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It's called Agequake, Longevity Economy, Silver Tsunami, and Age Wave. The world's only growing demographic is people 60-plus. For as long as statistics have been gathered in Canada, the number of young people entering the workforce has always exceeded those nearing retirement. Not any more. According to Statistics Canada's demography division, at some point in 2013 the number of 15- to 24-year-olds will slip below the number of 55- to 64-year-olds.

The population of the City of Toronto is aging. While the median age of the City overall is 39 years, more Wards have a median age above 39 years than Wards at and below the Toronto median age combined.

Comparing population figures in the 2011 Census Data with the 2006 Census Data:

- In the 15-24 age group, 21 Wards had an increase in this group, ranging from $02 \%$ to 1.76\%; the City increased overall by $.02 \%$.
- In the 25-44 age group, 8 Wards had an increase in this group, ranging from $.02 \%$ to $6.52 \%$; the City decreased overall by $1.40 \%$.
- In the 45-64 age group, every Ward except Wards 19 and 20 had an increase in this group, ranging from . $27 \%$ to $3.62 \%$; the City increased overall by $2.09 \%$.

In a more detailed analysis of the percentage change, 2006 over 2011:

- Ages 60-64 - 36 Wards show this group as one of their highest 3 in percentage change;
- Age 85+ - 33 Wards show this group as one of their highest 3 in percentage change;
- Ages 50-54-15 Wards show this group as one of their highest 3 in percentage change.
- Ages 35-39 - 26 Wards show this group as one of their lowest in percentage change;
- Ages 40-44-26 Wards show this group as one of their lowest in percentage change;
- Ages 10-14-18 Wards show this group as one of their lowest in percentage change.

The Federation of Canadian Municipalities published ‘Canada’s Aging Population, The Municipal Role in Canada's Demographic Shift' earlier this summer. The FCM reports that the Labour Force Replacement Ratio in Toronto in 2001 was 1.14. By 2011, that ratio had fallen to 0.81 . A ratio below 1.0 indicates a shortfall of incoming labour.
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Ongoing 'greying' of the population is two-fold: increases in the number of middle-aged and older people and the general rise in average age. The greatest worry about this shift turns on the social safety net. The promise of pensions and healthcare for older citizens depends on the pyramid structure where active working-age people out-number the retirees. This is of major concern for governments (as pension and health costs rise, the growth in the tax base slows) and business (employers struggle to find workers which they identify as a major threat to productivity). Yet, while employers continue to express concern about finding workers, many companies still tend to overlook applicants aged 50+.

The OECD defines the age of 50 as "corresponding to the age after which labour force participation rates begin to decline".

Hiring managers often still view 50+ applicants as having lower job performance, higher absenteeism and accident rates, and less ability to solve problems and adapt to changes. Those 50+ searching for jobs have long fought back stereotypes that they lack the speed, technological skills and dynamism of younger applicants. Research continues to find that 50+ workers outpace younger ones in nearly every metric. And in jobs where age might be a detriment - say a highly physical job beyond a particular person's ability - 50+ workers tend to exclude themselves from applying in the first place.

Surveys consistently show people 50+ believe they experience age discrimination in the job market with long-term unemployment far higher with this demographic. Unemployed workers 50+ are being referred to as 'the new unemployables'.

Employment experts indicate that companies are increasingly only interested in applicants who have a job, expressing concerns that a person without a job has rusty skills especially if they haven't worked for more than 6 months.

The Ontario Human Rights Commission publication 'Human Rights at Work 2008, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition’ states "Most claims of age discrimination in employment relate to older employees. ... Older workers are excluded from training opportunities because of a perception that it is not worth investing in their future careers and that they are too difficult to train."

Age discrimination in the workplace is a reality in today's world, yet does not appear to generate the same degree of moral outrage as other forms of discrimination. A greater number of people would like to stay on the job later in life, some because of personal choice and others out of necessity, yet too many under- and unemployed 50+ workers are unable to remain in the labour force or to re-enter it because of age prejudice.

Age discrimination is often referred to as the 'invisible discrimination', so universally part of our modern culture that we often accept it without question. Given the entrenched attitudes and negative stereotypes about 50+ workers, is it any wonder that they would rather call
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themselves semi-retired, or a consultant, or an entrepreneur to explain gaps in their resume. Or defend long periods of unemployment with the reason of caregiver activities for family members. Anything but admit that workplace age discrimination could possibly play a role in their long-term unemployment.

In the face of rapid population aging, there is a need to promote better employment opportunities for those aged $50+$. Both governments and business need to take active measures to tackle age discrimination and to improve the job skills and employment opportunities of this demographic.

In 2007, the federal government's Expert Panel on Older Workers report 'Supporting and Engaging Older Workers in the New Economy', conclude that one distinctive characteristic of older workers is that once they become unemployed they tend to experience longer spells of unemployment before finding a new job and they experience greater earnings losses compared to their level of earnings prior to losing their job.

The OECD has stated that raising the employment rate for older workers is critical to softening the blow of a shrinking labour force, which they foresee will have "major consequences for economic growth, public finances and living standards" in countries with aging populations.

In 2012, the federal Department of Finance released the paper 'Economic and Fiscal Implications of Canada's Aging Population'. The paper states: "Canadians aged 55 and over are less active in the labour market than in many other advanced countries. [It is imperative] to ensure that an increasing number of Canadians have the necessary skills and the incentives to fully participate in the workforce. ... A central conclusion of the document is that acting and planning now to prepare for the challenge of an aging population will support long-term economic growth and avoid the need to take drastic or inequitable actions in the future, such as significant tax increases or service reductions."

The Canadian Chamber of Commerce, the Conference Board of Canada, Canada's HR Professionals, the Expert Panel on Older Workers, the Institute for Research on Public Policy, Ontario's Institute for Competitiveness and Prosperity, the National Seniors' Council, the Caledon Institute of Social Policy, and Don Drummond (while in his role as Chief Economist, TD Bank Financial Group) are a sample of those citing the need to tap the pool of underutilized talent such as older workers.

Manpower Inc., in a 2007 workforce survey, revealed that only $17 \%$ of Canadian employers have an older workers strategy and that only $24 \%$ have an older worker recruiting strategy. In 2012, Toronto approved its' workforce development strategy, 'Working As One', making only one reference to older workers (pg. 9). And, unfortunately, in referencing the Conference Board of Canada with respect to under-represented workers in the labour force (pg. 9), older workers have been removed. The Conference Board's report, 'Canada's Demographic
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Crunch: Can under-represented workers save us?', includes older workers as a source of under-utilized labour.

The Toronto Seniors' Strategy has been organized using the World Health Organization's (WHO) framework for age-friendly cities. The WHO identifies eight domains of city life that might influence the health and quality of life of older people. The domain on civic participation and employment provides a list of essential features, which includes:

- the qualities of older employees are well-promoted;
- a range of flexible and appropriately paid opportunities for older people to work is promoted;
- discrimination on the basis of age alone is forbidden in the hiring, retention, promotion and training of employees;
- self-employment options for older people are promoted and supported;
- training in post-retirement options is provided for older workers.

Recommendation \#7 of the Seniors' Strategy to ensure that older workers can access City Workforce development initiatives is a start towards achieving the essential features.

The second part of recommendation \#7 - to explore opportunities to customize employment services to meet the unique needs of older workers - aligns with concerns raised by employment counsellors. Although employment organizations indicate there is a desperate need for services for the experienced 50+ worker, the provincial budget of 2010 eliminated all 7 programs within Toronto identified as offering services specifically designed for the older worker.

A worker aged 50+ with education, experience and skills will have difficulty finding services to address their unemployment situation or will be streamed into programs that are wholly inappropriate for their circumstance. Serving older unemployed workers, many of whom experience long jobless spells, is time consuming for service providers and, too often, files of the $50+$ client remain open for statistical and funding purposes only as organizations find they are not qualified to assist or unable to advocate on behalf of this demographic. The OECD refers to this phenomenon as 'creaming and parking'.

Any discussion about the employment of workers aged 50+ must also discuss what economists refer to as the 'lump-of-labour fallacy'. This is a prevalent attitude that older workers should retire to make room for younger people; that too many older people continue to work for selfish reasons, thereby taking jobs from the young and unemployed. The 'lump-oflabour' theory argues that the number of jobs is fixed and that older workers directly 'block' younger workers from entering the workforce.

This fallacy argues that age discrimination is permissible on the basis it has social utility. Older persons are perceived to deprive younger ones of opportunities and, therefore, some types of
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age discrimination are necessary to facilitate job and promotion opportunities for younger workers. The widespread view assumes that every job held by an older worker is one less job available for a younger worker. This rationale for discrimination against older workers is not unlike the old claim that any job occupied by a woman is one less job for a man, yet, where similar reasoning is used to justify discrimination on the basis of age, it does not attract the same level of scrutiny or concern.

Economists and policymakers find no evidence that older workers substitute or crowd out younger workers. On the contrary, the labour market is highly flexible, the number of jobs in the economy is not fixed, and the dynamics of a market economy will tend to create enough jobs to accommodate available workers.

The U.S. Bureau of Economic Research reviewed academic papers from different countries investigating the 'lump-of-labour' theory and their findings for Canada indicate "evidence has shown that - if anything - the employment of the young and prime-aged worker tends to move in the same direction as the older worker".

Given the 'value added' that is brought to the table by older workers through their experience and acquired knowledge or networks, it is unclear that the strategy of 'replacing' older workers with younger workers is possible, let alone effective.

Workers 50+ offer tremendous potential value to businesses, the economy and society. There is a need to look beyond traditional stereotypes about aging in order to benefit from the growing number of older citizens, many of whom would choose to work longer given appropriate policies and workplace practices.

Raising the employment rate for older workers is critical to softening the blow of a shrinking labour force; developing effective strategies designed to attract and retain the $50+$ worker is crucial in accomplishing this goal. Concrete, specific actions that will incent this demographic to remain in and return to the labour force are required in developing innovative programs to retain, recruit and manage older workers beyond the traditional retirement age.

This presentation requests the Economic Development Committee of the City of Toronto to recommend the creation of the 'Age Counts' office with a mandate to include:

1. Identify barriers that prevent the $50+$ worker from remaining in or re-entering the workforce and work with appropriate stakeholders to provide advice on:
a) Employer and community attitudes toward older workers;
b) Re-skilling and career transitions for older workers;
c) Retention of the expertise of older workers;
d) Employment related assistance for older workers;
e) Under-employment and hidden unemployment of older workers.
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2. a) Work with employers in developing employment policies to retain, recruit, and reflect the potential contribution of 50+ workers by identifying essential skills that individuals have and that businesses need;
b) Work with employment organizations to ensure older workers have the employment supports required to find work;
c) Develop the source of 'best practices for attracting, retaining, and working with the older worker' for employers and employment organizations.
3. Develop programs to promote inter-generational dialogue and exchange of experiences and ideas as well as to bridge gaps through mentoring, coaching, and counselling.
4. Advocate to eliminate workplace age discrimination and champion age diversity as an important business strategy within a multi-generational workforce.
5. Assemble an advisory board to assist the Age Counts office in fulfilling its' mandate.
6. Ensure a comprehensive and collaborative approach in the examination of policies, programs and services that impact the unemployed / under-employed 50+ worker.
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2011 Census Data vs. 2006 Census Data

| City of Toronto |  | 2006 population 2,503,345 |  | ulation ,090 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 318,680 | 12.73\% | 333,515 | 12.75\% |
| 25-44 | 801,565 | 32.02\% | 800,815 | 30.62\% |
| 45-64 | 620,005 | 24.77\% | 702,400 | 26.86\% |
| 65+ | 353,500 | 14.12\% | 377,485 | 14.43\% |
| 2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
|  | 60-64 | 28.8\% | 40-44 | -7.2\% |
|  | 85+ | 27.5\% | 10-14 | -6.2\% |
|  | 50-54 | 13.6\% | 35-39 | -6.2\% |
| Ward 1 - Etobicoke North |  | 2006 population |  | 2011 population |
|  |  | 60,300 |  | 61,315 |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| $15-24$ | $8745$ | 14.50\% | 9390 | 15.31\% |
| 25-44 | 18,625 | 30.89\% | 17,410 | 28.39\% |
| 45-64 | 13,155 | 21.82\% | 14,705 | 23.98\% |
| 65+ | 6150 | 10.20\% | 6950 | 11.33\% |
|  | 2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
|  | 75-79 | 19.4\% | 30-34 | -12.1\% |
|  | 60-64 | 18.2\% | 35-39 | -8.2\% |
|  | 50-54 | 18.2\% | 5-9 | -7.1\% |
| Ward 2 - Etobicoke North |  | 2006 population |  | 2011 population |
| Councillor Doug Ford |  | 54,780 |  | 56,725 |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 7080 | $12.92 \%$ | $7920$ | $13.96 \%$ |
| 25-44 | 15,685 | 28.63\% | 14,890 | 26.25\% |
| 45-64 | 12,690 | 23.17\% | 14,465 | 25.50\% |
| 65+ | 8335 | 15.22\% | 8910 | 15.71\% |
|  | 2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
|  | 85+ | 57.3\% | 35-39 | -13.8\% |
|  | 60-64 | 21.6\% | 70-74 | -9.6\% |
|  | 50-54 | 20.1\% | 40-44 | -6.8\% |
| Ward 3 - Etobicoke Centre |  | 2006 population 51,155 |  | 2011 population 52,230 |
| Age Group15-24 | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
|  | 6430 | 12.57\% | 6720 | 12.87\% |
| 25-44 | 12,435 | 24.31\% | 11,550 | 22.11\% |
| 45-64 | 13,805 | 26.99\% | 15,685 | 30.03\% |
| 65+ | 10,335 | 20.20\% | 10,325 | 19.77\% |
|  | 2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3) |  |  |  |
|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
|  | 85+ | 50.4\% | 35-39 | -17.4\% |
|  | 60-64 | 24.4\% | 70-74 | -12.8\% |
|  | 50-54 | 16.2\% | 40-44 | -11.2\% |
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| Ward 4 - Etobicoke Centre |  | 2006 population | 2011 population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby |  | 53,615 |  |  |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 6840 | 12.76\% | 6820 | 12.48\% |
| 25-44 | 13,140 | 24.51\% | 12,580 | 23.02\% |
| 45-64 | 14,140 | 26.37\% | 15,855 | 29.02\% |
| 65+ | 11,030 | 20.57\% | 10,985 | 20.10\% |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 85+ | 39.1\% | 70-74 | -14.6\% |
|  | 60-64 | 32.7\% | 35-39 | -10.3\% |
|  | 55-59 | 13.1\% | 40-44 | -10.0\% |
| Ward 5 - Etobicoke-Lakeshore |  | 2006 population |  | 2011 population |
| Councillor Peter Milczyn |  | 57,725 |  | 64,015 |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 6285 | 10.89\% | 6905 | 10.79\% |
| 25-44 | 16,710 | 28.95\% | 18,370 | 28.70\% |
| 45-64 | 15,600 | 27.02\% | 18,670 | 29.17\% |
| 65+ | 10,135 | 17.56\% | 10,745 | 16.79\% |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

| Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $60-64$ | $44.6 \%$ | $80-84$ | $-11.8 \%$ |
| $85+$ | $40.6 \%$ | $75-79$ | $-5.5 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | $24.7 \%$ | $40-44$ | $-4.8 \%$ |


| Ward $6-$ Etobicoke-Lakeshore | 2006 population | 2011 population |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Councillor Mark Grimes | 56,920 | 58,995 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | \% of population |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | $10.48 \%$ |
| $15-24$ | 6075 | $10.67 \%$ | 6185 | $31.04 \%$ |
| $25-44$ | 18,635 | $32.74 \%$ | 18,310 | $31.25 \%$ |
| $45-64$ | 16,395 | $28.80 \%$ | 18,435 | $14.61 \%$ |
| $65+$ | 7985 | $14.03 \%$ | 8620 |  |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

| Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $85+$ | $33.3 \%$ | $10-14$ | $-17.7 \%$ |
| $60-64$ | $32.3 \%$ | $40-44$ | $-15.7 \%$ |
| $65-69$ | $17.4 \%$ | $75-79$ | $-7.5 \%$ |


| Ward 7 - York West |  | 2006 population | 2011 population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Councillor Giorgio Mammoliti |  | 49,360 |  | 085 |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 6505 | 13.18\% | 7270 | 13.96\% |
| 25-44 | 14,775 | 29.93\% | 14,365 | 27.58\% |
| 45-64 | 10,530 | 21.33\% | 12,550 | 24.10\% |
| 65+ | 7120 | 14.42\% | 7525 | 14.45\% |
| 2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
|  | 85+ | 54.1\% | 65-69 | -12.5\% |
|  | 80-84 | 36.0\% | 35-39 | -9.5\% |
|  | 50-54 | 27.1\% | 5-9 | -7.4\% |
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| Ward 8 - York West | 2006 population |  | 2011 population |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Councillor Anthony Perruzza | 48,205 | 50,340 |  |  |
| Age Group | 2006 population | $\%$ of population | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ population | $\%$ |
| $15-24$ | 7695 | $15.96 \%$ | 8630 | $17.14 \%$ |
| $25-44$ | 15,255 | $31.65 \%$ | 14,800 | $29.40 \%$ |
| $45-64$ | 9560 | $19.83 \%$ | 11,165 | $22.18 \%$ |
| $65+$ | 5170 | $10.73 \%$ | 5660 | $11.24 \%$ |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 85+ | 61.3\% | 35-39 | -15.5\% |
|  | 50-54 | 26.5\% | 10-14 | -9.3\% |
|  | 80-84 | 23.0\% | 40-44 | -6.1\% |
| Ward 9 - Yo |  | 2006 population |  | 2011 population |
| Councillor M | gimeri | 44,980 |  | 46,040 |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 5555 | 12.35\% | 5845 | 12.70\% |
| 25-44 | 13,255 | 29.47\% | 12,410 | 26.95\% |
| 45-64 | 10,515 | 23.38\% | 12,040 | 26.15\% |
| 65+ | 7590 | 16.87\% | 7865 | 17.08\% |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

| Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $85+$ | $54.3 \%$ | $65-69$ | $-14.2 \%$ |
| $50-54$ | $28.5 \%$ | $35-39$ | $-13.1 \%$ |
| $80-84$ | $21.9 \%$ | $40-44$ | $-9.7 \%$ |


| Ward $10-$ York Centre <br> Councillor James Pasternak | 2006 population <br> 62,120 |  | 2011 population <br> 64,830 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| $15-24$ | 8090 | $13.02 \%$ | 18,230 | $12.22 \%$ |
| $25-44$ | 17,455 | $28.10 \%$ | 17,660 | $28.12 \%$ |
| $45-64$ | 15,340 | $24.69 \%$ | 11,005 | $27.24 \%$ |
| $65+$ | 11,430 | $18.40 \%$ | $16.98 \%$ |  |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 60-64 | 51.9\% | 75-79 | -14.1\% |
|  | 50-54 | 18.2\% | 80-84 | -10.7\% |
|  | Less than 5 | 16.7\% | 20-24 | -6.3\% |
| Ward 11 - York South-Weston Councillor Frances Nunziata |  | 2006 population |  | 2011 population |
|  |  | 60,325 |  | 62,385 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age Group } \\ 15-24 \\ 25-44 \\ 45-64 \\ 65+ \end{gathered}$ | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
|  | 8030 | 13.31\% | 8435 | 13.52\% |
|  | 18,080 | 29.97\% | 17,625 | 28.25\% |
|  | 14,795 | 24.53\% | 17,165 | 27.51\% |
|  | 7865 | 13.04\% | 8215 | 13.17\% |
|  | 2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3) |  |  |  |
|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
|  | 60-64 | 25.7\% | 40-44 | -12.7\% |
|  | 55-59 | 21.2\% | 35-39 | -10.2\% |
|  | 50-54 | 17.8\% | 10-14 | -8.2\% |
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| Ward 12 - York South-Weston |  | 2006 population | 2011 population54,005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Councillor F | Giorgio | 54,290 |  |  |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 6965 | 12.83\% | 6995 | 12.95\% |
| 25-44 | 16,195 | 29.83\% | 14,960 | 27.70\% |
| 45-64 | 11,970 | 22.05\% | 13,675 | 25.32\% |
| $65+$ | 8340 | 15.36\% | 8240 | 15.26\% |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 50-54 | 28.4\% | 35-39 | -15.8\% |
|  | 85+ | 17.0\% | 5-9 | -15.0\% |
|  | 60-64 | 16.4\% | 70-74 | -11.7\% |
| Ward 13 - P | e-High Park | 2006 population |  | 2011 population |
| Councillor S | oucette | 50,985 |  | 53,270 |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 5390 | 10.57\% | 5310 | 9.97\% |
| 25-44 | 17,645 | 34.61\% | 18,085 | 33.95\% |
| 45-64 | 13,350 | 26.18\% | 14,715 | 27.62\% |
| 65+ | 6770 | 13.28\% | 6855 | 12.87\% |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

| Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $60-64$ | $39.1 \%$ | $80-84$ | $-22.4 \%$ |
| $65-69$ | $22.8 \%$ | $75-79$ | $-12.5 \%$ |
| $85+$ | $16.8 \%$ | $10-14$ | $-3.8 \%$ |


|  |  |  |  | 2011 population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ward 14 - Parkdale-High Park | 2006 population | 53,765 |  |  |
| Councillor Gord Perks | 52,450 | 2011 population | \% of population |  |
|  |  |  | 5435 | $10.11 \%$ |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 21,135 | $39.31 \%$ |
| $15-24$ | 5515 | $10.51 \%$ | 13,770 | $25.61 \%$ |
| $25-44$ | 21,020 | $40.08 \%$ | 5955 | $11.08 \%$ |
| $45-64$ | 12,515 | $23.86 \%$ |  |  |
| $65+$ | 6015 | $11.47 \%$ |  |  |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 60-64 | 35.3\% | 80-84 | -17.5\% |
|  | 65-69 | 12.7\% | 75-79 | -14.6\% |
|  | 85+ | 12.1\% | 15-19 | -4.3\% |
| Ward 15 - Eglinton-Lawrence Councillor Josh Colle |  | 2006 population |  | 2011 population |
|  |  | 61,485 |  | 62,050 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age Group } \\ 15-24 \\ 25-44 \\ 45-64 \\ 65+ \end{gathered}$ | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
|  | 7305 | 11.88\% | 7360 | 11.86\% |
|  | 18,005 | 29.28\% | 17,165 | 27.66\% |
|  | 13,865 | 22.55\% | 15,690 | 25.29\% |
|  | 11,485 | 18.68\% | 11,265 | 18.15\% |
|  | 2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3) |  |  |  |
|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
|  | 60-64 | 27.7\% | 5-9 | -9.0\% |
|  | 50-54 | 17.1\% | 75-79 | -9.0\% |
|  | 85+ | 13.4\% | 40-44 | -8.7\% |
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| Ward 16 - Eglinton-Lawrence Councillor Karen Stintz |  | 2006 population | 2011 population53,985 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 51,875 |  |  |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 6045 | 11.65\% | 6610 | 12.24\% |
| 25-44 | 15,150 | 29.20\% | 14,290 | 26.47\% |
| 45-64 | 13,825 | 26.65\% | 15,295 | 28.33\% |
| 65+ | 6780 | 13.07\% | 7215 | 13.36\% |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 60-64 | 31.9\% | 75-79 | -12.9\% |
|  | 65-69 | 30.5\% | 25-29 | -7.4\% |
|  | 85+ | 13.0\% | 30-34 | -7.2\% |
| Ward 17 - D |  | 2006 population |  | 2011 population |
| Councillor C | alacio | 50,995 |  | 50,510 |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 6905 | 13.54\% | 6560 | 12.99\% |
| 25-44 | 16,875 | 33.09\% | 16,205 | 32.08\% |
| 45-64 | 12,650 | 24.81\% | 13,710 | 27.14\% |
| 65+ | 6190 | 12.14\% | 6405 | 12.68\% |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

| Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $85+$ | $45.2 \%$ | $10-14$ | $-17.7 \%$ |
| $60-64$ | $22.2 \%$ | $40-44$ | $-13.8 \%$ |
| $80-84$ | $16.3 \%$ | $20-24$ | $-8.8 \%$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ward 18 - Davenport | 2006 population | 2011 population |  |  |
| Councillor Ana Bailao | 46,120 | 44,970 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | \% of population |
| Age Group | 2006 population | 6035 | $13.09 \%$ | $11.69 \%$ |
| $15-24$ | 17,450 | $37.84 \%$ | 5250 | $39.15 \%$ |
| $25-44$ | 10,625 | $23.04 \%$ | 17,605 | $24.26 \%$ |
| $45-64$ | 5705 | $12.37 \%$ | 5835 | $12.98 \%$ |
| $65+$ |  |  |  |  |



## Age Counts ${ }^{\circ}$

| Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina Councillor Adam Vaughan |  | 2006 population | 2011 population76,600 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 61,135 |  |  |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 9110 | 14.90\% | 11,920 | 15.56\% |
| 25-44 | 27,485 | 44.96\% | 36,750 | 47.98\% |
| 45-64 | 12,390 | 20.27\% | 14,815 | 19.34\% |
| 65+ | 7240 | 11.84\% | 7990 | 10.43\% |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 25-29 | 47.4\% | 5-9 | -6.7\% |
|  | 30-34 | 39.5\% | 10-14 | -3.9\% |
|  | 20-24 | 38.5\% | 70-74 | +3.1\% |
| Ward 21 - S |  | 2006 population |  | 2011 population |
| Councillor Jo |  | 48,205 |  | 48,640 |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 5630 | 11.68\% | 5655 | 11.63\% |
| 25-44 | 15,710 | 32.59\% | 15,060 | 30.96\% |
| 45-64 | 12,210 | 25.33\% | 12,990 | 26.71\% |
| 65+ | 7765 | 16.11\% | 8280 | 17.02\% |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

| Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $60-64$ | $31.6 \%$ | $10-14$ | $-15.7 \%$ |
| $65-69$ | $21.4 \%$ | $35-39$ | $-10.1 \%$ |
| $20-24$ | $8.3 \%$ | $15-19$ | $-9.0 \%$ |


|  |  |  |  | 2011 population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ward 22 - St. Paul's | 2006 population | 65,515 |  |  |
| Councillor Josh Matlow | 60,225 |  | \% of population |  |
|  |  |  |  | $9.45 \%$ |
| Age Group | 2006 population | 5795 | $9.62 \%$ | 2011 population |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

| Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $65-69$ | $34.6 \%$ | $35-39$ | $-4.0 \%$ |
| $85+$ | $28.7 \%$ | $15-19$ | $+1.1 \%$ |
| $60-64$ | $21.7 \%$ | $40-44$ | $+1.9 \%$ |


| Ward 23 - Willowdale |  | 2006 po | 2011 population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Councillor John Filion |  |  |  |  |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 11,520 | 14.49\% | 11,755 | 13.29\% |
| 25-44 | 27,435 | 34.50\% | 30,755 | 34.78\% |
| 45-64 | 19,845 | 24.96\% | 23,350 | 26.40\% |
| 65+ | 11,435 | 14.38\% | 12,310 | 13.92\% |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

| Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $60-64$ | $39.3 \%$ | $15-19$ | $-8.5 \%$ |
| $85+$ | $27.2 \%$ | $75-79$ | $-3.7 \%$ |
| Less than 5 | $24.0 \%$ | $10-14$ | $-1.7 \%$ |

## Age Counts ${ }^{\circ}$

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ward 24-Willowdale <br> Councillor David Shiner | 2006 population | 2011 population |  |
|  |  | 59,455 | 62,355 |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

| Age Group | $\boldsymbol{+}$ | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $85+$ | $43.0 \%$ | $10-14$ | $-13.1 \%$ |
| $60-64$ | $35.5 \%$ | $15-19$ | $-11.3 \%$ |
| $25-29$ | $16.0 \%$ | $20-24$ | $-6.1 \%$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ward 25 - Don Valley West | 2006 population | 2011 population |  |  |
| Councillor Jaye Robinson | 56,285 | 58,315 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | \% of population |


|  | 2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 lowest 3) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| $60-64$ | $20.7 \%$ | $75-79$ | $-14.4 \%$ |
| $65-69$ | $19.4 \%$ | $35-39$ | $-7.8 \%$ |
| $45-49$ | $10.0 \%$ | $85+$ | $-4.0 \%$ |


| Ward 26 - Don Valley West |  | 2006 population | 2011 population 64,910 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Councillor John Parker |  | 60,815 |  |  |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 7005 | 11.52\% | 7860 | 12.11\% |
| 25-44 | 19,100 | 31.41\% | 18,825 | 29.00\% |
| 45-64 | 13,765 | 22.63\% | 15,750 | 24.26\% |
| 65+ | 7920 | 13.02\% | 9000 | 13.87\% |
| 2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
|  | 85+ | 33.2\% | 35-39 | -10.4\% |
|  | 60-64 | 28.5\% | 30-34 | -3.8\% |
|  | 65-69 | 20.6\% | 40-44 | -2.5\% |


| Ward 27 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale Councillor Kristyn Wong-Tam |  | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \text { population } \\ 69,635 \end{gathered}$ | 2011 population 78,670 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 9135 | 13.12\% | 11,710 | 14.88\% |
| 25-44 | 23,345 | 33.52\% | 31,500 | 40.04\% |
| 45-64 | 17,335 | 24.89\% | 19,795 | 25.16\% |
| $65+$ | 8755 | 12.57\% | 10,245 | 13.02\% |
| 2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
|  | 20-24 | 32.6\% | 10-14 | -9.5\% |
|  | 65-69 | 27.7\% | 40-44 | -7.4\% |
|  | 25-29 | 26.0\% | 35-39 | -4.0\% |

## Age Counts ${ }^{\circ}$

| Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale Councillor Pam McConnell |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2006 \text { population } \\ & 60,330 \end{aligned}$ | 2011 population 66,585 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 6840 | 11.34\% | 7630 | 11.46\% |
| 25-44 | 23,900 | 39.62\% | 26,995 | 40.54\% |
| 45-64 | 15,325 | 25.40\% | 17,570 | 26.39\% |
| 65+ | 5665 | 9.39\% | 6775 | 10.17\% |
| 2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
|  | 25-29 | 40.9\% | 5-9 | -20.6\% |
|  | 65-69 | 29.1\% | 10-14 | -12.4\% |
|  | 60-64 | 27.8\% | 40-44 | -6.6\% |
| Ward 29 - Toronto-Danforth Councillor Mary Fragedakis |  | 2006 population | 2011 population 44,935 |  |
|  |  | 44,850 |  |  |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 4520 | 10.08\% | 4450 | 9.90\% |
| 25-44 | 15,555 | 34.68\% | 14,425 | 32.10\% |
| 45-64 | 11,855 | 26.43\% | 12,900 | 28.71\% |
| 65+ | 6180 | 13.78\% | 6410 | 14.27\% |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 60-64 | 36.9\% | 10-14 | -10.2\% |
|  | 85+ | 11.4\% | 40-44 | -9.8\% |
|  | 65-69 | 6.6\% | 35-39 | -7.8\% |
| Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth |  | 2006 population |  | 2011 population |
| Councillor Paula Fletcher |  | 52,815 |  | 53,290 |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 6065 | 11.48\% | 5725 | 10.74\% |
| 25-44 | 19,260 | 36.47\% | 18,570 | 34.85\% |
| 45-64 | 13,760 | 26.05\% | 15,100 | 28.34\% |
| 65+ | 5830 | 11.04\% | 6070 | 11.39\% |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

| Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $60-64$ | $34.0 \%$ | $10-14$ | $-13.1 \%$ |
| Less than 5 | $20.5 \%$ | $40-44$ | $-12.5 \%$ |
| $65-69$ | $13.1 \%$ | $5-9$ | $-10.8 \%$ |


| Ward 31 - Beaches-East York Councillor Janet Davis |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2006 \text { population } \\ & 52,800 \end{aligned}$ |  | ulation 570 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 5860 | 11.10\% | 5875 | 10.97\% |
| 25-44 | 17,275 | 32.72\% | 16,365 | 30.55\% |
| 45-64 | 13,535 | 25.63\% | 15,435 | 28.81\% |
| 65+ | 6775 | 12.83\% | 6840 | 12.77\% |
| 2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
|  | 60-64 | 37.5\% | 75-79 | -14.2\% |
|  | 55-59 | 21.1\% | 40-44 | -9.8\% |
|  | 50-54 | 12.6\% | 35-39 | -9.5\% |

## Age Counts ${ }^{\circ}$

| Ward 32 - Beaches-East York |  | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \text { population } \\ 55,835 \end{gathered}$ | 2011 population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Councillor Mary-Margaret McMahon |  |  |  |  |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 5890 | 10.55\% | 5825 | 10.15\% |
| 25-44 | 19,495 | 34.92\% | 18,395 | 32.07\% |
| 45-64 | 15,440 | 27.65\% | 17,345 | 30.24\% |
| 65+ | 5580 | 9.99\% | 5850 | 10.18\% |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

| Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $60-64$ | $41.7 \%$ | $80-84$ | $-11.5 \%$ |
| $65-69$ | $29.6 \%$ | $75-79$ | $-9.6 \%$ |
| Less than 5 | $12.1 \%$ | $30-34$ | $-8.8 \%$ |


|  |  |  |  | 2011 population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ward 33 - Don Valley East | 2006 population | 57,150 |  |  |
| Councillor Shelley Carroll | 57,660 |  | \% of population |  |
|  |  |  |  | $12.78 \%$ |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% population | 7305 | $30.24 \%$ |
| $15-24$ | 7710 | $13.37 \%$ | 17,285 | $26.14 \%$ |
| $25-44$ | 19,055 | $33.05 \%$ | 14,940 | $15.00 \%$ |
| $45-64$ | 13,690 | $23.74 \%$ | 8570 |  |
| $65+$ | 7965 | $13.81 \%$ |  |  |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

| Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $85+$ | $27.4 \%$ | $35-39$ | $-17.0 \%$ |
| $80-84$ | $21.2 \%$ | $30-34$ | $-16.0 \%$ |
| $60-64$ | $14.7 \%$ | $10-14$ | $-12.0 \%$ |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ward $\mathbf{3 4}$ - Don Valley East | 2006 population | 2011 population |  |
| Councillor Denzil Minnan-Wong | 57,500 | 59,430 |  |



## Age Counts ${ }^{\circ}$

| Ward 36 - Scarborough Southwest Councillor Gary Crawford |  | 2006 population | 2011 population 54,115 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 51,835 |  |  |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 6450 | 12.44\% | 7135 | 13.18\% |
| 25-44 | 13,935 | 26.88\% | 13,250 | 24.48\% |
| 45-64 | 14,425 | 27.83\% | 16,665 | 30.80\% |
| 65+ | 7600 | 14.66\% | 7770 | 14.36\% |
| 2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3) |  |  |  |  |
| Age Group |  | + | Age Group | - |
| 60-64 |  | 42.4\% | 40-44 | -12.4\% |
| 85+ |  | 23.7\% | 75-79 | -10.8\% |
| 50-54 |  | 16.1\% | 70-74 | -7.8\% |
| Ward 37 - Scarborough Centre |  | 2006 population | 2011 population |  |
| Councillor Michael Thompson |  | 63,375 | 64,345 |  |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 7810 | 12.32\% | 8460 | 13.15\% |
| 25-44 | 18,315 | 28.90\% | 16,505 | 25.65\% |
| 45-64 | 15,550 | 24.54\% | 18,120 | 28.16\% |
| 65+ | 9945 | 15.69\% | 9940 | 15.45\% |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 85+ | 29.2\% | 75-79 | -17.3\% |
|  | 60-64 | 23.6\% | 40-44 | -16.5\% |
|  | 55-59 | 21.6\% | 35-39 | -10.9\% |
| Ward 38 - S | ough Centre | 2006 population |  | 2011 population |
| Councillor G | Baeremaeker | 63,840 |  | 66,830 |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 8405 | 13.17\% | 9465 | 14.16\% |
| 25-44 | 19,805 | 31.02\% | 19,245 | 28.80\% |
| 45-64 | 14,355 | 22.49\% | 16,765 | 25.09\% |
| 65+ | 9630 | 15.08\% | 10,090 | 15.10\% |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

| Age Group | $\boldsymbol{+}$ | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $85+$ | $35.2 \%$ | $40-44$ | $-7.6 \%$ |
| $60-64$ | $22.5 \%$ | $70-74$ | $-7.3 \%$ |
| $55-59$ | $19.2 \%$ | $5-9$ | $-6.4 \%$ |


| Ward 39 - Scarborough-Agincourt Councillor Mike Del Grande |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2006 \text { population } \\ & 54,935 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \text { population } \\ & 54,975 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 7950 | 14.47\% | 7655 | 13.92\% |
| 25-44 | 15,745 | 28.66\% | 14,025 | 25.51\% |
| 45-64 | 14,480 | 26.36\% | 16,135 | 29.35\% |
| 65+ | 8390 | 15.27\% | 9665 | 17.58\% |
| 2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
|  | 85+ | 66.9\% | 35-39 | -22.7\% |
|  | 60-64 | 29.6\% | 30-34 | -17.1\% |
|  | 80-84 | 25.0\% | 5-9 | -13.6\% |

## Age Counts ${ }^{\circ}$

|  |  |  |  | 2011 population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ward 40-Scarborough-Agincourt | 2006 population |  | 62,030 |  |
| Councillor Norm Kelly | 61,505 |  | \% of population |  |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | $12.25 \%$ |
| $15-24$ | 7415 | $12.06 \%$ | 7600 | $27.21 \%$ |
| $25-44$ | 18,805 | $30.57 \%$ | 16,880 | $26.79 \%$ |
| $45-64$ | 14,450 | $23.49 \%$ | 16,620 | $17.99 \%$ |
| $65+$ | 10,465 | $17.01 \%$ | 11,160 |  |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

| Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $85+$ | $41.2 \%$ | $30-34$ | $-15.9 \%$ |
| $60-64$ | $25.0 \%$ | $35-39$ | $-14.8 \%$ |
| $50-54$ | $15.9 \%$ | $40-44$ | $-12.1 \%$ |


|  |  |  |  | 2011 population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ward 41 - Scarborough-Rouge River | 2006 population | 68,145 |  |  |
| Councillor Chin Lee | 67,660 |  | \% of population |  |
|  |  |  |  | $13.99 \%$ |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | $25.72 \%$ |
| $15-24$ | 9710 | $14.35 \%$ | 17,535 | $30.44 \%$ |
| $25-44$ | 19,685 | $29.09 \%$ | 20,740 | $15.81 \%$ |
| $45-64$ | 18,340 | $27.11 \%$ | 10,775 |  |
| $65+$ | 9250 | $13.67 \%$ |  |  |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

| Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $85+$ | $67.9 \%$ | $40-44$ |
| $60-64$ | $40.9 \%$ | $10-14$ | $-20.9 \%$ |
|  | $80-84$ | $35.6 \%$ | $35-39$ |

2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3)

| Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $85+$ | $47.0 \%$ | $35-39$ | $-11.8 \%$ |
| $80-84$ | $44.4 \%$ | $40-44$ | $-11.5 \%$ |
| $60-64$ | $40.0 \%$ | $5-9$ | $-6.6 \%$ |


| Ward 43 - Scarborough East Councillor Paul Ainslie |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2006 \text { population } \\ & 54,305 \end{aligned}$ |  | ulation $130$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 7375 | 13.58\% | 7800 | 14.15\% |
| 25-44 | 14,605 | 26.89\% | 13,790 | 25.01\% |
| 45-64 | 13,280 | 24.45\% | 14,710 | 26.68\% |
| 65+ | 7750 | 14.27\% | 8230 | 14.93\% |
| 2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
|  | 85+ | 21.2\% | 35-39 | -10.8\% |
|  | 60-64 | 18.9\% | 5-9 | -9.0\% |
|  | 80-84 | 16.1\% | 10-14 | -8.8\% |

## Age Counts ${ }^{\circ}$

| Ward 44 - Scarborough East Councillor Ron Moeser |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2006 \text { population } \\ & 58,945 \end{aligned}$ | 2011 population60,240 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | 2006 population | \% of population | 2011 population | \% of population |
| 15-24 | 9160 | 15.54\% | 8865 | 14.72\% |
| 25-44 | 14,770 | 25.06\% | 13,875 | 23.03\% |
| 45-64 | 17,705 | 30.04\% | 19,100 | 31.71\% |
| 65+ | 7215 | 12.24\% | 8995 | 14.93\% |
| 2006 to 2011 Percentage Change (highest 3 / lowest 3) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Age Group | + | Age Group | - |
|  | 85+ | 35.8\% | 40-44 | -17.2\% |
|  | 70-74 | 30.2\% | 5-9 | -11.8\% |
|  | 65-69 | 29.0\% | 35-39 | -10.0\% |

