
Toronto and East York Community Council

Meeting No.	13	Contact	Frances Pritchard, Acting Administrator
Meeting Date	Tuesday, February 12, 2008	Phone	416-392-7033
Start Time	9:30 AM	E-mail	teycc@toronto.ca
Location	Committee Room 1, City Hall		

Toronto and East York Community Council		
Councillor Sandra Bussin Councillor Janet Davis (Chair) Councillor Paula Fletcher Councillor Adam Giambrone	Councillor Pam McConnell Councillor Joe Mihevc Councillor Case Ootes Councillor Joe Pantalone	Councillor Gord Perks Councillor Kyle Rae Councillor Adam Vaughan (Vice-Chair) Councillor Michael Walker

Members of Council and Staff: Please keep this agenda and the accompanying material until the City Council meeting dealing with these matters has ended. **The City Clerk's Office will not provide additional copies.**

Special Assistance for Members of the Public: City staff can arrange for special assistance with some advance notice. If you need special assistance, please call 416-392-7033, TTY 416-338-0889 or e-mail: teycc@toronto.ca.

Closed Meeting Requirements: If the Toronto and East York Community Council wants to meet in closed session (privately), a member of the committee must make a motion to do so and give the reason why the Committee has to meet privately. (City of Toronto Act, 2006)

Notice to people writing or making presentations to the Toronto and East York Community Council: The City of Toronto Act, 2006 and the City of Toronto Municipal Code authorize the City of Toronto to collect any personal information in your communication or presentation to City Council or its committees.

The City collects this information to enable it to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s). If you are submitting letters, faxes, e-mails, presentations or other communications to the City, you should be aware that your name and the fact that you communicated with the City will become part of the public record and will appear on the City's website. The City will also make your communication and any personal information in it – such as your postal address, telephone number or e-mail address – available to the public, unless you expressly request the City to remove it.

The City videotapes committee and community council meetings. If you make a presentation to a committee or community council, the City will be videotaping you and City staff may make the video tapes available to the public.

If you want to learn more about why and how the City collects your information, write to the City Clerk's Office, City Hall, 100 Queen Street West, Toronto ON M5H 2N2 or by calling 416-392-7033.

Schedule of Timed Items

10:00 a.m.: Items 1 - 11 11:00 a.m.: Items 12-19

Declaration of Interest under the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act*

Speakers/Presentations – A complete list will be distributed at the meeting

Introduction and Enactment of General Bills and Bill to Confirm the Proceedings of Community Council will be last items.

TE13.1	ACTION	10:00 a.m.	Delegated	Ward: 28
--------	--------	------------	-----------	----------

Naming of public lane between Danforth Avenue and Chatham Avenue, extending easterly from Jones Avenue to the easterly limit of the lane located east of Euston Avenue as “Ben Kerr Lane”.

Statutory - City of Toronto Act, 2006

(January 25, 2008) Draft By-law from City Solicitor

Recommendations

The Toronto and East York Community Council recommends that the Draft By-law from the City Solicitor be enacted to name the public lane between Danforth Avenue and Chatham Avenue, extending easterly from Jones Avenue to the easterly limit of the lane located east of Euston Avenue as “Ben Kerr Lane”.

Summary

To enact By-law to name the public lane between Danforth Avenue and Chatham Avenue, extending easterly from Jones Avenue to the easterly limit of the lane located east of Euston Avenue as “Ben Kerr Lane”.

Background Information

Draft By-law

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10310.pdf>

Attachment 1 - Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10425.pdf>

TE13.2	ACTION	10:00 a.m.	Delegated	Ward: 20
--------	--------	------------	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 96 Spadina Avenue

(January 9, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to maintain, for third party advertising purposes, two non-illuminated fascia signs on the north and east elevations of the building at 96 Spadina Avenue.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Winston Chong with Wallman Architects on behalf of BLVD Developments, for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for third party advertising purposes, two non-illuminated fascia signs on the north and east elevations of the building at 96 Spadina Avenue.

Staff recommends refusal of the application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10236.pdf>

TE13.3	ACTION	10:00 a.m.	Delegated	Ward: 19
--------	--------	------------	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 1A Atlantic Avenue

(January 21, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated “V”-Shaped, tri-vision ground sign at 1-A Atlantic Avenue.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Bill Nigh of IMA Outdoor on behalf of 1289777 Ontario Ltd. for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an

illuminated “V”-shaped, tri-vision ground sign at 1A Atlantic Avenue.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10243.pdf>)

TE13.4	ACTION	10:00 a.m.	Delegated	Ward: 29
--------	--------	------------	-----------	----------

Residential Demolition Application – 170-172 Danforth Avenue

(January 16, 2008) Report from Director, Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. refuse the application to demolish the subject residential building because there is no building permit for a replacement building on the site; in the alternative,
2. approve the application to demolish the subject residential buildings without conditions; or,
3. approve the application to demolish the subject residential building with the following condition:
 - a. all debris and rubble be removed immediately after demolition;
 - b. any holes on the property be backfilled with clean fill.
 - c. the site be maintained free of garbage and weeds, in accordance with Municipal Code Chapter 548 and Chapter 489 respectively.

Summary

In accordance with Section 33 of the Planning Act and Article II of Municipal Code Ch. 363, Demolition Control, as amended by By-law No.1009-2006, I refer the demolition application for 170-172 Danforth Avenue to you, to decide whether to grant or refuse the application, including any conditions, if any, to be attached to the permit.

This staff report is regarding a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10169.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10170.pdf>)

TE13.5	ACTION	10:00 a.m.		Ward: 19
--------	--------	------------	--	----------

Intention to Designate, Part IV, Section 29, Ontario Heritage Act - 2 Strachan Avenue

(January 4, 2008) Report from Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 2 Strachan Avenue (Automotive Building) under Part IV, Section 29 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
2. If there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV, Section 29 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*; and
3. If there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board.

Summary

This report recommends that City Council state its intention to designate the property at 2 Strachan Avenue (Automotive Building) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act. The property was listed on the inaugural City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties in 1973.

At its meeting of December 11, 12 and 13 2007, City Council approved the recommendations in the report (November 29, 2007) entitled “Automotive Building at Exhibition Place - Approval of Alterations to a Heritage Building, and Authority to Enter in a Heritage Easement Agreement.” The report indicated that as part of the redevelopment of the property, Exhibition Place has agreed to the heritage designation of the Automotive Building.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10177.pdf>

Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10178.pdf>

Attachment 2

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10179.pdf>

Attachment 3

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10180.pdf>

5a Intention to Designate, Part V, Section 29, Ontario Heritage Act - 2 Strachan Avenue (Ward 19 Trinity-Spadina)

(January 25, 2008) Letter from Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendations

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that:

1. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 2 Strachan Avenue (Automotive Building) under Part IV, Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act;
2. If there are no objections to the designation in accordance with Section 29(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the property under Part IV, Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act; and
3. If there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designation to the Conservation Review Board.

Summary

This report recommends that City Council state its intention to designate the property at 2 Strachan Avenue (Automotive Building) under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act. The property was listed on the inaugural City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties in 1973.

At its meeting of December 11, 12 and 13 2007, City Council approved the recommendations in the report (November 29, 2007) entitled “Automotive Building at Exhibition Place - Approval of Alterations to a Heritage Building, and Authority to Enter in a Heritage Easement Agreement.” The report indicated that as part of the redevelopment of the property, Exhibition Place has agreed to the heritage designation of the Automotive Building.

Background Information

Letter from TPB

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10330.pdf>)

TE13.6	ACTION	10:00 a.m.		Ward: 20
--------	--------	------------	--	----------

Approval of Alterations to a Heritage Building - The John Street Roundhouse - 222 Bremner Boulevard

(January 3, 2008) Report from Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that the alterations to the heritage building at 222 Bremner be approved substantially in accordance with the Heritage Impact Statement for the John Street Roundhouse, revised November, 2007, prepared by IBI Group Architects Ltd.,

received by City Planning Division November 8, 2007, on file with the Manager Heritage Preservation Services, subject to the owner:

1. Prior to final site plan approval;
 - providing signage guidelines for the exterior of the building and site.
 - entering into a Heritage Easement Agreement with the City for the entire subject property.
2. Prior to the issuance of any building permit for 222 Bremner Boulevard including a permit for the demolition, excavation, and/or shoring of the subject property:
 - providing final architectural drawings of the exterior and interior alterations satisfactory to the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services.
 - conducting a condition assessment of the building.
 - providing a Conservation Plan satisfactory to the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services.
 - providing a letter of credit, in a form and an amount satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, to secure any work identified and included in the Conservation Plan.
3. Prior to release of the Letter of Credit:
 - Completion of all work outlined in the Conservation Plan.

Summary

This report recommends that City Council approve alterations to the Designated property at 222 Bremner Boulevard.

The John Street Roundhouse is identified for architectural and historical reasons. It is important both in its local significance to the economic and social development of Toronto and in its national historic significance to the contribution of railroads to Canada's development.

The Roundhouse complex occupies the block immediately south of the CN Tower, bounded by Bremner Boulevard on the north, and Lakeshore Boulevard and the Gardiner Expressway to the south (see Attachment No. 1).

The purpose of this report is to identify and approve alterations that allow for the base building fit-out that supports the adaptive re-use for both museum and retail purposes.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10166.pdf>)

Attachment

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10167.pdf>)

6a Approval of Alterations to a Heritage Building - 222 Bremner Boulevard – The John Street Roundhouse

(January 25, 2008) Letter from Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendations

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that the alterations to the heritage building at 222 Bremner be approved substantially in accordance with the Heritage Impact Statement for the John Street Roundhouse, revised November, 2007, prepared by IBI Group Architects Ltd., received by City Planning Division November 8, 2007, on file with the Manager Heritage Preservation Services, subject to the owner:

1. Prior to final site plan approval;
 - providing signage guidelines for the exterior of the building and site.
 - entering into a Heritage Easement Agreement with the City for the entire subject property.
2. Prior to the issuance of any building permit for 222 Bremner Boulevard including a permit for the demolition, excavation, and/or shoring of the subject property:

Summary

This report recommends that City Council approve alterations to the Designated property at 222 Bremner Boulevard.

The John Street Roundhouse is identified for architectural and historical reasons. It is important both in its local significance to the economic and social development of Toronto and in its national historic significance to the contribution of railroads to Canada's development.

The Roundhouse complex occupies the block immediately south of the CN Tower, bounded by Bremner Boulevard on the north, and Lakeshore Boulevard and the Gardiner Expressway to the south (see Attachment No. 1).

The purpose of this report is to identify and approve alterations that allow for the base building fit-out that supports the adaptive re-use for both museum and retail purposes.

Background Information

Letter from TPB

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10332.pdf>)

TE13.7	ACTION	10:00 a.m.		Ward: 22
--------	--------	------------	--	----------

Inclusion on Heritage Inventory – 63 and 69 Lonsdale Road

(November 14, 2007) Report from Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council include the property at 63 Lonsdale Road (Lonsdale Road Cottage) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and
2. City Council include the property at 69 Lonsdale Road (Lonsdale Road Cottage) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.

Summary

This report recommends that City Council include the properties at 63 and 69 Lonsdale Road on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties. The inclusion of the two properties on the City's heritage inventory would enable staff to monitor applications affecting the sites and encourage the retention of their heritage attributes.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10223.pdf>

Attachment 1 - Location Map

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10224.pdf>

Attachment 2 - Photographs

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10226.pdf>

Attachment 3 - Reasons for Listing

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10228.pdf>

7a Inclusion on Heritage Inventory - 63 and 69 Lonsdale Road

(January 25, 2008) Letter from Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendations

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that:

1. City Council include the property at 63 Lonsdale Road (Lonsdale Road Cottage) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
2. City Council include the property at 69 Lonsdale Road (Lonsdale Road Cottage) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.

Summary

This report recommends that City Council include the properties at 63 and 69 Lonsdale Road on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.

The inclusion of the two properties on the City's heritage inventory would enable staff to monitor applications affecting the sites and encourage the retention of their heritage attributes

Background Information

Letter from TPB

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10299.pdf>

TE13.8	ACTION	10:00 a.m.		Ward: 30
--------	--------	------------	--	----------

Intention to Designate, Part IV, Section 29, Ontario Heritage Act – 670, 672 and 674 Broadview Avenue

(December 20, 2007) Report from Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 670 Broadview Avenue (John Treloar House) under Part IV, Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act;
2. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 672 Broadview Avenue (Frederick Booz House) under Part IV, Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act;
3. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 674 Broadview Avenue (Robert Fair House) under Part IV, Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act;
4. If there are no objections to the designations in accordance with Section 29(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the properties under Part IV, Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act; and
5. If there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designations to the Conservation Review Board.

Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report. The public Notices of Intention to Designate will be advertised on the City's web site in accordance with the City of Toronto Act provisions.

Summary

This report recommends that City Council state its intention to designate the three properties at 670, 672 and 674 Broadview Avenue under Part IV, Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act. The properties were listed on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties in 2006. At

its meeting of November 27, 2007, the Toronto and East York Community Council referred a motion regarding the proposed designation of the properties at 670, 672 and 674 Broadview Avenue to the Toronto Preservation Board.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10237.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Location Map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10238.pdf>)

Attachment 2 - Photographs

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10239.pdf>)

Attachment 3 - Reasons for Designation

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10240.pdf>)

Attachment 4 - Reasons for Designation

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10241.pdf>)

Attachment 5 - Reasons for Designation

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10242.pdf>)

8a Intention to Designate, Part IV, Section 29, Ontario Heritage Act - 670, 672 and 674 Broadview Avenue

(January 25, 2008) Letter from Toronto Preservation Board

Recommendations

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that:

1. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 670 Broadview Avenue (John Treloar House) under Part IV, Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act;
2. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 672 Broadview Avenue (Frederick Booz House) under Part IV, Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act;
3. City Council state its intention to designate the property at 674 Broadview Avenue (Robert Fair House) under Part IV, Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act;
4. If there are no objections to the designations in accordance with Section 29(6) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the solicitor be authorized to introduce the Bills in Council designating the properties under Part IV, Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act; and
5. If there are objections in accordance with Section 29(7) of the Ontario Heritage Act, the Clerk be directed to refer the proposed designations to the Conservation Review Board.

Summary

This report recommends that City Council state its intention to designate the three properties at 670, 672 and 674 Broadview Avenue under Part IV, Section 29 of the Ontario Heritage Act. The properties were listed on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties in 2006.

At its meeting of November 27, 2007, the Toronto and East York Community Council referred a motion regarding the proposed designation of the properties at 670, 672 and 674 Broadview Avenue to the Toronto Preservation Board.

Background Information

Letter from TPB

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10259.pdf>)

TE13.9	ACTION	10:00 a.m.		Ward: 19
--------	--------	------------	--	----------

Final Report - Rezoning Application to Remove the Holding Symbol (“h”) - 90 Sloping Sky Mews - Fort York Neighbourhood (Block 3A)

(January 24, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council amend Zoning By-law No. 1996-0245, as amended, in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment 2 to remove application of the holding symbol (“h”) from 90 Sloping Sky Mews (Block 3A in the Fort York Neighbourhood);
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required;
3. the Bills related to the Zoning By-law Amendment not be introduced to Council for enactment until the owner of Block 3A has:
 - a. submitted a Development Context Plan respecting Blocks 3 and 3A satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director in accordance with Sections 4.6.2, 9.2.5 and 9.2.6 of the Fort York Neighbourhood Part II Plan (or Fort York Neighbourhood Secondary Plan as applicable);
 - b. entered into an agreement satisfactory to the City Solicitor to amend the Amended and Restated Section 37 Agreement applying to Block 3A to:
 - i) reflect the intention of the owner with respect to the comprehensive development of adjoining Blocks 3 and 3A of the Fort York Neighbourhood as contemplated in the Development Context Plan;
 - ii) require that if the industrial uses are continuing on all or part of Blocks 2, 3 or 4/4A in the Fort York Neighbourhood and the owner wishes to proceed with residential development on Block 3A that, prior to the issuance of an above grade building permit for any building or structure

on that block, the owner shall satisfy the obligations set out in section 4.6.3 b) of the Fort York Neighbourhood Part II Plan (or Fort York Neighbourhood Secondary Plan as applicable); and

4. City Council authorize the execution of applicable amending agreements with the owner of Block 3A on the terms and conditions set out in this report.

Summary

This application was made on or after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

A zoning by-law amendment application has been filed proposing the removal of the holding symbol (“h”) from Block 3A on the lands municipally known as 90 Sloping Sky Mews (Block 3A in the Fort York Neighbourhood (“FYN”)) to allow residential development with street related retail and service uses to proceed.

The official plan policies in the FYN contemplate removal of a holding symbol when specific conditions have been satisfied which relate to ensuring comprehensive development of adjacent blocks and to addressing potential impacts on residential development associated with existing industrial operations in the FYN.

This report reviews and recommends approval of the application to amend Zoning By-law No. 1996-0245, as amended, to remove the holding symbol and associated provisions from the subject lands.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10186.pdf>)

TE13.10	ACTION	10:00 a.m.		Ward: 27
---------	--------	------------	--	----------

Final Report - Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Application - Part of 50 St. Joseph Street

Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990

(January 25, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council amend the Official Plan substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Attachment 7;
2. City Council amend the Zoning By-law for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment 8;

3. City Council authorize the City Solicitor and Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required to implement the intent of this report;
4. City Council authorize a credit against the Parks and Recreation component of Development Charges payable for the development for above-base park improvements to be installed by the developer; the credit shall be in an amount that is the lesser of the cost to the developer of installing the above-base park improvements, as approved by the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation, and the Parks and Recreation component of Development Charges payable for the development;
5. before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, City Council require the applicant to submit an application under Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 813, Trees, Article III, 'Tree Protection' for the five private trees that qualify for protections and are proposed to be removed as part of the proposal, and complete the application review process;
6. before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, City Council authorize the appropriate City officials and require the owner to execute an Agreement pursuant to Section 37 of the *Planning Act* satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, and the City Solicitor, such agreement be registered on title to the lands in a manner satisfactory to the City Solicitor, to secure the following facilities, services and matters:
 - a. convey lands for a public park, all at no cost to the City other than such parkland acquisition payment as may be approved by the City;
 - b. public pedestrian easement over the green space between the development and the proposed public park;
 - c. pedestrian walkway from Bay Street to the courtyard;
 - d. building assessment of St. Basil's Church and Cloverhill Wing and construction monitoring program and insurance;
 - e. improvements / upgrades to municipal infrastructure if required to service the development;
 - f. costs associated with the installation of a new traffic signal at St. Mary and Bay Street intersection;
 - g. design and materials for the exterior of the development;
 - h. a wind assessment of specific mitigation measures identified through the Site Plan Approval process and incorporation of such measures into the development;

- i. continuous tree pit / trench and an automatic irrigation system for proposed street trees;
 - j. reasonable commercial efforts to obtain LEED certification for the development;
 - k. tree plantings on either side of the ceremonial driveway leading from St. Joseph Street to St. Basil's Church
 - l. an open space requirement / setback zone for a distance of 20 metres on the St. Michael's playing field west of the proposed western property line of the site; and
 - m. provide the Parks and Recreation component of Development Charges payable for the development as Development Charge credits for above-base park improvements to be installed by the developer subject to Recommendation 4.
7. City Council authorize City officials to take all necessary steps, including the execution of agreements and documents, to give effect to the above-noted recommendations.

Summary

This application proposes to develop part of 50 St. Joseph Street with two high-rise residential condominium buildings of 45 and 55 storeys and three 3-storey buildings all located to the north of St. Basil's Church, and to expand the existing park at St. Joseph and Bay Streets and convey it to the City.

The proposal achieves a number of important city building objectives. It replaces a surface commercial parking lot with new housing and a well-located public park in the downtown. The use of tall and low built form elements allow the development to respond to the surrounding urban context, and the size of the site permits good separation distances between the proposed towers and from existing tall buildings.

This report reviews and recommends approval of the application to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10219.pdf>

TE13.11	ACTION	10:00 a.m.		Ward: 29
---------	--------	------------	--	----------

Final Report - Rezoning Application - 799, 803, 803R, 807 and 809 Broadview Avenue and 21R Pretoria Avenue

Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990

(January 25, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council amend the Zoning By-law for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 8.
2. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.
3. Before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council for enactment, require the applicant to enter into a Site Plan Agreement under Section 41 of the Planning Act.

Summary

This application was made on or after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

This application proposes to construct a five storey medical office building with retail at grade and 1 level of underground parking at of proposal at 799, 803, 803R, 807, 809 Broadview Avenue, as well as provide five outdoor surface parking spaces to the rear at 21R Pretoria Avenue.

This report reviews and recommends approval of the application to amend the Zoning By-law.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10231.pdf>

TE13.12	ACTION	11:00 a.m.	Delegated	Ward: 22
---------	--------	------------	-----------	----------

Request for a fence exemption to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447-Fences at 93 Old Forest Hill Road

(January 22, 2008) Report from Municipal Licensing and Standards Division, Toronto East York District

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that Community Council not grant the proposed fence exemption.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The applicant, being the Project Manager for the owners of 93 Old Forest Hill Road, is seeking approval for a fence exemption to the provisions of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 Fences, Section 447-2(B), to permit a proposed fence of solid wood construction to provide privacy, security, sound barrier and to act

as a pool enclosure. The proposed flankage yard fence along the west property line fronting Vesta Drive, will be constructed on the private property of 93 Old Forest Hill Road with a approximate height of 1.9 metres (6 feet 3 inches) and a length of 36.3 metres (119 feet 11 inches).

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10419.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10420.pdf>)

Attachment 2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10421.pdf>)

Attachment 3

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10422.pdf>)

Attachment 4

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10423.pdf>)

Attachment 5

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10424.pdf>)

TE13.13	ACTION	11:00 a.m.	Delegated	Ward: 27
---------	--------	------------	-----------	----------

Request for a fence exemption to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 - Fences at 34 Crescent Road

(January 21, 2008) Report from Municipal Licensing and Standards Division, Toronto East York District

Recommendations

Municipal Licensing & Standards recommends that Community Council not grant the fence exemption.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The applicant, being the Architect for the owners of 34 Crescent Road, is seeking approval for a fence exemption to the provisions of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 Fences, Section 447-2(B), to permit a solid wood and lattice fence construction to provide privacy and screening from the road. The construction of the front yard fence consists of one portion with three panels that measure approximately 6.6 metres (21 feet 8 inches) in length and 1.7 metres (5 feet 7 inches) in height along the south property line on the private property portion of the front yard of 34 Crescent Road. The fence is within 2.4 metres of the front lot line whereas the by-law restricts the maximum height of a front yard fence to 1.2 metres (4 feet) of open construction and to 1 metre (3 feet 3 inches) of any other type of construction.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10336.pdf>)

Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10337.pdf>)

Attachment 2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10338.pdf>)

Attachment 3

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10339.pdf>)

Attachment 4

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10340.pdf>)

TE13.14	ACTION	11:00 a.m.	Delegated	Ward: 22
---------	--------	------------	-----------	----------

Driveway Widening Appeal for a Second Vehicle – 453 Soudan Avenue

(January 24, 2008) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services
Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council deny the request for driveway widening for a second vehicle at 453 Soudan Avenue.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 453 Soudan Avenue for the parking of a second vehicle. We do not recommend approval for the parking of a second vehicle at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 248. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10272.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10273.pdf>)

Attachment 2 - Appendix B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10274.pdf>)

Attachment 3 - Appendix C

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10275.pdf>)

Attachment 4 - Appendix D

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10276.pdf>)

**(Deferred from October 30, 2007 - 2007.TE10.12
and September 10, 2007 - 2007.TE8.38)**

TE13.15	ACTION	11:00 a.m.	Delegated	Ward: 22
---------	--------	------------	-----------	----------

Driveway Widening Appeal for a Second Vehicle - 223 Belsize Drive

(June 11, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services

Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council deny the request for driveway widening for a second vehicle at 223 Belsize Drive.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 223 Belsize Drive for parking a second vehicle adjacent to the existing licensed space adjacent to the mutual driveway. We do not recommend approval of driveway widening for a second vehicle at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10130.pdf>)

Appendix A - Sketch

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10131.pdf>)

Appendix B - Property Data Map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10132.pdf>)

Appendix C - Photo

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10133.pdf>)

Appendix D - Applicant's Landscape Proposal

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10134.pdf>)

(Deferred from January 15, 2008 - 2008.TE12.19)

TE13.16	ACTION	11:00 a.m.	Delegated	Ward: 22
---------	--------	------------	-----------	----------

Front Yard Parking Appeal for a Second Vehicle - 50 Glen Elm Avenue

(December 13, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council deny the request for front yard parking for a second vehicle at 50 Glen Elm Avenue.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 50 Glen Elm Avenue for the

parking of a second vehicle. We do not recommend approval for the parking of a second vehicle at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10102.pdf>)

Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10098.pdf>)

Appendix B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10099.pdf>)

Appendix C

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10100.pdf>)

Appendix D

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10101.pdf>)

Communications

(January 9, 2008) e-mail from Katherine Hannah and Irma Lee Swaales (TE.Supp.TE12.19.1)

TE13.17	ACTION	11:00 a.m.	Delegated	Ward: 32
---------	--------	------------	-----------	----------

Front Yard Parking Appeal - 57 Wineva Avenue

(January 23, 2008) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council deny the request for front yard parking at 57 Wineva Avenue.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 57 Wineva Avenue for front yard parking. We do not recommend approval for front yard parking at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 918. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10286.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10287.pdf>)

Attachment 2 - Appendix B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10288.pdf>)

Attachment 3 - Appendix C

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10289.pdf>)

TE13.18	ACTION	11:00 a.m.	Delegated	Ward: 32
----------------	--------	------------	-----------	----------

Front Yard Parking Appeal – 42 Bellefair Avenue

(January 23, 2008) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services
Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. deny the request for front yard parking at 42 Bellefair Avenue; and
2. install in-ground planting area, 0.6 m x 2.4 m, with planting material of sufficient height in order to preclude any unauthorized parking as shown in Appendix 'E'.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 42 Bellefair Avenue for front yard parking. We do not recommend approval for front yard parking at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 918. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10320.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10321.pdf>)

Attachment 2 - Appendix B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10323.pdf>)

Attachment 3 - Appendix C

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10324.pdf>)

Attachment 4 - Appendix D

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10325.pdf>)

Attachment 5 - Appendix E

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10326.pdf>)

(Deferred from November 27, 2007 - 2007.TE11.15)

TE13.19	ACTION	11:00 a.m.	Delegated	Ward: 22
----------------	--------	------------	-----------	----------

Residential Boulevard Parking Appeal – 84 Duggan Avenue

(November 8, 2007) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation

Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. deny the request for residential boulevard parking for one vehicle at 84 Duggan Avenue; and
2. request that the owner install suitable barriers/planters of sufficient weight that cannot be easily moved in order to prevent parking.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 84 Duggan Avenue for residential boulevard parking for one vehicle at this location. We do not recommend approval of parking because it does not meet the requirements of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10135.pdf>

Attachment 1 - Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10136.pdf>

Attachment 2 - Appendix B

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10137.pdf>

Attachment 3 - Appendix C

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10138.pdf>

Attachment 4 - Appendix D

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10139.pdf>

Communications

(November 26, 2007) e-mail from Chris Rich, applicant (TE.New.TE11.15.1)

TE13.20	ACTION			Ward: 20
---------	--------	--	--	----------

Preliminary Report - Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments Application - 16 York Street

(January 25, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Staff be directed to schedule an open house/community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor; and

2. Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site.

Summary

This application proposes a 31-storey office building with a gross floor area of 73,725 square metres, retail area of 1,823 square metres, PATH of 2,561 square metres, a 65-storey residential condominium building with 593 dwelling units, and a 55-storey residential condominium building with 503 dwelling units at 16 York Street. In total, the gross floor area proposed is 160,891 square metres (1,731,873 square feet).

This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10217.pdf>

TE13.21	ACTION			Ward: 30
---------	--------	--	--	----------

Preliminary Report - Rezoning Application - 90-100 Broadview Avenue

(January 22, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
2. Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
3. Notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Summary

This application was made on or after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process.

The proposal seeks to construct a new 9-storey residential building at 90 Broadview Avenue, and add four storeys to the existing office building located at 100 Broadview Avenue.

The development will consist of approximately 18,500m² of residential gross floor area and approximately 8,500 m² of office gross floor area. A total of 192 residential dwelling units are proposed as part of this application.

A Community Consultation Meeting is targeted for early spring 2008.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10218.pdf>)

TE13.22	ACTION			Ward: 22
---------	--------	--	--	----------

Preliminary Report - Zoning By-law Amendment Application and Rental Housing Demolition Permit Application - 299 Roehampton Avenue

(January 21, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Staff be directed to schedule a further community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor.
2. Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site.
3. Notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

Summary

An application has been submitted to amend Zoning By-law (By-law 438-86) to permit the construction of a 16-storey residential building on the southwest corner of the Roehampton Avenue and Rawlinson Avenue intersection. A Rental Housing Demolition Permit Application has also been submitted under Section 111 of the City of Toronto Act.

This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted applications. Staff held a community consultation for this application on January 14, 2008.

A statutory public meeting is targeted for mid 2008. This target date assumes that the applicant will provide all required information in a timely manner.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10190.pdf>)

TE13.23	ACTION			Ward: 28
---------	--------	--	--	----------

Preliminary Report - Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments Application - 510, 512 and 530 King Street East

(January 14, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor; and
2. Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site.

Summary

The applicant proposes to develop 510, 512 and 530 King Street East as an 8-storey mixed use building containing 185 residential units with retail at-grade fronting King Street East.

This report provides preliminary information on the application and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10204.pdf>)

TE13.24	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 20
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 438 University Avenue

(January 10, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the requested variances to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia sign (in the form of a corporate name and logo) on the east elevation of the building at 438 University Avenue and require that energy efficient fixtures be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Michael Heney with Provincial Sign System, on behalf of Lustig & Doo Group of Companies, for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia sign in the form of the “Air Miles” corporate name and logo on the front elevation of the building at 438 University Avenue.

Staff recommends approval of the application. The variances are minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10154.pdf>

TE13.25	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 20
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 84 Avenue Road

(January 9, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the requested variance to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated double-sided ground sign along the east frontage of the property at 84 Avenue Road and require that energy efficient lights be used, and;
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk’s Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Dominic Rotundo with Pattison Sign Group, on behalf of PSTG Consulting Inc., for approval of a variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated double-sided ground sign along the east frontage of the property at 84 Avenue Road.

Staff recommends approval of the application. The variance is minor and within the general

intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10281.pdf>)

TE13.26	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 27
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 110 Bloor Street West

(January 9, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the requested variances to permit, for identification purposes, replacement of two non-illuminated canopy signs located over the Bloor Street-Entrance 'A' and over the Cumberland Street-Entrance 'A' which would extend above the canopies, two illuminated fascia signs on the front sign bands of the canopies: and the installation of two new illuminated projecting signs attached to the face of the canopies located over the Bloor Street-Entrance 'A' and over the Cumberland Street-Entrance 'A' at 110 Bloor Street West and requires that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Robert Manning on behalf of H & R Developments, for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, replacement of two non-illuminated canopy signs located over the Bloor Street-Entrance 'A' and over the Cumberland Street-Entrance 'A'. The proposed canopy signs would extend above the canopies and two illuminated fascia sign bands would be erected on the front of the canopies. The proposal also includes two new illuminated projecting signs attached to the face of the canopies located over the Bloor Street-Entrance 'A' and over the Cumberland Street-Entrance 'A' at 110 Bloor Street West.

Staff recommends approval of the application. The variances are minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10155.pdf>)

**(Deferred from November 27, 2007 - 2007.TE11.33
and January 15, 2008 - 2008.TE12.44)**

TE13.27	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 30
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Sign Variance – Supplementary Report - 569 Broadview Avenue

(January 18, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the request for a variance to permit, for identification purposes, two non-illuminated fascia signs on the north and south elevations of the building at 569 Broadview Avenue; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The Toronto and East York Community Council, at its meeting on November 27, 2007 deferred the sign variance report of October 30, 2007, from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District and requested the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division to meet with the applicant regarding the appropriate signage for the building. This supplementary sign variance report replaces the staff report dated October 30, 2007.

This report reviews and makes recommendations based on information from the applicant and additional information from the Heritage Preservation Services (the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division). The request is for approval of a variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, a non-illuminated awning sign on the front elevation of the building at 569 Broadview Avenue.

Staff recommends approval of this application. The variance is minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10372.pdf>)

**(Deferred from November 27, 2007 - 2007.TE11.34)
and January 15, 2008 - 2008.TE12.44)**

TE13.28	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 30
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - Supplementary Report - 9 Tennis Crescent

(January 17, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the request for a variance to permit, for identification purposes, a non-illuminated awning sign on the front elevation of the building at 9 Tennis Crescent; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

The Toronto and East York Community Council, at its meeting on November 27, 2007 deferred the sign variance report of October 30, 2007, from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District and requested the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division to meet with the applicant regarding the appropriate signage for the building.

This supplementary sign variance report replaces the staff report dated October 30, 2007.

This report reviews and makes recommendations based on information from the applicant and additional information from the Heritage Preservation Services (the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division). The request is for approval of a variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, a non-illuminated awning sign on the front elevation of the building at 9 Tennis Crescent.

Staff recommends approval of this application. The variance is minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10378.pdf>

TE13.29	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 32
----------------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 292 Kingston Road

(January 17, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the requested variances to permit, for identification purposes, to add a sign panel on the existing ground sign located at the southwest corner of the property at 292 Kingston Road and require that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of variances, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Al Chabassol with J and B Engineering Inc. on behalf of Suncore Energy Products, for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, to add a sign panel on the existing ground sign located at the southwest corner of the property at 292 Kingston Road. The variance request relates to the existing location of the sign.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10280.pdf>

TE13.30	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 27
----------------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Sign Variance - 116 Bond Street

(January 9, 2008) Report from Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the requested variance to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated ground sign along the Bond Street frontage of the property at 116 Bond Street and require that energy efficient lights be used; and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the

applicant, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Karin Berg of Berg Design Works, on behalf of the First Evangelical Lutheran Church, for approval of a variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated ground sign along the Bond Street frontage of the property at 116 Bond Street.

Staff recommends approval of the application. The variance is minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10193.pdf>)

TE13.31	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 20
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Remove Modular Island/Planter Box - Markham Street

(January 22, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council not approve the alteration of Markham Street by removing the modular traffic island/planter box on the east side of the street, north of Robinson Street, fronting Premises No. 45 Markham Street, as shown in the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-9183, dated January 2008.

Financial Impact

There is no cost associated with adoption of the above-noted recommendation. If, however, Toronto and East York Community Council decides removing the modular island/planter box from the east side of Markham Street would be beneficial, the following financial impact will result:

1. the estimated cost for removing the modular island/planter box, restoring the road surface and enhancing one-way signage is about \$1500.00, funds for which are contained in the Transportation Services Division 2008 Operating Budget interim appropriations.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter that Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has investigated a request to alter the width of Markham Street by removing a modular traffic island from the east side of Markham Street, immediately north of Robinson Terrace, fronting Premises No. 45. This traffic island was installed to narrow the road and deter northbound motorists from inadvertently travelling further north on Markham Street against a one-way southbound regulation.

In the interest of safety, Transportation Services recommends maintaining this road narrowing as a physical measure to clearly delineate the commencement of the one-way operation.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10294.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10295.pdf>)

TE13.32	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 19
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Traffic Calming – Shaw Street, between Bloor Street West and Dupont Street

(January 11, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that the Toronto and East York Community Council not authorize installation of speed humps on Shaw Street, between Bloor Street West and Dupont Street.

Financial Impact

The adoption of the above-noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Toronto and East York Community Council decides speed humps on Shaw Street would be beneficial, the following financial impact will result:

1. the estimated cost for installing 9 speed humps would be \$27,000.00. Funds in the amount of \$295,000.00 have been allocated in the Transportation Services 2008 Capital Budget for traffic calming initiatives. The installation of speed humps on Shaw Street is subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services staff has investigated installing speed humps on Shaw Street, between Bloor Street West and Dupont Street to address

residents' concerns with the speed of traffic. Staff's assessment indicates the criteria as set out in the Traffic Calming Policy has not been met. Therefore, installation of speed humps on Shaw Street, between Bloor Street West and Dupont Street is not recommended.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10356.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10357.pdf>)

Attachment 2 - Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10358.pdf>)

TE13.33	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 21
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Traffic Calming - Atlas Avenue, between Durham Avenue and Ava Road

(January 10, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council not authorize installation of speed humps on Atlas Avenue, between Durham Avenue and Ava Road.

Financial Impact

The adoption of the above-noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Toronto and East York Community Council decides speed humps on Atlas Avenue would be beneficial, the following financial impact will result:

1. Funds in the amount of \$295,000.00 have been allocated in the Transportation Services 2008 Capital Budget for traffic calming initiatives. The installation of speed humps on Atlas Avenue is subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services' staff has investigated installing speed humps on Atlas Avenue, between Durham Avenue and Ava Road to address residents' concerns with the speed of traffic. Staff's assessment indicates the criteria as set out in the Traffic Calming Policy has not been met. Therefore, installation of speed humps on Atlas Avenue is not recommended at this time.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10277.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10278.pdf>)

Attachment 2 - Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10279.pdf>)

TE13.34	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 21
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Traffic Calming - Rushton Road, between Benson Avenue and St. Clair Avenue West

(January 10, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council not authorize installation of speed humps on Rushton Road, between Benson Avenue and St. Clair Avenue West.

Financial Impact

The adoption of the above-noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Toronto and East York Community Council decides speed humps on Rushton Road would be beneficial, the following financial impact will result:

1. would be \$9,000.00. Funds in the amount of \$295,000.00 have been allocated in the Transportation Services 2008 Capital Budget for traffic calming initiatives. The installation of speed humps on Rushton Road is subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services staff has investigated installing speed humps on Rushton Road, between Benson Avenue and St. Clair Avenue West to address residents' concerns with the speed of traffic.

Staff's assessment indicates the criteria as set out in the Traffic Calming Policy has not been met. Therefore, installation of speed humps on Rushton Road is not recommended at this time.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10333.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10334.pdf>)

Attachment 2 - Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10335.pdf>)

TE13.35	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 22
----------------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Traffic Calming – Rawlinson Avenue

(January 23, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council not authorize installation of speed humps on Rawlinson Avenue, between Broadway Avenue and Roehampton Avenue.

Financial Impact

The adoption of the above-noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Toronto and East York Community Council decides speed humps on Rawlinson Avenue, between Broadway Avenue and Roehampton Avenue would be beneficial, the following financial impact will result:

1. the estimated cost for installing 2 speed humps would be \$6,000.00. Funds in the amount of \$295,000.00 have been allocated in the Transportation Services 2008 Capital Budget for traffic calming initiatives. Installing speed humps on Rawlinson Avenue is subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services has investigated installing speed humps on Rawlinson Avenue, between Broadway Avenue and Roehampton Avenue to address residents' concerns with the speed of traffic. Staff's assessment indicates the criteria as set out in the Traffic Calming Policy has not been met. Therefore, installation of speed humps on Rawlinson Avenue is not recommended.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10249.pdf>

Attachment 1 - Drawing

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10250.pdf>

Attachment 2 - Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10251.pdf>

Attachment 3 - Appendix B

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10252.pdf>

TE13.36	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 32
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Traffic Calming – Swanwick Avenue, between Main Street and Malvern Avenue

(January 17, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends to Toronto and East York Community Council that traffic calming not be installed on Swanwick Avenue, between Main Street and Malvern Avenue.

Financial Impact

The adoption of the above-noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact.

If, however, Toronto and East York Community Council decides speed humps on Swanwick Avenue would be beneficial, the following financial impact will result:

1. the estimated cost for installing 4 speed humps would be \$12,000.00. Funds in the amount of \$295,000.00 have been allocated in the Transportation Services 2008 Capital Budget for traffic calming initiatives. Installing speed humps on Swanwick Avenue would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services staff have investigated installing traffic calming on Swanwick Avenue, between Main Street and Malvern Avenue to address residents' concerns with the speed of traffic. The speed of vehicles on the street is already below a level which would benefit from traffic-calming devices and stop signs are closely spaced. Therefore, speed humps should not be installed on this section of Swanwick Avenue at this time.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10364.pdf>

Attachment 1 - Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10365.pdf>

Attachment 2 - Drawing

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10366.pdf>

TE13.37	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 32
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Traffic Calming - Knox Avenue, between Queen Street East and Eastern Avenue

(January 17, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends to Toronto and East York Community Council that traffic calming not be installed on Knox Avenue, between Queen Street East and Eastern Avenue.

Financial Impact

The adoption of the above-noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact.

If, however, Toronto and East York Community Council decides speed humps on Knox Avenue would be beneficial, the following financial impact will result:

1. Funds in the amount of \$295,000.00 have been allocated in the Transportation Services 2008 Capital Budget for traffic calming initiatives. Installing speed humps on Knox Avenue would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services staff have investigated installing traffic calming on Knox Avenue, between Queen Street East and Eastern Avenue to address residents' concerns with the speed of traffic.

The speed of vehicles on the street is already below a level which would benefit from traffic calming. Therefore, speed humps should not be installed on Knox Avenue at this time.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10246.pdf>

Attachment 1 - Drawing

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10247.pdf>

Attachment 2 - Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10248.pdf>

TE13.38	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 18
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Results of Speed Hump Poll – St. Clarens Avenue, between College Street and Bloor Street West

(January 15, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that the Toronto and East York Community Council not authorize the installation of speed humps on St. Clarens Avenue, between College Street and Bloor Street West.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. This report summarizes the results of the traffic calming poll undertaken on St. Clarens Avenue, between College Street and Bloor Street West. The poll results indicated a response rate of less than 50 percent plus one, and accordingly, installation of speed humps is not recommended.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10345.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10346.pdf>)

TE13.39	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 21
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Results of the Speed Hump Poll - Claxton Boulevard, between Raglan Avenue and Connaught Circle

(December 10, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council not authorize installation of speed humps on Claxton Boulevard, between Raglan Avenue and Connaught Circle.

Financial Impact

Adoption of the above-noted recommendation has no financial impact. If Toronto and East York Community Council decides to approve installation of speed humps on Claxton Boulevard, the following financial impact will result:

1. the estimated cost for installing six speed humps on Claxton Boulevard is \$18,000.00. Funds are contained in the \$295,000.00 Transportation Services 2008 Capital Budget request for traffic calming initiatives. The installation of these speed humps on Claxton Boulevard would be subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report summarizes the results of the traffic calming poll undertaken on Claxton Boulevard, between Raglan Avenue and Connaught Circle. The poll results indicated a response rate less than 50 percent plus one, and accordingly, installation of speed humps is not recommended.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10449.pdf>)

Appendix A - Letter from Toronto Fire Services, dated October 4, 2007

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10450.pdf>)

Drawing 421F-9057

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10451.pdf>)

TE13.40	ACTION		Transactional	Ward: 20
---------	--------	--	---------------	----------

Front Yard Parking - By-law Amendment - 853 Bathurst Street

(January 24, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that a site specific amendment to Municipal Code Chapter 918 – Parking on Residential Front Yards and Boulevards, to allow front yard parking at 853 Bathurst Street not be authorized by City Council.

Summary

Transportation Services has assessed a request to report on the necessary process required to allow front yard parking for persons with disabilities at 853 Bathurst Street. Because this property is located in an area of the City where a long-standing “no application/no appeal” provision applies under Chapter 918, Parking on Residential Front Yards and Boulevards, of the Municipal Code and, further, the property would not meet the minimum dimensional criteria of the Chapter in any event, the only available option to permit a front yard parking pad within an expedited period would be for City Council to authorize a site specific amendment to the actual Code Chapter.

Staff have considerable concern with this approach in terms of the potential to undermine and circumvent the harmonized front yard parking regulations. The steps to authorize the parking pad in this instance through a site-specific exemption, although not recommended by staff, are set out in this report as requested for consideration by Community Council and City Council. We recommend that should Council enact such an amendment, staff also be directed to report to the Public Works and Infrastructure Committee on options for providing the proper framework in the Code Chapter for dealing with such instances.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10282.pdf>)

Appendix A - sketch

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10283.pdf>)

Appendix B - property data map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10284.pdf>)

Appendix C - photos

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10285.pdf>)

TE13.41	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 18
----------------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Thursday Parking Prohibition - Various Streets

(January 11, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council prohibit parking on Thursdays, from April 1st to November 30th of each year, on the streets outlined in Schedule "A" attached to this report.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Division 2008 Operating Budget interim appropriations.	\$3,000.00

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services is requesting approval from Toronto and East York Community Council to amend the parking regulations on Thursdays, from April 1st to November 30th, of each year on those streets in Ward 18 outlined on Schedule "A" attached to this report.

In keeping with the City's Clean and Beautiful City initiative, this program implemented initially on a one-year trial basis in Ward 18 has enhanced mechanical street sweeping and enabled staff to schedule cleaning services on both sides of each street on a regular basis.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10369.pdf>

Attachment 1 - Schedule "A" - Continuation of Thursday parking prohibitions

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10370.pdf>

Attachment 2 - Drawing 421F-9154

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10371.pdf>

TE13.42	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 27
----------------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Lane and Sidewalk Closure for Construction - Cumberland Street

(January 22, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council approve the following actions:

1. close the south sidewalk and south side of Cumberland Street, between a point 92.2 metres east of Avenue Road and a point 106.7 metres east of Avenue Road to pedestrians for 12 months, from February 13, 2008 to January 31, 2009;
2. during this period, implement “No Stopping Anytime” on the north side of Cumberland Street, between a point 87.2 metres east of Avenue Road and a point 111.7 metres east of Avenue Road;
3. during this period, remove the existing “No Stopping, 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. and 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday” regulation on the north side of Cumberland Street, between a point 87.2 metres east of Avenue Road and a point 99 metres further east;
4. during this period, remove the existing “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the north side of Cumberland Street, between a point 87.2 metres east of Avenue Road and a point 99 metres further east; and
5. return Cumberland Street to its pre-construction traffic and parking regulations when the project is completed.

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

PCL Constructors Canada Inc. is building a 7-storey addition to an existing 14-storey building at 130 Bloor Street West. For this reason, Transportation Services must close the south sidewalk and the south lane on Cumberland Street for 12 months.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10376.pdf>

Attachment 1 - Drawing 421F-9168

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10377.pdf>

TE13.43	ACTION			Ward: 28
---------	--------	--	--	----------

Lane and Sidewalk Closure for Construction - Adelaide Street East

(January 23, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Council approve the following actions:

1. close the sidewalk and curb lane on the north side of Adelaide Street East, between a point 67.9 metres east of George Street and a point 90.5 metres east of George Street for 6 months, from March 5, 2008 to August 31, 2008;
2. during this period, implement No Stopping Anytime on the north side of Adelaide Street East, between a point 62.9 metres east of George Street and a point 95.5 metres east of George Street;
3. during this period, remove the existing “No Stopping, 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. Monday to Friday, except Public Holidays” regulation on the north side of Adelaide Street East, between a point 62.9 metres east of George Street and a point 95.5 metres east of George Street;
4. during this period, remove the existing “One Hour Parking, 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday to Friday, 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Saturday, except Public Holidays” regulation on the north side of Adelaide Street East, between a point 62.9 metres east of George Street and a point 95.5 metres east of George Street;
5. during this period, remove the existing Pay and Display parking regulations on the north side of Adelaide Street East, between a point 62.9 metres east of George Street and a point 95.5 metres east of George Street; and
6. return Adelaide Street East to its pre-construction traffic and parking regulations when the project is completed.

Summary

Aquicon Construction is building a 4-storey expansion to an existing building at 300 Adelaide Street East. For this reason, Transportation Services must close the sidewalk and curb lane on the north side of Adelaide Street East for 6 months.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10379.pdf>

Attachment 1 - Drawing 421F-9167

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10380.pdf>

TE13.44	ACTION			Ward: 32
---------	--------	--	--	----------

Road Alteration - Williamson Road

(January 22, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that City Council approve the alteration of Williamson Road, between Hambly Avenue and Wineva Avenue, to allow for the construction of a lay-by,

generally as shown in the attached Drawing No. 421F-9148, dated December 2007.

Summary

Transportation Services is seeking authority to allow the construction of a lay-by on the north side of Williamson Road, between Hambly Avenue and Wineva Avenue. This proposal is intended to reduce traffic congestion during student pick up/drop off times at Glen Ames and Williamson Road Schools, which are located on the north side of the road.

The construction of the proposed lay-by will result in the loss of two parking spaces in the student pick up/drop off area but will not affect the school bus loading zone. There are no significant impacts on the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) #64 Main route.

The Toronto District School Board will facilitate the design and construction of the proposed lay-by to City of Toronto standards. Upon construction the City of Toronto will assume ownership and maintenance responsibilities of the lay-by.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10373.pdf>

Attachment 1 - Drawing 421F-9148

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10374.pdf>

TE13.45	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 18
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Alternate Side Parking, Various Streets

(January 24, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council adopt the alternate side parking regulations for those streets in Ward 18, as outlined in Schedule “A”, attached to this report.

Financial Impact

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Division 2008 Operating Budget interim appropriations.	\$3,000.00

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services is requesting approval from Toronto and East York Community Council to introduce alternate side parking regulations on various streets in Ward 18 as described in Schedule “A”, attached to this report.

Alternate side parking regulations benefit residents by allowing the City to provide scheduled mechanical street cleaning service during the non-winter months on both sides of a street on a regular basis, in keeping with the City’s Clean and Beautiful City initiative.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10290.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Schedule A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10291.pdf>)

Attachment 2 - Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10292.pdf>)

TE13.46	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 22
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Parking Amendments - Rawlinson Avenue

(January 22, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. rescind the “One Hour Maximum, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.” parking regulation on the east side of Rawlinson Avenue, between Roehampton Avenue and Broadway Avenue;
2. rescind the “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the west side of Rawlinson Avenue, between Roehampton Avenue and Broadway Avenue;
3. rescind the “School Bus Loading Zone” regulation on the west side of Rawlinson Avenue, from a point 12 metres north of Roehampton Avenue, to a point 61 metres further north;
4. prohibit parking at all times on the east side of Rawlinson Avenue, between Roehampton Avenue and Broadway Avenue; and
5. restrict parking to a maximum of one hour, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., on the west side of Rawlinson Avenue, between Roehampton Avenue and Broadway Avenue.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Toronto Transportation Services 2008 operating budget interim appropriations	\$1,000

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City

Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services is requesting approval to transfer parking from the east side to the west side of Rawlinson Avenue, between Roehampton Avenue and Broadway Avenue.

This change in parking operation will increase the potential number of parking spaces provided on this street.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10304.pdf>)

Attachment - Drawing 421F-9182

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10305.pdf>)

TE13.47	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 28
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Proposed One-Hour Maximum Parking Regulation – Princess Street

(January 23, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. adjust the existing “No Parking Anytime” regulation on the east side of Princess Street, from King Street East to Adelaide Street East, to operate on the east side of Princess Street, from King Street to a point 67.1 metres north; and
2. allow parking for a maximum period of one hour from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday on the east side of Princess Street, from a point 67.1 metres north of King Street to a point 11 metres further north.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Division 2008 interim Operating Budget	\$ 500

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services is requesting approval from Toronto and East York Community Council to allow parking for a maximum period of one hour on the east side of Princess Street, immediately south of Adelaide Street East. Two spaces can be provided without impacting traffic flow or loading activities by local businesses. The one-hour regulation, combined with sufficient enforcement, should result in more parking opportunities for customers of local businesses.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10269.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10270.pdf>)

TE13.48	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 29, 30, 31, 32
---------	--------	--	-----------	-------------------------

Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons With Disabilities - February 2008

(January 24, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council approve the installation and removal of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities at the locations identified in the attached Appendix A.

Financial Impact

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Division 2008 Operating Budget interim appropriations.	\$1,500.00

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose is to obtain approval for the installation/removal of a number of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10244.pdf>)

TE13.49	ACTION			Ward: 18
---------	--------	--	--	----------

On-street Loading Zone for Disabled Persons - Bloor Street West

(January 24, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that City Council authorize installation of an on-street loading zone for disabled persons on the south side of Bloor Street West, from a point 48.4

metres east of Brock Avenue to a point 10 metres further east.

Financial Impact

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Division 2008 Operating Budget interim appropriations.	\$500.00

Summary

Transportation Services is requesting City Council's approval to designate a loading zone for use by disabled persons in front of Nos. 1173 and 175 Bloor Street West.

Businesses located at these addresses provide services for disabled persons and attract patrons arriving by WheelTrans buses and other vehicles transporting persons with physical disabilities. Delineating a loading area will provide a convenient location in front of these businesses for vehicles to stop and pick-up/drop-off disabled persons. Implementing this proposal will not reduce the number of Pay-and-Display parking spaces provided on this section of Bloor Street West.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10233.pdf>

Attachment 1 - Drawing

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10234.pdf>

(Deferred from January 15, 2008 - 2008.TE12.52)

TE13.50	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 30
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Disabled persons pick-up/drop-off zone – Ashdale Avenue

(December 4, 2007) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District.

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council establish an on-street pick-up/drop-off zone for disabled persons operating from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., daily, on the east side of Ashdale Avenue from Fairford Avenue to a point 13.5 metres north.

Financial Impact

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Toronto Transportation Services 2008 operating budget interim appropriations	\$ 250

Summary

This staff report is about a matter that Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. Transportation Services is requesting approval to establish a pick-up/drop-off zone for disabled persons fronting No. 369 Ashdale Avenue. The purpose of the zone is to provide curbside access for Wheel-Trans vehicles servicing a disabled resident at that address. The installation of the pick-up/drop-off zone will result in the loss of one on-street parking space between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., daily.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10105.pdf>)

Attachment 1 - Drawing

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10106.pdf>)

TE13.51	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 19
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Proposed "No Standing Anytime" Regulation - East Liberty Street

(January 22, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council prohibit standing at all times on the north side of East Liberty Street, from Hanna Avenue to Lynn Williams Street.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Division 2008 Operating Budget interim appropriations	\$500.00

Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services is requesting authority from Toronto and East York Community Council to prohibit standing at all times on the north side of East Liberty Street, from Hanna Avenue to Lynn Williams Street.

This section of East Liberty Street is too narrow to allow parking on both sides of the street and maintain safe and efficient two-way traffic operation. Prohibiting standing at all times on the north side of East Liberty Street, between Hanna Avenue and Lynn Williams Street is recommended. This will improve two-way traffic operation and provide a safer environment for all road users.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10297.pdf>)

Attachment - Drawing 421F-9181

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10298.pdf>)

TE13.52	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 19
----------------	---------------	--	-----------	----------

Student Pick-Up/Drop-Off Area - Roxton Road

(January 22, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. rescind the parking prohibition at all times on the west side of Roxton Road:
 - a. from Harrison Street to a point 53.1 metres north; and
 - b. from a point 64.1 metres north of Harrison Street to a point 25 metres further north;
2. prohibit parking from 9:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m., from 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m., and from 4:00 p.m. to 8:00 a.m., Monday to Friday; and at all times on Saturday and Sunday on the west side of Roxton Road:
 - a. from Harrison Street to a point 53.1 metres further north; and
 - b. from a point 64.1 metres north of Harrison Street to a point 25 metres further north; and
3. allow parking for a maximum period of 15 minutes, from 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., from 11:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. and from 3:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the west side of Roxton Road:
 - a. from Harrison Street to a point 53.1 metres further north; and
 - b. from a point 64.1 metres north of Harrison Street to a point 25 metres further north.

Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Toronto Transportation Services 2008 Operating Budget interim appropriations	\$500

Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services investigated a request to identify a “Student Pick-up/Drop-off Area”, with short-term parking allowed, on the west side of Roxton Road in the vicinity of St. Luke Catholic School at 319 Ossington Avenue. This would provide an area where parents/guardians could park while accompanying their children to/from the school.

Staff recommends allowing parking for a maximum period of 15 minutes at two locations on the west side of Roxton Road during peak arrival/dismissal times at the school. This will provide a total of 12 parking spaces and will not adversely impact on traffic operation.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10293.pdf>

Attachment - Drawing 421F-9179

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10296.pdf>

TE13.53	ACTION			Ward: 28
---------	--------	--	--	----------

Extension of Lane Closure Duration - Adelaide Street East

(January 24, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that City Council approve the following actions:

1. continue to close the sidewalk and curb lane on the south side of Adelaide Street East, between a point 8 metres east of Frederick Street and a point 35.2 metres further east, for 4 months, from March 5, 2008 to June 30, 2008; and
2. return Adelaide Street East to its pre-construction traffic and parking regulations when the project is completed.

Summary

Life Construction Inc. is building a 14-storey condominium at 311 Adelaide Street East. They need to continue to keep the south lane and south sidewalk closed in order to complete construction.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10221.pdf>

Attachment 1 -Drawing

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10222.pdf>

TE13.54	ACTION			Ward: 27
---------	--------	--	--	----------

Proposed Permanent Closure of the Public Lane at the rear of 709 and 711 Yonge Street, extending northerly from Hayden Street

(January 25, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto City Council:

1. Permanently close the public lane shown as Part 1 on the attached Sketch No. PS-2007-248 (the “Lane”), subject to compliance with the requirements of City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 162, and subject to City Council authorizing the sale of the Lane to the abutting owner, One Bloor Street East Limited (the “Applicant”);
2. Authorize Transportation Services staff to give notice to the public of a proposed by-law to close the Lane in accordance with the requirements of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 162, with the Toronto and East York Community Council to hear any member of the public who wishes to speak to the matter during consideration of the proposed by-law; and
3. Authorize Transportation Services staff to advise the public of the proposed closure of the Lane prior to implementation, in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment for Schedule A+ activities, by posting notice of the proposed closure on the notices page of the City’s Web site for at least five working days prior to the Toronto and East York Community Council meeting at which the proposed by-law to close the Lane will be considered.

Financial Impact

The subject closing and sale will not incur any costs to the City as the Applicant is required to pay all costs associated with the closing of the Lane. Financial compensation will be realized from the sale of the Lane.

Summary

Transportation Services requests that the public lane at the rear of 709 and 711 Yonge Street be permanently closed.

Background Information

Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10235.pdf>

Attachment 1 - Drawing

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10381.pdf>

TE13.55	ACTION			Ward: 28
---------	--------	--	--	----------

Consent to Sign Development Applications for Land abutting Closed Scott Street Road Allowance

(January 25, 2008) Report from Chief Corporate Officer

Recommendations

The Chief Corporate Officer recommends that:

1. Subject to Recommendation 2:
 - a. The City consent to the inclusion of the portion of the closed Scott Street road allowance, being a portion of the closed Scott Street road allowance on Lot 26, Plan 12161 and shown as Part 1 on Sketch No. PS-2007-304 (the “Closed Road”) in its rezoning and site plan approval applications related to the site (“Development Applications”), including authorization to Greater Toronto Transit Authority (“GO Transit”) and Castlepoint Realty Partners Limited (“Castlepoint”) to act as agent of the City to sign applicable Development Applications, on terms satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
 - b. The City enter into any property owner’s agreement necessary under GO Transit and Castlepoint’s Development Applications to include the Closed Road therein, on terms satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
 - c. The Chief Corporate Officer and the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized severally to sign on behalf of the City any Development Applications and related documents in connection with the Closed Road;
 - d. The appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary steps to give effect to the foregoing.
2. That all actions authorized in Recommendation 1 be subject to the following restrictions and limitations:
 - a. Such actions shall be taken by or on behalf of the City in its capacity as owner of the Closed Road and not in its capacity as a planning authority under the *Planning Act* or the *City of Toronto Act, 2006* or otherwise; and
 - b. Such actions are not intended to and do not fetter City Council’s right and ability to pass land-use bylaws under the *Planning Act* or the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to authorize the Chief Corporate Officer and the Director of Real Estate to provide consent to the Development Applications on behalf of the City as a landowner of the closed Scott Street road allowance.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10386.pdf>)

Appendix A - Site Map

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10387.pdf>)

TE13.56	ACTION			Ward: All
---------	--------	--	--	-----------

Use of Nathan Phillips Square for Various Events up to July 2008

(January 2, 2008) Report from Chief Corporate Officer

Recommendations

The Chief Corporate Officer recommends that:

1. Exemption be given to the Fashion Design Council of Canada, Luminato and the Toronto Downtown Jazz Society to operate a beer garden and to serve wine and hard liquor contingent upon the following conditions:
 - a. approval of the A.G.C.O.
 - b. approval of the Medical Officer of Health
 - c. compliance with the City of Toronto's Municipal Alcohol Policy
 - d. receipt of all the necessary permits associated with the production of the event i.e. building permit, noise by-law extension permit.
2. Permission be granted for the Fashion Design Council of Canada and the Toronto Downtown Jazz Society to host "ticketed" performances and to solicit donations in support of their organization.
3. Permission be granted to Men Walking Against Male Violence, MADD, Camp Jumoke, Schizophrenia Society of Ontario and Autism Speaks Canada to solicit donations in support of their organizations.
4. The various events compensate the City of Toronto through the Facilities and Real Estate Division for all the City of Toronto costs associated with the event.
5. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Summary

It is recommended that Toronto and East York Community Council give exemption to the Men Walking Against Male Violence's "The Walk against Male Violence", MADD's "Mothers Against Drunk Driving Event", Camp Jumoke's "Turtle Walk", Schizophrenia Society of Ontario's "Walk of Hope for Schizophrenia", Autism Speaks Canada's "Annual Ontario Walk Now for Autism", request to solicit donations and the Fashion Design Council of Canada's "Fashion Week", Luminato's "Luminato" and the Toronto Downtown Jazz Society's "Toronto Downtown Jazz Festival" request to solicit donations and operate a tented beer/wine garden in

a “gated” event on Nathan Phillips Square.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10182.pdf>)

TE13.57	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 14, 20, 32
---------	--------	--	-----------	------------------

Boards of Management Additions and Deletions - Beach, Chinatown and Parkdale Village Business Improvement Areas (BIAs)

(January 25, 2008) Report from Small Business & Local Partnerships

Recommendations

The Acting Director of Small Business & Local Partnership recommends that the Toronto and East York Community Council approve the deletions and additions to the Beach, Chinatown and Parkdale Village BIA Boards of Management as set out in Attachment No.1.

Summary

The purpose of this report is to recommend the Toronto and East York Community Council approve deletions and additions to the Beach, Chinatown and Parkdale Village BIA Boards of Managements. The Toronto and East York Community Council has delegated authority to make final decisions regarding BIA appointments.

Background Information

Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10173.pdf>)

TE13.58	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 32
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Appointments to the Ted Reeve Arena Board of Management

Confidential Attachment - Personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees

(January 25, 2008) Letter from Ted Reeve Arena Board of Management Selection Panel

Recommendations

The Selection Panel recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council appoint the candidates listed in Confidential Attachment 1 to the Ted Reeve Arena Board of Management for a term of office ending on November 30, 2010 and until their successors are appointed.

2. The City Clerk make the appointees' names public once appointed by the Toronto and East York Community Council.
3. Toronto and East York Community Council identify the candidates listed in Confidential Attachment 2 as alternates for the Committee to consider for appointment, in the order listed, should a vacancy arise.
4. The alternates' names remain confidential until appointed as a member under Council's Public Appointment Policy.

Summary

The Selection Panel held interviews on December 13, 2007, January 9 and 10, 2008 to consider candidates for the eight community member positions on the Ted Reeve Arena Board of Management.

Background Information

Letter from Selection Panel

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2008/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-10388.pdf>

TE13.59	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 19
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes

Communications

(January 28, 2008) letter from Deputy Mayor Pantalone requesting that the Senhor Santo Cristo Festival to be held April 26 and 27 2008, be declared an event of municipal significance.

TE13.Bills	ACTION		Delegated	
------------	--------	--	-----------	--

General Bills

Confirmatory Bills