parking pad at this location since it does not meet the requirements of Chapter 918 of the Toronto Municipal Code. However, if Community Council finds merit in this proposal, it could be approved subject to the conditions described in the “Comments” section of this report.

Background Information

May 13, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-12973.pdf>

Attachments

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-12974.pdf>

Extract

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13267.pdf>

EY17.8	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 6
--------	--------	--	-----------	---------

Application for Encroachment Agreement - 140 Islington Avenue

(May 22, 2008) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommend that the Etobicoke York Community Council approve the application on the following conditions:

1. The City Solicitor be authorized to prepare an Encroachment Agreement for the proposed encroachment on Islington Avenue, (Attachment 1 and 2).
2. The applicant to pay the City of Toronto all fees associated with the preparation of this agreement.
3. The signed agreement is returned to the City along with the required Certificate of Insurance, evidencing a third party bodily and property damage insurance in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 or such other coverage and greater amount as the City may require, and naming the City of Toronto as an additional insured party under the policy.
4. The Certificate of Insurance shall be renewed and a copy thereof submitted to Municipal Licensing and Standards on an annual basis for the life of the encroachments.
5. The applicant shall obtain all necessary building/street occupation permits prior to the commencement of any construction.
6. The applicant shall be responsible to maintain the encroaching canopy and sign in a state of good repair and free of hazards and comply at all times with the regulations set out in the former Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto, By-law 41-93, as amended.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

This Staff Report is about a matter for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The subject matter is an application for an encroachment agreement to construct a 5.51 metres long by 0.48 of a metre high by 0.61 of a metre deep canopy and raised metal signage at the front elevation of the

subject building, occupying an area of approximately 3.36 square metres, within the municipal road allowance.

Background Information

May 22, 2008 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13167.pdf>)

EY17.9	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 11
--------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Application for Encroachment Agreement - 161 Symes Road

(May 13, 2008) Report from District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommend that the Etobicoke York Community Council modify this application and adopt the following:

1. The City Solicitor be authorized to prepare an Encroachment Agreement for the proposed encroachment as modified.
2. The owner enters into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Toronto and pays all fees associated with the preparation of the Agreement.
3. The signed agreement to be returned to the City along with the required Certificate of Insurance, evidencing a third party bodily injury and property damage insurance in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 or such other coverage and greater amount as the City may require, and naming the City of Toronto as an additional insured party under the policy.
4. The Certificate of Insurance shall be renewed and a copy thereof submitted to Municipal Licensing and Standards on an annual basis for the life of the encroachment.
5. The maximum height of the wrought iron fence is to be 1.22 metres measured from the traveled portion of the public roadway.
6. A 2.10 metre clearance setback from the fence to the back edge of the public roadway be maintained.
7. Footings shall not exceed 0.61 of a metre in depth below the grade of the existing sidewalk.
8. The fence shall not create a safety and visual hazard or interfere with the safe turning

sight distance needed by motorists.

9. The owner shall obtain all necessary construction/streets occupation permit(s) for any work on the road allowance.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

This report is in regard to an application for an Encroachment Agreement to construct a wrought iron fence with brick piers within the front yard street allowance of Symes Road, with a total area of 35.14 square metres encroaching on the City road allowance, for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Background Information

May 13, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13079.pdf>

EY17.10	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 12
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Application for Encroachment Agreement - 57 Arrowsmith Avenue

(May 12, 2008) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommend that the Etobicoke York Community Council modify this application and adopt the following:

1. The City Solicitor be authorized to prepare an Encroachment Agreement for the existing and proposed encroachments as modified.
2. The owner enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Toronto and pay all fees associated with the preparation of the Agreement.
3. The signed agreement to be returned to the City along with the required Certificate of Insurance, evidencing a third party bodily injury and property damage insurance in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 or such other coverage and greater amount as the City may require, and naming the City of Toronto as an additional insured party under the policy.
4. In the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, Legal Services be authorized to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner,

subject to the approval of the Executive Director of Municipal Licensing and Standards.

5. The Certificate of Insurance to be renewed on an annual basis for the life of the encroachment.
6. The owner to obtain all necessary construction/streets occupation permit(s) for any work done on the road allowance.
7. That the life of the agreement be limited to 10 years from the date of registration on title or the date of removal of the encroachment at which time, the City may consider the agreement for further extension, if requested by the applicant.
8. The encroachment consisting of columns and wall to be maintained as per the approved plans, free of light fixtures and in good repair at all times (Attachments 2, 3, 4, and 5).

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from adoption of this report.

Summary

This staff report is in regard to an application for an Encroachment Agreement to maintain the existing columns and wall at the front of 57 Arrowsmith Avenue, with a total area of 456.32 square metres encroaching on the City road allowance, for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Background Information

May 12, 2008

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-12966.pdf>

EY17.11	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 17
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

2049 Davenport Road - Flankage Yard Encroachment Agreement Request

(May 12, 2008) Report from District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standard recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council approve this application on the following conditions:

1. The City Solicitor to be authorized to prepare an Encroachment Agreement for the existing encroachments on the Osler Avenue road allowance.

2. The applicant pays all fees associated with the preparation of this agreement and shall be subject to any future fees for use of the street allowance should the Streets By-law be amended to include charges in the future.
3. The signed agreement is returned to the City along with the required Certificate of Insurance, evidencing a third party bodily injury and property damage insurance in the amount of \$2,000,000.00 or such other coverage and greater amount as the City may require, and naming the City of Toronto as an additional insured party under the policy.
4. The Certificate of Insurance shall be renewed and a copy thereof submitted to Municipal Licensing and Standards on an annual basis for the life of the encroachments.
5. Construction plans be forwarded to Toronto Building Division for review and issuing of a Building Permit for all work requiring a permit.
6. The south-west corner of the encroaching fence adjoining the existing driveway is removed for a distance of 2.5 metres to both the north and east, measured from the south-west corner of the fence in order to provide a 45 degree angle to the fence line at this location, allowing motorists exiting the driveway to view pedestrians approaching from the north.
7. The property owner agrees to maintain the subject property in good repair and comply at all times with the regulations set out in the former City of Toronto, Municipal Code, Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

To report on an application for an encroachment agreement to maintain an existing 2.0 metres average high wooden lattice fence, with a 2.36 metres high gate on the Osler Avenue road allowance, as well as the items enclosed therein, including a roof over basement entrance areaway, wooden steps and an air-conditioning unit, (Attachments 1, 2, 3 and 4). The total area of encroachments amounts to approximately 42.81 square metres. This is a matter for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Background Information

May 12, 2008 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13177.pdf>)

EY17.12	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 5
---------	--------	--	-----------	---------

Riverwood Parkway – Traffic Calming

(May 12, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. Based on the positive poll results, that the City Solicitor prepare a by-law to alter sections of the roadway on Riverwood Parkway, between Glenaden Avenue East and Kings Point Drive, for traffic calming purposes, generally as shown on the attached Drawing No. EY08-123, dated May, 2008.
2. Approve a 30 km/h speed limit on Riverwood Parkway, between Glenaden Avenue East and Kings Point Drive when the speed humps are installed.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Capital Budget	\$18,000.00

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report summarizes the results of the traffic calming poll undertaken on Riverwood Parkway, between Glenaden Avenue East and Stephen Drive. Although the traffic calming warrants are achieved on this road and Transportation Services staff did originally recommend that a poll be conducted on this street, the results of the poll indicate a response rate of less than 50 percent plus one on Riverwood Parkway between Glenaden Drive East and Stephen Drive.

However, a staff assessment has shown that positive poll results are achieved for the installation of speed humps on the section of Riverwood Parkway, between Glenaden Avenue East and Kings Point Drive. Based on the poll results for the section of Riverwood Parkway between Glenaden Avenue East and Kings Point Drive, staff propose the installation of six speed humps on Riverwood Parkway between Glenaden Avenue East and Kings Point Drive. In conjunction with the installation of the speed humps, staff also propose a 30 km/h speed limit on Riverwood Parkway between Glenaden Avenue and Kings Point Drive.

Background Information

May 12, 2008 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13142.pdf>)

Attachments

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13143.pdf>

EY17.13	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 7
---------	--------	--	-----------	---------

Habitant Drive, between Bradstock Road and Imogene Avenue – Results of Speed Hump Poll

(May 15, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council:

1. Not authorize installation of speed humps on Habitant Drive between Bradstock Road and Imogene Avenue.

Financial Impact

Adoption of the above-noted recommendation has no financial impact. If Etobicoke York Community Council decides to approve installation of speed humps on Habitant Drive between Bradstock Road and Imogene Avenue, the following impact will result:

1. The estimated cost of installing four speed humps on Habitant Drive is \$12,000.00. Funds in the amount of \$295,000.00 have been allocated in the Transportation Services 2008 Capital Budget for traffic calming initiatives. The installation of speed humps on Habitant Drive between Bradstock Road and Imogene Avenue would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report summarizes the results of the traffic calming poll undertaken on Habitant Drive between Bradstock Road and Imogene Avenue. Although the traffic calming warrants are achieved on this road and Transportation Service staff did originally recommend that a poll be conducted on this street, the results of the poll indicated a response rate of less than 50 percent plus one, and accordingly, installation of speed humps is not recommended.

Background Information

May 15, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13147.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13148.pdf>

EY17.14	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 11
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

George Street, between King Street and Church Street – Results of Speed Hump Poll

(May 1, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council:

1. Not authorize installation of speed humps on George Street, between King Street and Church Street.

Financial Impact

Adoption of the above-noted recommendation has no financial impact. If Etobicoke York Community Council decides to approve installation of speed humps on George Street, between King Street and Church Street, the following financial impact will result:

1. The estimated cost of installing four speed humps on George Street is \$12,000.00. Funds in the amount of \$295,000.00 have been allocated in the Transportation Services 2008 Capital Budget for traffic calming initiatives. The installation of speed humps on George Street would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report summarizes the results of the traffic calming poll undertaken on George Street, between King Street and Church Street. The poll results indicated a response rate of less than 50 percent plus one, and accordingly, installation of speed humps is not recommended.

Background Information

May 1, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13070.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13071.pdf>

EY17.15	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 17
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Beaver Avenue – Traffic Calming

(May 12, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends to Etobicoke York Community Council that:

1. Traffic calming not be installed on Beaver Avenue, between Lightbourn Avenue and Dufferin Street.

Financial Impact

The adoption of the above noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Council decides to approve installing traffic calming devices on this section of Beaver Avenue, the following financial impact will result:

The estimated cost for installing approximately four speed humps would be \$10,000.00. This will be accommodated within the funds allocated by City Council as part of the Transportation Capital Budget subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to outline the findings of an investigation to determine the need to install speed humps on Beaver Avenue, between Lightbourn Avenue and Dufferin Street. A staff review has shown that the criteria for the installation of speed humps on Beaver Avenue are not met.

Background Information

May 12, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13068.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13069.pdf>

EY17.16	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 2
---------	--------	--	-----------	---------

Jenson Court - Parking Regulation Amendments

(May 15, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council approve:

1. Enacting a “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the east side of Jenson Court between Jeffcoat Drive and a point 85.0 metres north thereof.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$300.00

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to propose the installation of a “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the east side of Jenson Court from Jeffcoat Drive to a point 85.0 metres north thereof. A petition was submitted, signed by the majority of residents of the street, requesting that parking be prohibited on a portion of the east side of Jenson Court. On-street parking will be retained on the west side of the road and to the north of the proposed prohibition.

Background Information

May 15, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13133.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13134.pdf>

EY17.17	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 2
---------	--------	--	-----------	---------

Cove Drive – Parking Amendments

(May 15, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council approve:

1. Rescinding the existing “No Parking, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on both sides of Cove Drive between Golfdown Drive and a point 170.5 metres south thereof.
2. Enacting a “No Parking, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the east side of Cove Drive between Golfdown Drive and a point 170.5 metres south thereof.
3. Enacting a “Maximum 10 Minute Limit, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday to Friday” parking restriction on the west side of Cove Drive from Golfdown Drive to a point 53.0 metres south thereof.
4. Enacting a “Maximum 10 Minute Limit, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday to Friday” parking restriction on the west side of Cove Drive from a point 98.0 metres south of Golfdown Drive to a point 72.0 metres south thereof.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$600.00

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to propose the installation of a “Maximum 10 Minute Limit, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” parking regulation on the west side of Cove Drive along the frontage of The Elms Junior Middle School.

This proposed regulation will give an area for parents wishing to accompany children into the school and attached day-care facility an area for short duration parking.

Background Information

May 15, 2008 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13139.pdf>)

Attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13140.pdf>)

EY17.18	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 2
---------	--------	--	-----------	---------

Riverstone Drive - Parking Regulation Amendments

(May 1, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council approve:

1. Enacting a “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the west side of Riverstone Drive between Lawrence Avenue and a point 41.0 metres south thereof.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$200.00

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to propose the installation of a “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the west side of Riverstone Drive in front of No. 4 and No. 6 Riverstone Drive. It is appropriate to install a parking prohibition to prevent parking on both sides of this relatively narrow road and to ensure two-way travel in the area close to the intersection with Lawrence Avenue. On-street parking will be retained on the east side of the road and to the south of the proposed prohibition.

Background Information

May 1, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13010.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13011.pdf>

EY17.19	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 2
---------	--------	--	-----------	---------

Riverhead Drive and Katrine Road – All-Way Stop Control

(May 1, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommend that Etobicoke York Community Council approve:

1. The installation of an all-way stop control at the intersection of Riverhead Drive and Katrine Road.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funding	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$ 500.00

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to recommend the installation of an all-way stop control at the intersection of Riverhead Drive and Katrine Road. The stop sign will enhance traffic safety while stopping the traffic on the approach with the minor volume (Katrine Road).

Background Information

May 1, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13008.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13009.pdf>

EY17.20	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 5
---------	--------	--	-----------	---------

Botfield Avenue and Mattice Avenue - Parking Regulation Amendments

(May 12, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council approve:

1. Rescinding the “No Parking, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the west side of Botfield Avenue between Mattice Avenue and a point 93.5 metres north thereof.
2. Enacting a “No Stopping, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the west side of Botfield Avenue between Mattice Avenue and a point 20.0 metres

north thereof.

3. Enacting a “No Parking, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the west side of Botfield Avenue between a point 20.0 metres north of Mattice Avenue and a point 73.5 metres north thereof.
4. Rescinding the “No Stopping, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the south side of Mattice Avenue between Botfield Avenue and Jopling Avenue North.
5. Enacting a “No Stopping, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the south side of Mattice Avenue between Botfield Avenue and a point 163.0 metres west of Jopling Avenue North.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$500.00

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to propose the installation of “No Stopping, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibitions on the west side of Botfield Avenue between Mattice Avenue and a point 20.0 metres north thereof; and, on the south side of Mattice Avenue between Jopling Avenue North and the west limit of the hydro corridor.

The proposed stopping prohibitions will address traffic congestion issues in the area of Our Lady of Peace Elementary School.

Background Information

May 12, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13012.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13013.pdf>

EY17.21	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 4
---------	--------	--	-----------	---------

Poplar Heights Drive - Speed Limit Amendment

(May 1, 2008) Presentation from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council approve:

1. Enacting a 40 km/h speed limit on Poplar Heights Drive, between Islington Avenue and Eden Valley Drive as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$900.00

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to reduce the speed limit to 40 km/h on Poplar Heights Drive.

Enacting a 40 km/h speed limit on Poplar Heights Drive is consistent with the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant. Approval of this report will result in a 40 km/h speed limit being posted on all of Poplar Heights Drive from Islington Avenue to Eden Valley Drive.

Background Information

May 1, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13014.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13015.pdf>

EY17.22	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 5
---------	--------	--	-----------	---------

Wilmar Road - Speed Limit Amendment

(May 12, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that the Etobicoke York Community Council approve:

1. A 40 km/h speed limit on Wilmar Road between Bloor Street West and Dundas Street West, as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are

achieved.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$400.00

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to reduce the speed limit on Wilmar Road, between Bloor Street West and Dundas Street West, from 50 km/h to 40 km/h.

As the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant requirements are achieved, a 40 km/h speed limit is recommended on Wilmar Road.

Background Information

May 12, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13017.pdf>

Attachments

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13018.pdf>

EY17.23	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 5
---------	--------	--	-----------	---------

Market Garden Mews - Speed Limit Amendment

(May 12, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that the Etobicoke York Community Council approve:

1. A 40 km/h speed limit on Market Garden Mews between Islington Avenue and Queen Elizabeth Boulevard.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$200.00

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to reduce the speed limit on Market Garden Mews, between Islington Avenue and Queen Elizabeth Boulevard, from 50 km/h to 40 km/h.

Given that this local residential road is being used as a short-cut to the adjacent industrial properties in the area and the fact that there is a neighbourhood park on the south side of this street, a 40 km/h speed limit is recommended on Market Garden Mews.

Background Information

May 12, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13144.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13145.pdf>

EY17.24	ACTION			Ward: 6
---------	--------	--	--	---------

Colonel Samuel Smith Park Drive - Stopping Prohibition

(May 22, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto City Council:

1. Approve the removal of the “No Parking, 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on the west side of Colonel Samuel Smith between a point 288.0 south of Lake Shore Boulevard West and a point 51.5 metres south thereof.
2. Approve a “No Stopping, 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on both sides of Colonel Samuel Smith Park Drive between a point 239.0 meters south of Lake Shore Boulevard West and a point 315.0 metres south thereof.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$800.00

Summary

The purpose of this report is to propose the installation of a “No Stopping ,7:00 a.m. to 6:00

p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition on both sides of Colonel Samuel Smith Park Drive between a point 239.0 meters south of Lake Shore Boulevard West and a point 315.0 metres south thereof.

The proposed stopping prohibition will help alleviate traffic congestion and enhance traffic safety on Colonel Samuel Smith Park Drive in the area of Father John Redmond Secondary School & Regional Arts Centre.

As the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) operates a transit service on Colonel Samuel Smith Park Drive, TTC staff has been consulted and support the proposed stopping prohibition.

Background Information

May 22, 2008 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13200.pdf>)

Attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13201.pdf>)

EY17.25	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 11
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Temporary Road Closings of Old Weston Road, South of Junction Road, and Monarch Road

(May 9, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council approve:

1. Authorizing the temporary closing to vehicle and pedestrian traffic for the section of Old Weston Road, between Junction Road and a point 169m south of Junction Road, and Monarch Road, for a period of 48 consecutive months starting on 1 October 2008, any such closing to last no longer than October 1, 2012.
2. Maintaining vehicle access from Junction Road to the West Toronto Pumping Station on the east side of Old Weston Road at all times.
3. GO Transit’s responsibility for all costs associated with these road closings, including restoring the Old Weston Road and Monarch Road rights-of-way to a standard equal to or better than existing conditions following completion of the Old Weston Road/ Junction Road rail-to-rail grade separation.

Financial Impact

There are no financial impacts associated with these temporary road closings.

Summary

This report concerns a matter that Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to request Community Council's approval to temporarily close Monarch Road and Old Weston Road, between Junction Road and the Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR). Both roads are unimproved public highways under the City's jurisdiction.

These road closings are necessary to accommodate GO Transit's (GO) construction of the West Toronto Diamond rail-to-rail grade separation project. These rights-of-way will accommodate construction staging and material storage during the construction period. GO advises that they expect to start construction on October 1, 2008, and that construction may last for as long as four years.

To accommodate the permanent installation of a storm water detention pond within a portion of the Old Weston Road right-of-way, GO is negotiating the purchase of a portion of Old Weston Road from the City of Toronto; a process expected to be completed before GO finishes the grade separation.

Background Information

May 9, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13075.pdf>

Attachments

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13076.pdf>

EY17.26	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 13
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Disabled Persons' Parking – EYD - June 2008

(May 21, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council:

1. approve the removal of an on-street parking space for persons with disabilities at the location identified in the attached Appendix A.

Financial Impact

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$300.00

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval for the removal of an on-street parking space for persons with disabilities.

Background Information

May 21, 2008 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13149.pdf>)

EY17.27	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 5
---------	--------	--	-----------	---------

Naming of Proposed Private Lane at 315 and 325 Dalesford Road

(May 22, 2008) Report from City Surveyor

Recommendations

The City Surveyor recommends that:

1. The proposed private lane at 315 and 325 Dalesford Road, be named “Gala Lane”.
2. Berkley Developments pays the cost, estimated to be in the amount of \$600.00, for the fabrication and installation of street name signs.
3. The owners or their successors shall maintain, at their own risk, the signage installed under Recommendation (2) of this staff report.
4. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of a naming by-law.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report. The estimated costs of \$600.00 for the street name signs are to be paid by the applicant.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report recommends that the proposed private lane at the residential development at 315 and 325 Dalesford Road be named “Gala Lane”. Naming the lane will facilitate the

identification of the proposed units fronting thereon.

Background Information

May 22, 2008 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13219.pdf>)

EY17.28	ACTION			Ward: 5
---------	--------	--	--	---------

315 – 325 Dalesford Road - Part Lot Control Exemption Report

(May 22, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council enact a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law, with respect to the subject lands, to be prepared to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor.
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to introduce the necessary Bill in Council for a Part Lot Control Exemption By-law.
3. City Council require the owner to provide proof of payment to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor of all current property taxes for the subject site prior to the introduction of the Bill in Council.
4. City Council require the owner to register, to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor, a Section 118 Restriction under the Lands Titles Act agreeing not to convey or mortgage any part of the lands without the written consent of the Chief Planner or his designate prior to the introduction of the Bill in Council.
5. City Council authorize and direct the appropriate City officials to register the by-law on title.
6. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to take the necessary steps to release the Section 118 Restriction from title upon expiry of the Part Lot Control Exemption By-law.
7. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the Part Lot Control Exemption By-law as may be required.
8. City Council authorize the entering into of an agreement between the City and the owner if such agreement is determined to be necessary by the Director of Community Planning, Etobicoke York District, in consultation with the City Solicitor, for the

purpose of securing outstanding matters of concern to the City prior to the Director consenting under the Section 118 Restriction to any mortgaging or conveyance of the lands.

9. The appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect hereto.

Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

Summary

This application was made on March 6, 2008 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

This application has been submitted to permit the lifting of Part Lot Control at the municipal address known in 2008 as 315 – 325 Dalesford Road. This report reviews and recommends approval of the application to lift Part Lot Control to permit the division of the subject lands as an alternative to a plan of subdivision.

The lands have been the subject of a Consent application. The applicant has entered into a Consent Agreement with the City and is now in the process of fulfilling certain conditions under the agreement regarding the dedication of a public road to the City. The owner has applied for an exemption to Part Lot Control so that the individual freehold townhouse lots can be created and sold.

An exemption from Part Lot Control is appropriate as the recommendations contained herein provide the Director of Community Planning, Etobicoke York District, with the authority and discretion to determine whether or not the land division will be permitted based on his evaluation of the proposed lots and of whether there are any outstanding concerns to the City which may have to be secured. In order to retain control in the hands of the Director, this report recommends that the owner of the lands register a Section 118 Restriction under the Lands Titles Act agreeing not to convey or mortgage any part of the lands without the prior consent of the Chief Planner or his designate. Matters to be secured to the Director's satisfaction would include the conveyance of the public road to the City, its construction and construction of required services at the applicant's expense, in the same manner as set in the Consent Agreement.

Background Information

May 22, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13105.pdf>

EY17.29	ACTION			Ward: 5
---------	--------	--	--	---------

Kingsway Park East Area – Review of Zoning Standards – Preliminary Report

(May 15, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within the study area via advertisement in the local community newspaper; and
3. notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications for the adoption of this report.

Summary

City Planning staff were directed to initiate and report back on a Preservative Zoning By-law Study for the Kingsway Park East Area and to review certain zoning standards in place for the area.

Preliminary findings of the review suggest that the Kingsway Park East Preservative Zoning Study By-law 1993-108 be amended to have a maximum lot area of 2 000 square metres and lot frontage of 30 metres in order to control large houses being built in the area. Community consultation is recommended to receive input on these proposed zoning changes.

Background Information

May 15, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13023.pdf>

EY17.30	ACTION			Ward: 7
---------	--------	--	--	---------

Riverside Drive (Rowntree Mill Park) – Zoning By-Law Amendment - Preliminary Report

(May 22, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor.
2. Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site.
3. Notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

Summary

This Zoning By-law Amendment was initiated by the City of Toronto, City Planning Division as requested by City Council in September 2006. The amendment is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

The amendment proposes to rezone lands, which form part of Rowntree Mills Park, from Third Density Residential - R3 and Fourth Density Residential - R4 to Greenbelt Zone - G. This will bring the land into conformity with the existing Official Plan designation for the site.

The land to be rezoned is in the ownership of the Toronto Region Conservation Authority (TRCA), and is managed by the City of Toronto. The City of Toronto has received authorization from TRCA to pursue a municipal rezoning.

Staff anticipate holding a community consultation meeting in the second quarter of 2008. A Statutory Public Meeting is targeted for Fall 2008.

This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted amendment and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the amendment and on the community consultation process.

Background Information

May 22, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13152.pdf>

EY17.31	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 6, 12
---------	--------	--	-----------	-------------

Lakeshore Village and Eglinton Hill Business Improvement Areas (BIAs) Boards of Management Additions and Deletion

(May 21, 2008) Report from Director, Small Business & Local Partnerships

Recommendations

The Director of Small Business & Local Partnerships recommends that:

1. Etobicoke York Community Council approve the additions and deletion to the Lakeshore Village and Eglinton Hill BIAs Boards of Management as set out in Attachment No. 1.
2. City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 19, “Business Improvement Areas” be amended to alter the number of members on the Lakeshore Village BIA Board of Management as set out in attachment No. 1.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to recommend Etobicoke York Community Council approve the additions and deletion to the Lakeshore Village and Eglinton Hill BIAs Boards of Management. The Etobicoke York Community Council

Background Information

May 21, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13104.pdf>

EY17.32	Information		Delegated	Ward: 3
---------	-------------	--	-----------	---------

2 Holiday Drive – Application of the Alternative Parkland Dedication By-law

(May 9, 2008) Report from General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation

Financial Impact

This report has no financial impact.

Summary

To report on the application of the alternative parkland dedication by-law as it relates to 2 Holiday Drive.

The proposed residential development at 2 Holiday Drive is subject to the 15% parkland dedication under the new Alternative Parkland Dedication by-law 1420-2007.

Background Information

May 9, 2008 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13488.pdf>)

Extract

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13279.pdf>)

EY17.33	Information		Delegated	Ward: 13
---------	-------------	--	-----------	----------

Bloor West Village Urban Design Study

(May 22, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Summary

This report reviews the Bloor West Village Urban Design Study and outlines how it is being used in the review of development applications in the area.

Background Information

May 22, 2008 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13106.pdf>)

EY17.34	ACTION			Ward: 5
---------	--------	--	--	---------

Approval of Temporary Signage Permit for Annual Rotary Canada Day Ribfest Community Event

(May 23, 2008) Member Motion from Councillor Peter Milczyn

Recommendations

1. That Etobicoke York Community Council approve a special permit to the Rotary Club for their 2008 Canada Day event, and allow the placement of signage, providing that they are removed within 12 hours of the event's closure; and that the Rotary Club submit a list of signage locations and it be reviewed in advance with Staff, to ensure that any sightline issues are resolved.

Summary

The Rotary Club of Etobicoke has held their annual Ribfest event for the past eight years and direct 100% of the proceeds to local charities. It is one of the largest Canada Day celebrations and is host to over 150,000 during the four day event.

This event has proven to be a success and the promotional signage erected during the past six years has generated no complaints to the office of the Councillors of Etobicoke –Lakeshore.

The Municipal Code Chapter 693, Section 693-25, which outlines the requirements for signs being used to advertise charitable, non profit and community events, does not permit any variance or appeal to the criteria which regulate temporary signage.

Background Information

Motion

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13281.pdf>)

EY17.35	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 11
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Requests for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes

Summary

Seeking endorsement of various events for liquor licensing purposes.

Communications

(April 25, 2008) e-mail from Maria Meyers and Michelle Passce, Spice Isle Association (EY.Main)

(Deferred from October 2, 2007 - EY10.28)

EY17.36	ACTION	7:00 PM		Ward: 5
---------	--------	---------	--	---------

1 Valhalla Inn Road - Inclusion on Heritage Inventory

Statutory - Ontario Heritage Act, RSO 1990

(June 13, 2007) Report from Director, Policy & Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council include the property at 1 Valhalla Inn Road (Valhalla Inn) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

Summary

This report recommends that City Council include the property at 1 Valhalla Inn Road (Valhalla Inn) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties for its cultural heritage value.

Following research and evaluation, staff have determined that the Valhalla Inn has cultural heritage value that merits inclusion on the City's heritage inventory. The inclusion of the property on the City's heritage inventory would enable staff to monitor any changes to the site and encourage the retention of its heritage attributes.

Background Information

June 13, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13255.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13256.pdf>

Attachment 2

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13257.pdf>

Attachment 3

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13258.pdf>

Extract

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13260.pdf>

36a 1 Valhalla Inn Road – Inclusion on Heritage Inventory (Ward 5 Etobicoke-Lakeshore)

(September 13, 2007) Letter from City Clerk, Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendations

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Etobicoke York Community Council that:

1. City Council include the property at 1 Valhalla Inn Road (Valhalla Inn) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
2. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

Summary

For consideration by the Etobicoke York Community Council at its June 10, 2008 meeting.

Background Information

September 13, 2008 transmittal

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13414.pdf>

EY17.37	ACTION	7:00 PM		Ward: 5
----------------	---------------	----------------	--	----------------

1 Valhalla Inn Road – Rezoning Application – Final Report

Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990

(May 23, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council amend the former City of Etobicoke Zoning Code for the property at 1 Valhalla Inn Road substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment described in this report.
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.
3. Before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, require the owner to enter into an agreement under Section 37 of the Planning Act to secure

community benefits outlined in Attachment 7.

4. Before introducing the necessary Bills to Council for enactment, require the owner to provide confirmation to the Director of Community Planning, Etobicoke York District that the Greater Toronto Airport Authority (GTAA)/Navigation Canada are satisfied that any necessary adjustments to the Instrument Procedures guidelines as a result of this development proposal have been satisfactorily addressed.
5. City Council approve the listing of 1 Valhalla Inn Road on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.

Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

Summary

An application has been submitted to permit a residential development at 1 Valhalla Inn Road on the east side of Highway 427, just north of Bloor Street West.

The applicant seeks approval to construct a residential condominium development consisting of 898 dwelling units in three condominium apartment buildings. The three buildings are 19, 25 and 29 storeys in height having bases no more than 6 storeys in height.

The proposal implements the Official Plan and is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement’s call for accommodation of residential growth.

This report reviews and recommends approval of the application to amend the Zoning By-law.

Background Information

May 23, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-13185.pdf>

EY17.Bills	ACTION		Delegated	
------------	--------	--	-----------	--

General Bills

Confirmatory Bills