

## **CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENTS**

Certified to be a true copy of amendments to:

Report No. 2 of The Administration Committee,  
Report No. 3 of The Administration Committee,  
Report No. 2 of The Community Services Committee,  
Report No. 2 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee,  
Report No. 2 of The Planning and Transportation Committee,  
Report No. 2 of The Policy and Finance Committee,  
Report No. 2 of The Works Committee,  
Report No. 3 of The Works Committee,  
Report No. 2 of The Downtown Community Council,  
Report No. 2 of The East Community Council,  
Report No. 2 of The Midtown Community Council,  
Report No. 2 of The North Community Council,  
Report No. 2 of The Southwest Community Council,  
Report No. 2 of The West Community Council,  
Report No. 1 of The Audit Committee,  
Report No. 2 of The Nominating Committee,  
Report No. 3 of The Striking Committee,

and Notices of Motions, Enquiries and Answers and Additional Matters, as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its regular meeting held on March 6, 7 and 8, 2001.

### **REPORT NO. 2 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE**

#### **Clause No. 1 - "Parking Tag Fine Collection Strategy".**

The Clause was amended:

- (1) by amending the report dated February 1, 2001, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer by:
  - (a) striking out Recommendation No. (14) embodied therein, having regard that the vote to adopt such Recommendation lost, viz.:

“(14) the parking by-laws of the former Metro and area municipalities be amended to eliminate the voluntary payment amount;” and

(b) amending Recommendation No. (15) embodied therein to read as follows:

“(15) the City Auditor, in consultation with the Chief General Manager of the Toronto Parking Authority and the Chief of Police, be requested to review the practice of issuing courtesy envelopes to illegally parked vehicles on Toronto Parking Authority lots and be directed to submit a report to the Administration Committee, through the Board of Directors of the Toronto Parking Authority, on the feasibility of replacing courtesy envelopes with City of Toronto Parking Infraction Notices, when conducting parking enforcement on Toronto Parking Authority lots;”;

(2) to provide that:

- (a) the City Auditor, in his forthcoming report, specifically examine any cost savings and efficiencies that might be achieved by using Toronto Parking Authority Staff to issue tickets; and
- (b) the fine for violating the Alternative Side Street parking policy not be increased; and

(3) by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare and introduce any necessary Bill in Council to amend the offence provisions for the following By-laws, so as to allow them to be brought in conformance with Section 61 of the Provincial Offences Act:
  - (i) By-law 647 of The Corporation of the former Borough of East York, a by-law to provide for the safety of residents in and occupants of buildings by prohibiting the parking or leaving of vehicles in designated fire access routes;
  - (ii) Chapter 134, Fire Routes of the Municipal Code of the Corporation of the former City of Etobicoke;
  - (iii) By-law 29704 of The Corporation of the former City of North York, a by-law respecting fire routes;
  - (iv) By-law 13897 of The Corporation of the former City of Scarborough, a by-law to prohibit the parking of vehicles in driveways of apartment buildings, hospitals, schools, nursing homes, shopping plazas or other public buildings which have been designated as fire routes in order to prevent fires or the spread of fires; and
  - (v) By-law 3387-79 of The Corporation of the former City of York, a by-law with respect to fire routes; and

- (b) the report dated February 16, 2001, from the President, Toronto Parking Authority entitled 'The Courtesy Envelope Program', together with the report dated March 6, 2001, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled 'Parking Tag Fine Collection Strategy' be referred to the Budget Advisory Committee for consideration."

**Clause No. 3 - "Lobbying Disclosure Policy for Certain Requests for Proposals and Tender/Quotation Calls".**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee respecting a Lobbying Disclosure Policy that affects all lobbyists dealing with the City, particularly with respect to lobbyists representing development applications."

**Clause No. 4 - "Bid Committee Authority".**

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) deleting from Recommendations Nos. (1) and (2) embodied in the report dated January 19, 2001, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, the amount "\$3.5 million" and inserting in lieu thereof the amount "\$2.5 million", so that such Recommendations shall now read as follows:

- "(1) the Bid Committee's authority be confirmed on a permanent basis to award contracts valued up to \$2.5 million where the lowest bidder meeting specifications and requirements is being recommended and where no written objection to the award has been received;
- (2) Standing Committees' authority be confirmed on a permanent basis to award contracts valued at above \$2.5 million and less than or equal to \$5.0 million;" and

- (2) adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to report further thereon in one year's time."

**Clause No. 6 - "Status of the TEDCO Investigation".**

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next meeting of Council scheduled to be held on April 24, 2001.

**Clause No. 10 - “Request for Proposals No. 9130-00-7353 Selection of a Successful Proponent for Real Estate Consulting and Brokerage Services for the Marketing and Sale of Metro Hall - 55 John Street, Toronto (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the length of the contract be set at 90 days and the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee at the conclusion of the 90-day period;
- (2) the Real Estate Brokerage firm be requested to monitor the downtown office market for any Class ‘B’ office buildings which may be offered for sale and could accommodate the South District office space needs and bring any such buildings to the attention of the Commissioner of Corporate Services for appropriate action and report thereon to the Administration Committee; and
- (3) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee on:
  - (a) the future of the Child Care Centre located at Metro Hall;
  - (b) the suitability of the City Hall basement for the Urban Affairs Library, including both load bearing and atmospheric needs;
  - (c) where the public, particularly those with disabilities, who utilize the meeting space at Metro Hall, will meet in future;
  - (d) the future of the Terry Fox Hall of Fame, located on the east side of Metro Hall;
  - (e) where the Gardiner awards collection will be located; and
  - (f) where committee meetings presently held in Metro Hall will be held in future.”

**Clause No. 20 - “Declaration as Surplus and Long Term Lease of Additional Lands to the West of 1978 Lake Shore Boulevard West to the Fred Victor Centre (Ward 13 – Parkdale-High Park).”**

The Clause was amended by deleting Recommendation No. (2) embodied in the joint report dated January 23, 2001, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the Commissioner of Corporate Services, and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Recommendation No. (2):

- “(2) within four months of Council approval, the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be authorized to enter into a 50-year less one day lease, an Affordable Housing Agreement, a Grant and Loan Agreement, and any other agreements deemed appropriate to facilitate the making of grants and loans and the leasing and use of the lands identified as Part 2 on the attached sketch and the adjoining lands at 1978 Lakeshore Boulevard West in respect of which such authority was previously obtained from Council by the adoption of Clause No. 1 of Report No. 19 of The Administration Committee at its regular meeting held on October 3, 4 and 5, 2000, and its Special Meetings held on October 6, 2000, October 10 and 11, 2000 and October 12, 2000. These agreements are for the purpose of providing affordable housing to lower income families, and are to be entered into in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;”.

**Clause No. 24 - “405 and 426, 430, 436 and 440 Dufferin Street Application for Approval to Expropriate Interests in Land, Property Acquisitions Required for Proposed Dufferin Street Underpass (Ward 18 - Davenport, Ward 14 - Parkdale-High Park)”.**

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on April 24, 2001, and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services was requested to meet with Councillors Korwin-Kuczynski, Pantalone, Shiner and Silva in regard thereto and submit a report thereon directly to Council on the ways and means of lowering the cost of this project.

**Clause No. 25 - “Proposed City of Toronto Land Exchange Agreement with Cathedral Properties Limited - City Lands at 76 Shuter Street and Privately Owned Lands at 78 Mutual Street, City of Toronto (Ward 27 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated March 5, 2001, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services be adopted, subject to deleting Recommendation No. (5) embodied therein and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Recommendation No. (5):

- ‘(5) The City Solicitor be authorized to submit a by-law to amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 165, Development of Land, Article 1, with respect to the lands shown as Parcels 1, 2 and 3 on the sketch attached as Schedule “A” to this report and to provide for the payment of cash instead of the conveyance of land.’,

so that the recommendations embodied in such report shall now read as follows:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) Council approve the changes to the proposed land exchange between the City and CPL with respect to the lands marked as Parcels 1 and 2 respectively on the sketch attached as Schedule “A” and in accordance to the terms and conditions as described in the body of this report;

- (2) Council accept the offer from CPL to convey the building components and the associated lands described as Transferred Building Components (TBC) on Table “A” of the sketch attached as Schedule “B”, as part of the land exchange and at no additional consideration, subject to ML agreeing to accept responsibility for the building components located thereon by way of a lease with the City, on the same terms and conditions as the current lease between the City and ML and in accordance with an agreement between CPL and ML as described in the body of this report;
- (3) Council declare lands described as TBC (the building components) on Table “A” of Schedule “B” and Parts 1, 3 & 4 (driveway, open space and pedestrian walkway) of the sketch on Schedule “B” surplus to City’s needs pursuant to Section 193 of the Municipal Act;
- (4) Council authorize the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Director of Real Estate, to have prepared and executed all necessary agreements, including amending agreements, with CPL, Metta Housing Co-operative Incorporated (MET), Terrace Housing Co-operative Inc. (Terrace), Margaret Lawrence Housing Co-operative Inc. (ML) and all the other parties having an interest or named in any agreements being amended to implement the land exchange and related matters arising out of the same;
- (5) The City Solicitor be authorized to submit a by-law to amend the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 165, Development of Land, Article 1, with respect to the lands shown as Parcels 1, 2 and 3 on the sketch attached as Schedule “A” to this report and to provide for the payment of cash instead of the conveyance of land;
- (6) prior to the introduction of a Bill in Council to enact the foregoing, the land exchange agreement between the City and CPL be executed by both parties;
- (7) should the land exchange agreement not be completed, the City Solicitor be authorized to submit a by-law to repeal or appropriately amend the above amendments;
- (8) the Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department’s Capital Budget be adjusted to include the proceeds of the proposed land exchange between the City and CPL, which is anticipated to be \$472,200.00, less Environmental Site Assessment cost and other incidental expenses associated with the proposed land exchange;
- (9) the amount of \$130,000.00, originally allocated to the Social Housing Reserve Fund, be allocated to Margaret Lawrence Housing Co-operative Inc. for the purpose of improving the building components that will create additional affordable housing units within the Co-op building; and
- (10) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.’ ”

**REPORT NO. 3 OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - “Union Station: Proposal for a Governance Structure”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the ex-officio representatives on the Board not be counted towards the maximum number of elected representatives;
- (2) the ‘distinct corporation’ be subject to shareholder direction;
- (3) agreements specify a requirement to pay full property taxes;
- (4) Union Station be subject to the City’s Official Plan and be required to pay all development and building permit fees to the City; and
- (5) the following motion be referred to the Administration Committee for further consideration:

Moved by Councillor Moscoe:

“It is further recommended that any agreements regarding telecommunications be subject to City Council’s municipal policies and by-laws.”

**Clause No. 3 - “Fleet Services Future Plan”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that a copy of this Clause be forwarded to the Budget Advisory Committee for consideration during the budget deliberations and report thereon through the Policy and Finance Committee, to City Council.”

**REPORT NO. 2 OF THE COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - “Operation of Protocol for Late Night Entertainment Events”.**

Consideration of the Clause was deferred until such time as the Province adopts measures to augment and support municipal efforts to maintain safe communities and to deal with problem addresses.

**Clause No. 6 - “Toronto Food Charter and Food and Hunger Action Committee Phase II Report”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that communication dated February 21, 2001, from the Secretary, Board of Health, be received.”

**Clause No. 7 - “Development of a Child Care Centre at 5200 Yonge Street”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the architect be advised that the maximum funding for this project (Child Care Centre at 5200 Yonge Street), in its entirety, is the \$2.25 million allocated in the Child Care Capital Reserve Fund, and, in the event the tenders are above the amount, the design be amended and re-tendered so as to complete this project within the approved budget.”

**Clause No. 8 - - “Quotation for Fourteen Digital Scanners and Related Software”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be directed to request the Province of Ontario to fund 100 percent, rather than 50 percent, of the expenditure on digital scanners and related software as a transition cost.”

**Clause No. 9 - “Youth Representation on the Children and Youth Action Committee”.**

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendations of the Community Services Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the joint report dated February 23, 2001, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the Children and Youth Advocate, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) Council reconfirm the CYAC’s terms of reference;
- (2) Council approve increasing the membership of the CYAC by appointing 12 representatives of agencies serving youth;



- (3) Council approve the appointment of two representatives of the Toronto Youth Cabinet as members of the CYAC;
  - (4) Council reconfirm the present quorum of nine members;
  - (5) the Striking Committee continue to recommend councillors for appointment to the CYAC;
  - (6) Council request the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the Children and Youth Advocate continue to report to Community Services Committee on an annual basis seeking approval for the CYAC's mandate, citizen membership, and workplan and that the committee's budget continue to be approved through the annual budget process; and
  - (7) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.'; and
- (2) the joint report dated March 2, 2001, from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the Children and Youth Advocate, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted:

'It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve the appointment of citizen members to the Children and Youth Action Committee as recommended in this report; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.' "

## **REPORT NO. 2 OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND PARKS COMMITTEE**

### **Clause No. 1 - "Recreation User Fee and Welcome Policies Evaluation".**

The Clause was amended:

- (1) to provide that recreation centre staff be directed to extend free access to families who cannot afford to pay user fees, regardless of their status under social programs, and make the application process as simple as possible; and
- (2) by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that:

- (a) the Province of Ontario be requested to grant to the City of Toronto, the authority to establish a City of Toronto lottery; the revenue from such lottery to be used for the development of Parks and Recreation programs and the building of new facilities;

- (b) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to submit a report to the next meeting of the Economic Development and Parks Committee on the percentage of people using the Welcome Policy, by recreation centre, and the percentage of people attending instructional paid programs prior to, and following, the implementation of user fees;
- (c) the comprehensive review of seniors programs and services, scheduled to begin in Spring 2001, include a review of 'Seniors Clubs' including the cost of the fee, the neighbourhoods in which the clubs are located, the Wards in which the clubs are located, and the percentage of 'club' members using the Welcome Policy;
- (d) the Welcome Policy be reviewed, possibly through co-operation with the federal government, to ensure that persons who may benefit from the Welcome Policy can avail themselves of this Policy in privacy; and
- (e) a copy of the City's Welcome Policy be posted at the door of every recreation centre to facilitate the public's awareness of the options available to them."

**Clause No. 8 - "Former Lakeshore Hospital Site, Toronto Catholic District School Board/City of Toronto Joint Use Agreement".**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that the confidential report dated March 5, 2001, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, be adopted, such report to remain confidential, in its entirety, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it concerns the proposed or pending acquisition of property for municipal or local board purposes."

**REPORT NO. 2 OF THE PLANNING AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 2 - "Proposed Provincial Measures to Augment and Support Municipal Efforts to Maintain Safe Communities and to Deal with Problem Addresses".**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that:

- (1) a copy of this Clause be forwarded to the Task Force on Community Safety for its information; and
- (2) the Chairs of the Community Services Committee and the Planning and Transportation Committee, together with appropriate City staff, be requested to seek a meeting with the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing to brief the Minister on Council's request and to emphasize Council's support for a timely response to the recommendations embodied in the Clause."

**REPORT NO. 2 OF THE POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - “Implementing Council’s Corporate Management Framework, a New Budget Process for 2001 and Beyond”.**

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next meeting of Council scheduled to be held on April 24, 2001.

**Clause No. 2 - “The City of Toronto’s Performance Measurement Framework The Provincial Requirement to Report under the Municipal Performance Measurement Program (MPMP)”.**

The Clause was amended by:

(1) amending Recommendation No. (1) of the Policy and Finance Committee to read as follows:

“(1) Council request the Province of Ontario to:

- (a) consult on additional performance evaluation criteria;
- (b) extend the taxpayer reporting guidelines deadline to April 30, 2001; and
- (c) pay for the cost of communicating with citizens;”;

(2) amending Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated February 7, 2001, from the Chief Administrative Officer, by deleting the word ‘annually’, and inserting in lieu thereof the word ‘semi-annually’, so that such Recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(1) the Chief Administrative Officer report to the Policy and Finance Committee semi-annually on progress made in implementing Phase II of the Performance Measurement Framework;”;

(3) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (a) the Province of Ontario be advised that the City of Toronto is best compared with cities of a similar size, such as Montreal, Chicago and Atlanta;
- (b) the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to:
  - (i) provide additional ways of measuring performance in other categories; and
  - (ii) work with staff of the Association of Municipalities of Ontario in preparing a performance evaluation of the Ontario Government; and

- (c) all performance measurement data be provided to the Province as required, through the Association of Municipalities of Ontario only.”

**Clause No. 5 - “A Service Delivery Policy Framework”.**

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) striking out Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated February 2, 2001, from the Chief Administrative Officer and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Recommendation No. (1):

“(1) Council adopt the following policy to guide City Services:

The City of Toronto is committed to providing accountable quality services at an affordable cost, on a sustainable basis. To this end, the City will systematically review its programs, services and delivery mechanisms and will pursue the most appropriate methods and structures for providing accountable quality service and the best value for the municipal tax dollar;”;

- (2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on:
  - (a) applying performance value auditing principles benchmarked in the Best Practices Program in the Water and Waste Water Division; and
  - (b) an implementation plan respecting the Service Delivery Policy Framework, including a workplan, timetable and potential efficiency targets; and
- (2) if any savings in service delivery can be identified by April, 2001, the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee.”

**Clause No. 6 - “Basement Flooding Investigation and Assessment Voluntary Private Home Isolation Program”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) those homeowners experiencing multiple problems with their drains be given the first opportunity to have the back-flow device installed; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to:

- (a) communicate with those homeowners who have current complaints with the City respecting their drains; and
- (b) provide each Councillor with a list of complaints within their Ward.”

**Clause No. 7** - **“Evaluation of Toronto Hydro Energy Services Inc. Proposal for the Implementation of Energy Retrofit Measures in a Group of City-Owned Facilities as Part of the Better Buildings Partnership Program (All Wards)”.**

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next meeting of Council scheduled to be held on April 24, 2001.

**Clause No. 8** - **“Toronto Hydro Corporation: Adjustment of Interest Accrued in 2000; and Shareholder Resolution Regarding the Ability to Provide Financial Guarantees”.**

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) adding the words “conditional upon Toronto Hydro Telecom Inc. providing its immediate agreement in writing that it shall work with City staff to finalize and enter into a Municipal Access Agreement with the City by April 1, 2001, on the terms and conditions previously approved by City Council”, to Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the confidential report dated February 6, 2001, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, such report to remain confidential in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it concerns the security of a property interest of the municipality or local board, save and except the following recommendations embodied therein, amended to read as follows:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) Council authorize the City Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to adjust the consideration of the original transfer of Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited, and make the necessary changes to the promissory note, as permitted under By-law No. 374-1999 (the ‘Transfer By-law’), with respect to the payment of the balance of the interest on the promissory note from the distribution company for the 2000 year, amounting to \$33,327,852, conditional upon Toronto Hydro Telecom Inc. providing its immediate agreement in writing that it shall work with City staff to finalize and enter into a Municipal Access Agreement with the City by April 1, 2001, on the terms and conditions previously approved by City Council;
- (2) Council authorize the City Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to make the necessary changes to the promissory note, as permitted under the Transfer By-law, in order to make it consistent with the company’s ability to pay interest for the 2001 year, anticipated at \$42 million, as compared with original expectations of \$67 million;

- (3) The City, as sole shareholder of Toronto Hydro Corporation, approve and adopt the resolution attached to this report as Appendix A, for the purpose of permitting the Corporation to provide financial guarantees to suppliers of gas and electricity, and to the IMO, in support of Hydro and Hydro Services. The ability of the Corporation to provide these financial guarantees without requiring further Shareholder approval will be restricted to these purposes, and to a total amount of \$500 million; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

City Council, at its in camera meeting, also issued confidential instructions to staff, such instructions to remain confidential in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regarding that they relate to the security of the property interest of the municipality.

**Clause No. 11 - “Response to the Notice of Motion (October 2000) to Submit an Application for a New Home for the Aged (Ward 35 - Scarborough Southwest)”.**

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the following recommendation of the Community Services Committee, embodied in the communication dated February 26, 2001, from the City Clerk, be adopted, subject to final approval of the funding by City Council:

‘City Council formally reconfirm its support for the application for the construction and ongoing operation of a new 178-bed long-term care facility to be located on the City-owned property located at the northwest corner of St. Clair and Midland Avenues, and communicate this support to the Ministry of Health and Long Term Care, as required by the application process, by the March 15, 2001 deadline’; and

- (2) the City of Toronto-initiated Official Plan Amendment for the Scarborough Transportation Corridor Lands, Phase III, West St. Clair to Brimley Road (W96052), be referred back to the East Community Council for its consideration and direction.”

**Clause No. 14 “Kraft Paper Leaf and Yard Waste Bag Promotion and Enforcement”.**

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) amending the recommendations embodied in the report dated January 24, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to read as follows:

“It is recommended that, in order to enhance the education of Toronto residents regarding the new Kraft Paper Bag Policy for leaf and yard waste:

- (1) from April 1 to May 31, 2001 leaf and yard waste material placed in clear plastic bags will be collected with the exception of one bag at each residence which will remain with an enforcement sticker attached explaining the new leaf and yard waste policy; and
- (2) effective June 1, 2001, a zero tolerance level of plastic bags will be enforced and leaf and yard waste material will only be collected in kraft paper leaf and yard waste bags and in rigid open-top containers.”; and

(2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to:

- (a) review the kraft bag program in light of the funding cuts to the program, providing recommendations on education and enforcement measures and submit a report thereon to the Works Committee for consideration at the next scheduled meeting of Council; and
- (b) consult with local Councillors with respect to the languages used in the promotion of the kraft paper leaf and yard waste bag, in order to reach out to as many constituents as possible; and that each Councillor be given the opportunity to suggest other preferred languages.”

**Clause No. 18 - “Concerns Respecting the Methodology of the Ontario Property Assessment Corporation”.**

Council took no action on this Clause.

**Clause No. 19 - “2001 Draft Regulation Mandating Standardized Property Tax Bills (Form and Content)”.**

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the report dated March 2, 2001, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendation, be adopted, viz.:

‘That City Council request the Minister of Finance to authorize the City to use its existing final property tax bill form (attached as Appendix A) for use in 2001 and beyond.’ ”

**Clause No. 21 - “Lakeshore Psychiatric Hospital Grounds, Land Exchange with Toronto Catholic District School Board”.**

The Clause was received.

[See also the action taken by Council with respect to Clause No. 8 of Report No. 2 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee, headed “Former Lakeshore Hospital Site, Toronto Catholic District School Board/City of Toronto Joint Use Agreement”, page 10.]

**REPORT NO. 2 OF THE WORKS COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - “Transportation of Waste to Michigan”.**

The Clause was amended by adding to Recommendation No. (3) of the Works Committee, the words “and conduct a similar examination of a potential rail haul option with the City’s other supplier of landfill capacity in Michigan, Superior Arbor Hills Landfill, Inc.”, so that such Recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(3) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to examine the CP Rail Expressway option for the shipment of all or part of the City’s waste, in consultation with neighbouring communities, the consultation process established, the contractors, Republic Services and Wilson Logistics, and CP Rail, and conduct a similar examination of a potential rail haul option with the City’s other supplier of landfill capacity in Michigan, Superior Arbor Hills Landfill, Inc.;”.

**Clause No. 2 - “Proposed Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law”.**

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on April 24, 2001.

**Clause No. 3 - “Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant Mediation Agreement – Implementation and Compliance Monitoring Committee Review of City’s Compliance (Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)”.**

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on April 24, 2001.

**Clause No. 9 - “F.G. Gardiner Expressway and Don Valley Parkway Closure - Heart and Stroke Foundation of Ontario ‘2001 Ride for Heart’ (Etobicoke-Lakeshore, Parkdale-High Park, Trinity-Spadina, Don Valley West, Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Toronto-Danforth, Beaches-York, Don Valley East)”.**

The Clause was amended by amending Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated January 18, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, by deleting the time “4:00 p.m.” and inserting in lieu thereof the time “2:00 p.m.”, so that such Recommendation shall now read as follows:



- “(1) authority be given to close the F.G. Gardiner Expressway, from the Humber River to the Don Valley Parkway, including all ramps, and the Don Valley Parkway, from the F.G. Gardiner Expressway to York Mills Road, on Sunday, June 3, 2001, from 3:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., for the ‘2001 Ride for Heart’;”.

**Clause No. 10 - “Dufferin Street Jog Elimination at Queen Street West - Addendum to Environmental Study Report (1992) (Davenport)”.**

Consideration of this Clause was deferred to the next regular meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on April 24, 2001, and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services was requested to meet with Councillors Korwin-Kuczynski, Pantalone, Shiner and Silva in regard thereto and submit a report thereon directly to Council on the ways and means of lowering the cost of this project.

**Clause No. 11 - “Speed Limit Reduction: O’Connor Drive Between Wakunda Place and Sunrise Avenue (Don Valley East)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that a speed limit of 50 kilometres per hour be implemented on the entire length of O’Connor Drive.”

**Clause No. 12 - “Adjustments to On-Street Parking Meter Hours of Operation”.**

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) amending Recommendation No. (1)(b) embodied in the report dated January 24, 2001, from the President, Toronto Parking Authority, to read as follows:

“(1)(b) Eglinton Avenue West – Both Sides – Keele Street to Blackthorn Avenue”; and

- (2) adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) the report dated February 26, 2001, from the President, Toronto Parking Authority be received; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to give further consideration to the location between Blackthorn Avenue and the Allen Expressway and submit a report thereon to the Works Committee.”

**REPORT NO. 3 OF THE WORKS COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 1 - “Prince Edward Viaduct - Don Section Funding Proposal for Safety Barrier (Toronto Centre-Rosedale and Toronto-Danforth)”.**

The Clause was adopted, subject to the following:

- (1) deleting Recommendation No. (1)(vii) of the Works Committee and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“(1)(vii) funding be provided from the project budget for firstly, a pre-installation human factors evaluation and secondly, a post-installation evaluation to quantitatively measure the effects on traffic safety of the new signs on the Don Valley Parkway and that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report back to the Works Committee on the results within 18 months;”;

- (2) amending Recommendation No. (1)(ix) of the Works Committee by deleting the words “appropriate Community Council” and inserting in lieu thereof the words “Planning and Transportation Committee”, so that such Recommendation shall now read as follows:

“(1)(ix) the planning report and draft by-law be forwarded to the Planning and Transportation Committee for the holding of a public meeting in accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act.”;

- (3) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services being requested to explore further the signage issue along the Don River Corridor, or other locations so as to help defray the cost of the project, with the appropriate Committee of Council and other interested parties; and
- (4) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, representatives from Tribar Industries Inc. and the Implementation Committee being requested to explore and report on possible alternative locations for signage which could meet the requirements of the contribution proposal; and
- (5) adopting the joint report dated March 5, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor, subject to:
  - (a) amending Recommendation No. (1) by deleting the reference to condition (1)(vii) and by deleting from Part (b), the words “Midtown Community Council” and inserting in lieu thereof the words “Planning and Transportation Committee”; and
  - (b) deleting Recommendation No. (2) embodied therein and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Recommendation No. (2):

- “(2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor, be directed to enter into negotiations, and finalize an agreement with Bridgecon, the low bidder on the tender in the year 1999.”;

so that the recommendations embodied in the joint report dated March 5, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor shall now read as follows:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) Council delete condition (1)(viii) contained in the recommendations of the Works Committee, such conditions being applicable to lands outside the road allowance of the Don Valley Parkway, and instead authorize:
  - (a) pursuant to section 308 of the Municipal Act, an agreement to lease with Tribar Industries Inc. for the location of two signs within the untravelled portion of the Don Valley Parkway in or about the locations identified on the sketch attached to this report, for the monetary consideration set out in the recommendations from the Works Committee and for a term not to exceed 15 years and otherwise upon terms and conditions, including provisions for insurance, indemnity and removal of the signs, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Implementation Committee, and that the signs comply with North York Sign By-law 30788; and
  - (b) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to prepare a report, together with a draft by-law amendment to North York Sign By-law 30788 to permit the proposed signs, and that the report and draft by-law be forwarded to the Planning and Transportation Committee for the holding of a public meeting in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor, be directed to enter into negotiations, and finalize an agreement with Bridgecon, the low bidder on the tender in the year 1999.”

In addition, Council directed that:

- (1) the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, be requested to submit a report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the status of the CPR application and the effect of the Tribar proposal thereon; and
- (2) the City extend its thanks to all the volunteers and people who worked with the Bloor Viaduct Project Steering Committee and express its condolences to the bereaved families on their loss.”

- Clause No. 2** - **“Award of Contracts for Tender Call No. 121-2000 - Collection of Curbside Waste, Bulky Items and Yard Waste, Litter Waste from Public Waste Receptacles, and Curbside Recyclable Materials in District 1, York Community”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be directed to adjust the boundaries of the area covered by this contract to provide that the small part of the former City of York that is now part of Ward 13 – Parkdale High Park receive collection by City workers, and not by contracted services.”

- Clause No. 7** - **“Other Items Considered by the Committee”.**

The Clause was received as information, subject to striking out and referring Item (b) entitled “No-Fault Flood Grant Program: Basement Flooding Damages and Clean-up Costs”, embodied therein, to the Budget Advisory Committee, notwithstanding the provisions of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, for consideration and the hearing of deputations by Members of Council only.

#### **REPORT NO. 2 OF THE DOWNTOWN COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

- Clause No. 8** - **“Appointment - Crescent Town Recreation Club Inc. (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the name of Mr. Bob Dale be forwarded to the Board of Crescent Town Recreation Club Inc. for consideration, and that the communication dated February 7, 2001 from Mr. Dale be appended to the Clause.”

- Clause No. 17** - **“Amendments to Official Plan and Zoning By-law 438-86 - Leslie-Lakeshore Developments Inc. 199019 - 731 Eastern Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)”.**

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the report dated March 5, 2001, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted, viz.:

‘It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) approve the proposed approach to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law for the former City of Toronto as they apply to 731 Eastern Avenue substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments contained in Appendix B of this report;

- (2) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments as may be required, and instruct the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to attend at the Ontario Municipal Board in support of the amendments proposed in this report;
- (3) request the Ontario Municipal Board to withhold the issuance of its Order approving the Official Plan or Zoning By-law Amendments for 731 Eastern Avenue until such time as all site planning matters, including traffic ingress and egress, have been resolved and the applicant has entered into a Site Plan Agreement under Section 41 of the Planning Act;
- (4) request that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services hold a community consultation meeting on March 26, 2001 at Bruce Public School, prior to the Ontario Municipal Board hearing, to discuss the status of the application and the findings of the Eastern Avenue study; and
- (5) request the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report further on the Draft Urban Design Guidelines, attached in Appendix C, for the study area south of Eastern Avenue.”

## **REPORT NO. 2 OF THE EAST COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

### **Clause No. 19 - “Billboard Sign Allocation Process for Third Party Signs”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that:

- (1) as part of the 2001 Work Plan of the Urban Development Services Department, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to harmonize the billboard provisions of existing sign by-laws into a stand-alone billboard by-law which considers a fixed maximum number of billboards and a full cost recovery fee structure, and additional revenue if possible, for billboards; such harmonized billboard by-law to be presented to the September 11, 2001 meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee, or earlier; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor, be requested to submit a report to the Budget Advisory Committee, prior to its deliberations during the week of March 19, 2001, on whether there is an additional revenue opportunity to recover the costs of administering signs, and, if so, the amount of anticipated costs that can be recovered during the 2001 and subsequent budget years.”

- Clause No. 20** - **“Ontario Municipal Board Hearing, Draft Plan of Subdivision Application SC-T19990003, Zoning By-law Amendment Application SC-Z19990019, Village Securities Limited, Morningside Heights Secondary Plan Area - Ward 42”.**

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next meeting of City Council scheduled to be held on April 24, 2001.

- Clause No. 21** - **“Ontario Municipal Board Appeal, Official Plan Amendment Application SC-P19990018, Zoning By-law Amendment Application SC-Z19990036, Settlement of Details of Site Plan SC-19990082, Shell Canada Products Ltd., 3101 Victoria Park Avenue, Redevelopment of Existing Service Station - Ward 40”.**

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the confidential report, dated February 12, 2001, from the City Solicitor, be received, such report to remain confidential, in its entirety, in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that it concerns matters of litigation or potential litigation, and the City Solicitor be directed not attend at the Ontario Municipal Board hearing with respect to this matter.”

## **REPORT NO. 2 OF THE MIDTOWN COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

- Clause No. 9** - **“Sheldrake Boulevard, Between Premises Nos. 108 and 124 – Implementation of a "No Parking" Regulation (Don Valley West - Ward 25)”.**

The Clause was amended by amending Recommendation No. (1) embodied in the report dated January 5, 2001, from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, by deleting the time “2:00 p.m.” and inserting in lieu thereof the time “5:00 p.m.”, so that such Recommendation shall now read as follows:

- “(1) parking be prohibited from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday, on the north side of Sheldrake Boulevard from a point 24 metres east of Stibbard Avenue to a point 41.5 metres further east;”.

- Clause No. 11** - **“Creation of School Pick-up/Drop-off Area Rolph Road, Between Southvale Drive and Sutherland Drive (Don Valley West - Ward 26)”.**

The Clause was amended by:

- (1) striking out Recommendations Nos. (1), (2), (3) and (4) embodied in the report dated January 29, 2001, from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, and inserting in

lieu thereof the following new Recommendations Nos. (1) and (2), and renumbering Recommendation No. (5) accordingly:

- “(1) the existing ‘No Parking 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday to Friday’ on the east side of Rolph Road adjacent to Rolph Road School be rescinded;
- (2) stopping be prohibited on the east side of Rolph Road between 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, between Southvale Drive to Sutherland Drive;”.

**Clause No. 28 - “Other Items Considered by Community Council”.**

The Clause was received as information, subject to striking out and referring Item (g), entitled “Sherwood Park – Dogs Off Leash (Don Valley West – Ward 25)”, embodied therein, back to the Midtown Community Council for further consideration.

**REPORT NO. 2 OF THE NORTH COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

**Clause No. 21 - “Tree Removal Request - 9 Findlay Boulevard - Ward 10 - York Centre”.**

The Clause was struck out and referred to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, for report thereon to the North Community Council, at such time as a Site Plan is filed for this property.

**REPORT NO. 2 OF THE SOUTHWEST COMMUNITY COUNCIL**

**Clause No. 4 - “Appointments to Colbourne Lodge/Mackenzie House/Spadina Community Museum Management Board”.**

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next meeting of Council scheduled to be held on April 24, 2001.

**Clause No. 5 - “Supplementary Reports Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law No. 1-83 of the former City of York 2322-2400 Eglinton Avenue West, Westside Developments Ltd., File Nos. OR00-001, SP00-006 (York South-Weston – Ward 12)”.**

The Clause was amended by adding thereto the following:

“It is further recommended that the report dated March 5, 2001, from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted, viz.:

'It is recommended that:

- (1) Zoning By-law No. 1-83 be amended generally in accordance with the further revised draft Zoning By-law appended to this report as Attachment 3, and worded to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor; and
- (2) in consideration of the By-law revisions noted in this report, no further notice of a public meeting be given in respect of the revised draft Official Plan Amendment and the further revised draft Zoning By-law.' ”

**Clause No. 7 - “1555 Jane Street - Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law No. 7625; Medallion Properties Limited; File Nos. UDOP-00-12, UDZ-99-42, UDSP-00-047 (York South-Weston – Ward 12)”.**

The Clause was amended by striking out the recommendation of the Southwest Community Council and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“It is recommended that the report dated August 30, 2000, from the Director of Community Planning, North District, be adopted for presentation to the Ontario Municipal Board Hearing scheduled for March 19, 2001, subject to the following amendments:

- (1) City Legal staff be authorized to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board to defend the City Council position, and to hire an external planner to support City Council’s position at the OMB;
- (2) the length of the building along Harding Avenue be reduced by 5 metres;
- (3) a minimum of 220 square metres of amenity space will be provided at the east end of the new building on Harding Avenue. An additional amenity area which is the lesser of 1.5 square metres per unit within the two new apartment buildings along Jane Street, or 220 square metres, shall also be provided in proximity to these buildings;
- (4) the maximum number of apartment house dwellings be limited to 555;
- (5) the maximum number of multiple attached dwellings be 15;
- (6) provision be made for loading spaces for each of the two new buildings and up to 20 percent of visitor parking spaces be designated surface parking spaces;
- (7) the Director of Community Planning, North District, confirm that all parking spaces meet city standards. Substandard surface parking spaces be deleted, and the residential buildings be reduced by 79 metres for each space deleted, which reduces the number of surface parking spaces on the surface lot, to the west of the existing 18 storey apartment building, to less than 120. Parking for the residential buildings, existing and new, shall be provided as follows: 329 spaces for the existing 18 storey apartment building, and 1 space for every 1.25 units for the new residential buildings;





Recommendation No. (1) embodied therein, the words “and that adequate facilities have been provided on site to ensure screening of garbage”, so that such Recommendations shall now read as follows:

‘It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) instruct the City Solicitor, Urban Development Services staff and Works and Emergency Services staff to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board in support of the application provided that the restaurant uses are limited to 291 square metres, the parking standards are met, the forthcoming parking and traffic study indicates no significant impact, and that conclusion is supported through Transportation Services Division review, and that adequate facilities have been provided on site to ensure screening of garbage; or
- (2) instruct the City Solicitor, Urban Development Services staff and Works and Emergency Services staff to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board in opposition to the application if the parking and traffic study identifies significant impact and/or the Transportation Services review indicate that there is significant impact that cannot be accommodated by the existing road network and site capacity.”

#### **REPORT NO. 1 OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE**

**Clause No. 2 - “Toronto Harbour Commissioners - Financial Review - Further Information”.**

Consideration of the Clause was deferred to the next meeting of Council scheduled to be held on April 24, 2001.

**Clause No. 7 - “Other Items Considered by the Committee”.**

The Clause was received as information, subject to striking out and referring Item (c) entitled “Response to the “Review of the Investigation of Sexual Assaults --Toronto Police Service”, embodied therein, back to the Audit Committee for further consideration.

#### **ENQUIRIES AND ANSWERS**

- (1) **From Councillor Walker (February 5, 2001) regarding the status of the TEDCO investigation requested by City Council.**

Consideration of the Enquiry dated February 5, 2001, from Councillor Walker, regarding the status of the TEDCO investigation requested by City Council, and the Answer thereto, dated February 27, 2001, from the Chief Administrative Officer was deferred to the next regular meeting of Council scheduled to be held on April 24, 2001.

- (2) **From Councillor Bussin (February 22, 2001) regarding recent media reports on the polling of City residents on property tax increases and budget issues.**

The Enquiry dated February 22, 2001 from Councillor Bussin regarding recent media reports on the polling of City residents on property tax increases and budget issues, together with the Answer thereto dated March 5, 2001, from the Chief Administrative Officer, was received.

### **ADDITIONAL MATTER CONSIDERED BY COUNCIL**

#### **Proposed Bill to Ban Bottles and Cans from State of Michigan Landfills**

Council adopted the following recommendation:

“It is recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the next meeting of the Works Committee on the impact on the City of Toronto if the legislation being considered by the State of Michigan to ban bottles and cans from landfill sites is passed into law.”

### **NOTICE OF MOTION APPEARING UNDER ITEM I**

#### **Phasing Out of Corporate Vehicle Service for Members of Council**

**Moved by: Councillor Duguid**

**Seconded by: Councillor Soknacki**

**WHEREAS** the City Auditor in a report dated November 30, 1999, concluded that the City could save between \$230,000.00 to \$305,000.00 by phasing out the corporate vehicle service to Councillors and replacing it with the use of taxis or a personal vehicle mileage reimbursement system; and

**WHEREAS** the City Auditor in his report stated, ‘there are more cost effective alternatives than utilizing corporate cars to provide transportation to Councillors in their conduct of City business’; and

**WHEREAS** all indications are that it will be very challenging to avoid a tax increase in 2001 and that we must re-double our efforts to find potential savings; and

**WHEREAS** the Chair of the Toronto Transit Commission has indicated a need for Councillors to use the transit system, when possible, rather than corporate vehicles; and

**WHEREAS** it appears that use of the corporate vehicle service by Councillors is declining, based on usage in 2000;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Clerk, in consultation with the City Auditor, be requested to report to the Administration Committee on February 6, 2001, on a plan to phase out the corporate vehicle service for Councillors by the end of the year 2001.”

*Disposition:                    The Motion was referred to the Budget Advisory Committee.*

**NOTICES OF MOTIONS APPEARING UNDER ITEM J**

(1)    **Cancellation of Taxes Related to Current Value Assessment (CVA)**

**Moved by:                    Councillor Walker**

**Seconded by:                Councillor Johnston**

“**WHEREAS** the second round of re-assessment under the Provincial Government’s Current Value Assessment (CVA) system has again resulted in significant tax shifts within the residential property class and substantial assessment-related tax changes for a large number of individual homeowners; and

**WHEREAS** Current Value Assessment has caused substantial property tax increases in a relatively short period of time for many homeowners, particularly in the old City of Toronto, the former Borough of East York and in neighbourhoods throughout the entire City; and

**WHEREAS** these tax increases do not relate to the cost of servicing the individual property, increased municipal services or municipal budgetary shortfalls, but are based solely on the wildly fluctuating Toronto real estate market; and

**WHEREAS** CVA is, in essence, a tax on an unrealized capital gain making taxpayers that have lived for many years in the same home and those on a fixed income extremely vulnerable to re-assessment and tax shifts; and

**WHEREAS** both the City of Toronto and the Province of Ontario have recognized low and moderate income Senior and Disabled Homeowners as taxpayers who would be particularly vulnerable to the negative impacts of reassessment; and

**WHEREAS** the Province of Ontario has mandated that municipalities provide tax relief for low income seniors and disabled homeowners; and

**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto established a program in 1998 whereby eligible low and moderate income Seniors and Disabled Persons could defer between 25 percent – 100 percent of their assessment-related tax increase annually, with no interest penalties. The deferred taxes would be registered as a lien to be paid back upon sale of the property or the death of the homeowner; and

**WHEREAS** the majority of those taxpayers eligible for the deferral program quite rightly reject the suggestion that after years of working and saving to afford a home and pay off a mortgage they should consider going through it all over again in order to pay an unfair tax increase; and

**WHEREAS** the program currently in place has been largely ignored by eligible taxpayers with a scant 3 percent participation rate indicating that the program is unpopular, cost ineffective and in need of drastic alterations if it is to accomplish its intended goal – that is to allow low to moderate income seniors and disabled persons to remain in their homes and in their communities;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:**

- (1) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to prepare a report outlining the financial impacts associated with cancellation of CVA-related tax increases for low and moderate income senior and disabled homeowners, using the same eligibility criteria as what is currently in place under the City of Toronto’s Senior and Disabled Tax Deferral Program; and
- (2) the Government of Ontario, as the architects of the Current Value Assessment system and thus bearing responsibility for assessment-related tax changes (increases and decreases), be requested to fund all or part (i.e., the education portion) of the cost of any potential program to cancel assessment related tax increases for low and moderate income senior and disabled homeowners.”

*Disposition: The Motion was referred to he Policy and Finance Committee.*

(2) **El Salvador Earthquake Relief**

**Moved by: Councillor Mihevc**

**Seconded by: Councillor Moscoe**

“**WHEREAS** the three earthquakes that occurred on January 13, and February 13 and 17, 2001, have had a devastating impact on numerous communities in El Salvador; and

**WHEREAS** over two million people have been made homeless in the aftermath of the earthquakes; and

**WHEREAS** the earthquakes have killed over 11,000 people in El Salvador, with another 15,000 to 20,000 persons missing; and

**WHEREAS** the Salvadoran community in Toronto is an important part of the social fabric of the City; and

**WHEREAS** the Salvadoran members of our community have turned to the City of Toronto for assistance in the rebuilding of the country of their loved ones;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City of Toronto undertake a broad public appeal for urgently needed cash donations to participate in the international assistance for the victims of the earthquakes in El Salvador;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the City undertake an ‘El Salvador Earthquakes Relief’ campaign of which the immediate focus would be a special appeal for cash donations from citizens and the corporate sector in Toronto;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** Toronto residents be encouraged to make donations to the development agencies working in El Salvador and to the Salvadorian Canadian Association of Toronto’s relief efforts;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the City of Toronto use its internal and external communications vehicles, including the City’s web site, to appeal to the public and to employees;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** all City Councillors be encouraged to undertake activities with the communities in their Wards to assist in the relief effort.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(3) **Proposed Development at 982 Dundas Street West**

**Moved by: Councillor Pantalone**

**Seconded by: Councillor Miller**

“**WHEREAS** at its meeting held on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000, Toronto City Council passed By-law No. 569-2000 (the ‘By-law’) to permit the erection and use of 6 dwelling units, 3 row houses and 2 retail stores on the lands known municipally as 982 Dundas Street West (the ‘Site’); and

**WHEREAS** subsequent to the passing of the By-law, the Site owner, 1330762 Ontario Inc. (the ‘Owner’), made an application to the Committee of Adjustment (‘the Committee’) for consent to convey and permit the creation of five parcels and associated easements and rights-of-way within the Site to accommodate the approved development; and

**WHEREAS** the Committee approved the application at its meeting of November 7, 2000, subject to certain conditions imposed by the Committee, to be secured through a Consent Agreement between the Owner and the City of Toronto; and

**WHEREAS** these conditions will secure certain standard City requirements regarding the development and will ensure that the Owner undertakes a Site and Building Audit, a Dust Control Plan, a Historical Review and a Soil and Groundwater Testing Program to mitigate any impacts of the development; and

**WHEREAS** the Owner has advised that time is of the essence in securing the financing for the development;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council adopt the report dated February 27, 2001, from the City Solicitor, and the City Clerk and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be authorized to sign the Consent Agreement, made between 1330762 Ontario Inc. and the City of Toronto, with respect to 982 Dundas Street West and any other documentation necessary to give effect thereto.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted, without amendment, the report dated February 27, 2001, from the City Solicitor, embodying the following recommendation:*

*“It is recommended that the City Clerk and Treasurer be authorized to sign the Consent Agreement, made between 1330762 Ontario Inc. and the City of Toronto with respect to 982 Dundas Street and any other documentation necessary to give effect thereto.”*

(4) **Sounds of the Music” and the “Taste of the Danforth Events”**

**Moved by: Councillor Layton**

**Seconded by: Councillor Ootes**

**“WHEREAS** the Greektown on the Danforth Business Improvement Association has requested City Council to declare the ‘Sounds of the Music’ to be held on May 26 to 27, 2001 and June 16 and 17, 2001 and the ‘Krinos Foods Taste of the Danforth’ to be held on August 10 to 12, 2001 events of municipal and/or community significance; and

**WHEREAS** the Greektown on the Danforth Business Improvement Association has requested that the restaurants participating in the ‘Sounds of the Music’ and the ‘Taste of the Danforth Event’ be permitted to serve alcohol on their extended patios for the same hours as already existing patios on Danforth Avenue only; and

**WHEREAS** the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario requires at least 30 days advance notice to issue a liquor licence and refuses to issue any permit to the Greektown on the Danforth Business Improvement Association with less than 30 days notice; and

**WHEREAS** the next City Council meeting is scheduled to be held on April 24, 25 and 26, 2001, which would not leave sufficient time for the City of Toronto to notify the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario and have the application processed;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the ‘Sounds of the Music’ be declared an event of municipal and/or community significance, taking place on May 26, 2001 from 4 p.m. to 12:00 a.m. and May 27, 2001 from 3:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m., June 16, 2001 from 4:00 p.m. to 12:00 a.m. and June 17, 2001 from 3:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the ‘Krinos Foods Taste of the Danforth’ be declared an event of municipal and/or community significance, taking place on August 10, 2001 from 6:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m., August 11, 2001 12 noon to 1:00 a.m. and August 12, 2001 12 noon to 10:00 p.m. and;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the patio extensions be permitted to serve alcohol until 12:00 a.m. on May 26, 2001 and June 16, 2001, until 10:00 p.m. on May 27, 2001 and June 17, 2001, until 1:00 a.m. on August 10, 2001 and August 11, 2001, and until 10:00 p.m. on Sunday August 12, 2000, and that the Alcohol and Gaming Commission be so advised.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(5) **Implications of Bill 140**

**Moved by: Councillor Miller**

**Seconded by: Councillor Chow**

“**WHEREAS** the Mayor and the Members of Council are elected to make decisions regarding the level of property taxation, not the Provincial Legislature; and

**WHEREAS** the provisions of Bill 140 restrict the ability of the City of Toronto to make its own decisions regarding appropriate levels of taxation; and

**WHEREAS** the Provincial and Federal governments extract a high surplus from Toronto that is not reinvested in the City; and

**WHEREAS** the City faces budget pressures of \$305 million dollars for the year 2001; and

**WHEREAS** it is desirable to meet these budget pressures through measures that do not cut service or involve layoffs of staff; and

**WHEREAS** the current budget pressures faced by the City are in large measure due to actions by the Provincial Government, including downloading currently estimated by City staff at \$276,800,000 and Bill 140;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Province of Ontario be requested to:

- (1) revoke regulations under Bill 140 which have the effect of restricting property tax increases to certain classes of property;
- (2) establish a public process for hearing from the City and its residents on regulations under Bill 140 and on methods to help protect residents in large multi-unit rental buildings from unfair tax increases;



- (3) levy the same commercial property tax rate for education purposes in Toronto in 2001 as in Mississauga;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the City Clerk:

- (1) be directed to notify all other municipalities facing the same limitations as Toronto, because of tax ratios under Bill 140, of this action and be requested to convene a meeting of officials from these municipalities and the City to develop an appropriate strategy to change the impact of Bill 140; and
- (2) notify commercial property owners in the City assessed at more than \$3 million of this request, and members of the Policy and Finance Committee follow up with such owners to help them make their opinions known;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Federal and Provincial governments be requested to enter into long term financial arrangements to support Canada's cities, including Toronto;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Mayor's office, in consultation with the Charter City Strategy Group, devise and implement a strategy to harness public support for this request."

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(6) **Snow Removal in Front of Toronto District School Board Properties**

**Moved by: Councillor Mihevc**

**Seconded by: Councillor Ashton**

**“WHEREAS** the Toronto District School Board (TDSB) disputes the City of Toronto's assertion that it is responsible for snow removal services on sidewalks and laneways bounding its properties; and

**WHEREAS** if the City of Toronto were to remove the snow in front of TDSB properties it would set a dangerous precedent that would impact on its relations with other private and public institutions and companies; and

**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto is in no financial position to expand its snow removal services to properties outside of its direct jurisdiction;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the appropriate staff be instructed to negotiate with the Toronto District School Board an agreement on removal of snow in front of all TDSB-related properties."

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, subject to adding the words “at no cost to the City” to the end of the Operative Paragraph, so that such Operative Paragraph shall now read as follows:*

*“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the appropriate staff be instructed to negotiate with the Toronto District School Board an agreement on removal of snow in front of all TDSB-related properties at no cost to the City.”*

(7) **City of Toronto Submission Regarding the Provincial Review of the Ontario Property Assessment Corporation**

**Moved by: Councillor Flint**

**Seconded by: Councillor Balkissoon**

**“WHEREAS** the Minister of Finance sets assessment policy and standards across the Province, and the Ontario Property Assessment Corporation’s (OPAC) role is to carry out property assessments in accordance with these policies; and

**WHEREAS** the former Finance Minister, the Honourable Ernie Eves, had appointed Mr. Marcel Beaubien, MPP for Lambton-Kent-Middlesex, as a special advisor to conduct a review of OPAC; and

**WHEREAS** Mr. Beaubien will:

- (1) review the operational structure of OPAC, including the composition of the Board of Directors;
- (2) study the working relationship between OPAC and the provincial government; and
- (3) conduct a comprehensive review of the regulation that determines property classifications; and

**WHEREAS** Mr. Beaubien will be conducting public focus groups and will be inviting submissions from various municipal associations representing property taxpayers and municipalities; and

**WHEREAS** he will submit his findings to the Minister by March 31, 2001; and

**WHEREAS** Council, at its meeting of January 30, 31 and February 1, 2001, referred a Motion to the Policy and Finance Committee requesting that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer prepare a submission, on behalf of Toronto, outlining the City’s difficulties in dealing with OPAC and recommending appropriate changes that would enable a more open and fair method of determining assessments, appealing assessments, releasing information and improving the relationship between OPAC, the Province and municipalities;

**WHEREAS** Council, in order to approve a submission to Mr. Beaubien in advance of the March 31, 2001 deadline, must do so at the March 6, 7 and 8, 2001 meeting of Council;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the attached report dated March 5, 2001, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled 'City of Toronto Submission Regarding the Provincial Review of the Ontario Property Assessment Corporation (OPAC)' be adopted by Council."

**Disposition:** *The Motion was adopted, subject to amending the Operative Paragraph to provide that the report dated March 5, 2001, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, be amended as follows, and the City's submission to Mr. Marcel Beaubien be revised accordingly:*

- (1) *amending Recommendation No. (8) by deleting the words "a maximum time period" and inserting in lieu thereof the words "a deadline of no more than 120 days", and adding the words "and that the appeal deadline be after the OPAC process of reconsideration is completed";*
- (2) *amending Recommendation No. (15) by deleting the words "or at a minimum, that the eight-year period of applicability be extended to 25 years"; and*
- (3) *adding the following new Recommendations Nos. (18), (19), (20) and (21), under the Section, entitled "Ministry of Finance Issues":*

**"Recommendation 18:**

*the Province amend the Act to separate parking lots from railway lands in the determination of property within the vacant land classification.*

**Recommendation. 19:**

*Municipalities be permitted to establish sub-classes within the Parking Lot designation to distinguish between commuter parking lots, municipal parking lots and private commercial lots.*

**Recommendation 20:**

*appointments to the OPAC Board of Directors be made by municipal stakeholders, rather than by the Province, as at present; and*

**Recommendation 21:**

*OPAC, in undertaking its assessments, be requested to consider the impact on property taxes of the assessment, and adjust the assessment accordingly.",*

*So that the recommendations embodied in the City's submission to Mr. Marcel Beaubien shall now read as follows:*

**“Recommendation 1:**

*OPAC critically review its systems of Quality Assurance/Quality Control, and implement new measures to improve the accuracy and completeness of data on the annual assessment roll provided to municipalities.*

**Recommendation 2:**

*OPAC ensure that all changes in property value or tax status on the assessment roll are correctly and completely coded to indicate the reason for the change, and the effective date of any change, and that this information be included on the annual assessment roll.*

**Recommendation 3:**

*OPAC review its procedures for updating ownership information and seek improvements to ensure that ownership changes are reflected in a timely manner, and that OPAC explore methods of providing updated ownership information to municipalities in electronic format on a regular (e.g. weekly or more frequent) basis, or by a direct electronic feed.*

**Recommendation 4:**

*OPAC seek to reduce the reliance on Section 442 and 443 adjustments to correct errors to the assessment roll, through enhancements and improvements to quality assurance procedures, and through education of OPAC staff, that would eliminate errors on the returned roll and the need to make subsequent corrections.*

**Recommendation 5:**

*OPAC seek improvements to the scheduling and processing cycle for supplementary/ omitted amounts, and link this process to the issuance of occupancy permits by municipal building departments, to ensure that any in-year value changes (e.g. improvements or new construction) are captured and communicated to the municipality as soon as possible after the change becomes effective.*

**Recommendation 6:**

*OPAC undertake to improve communications with municipalities, and that procedures be developed that would require OPAC to formally notify municipalities of any programs that may result in value changes, and that such notification include a summary of such changes, an explanation of the rationale for the changes, and a list of affected properties.*

**Recommendation 7:**

*OPAC develop protocols to notify municipalities of pending assessment appeals that may have significant impacts on municipal finances, and to verify that ARB Decisions correctly reflect the court's determination. Further, that OPAC establish procedures to provide assessment appeal information to municipalities in electronic format on a monthly basis.*

**Recommendation 8:**

*OPAC further explore methods to ensure that reconsiderations are dealt with in a timely manner, and that this process incorporate a deadline of no more than 120 days for a response by OPAC, and that OPAC, in consultation with municipalities and the Assessment Review Board, adopt measures to further streamline the appeal process to eliminate delays in scheduling, communicating decisions and processing tax adjustments and that the appeal deadline be after the OPAC process of reconsideration is completed.*

**Recommendation 9:**

*OPAC develop means to ensure that property records are updated to reflect previous assessment reductions, or revised property information, and that this information is taken into account in the determination of assessed value in subsequent re-assessments.*

**Recommendation 10:**

*OPAC review the current composition of the corporation's Board of Directors and methods of appointment, and implement means to ensure the Board composition reflects representation based on a proportionate share of provincial population and/or assessable units.*

**Recommendation 11:**

*The Province contribute a share of OPAC's costs proportionate to its representation on the corporation's Board of Directors.*

**Recommendation 12:**

*OPAC formalize measures of fiscal accountability to its municipal stakeholders that would see municipalities financially compensated by the assessment corporation for tax revenue losses that are directly attributable to errors or omissions on the part of OPAC, where such tax revenue losses cannot be recovered through legislative means.*

**Recommendation 13:**

*OPAC seek to make information on individual property characteristics and assessment methods, including factors that may affect a property's market value, accessible to both municipalities and municipal ratepayers.*

**Recommendation 14:**

*The Province must have regard to the revenue implications for municipalities of changes in provincial assessment and taxation policy, and undertake not only to repeal certain constraints but further, to not introduce new measures that erode the municipal tax base or constrain tax revenues.*

**Recommendation 15:**

*The optional New Multi-Residential tax class that taxes newly constructed rental buildings at a reduced rate for an eight-year period be changed to allow for a permanent tax rate reduction for this class.*

**Recommendation 16:**

*The Province create an optional tax class or sub-class for Heritage Properties, to enable a reduced tax rate to apply to properties within the class or sub-class.*

**Recommendation 17:**

*The Province amend the method of assessment of railway rights-of-way to exclude any and all portion of these lands that are utilized for telecommunications infrastructure to a width of one metre on either side of the cable installation, and to provide that lands so excluded be taxed at the industrial rate to reflect their business use.*

**Recommendation No. 18:**

*The Province amend the Act to separate parking lots from railway lands in the determination of property within the vacant land classification.*

**Recommendation No. 19:**

*Municipalities be permitted to establish sub-classes within the Parking Lot designation to distinguish between commuter parking lots, municipal parking lots and private commercial lots.*

**Recommendation No. 20:**

*Appointments to the OPAC Board of Directors be made by municipal stakeholders, rather than by the Province, as at present.*

**Recommendation No. 21:**

*OPAC, in undertaking its assessments, be requested to consider the impact on property taxes of the assessment, and adjust the assessment accordingly.”*

*In adopting the Motion, as amended, Council adopted the report dated March 5, 2001, from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, embodying the following recommendations, amended to read as follows:*

*“It is recommended that:*

- (1) the Submission in Appendix A be adopted, as amended by Council; and*
- (2) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Chair of the Policy and Finance Committee be authorized to seek a date to present a submission, in person, before Mr. Beaubien, on behalf of the taxpayers of Toronto and Toronto City Council.”*

(8) **Declaration as Surplus Property Known Municipally as 590 Jarvis Street**

**Moved by: Councillor Rae**

**Seconded by: Councillor McConnell**

**“WHEREAS** City Council at its meeting held on October 3, 4 and 5, 2000 and its Special Meetings held on October 6, 10, 11 and 12, 2000, adopted Clause No. 21 of Report No. 19 of the Administration Committee entitled, ‘Declaration as Surplus, Property Known Municipally as 590 Jarvis Street’, thereby declaring surplus to the City’s requirements and authorizing offering for sale as described in the body of the report, the lands known municipally as 590 Jarvis Street, more particularly described as part of Park Lots 6 and 7, Concession 1 From the Bay, and part of Lot 5 on Plan 19E, save and except for the widening of Hayden Street: and

**WHEREAS** the intended manner of sale approved at the October 3, 4 and 5, 2000 and its Special Meetings held on October 6, 10, 11 and 12, 2000 meetings includes authorization to sell a portion of the parcel containing an area of 5,376 square feet to enhance the privately owned, publicly accessible green space proposed to be incorporated within the development of the adjacent lands at 600 Jarvis Street, a portion containing an area of 8,816 square feet to be sold or leased to the Gerstein Centre and the remaining lands to be sold on the open market; and

**WHEREAS** the Commissioner of Corporate Services is now in receipt of correspondence dated November 20, 2000 and November 29, 2000, from the adjacent owner, Philmor Group Inc., requesting approval to purchase additional lands (Part 2 on the Sketch No. PS-2001-011) directly west of the lands authorized for sale to this adjacent owner; and

**WHEREAS** the currently approved manner of sale for these ‘additional lands’ is sale on the open market; and

**WHEREAS** the City will not have complied with the authority as previously granted if it were to agree to the enlarged area, it is necessary that approval for the revised apportionment be given; and

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Clause No. 21 of Administration Committee Report No. 19 adopted by City Council at its meeting held on October 3, 4, and 5, 2000 and its Special Meetings held on October 6, 10, 11 and 12, 2000 entitled, ‘Declaration as Surplus, Property Known Municipally as 590 Jarvis Street, be amended only insofar as it pertains to the manner of sale; and

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the report from the Commissioner of Corporate Services dated March 2, 2001, entitled ‘Revised Method of Disposition – 590 Jarvis Street’ be adopted.”



**Disposition:** *The Motion was adopted, without amendment, and in so doing, Council adopted, without amendment, the report dated March 2, 2001, from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, embodying the following recommendations:*

*“It is recommended that:*

- (1) the approved method of sale of the surplus property known municipally as 590 Jarvis Street be revised as detailed in the body of this report;*
- (2) all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken; and*
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”*

**(9) Program Enhancements and Consolidation of By-laws Affecting Parking Enforcement on Private Property**

**Moved by:** Councillor Moscoe

**Seconded by:** Councillor Prue

**“WHEREAS** Council at its regular meeting held on October 3, 4, and 5, 2000, and at its Special Meetings held on October 6, 2000, October 10 and 11, 2000, and October 12, 2000 considered Report No. 17 Clause No. 1 of the Administration Committee entitled “Program Enhancements and Consolidation of By-laws Affecting Parking Enforcement on Private Property”, which Clause incorporated recommendations from the Planning and Transportation Committee; and

**WHEREAS** Council adopted recommendations of the Planning and Transportation Committee embodied in a communication dated September 19, 2000 from the City Clerk, subject to two amendments; and

**WHEREAS** the amended recommendations adopted by Council deferred consideration of all aspects of the Clause pertaining to the 30-minute grace period between the ticketing and towing of vehicles and directed that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the City Solicitor report on that issue directly to Council; and

**WHEREAS** Council also directed that staff submit the amended by-laws directly to Council and report on any significant issues arising during their preparation; and

**WHEREAS** the City Solicitor and Commissioner of Urban Development Services have prepared the attached joint report dated February 21, 2001 in accordance with Council’s direction; and

**WHEREAS** the City Solicitor has prepared Bills as directed by Council to reflect the recommendations contained in the attached joint report of February 21, 2001;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council give consideration to the above-mentioned joint report dated February 21, 2001 from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the City Solicitor and that Council adopt such joint report.”

*Disposition: The Motion was referred to the Planning and Transportation Committee.*

(10) **Committee of Adjustment Decision – 32 Richview Drive**

**Moved by: Councillor Lindsay Luby**

**Seconded by: Councillor Jones**

“**WHEREAS** the Etobicoke Committee of Adjustment at its meeting held on March 1, 2001 approved an application subject to Section 45(2)(b) of the Planning Act R.S.O, 1990 Chapter P.13 by Richview Gate Development Corporation to permit stacked townhouse units in the Residential Sixth Density (R6); and

**WHEREAS** the Residential Sixth Density (R6) zone does not contain any zoning regulations for stacked townhouse units and therefore the Committee of Adjustment’s approval of stacked townhouses as a permitted use also gives effect to approval of all zoning regulations as they are shown on the plans submitted to the Committee of Adjustment; and

**WHEREAS** the amount of landscaped open space and number of automobile parking spaces approved by the Committee of Adjustment is deficient; and

**WHEREAS** the deficiency in the number of automobile parking spaces will create a serious parking shortfall and potentially lead to illegal parking on Richview Road; and

**WHEREAS** Section 45(12) of the Planning Act R.S.O., 1990 Chapter P.13 provides that any public body who has an interest in a decision of the Committee of Adjustment may appeal the decision within 20 days of the making of the decision; and

**WHEREAS** the last day for appealing Decision A48/01 ET is March 21, 2001 and therefore Council’s normal practice of considering Committee of Adjustment appeals through a recommendation from the Community Council is not possible prior to the last date of appeal;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:**

- (1) Council appeal Committee of Adjustment Decision A48/01 ET; and
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare the necessary documentation to launch the appeal and that the City Solicitor and City staff be authorized appear before the Ontario Municipal Board in support of the City position.”

**Disposition:** *The Motion was adopted, subject to striking out the Operative Paragraph and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Operative Paragraph:*

***“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City Solicitor be instructed to file an appeal in this matter and this Motion be forwarded to the West Community Council for consideration.”***

(11) **Environment Days**

**Moved by:** Councillor Nunziata

**Seconded by:** Councillor Pitfield

**“WHEREAS** the Works Committee approved to change Environment Days from 28 to 44, without additional costs; and

**WHEREAS** this was achieved by deleting some of the service and free products at each Environment Day; and

**WHEREAS** Members of Council can supplement their Environment Day through their Global Budget; and

**WHEREAS** the budget meeting of the Works Committee approved \$404,000.00 required to pay for the program as the Works Committee feels this is an important way to continue communications with the citizens of this City on waste issues; and

**WHEREAS** the Works and Emergency Services Department needs six to eight weeks lead-time in order to commence Environment Days;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council grant prior approval to the budget of the Works and Emergency Services Department for \$404,000.00 to pay for the Environment Day program.”

**Disposition:** *The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(12) **Request to Federal Government to establish Ministry of Urban Affairs**

**Moved by:** Councillor Cho

**Seconded by:** Councillor Berardinetti

**“WHEREAS** the City of Toronto has many reasons to have ongoing relations with the Federal Government on a variety of issues; and

**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto and other large urban centres in Canada are impacted by many of the decisions of the Federal Government; and

**WHEREAS** there is no available structure to approach the Federal Government on urban issues; and

**WHEREAS** it is essential that the Federal Government, and the City of Toronto and other Urban Centres work together co-operatively and efficiently; and

**WHEREAS** our cities continue to grow through the movement of people from rural areas to urban centres and external immigration; and

**WHEREAS** major urban centres throughout the world are in competition with each other; and

**WHEREAS** the needs of municipal governments have grown extensively over the years and will continue to grow in areas of social housing, children's services, homelessness, taxation, transportation, and other vital services; and

**WHEREAS** there previously existed a Federal Ministry of Urban Affairs;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City of Toronto request the Federal Government to establish a Ministry of Urban Affairs to develop and implement a national urban agenda;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the Federal government appoint a Minister of Urban Affairs that will be responsible for working with municipal representatives in the development of the urban agenda and its implementation."

*Disposition:                    The Motion was referred to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration, and the Chief Administrative Officer was requested to review such Motion, in consultation with the City of Toronto's Federation of Canadian Municipalities Board representatives, and report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee.*

(13) **Appointments to Humber Watershed Alliance**

**Moved by:                    Councillor Miller**

**Seconded by:                Councillor Hall**

**“WHEREAS** the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, by its adoption of resolution number A266/00, requested the West, North, and Southwest Community Councils each to nominate a Member of their respective Community Council for appointment to the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority's Humber Watershed Alliance; and

**WHEREAS** the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority further requested City Council to appoint the three Members by February 28, 2001, in order that the Alliance may be confirmed by the Authority at its March meeting and begin its work as soon as possible thereafter, so that there is some urgency to this request; and

**WHEREAS** a delay in the appointment of such Members would deprive the City of representation on the Humber Watershed Alliance as it begins work that has potential impacts for the City of Toronto; and

**WHEREAS** there are no financial impacts associated with this Motion;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the following Members be appointed to the Humber Watershed Alliance for a term of office to expire on May 31, 2001, and until their successors are appointed:

M. Augimeri  
S. Hall  
D. Miller.”

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted, without amendment.*

(14) **Composition of Membership of Olympic Task Force**

**Moved by: Councillor Ootes**

**Seconded by: Councillor Feldman**

“**WHEREAS** Council, at its meeting held on January 30, 31, and February 1, 2001, by its adoption of Clause No. 5 of Report No. 1 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee, headed ‘Re-Establishment of Olympic Task Force (All Wards)’ re-established the Olympic Task Force with a composition of 7 Members of Council, to include the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Economic Development and Parks Committee and 5 additional Members; and

**WHEREAS** Council, at its meeting held on March 6, 2001, by its adoption of Clause No. 1 of Report No. 3 of The Striking Committee, recommended the appointment of 5 Members in addition to the Chair and Vice-Chair, Economic Development and Parks Committee; and

**WHEREAS** it is imperative that the City of Toronto demonstrate the breadth of its support for the Olympic Bid at such a critical time, and therefore, it is desirable to have participation from additional Members of Council who expressed their interest in being considered for appointment to this Task Force; and

**WHEREAS** in accordance with provisions of §27-106 of the Municipal Code, Members of Council have already been advised of the vacancies and have been permitted to submit names for consideration for appointment to said Task Force; and

**WHEREAS** there are no financial impacts associated with this Motion;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with §27-49 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Clause No. 5 of Report No. 1 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee, headed ‘Re-Establishment of Olympic Task Force (All Wards)’ and Clause No. 1 of Report No. 3 of The Striking Committee,

headed 'Appointments to Various Advisory Committees, Special Committees and Task Forces' be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as they pertain to the composition and membership of the Olympic Task Force;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the composition of the Olympic Task Force be increased to 13 Members, and that in addition to the 7 Members appointed by Council's adoption of the recommendations of Clause No. 1 of Report No. 3 of The Striking Committee, the following Members be appointed to the Olympic Task Force:

B. Ashton  
R. Cho  
P. Li Preti  
G. Mammoliti  
R. Moeser  
S. Shaw

so that the membership of the Olympic Task Force shall now be as follows:

B. Ashton  
M. Augimeri  
R. Cho  
A. Johnston  
P. Li Preti  
G. Mammoliti  
P. Milczyn  
R. Moeser  
S. Shaw  
D. Shiner  
P. Sutherland  
M. Feldman, ex-officio, as Chair of the Economic Development and Parks Committee  
C. Korwin-Kuczynski, ex-officio, as Vice-Chair of the Economic Development and Parks Committee."

***Disposition:*** ***Council re-opened Clause No. 5 of Report No. 1 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee, headed "Re-Establishment of Olympic Task Force (All Wards)", and Clause No. 1 of Report No. 3 of The Striking Committee, headed "Appointments to Various Advisory Committees, Special Committees and Task Forces", for further consideration, only insofar as they pertain to the composition and membership of the Olympic Task Force, and adopted the balance of the Motion without amendment.***

(15) **Composition of Membership of City World Youth Day Reference Group**

**Moved by:** Councillor Ootes

**Seconded by:** Councillor Feldman

**“WHEREAS** Council, at its meeting held on January 30, 31, and February 1, 2001, by its adoption of Clause No. 14 of Report No. 1 of The Policy and Finance Committee headed ‘Review of Sub-Committees, Advisory Committees, Special Committees, and Task Forces Established by Council since January 1998’ re-established the City World Youth Day Reference Group with a composition of up to 5 Members of Council; and

**WHEREAS** Council, at its meeting held on March 6, 2001, by its adoption of Clause No. 1 of Report No. 3 of The Striking Committee headed Appointments to Various Advisory Committees, Special Committees and Task Forces’, recommended the appointment of 5 Members to such Reference Group; and

**WHEREAS** the World Youth Days is an event of great significance and impact that will attract great interest and participation from across the entire City and it is desirable to have participation from additional Members of Council who expressed their interest in being considered for appointment; and

**WHEREAS** in accordance with the provisions of §27-106 of the Municipal Code, Members of Council have already been advised of the vacancies and have been permitted to submit names for consideration for appointment to such Reference Group; and

**WHEREAS** there are no financial impacts associated with this Motion;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with §27-49 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Clause No. 14 of Report No. 1 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed ‘Review of Sub-Committees, Advisory Committees, Special Committees, and Task Forces Established by Council since January, 1998’, and Clause No. 1 of Report No. 3 of The Striking Committee, headed ‘Appointments to Various Advisory Committees, Special Committees and Task Forces’, be re-opened for further consideration only insofar as they pertain to the composition and membership of the City World Youth Day Reference Group;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the composition of the City World Youth Day Reference Group be increased to 15 Members to include all members who submitted their names to the Striking Committee, and that in addition to the 5 Members appointed by Council's adoption of the recommendations of Clause No. 1 of Report No. 3 of The Striking Committee, the following Members be appointed to the City World Youth Day Reference Group:

B. Ashton  
R. Cho  
B. Disero  
J. Filion

C. Korwin-Kuczynski  
P. Li Preti  
P. Milczyn  
F. Nunziata  
M. Prue  
M. Silva

so that the Membership of the City World Youth Day Reference Group shall now be as follows:

B. Ashton  
M. Augimeri  
R. Cho  
B. Disero  
J. Fillion  
C. Korwin-Kuczynski  
P. Li Preti  
G. Lindsay Luby  
J. Mihevc  
P. Milczyn  
F. Nunziata  
J. Pantalone  
M. Prue  
S. Shaw  
M. Silva.”

**Disposition:** *Council re-opened Clause No. 14 of Report No. 1 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed “Review of Sub-Committees, Advisory Committees, Special Committees, and Task Forces Established by Council since January, 1998”, and Clause No. 1 of Report No. 3 of The Striking Committee, headed “Appointments to Various Advisory Committees, Special Committees and Task Forces”, for further consideration, only insofar as they pertain to the composition and membership of the City World Youth Day Reference Group and adopted the balance of the Motion, subject to amending the second Operative Paragraph by deleting the names “B. Ashton” and “J Fillion” so that the membership of the City World Youth Day Reference Group shall now be as follows:*

*M. Augimeri  
R. Cho  
B. Disero  
C. Korwin-Kuczynski  
P. Li Preti  
G. Lindsay Luby  
J. Mihevc  
P. Milczyn  
F. Nunziata*



*J. Pantalone*  
*M. Prue*  
*S. Shaw*  
*M. Silva.*

(16) **Composition of Social Development Strategy Steering Committee**

**Moved by:** Councillor Feldman

**Seconded by:** Councillor Shaw

“**WHEREAS** Council, at its meeting held on January 30, 31, and February 1, 2001, by its adoption of Clause No. 4 of Report No. 1 of The Community Services Committee, headed ‘Review of Sub-Committees, Advisory Committees, Special Committees, and Task Forces Established by Council since January, 1998’, re-established the Social Development Strategy Steering Committee and approved its composition; and

**WHEREAS** The Striking Committee, at its meeting held on February 28, 2001, recommended the appointment of Members to said Committee; and

**WHEREAS** Council, at its meeting held on December 5, 6, and 7, 2000, by its adoption of a Motion P (15), established the position of Diversity Advocate and appointed Councillor Sherene Shaw as such Advocate; and

**WHEREAS** there are no financial impacts associated with this Motion;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with §27-49 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Clause No. 4 of Report No. 1 of The Community Services Committee, headed >Review of Sub-Committees, Advisory Committees, Special Committees, and Task Forces Established by Council since January, 1998=, be re-opened for further consideration only insofar as it pertains to the composition of the Social Development Strategy Steering Committee;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the composition of the Social Development Strategy Steering Committee be amended to include the Diversity Advocate, and that Councillor Sherene Shaw be appointed to the Social Development Strategy Steering Committee ex-officio as the Diversity Advocate, in addition to those Members previously recommended in Clause No. 1 of Report No. 3 of The Striking Committee, headed ‘Appointments to Various Advisory Committees, Special Committees and Task Forces’.”

**Disposition:** *Council re-opened Clause No. 1 of Report No. 4 of The Community Services Committee, headed “Review of Sub-Committees, Advisory Committees, Special Committees, and Task Forces Established by Council since January, 1998”, for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the composition of the Social Development Strategy Steering Committee, and adopted the balance of the Motion, without amendment.*

(17) **Toronto District School Board - Toronto City Council Liaison Committee**

**Moved by:** Councillor Prue

**Seconded by:** Councillor Hall

**WHEREAS** City Council, at its regular and special meetings held on October 3, 4, and 5, 6, 10, 11, and 12, 2000, by its adoption of Motion J(7), as amended, endorsed a Toronto District School Board resolution establishing a joint working group of Council and the Toronto District and Toronto Catholic School Boards; and

“**WHEREAS** the Toronto District School Board, at its meeting held on December 6, 2000, adopted a resolution to establish a joint Toronto District School Board - Toronto City Council Liaison Committee to amongst others things, focus on solving the problems related to the community use of schools in our City; and

**WHEREAS** there is urgency to this Motion, in that further delays in the appointment of Council Members to the Committee deprives the City of opportunities, through joint efforts, to save funds and alleviate significant hardships to citizens and community groups that exist because of new charges for community use of schools; and

**WHEREAS** there are no financial impacts associated with this Motion;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** City Council agree to participate in a Toronto District School Board - Toronto City Council Liaison Committee by appointing up to six interested Members; and the following interested Members of Council be appointed to such Committee:

R. Cho  
F. Di Giorgio  
S. Hall  
P. McConnell  
M. Prue.”

**Disposition:** *The Motion was referred to the Policy and Finance Committee.*

(18) **School Advisory Committee – Mandate and Membership**

**Moved by:** Councillor McConnell

**Seconded by:** Councillor Di Giorgio

“**WHEREAS** Council, at its meeting held on January 30, 31, and February 1, 2001, by its adoption of Clause No. 14 of Report No. 1 of The Policy and Finance Committee, established the School Advisory Committee, being a combination of two former committees on schools issues, and referred the composition and terms of reference to the Chief Administrative Officer for review and report thereon to the Policy and Finance Committee; and

**WHEREAS** there are now pressing matters requiring the City both to work on its strategy and to work jointly with the School Board to resolve issues of mutual concern; and

**WHEREAS** there are no financial impacts associated with this Motion;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT**, in accordance with §27-49 of Chapter 27 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code, Clause No. 14 of Report No. 1 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed 'Review of Sub-Committees, Advisory Committees, Special Committees, and Task Forces Established by Council since January, 1998', be re-opened for further consideration, only insofar as it pertains to the Terms of Reference, composition and membership of the School Advisory Committee;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the School Advisory Committee be struck immediately, the composition being interested Members of Council, such Members being requested to indicate their interest in appointment to such Committee so that they may be appointed at the meeting of Council being held on March 6, 7 and 8, 2001;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** should Council establish the joint Toronto District School Board - Toronto City Council Liaison Committee, which Committee is the subject of a Notice of Motion by Councillor Prue, seconded by Councillor Hall, the six Members appointed to the joint Toronto District School Board - Toronto City Council Liaison Committee also be appointed to the School Advisory Committee;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the mandate of the School Advisory Committee be as follows:

- (1) To provide a forum for information-sharing for City Councillors, Trustees and interested members of the public;
- (2) To identify City priorities and interests with respect to educational programs and facilities, for Council's approval;
- (3) To monitor school-related issues as they pertain to City priorities and interests, and make recommendations to Council, as appropriate;
- (4) To explore and report on matters relating to service level changes and their impact on community services; and
- (5) To provide a forum for addressing other issues of mutual concern and mutual benefit to the City and the school boards."

**Disposition:**                    *The Motion was referred to the Policy and Finance Committee.*

(19) **Proposed Development at 380 and 382 Empress Avenue**

**Moved by:** Councillor Filion

**Seconded by:** Councillor Sutherland

**“WHEREAS** the Committee of Adjustment for the City of Toronto (North District) at its meeting of March 1, 2001, approved an application by the owner of 380 and 382 Empress Avenue for the consent to sever two residential properties fronting onto Empress Avenue into three residential properties fronting onto Highgate Avenue; and

**WHEREAS** the Committee of Adjustment for the City of Toronto (North District) at the same meeting, approved three associated variance applications requesting variances for lot frontage and width, lot area, north and south side yard setbacks, lot coverage and below grade garages and

**WHEREAS** Planning staff did not oppose this application and did not prepare a staff report on this matter; and

**WHEREAS** below grade garages are explicitly prohibited by the By-law when such lots are created by consent; and

**WHEREAS** Council should consider this land division precedent setting for this area; and

**WHEREAS** the decision will be forthcoming by mail on Friday March 9, 2001; and

**WHEREAS** the last day to appeal the consent application is Wednesday March 28, 2001;

**WHEREAS** the last day to appeal the minor variance applications is Tuesday March 20, 2001;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Council direct the City Solicitor to appeal the application to the Ontario Municipal Board and that the Solicitor also be directed to retain an outside planning consultant to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to uphold the City’s By-law and to oppose the land division;

**Disposition:** *The Motion was adopted, subject to striking out the Operative Paragraph and inserting in lieu thereof the following new Operative Paragraph:*

**“NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Solicitor be instructed to file an appeal in this matter and this Motion be forwarded to the North Community Council for consideration.”

**CONDOLENCE MOTIONS**

(1) **Moved by:** **Councillor Augimeri**

**Seconded by:** **Mayor Lastman**

“**WHEREAS** the death of Mr. Giuseppe Gullusci on February 23, 2001, has saddened our community, and those of us who personally knew him will miss Joe dearly; and

**WHEREAS** Giuseppe Gullusci contributed significantly to the Downsview community as President of the Roding Community Centre Italian Seniors Club; and

**WHEREAS** Giuseppe, born December 3, 1930 in Gagliato, Catanzaro, Italy immigrated to Canada as a young man and settled firstly in Timmins, Ontario and later moved to Toronto where he worked, raised a family and contributed significantly to our great City; and

**WHEREAS** our hearts go out to Ethel May Gullusci and her children Laura, John and Joey for the terrible loss that they have suffered;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of members of City Council, our sincere sympathy to the Gullusci family.”

***Disposition:*** ***The Motion was adopted unanimously.***

(2) **Moved by:** **Councillor Shaw**

**Seconded by:** **Mayor Lastman**

“**WHEREAS** the Mayor and Members of City Council are deeply saddened to learn of the passing, on Monday, February 19, 2001, of Mr. Dan C. McIntyre, former Race Relations Commissioner for Ontario; and

**WHEREAS** Dan McIntyre persevered tirelessly, helping to develop and implement race relations policies in this Province; and

**WHEREAS** as a Race Relations Commissioner for the Ontario Human Rights Commission, Dan McIntyre fought for mandatory affirmative action legislation for minorities and worked for a ethnic relations policy to combat racism in our Province’s schools; and

**WHEREAS** Dan McIntyre was the first visible minority to chair Ryerson University’s Board and was an excellent role model because of the diverse student body at Ryerson University; and

**WHEREAS** Dan McIntyre was both a convenor and hard-working advocate, and one who facilitated much dialogue to make people and our City a better place to live; and

**WHEREAS** Dan McIntyre made a significant contribution with implementing legislation and policies and helped community groups in the area of race relations;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of members of City Council and the residents of the City of Toronto, our sincere sympathy to Dan's wife, Dale Gillespie and his three sons Anthony, Robert and Stephen McIntyre."

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted unanimously.*

(3) **Moved by: Councillor Johnston**

**Seconded by: Councillor Chow**

"**WHEREAS** Dusty Fiske, a homeless man well known to Torontonians, particularly to those in the area of Union Station for many years, passed away of pneumonia recently; and

**WHEREAS** Mr. Fiske will be missed by those with whom he worked on the front lines of homelessness advocacy and the many friends who he helped over the years in Toronto's homeless community; and

**WHEREAS** Jane Doe, who stayed from time to time at the women's residence and was well known to those involved with women's homelessness issues, passed away recently in our City; and

**WHEREAS** Ronald Back, who was well known to homelessness street workers in our City who always appreciated the assistance provided by groups working on behalf of the homeless, passed away recently; and

**WHEREAS** the City of Toronto and its citizens have continuously and consistently reiterated their concerns about the growth of homelessness and its consequences for valued individuals throughout our community, so that these tragedies can be avoided;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City express its condolences to the family members and all those who knew Dusty Fiske, Ronald Back and Jane Doe and that Council express its deep sadness at the passing of these three Torontonians and reiterate its commitment to provide assistance to the homeless in our community."

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted unanimously.*

(4) **Moved by: Councillor Shiner**

**Seconded by: Mayor Lastman**

**WHEREAS** Ms. Carol Anne Letheren, Chief Executive Officer of the Canadian Olympic Association, member of the International Olympic Committee, and member of Toronto 2008 Olympic Bid Corporation Board of Directors passed away suddenly on February 2, 2001; and

**WHEREAS** Ms. Letheren made an outstanding contribution to the Olympic Movement in Canada and around the world; and

**WHEREAS** Ms. Letheren's achievements for women in sport are proclaimed and heralded throughout the nation; and

**WHEREAS** the enduring legacy Ms. Letheren has created as mentor, role model and coach will continue to be an inspiration for so many;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the City of Toronto recognize and pay tribute to Ms. Carol Anne Letheren for her many achievements and lasting contribution to sport, and for embodying the ideals and values of the Olympic spirit;

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT** the City Clerk be directed to convey, on behalf of Members of City Council, our sincere sympathy to the family of Ms. Carol Anne Letheren."

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted unanimously.*

(5) **Moved by: Mayor Lastman**

**Seconded by: Councillor Disero**

**WHEREAS** the death of Al Palladini has saddened our City of Toronto; and

**WHEREAS** Al Palladini devoted years of his life to serving the public as the Member of Provincial Parliament for the riding of Vaughan-King-Aurora; and

**WHEREAS** Al Palladini served Ontario well as the Minister of Transportation and the Minister of Economic Development and Trade; and

**WHEREAS** Our hearts go out to his family, for the terrible loss they have suffered;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** Mayor Lastman and Members of Toronto City Council offer their official condolences to the family of Al Palladini and recognize his memory by a moment of silence."

*Disposition: The Motion was adopted unanimously.*

Toronto, Ontario  
March 14, 2001

City Clerk