



---

**PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE  
AGENDA  
MEETING No. 4**

<b>Date of Meeting:</b>	<b>March 26, 2001</b>	<b>Enquiry:</b>	<b>Christine Archibald</b>
<b>Time:</b>	<b>9:30 a.m.</b>		<b>Administrator</b>
<b>Location:</b>	<b>Committee Room 1</b>		<b>(416) 392-7039</b>
	<b>City Hall</b>		<b>carchiba@city.toronto.on.ca</b>
	<b>100 Queen Street West</b>		

---

**DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST PURSUANT TO THE MUNICIPAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST ACT.**

**CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 5, 2001 AND FEBRUARY 19, 2001 MEETINGS – available in qry\2001\standing\planning\minutes**

**PRESENTATIONS/DEPUTATIONS:**

**10:00 a.m. TRUCK DRIVERS HOURS OF SERVICE (Item 1)**

**10:30 a.m. APPLICATION BY GTAA TO AMEND THE OFFICIAL PLANS OF THE FORMER MUNICIPALITY OF METROPOLITAN TORONTO AND THE FORMER CITIES OF ETOBICOKE AND NORTH YORK (WARD 2, ETOBICOKE NORTH; WARD 3, ETOBICOKE CENTRE; WARD 7, YORK WEST) (Item 2)**

**11:30 a.m. PROGRAM ENHANCEMENTS AND CONSOLIDATION OF BY-LAWS AFFECTING PARKING ENFORCEMENT ON PRIVATE PROPERTY (Item 3)**

**2:00 p.m. PROPOSED EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT CHARGES BY THE TORONTO DISTRICT SEPARATE SCHOOL BOARD (Item 4)**

**1. 10:00 a.m. TRUCK DRIVERS HOURS OF SERVICE**

Joe MacDonald, Senior Partner  
Corporate Communications & Public Affairs Ltd.  
(March 8, 2001)

**Expressing** concerns regarding proposed changes to regulations governing truck drivers hours of service and seeking support for the following:

- a resolution asking the provincial and federal governments to hold public hearings on the proposed changes to truck driver hours of service regulations; and
- a request that these hearings explicitly address the question of the significant difference between Canadian and American hours of service regulations.

**Note: Report titled “Summary of Proceedings ‘Truck Drivers Workload Public Consultation Forum’ appended to the above noted report is on file in the City Clerk’s Division.**

**DEPUTATION HEARING:**

**2. 10:30 a.m. APPLICATION BY GTAA TO AMEND THE OFFICIAL PLANS OF THE FORMER MUNICIPALITY OF METROPOLITAN TORONTO AND THE FORMER CITIES OF ETOBICOKE AND NORTH YORK (WARD 2, ETOBICOKE NORTH; WARD 3, ETOBICOKE CENTRE; WARD 7, YORK WEST)**

Commissioner, Urban Development Services  
(March 2, 2001)

**To consider** a proposal by the Greater Toronto Airports Authority to amend the Metropolitan Toronto, Etobicoke and North York Official Plans and **recommending** that:

- (1) City Council, following consideration of the comments received at the public meeting, instruct City Legal staff to request the Ontario Municipal Board to amend the Metropolitan Toronto, Etobicoke and North York Official Plans generally in accordance with the draft amendments attached to this report;
- (2) City Council, by resolution, insert a new Appendix “F” into the Metropolitan Toronto Official Plan; and,
- (3) City Council, by resolution, delete Appendix “A” from the Etobicoke Official Plan.

**3. 11:30 a.m. PROGRAM ENHANCEMENTS AND CONSOLIDATION OF BY-LAWS AFFECTING PARKING ENFORCEMENT ON PRIVATE PROPERTY**

City Clerk  
(March 13, 2001)

**Advising** that City Council, at its meeting held on March 6, 7 and 8, 2001, **referred** the following Motion to the Planning and Transportation Committee:

**“Moved by: Councillor Moscoe**

**Seconded by: Councillor Prue**

**“WHEREAS** Council at its regular meeting held on October 3, 4, and 5, 2000, and at its Special Meetings held on October 6, 2000, October 10 and 11, 2000, and October 12, 2000 considered Report No. 17 Clause No. 1 of the Administration Committee entitled “Program Enhancements and Consolidation of By-laws Affecting Parking Enforcement on Private Property”, which Clause incorporated recommendations from the Planning and Transportation Committee; and

**WHEREAS** Council adopted recommendations of the Planning and Transportation Committee embodied in a communication dated September 19, 2000 from the City Clerk, subject to two amendments; and

**WHEREAS** the amended recommendations adopted by Council deferred consideration of all aspects of the Clause pertaining to the 30-minute grace period between the ticketing and towing of vehicles and directed that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the City Solicitor report on that issue directly to Council; and

**WHEREAS** Council also directed that staff submit the amended by-laws directly to Council and report on any significant issues arising during their preparation; and

**WHEREAS** the City Solicitor and Commissioner of Urban Development Services have prepared the attached joint report dated February 21, 2001 in accordance with Council’s direction; and

**WHEREAS** the City Solicitor has prepared Bills as directed by Council to reflect the recommendations contained in the attached joint report of February 21, 2001;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council give consideration to the above-mentioned joint report dated February 21, 2001 from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the City Solicitor and that Council adopt such joint report.”

and further advising that City Council also had before it during consideration of the Motion, a joint report (February 21, 2001) from the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, entitled “Programme Enhancements and Consolidation of By-laws Affecting Parking Enforcement on Private Property”.

**4. 2:00 p.m. PROPOSED EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT CHARGES BY THE TORONTO DISTRICT SEPARATE SCHOOL BOARD**

Commissioner of Urban Development Services

**Note: This report is not yet received and will be distributed as soon as it is available.**

**4(a) COST OF DEVELOPMENT IN TORONTO**

City Clerk, Planning and Transportation Committee  
(March 9, 2001)

As requested by the Planning and Transportation Committee at its Special Meeting on February 19, 2001, **forwarding** a briefing note (February 25, 2001) prepared by the Chief Financial Officer respecting the Costs of Development in Toronto and submitted by Councillor Moscoe, which the Committee directed be included on this meeting’s agenda with a view to recommending that the City aim towards rationalizing development levies for the 2002 budget.

**5. NOT USED**

**COMMUNICATIONS**

**6. DELEGATED AUTHORITY TO EXECUTE CONSENT AGREEMENTS**

City Solicitor  
(March 13, 2001)

**To ensure** that the practices for executing consent agreements are consistent throughout the City and to expedite the process of finalizing consents and recommends that authority for the execution of agreements which are required by the Committee of Adjustment as a

condition of a consent decision be delegated to the Chief Planner and this would be consistent with the approach taken by City Council in enacting By-law 229-200, which authorizes the Chief Planner to execute agreements under the Planning Act and **recommending** that:

- (1) the Chief Planner or his designate be authorized to execute agreements required as a condition of consent where the City has not appealed the decision to the Ontario Municipal Board;
- (2) this authority supersede and replace any policies, authorities or by-laws which existed in the former municipalities; and,
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

## **7. INTERGOVERNMENTAL CO-OPERATION ON PROPERTY MAINTENANCE AND FENCING OF RAILWAY AND HYDRO LANDS**

Commissioner, Urban Development Services  
(February 12, 2001)

**Reporting** on Intergovernmental Co-operation on Property Maintenance and Fencing of Railway and Hydro Lands and **recommending** that this report be received as information.

## **8. ZONING REGULATIONS AFFECTING ‘THROUGH LOTS’ - ALL LANDS WITHIN THE FORMER CITY OF NORTH YORK**

Commissioner, Urban Development Services  
(March 1, 2001)

**Reporting** on ‘through lots’ and providing a draft zoning by-law amendment to the former City of North York By-Law No. 7625 that amends the permissive provision allowing either frontage of a ‘through lot’ to be designated as the front lot line. This permissive provision is a concern for low density residential infill development and could result in an inappropriate streetscape if a property reorients its front lot line opposite to the surrounding properties, creating a front yard wedged between rear yards, and therefore the flexibility in determining the front lot line should be limited to uses other than one-family, semi-detached, and duplex dwellings, and to give effect to the January 17, 2001 recommendation of the Midtown Community Council, it is **recommended** that:

- (1) Planning and Transportation Committee consider an amendment to Section 6(20) of the City of North York By-Law No. 7625 substantially in accordance with the draft zoning by-law attached to this report (see Attachment 1). To this effect, the permissive provision for designating the front lot line of a ‘through lot’ is

eliminated for one-family, semi-detached, and duplex dwellings and retained for other land uses;

- (2) the statutory public meeting required under the Planning Act be held at the Planning and Transportation Committee;
- (3) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given, by newspaper advertisement, according to the regulations under the Planning Act; and
- (4) copies of this report be forwarded to Southwest, Midtown, and North Community Councils for comment prior to the holding of the statutory public meeting.

**8(a)** City Clerk, Midtown Community Council  
(January 18, 2001)

**Advising** that the Midtown Community Council at its meeting on January 17, 2001, **recommended** to the Planning and Transportation Committee that the City-wide by-law review process with respect to through lots proceed as quickly as possible and, in the meantime, Section 6(20) of former North York By-law No. 7625 be amended to eliminate the current permissive approach of establishing the front lot line and that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to submit a report thereon to the Planning and Transportation Committee.

**9. NEW TORONTO SECONDARY PLAN STUDY**

City Clerk, West Community Council  
(February 19, 2001)

**Advising** that the West Community Council at its meeting on February 14, 2001, concurred with the following Recommendations embodied in the report (January 29, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, West District:

- (1) Urban Development Services staff continue discussions with the various interests in the New Toronto Secondary Plan study area in an effort to address their concerns before recommending a preferred land use scenario;
- (2) Urban Development Services staff report back to Council with a preferred land use option for the New Toronto Secondary Plan area; and
- (3) Community Council forward this report to the Planning and Transportation Committee for information.

and in so doing, directed that the report be **forwarded** to Planning and Transportation Committee for information.

**10. BILL 137, PROPOSED TRANSFER OF SERVICE DELIVERY OF DRIVER EDUCATION SERVICES**

Commissioner, Urban Development Services  
(February 27, 2001)

**Providing** background information on Bill 137, being the Road User Customer Service Improvement Act, 2000 and **recommending** that this report be received for information.

**10(a)** Janet Burgess  
(December 15, 2000)

**Forwarding**, via electronic mail, her grave concerns regarding the proposed Bill 137 as she feels that this bill, if passed and implemented by the Ontario government, could result in dire consequences.

**11. TORONTO PRESERVATION BOARD ANNUAL REPORT**

Catherine Nasmith, Chair, Toronto Preservation Board  
(March 7, 2001)

**Submitting** the Annual Report 2000 of the Toronto Preservation Board, and **requesting** the Planning and Transportation Committee to:

- (1) receive the Annual Report 2000;
- (2) agree to hold a briefing on the Memorandum of Understanding process from all involved;
- (3) ensure prompt action on processing Demolition Control Legislation; and
- (4) the City Clerk provide clerical support to the Toronto Preservation Board.

**Note:** **Annual Report 2000 Toronto Preservation Board is distributed to Members of Council and appropriate staff only.**

**12. MEMBERSHIP ADJUSTMENTS FOR CITIZEN MEMBERS TO COMMUNITY LACAC PANELS**

Catherine Nasmith, Chair, Toronto Preservation Board  
(February 22, 2001)

**Advising** that Council, at its meeting on January 30 and 31 and February 1, 2001 appointed Council members to serve on the Community LACAC Panels to suit the new Community Council boundaries, and that Council, previous to this, appointed citizen

members of the Community LACAC panels until November 30, 2003 according to the former Community Council boundaries, and **recommending** that:

- (1) the existing Community LACAC Panels (CLP) continue to meet as established under the former Community Council boundaries until their membership is realigned. This respects Council's decisions regarding both the CLPs and the Community Council boundaries and common practices followed by previously established boards including the Committee of Adjustment;
- (2) in conjunction with the existing CLP members, the Toronto Preservation Board to review and report to Community Councils for the re-distribution of the already appointed CLP members;
- (3) if a new CLP is oversubscribed, the affected Community Council would select CLP members from those expressing an interest in serving;
- (4) any vacancies identified or created by the re-distribution would be appointed by Council as per current policy and practice firstly by referring to applications on file for the Community Council area, if any, and, secondly, by advertising for members to fill vacancies; and
- (5) until the members have been re-assigned to suit new Community Council boundaries, and any vacancies filled, the Councillors appointed to the new CLP would be invited to attend meetings of the existing CLP as they appear to correspond.

### **13. OPTIONS FOR INTER-MODAL TRANSPORTATION CONNECTIONS IN THE CITY OF TORONTO**

General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission  
(February 28, 2001)

**Advising** that the Toronto Transit Commission at its in camera meeting on February 27, 2001 received for information a confidential memorandum dated February 27, 2001 from the Chief General Manager entitled "Status of Union Station Project" and **recommended** to the City of Toronto that within the reconstruction of Union Station it make provision for an inter-modal transportation connection for taxis.

**13(a)** Councillor Moscoe  
(February 27, 2001)

**Advising** that the use of taxis as an alternative mode of transportation to the motor car has been overlooked by City planners, that there are over 3,000 taxis in the City of Toronto but few cab stands downtown, and providing suggestions which include:

- preparing an inventory of taxi stand spaces in Toronto;



- establishing planning standards to require developers of large buildings to provide a go-through for taxis;
- requiring all transportation facilities to provide for inter modal connections;
- establishing a taxi stand at Queen's Park; and
- establishing planning requirements to provide for taxi stands at subway stations;

and **requesting** the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to submit a comprehensive report on this report to the Planning and Transportation Committee.

### **13(b)** Commissioner of Urban Development Services

**Note:**           **This report is not yet received and will be distributed as soon as it is available.**

## **14. TTC STAFF POSITION ON BUS BAYS**

General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission  
(January 26, 2001)

**Advising** that the Toronto Transit Commission, at its meeting on January 25, 2001, adopted the recommendations of the report (January 25, 2001) attached thereto respecting the TTC's Staff Position on Bus Bays and approved the following motions, which were **forwarded** to the Planning and Transportation Committee for consideration:

- (1) that the TTC undertake, in co-operation with City Transportation Officials, a review of all existing bus bays with a view to classifying those which are acceptable and targeting those for removal when roads are re-constructed; and further that this motion be forwarded to the City Planning and Transportation Committee for their consideration;
- (2) that TTC staff prepare a policy statement on traffic calming and speed humps on transit routes; and
- (3) that TTC staff report back on the condition of streetcar islands and a possible standard for their maintenance.

## **15. EXTENSION OF 404 HIGHWAY**

Councillor Moscoe  
(undated)

**Forwarding** the following Notice of Motion:

“Whereas the Province of Ontario has announced its intention to extend the 404 Highway north of Newmarket; and

Whereas it has been proved that throughout the world a creation of new highways creates additional traffic that would not otherwise be generated; and

Whereas the extension of 404 will facilitate the development of low density urban sprawl on the Oak Ridges Moraine and the agricultural areas to the north; and

Whereas the extension of urban sprawl will result in additional automobile traffic clogging the roads into the Greater Toronto area;

**Therefore be it resolved** that the City of Toronto is opposed to the extension of Highway 404; and

**Be it further resolved** the City of Toronto requests that if the Province is to proceed with the extension of Highway 404 the City of Toronto is hereby requesting a full scale environmental assessment and hearing to address the following issues:

- (1) the alternatives to Highway 404 including an expansion of the public transit system; and
- (2) that any such environmental assessment examine the advisability of imposing tolls on any extension of Highway 404 to minimize the impact on the taxpayers in the GTA and to discourage commuter use on any extension.”

## **16. ELIMINATION OF MANDATORY USE OF TRIP SHEETS BY TAXI DRIVERS**

Councillor Moscoe  
(undated)

**Forwarding** the following Notice of Motion:

“Whereas taxi drivers have been required since 1952 to fill out a daily trip sheet; and

Whereas cumulatively, hundreds of hours of time are wasted filling out trip sheets; and

Whereas the value of the information collected and the extent to which it is used is questionable; and

Whereas the accuracy of trip sheets has been suspect for many years;

**Therefore be it resolved** that the taxi bylaw be amended to eliminate the mandatory use of trip sheets; and

**Be it further resolved** that this matter be referred to the Taxi Advisory Committee for their comments prior to the Planning and Transportation Committee’s final determination.”

**17. TORONTO CYCLING COMMITTEE**

City Clerk, Toronto Cycling Committee  
(March 6, 2001)

**Forwarding** action taken by the Toronto Cycling Committee at its meeting on February 19, 2001 and **recommending** that:

- (1) the Terms of Reference of the Toronto Cycling Committee be amended as noted in the transmittal letter from the City Clerk:
- (2) the Basic Information and Requirements for Appointment to the Toronto Cycling Committee, as written into the Terms of Reference of the Toronto Cycling Committee, be approved to read as noted in the transmittal letter from the City Clerk; and
- (3) CAN-BIKE Instructors, whose only employment with the City of Toronto is as a CAN-BIKE Instructor, be allowed membership to the Toronto Cycling Committee contrary to the City policy of not allowing employees of the Corporation appointment to its Committees, and advising that, if approved membership to the Toronto Cycling Committees, CAN-BIKE Instructors would declare a conflict of interest, and refrain from the discussion and voting on the matter under deliberation by the Toronto Cycling Committee that relate to the duties of a CAN-BIKE Instructor.