

---

## Etobicoke York Community Council

**Meeting No.** 13 **Contact** Glenda Jagai, Committee Administrator  
**Meeting Date** Tuesday, January 15, 2008 **Phone** 416-394-2516  
**Start Time** 9:30 AM **E-mail** etcc@toronto.ca  
**Location** Council Chamber, Etobicoke Civic Centre

---

### Attendance

Members of the Etobicoke York Community Council were present for some or all of the time periods indicated under the section headed “Meeting Sessions”, which appears at the end of the Minutes.

Councillor Frances Nunziata, Chair	X
Councillor Suzan Hall, Vice-Chair	X
Councillor Frank DiGiorgio	X
Councillor Rob Ford	X
Councillor Mark Grimes	X
Councillor Doug Holyday	X
Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby	X
Councillor Giorgio Mammoliti	X
Councillor Peter Milczyn	X
Councillor Cesar Palacio	X
Councillor Bill Saundercook	X

### Confirmation of Minutes

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Minutes of the meeting of the Etobicoke York Community Council held on November 27, 2007 were confirmed.

EY13.1	ACTION	Amended		Ward: 11
--------	--------	---------	--	----------

### **53 Grandville Avenue - City Tree Removal**

(December 11, 2007) Report from General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation

#### **Recommendations**

The General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation recommends that City Council deny the request to remove one (1) City-owned Norway maple tree fronting 53 Grandville Avenue.

#### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

#### **Summary**

This report requests Council's authority to deny a request for removal of one (1) City-owned tree fronting 53 Grandville Avenue. The owner claims that the tree has caused damage to the house foundation, drains, steps and walkway that have required repairs in the past. The problems experienced by the owner still exist and removing the tree would help to address them, in their opinion. Staff are of the opinion that any further repairs to the house can be undertaken that include the protection and retention of the tree.

Inspection of the tree by staff revealed that the tree is in good condition with a well-developed crown. The intent of the City's street tree by-law is to preserve healthy trees and to ensure a sustainable urban forest in the City. The subject tree will continue to provide environmental benefits to the community and Urban Forestry cannot support removal of this tree.

#### **Committee Recommendations**

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended to City Council:

1. That the request to remove one (1) City-owned Norway maple tree fronting 53 Grandville Avenue, be approved.

#### **Decision Advice and Other Information**

##### Recorded Vote:

Yes: Councillors DiGiorgio, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Mammoliti,  
Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio (8)

No: Councillors Hall, Lindsay Luby and Saundercook (3)

Carried.

Councillor DiGiorgio moved:

That the City be responsible for the costs associated with the removal of the City-owned Norway maple tree at 53 Grandville Avenue.

Yes: Councillors DiGiorgio, Grimes, Mammoliti and Nunziata (4)

No: Councillors Ford, Hall, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Palacio and Saundercook (7)

Motion lost.

Councillor Saundercook moved:

1. That this matter be deferred.
2. That the owner of 53 Grandville Avenue be allowed to submit a Drain Grant Application retroactively for drain repairs, and that appropriate staff consider retroactively reimbursing the homeowner on the late application.
3. That the homeowner meet with appropriate staff to consider a 50/50 cost sharing of expenses to repair the floor of the building.

Yes: Councillors Hall, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti and Saundercook (4)

No: Councillors DiGiorgio, Ford, Grimes, Holyday, Milczyn, Nunziata and Palacio (7)

Motion lost.

### **Speakers**

Perry Thompson

### **Links to Background Information**

December 11, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9352.pdf>

**(Deferred from November 27, 2007 - EY12.10)**

EY13.2	Information	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 3
--------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

## **Fence Exemption Request - 1 Bradbury Crescent**

(January 9, 2008) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

### **Recommendations**

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that the Etobicoke York Community Council deny the request for the fence exemption based on non-compliance with the requirements set out in Chapter 447 of Toronto Municipal Code, Fences.

### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

### **Summary**

This Staff Report is about a matter for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The subject matter is an application for an exemption to Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code, Fences, to erect a 2 metres high close board wooden fence at the front yard, and maintain existing fences of similar construction (some of which include a lattice on top) at both the front yard and flankage yard respectively, as well as an existing 1.2 metres high (average) open wooden picket fence at the flankage yard, which are in violation of the By-law.

### **Communications**

(January 9, 2008) e-mail from Doug and Geraldine Fick (EY.Main)

(January 15, 2008) letter from Silvia Grava (EY.Main)

### **Speakers**

Michael McIntosh

Elisabete McIntosh

### **Committee Decision**

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the following:

1. That the application for an exemption to Chapter 447 of Toronto Municipal Code, Fences, to erect a 2metre high close board wooden fence along 1 Bradbury Crescent, be granted.
2. That the continuation of the open picket fence of a maximum height of 1.2 metres to meet up with the existing fence on the southeast corner of the Wellsworth Avenue

frontage, be allowed.

### Links to Background Information

January 9, 2008 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9321.pdf>)

EY13.3	Information	Deferred	Delegated	Ward: 5
--------	-------------	----------	-----------	---------

### Application for Fence Exemption - 43 Meadowvale Drive

(December 17, 2007) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

#### Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommend that the Etobicoke York Community Council refuse the application, as the proposed fence does not comply with the provisions of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 447, Fences.

#### Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

#### Summary

This staff report is in response to an application for a Fence Exemption to construct a 2.39 metres high close board wooden fence with a lattice top as a pool enclosure at the rear yard of 43 Meadowvale Drive, for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

#### Decision Advice and Other Information

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council deferred consideration of this report to its February 12, 2008 meeting.

### Links to Background Information

December 17, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9533.pdf>)

EY13.4	Information	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 13
--------	-------------	---------	-----------	----------

### Fence Exemption Request - 3 Bridgeview Road

(December 14, 2007) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

### Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that the Etobicoke York Community Council deny the request for the fence exemption based on non-compliance with the requirements set out in Chapter 447 of Toronto Municipal Code, Fences.

### Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

### Summary

This Staff Report is about a matter for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The subject matter is an application for an exemption to Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code, Fences, to maintain existing wooden fences in the rear yard which are in violation of the By-law.

### Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the following:

1. That the application for an exemption to Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code, Fences, to maintain existing wooden fences in the rear yard at 3 Bridgeview Road, be granted.
2. That the exemption be conditional upon the owner submitting final as-built drawings and obtaining the necessary amendments to the swimming pool enclosure permit.

### Links to Background Information

December 14, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9545.pdf>

EY13.5	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 6
--------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

### Application for Fence Exemption - 60 Birmingham Street Installation of a Noise Barrier

(December 13, 2007) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

### Recommendations

The Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends the Etobicoke York Community Council approve the application on the basis that the noise barrier wall is an environmental enhancement.

## Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

## Summary

This Staff Report is in response to an application for an exemption to Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code, Fences, to construct a 3.0 metres high pre-cast concrete noise barrier wall and maintain an existing open wrought iron grille fence of a variable height ranging from 2.1 metres to 2.4 metres along the Dwight Avenue flankage of the Campbell Company of Canada factory complex located at 60 Birmingham Street. The Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision for this application.

## Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council:

1. Approved the application for an exemption to Chapter 447 of the Toronto Municipal Code, Fence on the basis that the noise barrier wall is an environmental enhancement.

## Links to Background Information

December 13, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9543.pdf>)

EY13.6	Information	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 12
--------	-------------	---------	-----------	----------

## Application for Outdoor Patio and Encroachment Agreement - 468 Rogers Road

(December 14, 2007) Report from Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards

## Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommend that the Etobicoke York Community Council approve the application for an Encroachment Agreement to lease approximately 29.89 square metres of the municipal boulevard for the purposes of an outdoor café/patio at the flankage of 468 Rogers Road (abutting Kane Avenue) as indicated on Attachment 1, subject to the applicant fulfilling the following conditions:

1. The City Solicitor to be authorized to prepare an encroachment agreement for the proposed encroachment.
2. The applicant to pay all fees associated with the preparation of all agreements, and pay an annual fee to the City of Toronto for use of the road allowance in the amount of \$25 annual permit fee plus \$5.50 per square metre, plus GST. All fees are subject to change.

3. Comply at all times with regulations set out in the Streets By-law 3343-79, as amended, for the former City of York.
4. The signed agreement to be returned to the City along with the required Certificate of Insurance, evidencing a third party bodily injury and property damage insurance in the amount of Two Million Dollars (\$2,000,000.00) or such other coverage and greater amount as the City may require, and naming the City of Toronto as an additional insured party under the policy.
5. The said Certificate of Insurance to be renewed and a copy thereof submitted to Municipal Licensing and Standards on an annual basis for the life of the encroachment.
6. The applicant operate the patio in compliance with the requirements for Municipal Code, Chapter 591, Noise.
7. The applicant shall obtain construction/street occupation permits on an as needed basis prior to the commencement of any construction of any structures, specifically the new side door, porch and stairs.
8. The applicant receive all necessary clearances from the Right-of-Way Management Section of Transportation Services and comply with any conditions identified.
9. The outdoor patio shall not exceed the measurements in this application, which are approximately 10.05 metres by 2.97 metres.
10. Limit the operation of the patio between the hours of 9 a.m. to 11 p.m., Sunday to Saturday.

### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from adoption of this report.

### **Summary**

To report on an application submitted by the Pedro Pimentel-Architect for the business operator to lease an area of approximately 29.89 square metres of the municipal boulevard for the purposes of an outdoor patio at 468 Rogers Road, for which the Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

### **Communications**

- (January 6, 2008) e-mail from Antonio and Teresa Sgro (EY.Main)
- (January 6, 2008) e-mail from Andreana and Domingo Nasato (EY.Main)
- (January 6, 2008) e-mail from Bogolan Kowdeakos (EY.Main)
- (January 6, 2008) e-mail from Peter Matthews (EY.Main)
- (January 6, 2008) e-mail from Kahia Perez (EY.Main)
- (January 6, 2008) e-mail from Pfeil Alicja (EY.Main)
- (January 6, 2008) e-mail from Maria Mudeiros (EY.Main)



(January 6, 2008) e-mail from Hulyo Ulus (EY.Main)

(January 6, 2008) e-mail from Kenneth J. Campbell (EY.Main)

(January 6, 2008) e-mail from Jimmy McCoy (EY.Main)

### Speakers

Alicy Pfeil

Janet Pfeil

### Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor DiGiorgio, the Etobicoke York Community Council:

1. Refused the application for an Encroachment Agreement to lease approximately 29.89 square metres of the municipal boulevard for the purposes of an outdoor café/patio at the flankage of 468 Rogers Road (abutting Kane Avenue) as indicated on Attachment 1.

### Links to Background Information

December 14, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9546.pdf>

EY13.7	Information	Deferred	Delegated	Ward: 5
--------	-------------	----------	-----------	---------

### Sign Variance Report - 1100 The Queensway

(December 12, 2007) Report from Director and Deputy Chief Building Official

### Recommendations

Toronto Building recommends that

1. The request for variances be approved for the reasons outlined in this report.
2. Applicant is advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits.

### Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Toronto Building received a request from Robert Manning of Gregory Signs Ltd. on behalf of 1100 The Queensway Prop Ltd., owner of the property, for approval of the variance from

Chapter 215, Signs, of the former City of Etobicoke Municipal Code, to install an illuminated business identification ground sign at 1100 The Queensway.

### **Decision Advice and Other Information**

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council:

1. Deferred consideration of this report to its February 12, 2008 meeting, to allow the Ward Councillor to have further discussions with the applicant.

### **Links to Background Information**

December 12, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9639.pdf>

EY13.8	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 6
--------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

### **Sign Variance Report - 360 Evans Avenue**

(December 13, 2007) Report from Director and Deputy Chief Building Official

### **Recommendations**

Toronto Building recommends that the request for variances be approved with following conditions:

1. The proposed sign to be located minimum 25 metres from the highway.
2. The proposed sign will not reduce the number of required parking spaces for the site.
3. The applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits.

### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Toronto Building received a request from Steve Wolowich of CBS Outdoor, the applicant on behalf of R.J. McCarthy Ltd, owner of the property, for approval of variance from Chapter 215, Signs, of the former City of Etobicoke Municipal Code, to install a 9.14 metre high Third Party Illuminated Ground Sign with a display area of 37.16 square metres within 7.62 metres of a former Provincial Highway, on 360 Evans Avenue.

At the October 30, 2007 Etobicoke York Community Council, a motion was adopted to defer the October 10, 2007 (Ref.#2007EY028) Sign Variance Report to November 27, 2007, so that the applicant may contact staff to find a compromise for the proposed sign allocation in order that it would comply with the Ministry of Transportation Guidelines, and the former City of Etobicoke Sign Code.

Community Council further deferred the report (#EY 12.6), to its January 15, 2008 meeting, in order to give to the applicant additional time to prepare and submit a revised proposal to Toronto Building.

### **Communications**

(January 12, 2008) e-mail from Sarah Lipson (EY.Main)

(January 13, 2008) e-mail from Shayla Duval (EY.Main)

### **Committee Decision**

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the request for variances from Chapter 215, Signs, of the former City of Etobicoke Municipal Code with the following conditions:

1. The proposed sign to be located minimum 25 metres from the highway.
2. The proposed sign will not reduce the number of required parking spaces for the site.
3. The applicant be advised, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits.

### **Links to Background Information**

December 13, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9638.pdf>

**(Deferred from October 30, 2007 - EY11.10 and November 27, 2007 - EY12.6)**

### **8a Sign Variance Report - 360 Evans Avenue**

(October 10, 2007) Report from Director and Deputy Chief Building Official, Etobicoke York District

### **Recommendations**

Toronto Building recommends that the request for variance be refused for the reasons outlined in this report.

### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Toronto Building received a request from Steve Wolowich of CBS Outdoor, the applicant on behalf of R.J. McCarthy Ltd, owner of the property, for approval of variance from Chapter 215, Signs, of the former City of Etobicoke Municipal Code, to install a 9.14 metre high Third Party Illuminated Ground Sign with a display area of 37.16 square metres, within 7.62 metres of a former provincial highway on 360 Evans Avenue.

## Communications

- (October 26, 2007) e-mail from Albert Kwan (EY.Main)
- (October 26, 2007) e-mail from Juliet Palmer (EY.Main)
- (October 26, 2007) e-mail from Alison Gorbould (EY.Main)
- (October 27, 2007) e-mail from Sara Lipson (EY.Main)
- (October 28, 2007) e-mail from Stewart C. Russell (EY.Main)
- (October 28, 2007) e-mail from Alice Barton (EY.Main)
- (November 23, 2007) e-mail from Raj Bharati (EY.Main)

## Links to Background Information

October 10, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9655.pdf>

Extract

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9654.pdf>

EY13.9	Information	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 12
--------	-------------	---------	-----------	----------

## Sign Variance Report - 2266 Keele Street

(December 17, 2007) Report from Building and Deputy Chief Building Official

## Recommendations

It is recommended that the request for a sign variance be refused for the reasons outlined in this report.

## Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make final decision.

Toronto Building received a request from Sid Catalano, Pattison Outdoor, for Vince Nesli of 1412707 Ontario Inc., for approval of the variances from the former City of North York Sign By-law No. 30788, to erect one illuminated third party, back-to-back roof sign with display areas of 10'x35' on each face at 2266 Keele St.

### **Communications**

(January 13, 2008) e-mail from Shayla Duval (EY.Main)

### **Speakers**

Sid Catalano

### **Committee Decision**

On motion by Councillor DiGiorgio, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the request for a sign variance from the former City of North York Sign By-law No. 3788, subject to the following:

1. Removing the existing wall sign located on the north elevation of the property.
2. Removing the existing ground sign located in the parking area at the north end of the property, thereby permitting an additional much needed parking space.
3. Reducing the size of the proposed roof sign from 10 by 35 (350 sq. ft.) to 10 by 20 (200 sq. ft.).
4. Removing additional temporary signage which is attached to the railing located at the north end of the property.

### **Links to Background Information**

December 17, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9641.pdf>

**(Deferred from November 27, 2007 - EY12.8)**

<b>EY13.10</b>	Information	Amended	Delegated	Ward: 17
----------------	-------------	---------	-----------	----------

### **Demolition Control Applications - 108 Hatherley Road**

(October 24, 2007) Report from Director and Deputy Chief Building Official

### **Recommendations**

Toronto Building recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council give consideration to the demolition application and decide to:

1. Refuse the application to demolish the subject one-storey single family dwelling because there is no permit application to replace the buildings on the site; or,
2. Approve the application to demolish the subject one-storey single family dwelling without conditions; or
3. Approve the application to demolish the subject one-storey single family dwelling with the following conditions:
  - a. that a construction fence be erected in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article III, if deemed appropriate by the Chief Building Official;
  - b. that all debris and rubble be removed immediately after demolition;
  - c. that sod be laid on the site and be maintained free of garbage and weeds, in accordance with the Municipal Code Chapter 623-5, and 629-10, paragraph B; and
  - d. that any holes on the property are backfilled with clean fill.

### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

### **Summary**

This staff report is regarding a matter for which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

On October 18, 2007, Toronto Building received a demolition permit application to demolish a two storey single family dwelling at 108 Hatherley Rd. Toronto Building has not received a building permit application to replace the building to be demolished as of this date.

In accordance with By-law No 1009-2006 (former City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 14, Article 1, Demolition Control), the above noted demolition permit application is submitted to the Etobicoke York Community Council for consideration and decision, due to the fact that a building permit has not been issued to replace the building, and whether to refuse or grant the demolition permit application, including conditions if any, to be attached to the permit.

### **Committee Decision**

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the application to demolish the subject one-storey single family dwelling with the following conditions:

1. That a construction fence be erected in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article III, if deemed appropriate by the Chief Building Official.

2. That all debris and rubble be removed immediately after demolition.
3. That sod be laid on the site and be maintained free of garbage and weeds, in accordance with the Municipal Code Chapter 623-5, and 629-10, paragraph B.
4. That any holes on the property are backfilled with clean fill.

### **Links to Background Information**

October 24, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9315.pdf>)

**(Deferred from November 27, 2007 - EY12.9a)**

<b>EY13.11</b>	Information	Deferred	Delegated	Ward: 17
----------------	-------------	----------	-----------	----------

### **8 St. Clair Gardens - Inspection Status Report (November 13, 2007)**

(November 13, 2007) Report from Director and Deputy Chief Building Official

#### **Financial Impact**

There is no financial implication resulting from the adoption of this report.

#### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

At the October 30th Etobicoke York Community Council a building inspection status report regarding 8 St. Clair Gardens dated October 16, 2007 (Ref.#2007EY029), was submitted for information, and a motion was adopted to defer the report to the November 30, 2007 meeting.

During this period, a site inspection was conducted at the dwelling and a number of building permit issues were complied with and the files have been cleared.

In reference to the illegal enclosure of the sidewalls on the two-storey deck that went before the Court on November 2, 2007, a trial date has been scheduled for June 19, 2008.

#### **Decision Advice and Other Information**

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council:

1. Deferred consideration of the Information reports (November 13 and October 16, 2007) from the Director and Deputy Chief Building Official, to its May 6, 2008 meeting, to allow the Ward Councillor to notify the community of the meeting.

**Links to Background Information**

November 13, 2007

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9320.pdf>

Extract

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9319.pdf>**(Deferred from November 27, 2007 - EY12.9) (Deferred from October 30, 2007 - EY11.12)****11a 8 St. Clair Gardens - Inspection Status Report**

(October 16, 2007) Report from Director and Deputy Chief Building Official

**Summary**

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Etobicoke York Community Council at its meeting of October 2, 2007, adopted a motion from Councillor Palacio related to 8 St. Clair Gardens and directed that the Director and Deputy Chief Building Official prepare a comprehensive report for the October 30, 2007 meeting outlining the enforcement actions taken to ensure compliance with the Ontario Building Code and applicable laws, as well as a report on the number of permits issued including Orders and Notices of Violations.

**Links to Background Information**

October 16, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9317.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9318.pdf>

Extract

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9316.pdf>

<b>EY13.12</b>	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 2
----------------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

**Jeffcoat Drive - Speed Limit Amendment**

(November 29, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

**Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council approve:



1. Rescinding the existing 40 km/h speed limit on Jeffcoat Drive between Rex Gate and a point 183.0 metres east of Porterfield Road.
2. Rescinding the existing 40 km/h speed limit on Jeffcoat Drive between Martin Grove Road and Kearney Drive (north intersection).
3. Enacting a 40 km/h speed limit on Jeffcoat Drive between Westhumber Boulevard and Kearney Drive (north intersection), as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$900.00

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to reduce the speed limit to 40 km/h to encompass all of Jeffcoat Drive, between Westhumber Boulevard and Kearney Drive (north intersection).

Extending the 40 km/h speed limit on Jeffcoat Drive is consistent with the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant. Approval of this report will result in a 40 km/h speed limit being posted on all of Jeffcoat Drive, between Westhumber Boulevard and Kearney Drive, north intersection.

### Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Ford, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved:

1. Rescinding the existing 40 km/h speed limit on Jeffcoat Drive between Rex Gate and a point 183.0 metres east of Porterfield Road.
2. Rescinding the existing 40 km/h speed limit on Jeffcoat Drive between Martin Grove Road and Kearney Drive (north intersection).
3. Enacting a 40 km/h speed limit on Jeffcoat Drive between Westhumber Boulevard and Kearney Drive (north intersection), as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved.

### Links to Background Information

November 29, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9634.pdf>

Attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9635.pdf>)

<b>EY13.13</b>	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 6
----------------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

## **Oxford Street - Speed Limit Amendment**

(December 18, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council:

1. Enact a 40 km/h speed limit on Oxford Street between Manitoba Street (east intersection) and Manitoba Street (west intersection), as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved.

### **Financial Impact**

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$800.00

### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval to enact a 40 km/h speed limit on Oxford Street between the east and west intersections of Manitoba Street.

Installing a 40 km/h speed limit on Oxford Street is consistent with the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant. Approval of this report will result in a 40 km/h speed limit being posted on Oxford Street between the east and west intersections of Manitoba Street.

### **Committee Decision**

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved:

1. Enacting a 40 km/h speed limit on Oxford Street between Manitoba Street (east intersection) and Manitoba Street (west intersection), as the requirements of the 40 km/h Speed Limit Warrant are achieved.

### **Links to Background Information**

December 18, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9578.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9579.pdf>)

EY13.14	ACTION	Amended		Ward: 5
---------	--------	---------	--	---------

### **5005 Dundas Street West - Parking Regulation Amendment**

(December 7, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

#### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. Toronto City Council approve the introduction of a “No Parking Anytime” on Dundas Street West between Mabelle Avenue and a point 45 metres west.

#### **Financial Impact**

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within the capital works budget	Project No. CTP707-01	\$200.00

#### **Summary**

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval for the introduction of a “No Parking Anytime” prohibition in front of the main entrance to 5005 Dundas Street West, west of Mabelle Avenue.

The proposed parking prohibition will address the issue of excessive and long term parking in the area of the high rise apartment building at 5005 Dundas Street West; and, will create a pick-up/drop-off only zone directly in front of the main entrance to the building.

As the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) operates a transit service on Dundas Street West, TTC staff has been consulted and support the proposed parking prohibition.

#### **Committee Recommendations**

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. Approve the introduction of a "No Parking Anytime" on the south side of Dundas Street West between Mabelle Avenue and a point 45 metres west.

## Links to Background Information

December 7, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9628.pdf>)

Attachments

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9629.pdf>)

EY13.15	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 6
---------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

## Avalon Road - Parking Regulation Amendments

(November 30, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council approve:

1. Rescinding the existing “No Parking, 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.” prohibition on both sides of Avalon Road between Orianna Drive and Finsbury Crescent.
2. Enact a “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on both sides of Avalon Road between Brown’s Line and Finsbury Crescent.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$500.00

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to propose the installation of a “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on both sides of Avalon Road between Brown’s Line and Finsbury Crescent.

Given that the majority of the affected property owners on Avalon Road support this proposed parking amendment, we are recommending the aforementioned parking prohibition on this road.

### Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved:

1. Rescinding the existing “No Parking, 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.” prohibition on both sides of Avalon Road between Orianna Drive and Finsbury Crescent.

2. Enacting a “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on both sides of Avalon Road between Brown’s Line and Finsbury Crescent.

### Links to Background Information

November 30, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9588.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9589.pdf>

EY13.16	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 6
---------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

### Twenty Seventh Street - Parking Regulation Amendments

(December 4, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council approve:

1. Rescinding the existing “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the east side of Twenty Seventh Street between a point 35.0 metres south of Lake Shore Boulevard West and Ash Crescent.
2. Enact a “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on both sides of Twenty Seventh Street between a point 35.0 metres south of Lake Shore Boulevard West and Ash Crescent.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$200.00

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to propose the installation of a “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the west side of Twenty Seventh Street between a point 35.0 metres south of Lake Shore Boulevard West and Ash Crescent. Parking is currently prohibited on the east side of Twenty Seventh Street between a point 35.0 metres south of Lake Shore Boulevard West and Ash Crescent.

The proposed parking prohibition will address the issue of excessive and long term parking in the area of 2 Ash Crescent.

### Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved:

1. Rescinding the existing “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on the east side of Twenty Seventh Street between a point 35.0 metres south of Lake Shore Boulevard West and Ash Crescent.
2. Enacting a “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on both sides of Twenty Seventh Street between a point 35.0 metres south of Lake Shore Boulevard West and Ash Crescent.

### Links to Background Information

December 4, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9580.pdf>)

Attachments

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9581.pdf>)

<b>EY13.17</b>	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 7
----------------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

### Lilac Avenue - Parking Regulation Amendments

(December 18, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council approve:

1. Enacting a “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the south side of Lilac Avenue between the westerly limit of Weston Road and a point 52.0 metres west of the westerly limit of Weston Road.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$300.00

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to propose the installation of a “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the south side of Lilac Avenue from Weston Road to a point 52.0 metres west of Weston Road.

It is appropriate to install a “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the south side of Lilac Avenue, west of Weston Road, to prevent motorists from parking in close proximity to the traffic control signals at the intersection of Weston Road and Lilac Avenue. At this location, we are extending this regulation slightly further than our typical 30.5 metres from the signals. This is because there have been complaints from the resident immediately west of this area, concerned that vehicles parking in front of his property (17 Lilac Avenue), impede sightlines when he is exiting from his driveway.

### Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Mammoliti, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved:

1. Enacting a “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the south side of Lilac Avenue between the westerly limit of Weston Road and a point 52.0 metres west of the westerly limit of Weston Road.

### Links to Background Information

December 18, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9575.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9576.pdf>)

EY13.18	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 7
---------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

### Florida Crescent - Parking Regulation Amendments

(November 28, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council approve:

1. Rescinding the existing “No Parking, 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday ” prohibition on the north side of Florida Crescent between the southerly limit of Coral Gable Drive (east intersection) and a point 162.0 metres southerly.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$100.00

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to propose the removal of the “No Parking, 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” regulation on Florida Crescent in the vicinity of Coral Gable Drive. This parking amendment is supported by the majority of abutting residents, as shown in a poll that was recently conducted.

### Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Mammoliti, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved:

1. Rescinding the existing “No Parking, 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday ” prohibition on the north side of Florida Crescent between the southerly limit of Coral Gable Drive (east intersection) and a point 162.0 metres southerly.

### Links to Background Information

November 28, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9630.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9631.pdf>

EY13.19	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 13
---------	-------------	---------	-----------	----------

### First Public Lane South of Dundas Street West between Mavety Street and Keele Street Convert to Two-Way

(December 18, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council approve:

1. The conversion of the one-way westbound traffic operation on the east-west public lane, just south of Dundas Street West, operating between Keele Street and Mavety Street, to a two-way operation.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$800.00

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City



Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services identified the need to improve traffic operations for the Toronto Police Service operating out of 11 Division on Mavety Street. In order to improve accessibility in and out of the police division from the public lane system, this report proposed the conversion of the one-way westbound public lane, just south of Dundas Street West between Keele Street and Mavety Street, to a two-way operation.

### Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Saundercook, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved:

1. The conversion of the one-way westbound traffic operation on the east-west public lane, just south of Dundas Street West, operating between Keele Street and Mavety Street, to a two-way operation.

### Links to Background Information

December 18, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9636.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9637.pdf>

EY13.20	ACTION	Adopted		Ward: 17
---------	--------	---------	--	----------

### Caledonia Road, between Rogers Road and St. Clair Avenue West Heavy Vehicle Prohibition

(December 6, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that City Council:

1. Prohibit heavy vehicles at all times on Caledonia Road, between Rogers Road and St. Clair Avenue West.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$1,600.00

### Summary

The purpose of this report is to recommend prohibiting heavy trucks at all times on Caledonia Road, between Rogers Road and St. Clair Avenue West.

As Caledonia Road is a transit route, this matter requires the approval of City Council. Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) staff have been advised of the issue and have not objected to the recommendations.

### Communications

(January 15, 2008) letter from John Curtosi, New Sabby Concrete and Supplies (EY.Main)

(January 14, 2008) letter from Mike Canhas, President, CQC (EY.Main)

(January 15, 2008) letter from James K. Lam (EY.Main)

### Speakers

John Curtosi

### Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. Prohibit heavy vehicles at all times on Caledonia Road between Rogers Road and St. Clair Avenue West.

### Links to Background Information

December 6, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9626.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9627.pdf>

EY13.21	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 17
---------	-------------	---------	-----------	----------

### Glenhurst Avenue and Roseneath Gardens - All-Way Stop Control

(December 3, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommend that Etobicoke York Community Council Approve:

1. The installation of stop signs for eastbound and westbound traffic on Glenhurst Avenue at Roseneath Gardens, to implement an all-way stop condition.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$600.00

budget		
--------	--	--

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval for the implementation of an all-way stop control at the intersection of Glenhurst Avenue and Roseneath Gardens, as the warrant requirements are met.

### Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Palacio, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved:

1. The installation of stop signs for eastbound and westbound traffic on Glenhurst Avenue at Roseneath Gardens, to implement an all-way stop condition.

### Links to Background Information

December 3, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9632.pdf>)

Attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9633.pdf>)

EY13.22	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 5
---------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

### Naming of Proposed Private Lane at 5145 Dundas Street West

(December 12, 2007) Report from City Surveyor

### Recommendations

The City Surveyor recommends that:

1. the proposed private lane at 5145 Dundas Street West, be named “Summerland Terrace”.
2. Concert Properties Limited pays the cost, estimated to be in the amount of \$600.00, for the fabrication and installation of street name signs.
3. the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of a naming by-law.

### Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report. The estimated costs of \$600.00 for the street name signs are to be paid by the applicant.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report recommends that the proposed private lane at the residential development at 5145 Dundas Street West be named “Summerland Terrace”. Naming the lane will facilitate the identification of the proposed buildings fronting thereon.

### Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved:

1. The proposed private lane at 5145 Dundas Street West, being named “Summerland Terrace”.
2. Concert Properties Limited paying the cost, estimated to be in the amount of \$600.00, for the fabrication and installation of street name signs.
3. The appropriate City Officials being authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of a naming by-law.

### Links to Background Information

December 12, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9397.pdf>

EY13.23	ACTION	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 6
---------	--------	---------	-----------	---------

### Naming of Proposed Private Lanes at 156, 160 Evans Avenue, 829, 833, 839 Oxford Street

(December 11, 2007) Report from City Surveyor

### Recommendations

The City Surveyor recommends that, subject to City Council granting an exception to its policy of naming streets after living persons, and avoiding similar sounding names:

1. the proposed private lanes at 156, 160 Evans Avenue, 829, 833, 839 Oxford Street be named “Ruby Lang Lane” and “Cormier Heights”.
2. Dunpar Developments Inc., pays the cost, estimated to be in the amount of \$2,400.00,

for the fabrication and installation of street name signs.

3. the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of a naming by-law.

### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report. The estimated cost of \$2,400.00 for the street name signs are to be paid by the applicant.

### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision, provided that the staff recommendation is not amended so that it varies with City Policy or by-laws.

This report recommends that, subject to City Council approval, the names “Ruby Lang Lane” and “Cormier Heights” be approved to identify the proposed private lanes at the residential development at 156, 160 Evans Avenue, 829, 833, 839 Oxford Street.

### **Committee Decision**

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council grant an exemption to its policy of naming streets after living persons, and avoiding similar sounding names, and approve the following:

1. The proposed private lanes at 156, 160 Evans Avenue, 829, 833, 839 Oxford Street be named “Ruby Lang Lane” and “Cormier Heights”.
2. Dunpar Developments Inc. pay the cost, estimated to be in the amount of \$2,400.00, for the fabrication and installation of street name signs.
3. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of a naming by-law.

### **Decision Advice and Other Information**

#### Recorded vote:

Yes: Councillors DiGiorgio, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Mammoliti, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio and Saundercook (10)

No: Councillor Ford (1)

Carried.

Councillor Ford moved:

That this matter be deferred to its February 12, 2008 meeting.

Recorded vote:

No: Councillors DiGiorgio, Grimes, Hall, Holyday, Lindsay Luby,  
Mammoliti, Milczyn, Nunziata, Palacio and Saundercook (10)

Yes: Councillor Ford (1)

Motion lost.

Councillor Ford moved:

That Deputy City Manager, Richard Butts, be requested to report to the Etobicoke York Community Council clarifying the “exceptional circumstances” criterion used in the Naming of Proposed Private Lanes, and why it was not used in the circumstance of Naming of Proposed Private Lanes at 156 and 160 Evans Avenue, and 829, 833 and 839 Oxford Street.

Recorded vote:

Yes: Councillors Ford, Holyday, Lindsay Luby, Milczyn, Nunziata,  
and Palacio (6)

No: Councillors Grimes, Hall, Mammoliti and Saundercook (4)

Absent: Councillor DiGiorgio

Carried.

**Links to Background Information**

January 9, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9396.pdf>

EY13.24	ACTION	Amended		Ward: 7
---------	--------	---------	--	---------

### **3035 Weston Road - Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment and Draft Plan of Subdivision Applications - Request for Directions Report**

(December 18, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

**Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council authorize the City Solicitor, City staff and any other necessary

consultants to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to oppose the Rezoning Application 06 143750 WET 07 OZ and Draft Plan of Subdivision Application 06 143743 WET 07 SB in their current form.

2. City Council requests the City Solicitor and City staff to continue discussions with the applicant with the view to arriving at an appropriate development proposal.
3. City Council requests the applicant to submit revised applications with supporting information and studies in a manner that addresses the issues identified in this report.
4. City Council requests the applicant to submit the following studies specific to the proposal under consideration to assist staff's review of the applications: environmental assessment reports; archaeological assessment; survey; a phasing plan (if development is to be phased); arborist report/tree preservation plan; a preliminary design of the railway safety barrier and a noise and vibration study.
5. City Council direct the Director of Community Planning Etobicoke York District to report back to Community Council on any revisions to the applications, including any further recommendation on the direction to be taken by the City at the Ontario Municipal Board, if substantive changes are made to the proposal or if substantive new information is received.

### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

### **Summary**

These applications were made prior to January 1, 2007 and are not subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006. These applications were filed in late December 2005 and June 12, 2006, after March 1, 2005 and are subject to the provisions of the 2005 Provincial Policy Statement, but are not subject Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe that came into effect on June 16, 2006.

These applications propose to permit a residential subdivision containing 166 units at 3035 Weston Road.

The purpose of this report is to provide directions for the upcoming Ontario Municipal Board Hearing. It is recommended that City Council oppose the applications in their current form and request staff to continue discussions with the applicant with the view to arriving at an appropriate development proposal.

### **Committee Recommendations**

On motion by Councillor Mammoliti, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that:

1. City Council authorize the City Solicitor, City staff and any other necessary consultants to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to oppose the Rezoning Application 06 143750 WET 07 OZ and Draft Plan of Subdivision Application 06 143743 WET 07 SB in their current form.

2. City Council request the City Solicitor and City staff to continue discussions with the applicant with the view to arriving at an appropriate development proposal.
3. City Council request the applicant to submit revised applications with supporting information and studies in a manner that addresses the issues identified in this report.
4. City Council request the applicant to submit the following studies specific to the proposal under consideration to assist staff's review of the applications: environmental assessment reports; archaeological assessment; survey; a phasing plan (if development is to be phased); arborist report/tree preservation plan; a preliminary design of the railway safety barrier and a noise and vibration study.
5. City Council direct the Director of Community Planning Etobicoke York District to report back to the next Etobicoke York Community Council meeting on February 12, 2008 on any revisions to the applications, including any further recommendation on the direction to be taken by the City at the Ontario Municipal Board, if substantive changes are made to the proposal or if substantive new information is received.
6. City Council direct City staff to make this application a priority.

### Links to Background Information

December 18, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9567.pdf>

EY13.25	Information	Received		Ward: 6
---------	-------------	----------	--	---------

### Mimico-By-The-Lake Revitalization Initiative

(December 18, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

#### Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

#### Summary

On September 13, 2006, Etobicoke York Community Council (EYCC) requested the City Planning Division to report on the next steps involved in moving the “Mimico by the Lake Project 20/20: A Perfect Vision for our Community” document forward. The Mimico 20/20 document is an initiative originating from Councillor Mark Grimes.

Staff reported on February 13, 2007 and Community Council directed that a wider initial public consultation process based on the Mimico 20/20 document be undertaken. This report presents the results of a community consultation workshop held on June 16, 2007, and outlines the next



steps in proceeding with an implementation strategy for the Mimico-By-The-Lake Community revitalization initiative.

The workshop identified several key areas of future study which can be categorized as follows: Land Use Considerations; Parks and Recreation (Waterfront Renewal); Housing; Economic Development; Transportation; Infrastructure; and Social Services.

The findings contained in facilitation consultant Sue Cumming’s report entitled “MIMICO 20/20 Workshop Report and Recommendations For Next Steps” (Attachment 1) clearly indicate a desire for a continued process that includes public participation to advance this initiative to the implementation stages. Staff intend to host a public meeting in the first quarter of 2008 to discuss a Planning Framework Terms of Reference prior to initiating work.

### **Decision Advice and Other Information**

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council received this report for information.

### **Links to Background Information**

December 18, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9557.pdf>

EY13.26	ACTION	Adopted		Ward: 4
---------	--------	---------	--	---------

### **327 Dixon Road - Rezoning Application - Final Report**

*Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990*

(December 18, 2007) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

### **Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council amend the Zoning By-law for the proposed semi-detached dwellings substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 5.
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.
3. Before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, require the issuance of the Notice of Approval Conditions for the Site Plan Application.

### **Financial Impact**

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### **Summary**

This application proposes to permit 6 semi-detached dwellings along the north side of Acme Crescent.

The proposal for semi-detached dwellings at the interface of a high and low density neighbourhood is consistent with the Apartment Neighbourhoods policies under the Official Plan and overall, represents appropriate land use planning for this local context.

This report reviews and recommends approval of the application to amend the Zoning By-law.

### **Speakers**

Ted Cymbaly, Weston Consulting Group

Daniel Morrison

Rob Hunter

### **Committee Recommendations**

On motion by Councillor Lindsay Luby, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that:

1. City Council amend the Zoning By-law for the proposed semi-detached dwellings substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 5.
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.
3. City Council before introducing the necessary Bills for enactment, require the issuance of the Notice of Approval Conditions for the Site Plan Application.

### **Decision Advice and Other Information**

The Etobicoke York Community Council held a statutory public meeting on October 30, 2007 and notice was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*.

### **Links to Background Information**

December 18, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9555.pdf>)

EY13.27	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 6, 11
---------	-------------	---------	-----------	-------------

## **Long Branch and Mount Dennis Business Improvement Areas (BIAs) Board of Management Additions and Deletions**

(December 18, 2007) Report from Acting Director, Small Business & Local Partnerships

### **Recommendations**

The Acting Director of Small Business & Local Partnership recommends that:

1. Etobicoke York Community Council approve the deletions and additions to the Long Branch and Mount Dennis BIA Boards of Management as set out in Attachment No.1.
2. Schedule A of the Municipal Code Chapter 19, Business Improvement Areas, be amended to reflect the changes to the BIA Boards of Management.

### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

### **Summary**

The purpose of this report is to recommend Etobicoke York Community Council approve deletions and additions to the Long Branch and Mount Dennis BIA Boards of Managements. The Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority to make final decisions regarding BIA appointments.

### **Committee Decision**

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved:

1. The following deletions and additions to the Long Branch and Mount Dennis BIA Boards of Management as set out in Attachment No.1:

Long Branch BIA  
Additions:                   Leo Coholic  
  Ron Eaton

Mount Dennis BIA  
Deletions:                    Jason Lin

2. Schedule A of the Municipal Code Chapter 19, Business Improvement Areas, be amended to reflect the changes to the BIA Boards of Managemen

### **Links to Background Information**

December 18, 2007 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9643.pdf>

EY13.28	Information	Withdrawn		Ward: 13
---------	-------------	-----------	--	----------

### **Junction Gardens Business Improvement Areas (BIAs) Boards of Management Additions and Deletions**

**(Deferred from November 27, 2007 - EY12.47)**

EY13.29	ACTION	Adopted		Ward: 7
---------	--------	---------	--	---------

### **51 High Meadow Place: Site Plan Conditions Matter**

**Confidential Attachment - The receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege**

(November 27, 2007) Report from City Solicitor

#### **Recommendations**

The City Solicitor recommends that:

1. Etobicoke York Community Council receive the confidential report in Attachment 1; and
2. The confidential report in Attachment 1 remain confidential.

#### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting in the adoption of this report.

#### **Summary**

The purpose of this report is to provide information to the Community Council with respect to Ghanaian Presbyterian Church's site plan conditions.

#### **Committee Recommendations**

On motion by Councillor Mammoliti, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that:

1. City Council receive for information the report (January 14, 2008) from the Director, Parks Development and Infrastructure Management and the Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District, and the report (November 27, 2007) from the City Solicitor.

2. The confidential information in Attachment 1 to the report (November 27, 2007) from the City Solicitor, remain confidential.

### **Links to Background Information**

November 27, 2007 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9322.pdf>)

### **29a 51 High Meadow Place - Site Plan Control Matters Supplementary Report**

(January 14, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Etobicoke York District

### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications.

### **Summary**

The purpose of this report is to provide more background information with respect to the provision of a walkway and two mini soccer fields by the Ghanian Presbyterian Church in association with obligations secured concurrent with their past Site Plan Approval. Meetings have been held with the Ward Councillor, representatives of the church and City staff in this regard.

The church representatives have expressed a willingness to fulfill their commitment to construct a revised pedestrian walkway to Finch Avenue West directly from the church site as a first priority. While the church has a continued interest to realize a soccer facility abutting the site, current financial challenges faced by the church, as well as the anticipated cost of making preparations for and constructing the walkway connection makes the added construction of a mini soccer field not possible at this time.

City staff will no longer pursue the construction of a mini soccer field unless there is a change in circumstances or information in the near future to suggest that provision of the mini soccer field is feasible and the Church is financially able to do so.

### **Links to Background Information**

January 14, 2008 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9937.pdf>)

EY13.30	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 6
---------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

## 80 Birmingham Street - Parking Regulation Amendment

(January 9, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council:

1. Approve the installation of a “1 Hour Maximum Anytime” parking restriction on the north side of Birmingham Street between Sixth Street and a point 31.0 metres east thereof.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$200.00

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The purpose of this report is to propose the installation of a “1 Hour Maximum” parking restriction on the north side of Birmingham Street, east of Sixth Street, along the frontage of 80 Birmingham Street. Parking is currently permitted, subject to the City wide three hour maximum by-law, on the north side of Birmingham Street, east of Sixth Street.

The proposed parking prohibition will address the issue of excessive and long-term parking in the area of 80 Birmingham Street.

### Committee Decision

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council:

1. Approved the installation of a “1 Hour Maximum Anytime” parking restriction on the north side of Birmingham Street between Sixth Street and a point 31.0 metres east thereof.

### Links to Background Information

January 9, 2008 report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9839.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9840.pdf>

EY13.31	ACTION	Adopted	Ward: 12
---------	--------	---------	----------

### **Keele Street - Turning Restriction Amendments**

(January 9, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District

#### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that City Council approve:

1. Amending the existing westbound right turn prohibition on North Park Drive at Keele Street operating from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, to operate from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday.
2. Amending the existing westbound right turn prohibition on Quinan Drive at Keele Street operating from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, to operate from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday.
3. Amending the existing southbound left turn prohibition on Keele Street at North Park Drive operating from 6:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., Monday to Friday, to operate from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday.;
4. Amending the existing southbound left turn prohibition on Keele Street at Quinan Drive/Wyndale Drive operating from 6:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., Monday to Friday, to operate from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday.
5. A southbound left turn prohibition be implemented on Duval Drive at Lawrence Avenue West, to operate from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday.

#### **Financial Impact**

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Operating Budget	\$1,600.00

#### **Summary**

The purpose of this report is to respond to a request to reduce the hours of operation of the existing turn prohibitions on Keele Street, north of Lawrence Avenue West at North Park Drive, Quinan Drive and Quinan Drive/Wyndale Drive and introduce a new turn prohibition on Duval Drive at Lawrence Avenue West.

As Keele Street is a transit route, this matter requires the approval of City Council. Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) staff have been advised of the issue and have not objected to the recommendations.

### Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Di Giorgio, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council approve the following:

1. Amending the existing westbound right turn prohibition on North Park Drive at Keele Street operating from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, to operate from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday.
2. Amending the existing westbound right turn prohibition on Quinan Drive at Keele Street operating from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, to operate from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday.
3. Amending the existing southbound left turn prohibition on Keele Street at North Park Drive operating from 6:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., Monday to Friday, to operate from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday.
4. Amending the existing southbound left turn prohibition on Keele Street at Quinan Drive/Wyndale Drive operating from 6:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., Monday to Friday, to operate from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday.
5. A southbound left turn prohibition be implemented on Duval Drive at Lawrence Avenue West, to operate from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday.

### Links to Background Information

January 9, 2008 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9841.pdf>)

Attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9842.pdf>)

EY13.32	Information	Adopted	Delegated	Ward: 6
---------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------

### Demolition Control Report - 96 Forty First Street

(January 15, 2008) Report from Director and Deputy Chief Building Official

### Recommendations

Toronto Building recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council give consideration to the demolition application and decide to:

- a. Refuse the application to demolish the subject mixed use commercial residential building because there is no permit application to replace the buildings on the site; or,



- b. Approve the application to demolish the subject mixed use commercial residential building without conditions; or
- c. Approve the application to demolish the subject mixed use commercial residential building with the following conditions;
  - i. that a construction fence be erected in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article III, if deemed appropriate by the Chief Building Official;
  - ii. that all debris and rubble be removed immediately after demolition;
  - iii. obtain approvals from Toronto Regional Conservation Authority, Urban Forestry Ravine Trees Section and Urban Forestry Private and Street Trees Section prior to issuance of a demolition permit; and
  - iv. that any holes on the property are backfilled with clean fill.

### **Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report

### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

On December 19, 2007, Toronto Building received a demolition permit application to demolish a one storey single family dwelling. Toronto Building did receive a building permit application to replace the building to be demolished on December 28, 2007. The applicant is requesting that a demolition permit be issued prior to the issuance of a replacement building permit which is a requirement under By-law No 1009-2006 (Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article II), in order for the Chief Building Official to release a demolition permit.

In accordance with By-law No 1009-2006 (Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article II), the above noted demolition permit application is to be submitted to Community Council for consideration and decision, due to the fact that a building permit has not been issued to replace the building.

### **Committee Decision**

On motion by Councillor Grimes, the Etobicoke York Community Council approved the application to demolish the subject mixed use commercial residential building with the following conditions;

1. That a construction fence be erected in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Code, Chapter 363, Article III, if deemed appropriate by the Chief Building Official;
2. That all debris and rubble be removed immediately after demolition;
3. Obtain approvals from Toronto Regional Conservation Authority, Urban Forestry Ravine Trees Section and Urban Forestry Private and Street Trees Section prior to

issuance of a demolition permit.

4. That any holes on the property are backfilled with clean fill.

### Links to Background Information

January 15, 2008 report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9966.pdf>)

EY13.33	ACTION	Adopted		Ward: 7
---------	--------	---------	--	---------

### Request for Attendance at an Ontario Municipal Board Hearing - Appeal of Committee of Adjustment Decision for 19 Oakland Avenue

(January 8, 2008) Member Motion from Councillor Giorgio Mammoliti

#### Recommendations

1. That City Council authorize the City Solicitor, City Transportation Services Staff and an outside planning consultant, if required, to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to seek refusal of Minor Variance Application Number A601/07EYK, relating to 19 Oakland Avenue.

#### Summary

On November 22, 2007, the Committee of Adjustment refused a Minor Variance application (File Number A601/07EYK) for Lot 41 and Part of Lot 42, Registered Plan 1953 at 19 Oakland Avenue. The variances requested pertained to the existing front, side and rear yard setbacks, parking and front yard parking and landscaping in order to convert the existing building into a place of worship, together with ancillary uses.

City Planning Staff did not comment on the proposal.

The Manager, Traffic Planning/Right-of-Way Management, Transportation Services, did not support the application, but provided recommended conditions in the event that the application was approved.

The applicant, Rehoboth Apostolic Ark Ministry (Kenneth Barnswell), through its Consultant Colin Campbell has appealed the Committee of Adjustment minor variance refusal decision to the Ontario Municipal Board and a hearing has not yet been scheduled.

In view of the refusal decision of the Committee of Adjustment, this motion is brought forward to authorize the City Solicitor, City Transportation Services Staff, and an outside planning consultant, if required, to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to oppose the application.

#### Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Mammoliti, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. Authorize the City Solicitor, City Transportation Services Staff and an outside planning consultant, if required, to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to seek refusal of Minor Variance Application Number A601/07EYK, relating to 19 Oakland Avenue.

### Links to Background Information

Motion

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9890.pdf>)

Attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9891.pdf>)

EY13.34	ACTION	Adopted		Ward: 7
---------	--------	---------	--	---------

### Request for Attendance at an Ontario Municipal Board Hearing - Appeal of Committee of Adjustment Decision for 265 Eddystone Drive

(January 9, 2008) Member Motion from Councillor Giorgio Mammoliti

### Recommendations

1. That City Council authorize the City Solicitor and City Staff to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to seek refusal Minor Variance application A589/06EYK relating to 265 Eddystone Drive, forming part of Metro Toronto Condo Plan 1087 Level 1 Unit 1.

### Summary

On July 26, 2007, the Committee of Adjustment refused a Minor Variance application (file No. A589/06EYK) for Metro Toronto Condo Plan 1087 Level 1 Unit 1 at 265 Eddystone Drive. The application sought approval of minor variances to the zoning by-law requirements for landscaping and landscape treatments, parking, parking location, and use for a place of worship.

City staff from various Divisions commented on the proposal. The Business Development and Retention Section of the Economic Development Division and the Director, Community Planning Etobicoke York District recommended refusal of the application.

On July 18, 2007, Audley Goulbourne, on behalf of owner, Lisle Memorial Baptist Church appealed the Committee of Adjustment minor variance refusal decision to the Ontario Municipal Board. An Ontario Municipal Board hearing was scheduled for December 5, 2007, but was adjourned at the request of the applicant. A new hearing date has not been scheduled.

In view of the refusal decision of the Committee of Adjustment, this motion is brought forward

to authorize the City Solicitor and City staff to attend the OMB hearing to oppose the application.

### Committee Recommendations

On motion by Councillor Mammoliti, the Etobicoke York Community Council recommended that City Council:

1. Authorize the City Solicitor and City Staff to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to seek refusal Minor Variance application A589/06EYK relating to 265 Eddystone Drive, forming part of Metro Toronto Condo Plan 1087 Level 1 Unit 1.

### Links to Background Information

Motion

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9892.pdf>

Attachment

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ey/bgrd/backgroundfile-9893.pdf>

EY13.Bills	ACTION		Delegated	
------------	--------	--	-----------	--

### General Bills

Councillor Saundercook moved that the following bills be introduced and that these Bills, prepared for this meeting of Community Council, be passed and hereby declared as By-laws, which carried.

Bill No.	By-law No.	Date of Adoption	Title/Authority
Bill No. 1	3-2008	January 15, 2008	To amend By-law No. 31878, of the former City of North York, respecting maximum rates of speed on North York roads, regarding Grovedale Avenue.  <b>Etobicoke York Community Council Item 11.33, as adopted by Etobicoke York Community Council on October 30, 2007 under the delegated authority of §§ 27-149B and 27-152 of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.</b>
Bill No. 2	4-2008	January 15, 2008	To amend By-law No. 31001 of the

former City of North York,  
as amended, regarding Bannerman  
Street and Grovedale Avenue.

**Etobicoke York Community  
Council Item 11.33, as adopted  
by Etobicoke York Community  
Council on October 30, 2007  
under the delegated authority of  
§§ 27-149B and 27-152 of  
Chapter 27, Council Procedures,  
of the City of Toronto  
Municipal Code.**

Bill No. 3	5-2008	January 15, 2008	To amend City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 19, Business Improvement Areas, to make changes to the size of various business improvement area boards of management.
------------	--------	------------------	---

**Etobicoke York Community  
Council Item 12.38, as adopted  
by Etobicoke York Community  
Council on November 27, 2007  
under the delegated authority of  
§§ 27-149B and 27-152 of  
Chapter 27, Council Procedures,  
of the City of Toronto  
Municipal Code.**

Bill No. 4	6-2008	January 15, 2008	To amend By-law No. 31001 of the former City of North York, as amended, regarding Stella Street.
------------	--------	------------------	--

**Etobicoke York Community  
Council Item 12.35, as adopted  
by Etobicoke York Community  
Council on November 27, 2007  
under the delegated authority of  
§§ 27-149B and 27-152 of  
Chapter 27, Council Procedures,  
of the City of Toronto  
Municipal Code.**

Bill No. 5	7-2008	January 15, 2008	To amend By-law No. 31001 of the former City of North York, as amended, regarding Alladin
------------	--------	------------------	---

Avenue and Stella Street.

**Etobicoke York Community Council Item 12.35, as adopted by Etobicoke York Community Council on November 27, 2007 under the delegated authority of §§ 27-149B and 27-152 of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.**

Bill No. 6	8-2008	January 15, 2008	To amend By-law No. 31001 of the former City of North York, as amended, regarding Florida Crescent.
------------	--------	------------------	---

**Etobicoke York Community Council Item 13.18, as adopted by Etobicoke York Community Council on January 15, 2008 under the delegated authority of §§ 27-149B and 27-152 of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.**

Bill No. 7	9-2008	January 15, 2008	To amend By-law No. 31001 of the former City of North York, as amended, regarding Lilac Avenue.
------------	--------	------------------	---

**Etobicoke York Community Council Item 13.17, as adopted by Etobicoke York Community Council on January 15, 2008 under the delegated authority of §§ 27-149B and 27-152 of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.**

Bill No. 8	10-2008	January 15, 2008	To amend the Municipal Code of the former City of Etobicoke with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I, regarding Avalon Road.
------------	---------	------------------	--

**Etobicoke York Community**

**Council Item 13.15, as adopted by Etobicoke York Community Council on January 15, 2008 under the delegated authority of §§ 27-149B and 27-152 of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.**

Bill No. 9	11-2008	January 15, 2008	To amend the Municipal Code of the former City of Etobicoke with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article I, regarding Twenty Seventh Street.
			<p><b>Etobicoke York Community Council Item 13.16, as adopted by Etobicoke York Community Council on January 15, 2008 under the delegated authority of §§ 27-149B and 27-152 of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.</b></p>
Bill No. 10	12-2008	January 15, 2008	To amend the Municipal Code of the former City of Etobicoke with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article II, regarding Jeffcoat Drive.
			<p><b>Etobicoke York Community Council Item 13.12, as adopted by Etobicoke York Community Council on January 15, 2008 under the delegated authority of §§ 27-149B and 27-152 of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.</b></p>
Bill No. 11	13-2008	January 15, 2008	To amend the Municipal Code of the former City of Etobicoke with respect to Traffic - Chapter 240, Article II, regarding Oxford Street.
			<p><b>Etobicoke York Community Council Item 13.13, as adopted by Etobicoke York Community</b></p>

**Council on January 15, 2008  
under the delegated authority of  
§§ 27-149B and 27-152 of  
Chapter 27, Council Procedures,  
of the City of Toronto  
Municipal Code.**

Bill No. 12	14-2008	January 15, 2008	To amend By-law No. 2958-94 of the former City of York, being a By-law “To regulate traffic on City of York Roads”, regarding Glenhurst Avenue.
-------------	---------	------------------	---

**Etobicoke York Community  
Council Item 13.21, as adopted  
by Etobicoke York Community  
Council on January 15, 2008  
under the delegated authority of  
§§ 27-149B and 27-152 of  
Chapter 27, Council Procedures,  
of the City of Toronto  
Municipal Code.**

Bill No. 13	15-2008	January 15, 2008	To amend By-law No. 196-84 of the former City of York, being a By-law “To regulate traffic on City of York Roads”, regarding Glenhurst Avenue.
-------------	---------	------------------	--

**Etobicoke York Community  
Council Item 13.21, as adopted  
by Etobicoke York Community  
Council on January 15, 2008  
under the delegated authority of  
§§ 27-149B and 27-152 of  
Chapter 27, Council Procedures,  
of the City of Toronto  
Municipal Code.**

Bill No. 14	16-2008	January 15, 2008	To amend the City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 903, Parking for Persons with Disabilities, respecting Northcliffe Boulevard.
-------------	---------	------------------	---

**Etobicoke York Community  
Council Item 12.44, as adopted  
by Etobicoke York Community  
Council November 27, 2007**



**under the delegated authority of §§ 27-149B and 27-152 of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.**

Bill No. 15            17-2008            January 15, 2008

To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting Northcliffe Boulevard.

**Etobicoke York Community Council Item 12.44, as adopted by Etobicoke York Community Council on November 27, 2007 under the delegated authority of §§ 27-149B and 27-152 of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.**

Bill No. 16            18-2008            January 15, 2008

To amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Ch. 400, Traffic and Parking, respecting the lane first south of Dundas Street West, between Keele Street and Mavety Street.

**Etobicoke York Community Council Item 13.19, as adopted by Etobicoke York Community Council on January 15, 2008 under the delegated authority of §§ 27-149B and 27-152 of Chapter 27, Council Procedures, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.**

### **Confirmatory Bills**

Councillor Di Giorgio moved that the Etobicoke York Community Council passed Confirmatory By-law No. 19-2008.

Bill No. 53            19-2008            January 15, 2008

To confirm the proceedings of Etobicoke York Community Council at its meeting held on the 15th day of January, 2008 as it relates to

decisions made under delegated authority.

**(this final confirming By-law confirms the actions taken by Community Council under delegated authority at this meeting, including the enactment of any previous confirming By-laws).**

*Submitted Tuesday, January 15, 2008*

*Councillor Frances Nunziata, Chair, Etobicoke York Community Council*

### **Meeting Sessions**

Session Date	Session Type	Start Time	End Time	Public or Closed Session
2008-01-15	Morning	9:30 AM	12:55 PM	Public
2008-01-15	Evening	7:05 PM	7:35 PM	Public