

---

## WORKS COMMITTEE

### AGENDA

|                         |                              |                 |                                   |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| <b>Date of Meeting:</b> | <b>June 6, 2001</b>          | <b>Enquiry:</b> | <b>Trudy Perrin</b>               |
| <b>Time:</b>            | <b>9:30 a.m.</b>             |                 | <b>Committee Administrator</b>    |
| <b>Location:</b>        | <b>Committee Room 1</b>      |                 | <b>(416) 392-8027</b>             |
|                         | <b>City Hall</b>             |                 | <b>tperrin@city.toronto.on.ca</b> |
|                         | <b>100 Queen Street West</b> |                 |                                   |

---

**DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST PURSUANT TO  
THE MUNICIPAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST ACT.**

**CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES.**

**DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS:**

- 1. ASPHALT RESURFACING ON BRIMLEY ROAD AND  
FINCH AVENUE (DISTRICT 4) -  
CONTRACT No. 01D4-005RD, TENDER CALL No. 64-2001.  
(Wards 37 and 38 – Scarborough Centre,  
Wards 39 and 40 -Scarborough-Agincourt,  
Ward 41 – Scarborough-Rouge River)**

|   |
|---|
| <b>DEPUTATION ITEM – SCHEDULED FOR 10:00 a.m.</b> |
|---|

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(May 22, 2001)

Recommending that Contract No. 01D4-005RD, Tender 64-2001, issued for asphalt resurfacing on Brimley Road and Finch Avenue (District 4), for 2001, be awarded to Furfari Paving Co. Ltd. in the total amount of \$2,476,151.20 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

**APPEALS UNDER DRAIN GRANT POLICY**

DEPUTATION ITEM – SCHEDULED FOR 10:15 a.m.

**2. DRAIN GRANT APPEAL FOR 204 SWANWICK AVENUE.  
(Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
(May 28, 2001)

Advising that an appeal to a denied Drain Grant Claim has been made by the property owner of 204 Swanwick Avenue, and that the applicant is requesting to be reimbursed \$1,498.00 for damages to a private drain due to roots from a tree located on City property across the street from the residence; and recommending that this appeal be denied since no roots from a City tree were involved.

**3. DRAIN GRANT APPEAL FOR 553 HILLSDALE AVENUE EAST.  
(Ward 22 – St. Paul’s)**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
(May 28, 2001)

Advising that an appeal to enhance an already approved Drain Grant rebate of \$1,500 has been made by the property owner of 553 Hillsdale Avenue East, and that Councillor Walker has requested the owner be reimbursed her full cost of \$3,678.45 including snaking and camera investigation; and recommending that this appeal be denied as exceeding the \$1,500 maximum reimbursement allowable.

**4. DRAIN GRANT APPEAL FOR 8 EDGEWOOD GROVE.  
(Ward 26 – Don Valley West)**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
(May 28, 2001)

Advising that an appeal to a denied Drain Grant application has been made by the property owner of 8 Edgewood Grove, and that the owner is asking for a rebate of \$650.00 to cover the cost to repair drains in the interior of his house; and recommending that this appeal be denied as ineligible since it relates to interior work.

**5. DRAIN GRANT APPEAL FOR 250 GLEBEHOLME BOULEVARD.  
(Ward 26 - Don Valley West)**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
(May 28, 2001)

Advising that an appeal to a denied Drain Grant Claim has been made by the property owner of 250 Glebeholme Boulevard, and that the applicant is requesting to be reimbursed \$149.80 for snaking his private drain due to roots from the City tree; and recommending that this appeal be denied as applying to ineligible expenses under the policy.

**6. DRAIN GRANT APPEAL FOR 104 LASCELLES BOULEVARD.  
(Ward 22 – St. Paul’s)**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
(May 28, 2001)

Advising that an appeal to enhance a partial Drain Grant rebate has been made by the property owner of 104 Lascelles Boulevard, and that the owner is requesting a full grant of \$1,500.00 for a partial repair to the exterior portion of his private drain along with drain work completed on the interior of his home; and recommending that this appeal be denied due to the maximum limit of \$500.00 for drain repairs.

---

**7. TRAFFIC CALMING POLICY.**

DEPUTATION ITEM – SCHEDULED FOR 11:00 a.m.

City Clerk  
(March 28, 2001)

Advising of the action taken by the Works Committee at its meeting on March 28, 2001, in considering a report (March 8, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting a harmonized traffic calming policy for the City of Toronto, which recommended that:

- (1) this report be forwarded to all Community Councils for consideration, and that their comments on the proposed traffic calming policy be submitted to the Works Committee for consideration at its June 6, 2001 meeting; and
- (2) this report be distributed to any interested residents and parties, including neighbourhood and business improvement associations in Toronto, as well as citizen advisory committees and advocate groups for transportation modes, such as the City’s cycling and pedestrian committees, for comment. Neighbourhood

associations and business improvement associations are encouraged to provide comments to their respective Community Councils, while broad interest groups are encouraged to submit comments directly to the Works Committee.

**7a.** Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
(May 28, 2001)

Summarizing and discussing Traffic Calming Policy issues arising through the consultation process; and recommending that:

- (1) physical traffic calming be endorsed as an effective way of improving traffic conditions on local and collector streets in the City of Toronto;
- (2) physical traffic calming be considered principally:
  - (i) for local and collector streets;
  - (ii) where local support exists;
  - (iii) where existing traffic impacts are significant; and
  - (iv) where the impacts of traffic calming on emergency and transit services and on adjacent uncalmed streets are relatively minor;
- (3) the implementation of physical traffic calming measures be undertaken in conjunction with annual road reconstruction and maintenance programs, to the extent possible; and
- (4) the process for conducting traffic engineering studies, evaluating options, and undertaking public consultation for physical traffic calming measures, as well as proposals for the qualifying criteria for installing physical traffic calming measures, be presented to the Works Committee at its meeting of September 10, 2001.

**7b.** City Clerk (Downtown Community Council)  
(May 23, 2001)

Advising that the Downtown Community Council, at its meeting on May 15, 2001, recommended that:

- (1) the traffic calming process as set out in the report (March 8, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be adopted, subject to:
  - (a) Section 2.2 of Table 1 titled "Traffic Calming Warrant Criteria" of the report (March 8, 2001) being amended to read, "Traffic calming measures may be considered at or near locations where the road grade is between five percent and eight percent";

- (b) provision being made in the warrant approval process which would address the impact of schools or high pedestrian traffic in the area under consideration for traffic calming; and
  - (c) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services reporting to the relevant Community Council, rather than the Works Committee, with his negative recommendations whenever an application fails to meet the warrants; and
- (2) the Province of Ontario be requested to amend the new Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, to designate traffic calming as a Schedule A activity.

**7c.** City Clerk (East Community Council)  
(May 16, 2001)

Advising that the East Community Council:

- (1) received a staff presentation on the proposed Traffic Calming Policy;
- (2) directed that the Works Committee be advised that the East Community Council does not concur, at this time, in the recommendations embodied in the report, dated March 8, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, having regard for Recommendation No. (3)(a)(ii) hereunder; and
- (3) recommended to the Works Committee that:
  - (a) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report to the Works Committee:
    - (i) in consultation with the City Clerk, on a consistent policy for petitions and the polling of residents applicable to the traffic calming and street permit parking policies, currently under consideration;
    - (ii) on the equitable distribution of funds to be budgeted annually for these issues, by Community Council area, prior to the consideration of these policies;
    - (iii) in consultation with the Fire Chief, the General Manager, Emergency Medical Services and the Chief of Police, on routes that may not be suitable for traffic calming measures; and
    - (iv) on a protocol to manage area-wide traffic management plans that overlap Community Council boundaries;
  - (b) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to:

- (i) complete the effectiveness study on Community Safety Zones no later than the end of the year 2001; the results of such study to be reported to City Council through the Community Councils and the Works Committee; and
- (ii) create a mechanism to ensure, considering the limited capital budget for the installation of traffic calming measures, that such installations be equally and fairly distributed throughout the City so that no one Community Council area shall receive preferential treatment;
- (c) the Traffic Calming Process Flow Chart (Appendix 6) be prefaced with a public consultation meeting to be held at the discretion of the Ward Councillor;
- (d) the initial petition be warranted at 60 percent of all adult residents on affected streets;
- (e) the resultant poll reflect 60 percent of all adult residents in a polled neighbourhood;
- (f) the Medical Officer of Health be requested to comment to the Works Committee on potential pollution issues; and
- (g) a ranking criteria be established for the expenditure of funds on traffic calming measures.

**7d.** City Clerk (Midtown Community Council)  
(May 16, 2001)

Advising that the Midtown Community Council, at its meeting on May 15, 2001:

- (A) recommended that the proposed Process for Installing Traffic Calming Measures (Appendix 5 contained in the report (March 8, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, proposing a harmonized traffic calming policy) be amended to read as follows:
  - “(1) When submitting a request for traffic calming to the Councillor, proponents must include a petition of support for the project from at least 25 percent of the affected households on the street. In the case of rental units, it shall be 10 percent.
  - (2) Staff will investigate to confirm whether or not there is a problem as identified by the petitioners.

- (3) If it has been determined that there is a problem, the Traffic Operations staff will review the request and determine if the proposed traffic calming, or any alternative calming that staff recommends, will have significant traffic impacts on adjacent local streets. If the proposal is anticipated to have significant impacts, the staff will expand the study to include adjacent streets. Councillors will be consulted in the establishment of the boundaries of the study area.
- (4) The proposal(s) will be reviewed by staff to determine if it satisfies the criteria outlined in (3). These criteria shall include but not be limited to the provision of sidewalks, determination of the road grade and potential impact on emergency services.
- (5) The proposal is circulated to the Emergency Services (Ambulance, Fire and Police) and the TTC for their comment on the proposal and any modifications that they may suggest in order that their services are not significantly impacted.
- (6) If all safety requirements are met, staff will evaluate speeds, the traffic volumes, block lengths and impacts on transit service. Once all the data has been collected, an analysis and evaluation of all the alternatives will be carried out and the preferred option(s) will be chosen.
- (7) There shall be no speed humps constructed on TTC routes.
- (8) Staff will develop a detailed design that will illustrate the technically preferred traffic calming measures to address the traffic and street conditions. This plan will also take into account driveway locations, recommended spacing, lighting, pole locations, signage, etc.
- (9) Once the detailed design is completed, the Ward Councillor will either undertake, or direct staff, to conduct a survey of household on the affected street (or portion of a street) or area, to determine the degree of public acceptance of the proposal. Wording contained in the letter regarding the poll shall be “advisory” not “determinative” in its nature. Councillors may also wish to hold a community meeting.
- (10) A "successful" poll shall be defined by a response rate of 25 percent coupled with at least a 60 percent positive response rate. There shall be one response allowed per household.
- (11) If the poll is successful, the City shall proceed with the four weeks of advertising as required by the Environmental Assessment Act.
- (12) Upon tabulation of the poll and completion of the four weeks of advertising, a public deputation hearing is scheduled before the appropriate Community Council. If the project is not approved by

Community Council, staff will respond to the proponents with a letter indicating the reasons why the project will not be implemented.

- (13) If Community Council approves the project, then it is forwarded to City Council for final approval. The request to City Council should also include a recommendation to issue a Notice of Completion, in accordance with the statutory requirements of the Environmental Assessment Act. This Notice of Completion would be sent to all parties receiving the initial notice of consultation.
  - (14) If final approval is secured from City Council, the Notice of Completion is sent to all relevant parties with a thirty day time period for review and opportunity to request a Part II Order.
  - (15) If there is no Part II Order request, the project is submitted for budget approval and tendering and construction as soon as possible.
  - (16) If there is a Part II Order request for the project, then the project is reviewed by the Ministry of the Environment and Energy and one of the following may occur. The Minister may:
    - (i) deny the request;
    - (ii) deny the request with conditions (such as requiring that a Schedule C process be completed or that monitoring and reporting processes be implemented);
    - (iii) refer the matter to mediation; or
    - (iv) require the proponent to comply with Part II of the EA Act (including a government review and public hearings).
  - (17) Traffic calming shall be considered at the time a road is resurfaced or reconstructed.”; and
- (B) further recommended that the Province be requested to review the Environmental Assessment Act with a view to deleting all but: (1) directional closures; (2) diversions; and (3) full closures, as described in Table 3.1: Traffic Calming Measures.



**7e.** City Clerk (North Community Council)  
(May 21, 2001)

Advising that the North Community Council, at its meeting on May 16, 2001:

- (1) recommended to the Works Committee that the report (March 8, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, respecting a harmonized traffic calming policy for the City of Toronto, be endorsed; and
- (2) requested the Works Committee to consider:
  - (a) how 25 percent of the households in support of the proposed traffic calming within a particular study area can be determined;
  - (b) how the validity of a petition can be confirmed;
  - (c) the allocation of capital funding on a Community Council basis at the beginning of the year, with a further review after six months, to assess any re-allocation of unused funding; and
  - (d) exploring the feasibility of expanding the “Watch Your Speed” Program involving the use of photo radar as a means of enforcing vehicle speeds by issuing speed violations electronically.

**7f.** City Clerk (Southwest Community Council)  
(May 22, 2001)

Advising that the Southwest Community Council, at its meeting on May 15, 2001, recommended to the Works Committee:

- (1) with respect to Appendix 5, headed “The Proposed Process for Installing Traffic Calming Measures”, that:
  - (a) Recommendation No. (1) be deleted, and the following substituted in lieu thereof:
    - ‘(1) When submitting a request for traffic calming, that the request be considered based on the results of a survey to be conducted by the local Councillor, in lieu of proponents submitting a petition of support.’;
  - (b) wherever mentioned, the word “Warrant” be deleted and the word “Criteria” be substituted in lieu thereof; and
  - (c) the words “Works Committee” be deleted from the second to last paragraph on page 3 of the Proposed Process, and the words “appropriate Standing Committee” be substituted in lieu thereof, to read as follows:

‘In the event that a traffic calming request does not meet the requirements of Criteria 1, 2 or 3, at steps 1, 5 or 9 respectively, and the Ward Councillor requests that the project and staff study continue anyway, staff will report on the status of the project to that point to the appropriate Standing Committee, requesting direction on whether to proceed further.’;

- (2) that the Proposed Process for Installing Traffic Calming Measures be compressed to allow for decisions to be made more expeditiously;
- (3) that the Southwest Community Council is opposed to the limiting of traffic calming measures based on budget allocations;
- (4) should the process of limitations be adopted, that funds be allocated evenly on a per kilometre, per ward basis, only in those areas that permit traffic calming, and that any unused portion of funds be allowed to be traded for future credits in November of each year;
- (5) that all references to provincial regulations as they pertain to the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act on traffic calming, be deleted from the City of Toronto’s by-laws;
- (6) that City Council be requested to advise the Ministry of the Environment that it is Council’s view that the Minister’s approval of speed humps and other traffic calming measures is an unnecessary intrusion on the City of Toronto’s jurisdiction and that the appropriate Acts or Regulations be amended accordingly; and
- (7) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report on the potential for reviewing the current criteria to allow for the narrowing of streets as a traffic calming option.

**7g.** City Clerk (West Community Council)  
(May 17, 2001)

Advising that the West Community Council, at its meeting on May 16, 2001, recommended to the Works Committee that:

- (1) the harmonized traffic calming policy embodied in the report dated March 8, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be adopted, subject to amending the Warrant 2 criterion regarding sidewalks to provide that sidewalks first be considered as a high priority before traffic calming measures are examined;

- (2) by the end of 2001, additional staff resources be allocated to the West District to ensure that traffic calming requests are dealt with in a timely manner and do not draw on current staff resources;
- (3) for the balance of 2001, funding priority be given to traffic calming projects in those parts of the City that did not previously allow for traffic calming measures; and
- (4) for the 2002 budget, the Budget Advisory Committee consider increasing the line item for traffic calming measures to ensure an equitable distribution of traffic calming measures throughout the entire City.

**7h.** Mr. Emile-J. Therien, President,  
Canada Safety Council  
(April 18, 2001)

Providing comments with respect to a harmonized traffic calming policy for the City of Toronto; and advising that traffic calming threatens both public safety and traffic safety.

**7i.** Ms. Lois James  
Scarborough, Ontario  
(May 16, 2001)

Requesting that Council respond to requests for traffic calming with a harmonized policy that will be fair to all Toronto districts.

**8. WORKS BEST PRACTICES PROGRAM –  
STATUS REPORT NO. THREE.**

DEPUTATION ITEM – SCHEDULED FOR 2:00 p.m.

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
(May 25, 2001)

Forwarding the third status report on the Works Best Practices Program, which provides an overview of the program and its progress to date, including benefits achieved and costs incurred from program inception since 1996, and which highlights the major tasks planned for 2001; and recommending that this report be received for information.

**COMMUNICATIONS/REPORTS:**

**TRANSPORTATION SERVICES**

**9. GENERAL MAINTENANCE OF LOCAL ROADS - EAST, WEST AND CENTRAL AREAS, DISTRICT 3, CONTRACT No. 01D3-107RD, TENDER CALL No. 117-2001.**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(May 22, 2001)

Recommending that Contract No. 01D3-107RD, Tender Call No. 117-2001 for the general maintenance of local roads (East, West and Central Areas) in District 3, be awarded to D. Crupi & Sons Limited, in the amount of \$2,588,298.97 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

**10. ASPHALT RESURFACING ON VARIOUS LOCAL ROADS - DISTRICT 2, CONTRACT No. 01D2-107TR, TENDER CALL No. 98-2001. (Wards 3, 5 and 6 – Etobicoke-Centre, Etobicoke-Lakeshore)**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(May 22, 2001)

Recommending that Contract No. 01D2-107TR, Tender Call No. 98-2001 for asphalt resurfacing on various City of Toronto local roads (Wards 3, 5 and 6) within District 2 be awarded to Gazzola Paving Limited in the amount of \$2,666,967.83 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

**11. LOCAL ROAD RESURFACING ON VARIOUS STREETS IN AREA 1 (EAST) OF DISTRICT 1 – CONTRACT No. 01D1-100TR, TENDER CALL No. 129-2001. (St. Paul’s, Don Valley West, Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Broadview-Greenwood and Beaches-East York)**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(May 24, 2001)

Recommending that Contract No. 01D1-100TR, Tender Call No. 129-2001 for local road resurfacing on various City of Toronto streets in Area 1 (East) of District 1, be awarded to Furfari Paving Co. Ltd., in the amount of \$2,801,293.17 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

**12. LOCAL ROAD RESURFACING ON VARIOUS STREETS IN AREA 2 (WEST) OF DISTRICT 1 – CONTRACT No. 01D1-101TR, TENDER CALL No. 130-2001. (York South-Weston, Parkdale-High Park, Eglinton-Lawrence, Davenport, Trinity-Spadina, St. Paul’s and Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(May 24, 2001)

Recommending that Contract No. 01D1-101TR, Tender Call No. 130-2001 for local road resurfacing on various City of Toronto streets in Area 2 (West) of District 1, be awarded to Il Duca Construction Inc., in the amount of \$2,681,928.25 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

**13. INCREASE IN PURCHASE ORDER AMOUNT FOR CONTRACT No. T-95-99 – INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC SIGNS ON ARTERIAL ROADS AND EXPRESSWAYS.**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
(May 15, 2001)

Recommending that:

- (1) the purchase order issued to Mark-All Services Inc. for Contract No. T-95-99, for the installation and maintenance of traffic signs on arterial roads and expressways for the period of April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2002, be increased from \$1,201,914.95 to \$2,001,914.95; and

- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to give effect thereof.

**14. HIGHWAY 401/MORNINGSIDE AVENUE - NEW ROAD AND MODIFICATION TO HIGHWAY 401 INTERCHANGE - PROPOSED ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT STUDY. (Ward 42 - Scarborough-Rouge River)**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
(May 15, 2001)

Recommending that the General Manager of Transportation Services, in partnership with First Professional Management Inc., be authorized to conduct an Environmental Assessment Study for a proposed new road between Morningside Avenue and Milner Avenue, and associated modifications to the existing Highway 401 interchange at Morningside Avenue, at no cost to the City of Toronto.

**15. TRAFFIC OPERATIONS – EGLINTON AVENUE EAST BETWEEN YONGE STREET AND BRENTCLIFFE ROAD - STATUS REPORT. (Ward 22 - St. Paul's, Wards 25 and 26 – Don Valley West)**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
(May 24, 2001)

Respecting potential improvements to traffic operations on Eglinton Avenue East between Yonge Street and Brentcliffe Road, to facilitate smooth and speedier traffic flows, in response to a Motion from Councillor Joanne Flint at the meeting of the Committee on March 28, 2001; outlining future steps involving representatives of the community, and noting that staff will report to the Committee on any recommendations supported by the community which address traffic operations on Eglinton Avenue East and the Leaside communities; and recommending that this report be received for information.

## TECHNICAL SERVICES

**16. REHABILITATION OF NORTH SUBSTATION  
AT ASHBRIDGES BAY TREATMENT PLANT -  
CONTRACT No. 01FS-31WP, TENDER CALL No. 17-2001.  
(Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(May 23, 2001)

Recommending that:

- (1) Contract No. 01FS-31WP, Tender Call No. 17-2001, for the rehabilitation of the North Substation at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant, be awarded to the lowest tenderer, Industrial Electrical Contractors Limited, for the total lump sum price of \$8,846,950.00 including all taxes and charges;
- (2) authority be granted to amend the existing engineering services agreement with the consulting firm of MacViro Consultants Inc. for general administration and site services during construction by an additional amount of \$670,261.50 including GST, for a revised not to exceed total of \$1,284,001.50; this amount includes a contingency allowance of \$301,300.00 to cover extended services during construction at a rate not to exceed \$6,550.00 per week including GST for extension of services, if necessary and as authorized by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, all in accordance with the terms of the existing engineering agreement; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**17. WATERMAIN REPLACEMENT IN AGINCOURT  
COMMUNITY SOUTH (DISTRICT 4),  
CONTRACT No. 01D4-010WS, TENDER CALL No. 85-2001.  
(Ward 41 - Scarborough-Rouge River)**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(May 15, 2001)

Recommending that Contract No. 01D4-010WS, Tender Call No. 85-2001, issued for watermain replacement in Agincourt Community South (District 4), for 2001, be awarded to BFC Utilities in the total amount of \$2,985,975.38 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received.

**18. REAR YARD DRAINAGE – ADAIR ROAD  
AND FURNIVAL ROAD.  
(Ward 31 – Beaches-East York)**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
(May 23, 2001)

Recommending that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to construct a gravity drainage system to resolve the flooding at 74 Adair Road and adjacent properties at an estimated cost to the City of \$45,000; and
- (2) authority be granted to enter into the necessary easement and financial agreements subject to terms and conditions satisfactory to the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

**19. STREETScape IMPROVEMENTS ON  
LAWRENCE AVENUE EAST,  
WEST OF LESLIE STREET.  
(Ward 25 – Don Valley West)**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and  
Commissioner of Urban Development Services  
(May 22, 2001)

Respecting the feasibility of implementing streetscape improvements on Lawrence Avenue East, west of Leslie Street, in conjunction with the reconstruction of the Lawrence Avenue culvert that was damaged during the May 12, 2000 storm, in response to a Motion from Councillor Joanne Flint; advising that due to the fact that the prices were very high and the areas of feasible streetscape improvements were mostly outside the culvert reconstruction contract limits, undertaking this work under the culvert reconstruction contract cannot be justified; further advising that reconstruction of Lawrence Avenue East in the vicinity of the culvert is tentatively planned to be included in the 2002 Capital Works budget submission, and that streetscape improvements will therefore be considered at that time; and recommending that this report be received for information.



**20. MONTHLY STATUS REPORT ON DISTRICT 1 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS.**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
(May 22, 2001)

Providing a monthly status report on the Department's progress relative to the development application backlog, and the volume of development applications received and processed in District 1, as requested by the Committee at its meeting on March 28, 2001; and recommending that this report be received for information.

**SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT SERVICES**

**21. OLD LANDFILLS PROGRAM: HARMONIZED POLICY, GUIDELINES AND PROCEDURES.**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
(May 17, 2001)

Recommending that:

- (1) the Policy, Guidelines and Procedures for addressing risk management of old landfill sites in the City of Toronto, as described in the body of this report, be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**IN CAMERA - In accordance with the Municipal Act, a motion is required for the Committee to meet privately and the reason must be stated.**

**22. OLD LANDFILLS PROGRAM: SITE INVESTIGATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT.**

Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services  
(May 18, 2001)

Confidential report respecting old landfills program, site investigation and risk assessment, such report to be considered in camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the security of the property of the municipality.