

Licensing and Standards Committee

Meeting No.	16	Contact	Dela Ting, Acting Administrator
Meeting Date	Wednesday, October 15, 2008	Phone	416-397-7769
Start Time	9:30 AM	E-mail	lsc@toronto.ca
Location	Committee Room 1, City Hall		

Licensing and Standards Committee		
Councillor Howard Moscoe (Chair)	Councillor Sandra Bussin	Councillor Rob Ford
Councillor Denzil Minnan-Wong (Vice Chair)	Councillor Mike Del Grande	Councillor Anthony Perruzza

Members of Council and Staff: Please keep this agenda and the accompanying material until the City Council meeting dealing with these matters has ended. **The City Clerk's Office will not provide additional copies.**

Special Assistance for Members of the Public: City staff can arrange for special assistance with some advance notice. If you need special assistance, please call 416-392-4365, TTY 416-338-0889 or e-mail lsc@toronto.ca.

Closed Meeting Requirements: If the Licensing and Standards Committee wants to meet in closed session (privately), a member of the Committee must make a motion to do so and give the reason why the Committee has to meet privately.(City of Toronto Act, 2006)

Notice to people writing or making presentations to the Licensing and Standards Committee: The City of Toronto Act, 2006 and the City of Toronto Municipal Code authorize the City of Toronto to collect any personal information in your communication or presentation to City Council or its committees.

The City collects this information to enable it to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s). If you are submitting letters, faxes, e-mails, presentations or other communications to the City, you should be aware that your name and the fact that you communicated with the City will become part of the public record and will appear on the City's website. The City will also make your communication and any personal information in it – such as your postal address, telephone number or e-mail address - available to the public, unless you expressly request the City to remove it.

The City videotapes committee and community council meetings. If you make a presentation to a committee or community council, the City will be videotaping you and City staff may make the video tapes available to the public.

If you want to learn more about why and how the City collects your information, write to the City Clerk's Office, City Hall, 100 Queen Street West, Toronto ON M5H 2N2 or by calling 416-397-7769.

Declarations of Interest under the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act**Speakers/Presentations: A complete list will be distributed at the meeting****Confirmation of Minutes: July 3, 2008****Communications/Reports****(Deferred from November 30, 2007 - 2007.LS9.2 and November 2, 2007 - 2007.LS8.9)**

LS16.1	ACTION			Wards: All
--------	--------	--	--	------------

Right to Enter Adjoining Land to Make Repairs*Public Notice*

(October 19, 2007) Report from Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

The Executive Director of Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that:

1. Council adopt the proposed by-law amendments to Municipal Code Chapter 693, Building Construction and Demolition, in accordance with the report recommendations and Appendix A, subject to any necessary minor substantive or stylistic refinements as may be identified by the Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards and the City Solicitor;
2. The Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards, prepare a public information package on the use of the proposed by-law and report back to the Licensing and Standards Committee on the use of the by-law and any refinements required to it in a year's time; and
3. City staff be authorised and directed to take any other action necessary to implement the proposed by-law amendments.

Financial Impact

The information in this report has no financial implications beyond those already approved in the current year's budget.

Summary

Staff recommend that a City-wide by-law be adopted to provide the right to enter adjoining land for the purpose of making repairs and alterations.

As required by section 101 of the City of Toronto Act, 2006, the by-law provides for notice requirements, limits entry to the extent necessary to carry out repairs and alterations, and

requires that the adjoining land be returned to its original condition.

Although the City can prosecute for non-compliance with the by-law and, if successful, also request a prohibition order, in practice this can be a complex and resource-intensive undertaking by the City. If neighbours cannot agree, it is often more expeditious for them to take court action to enforce their rights. The Trespass to Property Act also applies if a neighbour goes beyond the limited access rights granted under the by-law. Although it is arguable that security could be required as a condition of accessing adjoining land, this requirement could only be implemented in the context of a complex and costly permit system.

Staff therefore recommend an approach based on better informing the parties to a right-of-entry matter as to their rights and obligations, and that focuses on preventing disputes and taking corresponding precautions. Under such an approach, staff would therefore undertake an intermediary and informational role, with the option open to a complainant to resort to civil court, if necessary.

The City Solicitor was consulted in the preparation of this report.

Background Information

Staff Report from Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ls/bgrd/backgroundfile-15653.pdf>

Communications

- (October 31, 2007) e-mail from Barbara Wilkes (LS.Main.LS16.1.1)
- (October 31, 2007) e-mail from Fred Theysmeyer (LS.Main.LS16.1.2)
- (October 31, 2007) e-mail from Helaine Becker (LS.Main.LS16.1.3)
- (October 31, 2007) e-mail from Sy Landau (LS.Main.LS16.1.4)
- (November 1, 2007) e-mail from Councillor Cliff Jenkins, Ward 25 Don Valley (LS.Main.LS16.1.5)
- (November 1, 2007) e-mail from Mike McKelvey, Board Member, Lawrence Park Ratepayers Association (LS.Main.LS16.1.6)
- (November 1, 2007) e-mail from John Dellevoet (LS.Main.LS16.1.7)
- (November 2, 2007) e-mail from Gwen Rattle (LS.Main.LS16.1.8)
- (November 12, 2007) e-mail from Robin Riko (LS.Main.LS16.1.9)
- (November 20, 2007) e-mail from Robyn Knapp (LS.Main.LS16.1.10)
- (November 9, 2007) e-mail from Harold Smith, Partner Mesbur and Amith Architects (LS.Main.LS16.1.11)
- (November 11, 2007) e-mail from Nicholas Woodbridge (LS.Main.LS16.1.12)
- (November 1, 2007) e-mail from John Smith, President, Teddington Park Residents Association (LS.Main.LS16.1.13)
- (November 14, 2007) e-mail from Lambert Cheng (LS.Main.LS16.1.14)
- (November 22, 2007) e-mail from Robert Burton, Burton-Lesbury Holdings Limited/Cobob Holdings Limited (LS.Main.LS16.1.15)
- (November 26, 2007) letter from David E. Bawden, President, York Mills Ratepayers' Association (LS.Main.LS16.1.16)
- (November 27, 2007) e-mail from Nicholas Woodbridge (LS.Main.LS16.1.17)
- (November 2, 2007) e-mail from Eileen Denny (LS.Main.LS16.1.18)
- (November 30, 2007) e-mail from Patrick Daky (LS.Main.LS16.1.19)

(November 28, 2007) letter from Cindy Weiner, President, At. Andrew's Ratepayers Association (LS.Main.LS16.1.20)

(November 30, 2007) letter from Hilde Reis-Smart, Secretary, on behalf of John Smith, President, Teddington Park Residents Association (LS.Main.LS16.1.21)

1a Right to Enter Adjoining Land to Make Repairs

(September 30, 2008) Report from Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications, beyond those already approved in the current year's budget, associated with the three options, other than the permitting system, described in the Summary of this report.

The final option to adopt a harmonized permitting system and bylaw that is administered and enforced by the City would require additional staffing resources ranging from \$7,500 to \$106,300 per year. Based on staff's projections, which account for the type and volume of both permit and renewal applications, it is expected the average cost of the program would be \$28,700 per year. This cost would be fully recoverable through the implementation of the following fees:

	Right-of-entry Permits	
	Low-impact work	High-impact work
<u>Application</u>		
Estimated cost	\$ 229.31	\$ 844.33
Proposed fee	\$ 230.00	\$ 845.00
<u>Renewal</u>		
Estimated cost	\$ 126.58	\$ 278.71
Proposed fee	\$ 127.00	\$ 279.00

The fees proposed above would automatically increase by the cost of wages on the first day of January of each year (see amendment no. 4 in Appendix A).

The above costs and fees, however, do not include any allowance associated with enforcement related to non-compliance, including potential prosecution. These costs would include both Officer time and legal costs.

If implemented, the Executive Director of Municipal Licensing and Standards would report back through the 2010 Operating Budget process on any additional costs required to administer and enforce a harmonized permitting system based on actual volumes experienced in 2009. Any additional costs would be fully offset by volume-based increased revenues.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with

the financial impact information.

Summary

This report responds to the Licensing and Standards Committee's direction to report on the feasibility and financial impacts of establishing a right-of-entry permitting system. In addition, to provide better context, it also briefly summarises the following three alternatives:

Continue the status quo (i.e., keep the existing bylaws from the former municipalities and keeping former North York without a bylaw);

Repeal the bylaws of the former municipalities (and have no right-of-entry bylaw for the City); and

Adopt a harmonized right-of-entry bylaw for the City that is enforced directly through civil litigation (and keep City involvement to a minimum).

A right-of-entry permitting system would operate completely apart from the current building permit system with administration and enforcement resting solely on Municipal Licensing and Standards.

Staff believe that a permitting system would be very challenging to administer and could often place the City in the role of arbiter between neighbours. It is the opinion of staff that right-of-entry matters are dealt with more effectively and efficiently through the civil court system.

The City Solicitor was consulted in the preparation of this report.

Background Information

Staff Report from Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ls/bgrd/backgroundfile-16049.pdf>

LS16.2	ACTION			Wards: All
--------	--------	--	--	------------

Issuance of Temporary Special Event Licenses to Toronto-Based Livery Companies During Signature City Events

(July 23, 2008) Letter from City Clerk

Summary

Advising that City Council on July 15, 16 and 17, 2008 referred the following motion (MM23.4) entitled "Issuance of Temporary Special Event Licenses to Toronto-Based Livery Companies During Signature City Events" moved by Councillor Karen Stintz, seconded by Councillor Mike Feldman to the Licensing and Standards Committee, for consideration.

"Livery companies are raising an issue that impacts limousines and Toronto tourism. Each year there is an increased demand for chauffeured vehicles during the Toronto Film Festival. There are not enough limousines in the City of Toronto to meet the demand.

Many operators have corporate clients that rely on limousines for service during this peak period. Operators attempt to accommodate demand by partnering with their peers in the City.

However, there are just not enough limousines/SUV's/sedans to meet this need.

Over the past few years, operators have attempted to meet the demand by renting vehicles for the week of the Toronto Film Festival, however, since these vehicles are not licensed, the operators were subject to unlicensed vehicle fines. The industry is hoping to work with the City on a solution to this issue.

One idea brought forward by the industry is to obtain a temporary event licence. This request has been put forward continuously, since September of 2007, as this was a particularly busy year. If a temporary event licence cannot be implemented, perhaps staff can work with the industry to come up with a solution that would enable Toronto-based livery companies to meet increased demand for vehicles during the Toronto Film Festival and other signature City events.

Toronto is Canada's largest City and sixth largest government, and home to a diverse population of about 2.6 million people. It is the economic engine of Canada and one of the greenest and most creative cities in North America. In the past three years, Toronto has won more than 70 awards for quality, innovation and efficiency in delivering public services. Toronto's government is dedicated to prosperity, opportunity and livability for all its residents, so I am confident that a solution to this matter can be identified.

Recommendation:

1. That the Deputy City Manager of Citizen Centred Services, Cluster B, in consultation with the Executive Director of Municipal Licensing and Standards, report back on the feasibility of issuing temporary special event licences to Toronto-based livery companies during signature City events in Toronto.”

Background Information

Motion MM23.4 referred by City Council

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ls/bgrd/backgroundfile-16046.pdf>

LS16.3	Information			Wards: All
--------	-------------	--	--	------------

Toronto Licensing Tribunal Annual Report Requirements

(August 13, 2008) Report from City Manager

Financial Impact

None.

Summary

The Licensing and Standards Committee requested a report on any conflict between the independence of the Toronto Licensing Tribunal and the requirement for the Tribunal to submit its Annual Report to the Deputy City Manager responsible for licensing issues for transmittal to the committee along with any comments from City staff.

Staff in the City Manager’s Office reviewed the relevant provisions of the Toronto Municipal Code and the Toronto Licensing Tribunal Relationship Framework, as amended by Council, and did not find these provisions to be in conflict. Appendix “A” to this report includes extracts of the Toronto Municipal Code, §543-3, Licensing, and Council-approved requirements for the Licensing Tribunal’s Annual Report.

Background Information

Staff Report from City Manager

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ls/bgrd/backgroundfile-16045.pdf>)

LS16.4	ACTION			Wards: All
--------	--------	--	--	------------

Amendments to Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 693, Article III, Temporary Signs, and Chapter 441, Fees and Charges

Public Notice

(September 25, 2008) Report from Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

The Executive Director of Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that:

1. The amendments to City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 441, Fees and Charges, and to City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 693, Signs be adopted substantially in the form set out in Appendix “A”, subject to such minor stylistic and substantive changes as may be deemed necessary or appropriate by the Executive Director of Municipal Licensing and Standards or the City Solicitor; and
2. Staff be directed to take any necessary actions to implement and otherwise put into effect the above recommendations.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications as a result of the adoption of the recommendations of this report.

Summary

To support the implementation of a more aggressive enforcement strategy, technical amendments to the City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 693, Signs, Article III, (Chapter 693) and the introduction of certain fees into the City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 441, Fees and Charges, (Chapter 441) have been proposed.

These include: the introduction into Chapter 693 of definitions for Business Owner, Business Operator, Sign Owner, and Temporary Sign Provider; clarification of the City’s authority to pull down and remove a temporary sign at the expense of the sign owner; detailing the City’s authority to enter property to permit the seizure of an improperly installed temporary sign;

shortening the process for the storage, removal, and release of temporary signs; and introducing the ability to recover fees for the storage, removal, and release of any signs seized.

Additionally, Chapter 441 will be amended to introduce fees for the disposal of temporary signs, as well as clarifying, where necessary, the fees applicable for the removal, storage, removal and retrieval of various signs types.

This report was prepared in consultation with the City Solicitor.

Background Information

Staff Report from Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ls/bgrd/backgroundfile-16044.pdf>)

LS16.5	ACTION			Wards: All
--------	--------	--	--	------------

Taxicab and Limousine Airport Flat Rate Tariff Increase

Public Notice

(September 30, 2008) Report from Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

The Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards, recommends that:

1. The City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 545, Licensing, be amended to increase the flat rate taxicab and limousine tariffs charged by Toronto licensed taxicabs and limousines taking fares from the City of Toronto to Lester B. Pearson International Airport to bring them in line with the tariff increases which were implemented by the Greater Toronto Airports Authority on August 24, 2008, as set out in Appendix “A” and Appendix “B” of this report; and
2. The City Solicitor be directed to prepare the necessary bill to give effect to the recommendations in this report.

Financial Impact

The recommendations of this report will have no financial impact beyond what has already been approved in the current year’s budget.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to recommend an increase in the flat rate taxicab and limousine tariffs charged by Toronto licensed taxicabs and limousines taking fares from the City of Toronto to Lester B. Pearson International Airport, found in Appendix “M” and Appendix “N” to the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 545, Licensing.

On August 24, 2008 the Greater Toronto Airports Authority increased the flat rate tariffs for both taxicab and limousine trips originating from Lester B. Pearson International Airport by a weighted average of approximately 10 per cent along with a formula for the addition of a fuel

surcharge to each fare dependent on the average retail fuel prices for the preceding 90 days.

Background Information

Staff Report from Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ls/bgrd/backgroundfile-16041.pdf>)

Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ls/bgrd/backgroundfile-16042.pdf>)

Appendix B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ls/bgrd/backgroundfile-16043.pdf>)

LS16.6	ACTION			Wards: All
--------	--------	--	--	------------

Amendments to Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 629, Property Standards- Washrooms within Mercantile Occupancies

Public Notice

(September 30, 2008) Report from Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards

Recommendations

It is recommended that:

1. Council adopt the proposed by-law amendments to Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 629, Property Standards, substantially as in Appendix A;
2. The City Solicitor be directed to prepare the necessary bill to give effect to any recommendations adopted by Council; and
3. City staff be authorized and directed to take any other action necessary to implement the by-law amendments.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications as a result of the adoption of the recommendations contained within this report.

Summary

This report recommends the adoption of amendments to the Property Standards By-law (Municipal Code Chapter 629) in order to ensure that washroom facilities in all mercantile occupancies are maintained as provided at the time of construction in accordance with the approved building permit.

The Ontario Building Code (Building Code) regulates certain aspects related to washroom facilities dependant on use and occupant load. Generally, the Building Code does not signage provisions contain for washrooms in mercantile occupancies, and does not regulate the availability of such facilities for the customers or other patrons of such establishments.

Toronto Public Health, under the authority of the Health Protection and Promotion Act and associated regulations, do impose requirements related to washroom facilities and also deal with issues raised over time, but only as it pertains to food premises and not within other mercantile occupancies (retail establishments).

For these reasons, the City's Property Standards by-law, which is applicable to all property and intended to provide the standards for maintenance and occupancy, can be applied to pre-existing buildings in setting standards for washroom facilities within this type of occupancy not already covered by Toronto Public Health.

The Chief Building Official, the Medical Officer of Health and the City Solicitor were consulted in the preparation of this report.

Background Information

Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ls/bgrd/backgroundfile-16051.pdf>

Communications

(July 16, 2008) e-mail from Lesa Bogle (LS.Main.LS16.6.1)

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/ls/comm/communicationfile-8637.pdf>