

THE CITY OF TORONTO

City Clerk's Division

Minutes of the Administration Committee

Meeting No. 3

Tuesday, February 6, 2001.

The Administration Committee met on Tuesday, February 6, 2001, in Committee Room 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:45 a.m.

Attendance

Members were present for some or all of the time periods indicated.

	9:45 a.m. to	2:15 p.m. to 5:01p.m.
Councillor Lorenzo Berardinetti, Chair	X	X
Councillor Sandra Bussin	X	X
Councillor Rob Ford	X	X
Councillor Doug Holyday	X	X
Councillor David Miller	X	X
Councillor Frances Nunziata Vice-Chair	X	X
Councillor Sherene Shaw	X	X
Councillor David Soknacki	X	X

Confirmation of Minutes.

On motion by Councillor Ford, the Administration Committee confirmed the minutes of its meeting held on January 9, 2001.

3-1. Capital and Operating Budgets.

The Chief Administrative Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Corporate Services made presentations to the Administration Committee respecting the 2001 Capital and Operating Budgets for their respective departments, and filed a copy of their presentation material.

Councillor David Shiner, Willowdale, appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, February 6, 2001.

The Administration Committee:

- (1) received a presentation from the Chief Administrative Officer, the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Corporate Services providing an overview of the 2001-2005 Capital Budget and the 2001 Operating Budget respecting:

Corporate Services:

- City Clerk's;
- Corporate Communications;
- Facilities and Real Estate;
- Fleet Management Services;
- Human Resources;
- Information and Technology;
- Legal; and
- Service Integration and Support.

Finance.

Other:

- CAO's Office;
- Council; and
- Mayor's Office;

who also filed a copy of their presentation material; and

- (2) deferred consideration of the aforementioned budgets until the Special Meeting of the Administration Committee scheduled to be held on February 20, 2001.

(Administration Committee – February 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 28(a) – Report No. 2)

**3-2. Retention Period for Records in the Custody
and Control of the Toronto Police Services Board
and Toronto Police Services.**

The Administration Committee had before it the following communications and reports:

- (1) (January 9, 2001) from Councillor Suzan Hall, Etobicoke North, requesting that City of Toronto By-law No. 689-2000 governing the retention period for records in the custody and control of the Toronto Police Services Board and Toronto Police Service be rescinded; and requesting that prior to a new By-law being brought before Council on record retention periods for records in the custody and control of the Toronto Police, that:
 - (1) the Administration Committee reaffirm the motion put forward by the Administration Committee on July 11, 2000, stating:

“The Toronto Police Services Board, in consultation with the City Solicitor, be requested to consult with the Law Union, the Canadian Civil Liberties Association, the Law Society of Upper Canada, the Canadian Bar Association of Ontario, the Chief Justice, the Chief Justice of the Provincial Court and the Criminal Lawyers Association respecting this matter; and submit a report thereon to the aforementioned meeting of the Administration Committee, such report to also address any relevant Provincial regulations applicable to this By-law and address the concerns raised by the deputant who appeared before the Administration Committee respecting the retention periods for records in the custody and control of the Police”; and
 - (2) the report also compare the proposed retention schedule with the retention schedules from other municipal jurisdictions throughout the province.
- (ii) (April 4, 2000) from the Chairman, Toronto Police Services Board, requesting the Administration Committee to forward this report to City Council recommending the enactment of a new City of Toronto by-law establishing retention periods for records in the custody and control of the Toronto Police Services Board and the Toronto Police Service;
- (iii) (June 13, 2000) from Mr. Ted Tibor Berger, Toronto, Ontario, advising that he has grave concerns respecting the City of Toronto By-law governing retention periods for records in the custody and control of the Toronto Police Services Board and the Toronto Police Service; and forwarding comments in regard thereto;

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, February 6, 2001.

(iv) (September 1, 2000) from the Chairman, Toronto Police Services Board, advising the Administration Committee that until the Toronto Police Services Board is able to consult with specific organizations, the Board is unable to respond to the Administration Committee's request respecting the enactment of a by-law establishing retention periods for records in the custody and control of the Toronto Police Services Board and the Toronto Police Service; and

(v) (February 6, 2001) from Councillor Suzan Hall, Etobicoke North, requesting that:

(1) the motion presented "that the Administration Committee recommend to Council that the City of Toronto By-law No. 689-2000 governing record retention be rescinded", as outlined in the communication (January 9, 2000) from Councillor Hall, be replaced with the following motion:

"that the Administration Committee recommend to Council that the City of Toronto By-law No. 689-2000 sections under "Occurrence" pages 17 and 18 reading:

"Record of Arrest	Hard copy (No. 1 copy) C.I.P.S. (date base)	Refer to Occurrence retention by offence type) Permanent" and
"General - (Major)	Robbery, Arson, Sexual type (sexual assault) Potential sex offender, etc.) Kidnapping - Abduction, Arrests – prohibitions Attached	Permanent Held at C.I.S. – Operations and specific unit/squad where applicable (i.e. Sexual Assault and Hold-up units)

Be rescinded.

And that the subsequent motions remain as presented.

The following persons appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Ted Tibor Berger, and filed a written submission in regard thereto;
- Mr. Vance Latchford;
- Mr. Peter Howes, Manager, Corporate Information Services, Toronto Police Service, and filed a written submission in regard thereto;

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, February 6, 2001.

- Mr. Rusty Beauchesne, Legal Advisor, Toronto Police Service; and
- Ms. Susan Cardwell, Freedom of Information Co-ordinator, Toronto Police Service.

Councillor Suzan Hall, Etobicoke North, appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee:

- (1) deferred consideration of the issue of retention period for records in the custody and control of the Toronto Police Services Board and the Toronto Police Services until its meeting scheduled to be held in May 8, 2001;
- (2) reiterated the following request made by the Administration Committee at its meeting on July 11, 2000:

“That the Toronto Police Services Board, in consultation with the City Solicitor, be requested to consult with the Law Union, the Canadian Civil Liberties Association, the Law Society of Upper Canada, the Canadian Bar Association of Ontario, the Chief Justice, the Chief Justice of the Provincial Court and the Criminal Lawyers Association respecting this matter; and submit a report thereon to the aforementioned meeting of the Administration Committee, such report to also address any relevant Provincial regulations applicable to this By-law and address the concerns raised by the deputant who appeared before the Administration Committee respecting the retention periods for records in the custody and control of the Police”;
- (3) requested that the foregoing report also investigate what other municipal jurisdictions are doing in regard thereto;
- (4) requested the City Solicitor to write to the organizations mentioned in the foregoing Recommendation No. (2) requesting their comments respecting this issue; and
- (5) directed that the foregoing Recommendation No. (2) and (3) be forwarded to the Toronto Police Services Board for report thereon to the meeting of the Administration Committee scheduled to be held on May 8, 2001.

(Toronto Police Services Board; City Solicitor; and Interested Parties –
February 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 28(b) – Report No. 2)

**3-3. Declaration as Surplus
Parcel of Vacant Land Located at the
Southwest Corner off Coronation Drive
and Beechgrove Drive
(Ward 44 – Scarborough East).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 16, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the parcel of vacant land located at the southwest corner of Coronation drive and Beechgrove Drive, being Block A on Plan M-1687, be declared surplus to the City's requirements and offered for sale on the open market and all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (January 16, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(Clause No. 12 – Report No. 2)

**3-4. Declaration as Surplus - A Parcel of Vacant Land on the
South Side of Holmcrest Trail Comprised of a Triangular
Parcel Along the East Side of Centennial Road (Closed), a
Portion of Centennial Road (Closed) and a One Foot Reserve
Strip Along the West Limit of Centennial Road (Closed)
(Ward 44 – Scarborough East).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 16, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the Property, described in the body of this report, be declared surplus to the City's requirements and be offered for sale on the open market and all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken; and

- (2) appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (January 16, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(Clause No. 13 – Report No. 2)

**3-5. Declaration as Surplus - Parcel of Vacant Land
Located on the West Side of Morrish Road
Between Kingston Road and Old Kingston Road
(Ward 44 – Scarborough East).**

The Administration Committee had before it the following:

- (i) (January 16, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:
- (1) the parcel of vacant land located on the west side of Morrish Road, between Kingston Road and Old Kingston Road, being Part of Lots 14 and 15 on Plan 114, be declared surplus to the City's requirements, offered for sale on the open market and all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken; and
 - (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and
- (ii) (February 5, 2001) from Councillor Ron Moeser, Scarborough East, advising that he has received an expression of concern from the Highland Creek Community Association respecting the parcel of vacant land located on the west side of Morrish Road between Kingston Road and Old Kingston Road; and requesting that the Committee refer this matter to the East Community Council for input from the community.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee referred the foregoing report to the East Community Council for the hearing of depositions and report thereon to the meeting of the Administration Committee scheduled to be held on March 27, 2001.

(East Community Council; c. Councillor Ron Moeser, Scarborough East – February 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 28(c) – Report No. 2)

**3-6. Declaration as Surplus
Parcel of Vacant Land Located
on the East Side of Leyton Avenue
Adjacent to the CN Rail Corridor
(Ward 36 – Scarborough Southwest).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 18, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the parcel of vacant land located on the east side of Leyton Avenue, being Lot 29, Plan 1954, be declared surplus to the City's requirements and offered for sale on the open market and all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (January 18, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(Clause No. 14 – Report No. 2)

**3-7. Declaration as Surplus
Parcel of Vacant Land on the Northwest Corner of
Wilson Heights Boulevard and Waterloo Avenue
(Ward 10 – York Centre).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the vacant parcel of land on the northwest corner of Wilson Heights Boulevard and Waterloo Avenue, being part of Lots 70 and 71, Registered Plan 1899, also shown as Part 1 on Sketch No. PMC-2000-086, be declared surplus to the City's requirements and offered for sale on the open market and all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Shaw, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (January 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(Clause No. 15 – Report No. 2)

**3-8. Declaration as Surplus
Vacant Rear Lands Between
Poplar Avenue and Acorn Avenue
(Ward 5 – Etobicoke–Lakeshore).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the landlocked vacant parcel of land located between Poplar Avenue and Acorn Avenue, being part of Block A, Plan 2154, be declared surplus to the City's requirements and offered for sale to abutting property owners, and all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (January 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(Clause No. 16 – Report No. 2)

**3-9. Declaration as Surplus
Vacant Parcel of Land on the
South Side of Searle Avenue
West of Wilson Heights Boulevard
(Ward 10 – York Centre).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the vacant parcel of land on the south side of Searle Avenue, west of Wilson Heights Boulevard, being part of Lot 66, Registered Plan 1899, also shown as Part 2 on Sketch No. PMC-2000-086, be declared surplus to the City's requirements and offered for sale to the two abutting property owners, at market

value, and all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken; and

- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Shaw, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (January 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(Clause No. 17 – Report No. 2)

**3-10. Declaration as Surplus
Vacant Parcel of Land on the
East Side of Tamworth Road
South of Horsham Road
(Ward 23 – Willowdale).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the vacant parcel of land located on the east side of Tamworth Road, south of Horsham Road, described as part of Lot 36, Plan 3163, be declared surplus to the City's requirements and offered for sale to the abutting property owner at 97 Tamworth Road, and all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Ford, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (January 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(Clause No. 18 – Report No. 2)

**3-11. Declaration as Surplus
75 Conlins Road – Vacant Parcel of Land
Located at the Southeast Corner of
Conlins Road and Ellesmere Road
(Ward 44 – Scarborough East).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the vacant parcel of land located on the southeast corner of Conlins Road and Ellesmere Road, known municipally as 75 Conlins Road, also described as Part of Block A on Plan M562, be declared surplus to the City's requirements and offered for sale on the open market, and all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (January 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(Clause No. 19 – Report No. 2)

**3-12. Declaration as Surplus and Long Term Lease
of Additional Lands to the West of
1978 Lake Shore Boulevard West to
the Fred Victor Centre
(Ward 13 – Parkdale-High Park).**

The Administration Committee had before it a joint report (January 23, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, recommending that:

- (1) the additional land adjoining the west limit of 1978 Lake Shore Boulevard West, identified as Part 2 on the attached sketch be declared surplus to the City's requirements;
- (2) for the purpose of securing affordable housing, the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services be authorized to enter into 50 year less one day lease with Fred Victor Centre on the same terms and conditions as those for their lease at 1978 Lake Shore Boulevard West;

- (3) all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski, Parkdale-High Park, appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

- A. Councillor Holyday moved, on behalf of Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski, that the Administration Committee defer consideration of this matter for one month for the hearing of depositions. **(Lost)**
- B. Councillor Miller moved that the Administration Committee recommend to Council the adoption of the foregoing joint report (January 23, 2001) from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services and the Commissioner of Corporate Services. **(Carried)**

(Clause No. 20 – Report No. 2)

**3-13. Expropriation of Property Interests
for Public Lane Purposes of the
Private Lane East of Huntley Street
Extending Between Linden Street and Selby Street
(Ward 27 – Toronto Centre–Rosedale).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 11, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, seeking approval for the expropriation of certain property interests required for the establishment of a public lane; and recommending that:

- (1) City Council, as approving authority, approve the expropriation of the property interests detailed in this report;
- (2) authority be granted to take all steps necessary to comply with the Expropriations Act, including but not limited to, the preparation and registration of an Expropriation Plan and the service of Notices of Expropriation, Notices of Election as to a Date for Compensation and Notices of Possession;
- (3) the Director of Real Estate be authorized to sign the Notices of Expropriation and Notices of Possession on behalf of the City;
- (4) leave be granted for introduction of the necessary Bill in Council to give effect thereto; and

- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect hereto.

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (January 11, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(Clause No. 21 – Report No. 2)

**3-14. Expropriation of Property Interests for the
Opening of a New Public Lane at the Rear of
Premises Nos. 357 to 389 Bartlett Avenue North and
448 to 492 Salem Avenue North, Toronto
(Ward 17 – Davenport).**

The Administration Committee had before a report (January 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council, as approving authority, having considered the report of the Inquiry Officer, approve the expropriation of the property interests detailed in this report for the reasons given;
- (2) as recommended by the Inquiry Officer, payment of costs in the amount of \$200.00, pursuant to section 7(10) of the Expropriations Act, be made to each of the owners of Nos. 357, 379 and 387 Bartlett Avenue North;
- (3) authority be granted to take all steps necessary to comply with the Expropriations Act, including but not limited to, the preparation and registration of an Expropriation Plan and service of the Notices of Expropriation, Notices of Election as to a Date for Compensation and Notices of Possession;
- (4) the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized to sign the Notices of Expropriation and Notices of Possession on behalf of the City;
- (5) leave be granted for introduction of the necessary Bills in Council to give effect thereto;
- (6) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect hereto.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (January 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(Clause No. 22 – Report No. 2)

**3-15. Relationship of the
City of Toronto’s Diversity Advocate
to the City’s Access and Equity Initiatives.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 22, 2001) from the Chief Administrative Officer, commenting on the role of the City of Toronto’s Diversity Advocate and the working relationship with the access and equity initiative; advising that the working relationship between Council’s Diversity Advocate and the Advisory Committees will be successful if there is a co-ordinate approach to the implementation of Council’s Action Plan; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Shaw, the Administration Committee received the foregoing report (January 22, 2001) from the Chief Administrative Officer.

(Clause No. 28(d) – Report No. 2)

**3-16. Recipients - 2000-2001
City of Toronto Scholarships
at the University of Toronto.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 17, 2001) from the Chief Administrative Officer, advising Council of the result of the scholarship application process for the following City of Toronto scholarships at the University of Toronto: graduate and undergraduate scholarships in Women’s Studies, the graduate scholarship for Women in Mathematics and the Aboriginal Health Scholarships; and recommending that:

- (1) City Council extend its congratulations to the 2000-2001 recipients of City of Toronto Scholarships at the University of Toronto:

Joanna Pawelkiewicz, Undergraduate Scholarship in Women’s Studies;

Martha Soloman, Graduate Scholarship in Women’s Studies;

Zohreh Shahbazi, Graduate Scholarship of Women in Mathematics; and

Melanie Crozier, Scholarship in Aboriginal Health; and

- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (January 17, 2001) from the Chief Administrative Officer.

(Clause No. 9 – Report No. 2)

**3-17. Request to Change a Ward Boundary
Ward 27 Toronto Centre-Rosedale and
Ward 28 Toronto Centre-Rosedale.**

The Administration Committee had before the following report and communication:

- (i) (January 22, 2001) from the City Clerk, responding to a request submitted by The South Rosedale Ratepayer's Association to change the ward boundary between Wards 27 and 28 to move Castle Frank Crescent into Ward 27 from Ward 28; advising that the City has no authority to change its wards boundaries and that all authority rests with the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing through *The Fewer Municipal Politicians Act, 1999*; and recommending that this report be received for information; and
- (ii) (December 5, 2000) from Mr. William J. Phillips, Secretary, South Rosedale Ratepayers' Association, requesting that Ward 27 be revised to include Castle Frank Crescent.

Mr. William Phillips, Secretary, The South Rosedale Ratepayers Association, appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Councillor Kyle Rae, Toronto Centre-Rosedale, also appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Councillor Holyday moved, on behalf of Councillor Rae, that the Administration Committee recommend that the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing be requested to amend Ontario Regulation 191/00, as amended, such that a portion of Ward 28 Toronto Centre-Rosedale, south of Bloor Street and bounded by Rosedale Valley Road on the west and the eastern ward boundary (Don River) on the east, be moved into Ward 27 Toronto Centre-Rosedale.

(Clause No. 5 – Report No. 2)

3-18. Recommendation from Metropolitan Toronto Pension Plan Trustees to Make a Lump Sum Distribution from Pension Plan Surplus to Pensioners of \$4,500 for Firefighter Pensioners and \$2,500 for Other Pensioners.

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 18, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, commenting on a request from the Board of Trustees of the Metropolitan Toronto Pension Plan for a distribution of surplus funds; advising that the recommendation of the Board of Trustees would reduce the unallocated surplus of the Plan by almost 1/3rd from \$33.5 million to \$22.6 million; that a matching amount distributed to the employer would reduce the unallocated surplus to \$11.7 million, a combined reduction of 65 percent; and recommending that the request from the Board of Trustees to make a one time distribution of surplus to pensioners be received for information only.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the report (January 18, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; and
- (2) that the Administration Committee give further consideration to the request from the Board of Trustees to make a one time distribution of pension plan surplus to pensioners, when it considers the forthcoming report from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer which will review surplus issues affecting all City sponsored pension plans.

(Clause No. 7 – Report No. 2)

3-19. Bid Committee Authority.

The Administration Committee had before it the following:

- (i) (January 19, 2000) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, responding to the request of the Administration Committee at its meeting on January 9, 2001, that the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management be requested to submit a report to the next meeting of the Administration Committee on the advisability of increasing the Bid Committee's authority to award contracts; and recommending that:

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, February 6, 2001.

- (1) the Bid Committee's authority be confirmed on a permanent basis to award contracts valued up to \$3.5 million where the lowest bidder meeting specifications and requirements is being recommended and where no written objection to the award has been received;
 - (2) Standing Committees' authority be confirmed on a permanent basis to award contracts valued at above \$3.5 million and less than or equal to \$5.0 million; and
 - (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto, including any necessary by-law amendments; and
- (ii) (February 1, 2001) from the City Clerk, advising that City Council at its meeting held on January 30, 31 and February 1, 2001, had before it Clause No. 7 of Report No. 1 of The Administration Committee, headed "Contracts Awarded by the Bid Committee During the Summer Recess of Council and During the Election Period"; and Council amended the Clause by:

- (1) striking out and referring the following recommendations of the Administration Committee, embodied in the Clause, back to the Administration Committee for further consideration:

"The Administration Committee recommends that:

- (1) on an interim basis, the authority of the Bid Committee to award contracts be increased to \$3.5 million from the current \$2.0 million; and further that §195-8 of Chapter 195, Purchasing, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be amended accordingly; and authority be granted for the necessary Bill in Council to give effect thereto; and
- (2) the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management be requested to report to the next meeting of the Administration Committee on the advisability of increasing the Bid Committee's authority to award contracts."; and

- (2) adding thereto the following:

"It is further recommended that:

- (a) the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee with respect to an amending by-law to give effect to Recommendation No. (1) of the Administration Committee as embodied in the Clause;

- (b) the joint report dated December 14, 2000, from the Chief Administrative Officer and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be received for information; and
- (c) in the interim, §195-8 of Chapter 195, Purchasing, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be amended to allow, in cases of emergency, the relevant Standing Committee of Council to delegate to the Bid Committee the authority to approve contracts between \$2.0 million and \$3.5 million that are recommended by it for award to the lowest bidder.”

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (January 19, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.

(Clause No. 4 – Report No. 2)

**3-20. Lobbying Disclosure Policy
for Certain Requests for Proposals
and Tender/Quotation Calls.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 22, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, recommending that:

- (1) the “Lobbying Disclosure Policy for Certain Requests for Proposals and Tender/Quotation Calls” as contained in Appendix “A” of this report, be adopted;
- (2) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer ensure Departments are aware of the need to comply with the policy;
- (3) City Agencies, Boards and Commissions, Council established Task Forces and other City-affiliated organizations, be requested to adopt and apply the policy, with disclosure criteria modified if necessary, in consultation with the Purchasing and Materials Management Division and the City Solicitor; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (January 22, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer subject to the following amendments to Section 4.0 entitled “Process to Apply Criteria:”, embodied in Appendix A, entitled “Lobbying Disclosure Policy: Certain Requests for Proposals and Tender/Quotation Calls”:

(1) amending Section 4.1 to read as follows:

“4.1 the Department Head, before applying a lobbying disclosure requirement under this policy for a contract expected by the Department Head to have a value over \$2 million, shall consult with the Purchasing Agent; and

(2) adding thereto the following new Section 4.1-1:

“4.1-1 the Department Head, in recommending a lobbying disclosure requirement for contracts expected by the Department Head to have a value under \$2 million, shall consult with the Purchasing Agent and obtain approval of Council through the Administration Committee;”.

(Clause No. 3 – Report No. 2)

**3-21. Remuneration and Expenses of
Members of Council and of Council Appointees
to Local Boards and Other Special Purpose Bodies
for the Period January 1 to December 31, 2000.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 16, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, advising that legislation requires the statement of remuneration and expenses paid to Members of Council and Council Appointees of Local Boards and other Special Purpose Bodies should be presented to Council by the end of February; that due to year end processing schedules and information gathering from the City Boards and Agencies, the report will not be available for submission prior to that date; that the report for 2000 will be forwarded to the Administration Committee meeting on March 27, 2001, and subsequently to Council on April 24, 2001; and recommending that this report be received and forwarded to Council for information.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Administration Committee received the aforementioned report (January 16, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; and directed that such report be forwarded to Council for information.

(Clause No. 27 – Report No. 2)

3-22. Location of Downtown Community Council Meetings/Procedural Motion Respecting Hearing of Deputations.

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (January 22, 2001) from the City Clerk, Downtown Community Council, advising that the Downtown Community Council at its meeting held on January 16, 2001, recommended that the Downtown Community Council meet normally at City Hall, but be permitted to meet at other locations, by vote of the Downtown Community Council, discussions of such matter not to be a subject of public deputations; and adopted the following resolution:

“WHEREAS the Procedural By-law as amended and adopted by Council requires that anyone wishing to make oral submissions to a Committee shall give written notice thereof including a written outline of the submissions to be made to the Committee secretary at least 8 days in advance of the Committee meeting;

“AND WHEREAS the said Procedural By-law enables the Committee to decide, by majority vote, to waive compliance with the aforesaid requirement;

“AND WHEREAS it is the mandate of Community Councils to afford all citizens an opportunity to participate in local decision-making;

NOW THEREFORE IT IS MOVED that the Downtown Community Council waive the requirements of s. 129(2) and 129(3) of the Procedural By-law adopted by Council, and hear oral submissions by any person in respect of matters on the agenda for any meeting of the downtown Community Council, without the necessity of the deputant making written submissions in advance of the meeting.”

On motion by Councillor Shaw, the Administration Committee:

- (1) concurred with the Recommendation of the Downtown Community Council embodied in the aforementioned communication; and
- (2) forwarded the aforementioned communication to all Community Councils for consideration respecting the process outlined therein, and report thereon to the meeting of the Administration Committee scheduled to be held on March 27, 2001.

(East Community Council; Midtown Community Council; North Community Council; Southwest Community Council; West Community Council; Administrator, Downtown Community Council – February 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 28(e) – Report No. 2)

3-23. Property Database.

The Administration Committee had before it the following communications:

- (i) (January 11, 2001) from Councillor Kyle Rae, Toronto Centre - Rosedale, advising that his office will no longer be provided with access to the City's property database; that this is a tool that is required to deliver a service to constituents and that it is much more time and cost efficient for Members of Council to access this information themselves instead of requesting such information from staff; and requesting that access to the property database be provided to all Councillors' offices who request such access;
- (ii) (January 3, 2001) addressed to Councillor Kyle Rae from the Director, Council and Support Services, advising that Councillors access to property databases in the former cities was the subject of a complaint to Information and Privacy Commissioner/Ontario; that such access was found to contravene privacy legislation; that as a result, where identified, all such connections were severed; that an application for judicial review of the finding of contravention of privacy law is being made by Weir and Foulds at the direction of Council; that until such time as the courts overturn this finding, the City has no authority to provide access to the property database; and that should the courts decide to hear the application for judicial review and subsequently overturn the finding of invasion of privacy, connections to the property database will be re-instituted; and
- (iii) (February 2, 2001) from the City Clerk, advising that City Council, at its meeting held on January 30, 31 and February 1, 2001, had before it an Enquiry dated January 10, 2001 from Councillor Moscoe, regarding the status of the direction of Council with respect to the availability of TEELA data to Councillors; and that Council referred the aforementioned Enquiry and the following Answers thereto, to the Administration Committee:
 - (a) (January 24, 2001) from the City Solicitor;
 - (b) (January 29, 2001) from Mr. George Rust-D'Eye, Weir and Foulds; and
 - (c) (January 29, 2001) from Councillor Berardinetti.

Councillor Kyle Rae, Toronto Centre-Rosedale, appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Shaw, the Administration Committee received the aforementioned communications; and directed that the appropriate City Officials work with Councillors to ensure that public consultation/notification respecting the delivery of service to constituents is not being undermined.

(Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services; Commissioner of Corporate Services; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner of Economic Development Culture and Tourism; Director, Corporate Access and Privacy; Councillor Kyle Rae, Toronto Centre-Rosedale – February 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 28(f) – Report No. 2)

3-24. Labour Relations Amendment Act.

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (January 22, 2001) from Councillor Douglas Holyday, Etobicoke Centre, advising that the Province recently enacted Bill 139, the Labour Relations Amendment Act, which was proclaimed to come into force on December 21, 2000; and requesting that staff be directed to submit a report to the Administration Committee respecting Bill 139 and its application to the City of Toronto; and to make recommendations as to any actions that should be taken by the City to open up tendering in accordance with current corporate policy.

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Administration Committee:

- (1) concurred with the Recommendation of the Downtown Community Council embodied in the aforementioned communication; and
- (2) forwarded the aforementioned communication to all Community Councils for consideration respecting the process outlined therein, and report thereon to the meeting of the Administration Committee scheduled to be held on March 27, 2001.

(Chief Administrative Officer; Commissioner of Corporate Services; Councillor Douglas Holyday, Etobicoke Centre – February 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 28(g) – Report No. 2)

**3-25. Organizational Structure of the
Parks and Recreation Division -
Staffing of Front Line
Recreationist Positions.**

The Administration Committee had before it the following:

- (i) confidential report (January 23, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, respecting the Organizational Structure of the Parks and Recreation Division – Staffing of Front Line Recreationist Positions, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to personnel matters; and
- (ii) communication (February 6, 2001) from Mr. David Neil, President, COTAPSAI (City of Toronto Administrative, Professional Supervisory Association, Incorporated).

Mr. David Neil, President, COTAPSAI, appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Councillor Bussin appointed Councillor Nunziata Acting Chair and vacated the Chair.

A. Councillor Berardinetti moved that the Administration Committee:

- (1) concur with the Recommendation embodied in the confidential report (January 23, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the Organizational Structure of the Parks and Recreation Division – Staffing of Front Line Recreationist Positions, which was forward to Members of Council under confidential cover; and
- (2) recommended to Council that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining to the aforementioned report be held in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to personnel matters. **(Carried)**

Councillor Bussin resumed the Chair.

B. Councillor Miller moved that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to continue to make every effort to ensure that positions are available to these employees during the budget process, and report further thereon to the Economic Development and Parks Committee. **(Carried)**

- C. Councillor Shaw moved that the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism be requested to consider absorbing these employees within the Recreation Division Budget debentures. **(Lost)**

(Clause No. 2 – Report No. 2)

**3-26. 590 Jarvis Street –
Relocation Assistance for Non-Profit**

The Administration Committee was advised by the Commissioner of Corporate Services that the report entitled “590 Jarvis Street – Relocation Assistance for Non-Profit” was not finalized in time for consideration at this meeting of the Committee but will be submitted to a future meeting of the Administration Committee.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee requested the Commissioner of Corporate Services to consult with Members of the Provincial Offences Act Task Force before finalizing the aforementioned report.

(Commissioner of Corporate Services – February 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 28(h) – Report No. 2)

**3-27. Sale of Surplus Property -- 39 Manstor Road.
(Ward 5 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 31, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the Offer to Purchase from Enio Varano to purchase the City-owned property known municipally as 39 Manstor Road, in the amount of \$650,000.00, be accepted on the terms outlined in the body of this report, and that either one of the Commissioner of Corporate Services or the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized to accept the Offer on behalf of the City;
- (2) authority be granted to direct a portion of the proceeds on closing to fund the outstanding balance of Costing Unit No. CA6350;
- (3) authority to granted, pursuant to Clause 14(2) of Report No. 27 of the former Metropolitan Management Committee, adopted on September 28, 1994, to waive the requirement for a closing date of no more than 90 days from Council acceptance;

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, February 6, 2001.

- (4) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction on behalf of the City, including payment of any necessary expenses and amending the closing date to such earlier or later date as he considers reasonable; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report (January 31, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(Clause No. 23 – Report No. 2)

3-28 E-Procurement Purchasing Activity.

The Administration Committee was advised by the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer that the report, entitled “E-Procurement Purchasing Activity” was not finalized in time for submission to this meeting of the Committee but will be submitted to the Special Meeting of the Administration Committee scheduled to be held on February 20, 2001.

(Clause No. 28(i) – Report No. 2)

3-29. Quotation for Systems Workstations.

The Administration Committee had before it a joint report (January 26, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, advising of the results of the Request for Quotation issued for the supply, delivery and installation of approximately 2,100 used or new Systems Workstations for the Master Accommodation Plan Projects for various locations throughout the City of Toronto; that funds are available in the 2000 Capital Budget – Master Accommodation Plan WBS element CCA 902-1; and recommending that the quotation submitted by CTI Business Interiors Inc., at the total estimated cost of \$ 4,743,851.79, including all charges and all taxes, for the supply, delivery and installation of approximately 2,100 used or new Systems Workstations for the Master Accommodation Plan Projects for various locations throughout the City of Toronto, on an as and when required basis for the period January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2002, in accordance with specifications and design requirements of the Corporate Services Department, Facilities and Real Estate Division be accepted being the lowest overall quotation received meeting specifications and design requirements.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee approved the aforementioned joint report in accordance with Subsection 195-8 – Purchasing, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Commissioner of Corporate Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Director, Purchasing and Materials Management; and Director, Design and Construction and Asset Preservation Facilities and Real Estate – February 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 28(j) – Report No. 2)

3-30. Acquisition of Photocopiers.

The Administration Committee was advised by the Commissioner of Corporate Services that the report, entitled “Acquisition of Photocopiers” was not finalized in time for submission to this meeting of the Committee but will be submitted to the Meeting of the Administration Committee scheduled to be held on March 27, 2001.

(Clause No. 28(k) – Report No. 2)

**3-31. Future of the Ralph Thornton Centre
at 765 Queen Street East.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 24, 2001) from Councillor Jack Layton, Toronto-Danforth, advising that each year the City of Toronto makes a contribution to the Ralph Thornton Centre’s maintenance and management; that Centre volunteers and staff raise program funds from a variety of sources, including special events, foundations, and government grants; that renewing the lease on the same terms and conditions as the lease that will expire at the end of this year will not incur further financial implication to the City; that the Member of Parliament for the area, The Hon. Dennis Mills supports the community initiative and is actively involved in the discussion; trusting that the City and the Minister of Public Works and Government will work together to resolve the matter; and recommending that:

- (1) the City request the Minister of Public Works and Government Service to remove 765 Queen Street East, Toronto, Ontario, from the Surplus Federal Real Property list; and
- (2) the City continue the present lease arrangement with Public Works and Government until such time as the Ralph Thornton Centre chooses not to occupy the building

Councillor Jack Layton, Broadview-Greenwood, appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Administration Committee recommended to Council that:

- (1) the City request the Minister of Public Works and Government Services to remove 765 Queen Street East, Toronto, Ontario, from the Surplus Federal Real Property list; and
- (2) the City continue the present lease arrangement with Public Works and Government Services until such time as the Ralph Thornton Centre chooses not to occupy the building.

(Clause No. 26 – Report No. 2)

3-32. January 1, 2000, Actuarial Valuation of the City of York Employee Pension Plan and Recommendations Contained Therein.

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 18, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, recommending that:

- (1) the January 1, 2000 Actuarial Valuation of the City of York Employee Pension Fund be adopted;
- (2) the recommendation of the Actuary to make post-retirement inflation adjustments effective July 1, 2000 of up to 1.73 percent be approved; and
- (3) the recommendation of the Actuary to continue special contributions of \$131,404 monthly (\$1,576,848 per annum) until the next annual valuation is presented to Council be approved.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report (January 18, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.

(Clause No. 8 – Report No. 2)

**3-33. 405 and 426, 430, 436 and 440 Dufferin Street
Application for Approval to Expropriate
Interests in Land, Property Acquisitions
Required for Proposed Dufferin Street Underpass
(Ward 18 - Davenport, Ward 14 - Parkdale-High Park).**

The Administration Committee had before it a joint report (January 30, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to commence negotiations to acquire the property interests required for the construction of the proposed Dufferin Street underpass;
- (2) authority be granted to initiate the expropriation process for the property interests detailed in this report;
- (3) authority be granted to serve and publish Notices of Applications for Approval to Expropriate property interests herein detailed, to forward to the Chief Inquiry Officer any requests for hearings that are received and to report the Inquiry Officer's recommendations to Council for its consideration;
- (4) authority be granted to negotiate and execute a crossing agreement with CN and GO Transit; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned joint report (January 30, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

(Clause No. 24 – Report No. 2)

3-34. Status Report on Properties Considered by the Property Management Committee (All Wards).

The Administration Committee had before it a report (January 31, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, providing an update of the properties entering the PMC (Property Management Committee) process from March, 1999 to December 31, 2000, and decisions made relative thereto; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee received the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 28(1) – Report No. 2)

3-35. Accounts Receivable – Largest Debtors.

The Administration Committee had before it a report (February 1, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, respecting properties with tax arrears of \$500,000.00 or more that are owned by a corporation and efforts that have been made to collect taxes; advising that outstanding taxes receivable continue to be monitored and acted upon in a timely manner; that the largest outstanding taxes receivable are priority for collection action; and recommending that this report be received for information.

Councillor Michael Walker, St. Pauls, appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Administration Committee received the aforementioned report; and requested the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to be more aggressive in her attempts to collect outstanding taxes.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; and Director, Revenue Services Division, Finance Department – February 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 28(m) – Report No. 2)

3-36. Parking Tag Fine Collection Strategy.

The Administration Committee had before it a report (February 1, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, reporting on initiatives that can be undertaken to enhance parking tag collection efforts and revenue flows, while maintaining and enhancing the regulation of traffic on City streets; and recommending that:

- (1) the Province of Ontario's Ministry of Transportation, be requested to negotiate data transfer and data exchange agreements with other provincial and state governments, so as to provide municipalities with name and address information of out-of-province plate owners, who remain on the default payment listing. Priority should be given to the provinces of Quebec and B. C. and the states of New York and Michigan which account for more than 50 percent of all out of province tags issued;
- (2) the Province of Ontario be requested to amend provincial legislation to provide for plate denial of all plates owned by an individual with parking fines in default and/or provide for driver's license denial for individuals with outstanding parking fines;

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, February 6, 2001.

- (3) the Province of Ontario's Ministry of Transportation, be requested to provide the City with pertinent information, as requested, such as infraction date, conviction date, and account activity, to determine ease of collection and to assist City staff in determining which fines to pursue first;
- (4) the Province be requested to amend the *Provincial Offences Act* to allow the City to use the notification of a credit bureau (also known as consumer reporting agency) as a tool to assist in the collection of outstanding/defaulted parking fines;
- (5) Council approve the use of collection agencies to assist in the collection of outstanding parking fines as a one-year pilot project, and that staff be authorized to issue a Request for Proposal for this service and report back with the results of the pilot project;
- (6) City staff be authorized to meet with representatives from the Ministry of Transportation to negotiate and draft the required agreement to be signed by the collection agency(s) that the City may use in the collection of outstanding parking fines;
- (7) Council endorse increasing the set fine amount for Fire Route offences from \$30.00 to \$70.00 in order to bring the set fine amount for Fire Route offences for the City into line with other municipalities in the Greater Toronto Area and Ontario and that an application be made to the Senior Regional Judge in order to increase the set fine amount for Fire Route offences from \$30.00 to \$70.00;
- (8) Council approve the establishment of a by-law to implement a cost recovery charge on the Interactive Voice Response (IVR) telephone payment system to recover costs incurred by the City for the use of this service;
- (9) the fee on the Interactive Voice Response (IVR) be set at \$1.00 per transaction and, that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be authorized to review the fee on an annual basis and recommend changes as necessary;
- (10) Council approve the establishment of a by-law, permitting the Finance Department, Parking Tag Operations Unit, to implement a fee for the production of screen prints and photocopies when requested by the public;
- (11) the fee for the production of screen prints and photocopies be set at \$1.00 per page, which is consistent with the fees charged by the Ministry of the Attorney General for the same service, and that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be authorized to review the fee on an annual basis and recommend changes as necessary;

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, February 6, 2001.

- (12) the Finance Department, Parking Tag Operations Unit, be authorized to institute a charge for dishonoured cheques (i.e., NSF cheques) as permitted in the *Provincial Offences Act*;
- (13) the charge for dishonoured cheques be set at \$25.00 per cheque, consistent with other City Departments and as authorized by the *Provincial Offences Act*; and that this charge be amended by the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer as the Act is updated;
- (14) the parking by-laws of the former Metro and area municipalities be amended to eliminate the voluntary payment amount;
- (15) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and the City Auditor, in consultation with the Chief of Police and the General Manager of the Toronto Parking Authority, review the practice of issuing courtesy envelopes to illegally parked vehicles on Toronto Parking Authority lots and be directed to report to Administration Committee on the feasibility of replacing courtesy envelopes with City of Toronto Parking Infraction Notices, when conducting parking enforcement on Toronto Parking Authority lots; and
- (16) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer report back to the Administration Committee on the resulting improvements in revenue collection as each strategy/recommendation is implemented.

The following Members of Council appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor Bas Balkissoon, Scarborough-Rouge River; and
 - Councillor Michael Walker, St. Paul's.
- A. Councillor Holyday moved that the Administration Committee recommend to Council:
- (1) the adoption of the report (February 1, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; and
 - (2) that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to proceed with the utmost urgency in implementing the recommendations embodied in the aforementioned report.
(Carried)

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, February 6, 2001.

- B. Councillor Soknacki moved that the foregoing motion A by Councillor Holyday be amended to provide that Recommendation No. (16), embodied in the report (February 1, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be amended to read as follows:

“(16) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer report back to the Administration Committee in six months’ time on the resulting improvements in revenue collection as each strategy/recommendation is implemented, such report to include details on how to continue to reduce the percentage of tickets either non-processible or cancelled;” **(Carried)**

- C. Councillor Nunziata moved that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a report to the Administration Committee on the public being able to pay parking tag tickets at the cashier locations at the Civic Centres. **(Carried)**

Councillor Bussin appointed Councillor Nunziata as Acting Chair and vacated the Chair.

- D. Councillor Berardinetti moved that the foregoing motion A by Councillor Holyday be amended by amending Recommendation No. (7), embodied in the report (February 1, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to read as follows:

“(7) Council endorse increasing the set fine amount for Fire Route offences from \$30.00 to \$100.00 in order to bring the set fine amount for Fire Route offences for the City into line with other municipalities in the Greater Toronto Area and Ontario and that an application be made to the Senior Regional Judge in order to increase the set fine amount for Fire Route offences from \$30.00 to \$100.00;” **(Carried)**

Councillor Bussin resumed the Chair.

- E. Councillor Miller moved that:

(1) the Toronto Parking Authority be requested to report directly to Council on the issues embodied in the report (February 1, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. **(Carried)**

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, February 6, 2001.

- (3) the Administration Committee recommend to Council that:
- (i) those residents who have valid street parking permits and who do not move their vehicles on time, or, change over on time, be excluded from the fines outlined in this proposal. **(Lost)**
 - (ii) Recommendation No. (15) embodied in the report (February 1, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be deleted. **(Lost)**

The decision of the Administration Committee, therefore is as follows:

The Administration Committee:

- (A) recommended to Council:
- (I) the adoption of the aforementioned report (February 1, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer subject to:
 - (1) deleting the amount of \$70.00 from Recommendation No. (7) so that Recommendation No. (7) now read as follows:
 - “(7) Council endorse increasing the set fine amount for Fire Route offences from \$30.00 to \$100.00 in order to bring the set fine amount for Fire Route offences for the City into line with other municipalities in the Greater Toronto Area and Ontario and that an application be made to the Senior Regional Judge in order to increase the set fine amount for Fire Route offences from \$30.00 to \$100.00;” and
 - (2) amending Recommendation No. (16) to read as follows:
 - ”(16) the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer report back to the Administration Committee in six months’ time on the resulting improvements in revenue collection as each strategy/recommendation is implemented,

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, February 6, 2001.

such report to include details on how to continue to reduce the percentage of tickets either non-processable or cancelled;"; and

- (II) that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to:
 - (i) proceed with the utmost urgency in implementing the recommendations embodied in the aforementioned report; and
 - (ii) submit a report to the Administration Committee on the public being able to pay parking tag tickets at the cashier locations at the Civic Centres; and
- (B) requested the President, Toronto Parking Authority to submit a report directly to Council for its meeting scheduled to be held on March 6, 2001, on the issues raised in the aforementioned report.

(President, Toronto Parking Authority; c. Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer – February 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 1 – Report No. 2)

3-37. Acquisition of 32 Holmes Avenue for the North York Centre Plan Service Road (Ward 23 – Willowdale).

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential report (January 30, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, respecting the acquisition of 32 Homes Avenue, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the acquisition of property.

On motion by Councillor Ford, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the confidential report (January 30, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the acquisition of 32 Homes Avenue; and further that, in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the acquisition of property.

(Clause No. 11 – Report No. 2)

3-38. Status of the TEDCO Investigation.

The Administration Committee had before it the following communications:

- (i) (February 2, 2001) from the City Clerk, advising that City Council, at its meeting held on January 30, 31 and February 1, 2001, had before it Enquiries dated December 20, 2000, and January 8, 2001, from Councillor Moscoe and Councillor Walker, respectively, regarding the status of the TEDCO investigation requested by City Council; and that Council amongst other things adopted the following recommendations:

“It is recommended that:

- (a) the Enquiries dated December 20, 2000, and January 8, 2001, from Councillor Moscoe and Councillor Walker, respectively, regarding the status of the TEDCO investigation requested by City Council, be received;
- (b) the Answer thereto dated January 29, 2001, from the City Solicitor and the Chief Administrative Officer, embodying the following recommendations, be adopted and referred to the Administration Committee:

‘It is recommended that:

- (1) Council authorize staff to take all actions possible to assist the Ontario Provincial Police in their investigation, and provide all documentation, confidential and otherwise, to the OPP, participate in interviews, and otherwise facilitate the OPP investigation; and
- (2) the appropriate officials be authorized to give effect thereto’;
and
- (c) the City Solicitor and the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit periodic reports to the Administration Committee on the progress of the investigation as it proceeds.”
- (iii) (December 20, 2000) from Councillor Howard Moscoe, Eglinton-Lawrence, requesting answers to various questions;
and
- (iv) (January 8, 2001) from Councillor Michael Walker, St. Pauls, requesting answers to various questions.

Councillor Michael Walker, St. Paul's, appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Councillor Bussin appointed Councillor Nunziata Acting Chair and vacated the Chair.

Councillor Berardinetti moved, on behalf of Councillor Walker, that the Ontario Provincial Police be requested to expedite the TEDCO investigation to a conclusion.

Councillor Bussin resumed the Chair.

(Clause No. 6 – Report No. 2)

3-39. Implementation of Initiatives Respecting Service Districts.

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (February 2, 2001) from the City Clerk, advising that City Council City Council, at its meeting held on January 30, 31 and February 1, 2001, referred the following Point of Privilege raised by Councillor Miller to the Administration Committee:

Moved by Councillor Miller:

It is recommended that the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to consult with Members of Council prior to taking any further steps to implement the initiative respecting service districts, and to submit a report to Council, through the Administration Committee, on the results of those consultations.”

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee:

- (1) referred the aforementioned communication to the Chief Administrative Officer for report thereon to the Administration Committee; and
- (2) directed the Chief Administrative Officer to take no further action to implement this initiative until the Administration Committee has given consideration to the forthcoming report.

(Chief Administrative Officer – February 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 28(n) – Report No. 2)

3-40. Request for Proposals No. 9130-00-7353 - Selection of a Successful Proponent for Real Estate Consulting and Brokerage Services for the Marketing and Sale of Metro Hall – 55 John Street, Toronto (Ward 20 - Trinity-Spadina).

The Administration Committee had before it a joint report (February 5, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, recommending that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be authorized to enter into a consulting services/listing agreement in form satisfactory to the City Solicitor with Royal LePage in partnership with CIBC World Markets Inc. and Brisbin Brook Beynon Architects, the proponent with the highest overall evaluated score as determined by the evaluation team, for consulting and brokerage services for the marketing and sale of Metro Hall; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned joint report (February 5, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.

(Clause No. 10 – Report No. 2)

3-41. Proposed City of Toronto Land Exchange Agreement With Cathedral Properties Limited – City Lands at 76 Shuter Street and Privately Owned Lands at 78 Mutual Street, City of Toronto (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale).

The Administration Committee had before it a report (February 5, 2001) from Commissioner of Corporate Services, providing a status report on the proposed changes to the land exchange transaction between the City and Cathedral Properties Limited (CPL) as previously approved by Council and recommending that the Commissioner of Corporate Services be directed to report directly to Council on the subject matter.

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, February 6, 2001.

On motion by Councillor Shaw, the Administration Committee concurred with the Recommendation embodied in the aforementioned report (February 5, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(Commissioner of Corporate Services – February 6, 2001)

(Clause No. 25 – Report No. 2)

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 5:01 p.m.

Chair