

---

## Community Development and Recreation Committee

<b>Meeting No.</b>	25	<b>Contact</b>	Candy Davidovits, Committee Administrator
<b>Meeting Date</b>	Thursday, June 11, 2009	<b>Phone</b>	416-392-8032
<b>Start Time</b>	9:30 AM	<b>E-mail</b>	cdrc@toronto.ca
<b>Location</b>	Committee Room 1, City Hall	<b>Chair</b>	Councillor Janet Davis

Item		Page
CD25.1	Sole-Sourced Essential Medical Services Required by Toronto Fire Services (Ward: All)	1
CD25.3	Per Diem Rate for Child Care Operators (Ward: All)	2
CD25.4	Middle Childhood Strategy Framework (Ward: All)	2
CD25.5	Request for a Sole Source Acquisition: "Web Access to Your Services" (WAYS) Phase II - Building on a Successful Foundation (Ward: All)	4
CD25.6	Residential Tenancies Act (RTA): Changes to Notification of Tax Decreases and Rent Reductions for Residential Rental Properties (Ward: All)	5
CD25.7	Appointment of the 2009 Identify 'N Impact Review Panel (Ward: All)	7
CD25.8	Service Development Investment Program (SDIP) 2009 Allocation Recommendations and Program Update (Ward: All)	8
CD25.9	Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2009 Community Festivals and Special Events Allocation Recommendations (Ward: All)	9
CD25.10	Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2009 Recreation Allocation Recommendations (Ward: All)	11
CD25.11	2009 Allocations - Access, Equity and Human Rights (AEHR) - Community Partnership and Investment Program (CPIP) (Ward: All)	13
CD25.12	Community Safety Investment Program (CSI) 2009 Allocation Recommendations (Ward: All)	15
CD25.13	Community Service Partnership Program - 2009 Allocation Recommendations (Ward: All)	16

---

## Community Development and Recreation Committee

<b>Meeting No.</b>	25	<b>Contact</b>	Candy Davidovits, Committee Administrator
<b>Meeting Date</b>	Thursday, June 11, 2009	<b>Phone</b>	416-392-8032
<b>Start Time</b>	9:30 AM	<b>E-mail</b>	cdrc@toronto.ca
<b>Location</b>	Committee Room 1, City Hall	<b>Chair</b>	Councillor Janet Davis

CD25.1	ACTION			Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--	-----------

### Sole-Sourced Essential Medical Services Required by Toronto Fire Services

**Confidential Attachment - Personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees and the security of the property of the municipality or local board.**

#### Committee Recommendations

The Community Development and Recreation Committee recommends that:

1. City Council adopt the confidential instructions to staff in Attachment 1 to the report (May 28, 2009) from the Fire Chief.
2. City Council authorize the release of the confidential information and recommendations in Attachment 1 once the contract for the provision of medical services is finalized to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor.

#### Origin

(May 28, 2009) Report from Fire Chief

#### Summary

This report recommends authorizing a new contract for the continued essential sole sourced medical services of a medical Doctor. The Doctor's expertise in emergency medicine, specialized knowledge of the needs of TFS and the requirements of fire fighters related to firefighting and other functions of the Fire Services, gained through interaction with employees and management over several years, is critical to dealing with the physical and stressful incidents faced on a regular basis by fire fighters. The medical Doctor ensures programs are in place to assist with the health and safety of fire fighters who must respond to incidents and face the daily hazards of fire, smoke and chemicals.

#### Background Information

CD25.1 - Sole-Sourced Essential Medical Services Required by Toronto Fire Services - Staff Report  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21735.pdf>)

CD25.3	ACTION			Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--	-----------

## Per Diem Rate for Child Care Operators

### Committee Recommendations

The Community Development and Recreation Committee recommends that:

1. City Council approve retroactive to January 1, 2009, rate increases totalling up to \$5.946 million be made to eligible child care operators, family resource programs, special needs resourcing services and home child care provider rates, in accordance with Children's Services budget guidelines for purchased child care service providers.

### Origin

(May 21, 2009) Report from General Manager, Children's Services

### Summary

This report recommends increasing the 2009 per diem rates for child care operators, family resource programs, special needs resourcing services and home child care in accordance with the increase in per diems included in the 2009 Approved Operating Budget for Children's Services. These increases are in accordance with both the Principles for a Best Start Framework, adopted by Council in June 2006, and City budget guidelines.

### Background Information

CD25.3 - Per Diem Rate for Child Care Operators - Staff Report  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21742.pdf>)

### Speakers

Jane Mercer, Executive Co-ordinator, Toronto Coalition for Better Child Care

CD25.4	ACTION			Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--	-----------

## Middle Childhood Strategy Framework

### Committee Recommendations

The Community Development and Recreation Committee recommends that:

1. City Council adopt this Middle Childhood Strategy Framework report, and the principles and directions contained in it and request the General Manager of Children's Services and the General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation, in partnership with other City program areas, to continue the development of a Middle Childhood Strategy based on this framework.
2. Further research be conducted, in collaboration with the Best Start Network and other partners, on the needs of families for high quality after school programs for children

ages 6 to 12. The research should include the current distribution of such programs and any service gaps which may exist, including after school situations and the needs of Toronto's 6 to 12 year olds, who, based on data, are not in a full-week after-school program in order to answer the questions: Where are they? Who are they with? What are they doing?

3. The General Manager of Children's Services and the General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation use this framework to align future Divisional service plans with an emphasis on ensuring common elements of program quality in out-of-school-time programs and equitable access to those programs and ensure solid policies, procedures and funding are in place to fully realize the potential of schools as community hubs working with local agencies to deliver after-school programs for middle years children in addition to other vital community programs.
4. City Council request the General Managers, Children's Services, and Parks, Forestry and Recreation, to build on the current research outlined in "Appendix 1- An Inventory of After-School Programs" to develop and include a comprehensive and detailed database of Toronto's after school programs for middle years children aged 6-12 including information on program cost, accessibility for children with special needs, program quality, cultural-appropriateness of programs and identification of school-based programs.
5. These principles and directions be used to guide the development of a City response to the anticipated introduction of full-day learning for four and five year olds by the Government of Ontario.
6. The General Manager, Children's Services, and the General Manager, Parks and Forestry and Recreation, report to the September 22, 2009, meeting of the Community Development and Recreation Committee on an implementation plan for the Middle Childhood Strategy.
7. City Council write to the Province of Ontario to request clarification on the criteria for determining priority communities for the new After School Program.

### **Origin**

(May 27, 2009) Report from General Manager, Children's Services, and the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation

### **Summary**

Building on the Toronto Vision for Children, this report focuses on out-of-school-time programs for children in their middle childhood years of 6-12. This report outlines a set of guiding principles and directions from which to begin action on developing a system of high quality out-of-school-time programs for children aged 6-12 years. It also identifies elements of high quality programs. The report recognizes that further research needs to be conducted, and anticipates that the as yet released report from the Premier's Early Learning Advisor on Full Day Learning will impact many existing programs for this age group. It also recommends that the City's response to Full Day Learning be informed by these principles and directions.

## Background Information

CD25.4 - Middle Childhood Strategy Framework - Staff Report  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21743.pdf>)  
CD25.4 - Middle Childhood Strategy Framework - Attachment  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21744.pdf>)

## Speakers

Lidia Monaco, St. Christopher House  
Jane Mercer, Executive Co-ordinator, Toronto Coalition for Better Child Care  
Councillor Frances Nunziata, Ward 11, York South-Weston

CD25.5	ACTION			Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--	-----------

## Request for a Sole Source Acquisition: "Web Access to Your Services" (WAYS) Phase II - Building on a Successful Foundation

### Committee Recommendations

The Community Development and Recreation Committee recommends that:

1. City Council grant authority to the General Manager, Toronto Employment and Social Services (TESS), to enter into a sole source agreement with Sylogix, Inc., satisfactory to the City Solicitor, for WAYS Phase 2, enhancements to WAYS Phase 1 which was launched in 2008. The contract value is not to exceed \$1.1 million net of all taxes, over the 2 years 2009 and 2010.
2. City Council grant authority to the General Manager, TESS, to enter into necessary maintenance agreements with Sylogix, Inc., satisfactory to the City Solicitor.

### Origin

(May 25, 2009) Report from General Manager, Toronto Employment and Social Services, and the Acting Director, Purchasing and Materials Management

### Summary

The purpose of this report is to seek authority to enter into a sole source agreement with Sylogix, Inc., for the design, development and implementation of WAYS Phase 2 (enhancements to WAYS Phase 1), as included in Toronto Employment and Social Services (TESS) 2009 – 2013 Capital Budget and Plan.

TESS and the District of Algoma were chosen as pilot sites by the Province of Ontario for the launch in 2008 of "Web Access to Your Services" (WAYS) Phase 1, Internet-based services for Ontario Works clients.

WAYS Phase 1 was fully funded by the Province and it is expected that the Province will fully fund WAYS Phase 2.

## Background Information

CD25.5 - WAYs Phase II - Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21745.pdf>

CD25.6	ACTION			Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--	-----------

## Residential Tenancies Act (RTA): Changes to Notification of Tax Decreases and Rent Reductions for Residential Rental Properties

### Committee Recommendations

The Community Development and Recreation Committee recommends that:

1. City Council request that the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing implement the following changes to the Residential Tenancies Act (RTA) that would improve the City's program for notifying landlords and tenants in residential rental properties about tax decreases and rent reductions:
  - a. require that the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation provide an accurate tax assessment database to help municipalities notify landlords and tenants about tax decreases and automatic rent reductions, which would include identifying properties that are exempt from requirements for notification of automatic rent reduction and the reason for the exemption, and distinguishing between owner-occupied properties and tenant-occupied properties;
  - b. amend the Residential Tenancies Act to extend the time limit for tenant applications for rent reductions due to property tax decreases so that tenants unaware of their right to a rent reduction may apply to the Landlord and Tenant Board for the rent reduction within one year of becoming aware of the property tax decrease;
  - c. waive the application fee for tenants applying for a rent reduction due to property tax decrease;
  - d. amend the Residential Tenancies Act to require that where the Landlord and Tenant Board decides that rents should be reduced for a particular unit in a building based on one tenant's rent reduction application, all tenants in the building would be automatically added as parties to the application and would all benefit from the ordered reduction in rent;
  - e. implement any legislative and operational changes required to ensure that tenants benefit from property tax decreases of more than 2.49% in cases where the property taxes are reduced after the notification period;
  - f. provide specific direction on tax and rent reduction calculation methods where there is a rent reduction due to an assessment shift and when there is a tax decrease in a mixed use property; and

- g. pay the City's costs for administering the Rent Reduction Notification Program, including the cost for database management, education supports, and the printing and mailing of rent reduction notices.
2. City Council adopt the confidential instructions to staff in Attachment 1 to the report (May 28, 2009) from the Acting Treasurer.
3. The confidential recommendations and information contained in Attachment 1 to the report (May 28, 2009) from the Acting Treasurer remain confidential until such time as the City Solicitor advises that the information can be made public.
4. Information be published on the City's website, beginning in 2009, identifying rental residential properties where the property taxes have decreased by more than 2.49 per cent and the corresponding rent reduction percentages permitted under the Residential Tenancies Act, and providing information on other rental residential buildings where the taxes have decreased by 2.49 per cent or less (non-automatic rent reductions) and how tenants can apply for rent reductions, subject to limits on disclosure established under the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.
5. The Director of Revenue Services implement procedures in 2009 to issue notifications of property tax decreases and rent reduction percentages to landlords and tenants of eligible rental properties where property tax decreases result from assessment or taxation changes occurring after the legislated timeframe for issuing such notifications.
6. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

### **Origin**

(May 28, 2009) Report from General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration

### **Summary**

This report responds to the Tenant Defence Sub-Committee's request to report on recommended changes to the *Residential Tenancies Act* (RTA) that would improve the City's program for notifying landlords and tenants in residential rental properties about tax decreases and rent reductions. The report discusses the issues staff have encountered in administering the notification program since 1998 and makes recommendations for improvements to be implemented by the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing.

### **Background Information**

CD25.6 - Residential Tenancies Act (RTA) - Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21746.pdf>)

## **6a Notification of Rent Reductions - Parkdale Pilot Project Rooming Houses**

**Confidential Attachment - Litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board**

### **Origin**

(May 28, 2009) Report from Acting Treasurer

### **Summary**

Under the *Residential Tenancies Act*, tenants are permitted to reduce the rents they pay when the property taxes on the rental residential building they occupy decrease by more than 2.49 per cent from one year to the next. The Act requires that municipalities notify landlords and tenants of property tax decreases and the amount by which rents may be decreased. Tenants of certain rooming houses within the Parkdale Pilot Project assert that they were entitled to notifications of property tax decreases on the rental properties they occupied but that they did not receive such notices from the City. In the event that their landlord may not have reduced their rents as required, the tenants may have been unaware of their ability to reduce the rents they pay or to apply to the Landlord and Tenant Board for a rent reduction. This report outlines measures that can be taken to support tenant populations where rent reduction notices were not received.

### **Background Information**

CD25.6.a - Notification of Rent Reductions - Parkdale - Staff Report  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21749.pdf>)

CD25.7	ACTION			Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--	-----------

## **Appointment of the 2009 Identify 'N Impact Review Panel**

**Confidential Attachment - Personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees**

### **Committee Recommendations**

The Community Development and Recreation Committee recommends that:

1. City Council appoint the six individuals listed in Confidential Attachment 1 to the report (May 26, 2009) from the Executive Director, Social Development, Finance and Administration, to the 2009 Identify 'N Impact Investment Program Allocations Review Panel.
2. City Council delegate authority to the Executive Director, Social Development Finance and Administration, to appoint INI panel members for the six remaining positions from priority neighbourhood areas not now included in the panel.
3. City Council delegate authority to the Executive Director, Social Development, Finance

and Administration, to appoint INI panel members to replace any members that resign or are unable to complete their activity, from an unrepresented priority neighbourhood.

4. City Council approve a change to the definition of youth in the INI Panel terms of reference to the age range of 13-24, to conform to the definition of youth used in other City programs and the Toronto Youth Cabinet.
5. City Council authorize the public release of the approved list of Identify 'N Impact panel members.
6. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

### Origin

(May 26, 2009) Report from Executive Director, Social Development, Finance and Administration

### Summary

This report recommends the appointment of members of the Allocations Review Panel for the 2009 Identify 'N Impact Investment (INI) funding program. The individuals recommended for appointment are listed in Confidential Attachment 1.

The panel members are youth from priority investment neighbourhoods with local experience of youth and community issues. Panel members receive training in City of Toronto funding policies and practices, effective program development and youth issues in preparation for their role in making allocation recommendations. The panel is supported by staff from Social Development Division. The recommendations of the youth panel for funding allocations will be considered by the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-committee and the Community Development and Recreation Committee before being forwarded to City Council for approval.

### Background Information

CD25.7 - Appointment of the 2009 INI Review Panel - Staff Report  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21760.pdf>)

CD25.8	ACTION			Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--	-----------

## Service Development Investment Program (SDIP) 2009 Allocation Recommendations and Program Update

### Committee Recommendations

The Community Development and Recreation Committee recommends that:

1. City Council approve the 2009 Service Development Investment Program allocations totalling \$399,840.00 to 13 organizations as described in Appendix A and Appendix B to the report (May 26, 2009) from the Executive Director, Social Development,

Finance and Administration.

2. City Council approve the expanded mandate of Service Development Investment Program to include support for service stabilization opportunities for organizations serving priority neighbourhoods.
3. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

### Origin

(May 26, 2009) Report from Executive Director, Social Development, Finance and Administration

### Summary

This report recommends that \$399,840.00 be allocated to 13 organizations for capacity development activities within priority neighbourhoods, and provides an update on the review of program priorities and local needs.

The Service Development Investment program (SDIP) provides multi-year project funding to support the organizational capacity of coalitions and non-profit organizations in order to develop a community's capacity to understand local issues and build effective responses and partnerships. SDIP is a unique funding program, offering opportunities for non-traditional groups and groups that may be high risk due to lack of a track record. The particular focus is on developing capacity within priority neighbourhoods for identifying issues and initiating local responses. In 2009, some allocations have been recommended for projects that address an expanded mandate of supporting service stabilization for priority neighbourhoods.

### Background Information

CD25.8 - Service Development Investment Program 2009 Allocations - Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21753.pdf>)

CD25.8 - 2009 Service Development Investment Program - Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21754.pdf>)

CD25.8 - 2009 Service Development Investment Program - Appendix B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21756.pdf>)

CD25.9	ACTION			Ward: All
--------	--------	--	--	-----------

## Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2009 Community Festivals and Special Events Allocation Recommendations

### Committee Recommendations

The Community Development and Recreation Committee recommends that:

1. City Council approve the allocations for the Parks, Forestry and Recreation portion of the 2009 Community Festival and Special Events Investment Program totalling \$95,190.13, as per Attachment 1 to the report (May 19, 2009) from the General

Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation.

2. City Council approve the appeals allocation of \$6,909.87 for the Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2009 Community Festival and Special Events Investment Program, as per Attachment 1 and Attachment 2 to the report (June 4, 2009) from the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation.

### **Origin**

(May 29, 2009) Report from the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee

### **Summary**

The Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee on May 29, 2009, considered a report (May 19, 2009) from the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation, entitled "Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2009 Community Festivals and Special Events Allocation Recommendations", and forwarded its recommendations to the Community Development and Recreation Committee.

**(Note: Copies of the Applicant Summary Sheets (Attachment 2) for this item were distributed to all Members of Council with the agenda for the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee meeting of May 29, 2009.)**

### **Background Information**

CD25.9 - Report from the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21759.pdf>

CD25.9 - Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2009 Community Festivals and Special Events - Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21770.pdf>

CD25.9 - 2009 Summary of Recommendations - CFSE Program - Attachment 1

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21771.pdf>

CD25.9 - 2009 CFSE Guidelines - Attachment 3

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21774.pdf>

## **9a Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2009 Community Festivals and Special Events Allocation Appeal Recommendations**

### **Origin**

(June 4, 2009) Report from General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation

### **Summary**

This report recommends that the \$6,909.87 appeals funds from the Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2009 Community Festivals and Special Events (CFSE) Investment Program be allocated to three (3) organizations.

Eight (8) organizations appealed their 2009 recommended allocations through deputations and/or written appeals. Of the eight (8) organizations, four (4) addressed the Appeals Sub-

committee; one (1) did not appear; and three (3) submitted written appeals.

The CFSE Investment Program budget is jointly administered by Parks, Forestry and Recreation Division (PFR) and Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Division. The 2009 approved Operating Budget for the CFSE program is \$142,900.00. Parks, Forestry and Recreation's portion is \$102,100.00. (See May 19, 2009 report "Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2009 Community Festivals and Special Events Allocation Recommendations" for details.)

### Background Information

CD25.9.a - Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2009 Community Festivals and Special Events Allocation Appeal Recommendations - Staff Report  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21911.pdf>)

CD25.10	ACTION			Ward: All
---------	--------	--	--	-----------

## Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2009 Recreation Allocation Recommendations

### Committee Recommendations

The Community Development and Recreation Committee recommends that:

1. City Council approve the allocations for the Recreation Partnership Program (Majors) and the Recreation Investment Program (Minors) totalling \$1,342,098.51, as per Attachment 1 and Attachment 2 to the report (May 19, 2009) from the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation.
2. City Council approve the total appeals allocations of \$49,985.49 for the Recreation Partnership Program (Majors) and the Recreation Investment Program (Minors) within the Community Partnership and Investment Program.
3. City Council allocate \$46,920.00 from the Recreation Partnership Program (Majors) to Dixon Hall, as per Attachment 1 to the report (June 4, 2009) from the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation.
4. City Council approve a one-time transfer of \$3,065.49 from the Majors to augment the Minor Recreation Investment Program appeals fund of \$12,866.00.
5. City Council approve an appeals fund totalling \$15,931.49 to be allocated to the Minor organizations, as per Attachment 2 to the report (June 4, 2009) from the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation.

### Origin

(May 29, 2009) Report from the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee

## Summary

The Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee on May 29, 2009, considered a report (May 19, 2009) from the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation, entitled "Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2009 Recreation Allocation Recommendations", and forwarded its recommendations to the Community Development and Recreation Committee.

**(Note: Copies of the Applicant Summary Sheets (Attachments 3 and 4) for this item were distributed to all Members of Council with the agenda for the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee meeting of May 29, 2009.)**

## Background Information

CD25.10 - Report from the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21783.pdf>)

CD25.10 - Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2009 Recreation Allocation Recommendations - Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21784.pdf>)

CD25.10 - 2009 Summary of Recommendations - Major Recreation Partnership Program - Attachment 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21785.pdf>)

CD25.10 - 2009 Summary of Recommendations - Minor Recreation Investment Program - Attachment 2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21786.pdf>)

CD25.10 - 2009 Major Recreation Partnership Program Guidelines - Attachment 5

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21791.pdf>)

CD25.10 - 2009 Minor Recreation Investment Program Guidelines - Attachment 6

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21793.pdf>)

## 10a Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2009 Recreation Allocation Appeal Recommendations

### Origin

(June 4, 2009) Report from General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation

### Summary

This report recommends that the \$49,985.49 appeals funds from the 2009 Recreation Partnership Program (generally known as Majors) be allocated to Dixon Hall in the amount of \$46,920.00, and the remaining amount of \$3,065.49 be a one-time transfer to augment the \$12,866.00 appeals funds of the Minor Recreation Investment Program (generally known as Minors). The total available appeals funds for Minors is therefore \$15,931.49.

Eleven (11) organizations appealed their 2009 recommended allocations through deputations and/or written appeals. Of the eleven (11) organizations, nine (9) addressed the Community Partnership and Investment Appeals Sub-Committee; and 2 submitted just written appeals. Of the eleven (11) organizations, all but one (1) is recommended for appeal allocations as per Attachment 1 and Attachment 2.

The existence of such a significant appeals fund in the Majors is primarily a result of a late application from Dixon Hall. As well, Toronto Kiwanis Boys and Girls Club reduced their request as they are no longer acting as Trustee for Green Thumbs Growing Kids, who are now incorporated and are recommended under the 2009 Minor program.

The Major Recreation Partnership Program guidelines specify that the program “allows for a structured link between Parks, Forestry and Recreation and organizations to ensure coordination of planning and service delivery.” Partnership with multi-service agencies is the focus of the Major Grant program. On the other hand, the Minor Grant Program focuses on investment and supporting neighbourhood development. In recognition of the distinctions between these two programs, funding should normally be kept separate.

However, it is recommended that given that the special circumstance that Toronto Kiwanis Boys and Girls Club is no longer supporting the grant application for the Green Thumb Growing Kids through the Majors program, a surplus of \$3,065.49 in the Majors program be transferred to the 2009 Minor Grant program on a one-time, this year only basis.

Appeals were heard on May 29, 2009 at the Community Partnership and Investment Appeals Sub-Committee meeting at City Hall. (See May 19, 2009 report “Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2009 Recreation Allocation Recommendations” for details).

### Background Information

CD25.10.a - Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2009 Recreation Allocation Appeal Recommendations - Staff Report  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21912.pdf>)

CD25.11	ACTION			Ward: All
---------	--------	--	--	-----------

### 2009 Allocations - Access, Equity and Human Rights (AEHR) - Community Partnership and Investment Program (CPIP)

#### Committee Recommendations

The Community Development and Recreation Committee recommends that:

1. City Council approve total allocations in the amount of \$210,600.00 for the 2009 Access, Equity and Human Rights (AEHR) – Community Partnership and Investment Program (CPIP) as detailed in Appendix 1 to the report (May 13, 2009) from the City Manager.
2. City Council allocate the 2009 Access Equity and Human Rights (AEHR) - Community Partnership and Investment Program (CPIP) Appeals Fund of \$5.0 thousand as follows:
  - a. \$4.0 thousand to Canadian Tibetan Association; and
  - b. \$1.0 thousand to Midaynta Community Services.

## **Origin**

(May 29, 2009) Report from the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee

## **Summary**

The Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee on May 29, 2009, considered a report (May 13, 2009) from the City Manager, entitled "2009 Allocations - Access, Equity and Human Rights (AEHR) - Community Partnership and Investment Program (CPIP)", and forwarded its recommendations to the Community Development and Recreation Committee.

**(Note: Copies of the Applicant Summary Sheets (Appendix 2) for this item were distributed to all Members of Council with the agenda for the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee meeting of May 29, 2009.)**

## **Background Information**

CD25.11 - Report from the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21794.pdf>)

CD25.11 - 2009 Allocations - Access Equity and Human Rights (AEHR) - Community Partnership and Investment Program (CPIP) - Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21795.pdf>)

## **Speakers**

Councillor Frances Nunziata, Ward 11, York South-Weston

## **11a Appeals Report – 2009 Access Equity and Human Rights (AEHR) – Community Partnership and Investment Program (CPIP)**

### **Origin**

(June 5, 2009) Report from City Manager

### **Summary**

This report makes recommendations on the appeals submitted to the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee on the 2009 allocations report for the Access, Equity and Human Rights (AEHR) - Community Partnership and Investment Program (CPIP).

### **Background Information**

CD25.11.a - Appeals Report - 2009 Access, Equity and Human Rights (AEHR) - Community Partnership and Investment Program (CPIP) - Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-22206.pdf>)

CD25.12	ACTION			Ward: All
---------	--------	--	--	-----------

## Community Safety Investment Program (CSI) 2009 Allocation Recommendations

### Committee Recommendations

The Community Development and Recreation Committee recommends that:

1. City Council approve the allocations for the Community Safety Investment Program totalling \$664,400.00 to 24 applicants as recommended in Appendices A and B to the report (May 15, 2009) from the Executive Director, Social Development, Finance and Administration.
- 2.. City Council allocate the Community Safety Investment (CSI) appeal fund of \$18,800.00 to Literature for Life, as recommended in Appendices 1 and 2 to the report (June 3, 2009) from the Executive Director, Social Development, Finance and Administration.
3. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

### Origin

(May 29, 2009) Report from the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee

### Summary

The Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee on May 29, 2009, considered a report (May 15, 2009) from the Executive Director, Social Development, Finance and Administration Division, entitled "Community Safety Investment Program (CSI) 2009 Allocation Recommendations", and forwarded its recommendations to the Community Development and Recreation Committee.

**(Note: Copies of the Applicant Summary Sheets (Appendix B) for this item were distributed to all Members of Council with the agenda for the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee meeting of May 29, 2009.)**

### Background Information

CD25.12 - Report from the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21800.pdf>

CD25.12 - Community Safety Investment Program (CSI) 2009 Allocation Recommendations - Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21801.pdf>

CD25.12 - Community Safety Investment Program 2009 Recommendations- Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21802.pdf>

CD25.12 - Description of 2009 Recommended Projects - Appendix C  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21804.pdf>)

## 12a Community Safety Investment Program - 2009 Appeals Allocation Recommendations

### Origin

(June 3, 2009) Report from Executive Director, Social Development, Finance and Administration

### Summary

This report recommends allocations of the \$18,800.00 appeal fund for the Community Safety Investment (CSI) Program.

### Background Information

CD25.12.a - Community Safety Investment Program - 2009 Appeals Allocation Recommendations - Staff Report

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21916.pdf>)

CD25.12.a - 2009 Community Safety Investment - Appeals Recommendations - Appendix 1  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21917.pdf>)

CD25.12.a - 2009 Community Safety Investment - Appeals Summary Sheets - Appendix 2 cover page

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21918.pdf>)

CD25.12.a - 2009 Community Safety Investment - Appeals Summary Sheets - Appendix 2  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21919.pdf>)

CD25.13	ACTION			Ward: All
---------	--------	--	--	-----------

## Community Service Partnership Program - 2009 Allocation Recommendations

### Committee Recommendations

The Community Development and Recreation Committee recommends that:

1. City Council approve allocations for the Community Service Partnerships totalling \$11,645,525.00 for 497 programs in 230 organizations as recommended in Appendices A and D to the report (May 15, 2009) from the Executive Director, Social Development, Finance and Administration.
2. City Council approve the recommendation of \$68,000.00 for the Regent Park Neighbourhood Initiative for the period of July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010, subject to the organization providing an updated budget and supporting documentation, satisfactory to the Director, Community Resources.
3. City Council approve the transfer of the grant allocated for the First Portuguese Canadian Cultural Centre of \$6,120.00 in 2009 under the Recreation Investment

Program to the Community Partnership Program starting in 2010.

4. Community Service Partnership (CSP) provide funded organizations that deliver seniors social recreation programs that are also funded by the Provincial Elderly Person's Centre program, with the ability to transfer up to 50% of an approved 2009 CSP allocation within the funding period, with the approval of the Executive Director, Social Development, Finance and Administration:
  - a. from one approved Seniors Elderly Person's Centre (EPC) program to another approved Seniors EPC program; and
  - b. from one approved Seniors EPC program to a new provincially funded EPC program.
5. City Council allocate the 2009 Community Service Partnerships (CSP) appeals fund of \$31,505.00 to the organizations as recommended in Appendices 1 and 2 to the report (June 3, 2009) from the Executive Director, Social Development, Finance and Administration.
6. The appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

### **Origin**

(May 29, 2009) Report from the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee

### **Summary**

The Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee on May 29, 2009, considered a report (May 15, 2009) from the Executive Director, Social Development, Finance and Administration, entitled "Community Service Partnership Program - 2009 Allocation Recommendations", and forwarded its recommendations to the Community Development and Recreation Committee.

**(Note: Copies of the Applicant Summary Sheets (Appendix E) for this item were distributed to all Members of Council with the agenda for the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee meeting of May 29, 2009.)**

### **Background Information**

CD25.13 - Report from the Community Partnership and Investment Program Appeals Sub-Committee

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21807.pdf>

CD25.13 - Community Service Partnership Program - 2009 Allocation Recommendations - Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21808.pdf>

CD25.13 - 2009 Community Service Partnerships - recommendations for organizations requesting new or increased funding - Appendix A

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21809.pdf>

CD25.13 - Requests for Increases Identified as High Priority and Not Recommended due to lack of funding - Appendix B

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21810.pdf>)

CD25.13 - Summary of Increased or Decreased Allocations - Appendix C

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21811.pdf>)

CD25.13 - 2009 Community Service Partnerships - consolidated list of recommendations and flat line allocations - Appendix D

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21812.pdf>)

## **Speakers**

Councillor Frances Nunziata, Ward 11, York South-Weston

## **13a Community Service Partnerships – 2009 Appeals Allocation Recommendations**

### **Origin**

(June 3, 2009) Report from Executive Director, Social Development, Finance and Administration

### **Summary**

This report recommends allocation of the \$31,505.00 appeal fund for the 2009 Community Service Partnerships Program (CSP).

Based on review of the information presented through the appeals, and reconsideration of the 2009 applicants that were identified as high priority and unable to be recommended due to lack of funding, it is recommended that six programs in five organizations receive additional funds as outlined in Appendices 1 and 2 of this report.

### **Background Information**

CD25.13.a - Community Service Partnerships - 2009 Appeals Allocation Recommendations

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21913.pdf>)

CD25.13.a - 2009 Community Service Partnerships - Appeal Fund Recommendations - Appendix 1

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21914.pdf>)

CD25.13.a - 2009 Community Service Partnerships - Appeal Fund Summary Sheets - Appendix 2

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/cd/bgrd/backgroundfile-21915.pdf>)

*Submitted Thursday, June 11, 2009*

*Councillor Janet Davis, Chair, Community Development and Recreation Committee*