

THE CITY OF TORONTO

City Clerk's Division

Minutes of the Administration Committee

Meeting No. 9

Tuesday, June 5, 2001.

The Administration Committee met on Tuesday, June 5, 2001, in Committee Room 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:44 a.m.

Attendance

Members were present for some or all of the time periods indicated.

	9:44 a.m. to 12:20p.m.	2:10 p.m. to 3:22 p.m.	In Camera 3:01 p.m. to 3:20 p.m.
Councillor Lorenzo Berardinetti, Chair	X	X	X
Councillor Sandra Bussin	X	X	X
Councillor Rob Ford	X	X	X
Councillor Doug Holyday	X	X	X
Councillor David Miller	X	X	X
Councillor Frances Nunziata Vice-Chair	X	X	X
Councillor Sherene Shaw	X	X	X
Councillor David Soknacki	X	X	X

**9-1. Acquisition of Elihu Pease House
34 Avondale Avenue
(Ward 10 – North York Centre).**

The Administration Committee had before it the following reports and communications:

- (1) (August 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, reporting on the discussions and negotiations held with Mr. Louis Badone and Ms. Donalda Badone, regarding the acquisition of the Elihu Pease House – 34 Avondale Avenue as instructed by Council on October 1 and 2, 1998; advising that until such time as a lawful municipal purpose is identified by a Client Department, the acquisition of this property cannot be undertaken; that if a municipal purpose is identified, staff can, if Council directs, have the Badones' submit an offer to sell to the City per the terms outlined in the report; and recommending that this report be received for information purposes;

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, June 5, 2001.

- (2) (January 7, 2001) from Ms. Donalda Badone and Mr. Louis Badone, Outlining the objections they have respecting the issue of the acquisition of the Elihu Pease House; and recommending that the Administration Committee reject the report (August 28, 2000) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services in regard thereto; and honour the City agreement for purchase reached on July 25, 2000 with Mr. J. Casali at North York; and
- (3) (May 30, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, responding to the Administration Committee's request for further information on the acquisition of 34 Avondale Avenue – Elihu Pease House and to report on the continued negotiations held with the owners; advising that there are no financial implications arising from this report; that negotiations and/or opportunities for the acquisition of this property by third parties are still actively being pursued by Mr. and Mrs. Badone; and recommending that this matter be deferred to the July 3, 2001 meeting of the Administration Committee.

On motion by Councillor Holiday, the Administration Committee deferred consideration of the foregoing reports and communications until its meeting scheduled to be held on July 3, 2001.

(Commissioner of Corporate Services; Director of Real Estate Services; c.
Ms. Donalda Badone and Mr. Louis Badone)

(Clause No. 17(a) – Report No. 10)

**9-2. 2001 Access and Equity
Grant Program Allocations.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (May 23, 2001) from the Chief Administrative Officer, recommending the allocations of the 2001 Access and Equity Grant Program; advising that funding for grants recommended in this report is available within the Consolidated Grants Budget which provides an allocation of \$470.4 thousand for the 2001 Access and Equity Grant Program; and recommending that:

- (1) grants totalling \$465.4 thousand be approved as outlined in Appendix 1–2001 Access and Equity Grant Allocations;
- (2) \$5.0 thousand be held in reserve for appeals subject to the submission of a report recommending the allocation of this reserve;
- (3) staff meet with the appropriate federal and provincial officials regarding the capacity of existing funding programs to support the long term social integration of immigrants and newcomers and to report back on these discussions; and

Administration Committee Minutes
Tuesday, June 5, 2001.

- (4) the appropriate City of Toronto officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The following persons appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Homa Rouhi Sarlati, Iranian Women's Organization of Ontario, and filed a written submission in regard thereto;
 - Mr. Xe Kha, Vietnamese Association of Toronto; and
 - Mr. Ato Seitu, Tropicana Community Services.
- A. Councillor Holiday moved that the Administration Committee defer consideration of this matter until the next meeting of the Administration Committee and that the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to report to the next meeting of the Committee providing a progress report on the achievements made by those organizations receiving a grant in the year 2000. **(Lost.)**
- B. Councillor Nunziata moved that the foregoing motion A. by Councillor Holyday be amended to provide that staff also provide an organization chart respecting those organizations listed in Appendix 1. **(Lost.)**
- C. Councillor Soknacki moved that the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to submit the City Auditor's report showing the status of recommendations regarding the Access and Equity Grants program, to the Administration Committee along with the 2002 Access and Equity Grants program allocations. **(Carried.)**
- D. Councillor Shaw moved:
- (1) adoption of the report (May 23, 2001) from the Chief Administrative Officer; and
 - (2) that the Chief Administrative Officer be requested to advise all Members of Council that the information requested by Councillor Holyday at the June 5, 2001, meeting of the Administration Committee respecting the 2001 Access and Equity Grant Program allocations, is available, upon request, from the Access and Equity Unit, Chief Administrative Officer's Department. **(Carried.)**

(Chief Administrative Officer; Director, Strategic and Corporate Policy Division; c: Ms. Homa Rouhi Sarlati, Iranian Women's Organization of Ontario; Mr. Xe Kha, Vietnamese Association of Toronto; Mr. Ato Seitu, Tropicana Community Services – June 5, 2001)

(Clause No. 2 – Report No. 10)

On motion by Councillor Bussin, in accordance with the Municipal Act, the Administration Committee met privately to consider the following matter “Status of Property Houses Transfer and Disposal;” having regard that the subject matter relates to litigation or potential litigation.

**9-3. Status of Property Houses
Transfer and Disposal.**

The Administration Committee had before it the following communication and report:

- (1) (May 10, 2001) from the City Clerk, advising that City Council at its regular meeting held on April 23, 24, 25, 26, and 27, 2001, and its special meeting held on April 30, May 1 and 2, 2001, struck out and referred Clause No. 4 of Report No. 5 of The Administration Committee headed “Status of Property Houses Transfer and Disposal” back to the Administration Committee for further consideration, in order to permit an opportunity for the Toronto Housing Company Limited to review and comment on the amendments proposed by the Administration Committee; and
- (2) (May 24, 2001) from the Chief Executive Officer, Toronto Housing Company Inc., reporting confidentially respecting the status of property houses transfer and disposal, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to litigation or potential litigation.

The following persons appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Gary Rich, Solicitor, on behalf of Mr. and Mrs. Weisfeld;
- Mr. Sid Brooker;
- Mr. Lawrence Theall, Solicitor, on behalf of Mr. Ken Pantlin; and
- Mr. Vance Latchford.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendations of the Administration Committee embodied in the confidential communication (June 5, 2001) from the Acting City Clerk, respecting the Status of Property Houses Transfer and Disposal, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further, that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to litigation or potential litigation.

(Clause No. 1 – Report No. 10)

**9-4. By-Law No. 181-81
Governing the Metropolitan Toronto
Police Benefit Fund – Draft By-Law
to Increase Minimum Pension.**

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (May 10, 2001) from the Board Secretary, Board of Trustees of the Metropolitan Toronto Police Benefit Fund, advising that the Board of Trustees of the Metropolitan Toronto Police Benefit Fund at its meeting held on Friday, April 20, 2001, took the following action:

- (1) recommended to the Administration Committee that the draft by-law appended to the communication (April 18, 2001) from the City Solicitor be adopted, and that the appropriate City Officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and
- (2) requested that Mr. Robert G. Camp, Mr. William M. Mercer, and all Trustees of the Metropolitan Toronto Police Benefit Fund be notified of the date and time of the Administration Committee meeting on this matter.

Mr. Art Lymer, appeared before the Administration Committee on behalf of the Board of Trustees, Metropolitan Toronto Police Benefit Fund, in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Administration Committee referred the foregoing communication (May 10, 2001) from the Board Secretary, Board of Trustees of the Metropolitan Toronto Police Benefit Fund, to the Acting Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer for report thereon directly to Council for its meeting scheduled to be held on June 26, 2001.

(Board of Trustees, Metropolitan Toronto Police Benefit Fund; Acting Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; c: Mr. Art Lymer – June 5, 2001)

(Clause No. 16 – Report No. 10)

**9-5. Hearing - Tax Adjustment Under
Municipal Act Section 442 and 443.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (May 23, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, recommending the approval of the cancellation, reduction or refund of taxes pursuant to the provisions of sections 442 and 442 of the Municipal Act; this report recommends cancellation of taxes in the amount of \$1,852,698.86 as summarized in Schedules "A" and "B" attached. The City's share of this total tax reduction is approximately \$1,111,619.31. Funding of this deficiency is provided for in the 2001 operating budget. The balance of the tax cancellation is to be recovered from the school boards; and recommending that:

- (1) the individual appeal applications made pursuant to Section 442 of the *Municipal Act* totalling \$1,733,516.14 as provided in the detailed hearing report attached and as summarized in Schedule "A", be approved; and
- (3) the individual appeal applications made pursuant to Section 443 of the *Municipal Act* totalling \$119,182.72 as provided in the detailed hearing report attached and as summarized in Schedule "B", be approved.
 - A. Councillor Miller moved that the Interim Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be requested to submit a report directly to Council for its meeting scheduled to be held on June 26, 2001, respecting Appeal No. 991808 embodied in the document entitled "City of Toronto Detail Hearing Report – 442 Hearing Number 2001H2", specifically addressing whether this property is being used for the purpose of the Convention Centre as identified in the legislation. **(Carried.)**
 - B. Councillor Soknacki moved:
 - (1) adoption of the foregoing report (May 23, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; and
 - (2) requested the Interim Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to submit a report directly to Council for its meeting scheduled to be held on June 26, 2001, providing a summary showing yearly comparisons of applicable information from Schedules A and B referred to in the report (May 23, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. **(Carried.)**

(Acting Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Supervisor, Appeals and Assessments – June 5, 2001)

(Clause No. 3 – Report No. 10)

9-6. Corporate IT Strategy and Implementation of E-Procurement.

The Administration Committee had before it a report (May 4, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, responding to the Administration Committee's request of February 20, 2001 to submit a report to the Administration Committee on how the recommendations of the report on E-Procurement considered by the Committee at its February 20, 2001 meeting fit within the Corporate IT strategy and priorities, and whether the Corporation is prepared to implement E-Procurement; advising that the 2000-2004 Information Technology Program adopted by Council at its special meeting of January 27, 2000 included the implementation of an Electronic Service Delivery framework; E-Procurement is a business process that will leverage this Corporate framework; and the Information and Technology Division, Corporate Services, has advised that the Finance E-Procurement initiative can be implemented effectively leveraging the solution implemented to date; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee received the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 17(b) – Report No. 10)

9-7. City of Toronto Corporate Identity Program – Toronto Police Services.

The Administration Committee had before it a report (April 18, 2001) from the Chairman, Toronto Police Services Board, providing a response to the Administration Committee's request for comments on Council's request that the Toronto Police Service feature the City of Toronto's corporate logo on all rolling stock, consistent with its use on ambulance and fire vehicles; advising that there are no financial implications in regard to the receipt of this report; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Administration Committee received the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 17(c) – Report No. 10)

**9-8. An Official Town Crier
for the City of Toronto
(All Wards).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (May 16, 2001) from the City Clerk, reporting on the appointment of an Official Town Crier for the City of Toronto; advising that if City Council chooses to appoint an Official Town Crier, that the annual expenses for a Town Crier would be approximately \$5,000.00; that additional expenses to conduct a competition for a Town Crier would be approximately \$10,000.00; that funds have not been allocated in the Clerk's 2001 Operating Budget to support a Town Crier; that as directed by Council, City Clerk's staff have again reviewed the requirement to appoint an Official Town Crier for the City of Toronto; that based on our experience to date and recognizing the very diverse cultures of the Toronto community, the recommendations adopted by Council in July 2000 are still relevant and effective, and allow City staff the flexibility to engage the services of a Town Crier through the Ontario Guild of Town Criers or affiliated organization, if and when necessary; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Administration Committee received the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 17(d) – Report No. 10)

**9-9. Fees for Accessing
Assessment Roll Information.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (May 22, 2001) from the City Clerk, providing recommendations to ensure that charges for accessing assessment roll information are the same across the City of Toronto; advising that annual City Clerk's revenues are expected to increase minimally, by approximately \$2,000 - \$3,000 (based on year 2000 activities, revenues would have been an additional \$2,835.00); this new revenue will be generated as a result of standard fees collected for processing assessment roll inquiries; all current and proposed fees are outlined in the enclosed chart; and recommending that:

- (1) Council approve the fees and procedures for processing assessment roll inquiries described in this report;
- (2) authority be granted to introduce the necessary bill in Council to provide for the fees for the Clerk's Division for processing assessment roll inquiries;
- (3) the fees for processing assessment roll inquiries be approved for implementation no later than August 1, 2001; and

- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (May 22, 2001) from the City Clerk.

(Clause No. 5 – Report No. 10)

**9-10. Supply and Delivery of
Nineteen (19) Mechanical Street Sweepers.**

The Administration Committee had before it a joint report (May 24, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, requesting authority to issue Purchase Orders to the recommended bidders for the supply and delivery of nineteen (19) Mechanical Street Sweepers for street cleaning throughout the City of Toronto by the Works and Emergency Services Department, Transportation Services Division, in accordance with specifications, as required by the Corporate Services Department, Fleet Management Services Division; advising that funds in the amount of \$3,027,215.15 including all taxes and charges have been provided for in the individual accounts; and recommending that the Quotation submitted by Joe Johnson Equipment Inc. be accepted for the supply and delivery of three (3) Elgin Pelican 'P' 4-cylinder Mechanical Street Sweepers at a total price of \$457,080.15 including all taxes and charges and the Quotation submitted by Champion Road Machinery Sales be accepted for the supply and delivery of sixteen (16) Johnston 3000 Mechanical Street Sweepers (three [3] 4-cylinder units and thirteen [13] 6-cylinder units) at a total price of \$2,570,135.00 including all taxes and charges used for street cleaning throughout the City of Toronto by the Works and Emergency Services Department, Transportation Services Division, in accordance with specifications as required by the Corporate Services Department, Fleet Management Services Division.

On motion by Councillor Shaw, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing joint report (May 24, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.

(Clause No. 6 – Report No. 10)

9-11. Standard Labour Hours Implementation.

The Administration Committee had before it a report (May 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, responding to the Budget Advisory Committee's recommendation in relation to the implementation of Standard Labour Hours (SLH); advising that SLH do not apply to all aspects of fleet maintenance; that implementation of SLH has begun and flat rates developed based on SLH for preventative maintenance "A" service and inspections; that additional SLH implementation will proceed as resources allow; that Fleet maintenance supervisory staff monitor repair times to ensure charges are appropriate for the repair and vehicle type; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee received the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 17(e) – Report No. 10)

9-12. Funding Provided for Maintenance Items in the Facilities and Real Estate Division in 2000 and 2001 Operating Budgets.

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (May 10, 2001) from the City Clerk, advising that City Council, at its regular meeting held on April 23, 24, 25, 25 and 27, 2001, and its special meeting held on April 30, May 1 and 2, 2001, in adopting, as amended, Clause No. 2 of Report No. 5 of the Policy and Finance Committee, entitled "City of Toronto 2001 Operating Budget", referred the report (March 8, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, entitled "Funding Provided for Maintenance in the Facilities and Real Estate Division in 2000 and 2001 Operating Budgets" to the Administration Committee for information.

On motion by Councillor Shaw, the Administration Committee received the foregoing communication.

(Clause No. 17(f) – Report No. 10)

**9-13. Clerk's Records and Archives
Transition Storage Project,
Construction of a New Storage Facility
at 14 Dyas Road
(Ward 34 – Don Valley East).**

The Administration Committee had before it a joint report (May 25, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, advising of the results of the Request for Quotation issued for construction of Records and Archives, New Records Centre, 14 Dyas Road, in accordance with specifications, as required by the Corporate Services Department, Facilities and Real Estate Division and to request the authority to issue a Purchase Order to the recommended bidder; that funds to cover the cost of the work are available in the City Clerk's Records and Archives Transition Project, Cost Centre CGV904-1; and recommending that the Quotation submitted by Derbtile Construction Inc. be accepted for construction of the Records and Archives, New Records Centre, 14 Dyas Road, in accordance with specifications at a total price of \$2,612,940.00, including all taxes and charges, being the lowest quotation received.

On motion by Holyday, the Administration Committee approved the foregoing joint report (May 25, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer in accordance with Chapter 195, Purchasing, City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Commissioner of Corporate Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Director, Design, Construction and Asset Preservation; Director, Purchasing and Materials Management – June 5, 2001)

(Clause No. 17(g) – Report No. 10)

**9-14. Fire Route Offence
Voluntary Payment Amount.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (May 15, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, reporting on the new fine structure for Fire Route Parking Offences; advising that the new Fine Structure for Fire Route Parking Offences will generate an additional \$3.3M in annual parking fine revenues; that for the year 2001 additional revenues of \$1,560,000 will be generated; and recommending that:

- (1) if the Senior Regional Justice approves \$100.00 as the Set Fine Amount for Fire Route Parking Offences, \$75.00 be established as the Voluntary Payment Amount for Fire Route Parking Offences;

- (2) for these purposes, any necessary amendments be made to the fire route by-laws of the old municipalities; and
- (3) staff be directed to take such actions and other measures as may be required to implement these recommendations.

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (May 15, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.

(Clause No. 7 – Report No. 10)

**9-15. Appointment of City of Toronto
Sinking Fund Committee Members.**

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential report (May 2, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, respecting the appointment of four members to the City of Toronto Sinking Fund Committee, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing confidential report from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, entitled "Appointment of City of Toronto Sinking Fund Committee Members" which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further, that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to personal matters about an identifiable individual. **(Carried.)**
- (2) recommended that the foregoing confidential report be referred back to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer for report to the Committee containing recommendations in accordance with City policy. **(Withdrawn.)**

(Clause No. 14 – Report No. 10)

9-16. Apportionment of Taxes.

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential report (May 22, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, requesting approval for the apportionment of taxes pertaining to properties listed in this report.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee recommended to Council that the apportionment of taxes for the properties listed in Appendix "A" attached to the foregoing report (May 22, 2001) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer be approved.

(Clause No. 4 – Report No. 10)

9-17. Existing Contracted Services.

The Administration Committee had before it a report (May 25, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, requesting approval to extend existing contracted services until December 31, 2001 or to the end of the contract term; advising that the total cost of extension of the existing contracted services to December 31, 2001, before taxes, is an estimate based on resources assigned to approved capital and operating initiatives and supplementing on-going operational requirements; that no additional funding is requested; and recommending that:

- (1) the existing vendors listed in Table I be extended to the date and amount identified in the table on the provision that these services are to be terminated following new awards resulting from a Request for Proposal process, and a transition process to the new contractors or staff;
- (2) the existing vendors listed in Table II be extended and that the authority to spend with these vendors be given for annual system maintenance;
- (3) a report be submitted to the Administration Committee in the Fall, providing information on the annual amount required for each of the vendors providing system maintenance for information technology;
- (4) the existing vendors listed in Table III, working on existing projects, be extended until project completion and/or a proper transition plan is in place;
- (5) the Contract Management Office of the Information and Technology Division be given the authority to extend these service contracts while new contracts are being tendered; and

- (6) the Director of each Department be authorized to sign their respective work schedules.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (May 25, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(Clause No. 8 – Report No. 10)

**9-18. Request for Additional Funds
for Increase in Purchase Order
Issued for Chiller Replacement Project
at St. Lawrence Market-South.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (May 28, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, seeking an amendment to Purchase Order No. 6001849 issued to Ram Aire Environmental for extra work required to complete the replacement of chillers and its housing unit at St. Lawrence Market South; advising that the value of the Purchase Order will increase by \$207,000 plus G.S.T.; that adequate funds are available in the Emergency Capital expenditure account; and recommending that:

- (1) the Purchase Order No. 6001849 issued to Ram Aire Environmental be increased by a total of \$207,000 plus G.S.T. as identified in this report to enable the replacement of chillers and its housing unit at St. Lawrence Market South; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (May 28, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

(Clause No. 9 – Report No. 10)

**9-19. Enforcement of Parking at Meters
and Pay and Display Machines.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (May 31, 2001) from the City Auditor, responding to a request from the Budget Advisory Committee with respect to developing a terms of reference for a request for proposals for the enforcement of parking at on-street meters and pay and display machines; advising that there are no financial implications associated with this report; and recommending that:

- (1) the Chief Administrative Officer, in consultation with the City Auditor, include in his current study on parking enforcement and related parking functions, an evaluation of whether the enforcement of parking violations at on-street meters and pay and display machines should be transferred from the Toronto Police Service Parking Enforcement Unit to the Toronto Parking Authority. Such study include an evaluation of the Toronto Parking Authority's proposal in this regard; and
- (2) the Chief Administrative Officer report to the Administration Committee on the results of this review, no later than October 30, 2001.
 - A. Councillor Miller moved that the Administration Committee concur with the recommendations embodied in the foregoing report (May 31, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services. **(Carried.)**
 - B. Councillor Soknacki moved that the Administration Committee concur with the recommendations embodied in the foregoing report subject to the exclusion of courtesy envelopes as a type of enforcement for on-street parking. **(Withdrawn.)**

(City Auditor; Chief Administrative Officer; c. Director, Audit Services –
June 5, 2001.)

(Clause No. 17(h) – Report No. 10)

**9-20. Request for Proposals to Restore,
Develop and Operate Union Station
(Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale).**

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential report (May 30, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, addressing the proposed terms to be included in the Request for Proposals to restore, develop and operate Union Station.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing confidential report from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, entitled "Request for Proposals to Restore, Develop and Operate Union Station", which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further, that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera having regard that the subject may involve matters which may not be disclosed pursuant to the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Corporate Services to submit a public version of the aforementioned report directly to Council for its meeting scheduled to be held on June 26, 2001, and also report on the history respecting this matter.

(Clause No. 10 – Report No. 10)

**9-21. Purchase of 117-119 Fermanagh Avenue
(Ward 14 – Parkdale – High Park).**

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential report (May 30, 2001) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority, addressing the purchase of 117-119 Fermanagh Avenue, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the proposed acquisition of property.

A. Councillor Nunziata moved that the Administration Committee:

- (1) recommend to Council the adoption of Recommendations Nos. (1) to (3) and (5) embodied in the foregoing confidential report (May 30, 2001) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority, respecting the purchase of 117-119 Fermanagh Avenue, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover;
- (2) concurred with Recommendation No. (3) embodied in the foregoing report; and that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussion pertaining to the foregoing matter be held in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the proposed acquisition of land for municipal purposes; and

- (3) requested the President, Toronto Parking Authority, to attend the next meeting of the Administration Committee scheduled to be held on July 3, 2001, providing the Committee with a briefing on its operations and its relationship with the Administration Committee respecting financing and acquisition of properties. **(Carried.)**
- B. Councillor Holyday moved that the Administration Committee requested the President, Toronto Parking Authority, to submit a report directly to Council for its meeting scheduled to be held on June 26, 2001, providing detailed financial analysis referred to in the foregoing report, and tax implications in regard thereto. **(Carried.)**

(Clause No. 11 – Report No. 10)

**9-22. Acquisition of 29 Holmes Avenue
for the North York Centre Plan
Service Road
(Ward 23 – Willowdale).**

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential report (May 22, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, authorizing the acquisition of 29 Holmes Avenue for construction of the east service road in the North York Centre, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the proposed acquisition of property.

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing confidential report (May 22, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the acquisition of 29 Holmes Avenue, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further, that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the proposed acquisition of land for municipal purposes.

(Clause No. 12 – Report No. 10)

**9-23. Compliance Audit Request Under
the Municipal Elections Act, 1996.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 4, 2001) from the Acting City Clerk, reporting on a request received by the City Clerk for a compliance audit on the election campaign finances of Councillor Case Ootes and to outline City Council's responsibilities; advising that the Municipal Elections Act, 1996, requires Council to consider the application for a compliance audit and decide whether it should be granted or rejected; that this decision must be made within 30 days of the receipt of the application; and recommending that Council make a decision, to either:

- (1) grant the application for a compliance audit of the election campaign finances of Councillor Case Ootes, appoint Mr. B.G. Nayman as auditor to conduct the audit and report directly back to Council at its meeting of July 24, 2001, and adopt the resolution attached as Appendix "C" to this report; or
- (2) reject the application for a compliance audit of the election campaign finances of Councillor Case Ootes.
 - A. Councillor Holyday moved that the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of Recommendation (b) embodied in the foregoing report (June 4, 2001) from the Acting City Clerk. **(Carried.)**
 - B. Councillor Miller moved that:
 - (1) the Administration Committee forward this matter to Council without recommendation; and
 - (2) that the City Clerk, City Auditor and the City Solicitor be requested to report directly to Council on:
 - (i) procedures undertaken by a Compliance Auditor;
 - (ii) what evidence can legally be gathered by the Auditor; and
 - (iii) the standard of evidence required for Council to order a compliance audit. **(Lost.)**

(All Members of Council – June 5, 2001.)

(Clause No. 15 – Report No. 10)

On motion by Councillor Bussin, in accordance with the Municipal Act, the Administration Committee met privately to consider the following matter “Proposed Acquisition of CN Leaside Spur Line Extending South from York Mills Road to North of Eglinton Avenue East (Ward 25 – Don Valley West)” having regard that the subject matter relates to litigation or potential litigation.

**9-24. Proposed Acquisition of CN Leaside Spur Line
Extending South from York Mills Road
to North of Eglinton Avenue East
(Ward 25 – Don Valley West).**

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential report (June 1, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services regarding the proposed acquisition of CN Leaside Spur Line Extending South from York Mills Road to North of Eglinton Avenue East (Ward 25 – Don Valley West), such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the proposed acquisition of property.

The following persons appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Mark Kindrachuk; and filed a written submission with respect thereto;
- Ms. Rubina McNeil Smith, on behalf of residents of Don Mills, and filed a petition from residents of the Don Mills area who are in favour of the purchase of this land;
- Mr. Karl Frank;
- Ms. Linda Simpson; and
- Mr. Paul Henry.

Councillor Joanne Flint, Don Valley West, appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Administration Committee embodied in the confidential communication (June 5, 2001) from the City Clerk, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the proposed acquisition of property.

(Clause No. 13 – Report No. 10)

**9-25. Request for Proposal for the Provision of
Legal Services in Respect of the
Defined Benefit Pension Plans
Sponsored by the City of Toronto.**

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (May 15, 2001) from the Board of Trustees of the Metropolitan Toronto Pension Plan, advising that the Board of Trustees of the Metropolitan Toronto Pension Plan at its meeting held on Friday, April 20, 2001, decided:

- (1) to go on record in opposition to the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer having the unilateral authority to commission a Request for Proposal (RFP) that could potentially affect the pension plans, without first consulting the Board of Trustees;
- (2) request the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer to appear before the Board to make a presentation on the forthcoming report referred to in the communication (March 27, 2001) from the City Clerk to involve the Board in its consideration ; and
- (3) reiterate its previous position to go on record in protest of not having had the opportunity of reviewing the RFP for the Provision of Legal Services in Respect of the Defined Pension Plans Sponsored by the City of Toronto prior to it being placed in the newspaper, and request the Administration Committee that no further actions be implemented that affect the plan without prior consultation with the Board of Trustees of the Plan.

On motion by Councillor Shaw, the Administration Committee received the foregoing communication.

(Board of Trustees of the Metropolitan Toronto Pension Plan; Board of Trustees of the Metropolitan Toronto Police Benefit Fund – June 5, 2001)

(Clause No. 17(i) – Report No. 10)

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 3:22 p.m.

Chair