

# STAFF REPORT ACTION REQUIRED

# Cancellation, Reduction or Refund of Property Taxes – November 2008 Hearing

Date:	November 5, 2008				
To:	Government Management Committee				
From:	Acting Treasurer				
Wards:	All				
Reference Number:	P:\2008\Internal Services\Rev\gm08029rev (AFS#8716)				

#### SUMMARY

This report deals with tax appeal applications made to the Treasurer pursuant to sections 323 and 325 of the *City of Toronto Act*, 2006 (COTA). Section 323 permits Council to cancel, reduce or refund taxes in cases when, during the year, a property undergoes changes such as when it is destroyed by fire or demolished, becomes exempt from taxation, or is reclassified due to a change in use. Under section 325 of the *COTA*, taxpayers can request a cancellation, reduction or refund of taxes when an error in the assessment roll is identified which results in an overcharge.

The legislation requires Council to hold a public meeting where the applicants may make a submission in defence of their position. Council has delegated authority to hold such public meetings to the Government Management Committee.

Staff have mailed Notices of Hearing to affected taxpayers and recommend approval of the applications as listed in Appendices A and B.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

#### The Acting Treasurer recommends that:

1. the individual tax appeal applications made pursuant to section 323 of the *City of Toronto Act*, 2006 resulting in tax reductions totalling \$1,350,581.81 including reductions in Business Improvement Area charges and excluding phase-in/capping adjustments, as identified in Appendix A, be approved; and,

2. the individual tax appeal applications made pursuant to section 325 of the *City of Toronto Act*, 2006 resulting in tax reductions totalling \$30,857.36 including reductions in Business Improvement Area charges and excluding phase-in/capping adjustments, as identified in Appendix B, be approved.

# **Financial Impact**

The financial impact of approving the individual tax appeal applications (excluding phase-in/capping adjustments), as identified in Appendices A and B, attached, is summarized in Table 1 below:

Recommended No. of Education Tax Reduction **Appendix** City Share BIA **Applications** Share Total 101 \$1,350,581.81 \$704,126.72 \$637,711.26 \$8,743.83 Α \$30,857.36 В 8 \$20,317.42 \$10,468.89 \$71.05 **Total** 109 \$1,381,439.17 \$724,444.14 \$648,180.15 \$8,814.88

Table 1: Tax Appeals Summary

The City's share of \$724,444.14 will be funded from the 2008 Tax Deficiency Account (Non-Program Budget). The education share of \$648,180.15 will be recovered from the province/school boards, and the Business Improvement Area (BIA) reductions of \$8,814.88 will be funded from the respective BIA provision.

The Acting Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

#### **DECISION HISTORY**

At its meeting of December 16, and 17, 1998, Council adopted a report authorizing the Administration Committee to hear and make recommendations on tax appeals, other than poverty appeals, pursuant to sections 442 and 443 of the old *Municipal Act*, now sections 323 and 325 of the *City of Toronto Act*, 2006. Poverty appeals are heard by the Assessment Review Board as authorized by Council. To view this report on-line, please follow the link below:

http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/1998/agendas/council/cc/cc981216/sp26rpt/cl035.htm

#### **ISSUE BACKGROUND**

Section 323 of the *COTA* allows Council to cancel, reduce or refund property taxes levied in a year for the following reasons:

- a) property is eligible to be reclassified to a different class;
- b) property has become vacant land or excess land;
- c) property has become exempt from taxation;
- d) property has been razed/damaged by fire, demolition or otherwise;
- e) mobile unit has been removed;
- f) taxpayer has been unable to pay taxes due to sickness or extreme poverty;

- g) taxpayer has been overcharged due to gross or manifest clerical error; or,
- h) property could not be used for at least three months due to repairs or renovations.

Section 325 of the *COTA* allows Council to cancel, reduce or refund taxes relating to errors made on the assessment roll for one or both of the two years preceding the year in which the application is made.

As Revenue Services staff receive tax appeal applications, they are reviewed to determine eligibility. Staff then send the applications to the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC) to obtain the related assessment information. Once the information is received from MPAC, staff calculate the tax cancellation/reduction amount. Notices are prepared to advise the applicant of the amount that may be cancelled/reduced, and the time and date of the upcoming hearing before the Government Management Committee.

To facilitate the hearing process and address taxpayers' questions and concerns, Revenue Services staff respond to many telephone calls and meet with taxpayers, as necessary.

#### COMMENTS

Staff recommend that Council cancel/reduce taxes totalling \$1,350,581.81 (including Business Improvement Area charges and excluding phase-in/capping) under section 323 of the *COTA* and \$30,857.36 (including Business Improvement Area charges and excluding phase-in/capping) under section 325 of the *COTA*.

Appendices A and B, attached, list the 109 applications for tax cancellation or reduction, and provide the property address, roll number, ward number, appeal number, tax year, the reason/type of appeal, the number of days for which relief is being recommend, the total amount of the recommended reduction/cancellation of taxes, the City and Education share of the tax cancellation/reduction and the amount of any BIA reduction.

Once Council has made its decision, staff will mail Notices of Decision and reduce/cancel the tax accordingly. The applicant has thirty five (35) days from the date the Notice of Decision is mailed to appeal Council's decision to the Assessment Review Board (ARB).

Table 2, below, summarizes the 109 applications filed, the section of the *Act* they are filed under, the reason for the application, the number of applications for each reason, the tax reduction breakdown between City and Education portions, the amount of the BIA reduction and the total reduction in taxes recommended.

Table 2: Detailed Summary of Tax Appeals – November 2008

			Recommended Tax Reductions			
COTA Section	Reason for Application	# of Applications	City Share	Education Share	BIA	Total Recommended Reduction
			(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
323	Unusable	3	1,201.79	535.81	0.00	1,737.60
323	Razed by fire/demolished	41	129,113.86	111,453.49	1,350.72	241,918.07
323	Damaged by fire/demolished	19	358,131.99	338,521.83	4,532.52	701,186.34
323	Exempt	22	189,883.56	170,662.36	2,502.85	363,048.77
323	Tax class change	16	25,795.52	16,537.77	357.74	42,691.03
323	Clerical errors	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
325	Clerical errors	8	20,317.42	10,468.89	71.05	30,857.36
	Total	109	724,444.14	648,180.15	8,814.88	1,381,439.17

# CONTACT

Casey Brendon, Acting Director, Revenue Services

Phone: (416) 395-0125, Fax: (416) 696-4230, e-mail: cbrendo@toronto.ca

### **SIGNATURE**

Giuliana Carbone
Acting Treasurer

# **ATTACHMENTS**

Appendix A: Council Detail Hearing Report – Section 323 of COTA, Hearing 2008H5 Appendix B: Council Detail Hearing Report – Section 325 of COTA, Hearing 2008H5