

## Toronto and East York Community Council

<b>Meeting No.</b>	23	<b>Contact</b>	Rosalind Dyers, Committee Administrator
<b>Meeting Date</b>	Monday, February 9, 2009	<b>Phone</b>	416-392-7033
<b>Start Time</b>	9:30 AM	<b>E-mail</b>	teycc@toronto.ca
<b>Location</b>	Committee Room 1, City Hall	<b>Chair</b>	Councillor Pam McConnell

Toronto and East York Community Council		
Councillor Sandra Bussin Councillor Janet Davis Councillor Paula Fletcher Councillor Adam Giambrone	Councillor Pam McConnell (Chair) Councillor Joe Mihevc Councillor Case Ootes Councillor Joe Pantalone	Councillor Gord Perks Councillor Kyle Rae Councillor Adam Vaughan (Vice-Chair) Councillor Michael Walker

**Members of Council and Staff:** Please keep this agenda and the accompanying material until the City Council meeting dealing with these matters has ended. **The City Clerk's Office will not provide additional copies.**

**Special Assistance for Members of the Public:** City staff can arrange for special assistance with some advance notice. If you need special assistance, please call 416-392-7033, TTY 416-338-0889 or e-mail: teycc@toronto.ca.

**Closed Meeting Requirements:** If the Toronto and East York Community Council wants to meet in closed session (privately), a member of the committee must make a motion to do so and give the reason why the Committee has to meet privately. (*City of Toronto Act, 2006*)

**Notice to people writing or making presentations to the Toronto and East York Community Council:** The *City of Toronto Act, 2006* and the City of Toronto Municipal Code authorize the City of Toronto to collect any personal information in your communication or presentation to City Council or its committees.

The City collects this information to enable it to make informed decisions on the relevant issue(s). If you are submitting letters, faxes, e-mails, presentations or other communications to the City, you should be aware that your name and the fact that you communicated with the City will become part of the public record and will appear on the City's website. The City will also make your communication and any personal information in it – such as your postal address, telephone number or e-mail address – available to the public, unless you expressly request the City to remove it.

The City videotapes committee and community council meetings. If you make a presentation to a committee or community council, the City will be videotaping you and City staff may make the video tapes available to the public.

If you want to learn more about why and how the City collects your information, write to the City Clerk's Office, City Hall, 100 Queen Street West, Toronto ON M5H 2N2 or by calling 416-392-7033.

**Schedule of Timed Items**

10:00 a.m.: Items 1 to 16

**Declaration of Interest under the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act*****Confirmation of Minutes – January 13 , 2009****Speakers/Presentations – A complete list will be distributed at the meeting**

**Introduction and Enactment of General Bills and Bill to Confirm the Proceedings of Community Council will be last items.**

TE23.1	ACTION	10:00 AM		Ward: 27
--------	--------	----------	--	----------

**Final Report - 60-76 Grenville Street and 51 Grosvenor Street - Women's College Hospital and Kenson Apartments - Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Applications and Rental Housing Demolition Permit Application**

*Statutory - Planning Act, RSO 1990*

**Origin**

(January 20, 2009) Report from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. City Council amend the Official Plan substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Attachment No. 6.
2. City Council amend the Zoning By-law substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 7.
3. City Council authorize the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Chief Planner and Executive Director of City Planning, to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments as may be required to give effect to the intent of the recommendations contained in this report.
4. City Council approve the application to demolish the 59 rental housing units located at 51 Grosvenor Street pursuant to Municipal Code Chapters 667 and 363, subject to the following conditions under Chapter 667:
  - a. the owner of the property make a cash payment to the City in lieu of replacement of the rental housing units in the amount of \$300,000 prior to the issuance of the first above grade building permit for a public hospital on Phase 1, to be directed to the Capital Revolving Fund for Affordable Housing;

- b. the owner ensure the maintenance of the 59 existing rental dwelling units as rental housing until the earlier of the date such rental building is vacant or January 31, 2010;
  - c. the owner meet the requirements of the tenant assistance plan as required in Recommendation 8c. below; and
  - d. the owner enter into an agreement to the satisfaction of the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division which secures the conditions outlined in Recommendation 4.
5. City Council authorizes the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division to issue a preliminary approval to the application under Municipal Code Chapter 667 after the satisfaction of the conditions in Recommendation 4, and after:
  - a. the Official Plan and Zoning By-law amendments in Recommendations 1 and 2 have come into full force and effect;
  - b. the closure and completion of the sale of the existing east-west public lane to the owner;
  - c. the issuance of site plan approval for a public hospital by the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, pursuant to Section 114 of the City of Toronto Act, 2006, for Phase 1 of the redevelopment pursuant to the Zoning By-law Amendment; and
  - d. the issuance of a first foundation building permit for Phase 1 of the redevelopment pursuant to the Zoning By-law Amendment.
6. City Council authorize the Chief Building Official to issue a section 111 permit under Municipal Code Chapter 667 after the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division has given the preliminary approval in Recommendation 5.
7. City Council authorize the Chief Building Official to issue a permit under Section 33 of the Planning Act, after the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division has given the preliminary approval in Recommendation 5, which permit may be included in the demolition permit for Chapter 667 under 363-11.1E, of the Municipal Code.
8. Before introducing the necessary Bills to City Council authorize the appropriate City officials and require the owner to execute one or more agreements pursuant to Section 37 of the Planning Act, and Section 667 of the Municipal Code satisfactory to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division and the City Solicitor. The agreements to be registered on title to the lands in a manner satisfactory to the City Solicitor and to secure the following matters from the owner at its expense:

- a. \$300,000 to be used for the provision of affordable housing to be paid to the City prior to the issuance of the first above grade building permit for a public hospital on Phase 1 provided in the event that such payment is made after December 31, 2010, the payment shall be increased to reflect any increases in the Construction Price Index from January 1, 2011.
- b. The owner shall continue to operate the existing residential rental building located on the lot as rental housing until the earlier of the date such building is vacant or January 31, 2010.
- c. The Tenant Assistance Plan:

Each tenant receiving notice of termination will be given 150 days' notice, three months equivalent cash pay out, return of last months rent, \$500 moving expenses and all applicable interest on deposit together with the following:

Tenants who are in occupancy of their units for the following lengths of time will be provided with the following additional compensation:

One Year and Greater – will be given one additional month rent free;

Between 5 and 10 years – will be given two additional months rent free; and

Greater than 10 years – will be given nine months' rent free, and shall receive a "top-up" payment equal to the difference in the current monthly market rent of such unit within the building minus the actual amount of monthly rent paid by the tenant multiplied by 12 months (or by a lesser number of months if the tenant vacates their unit after September 1, 2009 but before January 31, 2010).

Tenants who depart prior to notice of termination being given shall not receive the compensation package or the moving allowance.

- d. Be encouraged to build in conformity with the Green Development Standard Checklist submitted by the owner and date stamped as received by the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division on October 1, 2008.
- e. An existing east-west public lane is required to form part of the lot. Prior to Site Plan approval pursuant to Section 114 of the City of Toronto Act, 2006, the owner shall obtain City Council's approval of the closure and sale of the public lane and shall complete such sale of such lane.
- f. Provide and thereafter maintain public art in a location on a publicly accessible portion of the lot.
- g. Comply with any other conditions set forth in the agreement required to ensure the orderly development and phasing of the lands as required by the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, acting reasonably.

- h. Enter into a Site Plan Agreement to the satisfaction of the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, under Section 114 of the City of Toronto Act, 2006.
  - i. Provide reasonable commercial efforts to obtain LEED certification of the development.
  - j. Provide and maintain parking in accordance with the approved Temporary Parking Study prepared by the BA Group dated December 11, 2008, subject to such revisions from time to time as may be requested by the owner and approved by the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division.
9. The owner will submit to the Executive Director of Technical Services for review and acceptance, prior to the issuance of any building permit, a site servicing review to demonstrate how this site will be serviced and whether the existing municipal infrastructure is adequate.
  10. The owner will provide for any improvements to the municipal infrastructure in connection with the functional servicing report, as accepted by the Executive Director of Technical Services, should it be determined that upgrades to such infrastructure are required to support this development.
  11. City Council authorizes City officials to take all necessary steps, including the execution of agreements and documents, to give effect to the above-noted recommendations.

### **Summary**

This application was made after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

This application proposes the redevelopment of Women's College Hospital at 60-76 Grenville Street. The hospital proposes to redevelop the entire site, together with the lands at 51 Grosvenor Street and a City lane, to permit a new hospital building accommodating additions up to a maximum height of 14 storeys.

A Rental Housing Demolition Permit Application was also submitted to permit the demolition of the building at 51 Grosvenor Street in conjunction with the redevelopment of the site.

This report reviews and recommends approval of the applications to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law and to permit the demolition of rental housing.

### **Financial Impact**

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### **Background Information**

TE23.1 - Staff Report - 60-76 Grenville St. and 51 Grosvenor St.  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18787.pdf>)

TE23.2	ACTION	10:00 AM		Ward: 20
--------	--------	----------	--	----------

## **Inclusion on Heritage Inventory - Residential Buildings on Beverley Street and Stephanie Street**

### **Origin**

(October 28, 2008) Report from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division

### **Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that

1. City Council include the property at 15 Beverley Street (Samuel Caplan House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
2. City Council include the property at 27 Beverley Street (James Kelly House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
3. City Council include the property at 29 Beverley Street (Robert Brown Row House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
4. City Council include the property at 31 Beverley Street (Robert Brown Row House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
5. City Council include the property at 33 Beverley Street (Robert Brown Row House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
6. City Council include the property at 35 Beverley Street (Robert Brown Row House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
7. City Council include the property at 37 Beverley Street (Robert Brown Row House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
8. City Council include the property at 39 Beverley Street (Robert Brown Row House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
9. City Council include the property at 45 Stephanie Street (Robert Brown House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
10. City Council include the property at 47 Stephanie Street (Robert Brown House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.

## Summary

Following a request from a property owner, staff have researched and evaluated the properties along the east side of Beverley Street, south of Stephanie Street, and determined that many of the residential buildings have cultural heritage value that merit inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties. The inclusion of the properties on the City's heritage inventory would enable staff to monitor any changes to the sites and encourage the retention of their heritage values and attributes.

## Financial Impact

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

## Background Information

TE23.2 - Staff Report - Beverley St. and Stephanie St.

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18781.pdf>

## **2a** Inclusion on Heritage Inventory - Residential Buildings on Beverley Street and Stephanie Street -(Ward 20 Trinity-Spadina)

### Origin

(January 22, 2009) Letter from the Toronto Preservation Board

### Recommendations

The Toronto Preservation Board recommended to the Toronto and East York Community Council that:

1. City Council include the property at 15 Beverley Street (Samuel Caplan House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
2. City Council include the property at 27 Beverley Street (James Kelly House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
3. City Council include the property at 29 Beverley Street (Robert Brown Row House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
4. City Council include the property at 31 Beverley Street (Robert Brown Row House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
5. City Council include the property at 33 Beverley Street (Robert Brown Row House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
6. City Council include the property at 35 Beverley Street (Robert Brown Row House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
7. City Council include the property at 37 Beverley Street (Robert Brown Row House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.

8. City Council include the property at 39 Beverley Street (Robert Brown Row House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
9. City Council include the property at 45 Stephanie Street (Robert Brown House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.
10. City Council include the property at 47 Stephanie Street (Robert Brown House) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties.

### Summary

Advising that the Toronto Preservation Board on January 22, 2009, considered the report (October 28, 2008) from the Director, Policy and Research, City Planning Division respecting the Inclusion on Heritage Inventory of Residential Buildings on Beverley Street and Stephanie Street.

### Background Information

TE23.2a - Letter Beverley Street and Stephanie Street  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18666.pdf>

**(Deferred from July 7, 2008 - 2008.TE17.16 and January 13, 2009 - 2009.TE22.7)**

TE23.3	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 27
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

### Sign Variance - 595 Bay Street

#### Origin

(June 3, 2008) Report from the Acting Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

#### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the east elevation of the building at 595 Bay Street.

#### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Paul Seaman of Clear Channel Outdoor Company Canada on behalf of Hines REIT Ltd. for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the east elevation of the building at 595 Bay Street.

Staff recommends refusal of this application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### Background Information

TE23.3 - Staff Report - 595 Bay Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18667.pdf>)

**(Deferred from January 13, 2009 - 2009.TE22.17)**

TE23.4	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 18
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

### Sign Variance - 1484 Dundas Street West

#### Origin

(December 16, 2008) Report from the Director, Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District

#### Recommendations

The Toronto Building Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council refuse the request for variances to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the east elevation of the building at 1484 Dundas Street West.

#### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Daniel Bordonali of Sutherland & Associates on behalf of M + MJ Limited for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign on the east elevation of the building at 1484 Dundas Street West.

Staff recommends refusal of the application. The variances are major and not within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

#### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

**Background Information**

TE23.4 - Staff Report - 1484 Dundas Street West

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18668.pdf>**(Deferred from January 13, 2009 - 2009.TE.22.19)**

TE23.5	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 30
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

**Residential Demolition Control - 637 Greenwood Avenue****Origin**

(December 12, 2008) Report from the Director, Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

The Toronto Building Division recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Approve the application to demolish the subject residential building with the following condition:
  - a. All debris and rubble be removed immediately after demolition.
  - b. Any holes on the property be backfilled with clean fill.
  - c. The removal, disposal and handling of all hazardous materials including, but not limited to asbestos, lead, silica and polychlorinated biphenyls shall be conducted in accordance with the Ministry of the Environment and the Ministry of Labour regulations and guidelines.
  - d. The removal of ozone-depleting substances shall be performed by a licensed contractor in compliance with the Ministry of Environment regulations.
  - e. That the owner shall ensure the implementation of the demolition and excavation dust control measures approved by the Medical Officer of Health.
  - f. The owner/applicant shall notify the Medical Officer of Health prior to commencing any demolition activities.
  - g. Prior to commencing any demolition activities, the owner/applicant shall contact the Medical Officer of Health for a pre-demolition inspection. At the time of the inspection the owner/applicant shall demonstrate the ability to successfully carry out all dust control activities; or
2. Approve the application to demolish the subject residential buildings without conditions; or in the alternative,

3. Refuse the application to demolish the subject residential building because there is no building permit for a replacement building on the site.

### Summary

In accordance with Section 33 of the Planning Act and Article II of Municipal Code Chapter 363, Demolition Control, as amended by By-law No.1009-2006, I refer the demolition application for 637 Greenwood Ave to you, to decide whether to grant or refuse the application, including any conditions, if any, to be attached to the permit.

This staff report is regarding a matter for which the community council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### Background Information

TE23.5 - Staff Report - 637 Greenwood Avenue  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18669.pdf>

TE23.6	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 20
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

### Residential Demolition Application - 320-322 Richmond Street West

#### Origin

(January 21, 2009) Report from the Acting Director, Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District

#### Recommendations

Toronto Building recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the application to demolish the subject residential buildings without conditions. In the alternative,
2. Toronto and East York Community Council may refuse the application to demolish the subject residential buildings because no building permit for a replacement building on the site has been issued.

### Summary

In accordance with City-wide residential demolition control under Article II, Demolition Control, of Municipal Code Ch. 363, as amended by By-law No.1009-2006, enacted by the City Council on September 27, 2006 under the authority of section 33 of the Planning Act, I refer the demolition application for 320-322 Richmond Street West to you, to whether to grant or refuse the application, including any conditions, if any, to be attached to the permit.

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

**Financial Impact**

Not applicable.

**Background Information**

TE23.6 - Staff Report - 320-322 Richmond Street West  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18670.pdf>

TE23.6 - Attachment 1 - 320-322 Richmond Street West  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18671.pdf>

TE23.6 - Attachment 2 - 320-322 Richmond Street West  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18672.pdf>

TE23.6 - Attachment 3 - 320-322 Richmond Street West  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18673.pdf>

TE23.6 - Attachment 4 - 320-322 Richmond Street West  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18674.pdf>

TE23.6 - Attachment 5 - 320-322 Richmond Street West  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18675.pdf>

TE23.7	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 22
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

**Front Yard Parking Appeal – 25 Farnham Avenue****Origin**

(January 20, 2009) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. deny the request for front yard parking at 25 Farnham Avenue.

**Summary**

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 25 Farnham Avenue for front yard parking. We do not recommend approval for front yard parking at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 918. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

**Financial Impact**

There is no financial impact to the City as a result of this report.

### Background Information

TE23.7 - Staff Report - 25 Farnham Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18676.pdf>)

TE23.7 - Appendix A - 25 Farnham Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18677.pdf>)

TE23.7 - Appendix B - 25 Farnham Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18678.pdf>)

TE23.7 - Appendix C - 25 Farnham Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18679.pdf>)

TE23.7 - Appendix D - 25 Farnham Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18680.pdf>)

TE23.8	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 22
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

### Front Yard Parking Appeal – 669 Hillsdale Avenue East

#### Origin

(January 19, 2009) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

#### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. deny the request for front yard parking at 669 Hillsdale Avenue East.

#### Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 669 Hillsdale Avenue East for front yard parking. We do not recommend approval for front yard parking at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 918. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

#### Financial Impact

There is no financial impact to the City as a result of this report.

### Background Information

TE23.8 - Staff Report - 669 Hillsdale Avenue East

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18681.pdf>)

TE23.8 - Appendix A - 669 Hillsdale Avenue East

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18682.pdf>)

TE23.8 - Appendix B - 669 Hillsdale Avenue East

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18683.pdf>)

TE23.8 - Appendix C - 669 Hillside Avenue East  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18684.pdf>

TE23.8 - Appendix D - 669 Hillside Avenue East  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18685.pdf>

TE23.9	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 29
--------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

## Front Yard Parking Appeal – 184 Sammon Avenue

### Origin

(January 19, 2009) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. deny the request for front yard parking at 184 Sammon Avenue.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 184 Sammon Avenue for front yard parking. We do not recommend approval for front yard parking at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 918. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

### Financial Impact

There is no financial impact to the City as a result of this report.

### Background Information

TE23.9 - Staff Report - 184 Sammon Avenue  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18686.pdf>

TE23.9 - Appendix A - 184 Sammon Avenue  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18687.pdf>

TE23.9 - Appendix B - 184 Sammon Avenue  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18688.pdf>

TE23.9 - Appendix C - 184 Sammon Avenue  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18689.pdf>

TE23.9 - Appendix D - 184 Sammon Avenue  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18690.pdf>

TE23.10	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 29
---------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

### Front Yard Parking Appeal – 186 Sammon Avenue

#### Origin

(January 19, 2009) Report from Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

#### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. deny the request for front yard parking at 186 Sammon Avenue.

#### Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 186 Sammon Avenue for front yard parking. We do not recommend approval for front yard parking at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 918. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

#### Financial Impact

There is no financial impact to the City as a result of this report.

#### Background Information

TE23.10 - Staff Report - 186 Sammon Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18691.pdf>)

TE23.10 - Appendix A - 186 Sammon Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18692.pdf>)

TE23.10 - Appendix B - 186 Sammon Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18693.pdf>)

TE23.10 - Appendix C - 186 Sammon Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18694.pdf>)

TE23.10 - Appendix D - 186 Sammon Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18695.pdf>)

TE23.11	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 32
---------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

### Front Yard Parking Appeal - 243 Eastwood Road

#### Origin

(January 19, 2009) Report from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. deny the request for front yard parking at 243 Eastwood Road.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed an appeal from the owner of 243 Eastwood Road for front yard parking. We do not recommend approval for front yard parking at this location because it does not meet the requirements of the City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 918. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

## Financial Impact

There is no financial impact to the City as a result of this report.

## Background Information

TE23.11 - Staff Report - 243 Eastwood Road

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18696.pdf>)

TE23.11 - Appendix A - 243 Eastwood Road

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18697.pdf>)

TE23.11 - Appendix B - 243 Eastwood Road

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18698.pdf>)

TE23.11 - Appendix C - 243 Eastwood Road

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18699.pdf>)

TE23.11 - Appendix D - 243 Eastwood Road

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18700.pdf>)

TE23.12	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 14
---------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

## Fence - 217 Sorauren Avenue

### Origin

(January 20, 2009) Report from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Approve the maintenance of the two section divisional closed board wooden fence together with a step, toe wall and air conditioner enclosure within the public right of way fronting 217 Sorauren Avenue, subject to the property owner entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:

- a. indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
  - b. lower the fence adjacent to the house that is 2.3 m in height by 0.6 m to an overall height of 1.7 m in keeping with the height of the window of neighbouring 219 Sorauren Avenue;
  - c. maintain the encroachments at his/her own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
  - d. remove the encroachments upon receiving 90 days' written notice to do so; and
  - e. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City.
2. Direct Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, in the event of sale or transfer to the property abutting the encroachment, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.

### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed a request from the owner of 217 Sorauren Avenue to allow the maintenance of a two section divisional closed board wooden fence with respective heights and lengths of approximately 1.5 m and 2.3 m, and 1.9 m and 2.2 m, together with a step, toe wall and air conditioner enclosure that encroach within the public right of way fronting 217 Sorauren Avenue.

Although the fence exceeds the maximum allowable height requested under the Municipal Code, and the fence, step and toe wall do not meet the required setback, these encroachments do not impact negatively on the public right of way. Transportation Services has no objections to their maintenance provided that the section of fence that is 2.3 m in height be lowered to 1.7 m in keeping with the height of the window of neighbouring 219 Sorauren Avenue.

The owners together with any interested parties will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

### **Financial Impact**

There is no financial impact to the City as a result of this report.

## Background Information

TE23.12 - Staff Report - Fence - 217 Sorauren Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18701.pdf>)

TE23.12 - Appendix A - Fence - 217 Sorauren Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18702.pdf>)

TE23.13	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 30
---------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

## Request for a Fence Exemption to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447-Fences at 127 Galt Avenue

### Origin

(January 19, 2009) Report from the Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards Division, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that the Toronto and East York Community Council not grant the fence exemption.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Toronto and East York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The applicant, being the property owner is seeking exemption to the provisions of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 Fences, Section 447-2(B), to permit the existing wooden fence, in the rear yard, to exceed the maximum allowable height. The Fence By-law provides that a fence in the rear yard area abutting a public highway (laneway) can be constructed to a height of 2.5 metres.

The existing wooden fence in the rear yard at the lot line abutting the public laneway consists of 3 sections of fence panels that slide on an overhead track. The total height of the fence inclusive of the overhead track is not in compliance with the by-law.

The 3 sections of fence panels slide on a track to provide access to the rear yard parking pad and although they are being described as a “gate” by the property owner, the structure is deemed a fence pursuant to the definition in the by-law as it is “used to wholly or partially screen from view, enclose or divide a yard”. The 3 panels are constructed of cedar boards with 2 end posts and a beam of pressure treated wood including a metal track. The overall height is 2.95 metres above grade and spans the entire width of the rear yard for a length of 5.49 metres. See Attachment 1.

### Financial Impact

There is no financial impact anticipated in this report.

### Background Information

TE23.13 - Staff Report - Fences at 127 Galt Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18703.pdf>)

TE23.13 - Attachment - Fences at 127 Galt Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18784.pdf>)

TE23.14	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 32
---------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

### Request for a Fence Exemption to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447-Fences at 57 Belleville Street

#### Origin

(January 19, 2009) Report from the Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards Division, Toronto and East York District

#### Recommendations

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that the Toronto and East York Community Council not grant the fence exemption.

#### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Toronto and East York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The applicant, being the property owner is seeking exemption to the provisions of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 Fences, Section 447-2(B), to permit the existing wooden fence, in the rear yard, to exceed the maximum allowable height. The Fence By-law provides that a fence in the rear yard area can be constructed to a height of 2 metres.

The existing wooden fence is located in the rear yard and adjoins the deck. The fence is 2.46 metres in height and 4.57 metres and does not comply with the by-law.

The wooden fence was constructed beside the rear yard deck and while the visual impact of the fence is 1.9 metres above the surface of the deck as shown in Attachment 1, the actual height above grade is 2.46 metres when viewed from the other side, as shown in Attachment 2

#### Financial Impact

There is no financial impact anticipated in this report.

### Background Information

TE23.14 - Staff Report - Fences at 57 Belleville Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18704.pdf>)

TE23.14 - Attachment 1 - Fences at 57 Belleville Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18785.pdf>)

TE23.14 - Attachment 2 - Fences at 57 Belleville Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18786.pdf>)

<b>TE23.15</b>	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: 32
----------------	--------	----------	-----------	----------

## **Request for a Fence Exemption to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447-Fences at 2 Minto Street**

### **Origin**

(January 19, 2009) Report from the Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards Division, Toronto and East York District

### **Recommendations**

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that the Toronto and East York Community Council not grant the fence exemption.

### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter for which the Toronto and East York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The applicant, being the property owner is seeking exemption to the provisions of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 447 Fences, Section 447-2(B), to permit an existing fence under construction, in the front yard, to exceed the maximum allowable height. The Fence By-law provides that a fence in the front yard area can be constructed to a height of 1.2 metres.

The fence currently under construction when completed will consist of 3 panels of bamboo set in metal frames that slide on an overhead track along the south boundary line. Currently one panel has been installed. See Attachment 1.

The fence inclusive of the 2 metal end posts and a metal overhead rail on which the panels are suspended is not in compliance with the by-law. The panels are 1.9 metres high and the top rail of the metal structure is 3.66 metres high and 7.63 metres in length.

### **Financial Impact**

There is no financial impact anticipated in this report.

### **Background Information**

TE23.15 - Staff Report - Fences at 2 Minto Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18705.pdf>)

TE23.15 - Attachment 1 - Fences at 2 Minto Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18788.pdf>)

**(Deferred from January 13, 2009 - 2009.TE.22.49 for Public Presentation and Debate)**

<b>TE23.16</b>	ACTION	10:00 AM	Delegated	Ward: All
----------------	--------	----------	-----------	-----------

**Delegation of By-law Exemptions for Art Murals and Natural Gardens**

**Origin**

(December 16, 2008) Report from Manager, Investigative Services, Municipal Licensing and Standards Division, Toronto and East York District

**Summary**

The Municipal Licensing and Standards Division, in consultation with the City Manager's Office, the Clean and Beautiful Secretariat and Legal Services Division, will review the delegation to Community Councils with respect to art mural exemptions under Municipal Code Chapter 484, Graffiti and natural garden exemptions under Municipal Code Chapter 489, Grass and Weeds, with a view to evaluating these matters for further delegation to City officers. Staff will report to Executive Committee in 2009 with respect to the delegation of art mural exemptions and natural garden exemptions from Community Council to City officers as this has City-wide implication and affects all four Community Councils.

This report was prepared in consultation with the City Manager's Office and the Clean and Beautiful Secretariat.

**Background Information**

TE23.16 - Staff Report - Art Murals and Natural Gardens  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18707.pdf>

<b>TE23.17</b>	ACTION			Ward: 21
----------------	--------	--	--	----------

**Preliminary Report - 740 Eglinton Avenue West - Official Plan Amendment, Draft Plan of Condominium and Rental Housing Conversion Applications**

**Origin**

(January 15, 2009) Report from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor.

2. Staff Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to tenants and co-owners of the subject building, and landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site.
3. Notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

### Summary

The proposal is to permit the conversion of a 58-unit residential co-ownership building to condominium at 740 Eglinton Avenue West. No new construction is proposed. This conversion proposal requires applications to amend the Official Plan, for Draft Plan of Condominium approval, and for Rental Housing Conversion approval under Section 111 of the City of Toronto Act.

This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted applications and seeks Community Council's direction on further processing of the applications and on the community consultation process.

Planning staff circulated the applications to other City departments for review and comment. With Council's direction staff may host a community consultation meeting in March, 2009.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### Background Information

TE23.17 - Staff Report - 740 Eglinton Avenue West  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18708.pdf>

TE23.18	ACTION			Ward: 22
---------	--------	--	--	----------

### Preliminary Report - 85-117 Eglinton Avenue East and 79 Dunfield Avenue - Rezoning Application

#### Origin

(January 20, 2009) Report from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

#### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor.
2. Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within an area of 120 metres around the site including tenants of rental apartment buildings.

3. Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

### Summary

This application was made after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

This application proposes the construction of two 21-storey condominium towers above an 8-storey podium for a combined height of 29-storeys. The Eglinton Avenue East frontage would incorporate 2-storey retail space for the length of the block. A maximum of 584 residential units are proposed. A six-level underground garage would provide 738 parking spaces for the residents and their visitors and for the retail uses at 85 – 117 Eglinton Avenue East and 79 Dunfield Avenue.

This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process.

A community consultation meeting will be held in the neighbourhood in February or early March of 2009. A statutory public meeting is targeted for the summer of 2009. This target date assumes that the applicant will provide all required information in a timely manner.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### Background Information

TE23.18 - Staff Report - 85-117 Eglinton Avenue East and 79 Dunfield Avenue  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18710.pdf>

TE23.19	ACTION			Ward: 27
---------	--------	--	--	----------

### Preliminary Report - 21 Avenue Road - Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning

#### Origin

(January 19, 2009) Report from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

#### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council advise the applicant that the application in its current form is not supportable for the reasons outlined in this report.

2. Toronto and East York Community Council request the applicant to revise their proposal in accordance with the urban design parameters discussed in this report and outlined in Attachment No. 7.
3. Upon receipt of confirmation from the applicant that they intend to consider alternative design proposals in accordance with the urban design parameters, staff be directed to schedule a Public Open House together with the Ward Councillor.

### Summary

This application proposes to demolish the existing Four Seasons Hotel and construct in its place a two-tower residential condominium positioned on a 3-storey retail podium. On the southern portion of the site the proposal includes a 48-storey, 170m (178.5m to top of mechanical) residential tower inclusive of the 3-storey podium and on the northern portion a 44-storey, 157m (166m to top of mechanical) residential tower.

This report concludes that the proposed development in its current form is not supportable. It considerably exceeds the height and scale of buildings contemplated in the Official Plan, Zoning By-law or Urban Design Guidelines for this part of the Bloor - Yorkville area. The proposal does not adequately limit shadows on the adjacent lower scale neighbourhoods and Areas of Special Identity. The proposal fails to demonstrate consistency with the Provincial Policy Statement objective of conserving significant cultural heritage resources and landscapes.

However, staff recommend that the applicant be invited to submit a revised proposal at a significantly lower height that meets urban design parameters set out in this report.

This report also sets out additional study and analysis that will need to be completed before determining the scope of an appropriate development on the subject site.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### Background Information

TE23.19 - Staff Report - 21 Avenue Road

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrrd/backgroundfile-18711.pdf>

TE23.20	ACTION			Ward: 28
---------	--------	--	--	----------

### Preliminary Report - 47-51 River Street - Official Plan Amendment

#### Origin

(January 19, 2009) Report from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

#### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor.
2. Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site.
3. Notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

### Summary

This application was made on or after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

This application proposes the addition of 47 River Street and 7 townhouse units to the previously approved 30 unit townhouse development at 49-51 River Street. The result would be a development consisting of 37 three-storey freehold townhouse units in 8 buildings.

This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process.

The next step is to undertake a community consultation meeting in March 2009, to enable the public to review the applicant's submission and ask questions of City staff and the applicant.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### Background Information

TE23.20 - Staff Report - 47 - 51 River Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18712.pdf>)

TE23.21	ACTION			Ward: 29
---------	--------	--	--	----------

### Preliminary Report - 2 O'Connor Drive, 2, 6, and 12 Fernwood Gardens - Official Plan Amendment and Rezoning Applications

#### Origin

(January 14, 2009) Report from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

#### Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor.

2. Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site.
3. Notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

### Summary

This application was made on or after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

This application proposes to develop the site at 2 O'Connor Drive, 2, 6, and 12 Fernwood Gardens with a 4-storey, 65-unit, residential-care and assisted living facility. The Ina Grafton Gage Home, located at 2 O'Connor Drive, will be preserved and incorporated into the development proposal.

A Rental Housing Demolition and Conversion application under Section 111 of the City of Toronto Act (Chapter 667 of the Municipal Code) is required before the demolition of three, 2½-storey rental apartment buildings containing a total of 36 units can be considered. The units may not be demolished unless the City approves the application. An application for Rental Housing Demolition and Conversion has been submitted to the City and is being reviewed concurrently with the Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment applications.

Staff anticipate scheduling a community consultation meeting in early spring 2009, and the Statutory Public Meeting in late 2009 or early 2010. The target dates assume that applicant will provide all required information in a timely manner.

This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted applications and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the applications and on the community consultation process.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### Background Information

TE23.21 - Staff Report - 2 O'Connor Drive, 2, 6 and 12 Fernwood Gardens  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18713.pdf>

TE23.22	ACTION			Ward: 27
---------	--------	--	--	----------

### Preliminary Report - 2 Secord Avenue and 90 Eastdale Avenue - Official Plan Amendment

### Origin

(January 20, 2009) Report from the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

The City Planning Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council request that the applicant identify, in writing to the Director, Community Planning – Toronto and East York District, which development scenario they wish to formally submit to the City for review and processing.
2. Toronto and East York Community Council request the applicant to revise their application to address the comments contained in this report, and the Zoning By-law Amendment Preliminary Report dated June 17, 2008, and further work with City staff to determine the appropriate level of new development on this site.
3. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the Director, Community Planning – Toronto and East York District, upon receipt of a revised application in accordance with Recommendations 1 and 2, to bring forward subsequent Preliminary Report which will outline the recommended community consultation and scheduling of a Public Meeting under the Planning Act.

## Summary

This application was made on or after January 1, 2007 and is subject to the new provisions of the Planning Act and the City of Toronto Act, 2006.

This application proposes to demolish nine townhouse blocks, containing a total of fifty-two, 3-bedroom rental townhouses at 2 Secord Avenue and 90 Eastdale Avenue, in order to permit the construction of a 30-storey residential tower, a 5- and 8-storey residential building, and sixty, 3-bedroom rental townhouse dwelling units. The applicant proposes to retain the existing 22 and 24-storey apartment buildings at 2 Secord Avenue and 90 Eastdale Avenue. The existing and proposed buildings would be connected below grade by two levels of parking.

This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process.

A Rental Housing Demolition and Conversion application under Section 111 of the City of Toronto Act (Chapter 667 of the Municipal Code) must be submitted before the demolition and replacement of the nine townhouse blocks can be considered. The townhouse blocks contain a total of 52, 3-bedroom affordable rental townhouses at 2 Secord Avenue and 90 Eastdale Avenue. To date, an application for Rental Housing Demolition and Conversion has not been submitted to the City. The units may not be demolished unless the City approves the application.

This report provides preliminary information on the above-noted application and seeks Community Council's directions on further processing of the application.

## Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

**Background Information**

TE23.22 - Staff Report - 2 Secord Avenue and 90 Eastdale Avenue  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18714.pdf>

TE23.23	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 14
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

**Parkdale Pilot Project - Suspension of Applications****Origin**

(January 20, 2009) Report from the Director, Investigation Services, Municipal Licensing and Standards Division, Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

Municipal Licensing and Standards recommends that:

1. No additional applications be accepted for processing through the Parkdale Pilot Project.
2. Staff report to the Toronto and East York Community Council on the outcome of the evaluation of the Parkdale Pilot Project, together with recommendations for next steps in the fourth quarter 2009.

**Summary**

This staff report is about a matter for which the community council had delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

In October, 1999, Toronto Community Council considered a report entitled, "Parkdale Conflict Resolution Process".

A mandate for a pilot project was developed based upon on the outcomes of the Conflict Resolution Process. The Parkdale Pilot Project (Project) was implemented in 2000.

The mandate of the Project and criteria adopted require an evaluation to determine their effectiveness and applicability on a go-forward basis. The University of Toronto is currently undertaking an evaluation of the Parkdale Pilot Project, which review is scheduled to be completed by mid-2009.

It is recommended that the City suspend the receipt of new applications pending the completion of the evaluation and a decision on the future of the Project.

In the interim, if an owner wishes to submit an application, it would be submitted as a rezoning application under Section 34 of the Planning Act, which would be reviewed on its own merits.

This report was prepared in consultation with City Planning and the Ward Councillor.

### Financial Impact

This report will have no financial impact beyond what has already been approved in the current year's budget.

### Background Information

TE23.23 - Staff Report - Parkdale Pilot Project

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18715.pdf>

**(Deferred from November 18, 2008 - 2008.TE.20.38)**

TE23.24	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 20
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### Sign Variance - 105 Spadina Avenue

#### Origin

(October 1, 2008) Report from Acting Director, Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District

#### Recommendations

The Toronto Building Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the request for variances to remove two existing ground signs located along east and south frontage of the property and one existing double-sided pedestal sign and to replace it with a newly designed illuminated single-sided tri-vision ground sign for third party advertising purposes at 105 Spadina Avenue, on condition that energy efficient lights be used.
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

#### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Lorne Persiko on behalf of Toronto Parking Authority for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to remove two existing ground signs located along east and south lot lines and one existing double-sided pedestal sign and to replace it with a newly designed illuminated single-sided tri-vision ground sign for third party advertising purposes at 105 Spadina Ave.

Staff recommends approval of the application. The variances are minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

## Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

## Background Information

TE23.24 - Staff Report - 105 Spadina Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18716.pdf>)

## Communications

(November 12, 2008) e-mail from Gwyn Thomas, President, Toronto Parking Authority (TE.Main.TE23.24.1)

(November 17, 2008) e-mail from Jeffrey Luscombe (TE.Main.TE23.24.2)

(November 17, 2008) e-mail from Emily Tu (TE.Main.TE23.24.3)

(November 17, 2008) e-mail from Sara Lipson (TE.Main.TE23.24.4)

(November 17, 2008) e-mail from Ashlee Cooper (TE.Main.TE23.24.5)

TE23.25	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 22
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Sign Variance - 30 St. Clair Avenue West

### Origin

(January 12, 2009) Report from the Director, Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The Toronto Building Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the request for variances to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia sign in the form of a corporate name and logo to represent “Equitable Trust ” at the top floor level, on the west projection of the front wall of the building, on condition that energy efficient lights be used.
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

To review and make recommendations on a request by Svetlana Levant with Permit World on behalf of Equitable Trust for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia sign in the form of a corporate name and logo to identify “Equitable Trust” at the top floor level, on the west elevation of the building at 30 St. Clair Avenue West.

Staff recommends approval of the application. The variances are minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### Background Information

TE23.25 - Staff Report - 30 St. Clair Avenue West

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18717.pdf>)

TE23.26	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 22
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### Sign Variance - 2180 Yonge Street

#### Origin

(January 9, 2009) Report from the Director, Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District

#### Recommendations

The Toronto Building Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the requested variance to permit, for identification purposes, replacement of an existing fascia sign “TVOntario” with a non-illuminated fascia sign “tvo-makes you think” at the second floor level, on the east elevation of a parking garage in the building at 2180 Yonge Street.
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

#### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Denis Richard of Steel Art Signs, on behalf of Northam Realty for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, replacement of an existing fascia sign “TVOntario” with a non-illuminated fascia sign “TVO-makes you think” at the second floor level, on the east elevation of a parking garage in the building at 2180 Yonge Street.

Staff recommends approval of the application. The requested variance is minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

## Background Information

TE23.26 - Staff Report - 2180 Yonge Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18718.pdf>)

TE23.27	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 27
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Sign Variance - 2 Bloor Street East

### Origin

(January 6, 2009) Report from the Director, Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The Toronto Building Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the request for a variances to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated canopy sign in the form of individual letters to represent “Jack Astor’s Bar and Grill”, one backlit fascia sign “Jack Astor’s Bar and Grill” on a decorative wall to the north side of the main entrance, one fascia sign “Jack Astor’s Bar and Grill” etched onto the patio railing glass and one fascia sign in the form of a menu board to be located on the side decorative wall by the main staircase at 2 Bloor Street East.
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

To review and make recommendations on a request by Svetlana Levant of Permit World on behalf of Brookfield Properties Corporation for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated canopy sign in the form of individual letters to represent “Jack Astor’s Bar and Grill”, one backlit fascia sign “Jack Astor’s Bar and Grill” on a decorative wall to the north side of the main entrance, one fascia sign “Jack Astor’s Bar and Grill” etched onto the patio railing glass and one fascia sign in the form of a menu board to be located on the side decorative wall by the main staircase (see attached graphics) at 2 Bloor Street East.

Staff recommends approval of the application. The variances are minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

## Background Information

TE23.27 - Staff Report - 2 Bloor Street East

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18721.pdf>)

TE23.28	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 27
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Sign Variance - 350 Victoria Street

### Origin

(January 6, 2009) Report from the Director, Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The Toronto Building Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council to permit, for identification purposes, two illuminated fascia signs in the form of a corporate name and a logo to represent “RU” and “Ryerson” at the top floor level, on the east elevation of the building and one illuminated fascia sign in the form of a corporate name to represent “Ryerson University”, at the top floor level, on the west elevation of the building at 350 Victoria Street on condition that energy efficient lights be used.
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

To review and make recommendations on a request by Dominic Magnone of Ryerson University for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, two illuminated fascia signs in the form of a corporate name and a logo to represent “RU” and “Ryerson” at the top floor level, on the east elevation of the building and one illuminated fascia sign in the form of a corporate name to represent “Ryerson University”, at the top floor level, on the west elevation of the building at 350 Victoria Street. The building forms a part of the Ryerson University Campus. The proposed signs are part of an integrated and unified signage program for the Ryerson University Campus buildings.

Staff recommends approval of the application. The variances are minor and within the general intent and purpose of the sign provision of the Municipal Code.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

## Background Information

TE23.28 - Staff Report - 350 Victoria Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18722.pdf>)

TE23.29	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 28
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Sign Variance - 333 Bay Street

### Origin

(January 6, 2009) Report from the Director, Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The Toronto Building Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the requested variances to permit, for identification purposes, four illuminated fascia signs, in the form of a corporate name and logo to identify “KPMG” at the top floor level, on the north, east, south and west elevations of the mechanical penthouse of the building at 333 Bay Street, on condition that energy efficient lights be used, and
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

To review and make recommendations on a request by Paul Kenny with ID Inc. on behalf of Brookfield Properties / KPMG for approval of variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, four illuminated fascia signs, in the form of a corporate name and logo to identify “KPMG” at the top floor level, on the north, east, south and west elevations of the mechanical penthouse of the building at 333 Bay Street.

Staff recommends approval of the application. The requested variances are minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

## Background Information

TE23.29 - Staff Report - 333 Bay Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18723.pdf>)

TE23.30	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 28
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Sign Variance - 145 Richmond Street West

### Origin

(January 9, 2009) Report from the Director, Toronto Building, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

The Toronto Building Division recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the request for a variance to permit, for identification purposes, replacement of two existing awning signs with two illuminated fascia signs to represent Ruth's Chris Steak House on the north and west elevations of the building at 145 Richmond Street West.
2. Toronto and East York Community Council direct the City Clerk's Office to advise the applicant, upon approval of a variance, of the requirement to obtain the necessary sign permits from the Chief Building Official.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

This report reviews and makes recommendations on a request by Dominic Rotundo of Pattison Sign Group on behalf of Ruth's Chris Steak House for approval of a variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, replacement of two existing awning signs with two illuminated fascia signs to represent Ruth's Chris Steak House on the north and west elevations of the building at 145 Richmond Street West.

Staff recommends approval of the application. The variance is minor and within the general intent and purpose of the Municipal Code.

### Financial Impact

The recommendations in this report have no financial impact.

### Background Information

TE23.30 - Staff Report - 145 Richmond Street West

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18724.pdf>)

TE23.31	ACTION			Ward: 19
---------	--------	--	--	----------

## **Proposed Permanent Closure and Sale of the Portion of the Public Lane at the Rear of 860-862 Richmond Street West**

### **Origin**

(January 19, 2009) Report from the General Manager, Transportation Services and Chief Corporate Officer

### **Recommendations**

The General Manager, Transportation Services and the Chief Corporate Officer recommend that:

1. City Council permanently close the portion of the public lane, shown as Part 1 on the attached Sketch No. PS-2008-105 (the “Lane”), subject to compliance with the requirements of City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 162.
2. City Council direct Transportation Services staff to give notice to the public of a proposed by-law to close the Lane, in accordance with the requirements of City of Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 162, with the Toronto and East York Community Council to hear any member of the public who wishes to speak to the matter during consideration of the proposed by-law.
3. City Council direct Transportation Services staff to advise the public of the proposed closure of the Lane prior to implementation, in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment for Schedule “A<sup>+</sup>” activities, by posting notice of the proposed closure on the notices page of the City’s Web site for at least five working days prior to the Toronto and East York Community Council meeting at which the proposed by-law to close the Lane will be considered.
4. City Council authorize the City to accept the Offer to Purchase from the adjoining owner, King Lofts Toronto I Ltd. (the “Purchaser”), to purchase the Lane, in the amount of \$106,000.00, substantially on the terms outlined in Appendix “A” to this report.
5. City Council authorize each of the Chief Corporate Officer and the Director of Real Estate Services severally to accept the Offer to Purchase on behalf of the City.
6. City Council grant authority to direct a portion of the proceeds of the closing to fund the outstanding expenses related to the Lane and the completion of the sale transaction.
7. City Council authorize the City Solicitor to complete the transaction on behalf of the City, including paying any necessary expenses, amending the closing, due diligence and other dates, and amending and waiving terms and conditions, on such terms as she considers reasonable.

## Summary

The General Manager, Transportation Services and the Chief Corporate Officer recommend that the portion of the public lane at the rear of 860-862 Richmond Street West be permanently closed, and that the City accept the Offer to Purchase the said portion of the lane from the adjoining land owner, King Lofts Toronto I Ltd., substantially on the terms and conditions outlined in Appendix “A” to this report.

The terms for completing the transaction, as set out herein, are considered to be fair, reasonable and reflective of market value.

## Financial Impact

The closing of the Lane will not result in any costs to the City as the Purchaser is required to pay all costs associated with the closing of the Lane as part of the sale transaction. The City anticipates revenue in the amount of \$106,000.00, (net of GST), less closing costs and any appropriate adjustments from this sale.

The Acting Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

## Background Information

TE23.31 - Staff Report - Public Lane at Rear of 860-862 Richmond Street West  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18725.pdf>)

TE23.31 - Appendix A - Public Lane at Rear of 860-862 Richmond Street West  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18726.pdf>)

TE23.31 - Appendix B - Public Lane at Rear of 860-862 Richmond Street West  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18727.pdf>)

TE23.32	ACTION			Ward: 19, 20
---------	--------	--	--	--------------

## Mid-block Pedestrian Traffic Control Signal - Bathurst Street, North of Niagara Street

### Origin

(January 16, 2009) Report from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. City Council approve the installation of a mid-block pedestrian traffic control signal on Bathurst Street, at a point approximately 37 metres north of Niagara Street.

### Summary

Transportation Services is requesting authority from City Council to install a mid-block pedestrian traffic control signal on Bathurst Street, between Wellington Street West and Niagara Street.

The installation of a mid-block pedestrian traffic control signal on Bathurst Street, between Wellington Street and Niagara Street will provide a safe and effective crossing area for pedestrians wishing to cross Bathurst Street in the Wellington/Niagara neighbourhood and is recommended.

### Financial Impact

The estimated cost of installing a pedestrian traffic control signal on Bathurst Street, between Wellington Street West and Niagara Street is about \$110,000. Funds in the amount of \$2,450,000 have been allocated in the 2009 Transportation Services Capital Budget for installation of traffic control signals. This work would be subject to competing priorities and available funding.

### Background Information

TE23.32 - Staff Report - Pedestrian Traffic Control Signal - Bathurst Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18728.pdf>)

TE23.32 - Attachment 1 - Drawing No. 421F-9531

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18729.pdf>)

TE23.33	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 28
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### Traffic Control Signals and Road Alteration - Eastern Avenue and Trinity Street

#### Origin

(January 21, 2009) Report from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

#### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Approve the installation of traffic control signals at the intersection of Eastern Avenue and Trinity Street.
2. Contingent upon approval of the traffic control signals in Recommendation 1, prohibit eastbound right turns at all times from Eastern Avenue to Trinity Street.
3. Contingent upon approval of the traffic control signals in Recommendation 1, approve the installation of all-way stop control at the intersection of Front Street East and Trinity Street.
4. Contingent upon approval of the traffic control signals in Recommendation 1, approve the removal of the 10-metre-long median island on Eastern Avenue, 4 metres east of Trinity Street, as depicted in the attached Drawing No. 421F-9532, dated January 2009.

#### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Toronto and East York Community Council has

delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services is requesting Toronto and East York Community Council's approval to install traffic signals on Eastern Avenue at Trinity Street; prohibit eastbound right turns from Eastern Avenue to Trinity Street; introduce all-way stop control at the Front Street East and Trinity Street intersection and remove a 10-metre-long median island on Eastern Avenue, east of Trinity Street.

Traffic control signals should be installed at the intersection of Eastern Avenue and Trinity Street to provide safe and efficient access for pedestrians, cyclists and motorists wishing to cross/access Eastern Avenue. The combination of the removal of the median island and introduction of an eastbound right turn prohibition from Eastern Avenue to Trinity Street and all-way stop control at Front Street East and Trinity Street will increase the operational safety of these intersections. There will be some minor loss of on-street parking on Trinity Street resulting from the traffic control signal installation.

### Financial Impact

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Subject to availability within Capital Budget	Transportation Services Capital Program under Project No. 709-01	\$175,000.00

It is noted that the estimated total cost of installing traffic control signals, signage and removal of the median island at the Eastern Avenue/Trinity Street intersection is \$175,000.00.

Funds associated with the installation of new traffic control signals are approved in the Transportation Services Capital Programme under Project No.709-01. In 2009, \$2.45 million has been allocated for new traffic control signal installations, most of which has been allocated for signal installations already approved by City Council. In addition, the added annual maintenance cost associated with such installations is \$6,000.00, which includes all communications costs. This installation would be subject to available funding and competing priorities.

### Background Information

TE23.33 - Staff Report - Eastern Avenue and Trinity Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18730.pdf>)

TE23.33 - Drawing No. 421F-9532 - Eastern Avenue and Trinity Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18731.pdf>)

TE23.33 - Drawing No. 421F-9544 - Eastern Avenue and Trinity Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18732.pdf>)

(Deferred from January 13, 2009 - 2009.TE.22.39)

TE23.34	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 22
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## All-way "Stop" Sign Control - Forest Hill Road and Larratt Street

### Origin

(December 12, 2008) Report from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Not authorize the installation of "Stop" signs on Forest Hill Road at Larratt Street.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has investigated and been requested to report to Toronto and East York Community Council by Councillor Michael Walker on implementing all-way "Stop" sign control at the intersection of Forest Hill Road and Larratt Street.

Staff's investigation indicates that the intersection of Forest Hill Road and Larratt Street does not satisfy Council's adopted criteria for the installation of all-way "Stop" sign control. Therefore, installation of "Stop" signs on Forest Hill Road at Larratt Street, to create all-way stop control is not recommended.

### Financial Impact

There are no funding implications resulting from the adoption of the foregoing recommendation. If Toronto and East York Community Council approves the installation of "Stop" signs on Forest Hill Road at Larratt Street, the estimated cost of \$500.00 can be accommodated in the Transportation Services Division Interim 2009 Operating Budget.

### Background Information

TE23.34 - Staff Report - Forest Hill Road and Larratt Street  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18734.pdf>

TE23.35	ACTION			Ward: 19
---------	--------	--	--	----------

## Student Pick-Up/Drop-Off Zone - Ossington Avenue

### Origin

(January 20, 2009) Report from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that:

1. City Council rescind the parking regulations on the west side of Ossington Avenue outlined in Appendix “A”, attached to this report.
2. City Council approve implementation of the parking regulations on the west side of Ossington Avenue outlined in Appendix “B”, as attached to this report to amend the hours of operation and extend the existing student pick-up/drop-off zone serving Ossington Old Orchard Junior Public School.

### Summary

Transportation Services is seeking City Council authority to amend the parking regulations (as outlined in Appendix “A” and “B”, attached to this report), for the student pick-up/drop-off zone on the west side of Ossington Avenue, fronting Ossington Old Orchard Junior Public School, located at No. 380.

Extending the student pick-up/drop-off zone on the west side of Ossington Avenue will result in an additional 5 parking spaces for parents picking-up or dropping-off their children at Ossington Old Orchard Junior Public School. In addition, amending the hours of operation to include the noon and evening periods of the day will provide short-term parking opportunities for parents/guardians to accompany children to and from this school.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Division Interim 2009 Operating Budget	\$500.00

### Background Information

TE23.35 - Staff Report - Ossington Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18735.pdf>)

TE23.35 - Appendix A - Ossington Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18736.pdf>)

TE23.35 - Appendix B - Ossington Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18737.pdf>)

TE23.35 - Drawing No. 421F-9543 - Ossington Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18738.pdf>)

(Deferred from September 9, 2008 - 2008.TE18.79 and October 7, 2008 - 2008.TE19.29 and November 18, 2008 - 2008.TE20.63)

TE23.36	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 22
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Rescind Alternate Side Parking – Hillsdale Avenue East

### Origin

(July 31, 2008) Report from Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Rescind the alternate side parking regulations and allow parking only on the north side on Hillsdale Avenue East, between Mount Pleasant Road and Forman Avenue.
2. Amend the parking regulations as outlined in Appendix A – Regulatory Changes, to implement the parking arrangement indicated in Recommendation 1.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services is seeking authority to rescind the existing alternate side parking regulations and allow parking to operate exclusively on the north side on Hillsdale Avenue East, between Mount Pleasant Road and Forman Avenue. Although rescinding the alternate side parking regulations will impact street cleaning, additional parking spaces will be available year-round.

### Financial Impact

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Division 2008 Operating Budget.	\$800.00

### Background Information

TE23.36 - Staff Report - Hillsdale Avenue East

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18739.pdf>)

TE23.36 - Hillsdale Avenue East - Appendix A

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18740.pdf>)

TE23.36 - Hillsdale Avenue East - Drawing No. 421F-9363

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18741.pdf>)

TE23.36 - Hillsdale Avenue East - Drawing No. 421F-9364

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18742.pdf>)

TE23.36 - Hillsdale Avenue East - Excerpt from TE18.79 Minutes

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18743.pdf>)

## Communications

- (September 29, 2008) e-mail from Louise Smith (TE.Main.TE23.36.1)
- (October 2, 2008) e-mail from Angela Sakellariou (TE.Main.TE23.36.2)
- (October 5, 2008) e-mail from Mila Bozic (TE.Main.TE23.36.3)
- (October 6, 2008) e-mail from Patty Tse (TE.Main.TE23.36.4)
- (October 6, 2008) e-mail from Andrew Cribb (TE.Main.TE23.36.5)
- (October 6, 2008) e-mail from James J. Shanks, Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP (TE.Main.TE23.36.6)
- (October 7, 2008) e-mail from April Kawaguchi, National Account Executive Marketing Ventures (TE.Main.TE23.36.7)
- (October 7, 2008) e-mail from Alex Brown, IT Project Manager, Enterprise IT Canadian Tire Corporation (TE.Main.TE23.36.8)
- (October 6, 2008) e-mail from Martha Sheppard (TE.Main.TE23.36.9)

<b>TE23.37</b>	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 18
----------------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Parking Changes to Facilitate Street Cleaning - Ward 18

### Origin

(January 22, 2009) Report from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Rescind the parking regulations outlined in Appendix “A”, attached to this report, on Abell Street, Awde Street, Heydon Park Road, Lindsey Avenue, Sudbury Street, Wallace Avenue and Ward Street.
2. Approve implementation of the parking regulations outlined in Appendix “B”, attached to this report to establish alternate side parking, on Abell Street, Awde Street, Heydon Park Road, Lindsey Avenue, Sudbury Street, Wallace Avenue and Ward Street.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services is requesting approval from Toronto and East York Community Council to amend the various parking regulations outlined in Appendices “A” and “B”, attached to this report, on Abell Street, Awde Street, Heydon Park Road, Lindsey Avenue, Sudbury Street, Wallace Avenue and Ward Street. Based on technical evaluation, these changes will introduce either typical bi-monthly or modified Thursday only alternate side parking regulations from April 1 to November 30 on each street.

These changes are in keeping with the City’s Clean and Beautiful City initiative to enable regular cleaning of both sides of the street by mechanical street sweepers.

## Financial Impact

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Division Interim 2009 Operating Budget.	\$2,000.00

## Background Information

TE23.37 - Staff Report - Parking Changes to Facilitate Street Cleaning  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18744.pdf>

TE23.37 - Appendix A - Parking Changes to Facilitate Street Cleaning  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18745.pdf>

TE23.37 - Appendix B - Parking Changes to Facilitate Street Cleaning  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18746.pdf>

TE23.37 - Drawing No. 421F-9527  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18747.pdf>

TE23.37 - Drawing No. 421F-9528  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18748.pdf>

TE23.38	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 31
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Parking Amendments - Sammon Avenue, Between Coxwell Avenue and Knight Street

### Origin

(January 19, 2009) Report from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Prohibit stopping at all times, on the north side of Sammon Avenue, from Knight Street to a point 29 metres west of Knight Street.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter for which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services is seeking approval from Toronto and East York Community Council to remove one pay-and-display parking space on the north side of Sammon Avenue west of Knight Street.

The proposed changes to the existing parking controls will allow trucks to manoeuvre in and out of the loading ramp to Toronto East General Hospital without affecting the residential properties across the street.

**Financial Impact**

Type of Funding	Source of Funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Division 2009 Interim Operating Budget.	\$400.00

The Toronto Parking Authority has advised that the removal of one pay-and-display parking space will result in an annual loss in revenue of approximately \$7,000.00.

**Background Information**

TE23.38 - Staff Report - Parking Amendments - Sammon Avenue  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18749.pdf>

TE23.38 - Drawing No 421F-9539 - Sammon Avenue  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18750.pdf>

TE23.39	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 18, 19, 30
---------	--------	--	-----------	------------------

**Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons With Disabilities - February 2009****Origin**

(January 20, 2009) Report from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Approve the installation and removal of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities at the locations identified in the attached Appendix A.

**Summary**

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision. The purpose is to obtain approval for the installation/removal of a number of on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities.

**Financial Impact**

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Division 2009 Interim Operating Budget appropriations	\$3,600.00

**Background Information**

TE23.39 - Staff Report - On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18751.pdf>

TE23.40	ACTION			Ward: 31
---------	--------	--	--	----------

## Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons With Disabilities - Main Street

### Origin

(January 20, 2009) Report from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that City Council:

1. Approve the removal of the on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities located on the east side of Main Street, between a point 131.8 metres south of Lumsden Avenue and a point 137.6 metres south of Lumsden Avenue, in effect at all times during the months of January, March, May, July, September and November, and on the west side of Main Street, between a point 126 metres south of Lumsden Avenue and a point 131.8 metres south of Lumsden Avenue, in effect at all times during the months of February, April, June, August, October and December.

### Summary

The purpose of this report is to obtain approval for the removal of two on-street parking spaces for persons with disabilities on Main Street, south of Lumsden Avenue.

### Financial Impact

Type of funding	Source of funding	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Division 2009 Interim Operating Budget appropriations.	\$600.00

### Background Information

TE23.40 - Staff Report - Main Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrrd/backgroundfile-18752.pdf>)

TE23.41	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 19
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Traffic Calming - Grace Street

### Origin

(January 15, 2009) Report from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Not authorize installation of speed humps on Grace Street, between Harbord Street and College Street.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has been delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has investigated installing speed humps on Grace Street, between Harbord Street and College Street, to address residents' concerns with the speed of traffic.

Staff's assessment indicates the criteria as set out in the Traffic Calming Policy has not been met. Therefore, installation of speed humps on Grace Street is not recommended.

### Financial Impact

The adoption of the above-noted recommendation will not result in any financial impact. If, however, Toronto and East York Community Council decides speed humps on Grace Street, between Harbord Street and College Street, would be beneficial, the following financial impact will result:

1. The estimated cost for installing seven speed humps would be \$21,000.00. Funds have been allocated in the Transportation Services 2009 Capital Budget for traffic calming initiatives. Installing speed humps on Grace Street is subject to competing priorities and funding availability.

### Background Information

TE23.41 - Staff Report -Traffic Calming - Grace Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18753.pdf>)

TE23.41 - Appendix A - Grace Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18754.pdf>)

TE23.41 - Drawing No. 421F-9536 - Grace Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18755.pdf>)

TE23.41 - Drawing No. 421F-9537 - Grace Street

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18756.pdf>)

TE23.42	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 28
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Traffic Calming - Proposed Installation of Speed Bumps - Central Hospital Lane

### Origin

(January 20, 2009) Report from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Approve the installation of speed bumps on Central Hospital Lane, the north-south public lane bounded by Sherbourne Street, Carlton Street, Seaton Street and Gerrard Street East, of the type and design noted and at the locations shown on Drawing No. 421F-9533 dated January 2009.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed the feasibility and is recommending the installation of speed bumps on Central Hospital Lane, which is the north-south public lane bounded by Sherbourne Street, Carlton Street, Seaton Street and Gerrard Street East.

### Financial Impact

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within capital budget	Transportation Services Laneways Account No. CTP309-05	\$2,280.00

### Background Information

TE23.42 - Staff Report - Traffic Calming - Central Hospital Lane  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18757.pdf>)

TE23.42 - Drawing No. 421F-9533 - Central Hospital Lane  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18758.pdf>)

<b>TE23.43</b>	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 32
----------------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## **Traffic Calming - Proposed Installation of Speed Bumps - First Public Lane North of Pine Glen Road, East From Pine Crescent**

### **Origin**

(January 21, 2009) Report from the Director, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Approve the installation of speed bumps in the first public laneway north of Pine Glen Road, east from Pine Crescent, of the type and design noted and at the locations shown on Drawing No. 421F-9538 dated January 2009.

### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter which the Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed the feasibility and is recommending the installation of speed bumps in the first public laneway north of Pine Glen Road, east from Pine Crescent.

### **Financial Impact**

<b>Type of funding</b>	<b>Source of funds</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Available within capital budget	Transportation Services Laneways Account No. CTP309-05	\$1,320.00

### **Background Information**

TE23.43 - Staff Report - Traffic Calming - North of Pine Glen Road  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18759.pdf>)

TE23.43 - Drawing No. 421F-9538  
(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18760.pdf>)

**(Deferred from January 13, 2009 - 2009.TE.22.23)**

TE23.44	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 30
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

**Feasibility of Establishing a Separate Permit Parking Area "8J" for the Area Bounded by Logan Avenue, Gerrard Street East and the CNR line (Supplementary Report)**

**Origin**

(January 8, 2009) Report from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

**Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Approve the amendment of Schedule B of Municipal Code Chapter 925 to incorporate a new permit parking area '8J' and a revised area '8B', as attached in Appendices 'A' and 'B' of this report.

**Summary**

To respond to a request from Toronto and East York Community Council to report back to the Community Council, on the feasibility of establishing a permit parking area bounded by Logan Avenue, Gerrard Street East and the CNR line.

**Financial Impact**

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Toronto Transportation Services interim 2009 operating budget	\$150

**Background Information**

TE23.44 - Staff Report - Permit Parking-Logan Avenue  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18761.pdf>

TE23.44 - Appendix A - Permit Parking-Logan Avenue  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18762.pdf>

TE23.44 - Appendix B - Permit Parking-Logan Avenue  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18763.pdf>

<b>TE23.45</b>	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 21
----------------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## **Combine Permit Parking Area 5I within the Boundary of Area 5C**

### **Origin**

(January 19, 2009) Report from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

### **Recommendations**

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Approve the amendment of Schedule B of Municipal Code Chapter 925 to delete Permit Parking Area 5I and revise Area 5C, as attached in Appendix 'A' of this report.

### **Summary**

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services is requesting approval from Toronto and East York Community Council to combine Permit Parking Area 5I within the boundary of Permit Parking Area 5C.

By combining Permit Parking Area 5I within the boundary of Permit Parking Area 5C residents of both permit areas will have the advantage of being able to park on a greater number of streets with their overnight on-street parking permit without the risk of being tagged for illegal parking.

### **Financial Impact**

Type of funding	Source of funds	Amount
Available within current budget	Transportation Services Division Interim 2009 Operating Budget	\$400

### **Background Information**

TE23.45 - Staff Report

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18764.pdf>

TE23.45 - Appendix A - Drawing No. 421F-9546

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18765.pdf>

TE23.45 - Appendix B - Drawing No. 421F-9547

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18766.pdf>

TE23.46	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 30
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Realignment of Permit Parking Area 7E to Exclude 90-100 Broadview Avenue

### Origin

(January 19, 2009) Report from The Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Approve the amendment of Schedule B of Municipal Code Chapter 925 to incorporate a revised map of Permit Parking Area 7E, as attached in Appendix 'A' of this report.

### Summary

To respond to a request from Toronto City Council to report back to the Toronto and East York Community Council on February 9, 2009, on the realignment of Permit Parking Area 7E to exclude the municipal addresses of 90-100 Broadview Avenue.

### Financial Impact

There is no financial impact associated with this report.

### Background Information

TE23.46 - Staff Report - Permit Parking 90-100 Broadview Avenue  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18767.pdf>

TE23.46 - Appendix A - Permit Parking 90-100 Broadview Avenue  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18768.pdf>

TE23.47	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 30
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Cycling Improvements - Suggestions for Improvement of Sharrows - Dundas Street East, from Broadview Avenue to River Street

### Origin

(January 22, 2009) Letter from the Toronto Cycling Advisory Committee

### Recommendations

The Toronto Cycling Advisory Committee:

1. Requests the Toronto and East York Community Council to request the General Manager, Transportation Services Division, to report on:
  - a. the feasibility of reducing the speed limit on Dundas Street East, from Broadview Avenue to River Street to 40 km/h;

- b. the parking regulations on Dundas Street East, from Broadview Avenue to River Street, and the effect such parking regulations have on the safety of cycling in this area;
- c. the feasibility of using durable materials for the painting of sharrows in order to ensure that the sharrows do not fade away on the pavement; and
- d. the feasibility of using coloured paint in the curb lane along the sharrows.

### Summary

Advising that the Toronto Cycling Advisory Committee on January 19, 2009, had before it a communication (November 10, 2008) from Councillor Paula Fletcher, addressed to Councillor A.A.(Adrian) Heaps, Chair, Toronto Cycling Advisory Committee, providing suggestions to bring in cycling improvements along the Dundas Street Bridge over the Don Valley Parkway.

### Background Information

TE23.47 - Letter from Toronto Cycling Advisory Committee - Dundas Street East

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18769.pdf>)

TE23.47 - Attachment - Cycling - Dundas Street East

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18791.pdf>)

TE23.48	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 27
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### Glycol Heating System - 75 Binscarth Road

#### Origin

(January 20, 2009) Report from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

#### Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Approve the maintenance of the glycol heating system within the public right of way fronting 75 Binscarth Road, subject to the property owners entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
  - a. indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
  - b. maintain the glycol heating system at their own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;

- c. obtain approval for associated work on private property from Toronto Building;
  - d. pay for the costs of preparing the Agreement and the registration of the Agreement on title;
  - e. remove the glycol heating system upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so; and
  - f. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City.
2. Direct Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.
  3. Request Legal Services to prepare and execute the Encroachment Agreement.

### Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed a request from the owner of 75 Binscarth Road to maintain a glycol heating system that encroaches within the public right of way fronting 75 Binscarth Road.

As the maintenance of the glycol heating system does not impact negatively on the public right of way, Transportation Services recommends approval of this encroachment.

### Financial Impact

There is no financial impact to the City as a result of this report.

### Background Information

TE23.48 - Staff Report - 75 Binscarth Road

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18771.pdf>)

TE23.48 - Appendix A - 75 Binscarth Road

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18772.pdf>)

TE23.49	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 32
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

### Glycol Heating System - 39 Balsam Avenue

#### Origin

(January 20, 2009) Report from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, Toronto and East York District

## Recommendations

Transportation Services recommends that Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. Approve the maintenance of the glycol heating system within the public right of way fronting 39 Balsam Avenue, subject to the property owners entering into an encroachment agreement with the City of Toronto, agreeing to but not limited to the following:
  - a. indemnify the City from and against all actions, suits, claims or demands and from all loss, costs, damages and expenses that may result from such permission granted;
  - b. maintain the glycol heating system at their own expense in good repair and a condition satisfactory to the General Manager of Transportation Services and will not make any additions or modifications to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;
  - c. obtain approval for associated work on private property from Toronto Building;
  - d. pay for the costs of preparing the Agreement and the registration of the Agreement on title;
  - e. remove the glycol heating system upon receiving 90 days written notice to do so; and
  - f. accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor or the General Manager of Transportation Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City.
2. Direct Legal Services and/or the General Manager of Transportation Services to extend the Encroachment Agreement to the new owner, in the event of sale or transfer of the property abutting the encroachment, subject to the approval of the General Manager of Transportation Services.
3. Request Legal Services to prepare and execute the Encroachment Agreement.

## Summary

This staff report is about a matter which Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has assessed a request from the owner of 39 Balsam Avenue to maintain a glycol heating system that encroaches within the public right of way fronting 39 Balsam Avenue.

As the maintenance of the glycol heating system does not impact negatively on the public right of way, Transportation Services recommends approval of this encroachment.

**Financial Impact**

There is no financial impact to the City as a result of this report.

**Background Information**

TE23.49 - Staff Report - 39 Balsam Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18773.pdf>)

TE23.49 - Appendix A - 39 Balsam Avenue

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18774.pdf>)

TE23.50	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 18, 27, 28, 29, 30
---------	--------	--	-----------	-----------------------------

**Business Improvement Area Boards of Management - Various Additions and Deletions****Origin**

(January 20, 2009) Report from the Director, Business Services, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism

**Recommendations**

The Director of Business Services recommends that:

1. Toronto and East York Community Council approve the additions and deletions to the Bloor Street, Bloor-Yorkville, Downtown Yonge, Dundas West and The Danforth Boards of Management as set out in Attachment No. 1.
2. Schedule A of the Municipal Code Chapter 19, Business Improvement Areas, be amended to reflect the changes to the BIA Boards of Management.

**Summary**

The purpose of this report is to recommend Toronto and East York Community Council approve additions and deletions to the Bloor Street, Bloor-Yorkville, Downtown Yonge, Dundas West and The Danforth BIA Boards of Management. The Toronto and East York Community Council has delegated authority to make final decisions regarding BIA appointments.

**Financial Impact**

There are no financial implications resulting from the adoption of this report.

**Background Information**

TE23.50 - Staff Report - BIA Additions and Deletions

(<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18775.pdf>)

TE23.51	ACTION		Delegated	Ward: 20
---------	--------	--	-----------	----------

## Appointment to the Board of Management of Scadding Court Community Centre

### Origin

(November 25, 2008) Letter from Kevin Lee, Executive Director, Scadding Court Community Centre

### Summary

Advising that the Board of Management of Scadding Court Community Centre at its meeting on October 28, 2008, recommended that the Toronto and East York Community Council:

1. fill a vacancy on the Board of Management to replace Jack B. Siegel who resigned effective September 30, 2008; and
2. appoint Anne Fleming to fill the vacancy for a term of office expiring November 30, 2010, or until her successor is appointed, whose appointment complies with the Public Appointments Policy and the relevant provisions of the Relationship Framework for Board-operated Community Centres.

### Background Information

TE23.51 - Letter - Appointment to Board-Scadding Court Community Centre  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18792.pdf>

TE23.52	ACTION			Ward: 27
---------	--------	--	--	----------

## Request to Rename a Portion of the Dupont Parkettes

### Origin

(January 16, 2009) Letter from Councillor Kyle Rae, Ward 27, Toronto Centre-Rosedale

### Summary

Please find enclosed a copy of a letter that I have received requesting consideration be given to renaming a portion of the Dupont Parkettes at the south/east corner of MacPherson Avenue and Avenue Road to “Concetta Conforzi Parkette.”

The request also comes with a pledge of \$100 000 to the City of Toronto to rename this parkette in honour of Concetta Conforzi.

### Background Information

TE23.52 - Letter - Dupont Parkette Renaming  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18778.pdf>

TE23.52 - Attachment - Dupont Parkette Renaming  
<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18779.pdf>

TE23.53	ACTION			Ward: All
---------	--------	--	--	-----------

## Use of Nathan Phillips Square for Various Events up to July 5, 2009

### Origin

(January 12, 2009) Report from the Chief Corporate Officer

### Recommendations

The Chief Corporate Officer recommends that:

1. Exemption be given to the Fashion Design Council of Canada and the Toronto Downtown Jazz Society to operate a beer garden and to serve wine and hard liquor contingent upon the following conditions:
  - a. approval of the A.G.C.O.;
  - b. approval of the Medical Officer of Health;
  - c. compliance with the City of Toronto's Municipal Alcohol Policy; and
  - d. receipt of all the necessary permits associated with the production of the event i.e., building permit, noise by-law extension permit.
2. Permission be granted for the Fashion Design Council of Canada and the Toronto Downtown Jazz Society to host "ticketed" performances and to solicit donations in support of their organization.
3. Permission be granted to Camp Jumoke, Schizophrenia Society of Ontario and Autism Speaks Canada to solicit donations in support of their organizations.
4. The various events compensate the City of Toronto through the Facilities and Real Estate Division for all the City of Toronto costs associated with the event.
5. The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

### Summary

It is recommended that Toronto and East York Community Council give exemption to the Camp Jumoke's "Turtle Walk", Schizophrenia Society of Ontario's "Walk of Hope for Schizophrenia", Autism Speaks Canada's "Annual Ontario Walk Now for Autism", request to solicit donations and the Fashion Design Council of Canada's "Fashion Week", and the Toronto Downtown Jazz Society's "Toronto Downtown Jazz Festival" request to solicit donations and operate a tented beer/wine garden in a "gated" event on Nathan Phillips Square.

### Financial Impact

There are no financial implications that result from this report.

**Background Information**

TE23.53 - Staff Report - Use of Nathan Phillips Square

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/mmis/2009/te/bgrd/backgroundfile-18777.pdf>

TE23.54	ACTION			
---------	--------	--	--	--

**Events of Municipal Significance for Liquor Licensing Purposes****Summary**

Seeking endorsement of events of municipal significance for Liquor Licensing Purposes.

**Communications**

(January 12, 2009) letter from Natasha Ward, Special Event Coordinator, AIDS Committee of Toronto - respecting the SNAP annual fundraising event to be held on February 26, 2009, at the Edward Day Gallery, 952 Queen Street West. (TE.Main.TE23.54.1)

(January 12, 2009) letter from Natasha Ward, Special Event Coordinator, AIDS Committee of Toronto - respecting the SNAP annual fundraising event to be held on March 1, 2009, at The National Ballet School, 400 Jarvis Street. (TE.Main.TE23.54.2)

(January 19, 2009) letter from Vanja Vasic, Executive Director, respecting the Toronto Alternative Arts & Fashion Week to be held April 21, 22, 23 and 24, 2009, in the Distillery Historic District, 55 Mill Street, Building 6. (TE.Main.TE23.54.3)

(January 15, 2009) letter from Councillor Kyle Rae, Ward 27, Toronto Centre-Rosedale, forwarding a communication from the Bloor-Yorkville BIA, who will be hosting Luminato on June 13, 2009, and Second Harvest's Toronto Taste on June 14, 2009, in the Village of Yorkville Park and on Cumberland Street from Old York Lane to Bellair Street. (TE.Main.TE23.54.4)

(January 26, 2009) fax from Louis Silva, Santa Cruz Church, respecting the Festival of the Holy Spirit to be held on June 27 and 28, 2009. at Santa Cruz Church, 142 Argyle Street. (TE.Main.TE23.54.5)

(January 26, 2009) fax from Louis Silva, Santa Cruz Church, respecting the Festival Our Lady of the Angels, to be held on August 15 and 16, 2009, at the Santa Cruz Church, 142 Argyle Street. (TE.Main.TE23.54.6)

(January 27, 2009) letter from Sam Grosso, President, Cadillac Lounge, Operated by 1403029 Ontario Limited, respecting Canadian Music Week to be held March 12, 13 and 14, 2009, and requesting approval for a liquor licence extension for the Cadillac Lounge at 1296 Queen Street West to sell liquor and serve until 4:00 a.m. on these specific days. (TE.Main.TE23.54.7)

TE23.Bills	ACTION		Delegated	
------------	--------	--	-----------	--

**General Bills****Confirmatory Bills**