

---

# **“TIRM” Consultation Package**

---

## **Section 3 – Disposal RFP Comparative Evaluation Criteria**

---

Contents:

Draft Comparative Evaluation Criteria – Category 2 Proven Disposal Feedback Forms

---

### **For your Comment**

---

Please note:

Companies putting forward proposals are responsible for acquiring the needed approvals for their sites and abiding by any rules that govern these approvals. The city will not be evaluating these site-specific approvals. In TIRM, the city is evaluating the “macro-environmental” impacts of the proposed disposal services.

Your feedback will help to finalize the evaluation criteria that will be used to determine which proposals are more favoured.

There will be an opportunity to make a presentation about these criteria and the Disposal Request for Proposals to the City’s Works Committee in September 1999. Keep up to date by calling us or by checking the project web page at: <http://www.city.toronto.on.ca/involved/swm/swmmep.htm>.

**Draft Comparative Evaluation Criteria  
For Stakeholder Consultation**

<b>Comparative Evaluation Criteria (Waste Disposal Capacity)</b>			
	<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Priority (weighting factor points out of a total of 100 points)</b>
1.	<u>Human Health and Safety and Natural Environment</u>		35
1.1	Macro-Environmental	Quantity of greenhouse gases released, expressed as the quantity emitted per tonne of waste managed (transported and disposed): · Greenhouse gases (CO <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>4</sub> ) expressed as global warming potential CO <sub>2</sub> equivalents.	30
1.2	Traffic Safety	Safety rates per mode of transportation and distance travelled	5
2.	<u>Ontario and GTA Social Benefits</u>		30
2.1	Direct Jobs - Jobs created/allocated to the contract	Net number of jobs.  Preference is given to jobs in the GTA and Ontario outside the GTA respectively.	10  (GTA 7; Ontario 3)
2.2	Value of the Jobs - Total value of jobs created/allocated to contract	Net present value \$/tonne of wages and investment.  Preference is given to jobs' value in the GTA and Ontario outside the GTA respectively.	10  (GTA 7; Ontario 3)
2.3	Investment in Goods - Total value of goods purchased in the execution of the contract	Total value of goods purchased from GTA and Ontario outside the GTA respectively.  Preference is given to investment in the GTA and Ontario outside the GTA respectively.	10  (GTA 7; Ontario 3)

<b>Comparative Evaluation Criteria (Waste Disposal Capacity)</b>			
	<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Measure</b>	<b>Priority (weighting factor points out of a total of 100 points)</b>
3.	<u>Financial</u>		35
3.1	System Costs - Transportation and disposal cost (i.e. tipping fee)	Net present value \$/tonne.	35

**Explanation of words used:**

“Comparative evaluation criteria” - will be used to compare the submitted disposal proposals to each other. The top ranking proposals will then be the subject of “due diligence” (further review of the proposal and proposed site(s)) and contract negotiations. This will eventually lead to the award of contract(s) to fulfil the City’s waste disposal requirements.

“Criteria” – These considerations (Human Health and Safety and Natural Environment, Ontario and GTA Social Benefits, Financial) will be used to compare proposals against each other. Under the main criterion heading, you see the different aspects to be considered. These criteria were developed as a result of previous consultation efforts on this project.

“Measure” – Under the measure column you see how the city intends to “measure” each criterion. For example, in order to evaluate how well a proposal does when considering “direct jobs”, the city will measure the “net number of jobs created”.

“Priority” – To be able to determine the top qualified proposals, each measure will be given a score. Proposals will be marked out of a possible 100 points.

The number of points given to each criterion and its measures represents how important it is in the evaluation process. For example, “Human Health and Safety and Natural Environment” currently is worth a possible 35 out of 100 points.

# Feedback Forms

---

## Step 1 – Comparative Evaluation Criteria

Currently, there are three “Comparative Evaluation Criteria” that the City is proposing to use to evaluate the responses to Request for Proposals for Category 2 – Proven Waste Disposal. These are:

- *Human Health and Safety and Natural Environment (macro-environmental, traffic safety)*
- *Ontario and GTA Social Benefits (direct jobs, value of jobs, investment in goods)*
- *Financial (system costs)*

***Do you think that there are any RFP criteria (or their subcategories) which need to be added, deleted or modified?***

Criteria and subcategory	Please explain

**Step 2 – How will each criterion be measured?**

**a) Measuring Human Health and Safety and Natural Environment**

***Do think that the proposed method of measuring “health, safety and natural environmental” effects is appropriate for this project?***

***I.e. Quantity of greenhouse gases  
Safety rates by mode of transportation and distance traveled***

\_\_\_\_\_ Yes

\_\_\_\_\_ No

Please explain additions, deletions or changes.

<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Please Explain Why this Method is More Appropriate</b>

Notes to City:

**b) Measuring Ontario and GTA Social Benefits**

***Do think that the proposed method of measuring “Ontario and GTA Social Benefits” effects is appropriate for this project?***

***i.e. Net number of jobs  
Net present value \$/tonne of wages and investment  
Total value of goods purchased from GTA and Ontario outside the GTA  
respectively***

\_\_\_\_\_ Yes  
\_\_\_\_\_ No  
Please explain changes, additions or deletions.

<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Please Explain Why this Method is More Appropriate</b>

Notes to City:

**c) Measuring Financial Effects**

***Do think that the proposed method of measuring financial effects is appropriate for this project?***

***I.e. Net present value \$/tonne***

\_\_\_\_\_

Yes

\_\_\_\_\_

No

Please explain changes, additions or deletions.

<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Please Explain Why this Method is More Appropriate</b>

Notes to City:

### Step 3 – Priority Weighting

***Do you think that the weighting scores given to each of the criteria and their measures is appropriate?***

***i.e. Human Health and Safety and Natural Environment – 35 total for this criterion  
quantity of greenhouse gases release (30 points of 35 points)  
safety rates per mode of transport (5 points of 35 points)***

***Ontario and GTA Social Benefits – 30 total for this criterion***

***Financial – 35 total for this criterion***

***If you are suggesting a change to the weighting, please indicate how other criteria will change as a result.***

Criteria and Weighting Suggested	Please explain

**Other Comments:**

### **Demographic Questions - Optional**

1. Please check the most appropriate category.

- Citizen – which municipality? \_\_\_\_\_
- Waste Management Industry
- Non-profit group
- Other – Please explain

2. How did you hear about this project?

- Ad in Newspaper – which one? \_\_\_\_\_
- Word of Mouth
- Direct Mail
- Other – Please explain

3. If you would like to have your name added to the project mailing list, please provide your mailing address below.

4. Do you have any suggestions on how we can improve consultation activities for this project in the future?

### **Your Comments**

Please return your comments, no later than Friday, August 27, 1999, by using any of the following methods:

Tracey Ehl Harrison, Senior Consultation Coordinator  
City of Toronto -Works and Emergency Services Department

fax (416) 392-2974  
phone (416) 397-7777  
toll free (800) 465-4056  
TDD (416) 397-0831  
e-mail works\_consultation@city.toronto.on.ca  
mail 55 John Street  
18<sup>th</sup> Floor, Station 1180  
Toronto, Ontario  
M5V 3C6