

From: [Derek Moran](#)
To: [councilmeeting](#)
Subject: re: written submission as a communication for CC21.2 Property Tax Payment Deferral Program due to COVID-19
Date: May 28, 2020 12:55:13 AM
Attachments: [Notice - City of Toronto full disclosure property taxes.docx](#)

Hi,

I have a written submission i wish to be included as a communication for tomorrow's Toronto City Council meeting regarding item [CC21.2](#) Property Tax Payment Deferral Program due to COVID-19 Impacts.

I've included my communication as an attachment to this email.

Thank you,
"Derek Moran"

THIS IS A NOTICE REQUIRING FULL DISCLOSURE FOR THE LAW THAT SAYS ANY MAN AND/OR WOMAN AND/OR PEOPLE WHO HAVE A HOME HAVE TO PAY PROPERTY TAXES

1. WHEREAS Premier Doug Ford during this virus scamdemic has mentioned numerous times about the “PUBLIC DOMAIN”;

2. TAKE NOTICE this is what the Alberta Court of Appeal had to say in regards to all persons being legally bound to take notice of the laws of the country where they are:

[Neilson v. Underwood](#), 1933 CanLII 476 (AB CA)

Court of Appeal — Alberta

1933-07-24 | 39 pages | cited by 3 documents

solemnization of marriage — ceremony — marriage — marriage of minors — contract

[...] **All persons are legally bound to take notice of the laws of the country where they are domiciled.**

3. TAKE NOTICE this is what the Federal Court of Appeal had to say in regards to information being in the public domain:

[Canada \(Health\) v. Merck Frosst Canada Ltd.](#), 2009 FCA 166

2009-05-26 | 40 pages | cited by 5 documents

government institution — information — disclosure — trade secret — records

[...] [83] In **Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce v. Canada** (Canadian Human Rights Commission), 2007 FCA 272, Justice Pelletier, writing for the Court, **emphasized the importance of checking whether the specific information is in the public domain:** [...]

4. TAKE NOTICE this is what the Ontario Superior Court had to say in regards to what the legal definition for “public domain” is:

[Elbakhiet, Ahmed v. Palmer, Metcalfe Realty Company Limited and Kingsway General Insurance Company](#), 2018 ONSC 105

2018-03-14 | 10 pages | cited by 1 document

sealing — manuals — exhibits — testing — motion

[...] In the **Dictionary of Canadian Law**, 4th ed. “public domain” is defined as “**the sphere in which the public operates. Something is in the public domain if it is generally known to the public.**” [...]

5. TAKE NOTICE this is what the Federal Court had to say in regards to a municipality having a duty to provide full disclosure of information:

[Friends of Point Pleasant Park v. Canada \(Attorney General\)](#), 2000 CanLII 16708 (FC)

2000-12-06 | 22 pages

pest — infested — trees — inspector — specimens

[...] landowner; **Norman v. Port Moody (City)**, [1995] B.C.J. No. 2695 (S.C.), appeal dismissed [1996] B.C.J. No. 2642 (C.A.) (Q.L.) where **the municipality was found to owe a duty to the public to provide full disclosure of information** prior to a public hearing to consider an amending by-law concerning community wetlands. [...]

6. WHEREAS it is not in the “public domain” what the law that says any man and/or woman and/or people who have a home have to pay property taxes is;

7. TAKE NOTICE that I, “Derek Moran” sole-living-beneficiary, **require full disclosure** from the City of Toronto for the law that says any man and/or woman and/or people who have a home have to pay property taxes. Responses shall be sent by email to billymadisun@yahoo.com.