BUILD TORONTO

ANNUAL REPORT 2011



MESSAGE FROM THE CHAIR AND PRESIDENT & CEO

BUILD GROWTH VALUE RESULTS

Build Toronto Inc. 200 King Street West Suite 200, Toronto ON, M5H 3T4

BUILD TORONTO

T: 416 981.3889 F: 416 981.3800 www.buildtoronto.ca

Welcome to BUILD TORONTO's Annual Report for 2011. We are extremely pleased to have this opportunity to review the events of last year, and share with you our progress and accomplishments.

This was an outstanding year which saw groundbreaking achievement in the development of BUILD TORONTO. As only our second full year in operation, 2011 was a year in which we "hit our stride" with development projects and activities that will unfold over the years ahead. At the same time, we exceeded all our short term financial targets—enabling the organization to pay a \$20M dividend to our Shareholder a full four years ahead of schedule. We have also begun to gain appreciation for our potential as a real estate investment company, making strategic decisions on behalf of our Shareholder to create value in both dollars and in improvements to our urban environment.

Our focus on City-Building is a particular point of pride for BUILD TORONTO. In assessing our portfolio and the present market conditions, every site is evaluated and considered as a unique entity. We have numerous sites where development plans received Board approval that will see BUILD TORONTO incorporate long-term, visionary priorities such as environmental sustainability, signature urban design and architecture, and neighbourhood regeneration. All of this will be made possible while supporting shorter-term priorities such as job creation, property tax creation, significant new development charges and permitting fees – all for our major shareholder.

The members of our Board of Directors are major supporters and are championing this approach. We invite you to review the progress of our key projects throughout this Report.

In addition to value creation through Development and Investment, in 2011 certain other parcels would be maximized through Value Add land sales. This year BUILD TORONTO invested considerable time, money and expertise to render three sites "development ready". This included environmental work, zoning, financial analysis, marketing, etc. – all Value-Add work that would see BUILD TORONTO achieve excellence in the competitive marketplace. We believe it is critical that the CITY OF TORONTO, like any other private sector investment entity, participate in a buoyant residential real estate market—a key factor that enabled us to pay the City a financial dividend ahead of schedule.

Looking ahead, we see a time when short-term property sales are no longer the most important way to create value for our Shareholder. More balance between value add land sales and sustainable long term legacy cash flow will happen through retention of JV Interests in larger commercial projects. As always, we want to apply our expertise and sound financial management to establish a legacy for the City—a portfolio of high-quality income producing properties that will appreciate in value over time and supply a dependable stream of municipal revenue.

To conclude, we are thrilled with the early results and are even more excited about the year ahead where the City-Building foundations put in place today will come to fruition. We would like to thank our Board of Directors for their support and insight, as well as Council, City staff and other partners with whom we enjoy strong working relationships.

Finally, I would like to thank the dedicated professional men and women who work at BUILD TORONTO who diligently deliver exceptional value and support a vision for City-Building to be shared by all.

Blake Hutcheson

Chair

J. Lorne Braithwaite President & CEO



MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

BUILD GROWTH VALUE RESULTS

GROWTH

In the second full year of operations, Build Toronto continues to acquire assets and has exceeded the expectations that were established in the Annual 2011 Budget. Through a combination of higher Real Estate Inventory sales and lower costs, Build Toronto has generated a positive variance of almost \$20 million in cash over the budgeted amount, allowing it to declare its first dividend to the City of Toronto for \$20 million, four years ahead of its original plan.

For the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for the opening balance sheet at January 1, 2010, Build Toronto has adopted International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) based on Management's evaluation of the criteria applicable to the Company's business and its determination that IFRS is the most appropriate reporting framework. The adoption of IFRS has had a significant impact on the manner in which the financial statements are presented and on the financial amounts reported (See note 4 of the Financial Statements for further information). Build Toronto accounts for "acquired" properties, when accepting transfers of surplus property from the City of Toronto, at their fair value. The properties acquired by year of acquisition are listed at the end of the MD&A.

Build Toronto has acquired a significant number of assets over the past two years, which are initially recorded at fair market value in two main categories: (i) Real Estate Inventory; properties that Build Toronto plans on selling in normal course and (ii) Investment Properties; properties that have been acquired with the objective to holding the asset for a period of time to earn rentals or for capital appreciation or both. Subsequent to initial recognition, Investment Properties are carried at fair value. Real Estate Inventory has increased to \$132.0 million at December 31, 2011, as compared to \$64.2 million at December 31, 2010 and \$49.8 million at the beginning of 2010. At the year ending December 31, 2011, Build had Investment Properties of \$49.7 million versus \$40.4 million at the end of 2010, and \$23.9 million at January 1, 2010. Overall, Build's assets have more than doubled to \$263.0 million at December 31, 2011, from \$107.6 million at January 1, 2010, mainly as a result of the net acquisition of properties.

Liabilities at December 31, 2011 include an accrual for Environmental costs of \$20.0 million, that was recorded upon the acquisition of certain real estate assets for clean-up and demolition costs, the accrual of the first dividend declared and paid subsequent to year end to our shareholder, the City of Toronto in the amount of \$20.0 million and a longer term liability related to Toronto Waterfront Studios (Pinewood) of \$32.8 million.

Although the real estate properties are acquired by Build Toronto on a nominal basis, they are initially recorded at their fair value when they are acquired with the difference between fair value and consideration paid recorded in Contributed Surplus, which is a component of Equity. It is mainly due to these acquisitions that the Equity has grown to \$185.9 million at December 31, 2011 from a January 1, 2010 opening amount of \$73.4 million. When Build Toronto records sales under IFRS, the accounting profit is reduced by the higher cost of sales of these assets that are now initially recorded at fair value, which results in a lower profit from real estate sales than if the sales were recorded at their actual nominal cost to Build Toronto.

Sales of Real Estate Inventory for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$33.1 million, as compared to \$19.0 million for the corresponding period in 2010. Cost of sales was \$22.4 million and \$7.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 respectively, while the accounting profit from these years are \$10.6 million and \$11.2 million respectively. As noted above, since the real estate assets are transferred to Build at nominal value, the cost of sales which are deducted are non-cash items, therefore the cash generated by these sales is the full sales proceeds, as shown on the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flow. This accounting profit demonstrates the true "value add" of the Build Toronto approach by influencing values and using a professional real estate framework to ensure that we leverage our portfolio for the benefit of our shareholder, both financially and through extensive City-Building initiatives within the projects.

Investment Property net property income, which includes the ground lease related to Pinewood Studios, has been consistent at approximately \$.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Net gain from fair value adjustments to investment properties, which is the incremental increase in the value of the investment properties year over year, was \$4.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 versus \$3.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2010. As sales and development activity has increased, so have the general and administrative expenses, to \$7.4 million from \$6.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 respectively.

There were some one-time items recorded for the year ended December 31, 2011 that were not recorded in the prior year, those being the Guarantee fee of \$.2 million and the Net gain on the derecognition of loans receivable and payable of \$1.1 million, as a result of arranging a \$34.5 million facility for Pinewood Studios.

Net Income and total comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 increased to \$8.6 million from \$7.4 million respectively.

To reconcile the Comprehensive income as reported under IFRS to Comprehensive income as would have been reported under previous GAAP, there are a number of adjustments (See note 4 of the Financial Statements for 2010 reconciliation of income under GAAP versus IFRS). The reconciliation would be:

	2011	2010
Comprehensive income as per Audited Financial Statements	\$8,602,769	\$7,410,756
Differences increasing (decreasing) reporting amount:		
Adjustment to cost of sales	22,454,649	7,634,057
Fair value adjustments to investment properties	(4,410,602)	(3,720,253)
Reversal of amortization – investment property	-	(293,135)
Comprehensive income under previous GAAP	\$26,646,816	\$11,031,425

During the year ended December 31, 2011, Build Toronto generated \$22.6 million of cash, versus \$18.6 million for the previous corresponding year. Cash from operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 is \$26.4 million, as noted this reflects an add back to net income of the non-cash cost of sales of \$22.4 million. For the year ended December 31, 2010, cash flow from operations was \$22.6 million, with an add-back to net income of \$7.8 million of non-cash cost of sales. Cash at the end of the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$41.3 million versus \$18.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Build Toronto continues to generate financial returns and "City-Building" initiatives for its shareholder, the City of Toronto. As well, management is continually working to improve processes, both internally and externally, to ensure that we are efficient and effective in the execution of our mandate.

The properties acquired by year of acquisition are:

Prior to Fiscal 2010	During Fiscal 2010	During Fiscal Year 2011	
225 Commissioners (Pinewood)	120 Harbour (10 York)	383 Old Weston Rd.	1978-2000 Lakeshore Blvd
1035 Sheppard Ave (Downsview)	64-70 Cordova	28 Bathurst	60 Atlantic
120 Grangeway	Midland & St. Clair	260 Eighth	10 to 25 Ordnance & 45 Strachan
30 Tippet	75 Billy Bishop	Eglinton at Widdicombe	805 Don Mills & Eglinton
50 Wilson Heights	4050 Yonge	301 Rockcliffe	2 Bicknell
154 Front		4620 Finch Ave. E.	297 Sixth St
150 Symes		4334-4340 Lawrence Ave. E	4650 Eglinton (Kipling & Wincott)



FINANCIALS

BUILD GROWTH VALUE RESULTS

GROWTH

June 5, 2012

Management's responsibility for financial statements

The accompanying consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto have been prepared by, and are the responsibility of, the management of Build Toronto Inc. These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards, using management's best estimates and judgments when appropriate.

The Board of Directors is responsible for ensuring that management fulfills its responsibility for financial reporting and internal control. The Audit Committee, which is comprised of directors and City staff, meets with management as well as the external auditors to satisfy itself that management is properly discharging its financial responsibilities and to review its consolidated financial statements and the report of the auditors. The Audit Committee reports its findings to the Board of Directors, which approves the consolidated financial statements.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the independent auditors, have audited the consolidated financial statements in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. The auditors have full and unrestricted access to the Audit Committee, with or without management present.

J. Lorne Braithwaite

President and Chief Executive Officer

David Fiume

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer



June 5, 2012

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Shareholder of Build Toronto Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Build Toronto Inc., which comprise the consolidated balance sheets as at December 31, 2011, December 31, 2010 and January 1, 2010 and the consolidated statements of comprehensive income, changes in equity and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and the related notes, which comprise a summary of significant accounting policies and other explanatory information.

Management's responsibility for the consolidated financial statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained in our audits is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.



Opinion

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Build Toronto Inc. as at December 31, 2011, December 31, 2010 and January 1, 2010 and its financial performance and its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards.

Chartered Accountants, Licensed Public Accountants

Pricewaterhouse Coopers UP

Consolidated Balance Sheets

Assets	Note	December 31, 2011 \$	December 31, 2010 \$ (note 4)	January 1, 2010 \$ (note 4)
Current assets Real estate inventory Pre-acquisition costs Amounts receivable Prepaid expenses Short-term investments Cash and cash equivalents	6 7 8 8	132,052,448 965,290 952,227 41,164 30,000 41,297,072	64,249,237 554,776 3,637,265 63,202 800,037 18,672,027	49,776,656 919,229 287,226 120,072 51,103,183
Non-current assets Investment property Equity accounted investments Property, equipment and intangible assets Loans receivable	9 10 11 12	49,738,697 2,024,402 1,067,174 34,899,648 87,729,921	40,408,531 2,361,985 1,191,912 27,615,179 71,577,607	23,937,212 3,165,364 126,062 29,221,553 56,450,191
Total assets		263,068,122	159,554,151	107,553,374
Liabilities				
Current liabilities Due to related parties Amounts payable and other liabilities Environmental provision Dividend payable	24 13 14 15	811,169 3,513,083 20,010,066 20,000,000 44,334,318	12,242,073 4,227,473 2,425,000 - 18,894,546	3,558,086 77,632 500,000 4,135,718
Non-current liabilities Debt	16	32,814,667	29,000,000	30,000,000
Total liabilities		77,148,985	47,894,546	34,135,718
Shareholder's Equity				
Total equity		185,919,137	111,659,605	73,417,656
Total liabilities and equity		263,068,122	159,554,151	107,553,374

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Director

Director

Approved by the Board of Directors

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

For the years ended December 31

	Note	2011 \$	2010 \$ (note 4)
Real estate inventory Sales Cost of sales		33,078,167 (22,454,649)	19,000,000 (7,848,669)
Profit from sale of real estate inventory		10,623,518	11,151,331
Investment property Rental revenue Property operating costs	18	1,645,554 (1,100,492)	2,130,527 (1,591,189)
Net property income		545,062	539,338
Net gain from fair value adjustments to investment property Share of net losses from equity accounted investments General and administrative expenses Project investigative costs Depreciation and amortization	9 10 19 11	4,410,602 (698,865) (7,404,936) (150,223) (211,558)	3,720,253 (903,379) (6,038,929) (785,935) (169,935)
Operating profit		7,113,600	7,512,744
Guarantee fee Net gain on derecognition of loans receivable and payable Interest income Finance costs	20 21	192,526 1,089,351 997,742 (790,450)	920,374 (1,022,362)
Net income and total comprehensive income for the year		8,602,769	7,410,756

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity

For the years ended December 31

	Note	Common shares \$ (note 17)	Contributed surplus \$	Retained earnings (deficit) \$	Total shareholder's equity \$
Balance - January 1, 2010	4	1	75,779,231	(2,361,576)	73,417,656
Net income for the year Transfer of properties from the shareholder		o 5 5	: = 1	7,410,756	7,410,756
Investment property	24	\ <u>`</u>	10,527,000	-	10,527,000
Real estate inventory	24	<u>I.E.</u>	20,304,193		20,304,193
Balance - December 31, 2010	4	1	106,610,424	5,049,180	111,659,605
Net income for the year Transfer of properties from the shareholder		7.		8,602,769	8,602,769
Investment property	24	2.00€	4,300,000		4,300,000
Real estate inventory	24	-	70,582,337	*	70,582,337
Other	24	() () ()	10,774,426	(00 000 000)	10,774,426
Dividend declared	15	::	**	(20,000,000)	(20,000,000)
Balance - December 31, 2011		1	192,267,187	(6,348,051)	185,919,137

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the years ended December 31

Cash provided by (used in)	Note	2011 \$	2010 \$ (note 4)
Cash provided by (used in)			
Operating activities Net income for the year Items not involving cash		8,602,769	7,410,756
Straight-line rent Deferred lease inducement/escalations amortization Share of net losses from equity accounted investments Project investigative costs written off Net gain from fair value adjustments to investment property Net gain on derecognition of loans receivable and payable Amortization of capitalized financing costs Discount received from early repayment of loans		(258,557) 50,116 698,865 149,095 (4,410,602) (1,089,351) 2,326,275 800,000	(258,557) (52,399) 903,379 754,494 (3,720,253) - 262,828
Depreciation and amortization Real estate inventory Additions		211,558 (2,184,651)	169,935 (830,414)
Cost of sales Pre-acquisition costs incurred Changes in non-cash working capital	23	22,454,649 (410,514) (540,332)	7,848,669 (554,776) 10,650,772
		26,399,320	22,584,434
Investing activities Investment property development costs Additions to property, equipment and intangible assets Advances to equity accounted investments Loans receivable advances Repayment of loans receivable Redemption (purchase) of short-term investments		(674,466) (86,820) (361,281) (32,814,667) 25,578,255 770,037	(2,240,203) (1,235,785) (100,000) - 1,343,546 (800,037)
		(7,588,942)	(3,032,479)
Financing activities Repayment of loan Proceeds from new loans		(29,000,000) 32,814,667 3,814,667	(30,000,000) 29,000,000 (1,000,000)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents during the year		22,625,045	18,551,955
Cash and cash equivalents - Beginning of year		18,672,027	120,072
Cash and cash equivalents - End of year		41,297,072	18,672,027
Supplementary information Interest paid during the year Interest received during the year Accrued investment property development costs		654,758 466,452 236,914	681,496 813,765 509,382

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2011

1 Organization

Build Toronto Inc. (the Company) was incorporated under the Ontario Business Corporations Act on November 13, 2008. The Company is a wholly owned subsidiary of the City of Toronto (the City), created to maximize the value of underutilized real estate previously owned by the City. This is done within the framework of delivering a financial dividend to the City and to achieve city-building results. These include: enhanced employment opportunities, a focus on quality, urban design and environmental sustainability, and acting as a catalyst for responsible neighbourhood regeneration. As a municipal corporation under Section 149(1) of the Income Tax Act (Canada), the Company is exempt from income taxes. The address of its registered office is 200 King Street West, Suite 200, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

On December 16, 2009, Build Toronto Holdings One Inc. (BTHOI), a wholly owned subsidiary, was incorporated to hold the investment and related obligations in Toronto Waterfront Studios Inc. (TWSI). On April 27, 2011, Build Toronto Holdings (Harbour) Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary, was incorporated to hold the investment and related obligations related to the property at 10 York Street, formerly 120 and 130 Harbour Street.

The Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011 were authorized for issue by the Board of Directors on May 25, 2012, after which the consolidated financial statements may only be amended with the Board's approval.

2 Summary of significant accounting policies

The significant accounting policies used in the preparation of these consolidated financial statements are described below.

Basis of presentation

The Company prepares its consolidated financial statements in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as prescribed in Part I of The Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) Handbook. In 2010, the CICA Handbook was revised to incorporate International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB).

In September 2009, the Public Sector Accounting Standards Board approved an amendment to the Introduction to Public Sector Accounting Standards. Under the amendment, government business enterprises are to adhere to standards for publicly accountable profit-oriented enterprises, meaning the adoption of IFRS for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2011. The government business-type organizations (GBTOs) classification in the Public Sector Accounting Handbook has been eliminated and government organizations previously classified as GBTOs are categorized as other government organizations (OGOs) or government notfor-profit organizations. The Company has been identified as an OGO and accordingly had to determine the appropriate framework between public sector accounting standards or IFRS. Management has evaluated the criteria applicable to the Company's business and determined that IFRS is the most appropriate framework. The Company adopted IFRS effective January 1, 2011.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements **December 31, 2011**

Accordingly, these are the Company's first annual consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB. In these consolidated financial statements, the term previous GAAP refers to Canadian GAAP before the adoption of IFRS.

Statement of compliance

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in compliance with IFRS. Subject to certain transition elections and exceptions disclosed in note 4, the Company has consistently applied the accounting policies used in the preparation of its opening IFRS consolidated balance sheet as at January 1, 2010, and throughout all years presented, as if these policies had always been in effect. Note 4 discloses the impact of the transition to IFRS on the Company's reported financial position, financial performance and cash flows, including the nature and effect of significant changes in accounting policies from those used in the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 prepared under previous GAAP.

Basis of measurement

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared under the historical cost convention, except for investment property, which is measured at fair value.

The consolidated financial statements are presented in Canadian dollars, which is the Company's presentation currency. References to dollars are to Canadian dollars.

Basis of consolidation

The consolidated financial statements comprise the financial statements of Build Toronto Inc. and its subsidiaries (including special purpose entities). Subsidiaries are fully consolidated from the date of inception, which is the date on which the company obtains control, and continue to be consolidated until the date such control ceases. Control exists when the Company has the power, directly or indirectly, to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity to obtain benefit from its activities. All intercompany balances, income and expenses, and unrealized gains and losses resulting from intercompany transactions are eliminated in full.

Special purpose entities (SPEs)

An SPE is defined as an entity created to accomplish a narrow and well-defined objective. SPEs often are created with legal arrangements that impose strict and sometimes permanent limits on the decision-making power of their governing board, trustees or management over the operations of the SPE. Consolidation is required when the substance of the relationship between an entity and the SPE indicates the SPE is controlled by that entity. The Company has determined it is not a party in any SPEs.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements **December 31, 2011**

Real estate assets

Real estate inventory

Commercial development properties and land held-for-sale in the ordinary course of business are held as real estate inventory and measured at the lower of cost and net realizable value.

Capitalized costs include all expenditures incurred in connection with the acquisition of the property, assessment of environmental conditions, site surveys, appraisals, direct development and construction costs, and property taxes. For real estate inventory transferred by the City, the fair value of the property is deemed to be its cost at the date of transfer. General and administrative costs and selling and marketing costs are expensed as incurred. The carrying value of transferred properties held as real estate inventory, including capitalized costs, are adjusted to the lower of cost and net realizable value.

Net realizable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business, based on prevailing market prices at the dates of the consolidated balance sheets and discounted for the time value of money, if material, less estimated costs of completion and estimated selling costs.

Cost of sales of real estate inventory is based on actual costs incurred.

Investment property

Investment property comprises land held to earn rentals or for future development as investment property, or capital appreciation, or both.

Investment property is initially recorded at cost. Cost of investment property includes the acquisition cost of the property, including related transaction costs in connection with an asset acquisition, assessment of environmental conditions, site surveys, appraisals, direct development and construction costs and property taxes during development. For property transferred by the City, the fair value of the property is deemed to be its cost at the date of transfer. Subsequent expenditure is capitalized to the investment property's carrying amount only when it is probable that future economic benefits associated with the expenditure will flow to the Company and the cost of the item can be measured reliably. All repairs and maintenance costs are expensed when incurred. When part of an investment property is replaced, the carrying amount of the replaced part is derecognized.

Subsequent to initial recognition, investment property is measured at its fair value at each reporting date. Related fair value gains and losses are recorded in comprehensive income in the period in which they arise. The fair value of investment property is estimated internally by the Company at the end of each reporting date. In addition to these internal property valuations, the Company will review the fair value of material investment property using an independent third party appraiser on a rolling basis over a period of three years or less as determined by management. The internal property valuations prepared by the Company are based primarily on a discounted cash flow (DCF) model, which estimates fair value based on the present value of the properties' estimated future cash flows. Estimated fair values are determined on a property by property basis. The DCF model is based on a detailed planning period of five years, within which the relevant real estate cash flow components are forecasted. After a detailed planning period of five

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

years, a net present value is calculated for the remaining useful life based on the estimated cash flow in the final year of the detailed planning period. Where relevant, the DCF model uses market oriented figures including appropriate discount rates, market rental growth rates, vacancy rates and inflation rates.

Initial direct leasing costs incurred by the Company in negotiating and arranging tenant leases are added to the carrying amount of investment property and are amortized over the term of the lease. Lease incentives, which include costs incurred to make leasehold improvements to tenants' space and cash allowances provided to tenants, are added to the carrying amount of investment property and are amortized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease as a reduction of investment property revenue.

Pre-acquisition costs

Pre-acquisition costs include costs incurred in the investigative and pre-transfer stage. Pre-acquisition costs and project investigative costs, which will not benefit future periods or for which a project has been abandoned are expensed as soon as it becomes evident there is no future value.

Equity accounted investments

Equity accounted investments are investments over which the Company has significant influence, but not control. The financial results of the Company's equity accounted investments are included in the Company's consolidated financial statements using the equity method, whereby the Company recognizes its proportionate share of earnings or losses.

The Company assesses, at least annually, whether there is objective evidence that its interests in equity accounted investments are impaired. If impaired, the carrying value of the Company's share of the underlying assets of an equity accounted investment is written down to its estimated recoverable amount, which is the higher of fair value less costs to sell and value in use, with any difference charged to net income.

Assets classified as held-for-sale

Assets and groups of assets and liabilities (other than real estate inventory), which comprise disposal groups, are categorized as assets held-for-sale where the asset or disposal group is available-for-sale in its present condition, and the sale is highly probable. For this purpose, a sale is highly probable if: management is committed to a plan to achieve the sale; there is an active program to find a buyer; the non-current asset or disposal group is being actively marketed at a reasonable price; the sale is anticipated to be completed within one year from the date of classification, and changes to the plan are unlikely. Where an asset or disposal group is acquired with a view to resale, it is classified as a non-current asset held-for-sale if the disposal is expected to take place within one year of the acquisition and it is highly likely the other conditions referred to above will be met within a short period following the acquisition.

Property, equipment and intangible assets

Property, equipment and intangible assets include leasehold improvements, office equipment and website development costs. Property, equipment and intangible assets are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization and accumulated impairment losses.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

Depreciation and amortization are provided on a basis designed to depreciate or amortize the costs of the assets over their expected useful lives as follows:

Leasehold improvements Furniture and fixtures Computer equipment Website development

straight-line over the term of the lease

5 years straight-line

3 years straight-line

3 years straight-line

Residual values and useful lives of all assets are reviewed and adjusted, if appropriate, at least at each financial year-end. Cost includes expenditures that are directly attributable to the acquisition and expenditures for replacing part of the property and equipment when that cost is incurred, if the recognized criteria are met. Subsequent costs are included in the asset's carrying amount or recognized as a separate asset, as appropriate, only when it is probable that future economic benefits associated with the item will flow to the Company and the cost of the item can be measured reliably. All repairs and maintenance are charged to comprehensive income during the financial period in which they are incurred.

Property, equipment and intangible assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized for the amount by which the asset's carrying amount exceeds its recoverable amount. The recoverable amount is the higher of an asset's fair value less costs to sell and value in use. The amount of the loss is recognized in profit or loss. The carrying amount is reduced by the impairment loss directly. For the purposes of assessing impairment, assets are grouped at the lowest levels for which there are separately identifiable cash flows (cash generating units).

Property, equipment and intangible assets are derecognized on disposal or when no future economic benefits are expected from their use or disposal. Any gain or loss arising on derecognition of an asset (calculated as the difference between the net disposal proceeds and the carrying amount of the asset) is included in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income in the year the asset is derecognized.

Office occupancy costs, deferred lease inducement and deferred lease escalations

In 2010, the Company entered into an operating lease to occupy its current head office premises. Rent expense is recorded in office occupancy costs on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Differences between the straight-line rent expense and the payments as stipulated under the lease agreement, are included in deferred lease escalations in amounts payable and other liabilities. The deferred lease inducement represents cash benefits the Company has received from its landlord pursuant to the lease agreement. Lease inducements received are amortized into office occupancy costs over the term of the related lease on a straight-line basis.

Contributed surplus

Since its incorporation in 2008, sources of real property, which the Company is mandated to improve and hold for future cash flows (investment property) and sale (real estate inventory property), are City council deemed surplus land and deemed surplus property held by other City controlled entities.

Commercial development properties, land and investment property include properties declared surplus by the City that, after an assessment process by the Company, are accepted for transfer from the shareholder.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements **December 31, 2011**

Properties classified as real estate inventory are initially recorded at fair value. The Company utilizes third party valuations to determine the fair value of the properties and adjusts for estimated costs of outstanding necessary improvements required to bring similar property to marketable status. Since valuations are not always available as at the date of transfer, the Company assesses the impact of the timing difference and adjusts the fair value accordingly.

Properties classified as investment property are initially recorded at fair value. The Company utilizes third party valuations to determine the fair value of the properties. Since valuations are not always available as at the date of transfer, the Company assesses the impact of the timing difference and adjusts the fair value accordingly.

The Company records the difference between the fair value at the date of transfer of the properties and the consideration paid, if any, as contributed surplus.

Revenue recognition

Revenue from the sale of developed sites and land sold to third parties is recognized when the agreement of purchase and sale is executed, the earnings process is virtually complete, the significant risks and rewards of ownership are transferred to the buyer and the Company does not have a substantial continuing involvement with the property to the degree usually associated with ownership. Revenue is recognized provided the agreement of purchase and sale is unconditional, the costs in respect of the property can be measured reliably and the collectibility of the remaining proceeds is reasonably assured. If these criteria are not met, proceeds are accounted for as deposits until all of the criteria are met.

The Company accounts for tenant leases as operating leases as the Company has retained substantially all of the risks and benefits of ownership of its investment property. Rentals from investment property include rents from tenants under leases, property tax and operating cost recoveries, parking income and incidental income. Rents from tenants may include free rent periods and rental increases over the term of the lease and are recognized in revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. The difference between revenue recognized and the cash received is included in amounts receivable as straight-line rent receivable. Lease incentives provided to tenants are deferred and are amortized against revenue over the term of the lease. Recoveries from tenants are recognized as revenue in the period in which the applicable costs are incurred. Other income is recognized as earned.

Interest income is recognized using the effective interest method.

Dividends

Dividends to the shareholder are recognized as a liability in the period in which the dividend is approved by the Board of Directors and are recorded as a reduction of retained earnings.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements **December 31, 2011**

Financial instruments

Financial assets and liabilities are recognized when the Company becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument. Financial assets are derecognized when the rights to receive cash flows from assets have expired or have been transferred and the Company has transferred substantially all risks and rewards of ownership. Financial liabilities are derecognized when the obligation specified in the contract is discharged, cancelled or expires.

At initial recognition, the Company classifies its financial instruments in the following categories:

a) Loans and receivables

Loans and receivables are non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market. The Company's loans and receivables comprise two loan receivables, a vendor take-back mortgage, trade receivables, short-term investments and cash and cash equivalents, and are included in current assets due to their short-term nature. Loans and receivables are initially recognized at the amount expected to be received, less, when material, a discount to reduce the loans and receivables to fair value. Subsequently, loans and receivables are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method less a provision for impairment.

b) Financial liabilities at amortized cost

Financial liabilities at amortized cost include trade payables, debt and amounts due to related parties. Trade payables are initially recognized at the amount required to be paid, less, when material, a discount to reduce the payables to fair value. Debt is recognized initially at fair value, net of any transaction costs incurred, and subsequently at amortized cost using the effective interest method. These financial liabilities are classified as current liabilities if payment is due within twelve months. Otherwise, they are presented as non-current liabilities.

Impairment of financial assets

At each reporting date, the Company assesses whether there is objective evidence that a financial asset (other than a financial asset classified as fair value through profit or loss) is impaired.

For the loans and receivables category, the amount of the loss is measured as the difference between the asset's carrying amount and the present value of estimated future cash flows (excluding future credit losses that have not been incurred) discounted at the financial asset's original effective interest rate. The carrying amount of the asset is reduced and the amount of the loss is recognized in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income. If a loan has a variable interest rate, the discount rate for measuring any impairment loss is the current effective interest rate determined under the contract.

When a loan or receivable is impaired, the Company reduces the carrying amount to its recoverable amount, which is the estimated future cash flow discounted at the original effective interest rate of the instrument, and continues unwinding the discount as interest income. Interest income on impaired loans and receivables is recognized using the original effective interest rate.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

If, in a subsequent period, the amount of the impairment loss decreases and the decrease can be related objectively to an event occurring after the impairment was recognized (such as an improvement in the debtor's credit rating), the reversal of the previously recognized impairment loss is recognized in the consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, deposits held with banks, and other short-term highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less.

Short-term investments

Short-term investments with original maturities of more than three months are recorded at cost plus accrued investment income, which approximates fair value.

Environmental provision

The cost of the Company's obligation to remediate land is estimated based on the present value of expected future environmental costs and is recognized in the period in which the obligation is incurred.

The present value of the environmental provision is determined based on a discount rate that takes into account the time value of money and the risks specific to the liability. The liability is reviewed at each reporting date to determine whether the discount rate is still applicable and to determine whether changes are required to the original estimate.

Changes to estimated future costs are recognized on the consolidated balance sheets by either increasing or decreasing the environmental provision. Any reduction in the environmental provision may not exceed the carrying amount of the corresponding asset. If it does, any excess over the carrying value is taken immediately to the consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

3 Critical accounting judgments, estimates and assumptions in applying accounting policies

Critical judgments in applying accounting policies

The following are the critical judgments that have been made in applying the Company's accounting policies that have the most significant effect on amounts in the consolidated financial statements:

Selection of accounting standards

As noted in note 2, the Company has been identified as an OGO and accordingly had to determine which framework (public sector accounting standards or IFRS) would meet the needs of users of the general purpose consolidated financial statements of the Company. To assess users' needs, management considered various criteria applicable to the Company's business in determining that IFRS is the most appropriate framework. These criteria include, but are not limited to: (a) the nature of the Company's

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

mandate and considering its purpose, objectives and limitations; (b) whether the Company has commercial type operations and substantially derives its revenue from these activities; and (c) whether the Company receives limited government assistance on an ongoing basis.

Determination of whether the Company has significant influence over its equity accounted investments

In assessing that the Company has significant influence over its equity accounted investments, management considers the rights and obligations of the various investors and whether the Company has the power to participate in the financial and operating policy decisions of the investees, but not control or joint control over those policies.

Timing of recognition of properties transferred from related parties

Critical judgments are made by management in determining when to recognize properties transferred from related parties. Properties transferred from the City and other City controlled entities are recognized at the later of: (i) the time the City declares the property surplus, approves the transfer and the Company accepts the property; and (ii) when the Company receives the environment site assessment. The point at which it is considered probable that the future economic benefits associated with the property will flow to the Company is considered to be the point when the City commits to the transfer to the Company and the Company accepts the transfer. At this point, transfer of legal title from the City or other City controlled entity to the Company is considered to be an administrative process and virtually certain to occur.

Determining approach and frequency of external appraisals for investment property

Management uses judgment in its approach to determining fair values of investment property. The fair values of these properties are reviewed regularly by management with reference to independent property appraisals and market conditions existing at the reporting date. The Company selects independent appraisers who are nationally recognized and qualified in the professional valuation of investment property and experienced in the geographic areas of the properties held by the Company. Judgment is also applied in determining the extent and frequency of obtaining independent appraisals, after considering market conditions and circumstances and the time since the last independent appraisal.

Critical accounting estimates and assumptions

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

• Fair value of real estate investment property at transfer date and period end

Determining the fair value of investment property involves significant estimates of discount rates, capitalization rates, market rental rates and growth rates, vacancy rates, inflation, structural allowances, lease terms and start dates, leasing costs, costs of environmental remediation requirements if any, and costs of pre-development, active development and construction activities, where applicable. The valuation

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements **December 31, 2011**

inputs are derived from various sources of information, including third party sources including independent appraisals, environmental assessment reports, internal budgets and management's experience and expectations. Judgment is also applied in adjusting independent appraisals for the impact of any differences between the date of the appraisal and the date of measurement.

• Fair value of real estate inventory at transfer date

The fair value of real estate inventory involves significant estimates of the highest and best use of the property, maximum density achievable, potential zoning changes, costs of environmental remediation requirements, if any, and costs of pre-development, active development and construction activities, where applicable. The valuation inputs are derived from various sources of information, including third party sources including independent appraisals, environmental assessment reports, internal budgets and management's experience and expectations. Judgment is also applied in adjusting independent appraisals for the impact of any differences between the date of the appraisal and the date of measurement.

Net realizable value of real estate inventory at period end

Commercial development properties and land held-for-sale in the ordinary course of business are stated at the lower of cost and net realizable value. In calculating net realizable value, management must estimate the selling price of the assets based on prevailing market prices at the dates of the consolidated balance sheets and discounted for the time value of money, if material, less estimated costs of completion and estimated selling costs.

Impairment of financial assets (including equity accounted investments)

At each reporting date, management is required to assess whether its financial assets are impaired. The criteria used to determine whether there is objective evidence of impairment include: (a) significant financial difficulty of the borrower or investee; (b) delinquencies in interest or principal payments from the borrower; and (c) the probability the borrower or investee will enter bankruptcy or other financial reorganization.

Useful lives and impairment of property, equipment and intangible asset

The Company makes estimates and assumptions when assessing the possibility and amount of impairment of property and intangible asset. Such estimates and assumptions primarily relate to the timing and amount of future cash flows. The Company also makes estimates and assumptions as they pertain to the expected useful lives and residual values of property, equipment and intangible asset, which are reviewed at least annually.

Carrying value of the environmental provision

The Company is required to make estimates and assumptions relating to its environmental provision, including estimates of future remediation requirements and related costs, and the appropriate discount rate to apply.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements **December 31, 2011**

4 Transition to IFRS

The Company transitioned from previous GAAP to IFRS effective January 1, 2010 (the transition date) and has prepared its opening IFRS consolidated balance sheet as at that date. The Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011 are the first annual consolidated financial statements the Company has prepared in accordance with IFRS. The Company has prepared the opening IFRS consolidated balance sheet by applying existing IFRS with an effective date of December 31, 2011 or prior. Comparative figures for 2010 in these consolidated financial statements have been restated to give effect to these changes.

a) Elected exemptions from full retrospective application

In preparing these consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS 1, the Company has applied one optional exemption from full retrospective application of IFRS relating to business combinations: the Company has applied the business combinations exemption in IFRS 1 to not apply IFRS 3 retrospectively to past business combinations. Accordingly, the Company has not restated business combinations that took place prior to the transition date. As such, previous GAAP balances relating to business combinations entered into before the transition date, if any, have been carried forward without adjustment.

b) Mandatory exceptions to retrospective application

In preparing these consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS 1, the Company has applied the mandatory exception from full retrospective application for estimates. The Company has used estimates under IFRS that are consistent with those applied under previous GAAP (with adjustment for accounting policy differences) unless there is objective evidence those estimates were in error. New estimates required under IFRS reflect conditions that existed at the transition date.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

c) Reconciliation of equity and comprehensive income as reported under previous GAAP to IFRS

The following is a reconciliation of the Company's total equity reported in accordance with previous GAAP to its total equity in accordance with IFRS at the transition date:

	Common shares \$	Contributed surplus	Deficit \$	Total shareholder's equity \$
As reported under previous GAAP - December 31,				
2009	1	15,724,175	(2,361,576)	13,362,600
Transfer of investment		40.770.400		40.770.400
property (i) Transfer of real estate	-	10,778,400	*	10,778,400
inventory (ii)		49,276,656	2	49,276,656
As reported under IFRS -				
January 1, 2010	1_	75,779,231	(2,361,576)	73,417,656

The following is a reconciliation of the Company's total equity reported in accordance with previous GAAP to its total equity in accordance with IFRS at December 31, 2010:

	Common shares \$	Contributed surplus \$	Retained earnings (deficit) \$	Total shareholder's equity \$
As reported under previous GAAP - December 31,				
2010	1	15,724,175	8,669,849	24,394,025
Adjustment to cost of				(\)
sales (ii)	-	-	(7,634,057)	(7,634,057)
Reversal of amortization (i)		; → ;;	293,135	293,135
Transfer of investment		24 205 400		24 205 400
property (i) Transfer of real estate		21,305,400	_	21,305,400
inventory (ii) Fair value adjustment to	22	69,580,849	-	69,580,849
investment property (i)		24 0	3,720,253	3,720,253
As reported under IFRS -				
December 31, 2010	1	106,610,424	5,049,180	111,659,605

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

The following is a reconciliation of the Company's comprehensive income reported in accordance with previous GAAP to its comprehensive income in accordance with IFRS for the year ended December 31, 2010:

	December 31, 2010 \$
Comprehensive income as reported under previous GAAP Differences increasing (decreasing) reported amount	11,031,425
Adjustment to cost of sales (ii)	(7,634,057)
Reversal of amortization - investment property (i)	293,135
Fair value adjustment to investment property (i)	3,720,253
Comprehensive income as reported under IFRS	7,410,756

d) Notes to reconciliations

i) Investment property

Under previous GAAP, land and land improvements were recorded at cost and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Under IAS 40, Investment Property (IAS 40), the Company has elected to measure investment property at fair value and record changes in fair value in income during the period of change. In addition, under previous GAAP, properties were recorded when the title of the land transferred from the City and were measured at the net book value recorded in the City's records. Under IFRS, the Company records properties transferred from the City when it is probable the future economic benefits associated with the property will flow to the Company and the properties are measured at fair value at the date of transfer. As a result, certain properties have been recorded earlier under IFRS than under previous GAAP and there has been an increase to the carrying amount of the investment property. Accordingly, on the date of transition, the carrying amount of investment property increased by \$10,778,400 with a corresponding increase to contributed surplus. The impact on investment property and contributed surplus for 2010 is an increase of \$21,305,400. In addition, on the date of transition, project development costs relating to investment property of \$nil (December 31, 2010 - \$1,699,066) have been reclassified to investment property and due to the earlier recognition of the properties transferred from the City, an environmental provision of \$525,000 has been recorded as at December 31, 2010 with a corresponding increase to investment property.

The effect on comprehensive income for 2010 is an increase to net gain from fair value adjustments to investment property of \$3,720,253 and the removal of amortization relating to land improvements of \$293,135. Land and land improvements under previous GAAP are described as investment property under IFRS.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

ii) Real estate inventory

Under previous GAAP, properties were recorded when the title of the land transferred from the City and were measured at the net book value recorded in the City's records. Under IFRS, the Company records properties transferred from the City when it is probable the future economic benefits associated with the property will flow to the Company and the properties are measured at fair value. As a result, certain properties have been recorded earlier under IFRS than under previous GAAP and there has been an increase to the carrying amount of real estate inventory. Accordingly, on the date of transition, the carrying amount of real estate inventory increased by \$49,276,656 with a corresponding increase to contributed surplus. The impact on real estate inventory and contributed surplus for 2010 is an increase of \$69,580,849. In addition, on the date of transition, project development costs relating to real estate inventory of \$nil (December 31, 2010 - \$617,056) have been reclassified to real estate inventory and due to the earlier recognition of the properties transferred from the City, on the date of transition, an environmental provision of \$500,000 (December 31, 2010 - \$1,400,000) has been recorded with a corresponding increase to real estate inventory.

The effect on comprehensive income for 2010 is an increase in the cost of sales relating to previously sold properties of \$7,634,057.

iii) Other

Lease accounting and capitalized costs

Under IFRS, the date on which capitalization ceases is the date when the asset is in the condition and capable of operating in the manner intended by management. This is typically the earlier of substantial completion of construction or when space is turned over to the tenant for fixturing, and this date may be earlier as compared to previous GAAP. The Company has only one major lease with Pinewood Toronto Studios Inc. at the 225 Commissioner's site, which was transferred from Toronto Port Lands Company in December 2009 and was operational when transferred. The other investment properties are in the pre-development stage and do not have buildings or tenants.

· Financial assets and liabilities

On the adoption of IFRS, all previously recognized financial assets and financial liabilities have been designated consistently with their designations under previous GAAP.

Classified balance sheet

Under previous GAAP, the Company presented an unclassified balance sheet. Under IFRS, a classified balance sheet has been presented, resulting in the reclassification of certain amounts on the consolidated balance sheets between current and non-current assets and liabilities.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

e) Changes to the consolidated statements of cash flows

Cash and cash equivalents increased by \$17,000,000 as at December 31, 2010 due to the reclassification from short-term investments of GICs, with various maturities within one year but redeemable after 30 days from issue.

There were no other material adjustments to the consolidated statements of cash flows as a result of the adoption of IFRS.

5 Future accounting policy changes

IFRS 9, Financial Instruments (IFRS 9)

In November 2009, the IASB issued IFRS 9, as its first step in replacing IAS 39, Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement (IAS 39). IFRS 9 will be issued in three phases. The first phase, which has already been issued, addresses the accounting for financial assets and financial liabilities. The second phase will address impairment of financial instruments, while the third phase will address hedge accounting.

IFRS 9 establishes two primary measurement categories for financial assets: amortized cost and fair value. Classification is based on how an entity manages its financial instruments in the context of its business model, as well as the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial assets. Classification is made at the time the financial asset is initially recognized.

Although the classification criteria for financial liabilities will not change under IFRS 9, the fair value option will require fair value changes due to credit risk for liabilities designated at fair value through profit and loss generally to be recorded in other comprehensive income (OCI).

IFRS 9 amends some of the requirements of IFRS 7, Financial Instruments: Disclosures, including added disclosures on equity securities measured at fair value through OCI, and guidance on financial liabilities and derecognition of financial instruments. This standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015, with early adoption permitted.

IFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements (IFRS 10)

IFRS 10 requires an entity to consolidate an investee when it is exposed, or has rights, to variable returns from its involvement with the investee and has the ability to affect those returns through its power over the investee. Under existing IFRS, consolidation is required when an entity has the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities. IFRS 10 replaces Standing Interpretations Committee (SIC) 12, Consolidation - Special Purpose Entities, and parts of IAS 27, Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements (IAS 27). This standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with early adoption permitted.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements **December 31, 2011**

IFRS 11, Joint Arrangements (IFRS 11)

IFRS 11 requires a venturer to classify its interest in a joint arrangement as a joint venture or joint operation. Joint ventures will be accounted for using the equity method of accounting, whereas for a joint operation, the venture will recognize its share of the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses of the joint operation. Under existing IFRS, entities have the choice to proportionately consolidate or equity account for interests in joint ventures. IFRS 11 supersedes IAS 31, Interests in Joint Ventures (IAS 31), and SIC 13, Jointly Controlled Entities - Non-monetary Contributions By Venturers. This standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with early adoption permitted.

IFRS 12, Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities (IFRS 12)

IFRS 12 establishes disclosure requirements for interests in other entities, such as joint arrangements, equity accounted investments, special purpose vehicles and off-balance sheet vehicles. The standard carries forward existing disclosures and also introduces significant additional disclosure requirements that address the nature of, and risks associated with, an entity's interests in other entities. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with early adoption permitted.

IFRS 13, Fair Value Measurement (IFRS 13)

IFRS 13 is a comprehensive standard for fair value measurement and disclosure requirements for use across all IFRS standards. The new standard clarifies that fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset, or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants, at the measurement date. It also establishes disclosures about fair value measurements. Under existing IFRS, guidance on measuring and disclosing fair value is dispersed among the specific standards requiring fair value measurements and in many cases, does not reflect a clear measurement basis or consistent disclosures. This standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with early adoption permitted.

Amendments to other standards

In addition to the issuance of new standards, as detailed above, there have also been amendments to existing standards, including IAS 1, Presentation of Financial Statements (IAS 1), IAS 19, Employee Benefits (IAS 19), IAS 27 and IAS 28, Investments in Associates (IAS 28).

The amendments to IAS 1 will require that entities group items presented in OCI based on an assessment of whether such items may or may not be reclassified to earnings at a subsequent date. Amendments to IAS 1 are applicable to annual periods beginning on or after July 1, 2012, with early adoption permitted.

Amendments to IAS 19 eliminate an entity's option to defer the recognition of certain gains and losses related to post-employment benefits and require remeasurement of associated assets and liabilities in OCI. Amendments to IAS 19 are applicable on a modified retrospective basis to annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with early adoption permitted.

The amended IAS 27 addresses accounting for subsidiaries, jointly controlled entities and associates in non-consolidated financial statements. IAS 28 has been amended to include joint ventures in its scope and to

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

address the changes in IFRS 10 through 13, as outlined above. Amendments to IAS 27 and IAS 28 are applicable to annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with early adoption permitted.

The Company has not yet begun the process of assessing the impact the new and amended standards will have on its consolidated financial statements or whether to early adopt any of the new requirements.

6 Real estate inventory

	2011 \$	2010 \$
Balance - Beginning of year Additions - transfers from the shareholder (note 24) Development costs Transfer to cost of sales	64,249,237 88,167,402 2,090,458 (22,454,649)	49,776,656 21,704,193 617,057 (7,848,669)
Balance - End of year	132,052,448	64,249,237

7 Amounts receivable

	December 31, 2011 \$	December 31, 2010 \$	January 1, 2010 \$
Due from TWSI Rent and recoverable property taxes (a) Straight-line rent (b) Loan interest - TWSI (c) Financing and legal fees - TWSI	653,575 201,862 107,501	1,529,395 395,018 273,057 343,602	136,461 128,561
HST (d) Other (e)	962,938 (94,977) 84,266 952,227	2,541,072 379,803 716,390 3,637,265	265,022 654,207 919,229

- a) Recoverable property taxes for the studio in 2010 reflect the initial property tax assessment for the film studio lands and billing for the first three years of operations.
- b) Pursuant to the deferred rent clause in the ground lease between BTHOI (as landlord) and Pinewood Toronto Studios Inc. (PTSI) (as tenant), PTSI was given a deferral of 50% of basic rent payable for a period of five years, starting June 22, 2009. This deferral is on an interest free basis.
- c) Included in the 2011 balance is the present value of deferred loan interest of \$201,862 due in 2039 (2010 \$154,853) (see note 12).
- d) The 2011 credit is an HST payable on recoverable operating costs and rent; the 2010 balance represents initial delays collecting ITCs as it was a new company applying for credits.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

e) The 2011 balance has a \$77,271 shared service allocation to Invest Toronto Inc. (ITI). The 2010 balance has a leasehold improvement allowance from the landlord at 200 King Street West of \$565,000.

8 Cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments

		December 31, 2011 \$	December 31, 2010 \$	January 1, 2010 \$
	Cash and cash equivalents GICs - various maturities within one year but redeemable after 30 days of issue Cash	900,725 40,396,347	17,000,000 1,672,027	120,072
		41,297,072	18,672,027	120,072
	Short-term investments GICs - original maturities greater than three months	30,000	800,037	
9	Investment property			
			2011 \$	2010 \$
	Balance - Beginning of year Additions - transfers from the shareholder (note 24) Development costs Net gain from fair value adjustments to investment proper	erty	40,408,531 4,300,000 619,564 4,410,602	23,937,212 11,052,000 1,699,066 3,720,253
	Balance - End of year		49,738,697	40,408,531_

During 2011, approximately 70% (2010 - approximately 66%) of the total fair value of investment property was determined through external appraisals by independent valuers who hold recognized and relevant professional qualifications and have recent experience in the location and category of the investment property being valued.

10 Equity accounted investments

The Company holds 20% equity interests in TWSI and Toronto Waterfront Studios Development Inc. (TWSDI). The investments are accounted for using the equity method.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

On January 1, 2011, TWSI transferred its 100% controlling interest in the capital of TWSDI to its shareholders in proportions equal to their ownership in TWSI. Prior to 2011, TWSDI was consolidated with TWSI.

	TWSDI		TWSI		
	2011 \$	2010 \$	2011 \$	2010 \$	
Balance - Beginning of year	¥	-	2,361,985	3,165,364	
Transfer	(8,390)	::=:	8,390		
Advances	50,000	82	311,282	100,000	
Share of net losses	(19,231)		(679,634)	(903,379)	
Balance - End of year	22,379		2,002,023	2,361,985	

For the years ending December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, TWSI and TWSDI reported the following financial positions and results from operations (TWSDI was consolidated with TWSI in 2010):

		TWSDI		TWSI
4	2011 \$	2010 \$	2011 \$	2010 \$
Assets Liabilities	5,370,841 5,258,942	*	49,917,500 39,155,049	50,316,014 37,697,648
Equity	111,899) ,	10,762,451	12,618,366
Revenue Expenses	96,153	-	9,697,024 13,828,342	5,506,034 10,022,929
Net loss for the year	(96,153)		(4,131,318)	(4,516,895)

The Company's share of the losses from TWSI and TWSDI for fiscal 2011 at its 20% share is \$698,865 (2010 - \$903,379 loss).

The land and land improvements where the studio is situated were transferred from Toronto Port Lands Company (TPLC) to the Company on December 31, 2009 to facilitate financing and are classified as investment property under IFRS.

The fair value of the land and land improvements at Pinewood Toronto Studios Inc. was adjusted in 2011 using an independent appraisal of the site.

The ground lease for the film studio land with PTSI is for a term of 99 years and was executed on August 25, 2005. On June 22, 2009, PTSI was granted a deferral of 50% of the basic rent for a term of five years ending in June 2014. Annual rent adjustments start June 22, 2027 and every subsequent 20-year anniversary thereafter. No dividends can be paid from PTSI unless and until any and all amounts due to BTHOI have been paid. Rent until the next annual rent adjustment date is \$517,115 per annum.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

The equity investment amount also includes \$1,061,282 (2010 - \$700,001) advanced to TWSI, of which \$600,001 was originally funded by TPLC and was transferred to the Company as part of the transfer of assets in 2009. This amount was advanced as a shareholder's working capital contribution. The rate of interest and the repayment for this advance is subject to approval of the Board of Directors of TWSI. The amount is not expected to be repaid within the year.

11 Property, equipment and intangible assets

	Leasehold improvements \$	Furniture and fixtures \$	Computer equipment \$	Website development \$	Total \$
Balance at January 1, 2010 Cost Accumulated depreciation and	v	126,062	27	2	126,062
amortization	<u></u>	(**)	•		
Opening net book value - January 1, 2010		126,062	-	÷	126,062
Additions	801,595	326,957	107,233	5	1,235,785
Less: Depreciation and amortization	(64,645)	(75,503)	(29,787)		(169,935)
Ending net book value - December 31, 2010	736,950	377,516	77,446		1,191,912
Balance at December 31, 2010 Cost Accumulated depreciation and amortization	801,595	453,019	107,233	i.e.	1,361,847
	(64,645)	(75,503)	(29,787)		(169,935)
Opening net book value - January 1, 2011	736,950	377,516	77,446		1,191,912
Additions	26,344	22,771	16,257	21,448	86,820
Less: Depreciation and amortization	(79,378)	(91,802)	(38,029)	(2,349)	(211,558)
Ending net book value - December 31, 2011	683,916	308,485	55,674	19,099	1,067,174
Balance at December 31, 2011 Cost Accumulated depreciation and	827,939	475,790	123,490	21,448	1,448,667
amortization	(144,023)	(167,305)	(67,816)	(2,349)	(381,493)
¥	683,916	308,485	55,674	19,099	1,067,174

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

12 Loans receivable

	December 31, 2011 \$	December 31, 2010 \$	January 1, 2010 \$
Loan receivable - PTSI (a) Capitalized financing costs (a) Long-term loan receivable - PTSI (a) VTB mortgage (b) Deferred payment loan - TWSI (c)	29,037,604 2,084,981 3,777,063	25,578,255 2,036,924 - - -	26,921,801 2,299,752 - - -
	34,899,648	27,615,179	29,221,553

a) In 2009, BTHOI acquired a loan receivable with a principal balance outstanding of \$26,921,801 owing from TWSI from a financial intermediary who had originally lent the funds to TWSI. To acquire the loan receivable, the Company paid a premium of \$2,299,752. The Company financed the loan receivable with funds borrowed from a financial institution and refinanced this loan payable in fiscal 2010 with funding from a government agency.

As at December 31, 2010, the principal amount outstanding on the loan receivable balance was \$25,578,255. The loan receivable was due on September 1, 2018 and would have been settled with a balloon payment of \$12,213,980. The loan had a fixed annual interest rate of 5.6%. The loan was collateralized with a leasehold mortgage and \$5,000,000 in guarantees from the shareholders of TWSI and \$9,000,000 was guaranteed by TPLC.

In 2010, the Company and TWSI entered into negotiations to refinance the loan receivable. The Company and TWSI also agreed that the interest rate differential between the interest charged on the loan receivable and the interest paid on the loan payable (note 12) would be loaned back to TWSI. As such, \$87,563 in interest income that would otherwise have been earned in fiscal 2011 (2010 - \$538,554) will now be recognized over the remaining term of the loan receivable. The Company has recorded a receivable of \$201,862 (2010 - \$154,853) as at year-end, which represents the present value of the interest income receivable.

On March 18, 2011, the Company replaced the loan receivable from PTSI with a long-term convertible facility with similar terms as the loan payable with the government agency described in note 16. The Company recovered the financing costs from the 2009 transaction when the new facility closed and recognized related financing fees of \$800,000. The total facility is \$34,500,000, which can be accessed with draw requests, until the third anniversary when the then outstanding amount is amortized over 25 years. The loan earns interest of 1.95%, reset monthly at the government agency's average monthly cost of funds and is secured by a leasehold mortgage, shareholder guarantees, and a first charge against the assets of Pinewood Toronto Studios Inc. (PTSI).

On the settlement date, a gain of \$3,069,752 was recognized relating to the prepayment penalty not originally passed on to PTSI.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

As a condition of the loan with the government agency, the Company has agreed to maintain additional asset value coverage of \$30,500,000 in excess of the \$4,000,000 in guarantees provided by the other shareholders of TWSI. The Company charges a guarantee fee to TWSI of 1% of 80% of the shortfall (\$24,400,000). This fee is due annually on March 18 in advance and the rate of 1% reduces by 50% each year on the anniversary date for a five-year term.

- b) On December 16, 2011, the Company sold land to a residential developer for \$1 million cash and a vendor take-back (VTB) mortgage of \$2,244,420. The mortgage, secured by the land, is interest free until the earlier of December 17, 2012, or such time as a change in zoning is achieved. If the change in zoning is achieved prior to December 17, 2012, the mortgage will mature and become due and payable. If required zoning for the property has not been achieved by December 17, 2012, the term of the VTB mortgage shall be extended and will bear interest at 8% per annum, accruing and calculated quarterly from December 18, 2012, and interest only payments shall be payable quarterly until the earlier of December 16, 2013 or such time as the required zoning is achieved. For greater certainty, the VTB shall mature and become due and payable no later than December 16, 2013. The loan was recognized initially at its fair value of \$2,084,981.
- c) As part of a trailing obligation upon restructuring and investing in TWSI in 2009, on June 15, 2011, the Company provided a loan in the amount of \$3,660,917 to TWSI and set up a loan payable with identical terms as with TPLC described in note 16. The loan bears interest at 6% per annum, with interest calculated in arrears annually with the first payment of interest due on June 23, 2012, and maturity on June 23, 2014. The loan is secured by a pledge of 1,000 common shares of PTSI.

13 Amounts payable and other liabilities

	December 31, 2011 \$	December 31, 2010 \$	January 1, 2010 \$
Trade payables General Property taxes - TWSI Accruals	856,341 2,037,628	596,812 1,529,395 1,483,867	77,632 - -
Total payables and accrued liabilities	2,893,969	3,610,074	77,632
Deferred lease inducement Deferred lease escalations Unearned revenue - prepaid guarantee fee - TWSI	464,761 102,522 51,831	519,435 97,964	- - -
Total amounts payable and other liabilities	3,513,083	4,227,473	77,632

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

14 Environmental provision

An environmental provision is recorded for the Company's obligations to address environmental issues with the land held by the Company.

Additional information related to the Company's environmental provision is provided below:

	\$
Opening balance - January 1, 2010 Additions to the provision Less: Amounts paid/utilized	500,000 1,925,000
Balance - December 31, 2010 Additions to the provision Less: Amounts paid/utilized	2,425,000 17,585,066
Balance - December 31, 2011	20,010,066

15 Dividend payable

On December 9, 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a dividend payable to the shareholder to be paid on February 22, 2012.

16 Debt

	December 31, 2011 \$	December 31, 2010 \$	January 1, 2010 \$
Long-term loan payable - government agency (a) Bridge loan payable (a) Deferred loan payable to TPLC (b)	29,037,604 3,777,063	29,000,000	30,000,000
Debt	32,814,667	29,000,000	30,000,000

a) On December 31, 2009, to assist with the debt restructuring at TWSI, the Company entered into an interest only bridge loan of \$30,000,000 with a Canadian financial institution. The loan had interest at prime and was secured by assets of BTHOI and the Company. Proceeds of the loan were used to acquire a loan owing from TWSI to a financial intermediary.

On May 28, 2010, while negotiations were ongoing, the matured bridge loan was refinanced with an interim bridge loan for \$29,000,000, from the same government agency, which bore interest only at prime, secured by assets of BTHOI and the Company.

On March 18, 2011, with negotiations with TWSI finalized, the interim bridge loan was replaced with a new long-term facility with the same government agency. The new facility is for a maximum of \$34,500,000 of which \$29,000,000 was borrowed to repay the bridge loan. An additional \$5,462,937 is available until

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

March 18, 2014, to be drawn to fund construction improvements at TWSI. The new facility is interest only for the first three years, currently at 1.95%, to be reset monthly to the government agency's borrowing rate; thereafter, the interest rate will be fixed and the ending principal amount will be amortized over 25 years. The Company has the ability to fix the interest rate on the new facility within the first three years of the term. The Company accounted for the restructuring of the loan payable as an extinguishment of debt and thus derecognized the previous loan, resulting in a loss of \$1,980,401, related to the writeoff of the prepayment penalty paid when acquiring the original loan in 2009. The loan is secured by the assets of BTHOI, corporate guarantees of BTHOI and the Company.

b) As part of a trailing obligation upon restructuring and investing in TWSI in 2009, related to post-closing adjustments of the share purchase price, on June 15, 2011, the Company provided a loan on TPLC's behalf in the amount of \$3,660,917 to TWSI described in note 12 and set up a loan payable with identical terms with TPLC. The loan bears interest at 6% per annum, with interest calculated in arrears annually with the first payment of interest due on June 23, 2012, and maturity on June 23, 2014. The loan is secured by a pledge of common shares of PTSI.

17 Shareholder's equity

The number of shares authorized and the number of shares issued and outstanding is one common share.

18 Rental revenue

Investment property rental revenue is comprised as follows:

	2011 \$	2010 \$
Leases Licences Parking Recoverable operating costs and property taxes	517,114 107,072 95,505 925,863	519,849 79,033 2,250 1,529,395
Total investment property rental revenue	1,645,554	2,130,527

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

19 General and administrative expenses

General and administrative costs, net of allocations to TPLC and ITI, consist of the following:

				2011
		Gross \$	Allocation \$	Net \$
	Salaries and benefits Office services Office occupancy Professional fees	6,316,006 468,199 665,241 614,137	(465,025) (55,088) (219,632) (10,841)	5,850,981 413,111 445,609 603,296
	Marketing and promotion	91,939		91,939
		8,155,522	(750,586)	7,404,936
	<u>.</u> -			2010
		Gross \$	Allocation \$	Net \$
	Salaries and benefits Office services Office occupancy Professional fees Marketing and promotion	5,066,779 439,889 424,544 503,725 206,695	(387,220) (49,107) (121,126) (45,250)	4,679,559 390,782 303,418 458,475 206,695
	; -	6,641,632	(602,703)	6,038,929
20	Net gain on derecognition of loans receivable a	nd payable		
			2011 \$	2010 \$
	Gain on derecognition of loan receivable (note 12) Loss on extinguishment of debt (note 16)	_	3,069,752 (1,980,401)	
	Net gain on derecognition of loans receivable and payable	ı,	1,089,351	
21	Interest income			
			2011 \$	2010 \$
	Investments Mortgage receivable interest Other interest - late payment penalty Loan interest - PTSI	:	145,738 237,358 51,719 562,927	920,337 - -
	Total interest income	_	997,742	920,374

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

22 Employee benefits

Post-employment benefits

The Company makes contributions to the Ontario Municipal Employees' Retirement Fund (OMERS), which is a multi-employer pension plan, on behalf of some of its employees. The plan is a defined benefit plan, which specifies the amount of the retirement benefit to be received by the employees based on the length of service and rates of pay. Employees and employers contribute jointly to the plan. Since OMERS is a multi-employer pension plan, any pension plan surpluses or deficits are a joint responsibility of all Ontario municipalities and their employees. The plan exposes the participating entities to actuarial risks associated with the current and former employees of other entities, with the result that there is no consistent and reliable basis for allocating the obligations, plan assets and costs to individual entities participating in the plan and therefore the Company does not recognize any share of the OMERS pension surplus or deficit. The Company's current service contributions to the OMERS pension plan in 2011, which were expensed, total \$381,991 (2010 - \$299,605) and are included in salaries and employee benefits expense on the consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Key management compensation

Key management personnel are those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the Company, directly or indirectly. The Company's key management personnel includes the President and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and directors. The compensation paid or payable to key management for employee services is shown below:

	2011 \$	2010 \$
Salaries and other short-term employee benefits and termination benefits Directors' fees	1,806,582 103,111	1,691,511 120,175
	1,909,693	1,811,686
23 Supplemental cash flow information		
	2011 \$	2010 \$
Decrease (increase) in amounts receivable Decrease in prepaid expenses Increase in VTB mortgage (Decrease) increase in due to related parties (Decrease) increase in amounts payable and other liabilities	2011 \$ 2,943,595 22,038 (2,084,981) (656,478) (764,506)	2010 \$ (2,459,479) 224,024 8,683,987 4,202,240

Amounts due to related parties were drawn down by \$10,774,426 during 2011 with a corresponding increase in contributed surplus (see note 24 for details).

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

24 Related parties

In addition to related party transactions and balances discussed elsewhere in the notes, the relationship and transactions with the Company's shareholder, the City, and other related parties are detailed below:

same parent

same parent

same parent

Related parties Relationship

City of Toronto Economic Development Corporation (operating as Toronto Port Lands Company (TPLC)) Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) Invest Toronto Inc. (ITI) Toronto Waterfront Studios Inc. (TWSI) investee, tenant, debtor Toronto Waterfront Studios Development Inc. (TWSDI) debtor, investee

Transfers from the City and related entities

During the year, transfers from the shareholder included in investment property as described in note 9 and in real estate inventory as described in note 6 have been recorded at fair values with corresponding amounts recorded in contributed surplus. A property sold in 2010 for net proceeds of \$19 million, was recognized as a transfer from the TTC in 2009.

Toronto Port Lands Company

Due to related parties as at December 31, 2011 is an unsecured amount of \$811,169 (2010 - \$12,242,073) payable to TPLC. In 2008, two parcels of land were designated by the City to be transferred from TPLC. During 2010, the properties were sold by TPLC for net proceeds of \$10,774,426, and in June of 2011, the net effect of the sale was used to reduce the amount payable to TPLC by the Company with a corresponding increase to contributed surplus. There is no set term of repayment of this amount and no interest is being charged by TPLC.

During 2011 and 2010, the Company had an arrangement whereby certain office services, occupancy and staffing costs were shared with TPLC and ITI. The allocation of these costs are highlighted in note 19.

Invest Toronto Inc.

Included in amounts receivable is an amount of \$77,271 (2010 -\$61,912) due from ITI to the Company related to the shared services allocation discussed above.

Toronto Waterfront Studios Inc. and Toronto Waterfront Studios Development Inc.

The Company has a 20% ownership interest in TWSI and TWSDI (see note 10). The original investment was held by TPLC and transferred to the Company to facilitate debt restructuring on behalf of TWSI as part of the Company's city-building mandate.

Land, land improvements, shares and a shareholder loan receivable were transferred from TPLC in 2009. At December 31, 2009, the Company purchased TWSI's debt, and through a series of transactions, refinanced the loan on March 18, 2011 with a government agency at a favourable rate and provided the Company's corporate

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2011

guarantee, for which a guarantee fee is charged (see notes 12 and 16). The Company's debt as described in note 16 is as a result of the restructuring and assistance provided by the Company to TWSI. Pursuant to the terms of the promissory note, the Company is required to guarantee the obligations of TWSI and in return the latter will pay the Company a loan guarantee fee income. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company charged TWSI guarantee fee income of \$192,526 (2010 - \$nil). For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company received loan interest income of \$562,927 (2010 - \$1,452,941) from TWSI.

Included in rental revenue at December 31, 2011 is \$1,434,349 (2010 - \$2,084,694) received from TWSI.

25 Commitments and contingencies

Future minimum annual lease payments on the 200 King Street West office are as follows:

	\$
2012 2013	282,500 282,500
2014 2015	282,500 296,625
2016	310,750
Thereafter	1,087,625_
	2,542,500

In the normal course of its operations, the Company from time to time, may be named in legal actions seeking monetary damages. While the outcome of these matters cannot be estimated with certainty, management intends to vigorously defend them and does not expect they will have a material effect on the Company's business, financial condition or operations. The Company is not aware of any such legal actions at this time.

26 Capital management

The Company's capital is comprised of debt and shareholder's equity. The Company manages its capital, taking into account the long-term business objectives of the Company and the Company's mandate of delivering a financial dividend to the shareholder and to achieving its city-building objectives. Value-added monetized asset sales, financing fees, and land rent from properties transferred from the shareholder and related parties have provided cash for operations and to fund investigative, development, capital improvements and operations. The Company's capital management strategy is to utilize these sources of funds, obtain third party financing where possible, retain funds for operations and release any surplus to the shareholder. The current long-term loans payable and loans receivable closely mirror the same terms.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements **December 31, 2011**

27 Financial instruments - risk management

The Company's investment and operating activities expose it to a range of financial risks. These risks include credit risk, liquidity risk, interest rate risk and currency risk, which are described as follows:

Credit risk

Credit risk on financial instruments is the risk of financial loss occurring as a result of default or insolvency of a counterparty on its obligation to the Company. The carrying value of the financial assets as presented in the consolidated balance sheets represents the maximum credit risk exposure at the date of the consolidated financial statements.

The Company, in the normal course of business, is exposed to credit risk from its customers. This risk is mitigated by the fact that management believes the Company has thorough and rigorous credit approval procedures. The Company provides for an allowance for doubtful accounts to absorb potential credit losses when required. No allowance for doubtful accounts was recorded at December 31, 2011.

The long-term loans receivable from TWSI is collateralized with a leasehold mortgage and \$4,000,000 in guarantees from the shareholders of TWSI. As such, in the event of default, the Company can take title and liquidate the assets of TWSI and enforce the guarantees. The cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments are held by a Schedule 1 Canadian financial institution. The VTB mortgage is due no later than December 16, 2013 and is secured by the land sold to a residential developer. The developer cannot resell the severed lots prior to discharging the VTB. Management believes the Company's credit risk is low.

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk of being unable to settle or meet commitments as they come due. Management believes the liquidity risk of the Company is low. As at December 31, 2011, all obligations except the loans payable of the Company discussed in note 16 are due within one year.

Interest rate risk

Interest rate risk is borne by an interest bearing asset or liability as a result of fluctuations in interest rates. The Company is exposed to interest rate risk through its loan payable, whose interest rate is based on the government agency's average borrowing rate until the rate is fixed, and its cash balances. As at December 31, 2011, a 1 % change in the variable interest rates on the average balances for the year would have resulted in an annualized change in interest expense of approximately \$290,376. Any increase would be passed along to TWSI as loan interest receivable. The deferred loan payable has a matching loan receivable and the interest rate is fixed by contract at 6%.

Currency risk

Virtually all of the Company's transactions are denominated in Canadian dollars. As at December 31, 2011, the Company held no financial instruments that we denominated in other than Canadian currency.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements **December 31, 2011**

28 Financial instruments - fair value

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, amounts receivable, loans receivable, advances to TWSI included in equity accounted investments, trade payables, due to related parties and debt. With the exception of cash, all other financial instruments are recorded at cost or amortized cost, which approximates fair value.

IFRS requires disclosure of a three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based on the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the consolidated financial statements date. The three levels are defined as follows:

- Level 1 fair value is based on quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 assets and liabilities generally include equity securities traded in an active exchange market.
- Level 2 Fair value is based on observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted market prices for similar (but not identical) assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted market prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange traded instruments and derivative contracts whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data. This category generally includes mutual and pooled funds, hedge funds, Government of Canada, provincial and other government bonds, Canadian corporate bonds and certain derivative contracts.
- Level 3 Fair value is based on non-observable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and
 that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. This category generally includes private
 equity investments and securities that have liquidity restrictions.

As at December 31, 2011, cash and cash equivalents of \$41,297,072 (2010 - \$18,672,027) are classified in the Level 1 category.



COMPENSATION DISCLOSURE AND ANALYSIS (CD&A)

BUILD GROWTH VALUE RESULTS

GROWTH

The following document provides disclosure and analysis in Board approved executive compensation for 2011 for the Named Executive Officers (NEO's) of BUILD TORONTO. This is the second CD&A prepared by BUILD TORONTO, the first being for 2010. This document supports BUILD TORONTO's Human Resource and Corporate Governance (HRCG) Committee.

BUILD TORONTO is an arm's length Corporation with their sole shareholder being the City of Toronto. BUILD TORONTO is overseen by a 12 member Board of Directors who are appointed by the City Council of the Shareholder. Executive Compensation is overseen by the Human Resources and Corporate Governance (HRCG) Committee of the Board of Directors. Throughout 2011, the HRCG committee was Chaired by Councillor Doug Ford as the Mayor's designate to the Board of Directors. Other members consisted of Mr. Blake Hutcheson, Mr. Paul Finkbeiner, and Mr. Ucal Powel. The composition and functional responsibilities of the HRCG is consistent with our Shareholder Direction

This Compensation Disclosure and Analysis (CD&A) document outlines the strategy and direction the HRCG Committee and the BUILD TORONTO Board of Directors has approved on executive compensation and outlines the HRCG Work Plan for 2011.

1.0 BUILD TORONTO Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors is responsible for overseeing management and BUILD TORONTO's business affairs. Its goal is to ensure we continue to operate as a successful business, optimizing financial returns to increase our value over time while effectively managing the risks we face in our business. The BUILD TORONTO Board spends considerable time in reviewing our overall business strategy to ensure that we provide value for our shareholder, both in financial terms, and in bringing significant "City-Building" value to the City in terms of creating employment opportunities, building assessment values, developing different affordable housing options and ensuring our developments represent the best in environmental and urban design objectives.

Our Board works within a climate of respect, trust and candor, fostering a culture of open dialogue. It fulfills its duties by:

- 1. Maintaining a governance framework that sets broad areas of responsibility and includes appropriate checks and balances for effective decision-making and approvals;
- 2. Making decisions that set the tone, character and strategic direction for the company and approving the vision, mission, values and guiding principles developed by management;
- 3. Regularly monitoring management's effectiveness, including its leadership, recommendations, decisions and execution of strategies to ensure that the CEO and senior management carry out their responsibilities in an organized, efficient and professional way.

The Board reviews our corporate governance framework and practices and revises them as regulations change, and as industry and shareholder expectations and corporate best practices continue to evolve within the context of our Shareholder Direction.

1.1 About the Directors

Our Board of Directors is responsible for overseeing management. Their role is to assist growth in BUILD TORONTO's business activities and the value of BUILD TORONTO to the City of Toronto. As the Board of Directors, these 12 directors are responsible to oversee BUILD TORONTO's strategy, risk process and Executive succession and remuneration. The composition of our Board has been determined by the Shareholder with the objective of providing a balance between strong expertise in real estate, property valuation, deal structure and prudent asset management coupled with the oversight and political acumen from the shareholder. This results in a healthy balance on the Board of Directors between Directors that have strong City-Building and Shareholder perspectives and those Directors with a strong market perspective.

1.2 Board Committees

The BUILD TORONTO Board carries out its responsibilities directly and through its committees, which make recommendations to the Board for approval. The BUILD TORONTO Board has three standing committees:

- 1. Human Resources and Corporate Governance committee (HRCG)
- 2. Audit and Risk Management Committee (ARM)
- 3. Real Estate Investment and Development committee (REID)

1.3 Human Resources and Corporate Governance Committee

As part of the *Compensation Discussion & Disclosure* (CD&A), this document explains the rationale used by the HRCG committee to support its pay-based decisions for the NEOs. This committee has four members:

- 1. Councillor Doug Ford (Chair)
- 2. Blake Hutcheson
- 3. Paul Finkbeiner
- 4. Ucal Powell

In addition to its Corporate Governance role, the HRCG committee is responsible for assisting the board in overseeing:

- 1. Human resource policies
- 2. Executive compensation
- 3. Succession planning
- 4. Total remuneration

The committee carried out the following activities as part of its 2011 work plan:

- 1. Identified and approved a comparator peer group to provide the basis of comparison for Executive Compensation. This peer group is outlined in the section that follows;
- 2. Implemented the changes to the executive compensation plan approved for 2011;
- 3. Reviewed the executive compensation market data to ensure that our compensation levels remain competitive;
- 4. Reviewed the performance measures under the short-term incentive plan;
- 5. Reviewed the CEO's performance;
- 6. Reviewed the CEO's annual performance assessments of the NEOs;
- 7. Reviewed and recommended changes to base salary of the NEOs:
- 8. Reviewed and developed the framework for a proposed retention incentive plan which has not been implemented;
- 9. Based on specific performance outcomes, determine the STIP awards for the CEO and NEOs;
- 10. Reviewed the compensation discussion and analysis;
- 11. Looked at the alignment of BUILD TORONTO's compensation with the executive compensation principles of the Canadian Coalition for Good Governance (CCGG);
- 12. Undertook a competitive procurement process to retain a new Compensation advisor for 2012 to 2015.

This committee met six times in 2011. It met *in camera* without management present at every meeting.

1.4 Executive Pay and Direction

The HRCG Committee is responsible for:

- 1. Consulting with management to develop our general philosophy on compensation and performance-based pay at risk;
- 2. Reviewing and recommending to the board for approval all compensation policies and programs for our top executives (the Named Executive Officers or NEOs);
- 3. The corporate goals and objectives relating to the compensation for the CEO and the NEOs;

- 4. Evaluating the CEOs performance against those goals and objectives;
- 5. The compensation for the CEO based on the committee's evaluation;
- 6. The compensation for our other NEOs based on the CEO's evaluations;
- 7. Employment contracts with the NEOs;
- 8. Overseeing the development and implementation of compensation programs, including establishing any incentive or retention based compensation plans.

The committee believes in the fundamental importance of aligning the interests of executives and shareholders and paying for performance. It is also responsible for reviewing all executive compensation disclosure before we disclose it publicly. This *CD&A* explains our philosophy and objectives, policies and guidelines, the different components that make up our executive compensation program, what we base executive compensation on, and how we evaluate performance and approve compensation. This report was prepared by management, reviewed, and approved by the HRCG Committee and the BUILD TORONTO Board of Directors at its meeting in May 2012.

1.5 Succession Planning

We have developed a succession plan for our entire executive team. We also have a leadership development program to instill our leadership competencies throughout BUILD TORONTO and to prepare certain senior level employees to take on executive positions in the future.

In the area of Succession Management, the HRCG committee is responsible for:

- 1. Reviewing our executive talent pool and the succession plan twice a year. The audit committee is also responsible for reviewing the succession plan for the CFO and making related recommendations to the HRCG;
- 2. Ensuring the succession plan is presented to the board each year. The board must ensure there is opportunity for directors to get to know the employees who have been identified as potential executives. They make presentations to the board and are invited to company functions and planning sessions where they can interact with directors more informally.

1.6 Independent Advisor

The HRCG committee retained 3XCD Inc, a Board Compensation Governance firm to advise the HRCG in review practices and competitive compensation system design. 3XCD was selected as BUILD TORONTO's primary independent compensation consultant throughout 2010 and 2011. 3XCD Inc services in 2011 included:

- 1. Advisory services on performance, compensation peer groups, and payout and plan objectives;
- 2. Advisory services on compensation risk assessment and governance issues;
- 3. Attending six HRCG committee meetings:
- 4. Consultation and advisory services on compensation-related governance matters.

3XCD were engaged to review and update our pay delivery services. 3XCD did not provide any services to management. The committee reviews and pre-approves all fees and the terms of service for consulting services provided by its compensation consultant. The committee is ultimately responsible for its own decisions, which may take into consideration more than the information and recommendations provided by its compensation consultant or management.

The HRCG is now finalizing its selection of a new Compensation advisor for the period of 2012 to 2015 following a competitive procurement process.

2.0 Compensation Philosophy

BUILD TORONTO's compensation policies and programs are designed to accomplish four specific goals:

- 1. Attract, retain and motivate executives operating in a highly demanding, complex and competitive industry and an uncertain business environment;
- 2. Link executive compensation to corporate performance;
- 3. Motivate executives to create shareholder value by:
 - a. rewarding them when they successfully achieve corporate and individual performance objectives over the short and medium term plan;
 - b. ensuring that total compensation of all of our senior executives minimizes entitlement and includes a significant component of pay that is at risk, reflecting their ability to influence strategy, business outcomes and financial performance;
- 4. Work towards the direction of positioning our total direct executive compensation at the median of our compensation peer group. This means that half of the companies in our peer group pay more than we do and half pay less. This goal will not be accomplished until 2013 or 2014 as we currently pay at approximately the 37th percentile of our peer group.

Our executive compensation program includes a base salary, short-term incentive, pension and other benefits. The short-term incentives plan is *at-risk* compensation, which is awarded according to how well we perform as a company (corporate performance), and how well the executive performs in his or her role (individual performance). The most senior people in our organization have the highest amount and proportion of total compensation that is *at risk*.

2.1 The Relationship between Compensation and BUILD TORONTO's Corporate Performance

The Executive Compensation system is directly linked to BUILD TORONTO's performance targets. These targets are approved by the Board of Directors through the consideration and adoption of an Annual Business Plan and a Five-Year Strategic Plan. In addition to the financial targets as laid out in the Business Plan, BUILD TORONTO NEO's are evaluated on the performance of their business unit, and on City Building and Personal objectives.

We compensate our executives in a way that is fair, competitive and is linked to the financial performance measures that the HRCG committee considers important in terms of growing shareholder value. We understand we have a CEO that is well versed in all these areas and yet accepts that the BT CEO salary is at the 25th percentile of the peer group.

Corporate performance is based on City earned equity interest in the BT Corporation and investment return. Both issues remain the largest factors affecting our decision on executive pay. This section discusses our executive compensation program and the decisions we made affecting the compensation of our most senior people in 2011 (our *named executive officers or NEOs*):

Our strong start up financial performance across several key areas, encourages us to expect it to continue to develop in 2012. A focus on key City objectives to ensure a balance of good returns with a safe and rewarding workplace, developing an improved clean environment, and supporting communities with an appropriate property development cycle. We believe these measures will continue to play a strong role in determining our future growth and long-term success.

The HRCG is responsible to evaluate the performance of the CEO and the NEO's on an annual basis. This annual cycle begins with the formal approval of an annual business plan which is approved by the Board of Directors, usually at the last Board meeting of the previous year. At the January meeting of the HRCG, the Committee reviews the specific performance objectives of the CEO and NEO's that are an

integral part of the Short Term Incentive Plan (STIP). The performance objectives of the CEO and NEO's are directly linked to the compensation under the STIP plan and are based on four different performance metrics as outlined in the chart below.

2.2 2011 STIP Components are Positioned by Job Level in BUILD TORONTO

Job Level	Total STIP % as Target	Corporate Performance based on (ANOI)	Business Unit Performance	Personal Performance	City based Performance
CEO	50%	80%	0%	10%	10%
EMT/NEO's	35% - 50%	50%	30%	10%	10%

At year-end, a performance assessment is conducted and each performance metric is evaluated. The CEO assessment is conducted by the Chair of the Board of Directors and is approved by the HRCG and the Board of Directors. The NEO's assessments are conducted by the CEO and approved by the HRCG and the Board of Directors. The final payouts under the STIP program are approved by the HRCG and the Board of Directors and are paid out in the first quarter of the subsequent year. Normal statutory deductions are made from these payments.

Depending on the performance levels of the CEO and NEO's, the overall STIP program is structured to pay out from 0% to 175% of target.

On the strategy-pay-risk assessment, our conservative approach to risk management, and compensation risk in particular, encourages the right management behaviours are used to drive performance using a matrix scorecard to assess performance. This avoids excessive risk-taking or extreme payouts to our most senior people. This approach helps us in:

- 1. Maintaining a multi-year strategic plan;
- 2. Considering risk when we set our annual corporate objectives;
- 3. Establishing absolute as well as relative measures of performance;
- 4. Allowing us to set a plan design with a threshold level of performance that is 5% under our incentive plan target;
- 5. Using appropriate payout curves to cap performance incentive payouts;
- 6. Reviewing our total remuneration including benefits, retirement and holidays;
- 7. Committing to full and open disclosure.

2.3 Our Named Executive Officers (NEOs)

In 2011, our NEOs consisted of:

- 1. J. Lorne Braithwaite, Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- 2. Winston Young, Senior Vice-President and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) Note, Mr. Young was on secondment from the Toronto Port Lands Company and he returned to TPLC in November 2011.
- 3. Derek Ballantyne, EVP Operations and Chief Operating Officer (COO) (*Note, at the time of filing, Mr. Ballantyne was no longer employed with BUILD TORONTO*.)
- 4. Don Logie, Senior Vice-President, Development
- 5. John Macintyre, Senior Vice-President, Corporate Affairs

The NEOs changed at the end of 2011 with the reorganization of the Executive Team following the departure of the EVP Operations and the Chief Operating Officer, Mr. Ballantyne, and the conclusion of the secondment of Mr. Young as CFO. In 2012, the list of NEOs will include the new Executive Team.

3.0 Compensation Hybrid Peer Group

In 2010, BUILD TORONTO established a Hybrid peer group that consists of both public and private companies. BUILD TORONTO is a competitive company and competes for executive talent from the open market – and is subject to the market forces in the potential loss of our Executives to competitors. The notion of using a hybrid peer group enables inclusion of the concept of incentive pay for comparatively high results or less incentive for below market performance.

The following comparator group was researched and recommended by the Board's Compensation Advisors and was approved by the HRCG and the Board. This comparator group will be reviewed and approved by the HRCG each calendar year, but is unlikely to change in a significant way until there is further growth in our balance sheet.

The private sector companies in the peer group are shown below:

Private Peer Companies
1. ALLIED PPTYS REAL ESTATE INV
2. ARTIS REIT
3. CONSOL HCI HOLDINGS -CL B
4. GENESIS LAND DEVELOPMENT CP
5. HOLLOWAY LODGING REIT
6. INTERRENT REAL ESTATE INV TR
7. KILLAM PROPERTIES INC
8. LAKEVIEW HOTEL REIT
9. LANESBOROUGH REIT
10. MAINSTREET EQUITY CORP
11. MELCOR DEVELOPMENT LTD
12. NORTHERN PROPERTY RE INV TR
13. RETROCOM MID-MARKET REIT
14. WHITEROCK REAL ESTATE INV TR

The disclosed public sector companies in the peer group are shown below:

Public Peer Group
1. WATERFRONT TORONTO
2. ONTARIO REALTY CORPORATION
3. CANADA LANDS CORPORATION
4. ONT. PENSION BOARD
5. ONT. MUNICPAL RETIREMENT SYSTEM
6. BCIMC
7. ALTUS GROUP INCOME FUND

This Hybrid peer group is used to determine competitive compensation and competitive and comparative incentive payouts.

3.1 Target compensation and mix

BUILD TORONTO has targeted our overall executive compensation at the median (50%) of our compensation peer group and we benchmark base salaries at the median. The review on performance pay is also reviewed with "City objectives" to ensure they are appropriate. Performance in those areas is factored in when determining the STIP awards. BUILD TORONTO is currently at the 37th percentile in compensation related to the peer group.

The HRCG reviews the results of our Compensation Advisor, 3XCD's real data and benchmark our executive compensation program to our compensation peer group as part of our analysis and assessment to make sure our compensation is fair and competitive. We also benchmark our compensation internally to make sure we are balanced in our decision-making. This assessment is completed strictly in Canada with appropriate Canadian/Ontario/Toronto based companies.

In order to target this peer group we typically target the median of this peer group for absolute financial data then compare absolute and comparative performance to total direct compensation. We compare the compensation of our executives against comparable executive positions from the compensation peer group when we determine the compensation for our executive officers.

4.0 Board Approved Executive Compensation Program for 2011

Total compensation for our executives includes four elements:

- 1. Base salary
- 2. Short-term incentive plan (STIP)
- 3. Pension
- 4. Benefits and perquisites

4.1 Base Salary for our NEOs

Our base salary was determined in combination with our appropriate peer group:

Incumbent	Job Role	2011 Base Salary
J. Lorne Braithwaite	Chief Executive Officer (CEO)	\$312,000
Winston Young	SVP and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) (On secondment to BUILD TORONTO—Salary allocated 66% to BUILD TORONTO and pro-rated to Oct 31, 2011)	\$125,400
Derek Ballantyne	EVP Operations and Chief Operating Officer (COO)	Reported under Confidential Cover
Don Logie	SVP, Development	\$225,000
John A. Macintyre	SVP, Corporate Affairs (Note; Salary does not include an interim \$ 25,000 Acting Allowance due to Reorganization and allocation of additional responsibilities)	\$185,000

The overall 2011 compensation for each Executive is outlined in the attachment.

4.2 Incentive Pay for BUILD TORONTO Executives--STIP (Short-term Incentive Plan)

The NEO's participate in the STIP program as approved by our Board of Directors.

Participants within this plan include:

- 1. CEO (Mr. Braithwaite)
- 2. Four NEOs (Mr. Ballantyne, Mr. Logie, Mr. Young and Mr. Macintyre)

The payout under the STIP is within the current target matrix for the NEOs level within the organization as outlined in section 2.2. The specific performance areas are as follows:

- (i) Corporate Performance (EBIT, NOI, EBITA, AROA, ROIC)
- (ii) Business Unit Performance
- (iii) Personal Performance
- (iv) City-based Performance

Under the approved STIP Program, depending on corporate and individual performance, the NEOs can earn a payment under the STIP plan of between 0 to 175% of their individual target. Our solid 2011 performance across our key performance measures in 2011 resulted in a corporate performance payout average of 131% to plan for the NEOs as outlined in the total compensation chart. The board acknowledged the CEO's outstanding performance in leadership effectiveness and his impact on strategic initiatives, and strong performance by the other named executives.

The 2011 payments under the STIP plan are outlined on the attachment.

4.3 Benefits and Perquisites

The CEO and the NEOs are provided a standard company package of Health, Dental and Insurance Benefits which is the same program in place for all of BUILD TORONTO.

In addition, the CEO and the NEOs each receive menu package of perquisites which are capped at a total of \$ 12,500.00. The HRCG is currently reviewing this package of perquisites with the objective of adjusting it and containing costs by reducing the package for the 2013 calendar year.

4.4 Pension

BUILD TORONTO is a member of the OMERS Multi-employer pension plan. Contributions to OMERS are shared equally between the employer and the employee.

5.0 Summary

This CD&A outlines the compensation strategy for BUILD TORONTO. The salient points in this document are:

- There is a strong process in place to manage Executive Compensation at BUILD TORONTO that is the responsibility of the Human Resources and Corporate Governance Committee
- The HRCG has retained an independent advisor who assists the Committee in gathering data and providing analysis. The HRCG has approved a hybrid comparator group of 21 private sector companies and publicly owned corporations in order to benchmark our compensation.
- BUILD TORONTO competes for Executive talent in a highly competitive marketplace where total compensation for comparable executives is much higher and includes a significant long term incentive plan. BUILD TORONTO is currently at the 37th percentile amongst its benchmarked comparator group;
- The STIP Program is "at-risk" compensation—if the individual NEO or the company as a whole does
 not reach its performance objectives then this component of compensation is forfeited or significantly
 reduced. If performance objectives are substantially exceeded, then the incentive payout is capped
 at 175 % of target.

BUILD TORONTO 2011 Executive Compensation Disclosure

Position Title Name	Name	Year	Base Salary	STIP Program Payments	Total Cash Compensation	Perquisites (Note 1)	Total Compensation
CEO	J. Lorne	2010	\$300,000	\$153,000	\$453,000	\$12,036	\$465,036
2	Braithwaite	2011	\$312,000	\$267,500	\$579,500	\$5,622	\$585,122
SVP -	0,50 1 200	2010	\$212,231	\$106,250	\$318,481	\$9,341	\$327,822
Development	DOII LOGIE	2011	\$225,000	\$127,700	\$352,700	\$9,247	\$361,947
SVP - Corporate	John Macintyre	2010	\$179,846	\$63,000	\$242,846	\$11,719	\$254,565
Affairs	(14016 2)	2011	\$210,000	\$105,200	\$315,200	\$9,627	\$324,827
SVP & CFO	Winston Young - secondment (Note	2010	\$189,784	\$50,000	\$239,784	\$9,241	\$249,025
	3)	2011	\$125,400	\$30,558	\$155,958	\$4,918	\$160,876
	Derek Ballantyne	2010		Disclosed under Confidential Cover to the Shareholder	nfidential Cover to	the Shareholder	
	(Note 4)	2011		Disclosed under Confidential Cover to the Shareholder	ifidential Cover to	the Shareholder	

Note 1: Perquisites are annual cost for the year outlined and are capped at \$ 12,500

per year

Note 2: 2011 Base salary line for Mr. Macintyre includes Acting Allowance of \$ 25,000 due to reorganization and significant increase in

responsibility

Note 3: Mr. Young was on secondment to BUILD TORONTO and this represents 66% allocation of his 2011 compensation prorated to October 31,

Note 4: Mr. Ballantyne's salary and compensation is covered by a legally binding confidentiality agreement and has been disclosed to the Shareholder. Mr. Ballantyne left the employ of BUILD TORONTO in March 2011.

Build Toronto 200 King Street West, Suite 200 Toronto, ON

> T: 416-981-3889 F. 416-981-3800

www.buildtoronto.ca