The Downtown Community Council met on Tuesday, May 15, 2001, in Committee Room No. 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

No interests were declared pursuant to the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council confirmed the Minutes of its Meeting held on April 3, 2001.

5.1 Public Meeting Pursuant to the Planning Act respecting request to amend Former Borough of East York Official Plan and Zoning By-Law 6752 (as amended) – 7 Curity Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 31)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 27, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, East District, respecting request to amend former Borough of East York Official Plan and Zoning By-law 6752 (as amended) – 7 Curity Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 31), and recommending that City Council:

(1) Amend the Official Plan for the former Borough of East York for the property at 7 Curity Avenue substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Appendix A;

(2) Amend the Zoning By-law 6752 as amended for the property at 7 Curity Avenue substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Appendix B; and,

(3) Authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (April 27, 2001) from Ms. Magdalena Krondl; and
Notice of the statutory public meeting was given in accordance with Sections 17 and 34 of the *Planning Act*. The statutory public meeting was held on May 15, 2001, and the following persons appeared before the Downtown Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. David McKay, Planner, MHBC Planning Limited, on behalf of the applicant
- Mr. Justin Van Dette, Vice President, Parkview Hills Community Association, in favour of the application;
- Mr. Cameron Brooke, who expressed concerns respecting noise by-law enforcement at the subject site; and
- Mr. David Kerr, who expressed concerns respecting noise by-law enforcement and hours of operation at the proposed site.

On motion by Councillor Prue, the Downtown Community Council, based on the findings of fact and conclusions, recommended that the foregoing report (April 27, 2001) from the Director of Community Planning, East District be adopted.

(Report 4, Clause No. 1)

5.2 Public Meeting Pursuant to the *Planning Act* respecting Application No. 100018 – request to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law 438-86 of the former City of Toronto – 393 Roxton Road (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 26, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application No. 100018 – request to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law 438-86 of the former City of Toronto – 393 Roxton Road, reviewing and recommending approval of an application to amend the Official Plan and the Zoning By-law to permit the conversion of a building at the rear of 393 Roxton Road to a residential unit.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it a communication (December 10, 2000) from Mr. Charles Barnes, addressed to Director, Community Planning, South District.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the *Planning Act*. The public meeting was held on May 15, 2001 and Mr. Michael Vaughan addressed the Downtown Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.
5.3 Public Meeting Pursuant to the Planning Act respecting request to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law Official Plan Amendment – 101 College Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 25, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting request to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law Official Plan Amendment – 101 College Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27), reviewing and recommending approval of an application to amend the Official Plan and the Zoning By-law to permit building envelopes and development guidelines for additional buildings for commercial/residential purposes on the northern portion of the Toronto General Hospital site, in conjunction with the retention of the existing historical building at 101 College Street.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (May 4, 2001) from Dr. Cory S. Goldberg & Taraneh Nikain;
- (May 15, 2001) from Mr. Alistair Grieve and Ms. Cathy Merkley, Toronto.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on May 15, 2001 and the following addressed the Downtown Community Council:

- Mr. Alistair Grieve, The Sound Post;
- Ms. Susan Conner, Vice President, Real Estate (University Health Network);
- Mr. Allan Leibel, Solicitor for the applicant; and
- Ms. Catherine Merkley.

The Downtown Community Council recommended that:

On motion by Councillor Rae:

(1) the foregoing report (April 25, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District be adopted; and

On motion by Councillor Pantalone:

(2) attractive underground connections to the subway be planned and implemented to the satisfaction of the Toronto Transit Commission, no later than the opening of the proposed new building on the southeast corner of College Street and University Avenue.
The Downtown Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services:

On motion by Councillor Layton:

(1) in consultation with appropriate City officials, the Energy Efficiency Office and Toronto Hydro Energy Services Corporation, to report directly to Council on the proposed energy strategy for the new buildings, including district heating and cooling systems; and

On motion by Councillor Pantalone:

(2) in consultation with appropriate officials, to explore the possibility of future underground connections southerly across Gerrard Street West.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Hydro Energy Services Corporation; Director, Community Planning, South District; Helen Coombs, Planner, West Section - May 22, 2001)

(Report 4, Clause No. 3)

5.4 Draft Plan of Condominium – 44 Walmer Road and 88 Wellesley Street East (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20/Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 25, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, respecting Draft Plan of Condominium – 44 Walmer Road and 88 Wellesley Street East (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20/Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27), and recommending that:

(1) Council refuse Draft Plan of Condominium Applications No. 400012 (44 Walmer Road), No. 400017 (440 Eglinton Avenue West), No. 400018 (88 Wellesley Street East), and No. 400019 (17-25 Lascelles Boulevard);

(2) Council direct the appropriate City staff to appear before the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) to oppose any appeals that may be filed in respect to the above-noted applications;

(3) Council request City staff, in the event of more than one appeal, where appropriate, to request that the OMB consolidate the appeals to ensure these matters are dealt with in an efficient and cost-effective manner;
Council authorize the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Corporate Services, and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, to retain any necessary consultants to defend Council’s position before the OMB in respect of any appeals related to the above-noted applications. As noted in the financial impact statement, such costs be charged to Urban Development Services Account No. UR0022-4199, subject to the City Solicitor reporting to Council on the budgetary requirements;

this report be forwarded to the June 4 meeting of the Planning & Transportation Committee; and

the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (May 11, 2001) from Ms. Tracy Heffernan, Kensington-Bellwoods Community Legal Services;
- (May 14, 2001) from Mr. David Vallance;
- (May 13, 2001) from Tenants Association, 44 Walmer Road.

The following persons appeared before the Downtown Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. David Vallance;
- Mr. Zoltan Hawryluk;
- Mr. David Aylward;
- Ms. Carmen Perez;
- Ms. Melanie Stevenson;
- Mr. Brad Butt, Executive Director, Greater Toronto Apartment Association; and
- Ms. Marcia Barry, Federation of Metro Tenants Associations.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council recommended to the Planning and Transportation Committee that:

(1) Council refuse Draft Plan of Condominium Applications No. 400012 (44 Walmer Road) and No. 400018 (88 Wellesley Street East);

(2) Council direct the appropriate City staff to appear before the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB) to oppose any appeals that may be filed in respect to the above-noted applications;
(3) Council request City staff, in the event of more than one appeal, where appropriate, to request that the OMB consolidate the appeals to ensure these matters are dealt with in an efficient and cost-effective manner;

(4) Council authorize the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the Commissioner of Corporate Services, and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, to retain any necessary consultants to defend Council’s position before the OMB in respect of any appeals related to the above-noted applications. As noted in the financial impact statement, such costs be charged to Urban Development Services Account No. UR0022-4199, subject to the City Solicitor reporting to Council on the budgetary requirements; and

(4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

(Letter sent to: Planning and Transportation Committee; c.: City Solicitor; Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Commissioner of Corporate Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Director, Community Planning, South District; Barbara Leonhardt, Director, Policy and Research; Marnie McGregor, Policy and Research, City Planning Division; Kyle Knoeck, Community Planning Division – May 22, 2001)

(Report 4, Clause No. 65(a))

5.5 Intention to Designate Under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act and Revised Conditions for Sign Approval – 279 Yonge Street (Child’s Restaurant and Offices)

(Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 18, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting Intention to Designate Under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act and Revised Conditions for Sign Approval – 279 Yonge Street (Child’s Restaurant and Offices), and recommending that:

(1) Toronto City Council state its intention to designate the property at 279 Yonge Street (Child’s Restaurant and Offices) (Attachments No. 1 and 2) pursuant to Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;

(2) the proposed roof top signs be approved subject to the following:

(a) the owner not object to the designation of this property pursuant to Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
(b) the owner post letters of credit with the City in the amount of $100,000 prior to the issuance of any building permit, to provide for restoration work of the façade in accordance with the approved Restoration Plan;

(c) the owner provides an Undertaking to the City, prior to the issuance of a building permit, that the restoration work will be completed within one year of the issuance of a building permit for the roof top signs;

(d) the owner prepare a Restoration Plan for the property at 279 Yonge Street satisfactory to the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services prior to starting any restoration work on the facade; and

(3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it Clause 3 of Report No. 1, titled “Amendment to Section 297, Signs of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, 279 Yonge Street (7 Dundas Square) (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27”), which was adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on January 30, 31 and February 1, 2001.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended that Council state its intention to designate the property at 279 Yonge Street (Child’s Restaurant and Offices) (Attachments No. 1 and 2 to the report (April 18, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism) pursuant to Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

(Report 4, Clause No. 5)

5.6 222 Bay Street – Amendment to a Heritage Easement Agreement (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 18, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting 222 Bay Street – Amendment To A Heritage Easement Agreement, and recommending that:

(1) authority be granted by Toronto City Council for the execution of an amending Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with the owner of 222 Bay Street, using substantially the form of easement agreement prepared in February 1987 by the City Solicitor and on file with the City Clerk, subject to such amendments as may be deemed necessary by the City Solicitor in consultation with the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services; OR
the applicant find another option, such as signage, in order to achieve their goal of providing a more accessible and greater visual awareness to the Design Exchange;

(3) the owner provide a Conservation Plan, including storage of building materials to be removed by the window alterations, to the satisfaction of the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services, prior to the execution of a Heritage Easement Amendment Agreement;

(4) as soon as the showcase window is constructed, the decorative light standards on the sidewalk in front of the Design Exchange will be removed; and

(5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- Material submitted by Ms. Lynda Friendly, Design Exchange; and

- (May 14, 2001) from Mr. Tim Morawetz.

The following persons appeared before the Downtown Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Lynda Friendly, Design Exchange;
- Mr. Bruce Kuwabara, Kuwabara, Payne, McKenna and Blumberg Architects;
- Ms. Edna Hudson, Architectural Conservancy, Toronto;
- Mr. Tim Morawetz; and
- Ms. Catherine Nasmith, Toronto Preservation Board.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended that:

(1) authority be granted by Toronto City Council for the execution of an amending Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* with the owner of 222 Bay Street, using substantially the form of easement agreement prepared in February 1987 by the City Solicitor and on file with the City Clerk, subject to such amendments as may be deemed necessary by the City Solicitor in consultation with the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services;

(2) the owner provide a Conservation Plan, including storage of building materials to be removed by the window alterations, to the satisfaction of the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services, prior to the execution of a Heritage Easement Amendment Agreement;
(3) as soon as the showcase window is constructed, the decorative light standards on
the sidewalk in front of the Design Exchange be removed; and

(4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary
action to give effect thereto.

(Report 4, Clause No. 6)

5.7 Matter Withdrawn

5.8 207 Jarvis Street, Application No. 900074: Request for Approval Variances from
Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-
Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 11, 2001) from the
Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 207 Jarvis Street, Application
No. 900074: Request for Approval Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former
City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that City Council refuse Application
No. 900074 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto
Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-illuminated mural
sign on the south wall of a listed historic residential building at 207 Jarvis Street.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended that
Application No. 900074 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City
of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, a non-
illuminated mural sign on the south wall of a listed historic residential building at 207
Jarvis Street be refused.

(Report 4, Clause No. 8)

5.9 25 Bishop Tutu Boulevard, Application No. 901012: Request for Approval of
Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code
(Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 23, 2001) from the
Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 25 Bishop Tutu Boulevard,
Application No. 901012: Request for Approval of Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of
the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that City Council refuse
Application No. 901012 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City
of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated fascia sign for third party advertising
purposes, on the property known as 25 Bishop Tutu Boulevard.
On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on June 12, 2001.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Director, Community Planning, South District; Melanie Melnyk, Planner, Waterfront Section – May 23, 2001 – May 23, 2001)

(Report 4, Clause No. 65(b))

5.10 1 Bloor Street West, Application No. 901019: Request for Approval Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 4, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 1 Bloor Street West, Application No. 901019: Request for Approval Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 901019 for variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit two illuminated roof signs, for third party advertising purposes, and two illuminated roof signs for identification purposes at 1 Bloor Street West.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it a communication (May 11, 2001) from Mr. Briar de Lange, General Manager, Bloor-Yorkville BIA.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended that Application No. 901019 for variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit two illuminated roof signs, for third party advertising purposes, and two illuminated roof signs for identification purposes at 1 Bloor Street West be approved.

(Report 4, Clause No. 10)

5.11 Request For Variance From Sign By-Law Requirements For 1110 O’connor Drive Of The Former Borough Of East York (Beaches-East York, Ward 31)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 25, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, respecting request for variance from Sign By-Law requirements for 1110 O’Connor Drive of the Former Borough of East York, and recommending that the application for minor variance from the former Borough of East York Sign By-law No. 64-87, as amended, to permit the installation of an oversized double face pylon sign, at 1110 O’Connor Drive, be denied.
On motion by Councillor Prue, with Councillor Rae in the Chair, the Downtown Community Council recommended that the application for minor variance from the former Borough of East York Sign By-law No. 64-87, as amended, to permit the installation of an oversized double face pylon sign, at 1110 O’Connor Drive, be approved.

(Report 4, Clause No. 11)

5.12 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Commercial Boulevard Parking on the Borden Street Flank of 380 College Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 25, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Commercial Boulevard Parking on the Borden Street Flank of 380 College Street, and recommending that:

(1) City Council deny the application for commercial boulevard parking at 380 College Street; OR

(2) City Council approve the application for commercial boulevard parking at 380 College Street, notwithstanding the negative poll results, subject to:

(a) the parking spaces being situated parallel to the City sidewalk; and

(b) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Mr. Giuseppe Celantonio, applicant, appeared before the Downtown Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council recommended that City Council deny the application for commercial boulevard parking at 380 College Street.

(Report 4, Clause No. 12)
5.13 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 245 Bedford Road (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 26, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 245 Bedford Road, and recommending that:

(1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 245 Bedford Road; OR

(2) City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 245 Bedford Road, subject to:

(a) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result;

(b) the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials such as ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;

(c) the maximum dimensions of the parking area not exceeding 2.6 m by 4.54 m; and

(d) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Mr. Michael Suba, applicant, appeared before the Downtown Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council recommended that:

(1) City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 245 Bedford Road; and

(1) the applicant be refunded the appeal fee of $250.00.

(Report 4, Clause No. 13)
5.14 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 84 Dupont Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 25, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 84 Dupont Street, and recommending that:

1. City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 84 Dupont Street; OR
2. City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 84 Dupont Street, subject to:
   a. a formal poll being conducted and that such poll has a favourable result;
   b. the parking area not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.9 m in dimension;
   c. the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, such as ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the commissioner of works and emergency services; and
   d. the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Mr. Wesley Thuro appeared before the Downtown Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council recommended that:

1. City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 84 Dupont Street;
2. the applicant be refunded the appeal fee of $250.00; and
3. there be a moratorium of appeals for Front Yard Parking applications in Wards 20, 27, 28 and 30, and that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the City Solicitor, report further to the Downtown Community Council on this matter.

(Report 4, Clause No. 14)
5.15 **Request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 86 Dupont Street** (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 25, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting request for an Exemption from Chapter 400 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Front Yard Parking at 86 Dupont Street, and recommending that:

1. City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 86 Dupont Street; OR
2. City Council approve the application for front yard parking at 86 Dupont Street, subject to:
   a. the parking area not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.9 m in dimension;
   b. the parking area being paved with semi-permeable paving materials, such as ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
   c. the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

Mr. Wesley Thuro appeared before the Downtown Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council recommended that:

1. City Council deny the application for front yard parking at 86 Dupont Street; and
2. the applicant be refunded the appeal fee of $250.00.

(Report 4, Clause No. 15)

5.16 **Maintenance of a Brick Wall – 2 Drumsnab Road** (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (February 1, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Maintenance of a Brick Wall – 2 Drumsnab Road, and recommending that:
(1) City Council reaffirm its actions by requiring the owner to enter into an encroachment agreement in accordance with procedures established by the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, and be given 60 days so to do; and

(2) Should the agreement not be received within 60 days, the Department commence by-law enforcement measures to effect the removal of the brick wall from the public right of way.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it the following communications/reports:

- (April 9, 2001) from the City Clerk, Downtown Community Council forwarding the Community Council’s action at its meeting of April 3, 2001;

- (May 7, 2001) from Mr. Jeff Layton;

- (May 9, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

Mr. Jeff Layton appeared before the Downtown Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

The Downtown Community Council recommended that:

On motion by Councillor Rae:

(1) City Council reaffirm its actions by requiring the owners to enter into an encroachment agreement in accordance with procedures established by the former City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, and the owners be given 60 days so to do;

(2) should the agreement not be received within 60 days, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services commence by-law enforcement measures to effect the removal of the brick wall from the public right of way;

(3) the owners accept such additional conditions as the City Solicitor and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services may deem necessary in the interest of the City;

(4) the City Solicitor be requested to prepare, execute and arrange to register the encroachment agreement on title; and

(5) an annual fee not be levied to the owner(s) of 2 Drumsnab Road; and
On motion by Councillor Layton:

(6) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services ensure that any unauthorized construction on City property is removed.

On a further motion by Councillor Layton, the Downtown Community Council requested:

(1) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, to report directly to Council on north and south signage to make known to the public the existence of the park; and

(2) the Commissioner of Corporate Services, in consultation with the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services and Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, to report on declaring surplus and selling the property enclosed by the fence.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; Commissioner of Corporate Services; c.: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Ken McGuire, Supervisor, Construction Activities – May 22, 2001 – May 22, 2001)

(Report 4, Clause No. 16)

5.17 Residential Demolition – 69 Hayden Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a communication (May 1, 2001) from Councillor Rae, respecting Residential Demolition – 69 Hayden Street.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it a report (May 4, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, and recommending that:

(1) That City Council authorize me to issue the residential demolition permit for 69 Hayden Street, subject to the standard conditions as set out in Chapter 146-16B(5) of the Municipal Code, specifically:

(a) That the applicant for the permit construct and substantially complete the new building to be erected on the site of the residential property to be demolished, not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing residential property is commenced.

(b) That, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector’s roll, to be collected
in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand dollars ($20,000.00) for each dwelling unit contained in the residential property in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued, OR

(2) That the application to demolish the subject residential building be deferred by City Council, since there is no building permit issued at this time for the new replacement building.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of Recommendation No. (1) of the foregoing report (May 4, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Report 4, Clause No. 17)

5.18 Application for Curblane Vending Permit – 221-225 Richmond Street West, on the south side, 26.0 Meters West of Duncan Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 23, 2001) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, respecting application for curblane vending permit for 221-225 Richmond Street West, on the south side, 26.0 meters west of Duncan Street, and recommending that:

(1) City Council deny the application for curblane vending on the south side of Richmond Street West, 26.0 meters west of Duncan Street; OR

(2) City Council approve the application for curblane vending on the south side of Richmond Street West, 26.0 meters west of Duncan Street notwithstanding the objection received by the adjoining property owner.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (May 11, 2001) from Mr. Bruce Stratton, G. Bruce Stratton Architects

- (May 14, 2001) from Mr. William J. Pong, Property Manager, City Core Management Inc.

Mr. Atilla Khalili, applicant, appeared before the Downtown Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council:
deferred consideration of the foregoing report and motions until its meeting to be held on June 12, 2001;

By Councillor Bussin:

“That the Commissioner of Urban Development Services assist the applicant in finding an alternative suitable location.”

By Councillor Rae:

“That the motion by Councillor Bussin be amended by adding the words, ‘in the Beaches area.’”

requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Downtown Community Council at that time on whether a moratorium on curblane vending exists for downtown City streets, and if not, suggested guidelines which could be used to determine whether a moratorium on curblane vending should be invoked; and

requested the Medical Officer of Health to report to the Works Committee on what alternative foods would be permissible for curblane vending, and on the possibility of licensing such types of food for curblane vending.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Medical Officer of Health; Curtis Sealock, District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards – May 23, 2001)

(Report 4, Clause No. 65(c))

5.19 45 Brunswick Avenue (St. John’s Hospital and Convent Chapel) – Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a communication (April 18, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting 45 Brunswick Avenue (St. John’s Hospital and Convent Chapel) – Inclusion on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties, and recommending that:

(1) City Council include the property at 45 Brunswick Avenue (St. John’s Hospital and Convent Chapel) (Attachments No. 1 and 2) on the City of Toronto Inventory of Heritage Properties; and

(2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.
On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 19)

5.20 Draft By-Law – Proposed Closure of Part of Crawford Street, South of King Street West to Canniff Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a Draft By-law respecting Proposed Closure of Part of Crawford Street, South of King Street West to Canniff Street, and Clause 83 of the Toronto Community Council Report No. 13, titled “Proposed Closing of a Portion of Crawford Street, South of King Street West to Canniff Street (Trinity-Niagara)”, which was adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000.

Pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on April 23, 30, May 7 and 14, 2001, and no one addressed the Downtown Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report 4, Clause No. 20)

5.21 Draft By-Law - Proposed Closure and Sale of the Below-Grade Portion of the Public Lane West of Simcoe Street, Extending Southerly from Nelson Street, Abutting Premises Nos. 126 Simcoe Street and 11 Nelson Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a Draft By-law respecting Proposed Closure and Sale of the Below-Grade Portion of the Public Lane West of Simcoe Street, Extending Southerly from Nelson Street, Abutting Premises Nos. 126 Simcoe Street and 11 Nelson Street, and Clause 48 of the Downtown Community Council Report No. 2, titled “Surplus Land Declaration and Proposed Closing and Conveyancing of the Below Grade Portion of the Public Land West of Simcoe Street, extending Southerly from Nelson Street, abutting Premises Nos. 126 Simcoe Street and 11 Nelson Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)”, which was adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on March 6, 7 and 8, 2001.

Pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on April 23, 30, May 7 and 14, 2001, and Mr. Barry Horosko, Solicitor, Bratty and Partners, addressed the Downtown Community Council.
On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report 4, Clause No. 21)

5.22 Draft By-Law – Installation of Speed Humps – Browning Avenue and Fulton Avenue from Carlaw Avenue to Broadview Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a Draft By-law respecting Installation of Speed Humps – Browning Avenue and Fulton Avenue from Carlaw Avenue to Broadview Avenue, and Clause 81 of The Toronto Community Council Report No. 13, titled “Installation of Speed Humps – Browning Avenue and Fulton Avenue from Carlaw Avenue to Broadview Avenue (Don River)”, which was adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on August 1, 2, 3 and 4, 2000.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it a report (March 15, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting the speed hump poll results.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it the Draft By-law – to further amend former City of Toronto By-law No. 602-89, being “A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations”, respecting the alteration of Fulton Avenue between Carlaw Avenue and Broadview Avenue by the installation of speed humps.

Pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-laws was advertised in a daily newspaper on April 23, 30, May 7 and 14, 2001, and Mr. Norm Nefsky, Toronto, addressed the Downtown Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Downtown Community Council recommended that:

(1) by-laws in the form of the draft by-laws be enacted; and

(2) a poll be conducted respecting the installation of speed humps on Fulton Avenue and Browning Avenue, from Carlaw Avenue to the boundary of the former City of Toronto.

(Report 4, Clause No. 22)
5.23 **Draft By-Law – Installation of Speed Humps – Shannon Street between Dovercourt Road and Ossington Avenue** (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a Draft By-law respecting Installation of Speed Humps – Shannon Street between Dovercourt Road and Ossington Avenue, and Clause 55 of The Toronto Community Council Report No. 18, titled “Speed Humps – Shannon Street, between Dovercourt Road and Ossington Avenue (Trinity-Niagara)”, which was adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on October 6, 2000, October 10 and 11, 2000 and October 12, 2000.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it a report (March 9, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results.

Pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on April 23, 30, May 7 and 14, 2001, and no one addressed the Downtown Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report 4, Clause No. 23)

5.24 **Draft By-Law – Installation of Speed Humps – Grace Street, between Bloor Street West and Harbord Street** (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a Draft By-law respecting Installation of Speed Humps – Grace Street, between Bloor Street West and Harbord Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19), and Clause 23 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 18, titled “Speed Humps – Grace Street, between Bloor Street West and Harbord Street (Trinity-Niagara)”, which was adopted by the City of Toronto at its meeting held on October 3, 4 and 5, 2000, and its Special Meetings held on October 6, 2000, October 10 and 11, 2000, and October 12, 2000.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it a report (March 8, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results.

Pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on April 23, 30, May 7 and 14, 2001, and no one addressed the Downtown Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.
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(Report 4, Clause No. 24)

5.25 Draft By-Law – Installation of Speed Humps – Grace Street, Between Mansfield Avenue And Dundas Street West (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a Draft By-law respecting Installation of Speed Humps – Grace Street, Between Mansfield Avenue And Dundas Street West (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19), and Clause 79 of Toronto Community Council Report No. 17, titled “Installation of Speed Humps – Grace Street, between Mansfield Avenue and Dundas Street West (Trinity-Niagara)”, which was adopted by the City of Toronto at its meeting held on October 3, 4 and 5, 2000, and its Special Meetings held on October 6, 2000, October 10 and 11, 2000, and October 12, 2000.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it a report (March 9, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results.

Pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on April 23, 30, May 7 and 14, 2001, and no one addressed the Downtown Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report 4, Clause No. 25)

5.26 Draft By-Law – Installation Of Speed Humps – Cambridge Avenue From Danforth Avenue To Pretoria Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a Draft By-law respecting Installation Of Speed Humps – Cambridge Avenue From Danforth Avenue To Pretoria Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29), and Clause 71 of the Toronto Community Council Report No. 17, titled “Installation of Speed Humps – Cambridge Avenue from Danforth Avenue to Pretoria Avenue (Don River)”, which was adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on October 3, 4, 5, 6, 10, 11 and 12, 2000.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it a report (January 17, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results.

Pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-law was advertised in a daily newspaper on April 23, 30, May 7 and 14, 2001, and no one addressed the Downtown Community Council.
On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Downtown Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report 4, Clause No. 26)

5.27 Draft By-Law – Installation of Speed Humps – Silver Birch Avenue from Kingston Road to Pine Avenue; Scarborough Road from Kingston Road to Bracken Avenue and Kingswood Road from Kingston Road to Bracken Avenue (Beaches – East York, Ward 32)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a Draft By-law respecting Installation of Speed Humps – Silver Birch Avenue from Kingston Road to Pine Avenue; Scarborough Road from Kingston Road to Bracken Avenue and Kingswood Road from Kingston Road to Bracken Avenue (Beaches – East York, Ward 32), and Clause 72 of The Toronto Community Council Report No. 17, Titled “Installation of Speed Humps – Silver Birch Avenue from Kingston Road to Pine Avenue; Scarborough Road from Kingston Road To Bracken Avenue And Kingswood Road from Kingston Road to Bracken Avenue (East Toronto)”, which was adopted by The Council of The City of Toronto at its meeting held on October 3, 4 and 5, 2000 and October 12, 2000.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it the following:

- report (March 15, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1;

- Draft By-law to further amend former City of Toronto By-law No. 602-89, being “A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations”, respecting the alteration of Silver Birch Avenue between Kingston Road and Pine Avenue;

- Draft By-law – To further amend former City of Toronto By-law No. 602-89, being “A By-law To authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations”, respecting the alteration of Kingswood Road between Kingston Road and Bracken Avenue

- communication (May 10, 2001) from Councillor Ashton, Chair, Toronto Transit Commission

Pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-laws was advertised in a daily newspaper on April 23, 30, May 7 and 14, 2001, and the following persons addressed the Downtown Community Council:

- Ms. Virginia Mladen, Toronto; and
- Mr. Alan Burke, President, East Beach Community Association.
On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Downtown Community Council recommended that the draft by-laws to authorize the installation of the speed hump plans on Silver Birch Avenue, from Kingston Road to Pine Avenue and Scarborough Road, from Kingston Road to Bracken Avenue be enacted.

On a further motion by Councillor Bussin, the Downtown Community Council also:

(1) adjourned its public hearing pursuant to the Municipal Act respecting the draft by-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations respecting the alteration of Kingswood Road between Kingston Road and Bracken Avenue by the installation of speed humps, pending further consultation with the Toronto Transit Commission on the public transit concerns set out in the report (March 15, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services; and

(2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to consult with Ms. Virginia Mladen on the relocation of the proposed speed hump in front of 243 Silver Birch Avenue.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: City Solicitor; Director, Transportation Services, District 1; John Crocco, Senior Traffic Investigator, District 1 (East) – May 22, 2001)

(Report 4, Clause No. 27)

5.28 Draft By-Laws to Authorize the Alteration of Elmer Avenue, Kenilworth Avenue, Waverley Road, Herbert Avenue, Lee Avenue and Norway Avenue by the Installation of Speed Humps. (East Toronto)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Elmer Avenue from Queen Street East to Norway Avenue (west branch) by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (East Toronto).

The Downtown Community Council also had before it the foregoing reports/communications:

- Draft By-law to authorize the alteration of Kenilworth Avenue from Queen Street East to Norway Avenue by the installation of speed humps with a possible reduction in speed from 40 km/hr to 30 km/hr (East Toronto)
Clause 8 of Report No. 5 of the Toronto Community Council, headed “Installation of Speed Humps – Elmer Avenue, Kenilworth Avenue, Waverly Road, Bellefair Avenue and Wheeler Avenue between Queen Street East and Norway Avenue (East Toronto)”, as adopted by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on April 11, 12 and 13, 2000.

Extract from the Minutes of City Council from its meeting held on October 3, 4 and 5, 2000 and special meetings held on October 6, 10, 11 and 12, 2000 at which Council adopted a resolution respecting the Installation of Speed Humps for Waverly Road, Norway Avenue, Herbert Avenue and Lee Avenue.

(April 23, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, and recommending that the draft by-law pertaining to the alteration of the section of Hartford Avenue, from Woodbine Avenue to Herbert Avenue, by means of installation of speed humps, not be enacted in light of the poll result, which did not achieve the 60 percent support level stipulated in the Speed Hump Policy.

(August 17, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results and recommending that this report be received for information.

(September 25, 2000) from the City Clerk, Toronto Community Council, advising that the Toronto Community Council, at its meeting held on September 19, 2000, adjourned the public hearings held pursuant to the Municipal Act with respect to the alteration of Elmer Avenue and Kenilworth Avenue.

(September 28, 2000) from Ms. Brenda Nunes;

(September 28, 2000) from Mr. Brian Aldridge and Ms. Susan Aldridge;

(September 28, 2000) from Ms. Yvonne J. Hamlin;

(undated) from Ms. Jenny Martin;

(September 26, 2000) from Mr. John Hope;

(September 23, 2000) from Mr. Jeff Burke and Donche Burke;

(September 21, 2000) from Barrie and Dale Gill;

(September 21, 2000) from Ms. Karen Scott and Mr. Mark Dragicevich;

(September 20, 2000) from Ms. Sharon Lewis;
- (September 20, 2000) from Mrs. G. Richards;
- (September 20, 2000) from Mr. Ian L. McArdle;
- (September 25, 2000) from Ms. Patricia Metcalfe;
- (September 25, 2000) from Mr. Jerry Adamson;
- (September 25, 2000) from Ms. Mary Catherine Mehak and Mr. Michael Mehak;
- (September 25, 2000) from Ms. Brenda Lang and Mr. Tony Paas, Toronto;
- (undated) from Mr. John Hartley, Toronto;
- (undated) from Ann, Tony, Rachel and Michael Sheer;

Draft By-law to further amend former City of Toronto By-law No. 602-89, being “A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations”, respecting the alteration of Waverly Road between Queen Street East and Norway Avenue by the installation of speed humps;

Draft By-law to further amend former City of Toronto By-law No. 602-89, being “A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations”, respecting the alteration of Kenilworth Avenue between Queen Street East and Norway Avenue by the installation of speed humps;

City Solicitor submitting Draft By-law to further amend former City of Toronto By-law No. 602-89, being “A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations”, respecting the alteration of Norway Avenue between Elmer Avenue and Woodbine Avenue by the installation of speed humps;

Draft By-law to further amend former City of Toronto By-law No. 602-89, being “A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations”, respecting the alteration of Lee Avenue between Queen Street East and Norway Avenue by the installation of speed humps;
alteration of Herbert Avenue between Queen Street East and Hartford Avenue by the installation of speed humps;

- Draft By-law to further amend former City of Toronto By-law No. 602-89, being “A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations”, respecting the alteration of Elmer Avenue between Queen Street East and Norway Avenue (west branch by the installation of speed humps; and

- Draft By-law to further amend former City of Toronto By-law No. 602-89, being “A By-law to authorize the construction, widening, narrowing, alteration and repair of sidewalks, pavements and curbs at various locations”, respecting the alteration of Hartford Avenue between Woodbine Avenue and Herbert Avenue by the installation of speed humps.

Mr. Alan Burke, East Beach Community Association, appeared before the Downtown Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Downtown Community Council recommended that:

(1) the draft by-laws to authorize the installation of the speed hump plans on:

   (a) Elmer Avenue, from Queen Street East to Norway Avenue (west branch);
   (b) Kenilworth Avenue, from Queen Street East to Norway Avenue;
   (c) Waverly Road between Queen Street East and Norway Avenue;
   (d) Kenilworth Avenue between Queen Street East and Norway Avenue;
   (e) Norway Avenue between Elmer Avenue and Woodbine Avenue;
   (f) Lee Avenue between Queen Street East and Norway Avenue;
   (g) Herbert Avenue between Queen Street East and Hartford Avenue; and
   (h) Elmer Avenue between Queen Street East and Norway Avenue (west branch)

be enacted; and

(2) the draft by-law pertaining to the alteration of the section of Hartford Avenue, from Woodbine Avenue to Herbert Avenue, by means of installation of speed humps, not be enacted in light of the poll result, which did not achieve the 60 percent support level stipulated in the Speed Hump Policy.

(Report 4, Clause No. 28)
5.29 Harmonized Traffic Calming Policy for the City of Toronto

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (March 28, 2001) from the City Clerk, Works Committee, respecting Harmonized Traffic Calming Policy for the City of Toronto, and:

(1) Forwarding the report for consideration, and requesting that comments on the proposed traffic calming policy be submitted to the Works Committee for consideration at its June 6, 2001 meeting; and

(2) Advising that the report was distributed to any interested residents and parties, including neighbourhood and business improvement associations in Toronto, as well as citizen advisory committees and advocate groups for transportation modes, such as the City’s cycling and pedestrian committees, for comment; and encouraging neighbourhood associations and business improvement associations to provide comments to their respective Community Councils and broad interest groups to submit comments directly to the Works Committee.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it a communication (May 15, 2001) from Mr. William Phillips, South Rosedale Ratepayers’ Association.

The Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services made a presentation to the Downtown Community Council.

The following persons appeared before the Downtown Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

- Mr. William Phillips, South Rosedale Ratepayers’ Association; and
- Mr. Alan Burke, President, East Beach Community Association.

The Downtown Community Council recommended to the Works Committee that:

On motion by Councillor Rae:

(1) the traffic calming process as set out in the report (March 8, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be adopted, subject to:

On motion by Councillor Bussin:

(a) Section 2.2 of Table 1 titled, “Traffic Calming Warrant Criteria” of the report (March 8, 2001) being amended to read, “Traffic calming measures may be considered at or near locations where the road grade is between 5% and 8%.”
On motion by Councillor McConnell:

(b) provision being made in the warrant approval process which would address the impact of schools or high pedestrian traffic in the area under consideration for traffic calming;

(c) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services reporting to the relevant Community Council, rather than the Works Committee, with his negative recommendations whenever an application fails to meet the warrants; and

On motion by Councillor Bussin:

(2) the Province of Ontario be requested to amend the new Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, to designate traffic calming as a Schedule A activity.

On a further motion by Councillor Bussin, the Downtown Community Council requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to develop a system of prioritization of requests on a needs basis rather that on a community council area basis, such report on this system to be included for approval at the meeting of the Works Committee to be held on June 6, 2001.

The following motions were voted on and lost:

By Councillor McConnell:

(1) That the requirement for the initial petition be lowered to 10%;

(2) That the volume of traffic required on a residential street be lowered to 500 vehicles per day;

(3) That a warrant be established for infrequent very high speeds;

By Councillor Bussin:

(1) That there be no minimum response rate for the poll; and

(2) That the volume of traffic required on a residential street be lowered to 500 vehicles per day.

(Letter sent to: Works Committee; c.: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Manager, Transportation Services; Director, Transportation Services, District 1 – May 23, 2001)
5.30 Preliminary Report – Application To Amend The Official Plan And Zoning By-Law-210 Victoria Street And 10 Shuter Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 17, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting application to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law-210 Victoria Street and 10 Shuter Street.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it a report (May 9, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, and recommending that:

1. the Preliminary Report respecting 210 Victoria Street and 10 Shuter Street, dated April 17, 2001, be received for information; and

2. authority be granted to enter into an agreement with Intracorp Developments Ltd. and Dundee Realty pursuant to the May 4, 2001 decision of the Committee of Adjustment, satisfactory to the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (May 9, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District.

5.31 Preliminary Report - Application to Amend the Zoning By-Law - (Branksome Hall) 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 10 Elm Avenue (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 20, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting application to amend the Zoning By-Law - (Branksome Hall) 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 10 Elm Avenue, and recommending that:

1. staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;

2. notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
(3) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services – No Encl. – Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Downtown Community Council Solicitor, Attention: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cockedge; All Interested Persons – No Encl. – c.: Director, Community Planning, South District – No Encl – Brian Gallaugher, Planner – No Encl. - May 23, 2001)

(Report 4, Clause No. 65(e))

5.32 Preliminary Report – Application to Amend the Zoning By-Law to Permit Office Uses Waterpark Place Phase III – 85 Harbour Street, 10 And 20 Bay Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 26, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting application to amend the Zoning By-Law to Permit Office Uses Waterpark Place Phase III – 85 Harbour Street, 10 And 20 Bay Street, and recommending that:

(1) Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor.

(2) Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site.

(3) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Downtown Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services – No Encl. – Executive Director and Chief Planner – No Encl. – Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Downtown Community Council Solicitor, Attention: John Paton; Director,
City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police – Attention: Sergeant Paul Cockedge; All Interested Persons – No Encl. – c.: Director, Community Planning, South District – No Encl – Brenda Stan, Planner, Waterfront Section – No Encl. - May 23, 2001)

(Report 4, Clause No. 65(f))

5.33 142 Front Street West, Application No. 901002: Request for Approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 23, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 142 Front Street West, Application No. 901002: Request for Approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

(1) City Council approve Application No. 901002 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit five nine illuminated fascia signs, for directional purposes, on the property known as 142 Front Street West; and

(2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901002, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c.: Director, Community Planning, South District; Melanie Melnyk, Planner, West Section; Administrator, Planning and Transportation Committee – May 22, 2001)

(Report 4, Clause No. 33)
5.34  3 Church Street, Application No. 900087: Request for Approval Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 3 Church Street, Application No. 900087: Request for Approval Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

(1) City Council approve Application No. 900087 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated circular projecting sign on the east elevation of the building at 3 Church Street; and

(2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900087, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 34)

5.35  468 King Street West, Application No. 901028: Request for Approval Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 17, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 468 King Street West, Application No. 901028: Request for Approval Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

(1) City Council approve Application No. 901028 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for third party advertising purposes, an illuminated fascia sign on the west elevation of the building at 468 King Street West; and

(2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901028, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.
On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (April 17, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, subject to the applicant paying the cost of:

(a) installation of a billboard for the City of Toronto, for public health promotion or other City public service announcements; or

(b) an offer to the Ontario College of Art and Design for an annual public art exhibition for four weeks annually at the subject site.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council also requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the following motion:

“That the existing downtown sign by-law be amended to provide for one month per year for the City’s public service announcements or public art, the cost of the installation to be borne by the applicant.”

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c.: Director, Community Planning, South District; Norm Girdhar, Planner, West Section; Administrator, Planning and Transportation Committee – May 22, 2001)

(Report 4, Clause No. 35)

5.36 375 Queen Street West (379 Queen Street West), Application No. 901020: Request for Approval Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 19, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 375 Queen Street West (379 Queen Street West), Application No. 901020: Request for Approval Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

(1) City Council approve Application No. 901020 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated fascia sign, for identification purposes, on the east elevation of the building at 375 Queen Street West (379 Queen Street West); and

(2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901020, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.
On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 36)

5.37 780 Yonge Street (784 Yonge Street - Convenience Address), Application No. 901021: Request for Approval Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 19, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 780 Yonge Street (784 Yonge Street - Convenience Address), Application No. 901021: Request for Approval Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

(1) City Council approve Application No. 901021 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, two illuminated fascia signs on the front elevation of the building at 780 Yonge Street; and

(2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901021, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 37)

5.38 835 Yonge Street, Application No. 900086: Request for Approval Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 20, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 835 Yonge Street, Application No. 900086: Request for Approval Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

(1) City Council approve Application No. 900086 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to replace an existing illuminated ground sign with a new ground sign, for identification purposes, that
incorporates Rogers AT & T Wireless Micro-Cell Antennae at 835 Yonge Street; and

(2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900086, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 38)

5.39 696 Yonge Street, Application No. 901026: Request for Approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 4, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 696 Yonge Street, Application No. 901026: Request for Approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code and recommending that:

(1) City Council approve Application No. 901026 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to replace an existing illuminated pixel screen fascia sign, with a new illuminated LED message display centre for third party advertising purposes, on the south elevation of the building at 696 Yonge Street; and

(2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901026, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 39)

5.40 955 Lakeshore Boulevard West, Application No. 901027: Request for Approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 23, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 955 Lakeshore Boulevard West, Application No. 901027: Request for Approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code and recommending that:
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(1) City Council approve Application No. 901027 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit three ground signs for directional and third party advertising purposes, on the property known as 955 Lake Shore Boulevard West; and

(2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901027, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 40)

5.41 720 King Street West, Application No. 900065: Request for Approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 4, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 720 King Street West, Application No. 900065: Request for Approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

(1) City Council approve Application No. 900065 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, nine illuminated fascia signs and nine canopy signs on the front elevation of the building at 720 King Street West; and

(2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 900065, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 41)
5.42 Introduction of Overnight On-Street Permit Parking on Gowan Avenue, Between Broadview Avenue and Logan Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 30, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Introduction of Overnight On-Street Permit Parking on Gowan Avenue, between Broadview Avenue and Logan Avenue, and recommending that:

(1) the City Clerk be directed to conduct a formal poll of the residents of Gowan Avenue, between Broadview Avenue and Logan Avenue, to determine support for the implementation of overnight on-street permit parking;

(2) the City Clerk report the results of the poll to the Downtown Community Council; and

(3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Downtown Community Council adopted the foregoing report.

(Letter sent to: City Clerk; Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Elio Capizzano, Supervisor, Permits Administration – May 22, 2001)

(Report 4, Clause No. 65(g))

5.43 Introduction of Overnight On-Street Permit Parking on East York Avenue, between Mortimer Avenue and Macphail Avenue, and on Macphail Avenue, between Pape Avenue and the Westerly North/South City Laneway (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 30, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Introduction of Overnight On-Street Permit Parking on East York Avenue, between Mortimer Avenue and Macphail Avenue, and on Macphail Avenue, between Pape Avenue and the Westerly North/South City Laneway, and recommending that:

(1) the City Clerk be directed to conduct a formal poll of the residents of East York Avenue, between Mortimer Avenue and MacPhail Avenue, and on MacPhail Avenue, between Pape Avenue and the westerly north/south City laneway, to determine support for the implementation of overnight on-street permit parking;

(2) the City Clerk report the results of the polls on an individual basis for each street to the Downtown Community Council; and
(3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Downtown Community Council adopted the foregoing report.

(Letter sent to: City Clerk; c.: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Elio Capizzano, Supervisory, Permits Administration – May 23, 2001)

(Report 4, Clause No. 65(h))

5.44 Bathurst Street and Adelaide Street West (North Leg) - Installation of Traffic Control Signals (Trinity-Spadina, Wards 19 and 20)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 26, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Bathurst Street and Adelaide Street West (North Leg) - Installation of Traffic Control Signals, and recommending that:

(1) traffic control signals be installed on Bathurst Street at Adelaide Street West and the existing pedestrian crossover be removed coincident with the installation; and

(2) appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 44)

5.45 Lowther Avenue, Between Avenue Road And Bedford Road - Request For The Installation Of Speed Humps (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 27, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Lowther Avenue, Between Avenue Road And Bedford Road - Request For The Installation Of Speed Humps, and recommending that:

(1) the appropriate staff be authorized to conduct a poll of eligible residents on Lowther Avenue, from Avenue Road to Bedford Road, to determine resident
support for a proposed speed hump plan, in accordance with the former City of Toronto policy;

(2) a by-law be prepared and public notice given pursuant to the Municipal Act and Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act for the alteration of sections of the roadway on Lowther Avenue, from Avenue Road to Bedford Road, for traffic calming purposes, as described below:

“The construction of speed humps on LOWTHER AVENUE, from Avenue Road to Bedford Road, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421E-6014 dated March, 2001”;

(3) pursuant to the requirements of Schedule B of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act which have recently been enacted as Provincial legislation, notice of study commencement be given to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Services, Emergency Medical Services and Toronto Police Services, and upon final approval of a by-law by Council, Notice of Completion be issued;

(4) the speed limit he reduced from 40 kilometres per hour to 30 kilometres per hour on Lowther Avenue, from Avenue Road to Bedford Road, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and

(5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 45)

5.46 Summerhill Avenue Flankage of Premises No. 1231 Yonge Street – Request to Prohibit Stopping at Anytime (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 30, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Summerhill Avenue Flankage of Premises No. 1231 Yonge Street – Request to Prohibit Stopping at Anytime, and recommending that:

(1) the existing “No Parking at Anytime” prohibition on the north side of Summerhill Avenue, from a point 28.9 metres east of Yonge Street to a point 25.4 metres further east be changed to “No Stopping at Anytime”; and
(2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 46)

5.47 Crawford Street, Between Queen Street West and Dundas Street West - Request for Speed Humps (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 26, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Crawford Street, between Queen Street West and Dundas Street West - Request for Speed Humps, and recommending that:

(1) appropriate staff be authorized to conduct a poll of eligible residents on Crawford Street, between Queen Street West and Dundas Street West, to determine resident support for the proposed speed hump plan noted in Recommendation No. 2, below, in accordance with the former City of Toronto policy;

(2) a by-law be prepared and public notice given pursuant to the provisions of the Municipal Act and the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act for the alteration of sections of the roadway on Crawford Street, between Queen Street West and Dundas Street West, for traffic calming purposes as described below:

“The construction of speed humps on CRAWFORD STREET, between Queen Street West and Dundas Street West, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 42IF-5806, dated August 2000”;

(3) pursuant to the requirements of Schedule “B” of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act which have recently been enacted as Provincial legislation, notice of the study commencement be given to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Services, Emergency Medical Services and Toronto Police Services, and upon final approval of a by-law by Council, Notice of Completion be issued;

(4) the speed limit be reduced from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on Crawford Street, between Queen Street West and Dundas Street West, coincident with the implementation of speed humps;
(5) City of Toronto Bylaw No. 1995-0065, enacted by the former City of Toronto Council on January 16, 1995, for the narrowing of the pavement on Crawford Street, from a point 98.5 metres north of Queen Street West to a point 9m further north, be rescinded; and

(6) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 47)

5.48 Ossington Avenue, Fronting Premises No. 248 – Implementation of an On-Street Loading Zone for Disabled Persons (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 17, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Ossington Avenue, Fronting Premises No. 248 – Implementation of an On-Street Loading Zone for Disabled Persons, and recommending that:

(1) an on-street loading zone for disabled persons be established on the west side of Ossington Avenue, from a point 30.5 metres north of Dundas Street West to a point 11 metres further north; and

(2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 48)

5.49 Declaration of “Celebrate Toronto Festival” An Event of Municipal Significance (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 19, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting Declaration of “Celebrate Toronto Festival” An Event of Municipal Significance, and recommending that:
(1) the Celebrate Toronto Street Festival be declared an event of municipal significance, for LLBO purposes and indicate that there is no objection to granting a liquor licence for beer gardens on the four festival sites along Yonge Street

(2) approval for the extension of temporary patio licences to other businesses within the festival sites

(3) Toronto Special Events obtain sidewalk sale permits on behalf of businesses within the festival sites

(4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 49)

5.50 Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (May 1, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council recommended that any polling requirement for Front Yard Parking be applied also to applications for Front Yard Parking for persons with disabilities.

(Report 4, Clause No. 50)

5.51 519 Jarvis Street (Chester D. Massey House) – Permission to Enter Into a Heritage Easement Agreement (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 18, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting 519 Jarvis Street (Chester D. Massey House) – Permission to Enter Into a Heritage Easement Agreement, and recommending that:

(1) authority be granted by Toronto City Council for the entering into of a Heritage Easement Agreement under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act with the owner of 519 Jarvis Street, using substantially the form of easement agreement prepared in February 1987 by the City Solicitor and on file with the City Clerk,
subject to such amendments as may be deemed necessary by the City Solicitor in consultation with the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services;

(2) authority be granted for the introduction of any necessary Bills in Council to give effect thereto; and

(3) the owner be requested to provide Heritage Preservation Services with two (2) copies of the required photographs of 519 Jarvis Street for inclusion in the Heritage Easement Agreement.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 51)

5.52 10 Scrivener Square (Formerly Known as 1121-1123 and 1109 Yonge Street) – North Toronto Railway Station – Alteration to a Heritage Property (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 18, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting 10 Scrivener Square (Formerly Known as 1121-1123 and 1109 Yonge Street) – North Toronto Railway Station – Alteration to a Heritage Property, and recommending that:

(1) Approval be granted for the proposed alterations (Attachments No. 1 and 2) to 10 Scrivener Square (formerly known as 1121-1123 and 1109 Yonge Street) - North Toronto Railway Station, as shown in the drawings prepared by Philip Goldsmith & Company Ltd, dated March 2001 on file with the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services, subject to no future additional entrances / exits being created on the principle facades of the building; and

(2) the drawings submitted by the applicant for building permit be substantially in accordance with the drawings prepared by Philip Goldsmith & Company Ltd, dated March 2001, on file with the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 52)
5.53 **444 Yonge Street (College Park) – Alteration to a Designated Heritage Property**  
(Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 18, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting 444 Yonge Street (College Park) – Alteration to a Designated Heritage Property, and recommending that:

1. approval be granted for the proposed alterations (Attachments No. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7) to 444 Yonge Street (College Park) as shown in the Phase One drawings prepared by E.R.A. Architects Inc., dated March 22, 2001, on file with the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services;

2. the drawings submitted by the applicant for building permit be substantially in accordance with the Phase One drawings prepared by E.R.A. Architects Inc., dated March 22, 2001, on file with the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services;

3. prior to the issuance of a building permit, the applicant prepare a Restoration Plan providing a detail description of the proposed alterations to the lower level, the ground floor level, and the foyer of the seventh floor of the subject property, to the satisfaction of the Manager of Heritage Preservation Services; and

4. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

On a further motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report directly to Council on any further approvals that may be required.

*(Report 4, Clause No. 53)*

5.54 **Proposed “No Parking Anytime” Regulation – Unwin Avenue, both sides, from Cherry Street to the easterly end of Unwin Avenue** (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (March 12, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Proposed “No Parking Anytime” Regulation – Unwin Avenue, both sides, from Cherry Street to the easterly end of Unwin Avenue, and recommending that:
(1) parking be prohibited at anytime on both sides of Unwin Avenue, from Cherry Street to the easterly end of Unwin Avenue; and

(2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Downtown Community Council recommended that:

(1) parking be prohibited at anytime on the south side of Unwin Avenue, from Cherry Street to the easterly end of Unwin Avenue; and

(2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Report 4, Clause No. 54)

5.55 Extension of Permit Parking Hours on Glebeholme Boulevard, between Coxwell Avenue and Woodington Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 31)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 9, 2001) from the Manager, Right Of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Extension of Permit Parking Hours on Glebeholme Boulevard, between Coxwell Avenue and Woodington Avenue, and recommending that:

(1) the permit parking hours of operation on Glebeholme Boulevard, between Coxwell Avenue and Woodington Avenue, be extended from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., 7 days a week, to 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., 7 days a week;

(2) Part P of Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate Glebeholme Boulevard, between Coxwell Avenue and Woodington Avenue; and

(3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor Prue, with Councillor Rae in the Chair, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 55)
5.56 **Introduction of Permit Parking on both sides of Clifton Road, between St. Clair Avenue East and Glenrose Avenue** (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 26, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Introduction of Permit Parking on Both Sides of Clifton Road, between St. Clair Avenue East and Glenrose Avenue, and recommending that:

1. permit parking be introduced on both sides of Clifton Road, between St. Clair Avenue East and Glenrose Avenue, on a street specific basis, to operate during the hours of 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., 7 days a week;

2. Part A of Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate both sides of Clifton Road, between St. Clair Avenue East and Glenrose Avenue; and

3. the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

*(Report 4, Clause No. 56)*

5.57 **Introduction of Permit Parking on both sides of Hammersmith Avenue, between Isleworth Avenue and Glen Manor Drive West** (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 26, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Introduction of Permit Parking on both sides of Hammersmith Avenue, between Isleworth Avenue and Glen Manor Drive West, and recommending that:

1. permit parking be introduced on both sides of Hammersmith Avenue, between Isleworth Avenue and Glen Manor Drive West, on a street specific basis, to operate during the hours of 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., 7 days a week;

2. Part A of Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate the both sides of Hammersmith Avenue, between Isleworth Avenue and Glen Manor Drive West; and
(3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 57)

5.58 Queensdale Avenue, West of Coxwell Avenue – Reduction of the Speed Limit to 40 Kilometres Per Hour (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (March 19, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Queensdale Avenue, West of Coxwell Avenue – Reduction of the Speed Limit to 40 Kilometres Per Hour, and recommending that:

(1) the maximum speed limit on Queensdale Avenue, from Coxwell Avenue to Greenwood Avenue, be reduced from 50 kilometres per hour to 40 kilometres per hour; and

(2) the appropriate City Officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 58)

5.59 Dupont Street and Davenport Road – Proposed Introduction of Left-Turn Prohibitions at the Driveways to Premises No. 150 Dupont Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (March 29, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Dupont Street and Davenport Road – Proposed Introduction of Left-Turn Prohibitions at the Driveways to Premises No. 150 Dupont Street, and recommending that:

(1) northbound left turns be prohibited at all times from Davenport Road to the driveway at Premises No. 150 Dupont Street, located on the west side of Davenport Road, approximately 15 metres north of Dupont Street;
(2) eastbound left turns be prohibited at all times from the driveway at Premises No. 150 Dupont Street, located on the west side of Davenport Road, approximately 15 metres north of Dupont Street;

(3) eastbound left turns be prohibited at all times from Dupont Street to the easterly driveway at Premises No. 150 Dupont Street, located on the north side of Dupont Street, approximately 20 metres west of Davenport Road; and

(4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 59)

5.60 Niagara Street, in Front of Premises No. 149 – Amendment to the Times of Operation of the Existing Disabled Persons Loading Zone (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 17, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Niagara Street, in Front of Premises No. 149 – Amendment to the Times of Operation of the Existing Disabled Persons Loading Zone, and recommending that:

(1) the existing Disabled Persons Loading Zone, currently operating from 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the west side of Niagara Street, from a point 32 metres south of Wellington Street West to a point 11 metres further south thereof, be adjusted to apply from 7:30 a.m. to 8:30 a.m. and from 3:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday to Friday; and

(2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 60)
5.61 Sudbury Street, between King Street West and Dovercourt Road – Request for Speed Humps (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 2, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Sudbury Street, between King Street West and Dovercourt Road – Request for Speed Humps, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council recommended that:

1. appropriate staff be authorized to conduct a poll of eligible residents on Sudbury Street, between King Street West and Dovercourt Road, to determine resident support for the proposed speed hump plan noted in Recommendation No. 2, below, in accordance with the former City of Toronto policy;

2. a by-law be prepared and public notice given pursuant to the provisions of the Municipal Act and the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act for the alteration of sections of the roadway on Sudbury Street, between King Street West and Dovercourt Road, for traffic calming purposes as described below:

   “The construction of speed humps on SUDBURY STREET, between King Street West and Dovercourt Road, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 42IF-6018, dated March 2001.”;

3. pursuant to the requirements of Schedule “B” of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act which have recently been enacted as Provincial legislation, notice of the study commencement be given to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Services, Emergency Medical Services and Toronto Police Services, and upon final approval of a by-law by Council, Notice of Completion be issued;

4. a speed limit be reduced from forty kilometres per hour to thirty kilometres per hour on Sudbury Street, between King Street West and Dovercourt Road, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and

5. the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

(Report 4, Clause No. 61)
5.62 Membership of the Task Force to Bring Back The Don

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 19, 2001) from the City Clerk, respecting Membership of The Task Force to Bring Back The Don, and recommending that the Downtown Community Council receive this report for information.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Downtown Community Council received the foregoing communication for information.

(Letter sent to: Task Force to Bring Back the Don - May 22, 2001)

(Report 4, Clause No. 65(i))

5.63 On-Street Parking and Parking Meters on Dundas Street West (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (April 12, 2001) from the City Clerk, Downtown Community Council, respecting On-Street Parking and Parking Meters on Dundas Street West.

The Downtown Community Council also had before it the foregoing report/communication:

- (May 7, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, recommending that this report be received for information; and

- (May 9, 2001) from Mr. Richard C. Ducharme, Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council recommended that:

1. the existing “No Parking from 4:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., daily” parking prohibition on the north side of Dundas Street West, from Spadina Avenue to Beverley Street, be adjusted to operate from 7:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m., Monday to Friday;

2. the existing “No Parking from 4:00 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., daily” parking prohibition on the south side of Dundas Street West, from Spadina Avenue to Beverley Street, be adjusted to operate from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday;

3. the existing two hour maximum parking limit, from 7:00 p.m. to 4:00 a.m., daily, on the both sides of Dundas Street West, from Spadina Avenue to Beverley Street, be adjusted to operate from 9:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., Monday to Friday,
(4) the Toronto Parking Authority be requested to install parking meters/pay-and-display machines on both sides of Dundas Street West, between Spadina Avenue and Beverley Street, consistent with the existing parking meter installation on Dundas Street West, west of Spadina Avenue, to operate from 9:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, from 6:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m., Monday to Friday, from 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. on Saturday, and from 1:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. on Sunday, at a rate of $1.50 per hour; and

(5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On a further motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council requested the Chief General Manager of the Toronto Transit Commission, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Ward Councillors, to submit a report to the appropriate committee on the introduction of an exclusive transit right-of-way on Dundas Street between Spadina and University Avenues.

(Report 4, Clause No. 63)

5.64 Proposed Negotiation to Incorporate Green Space into Grange Park (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a communication (April 3, 1991) from Councillor Chow, respecting Proposed Negotiation to Incorporate Green Space into Grange Park.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council requested the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism to report to the Downtown Community Council, at its meeting to be held on September 12, 2001 on incorporating the green space next to the Grange Park into the park.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism – May 23, 2001)

(Report 4, Clause No. 65(j))
5.65 Park Naming – Carpark 2 – East of Yonge Street, south of Bloor Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a communication (May 2, 2001) from Councillor Rae, respecting Park Naming – Carpark 2 – East of Yonge Street, south of Bloor Street.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended that the proposed park situated east of Yonge Street, south of Bloor Street between Charles and Isabella Streets be named “George Hislop Park”.

(Report 4, Clause No. 64)

5.66 Park Naming – Carpark 3 – East of Yonge Street, south of Bloor Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a communication (May 2, 2001) from Councillor Rae, respecting Park Naming – Carpark 3 – East of Yonge Street, south of Bloor Street.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended that that the proposed park situated east of Yonge Street, south of Bloor Street between Isabella and Gloucester Streets be named “Norman Jewison Park”.

(Report 4, Clause No. 62)

5.67 Exemption of Social Housing Projects from Development Charges – 30 St. Lawrence Street (Toronto Centre – Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a communication (April 23, 2001) from Councillor McConnell, respecting Exemption of Social Housing Projects from Development Charges – 30 St. Lawrence Street.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the following motion from Councillor McConnell:

“Whereas social housing projects are exempt of all development charges; and

Whereas sewer impost charges were inadvertently omitted from the list of exempt charges for pipeline projects;
Therefore be it resolved that Dixon Hall be exempt from the sewer impost fee of $9,041.40, for 30 St. Lawrence Street.”

(Report 4, Clause No. 43)

5.68 Request for Endorsement of Events for Liquor Licensing Purposes

The Toronto Community Council had before it the following communications requesting endorsement of events for liquor licensing purposes:

- (March 26, 2001) from Mr. Charlie Johnstone, Executive Director, Molson IndyFest, respecting the 2001 Street Festivals, as follows:

  (i) FedEx Challenge for Charity on Exhibition Grounds on Sunday, July 8, 2001, between 7:30 a.m. and 4:00 p.m.;

  (ii) Molson Pit Party on John Street, between Richmond Street West and Adelaide Street West, plus an area on Nelson Street for a distance of approximately 200 metres east of John Street on Thursday, July 13, 2001, between 12:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m. on Friday, July 14, 2001; and

  (iii) Drivers’ VIP Party to cover the street and sidewalk from Wellington Street West to King Street West on Blue Jays Way and street allowances on Mercer Street, from Blue Jays Way to a point 200 feet east thereof from 12:00 moon on Friday, July 14, 2001, to 2:00 a.m. on Saturday, July 15, 2001;

- (April 17, 2001) from Ms. Jacqueline Inwood, Gala Coordinator, respecting Canada’s Walk of Fame on Friday, June 1, 2001, at 6:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m. at Roy Thompson Hall, 60 Simcoe Street;

- (April 10, 2001) from Ms. Katarina Berin, Interim Head of Membership, The Power Plant, respecting The Power Ball Event on the south lawn of The Power Plant and at the DuMaurier Theatre Centre on June 1, 2001, from 7:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. on the lawn and from 7:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m. in the interior spaces;

- (April 17, 2001) from Ms. Utra Samial, A. J. Diamond, Donald Schmitt and Company, Architects and Planners, respecting a solstice party at Berkeley Castle at the corner of Berkeley Street and The Esplanade on June 21, 2001, from 5:00 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.;

- (April 4, 2001) from Ms. Alison Kemper, Executive Director, The 519 Church Street Community Centre, respecting a beer garden as part of Lesbian and Gay
Pride Celebrations at Cawthra Square Park (wading pool area and North side of 519 Church Street), on Saturday, June 23, 2001, from 3:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., and on Sunday, June 24, 2001, from 12:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.;


- (April 9, 2001) from Mr. Arthur Ntongo, President, House of Music Limited, respecting A day of African Music and Arts Celebration to be held at City Hall on August 26, 2001 from 2:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.;

- (April 11, 2001) from Mr. Bill Johnstone, Rodney’s Oyster House Front of House Manager, respecting an outdoor oyster festival on the east side of 469 King Street West, on Sunday, July 22, 2001, between 2:00 p.m. and 10:00 p.m.;

- (May 1, 2001) from Ms. Sandra Cullen, H.O.P.E. (Helping Other Everywhere) Toronto, Inc., respecting a volleyball tournament at 01 on Saturday, June 16, 2001;

- (April 12, 2001) from Mr. Glen Gamer, Social Director, Kew Gardens Tennis Club, respecting receptions to be held at 77 Kew Beach Avenue, as follows:
  (i) from 12:00 p.m. to 10:30 p.m.
    - Sunday, May 20, 2001;
    - Sunday, July 15, 2001;
    - Saturday, July 21, 2001;
    - Sunday, July 29, 2001; and
    - Saturday, September 29, 2001; and
  (ii) Wednesday evenings closing at 10:30 p.m.:
    - May 2, 9, 16, 23, 30, 2001;
    - June 6, 13, 20, 27, 2001;
    - July 4, 11, 18, 25, 2001;
    - August 1, 8, 15, 22, 29, 2001; and
    - September 5, 12, 19, and 26, 2001; and

- (April 26, 2001) from Mr. Michael Simpson, Solicitor on behalf of Zipperz Bar, respecting “Gay Pride” weekend, June 23 and 24, 2001, service times to be limited to the hours of 12:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. at 70-72 Carlton Street;
(March 30, 2001) from Joe Eustaquio, President, Alliance of Portuguese Clubs and Associations of Ontario respecting it’s Annual Portugal Week festivities during June 8 – 19, 2001, at the Trinity-Bellwoods Park, - 1:30 p.m. – 11:00 p.m.;

(May 2, 2001) from Mr. John Corallo, Director, Ancillary Services and Chief Licence Holder, Ryerson Polytechnic University, respecting the Annual Conference of the Canadian Association of University Business Officers to be held in the Kerr Hall Quadrangle on June 17, 2001, from 5:30 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.;

(April 30, 2001) from Ms. Lorraine M. Dale, Office Manager, Black, Sutherland, Crabbe, Barristers & Solicitors, respecting Ward’s Island Firm Picnic – June 8, 2001 from 12:00 noon – 8:00 p.m., at the Ward’s Island Community Association Club House, South Lawn, Wards Island;

(undated) from Councillor Pantalone respecting Metro International Caravan to be held from June 15 – 23, 2001;

(May 2, 2001) from Management, La Passione Italiana, respecting a non-objection letter for the participation in the 2001 Toronto Street Festival from July 6, 7 and 8, 2001;

(May 7, 2001) from Councillor Pam McConnell, respecting Ward’s Island Recreation Association’s Gala Weekend be recognized as a “Community Festival”, August 4, 5 and 6, 2001;

(May 2, 2001) from Ms. Patricia Vandergrift, respecting a special occasion pert for the Club House and attached grounds at 71 Baby Point Road, June 2, 2001 from 12:00 p.m. to 12:00 a.m.;

(April 30, 2001) from Hernan Astudillo, Incumbent Priest, San Lorenzo Anglican Church, respecting their fourth annual festival, “Inti Raymi” festival to be held on June 23 and 24, 2001 at Christie Pits Park, in the south west corner near Bloor and Crawford Streets, Toronto;

(May 2, 2001) from Shane Carmichael, QC Productions, respecting the Craft Beer Show at the St. Lawrence Market North Market Building, Friday June 15, 11:30 a.m. – Sunday June 17, at 7:00 p.m.

(April 2, 2001) from Mr. Vince Bozzo, Jingles Too/ Boccone Deli/ Spiga Ristorante, respecting Toronto Street Festival 2001, Friday July 6, at 8:00 p.m. to 10:30 p.m.; Saturday July 7th at 11:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m.; and Sunday July 8th at 11:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., Location – 1378 Yonge Street;
(April 20, 2001) from Ms. Kathryn Reed-Garrett, Director, Business Development and Special Events, Exhibition Place, respecting the 35th Annual CHIN International Picnic – June 29th – July 2nd, 2001 – June 29 – 5:00 p.m. – midnight; June 30, July 1 and 2 – 11:00 a.m. – midnight at Exhibition Place;

(May 7, 2001) from Mr. David Bednar, CNE General Manager, CNE 2001 – August 17 – September 3, 2001 requesting the event be designated as an event of Municipal Significance for the purpose of being granted Special Occasion permits for a number of licensed restaurants operating during the CNE held at Exhibition Place; and

(May 8, 2001) from Ms. Sandra Taylor, Toronto Volvo Cup Easter Seal Regatta respecting Regatta to be held on July 8, 2001.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended that City Council, for liquor licensing purposes:

(1) declare the following to be events of municipal and/or community significance and advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it has no objection to their taking place:

(a) Molson IndyFest Celebrations to be held in various locations from July 7 to July 13, 2001, as set out in the communication (March 26, 2000) from Mr. Charlie Johnstone, Executive Director, Molson Indyfest; nor to the issuance of a special occasion permit for:

(i) the FedEx Challenge for Charity to be held on July 8, 2001 from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. on C.N.E. grounds; and

(ii) Molson Pit Party to be held on John Street between Richmond Street West and Adelaide Street West and an area on Nelson Street for a distance of approximately 200 metres east of John Street to be held on July 13, 2001 from 12:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m;

(iii) Drivers’ VIP Party to cover the street and sidewalk from Wellington Street West to King Street West on Blue Jays Way and street allowances on Mercer Street, from Blue Jays Way to a point 200 feet east thereof from 12:00 moon on Friday, July 14, 2001, to 2:00 a.m. on Saturday, July 15, 2001;

in conjunction with the Molson Indyfest Celebrations;

(b) Annual Portugal Week festivities to be held on June 8 – 19, 2001, at Trinity-Bellwoods Park;
(c) Metro International Caravan to be held from June 15 – 23, 2001;

(d) Ward’s Island Recreation Association’s Gala Weekend to be held on August 4, 5 and 6, 2001;

(e) the 35th Annual CHIN International Picnic to be held on June 29, 2001 from 5:00 p.m. – midnight; and on June 30, July 1 and 2 from 11:00 a.m. – midnight at Exhibition Place;

(f) the Canadian National Exhibition 2001, to be held from August 17 – September 3, 2001 at Exhibition Place;

(g) Toronto Volvo Cup Easter Seal Regatta to be held on July 8, 2001;

(2) advise the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it is aware of the following events and has no objection to their taking place:

(a) Event respecting Canada’s Walk of Fame to be held on June 1, 2001, from 6:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m. at Roy Thompson Hall, 60 Simcoe Street;

(b) The Power Ball Event to be held on the south lawn of The Power Plant and at the DuMaurier Theatre Centre on June 1, 2001, from 7:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. on the lawn and from 7:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m. in the interior spaces;

(c) Solstice party at Berkeley Castle at the corner of Berkeley Street and The Esplanade on June 21, 2001, from 5:00 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.;

(d) Beer garden at Cawthra Square Park (wading pool area and North side of 519 Church Street), on Saturday, June 23, 2001, from 3:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., and on Sunday, June 24, 2001, from 12:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., in conjunction with Pride Celebrations;

(g) the temporary extension of the Factory Theatre (125 Bathurst Street) to encompass the outdoor courtyard in conjunction with the Fringe of Toronto Theatre Festival (July 5 – 15, 2001) and the SummerWorks Theatre Festival (August 2 – 12, 2001);

(h) African Music and Arts Celebration to be held at City Hall on August 26, 2001 from 2:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.;

(i) Outdoor oyster festival on the east side of 469 King Street West, on Sunday, July 22, 2001, between 2:00 p.m. and 10:00 p.m.;
(j) H.O.P.E. (Helping Other Everywhere) Toronto, Inc., Volleyball Tournament to be held at Olympic Island on Saturday, June 16, 2001;

(k) Kew Gardens Tennis Club Receptions to be held at 77 Kew Beach Avenue, as follows:

(i) from 12:00 p.m. to 10:30 p.m.  
- Sunday, May 20, 2001;  
- Sunday, July 15, 2001;  
- Saturday, July 21, 2001;  
- Sunday, July 29, 2001; and  
- Saturday, September 29, 2001; and

(ii) Wednesday evenings closing at 10:30 p.m.:  
- May 2, 9, 16, 23, 30, 2001;  
- June 6, 13, 20, 27, 2001;  
- July 4, 11, 18, 25, 2001;  
- August 1, 8, 15, 22, 29, 2001; and  
- September 5, 12, 19, and 26, 2001; and

(l) the temporary extension Zipperz Bar liquor licence for June 23 and 24, 2001, from 12:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m. at 70-72 Carlton Street, in conjunction with Pride Festivities;

(m) the Annual Conference of the Canadian Association of University Business Officers to be held in the Kerr Hall Quadrangle on June 17, 2001, from 5:30 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.;

(n) Black, Sutherland, Crabbe, Firm Picnic to be held on June 8, 2001 from 12:00 noon – 8:00 p.m., at the Ward’s Island Community Association Club House, South Lawn, Wards Island;

(o) Fourth annual festival, “Inti Raymi” festival to be held on June 23 and 24, 2001 at Christie Pits Park, in the south west corner near Bloor and Crawford Streets, Toronto; and

(p) Craft Beer Show at the St. Lawrence Market North Market Building to be held from Friday June 15, 11:30 a.m. – Sunday June 17, at 7:00 p.m.

(Report 4, Clause No. 42)
5.69 Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities. (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19; Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20; Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29; Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30; Beaches-Woodbine, Ward 31; and Beaches-Woodbine, Ward 32).

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (May 3, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities, and recommending that:

1. the installation/removal of disabled on-street parking spaces as noted in Table “A” of this report be approved; and

2. the appropriate City Officials be requested to take any action necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 32)

5.70 378 Yonge Street, Application No. 999057: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a report (October 28, 1999) from the Acting Commissioner of Urban Planning and Development Services, respecting 378 Yonge Street, Application No. 999057: Request for Approval of Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that City Council refuse Application No. 999057 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit three illuminated roof signs, for third party advertising purposes, on top of the two-storey designated historic building at 378 Yonge Street.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended that Application No. 999057 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit three illuminated roof signs, for third party advertising purposes, on top of the two-storey designated historic building at 378 Yonge Street be approved.

(Report 4, Clause No. 31)
5.71 Committee of Adjustment, Variance to the Zoning By-Law – 108 Pembroke Street
(Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Downtown Community Council had before it a communication from Councillor Kyle Rae, respecting Committee of Adjustment, Variance to the Zoning By-Law – 108 Pembroke Street.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended that the City Solicitor be authorized to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing in support of the Committee of Adjustment decision respecting 108 Pembroke Street.

(Report 4, Clause No. 29)

5.72 Illegal Parking in Front of 52 Division (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (May 9, 2001) from Councillor Chow, respecting Illegal parking in front of 52 Division.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council requested the Commissioners of Works and Emergency Services and Urban Development Services to report to the Downtown Community Council, at its meeting to be held on September 12, 2001 on:

1. the original landscape and urban design plan for 52 Division; and

2. a comprehensive plan for the redesign of the streetscape fronting 52 division that would prevent parking of vehicles in front of 52 Division, on Dundas Street West, and would ‘green’ this high profile corner;

such report to be done in consultation with the Unit Commander of 52 Division and the local residents.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner of Urban Development Services – May 23, 2001)

(Report 4, Clause No. 65(k))
5.73 **Premises No. 11 St. Joseph Street (The Uptown Condominium) – Establishment of Construction Staging Areas** (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (May 10, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Premises No. 11 St. Joseph Street (The Uptown Condominium) – Establishment of Construction Staging Areas, and recommending that:

1. in order to facilitate construction of a new 18 storey residential tower at Premises No. 11 St. Joseph Street, the following temporary lane closures (as described more particularly in the text of this report) be authorized for a period of approximately two years:
   - south curb lane of St. Joseph Street, from St. Nicholas Street to approximately 28.0 metres west thereof;
   - westbound lane of Phipps Street, from St. Nicholas Street to approximately 52.0 metres west thereof;
   - a 1.5 metre wide strip of the west side of St. Nicholas Street (as measured easterly from the existing east wall/property line of Premises No. 11 St. Joseph Street) extending from St. Joseph Street to approximately 36.0 metres south thereof; and
   - a system of caissons, piles and bracing within the road allowance on the south side of St. Joseph Street from St. Nicholas Street to 27.5 metres west thereof, and within/over St. Nicholas Street from St. Joseph Street to 19.0 metres south thereof.

2. St. Nicholas Street operate one-way southbound from St. Joseph Street to Wellesley Street West;

3. Phipps Street operate one-way eastbound from approximately 52.0 metres west of St. Nicholas Street to St. Nicholas Street; and

4. the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

*(Report 4, Clause No. 18)*
5.74 **Robertson Crescent, East Side of the East Branch, Just South of Queens Quay West (In The Lay-By) – Provision of a “Commercial Loading Zone”** (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (May 10, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Robertson Crescent, East Side of the East Branch, Just South of Queens Quay West (In The Lay-By) – Provision of a “Commercial Loading Zone”, and recommending that:

1. the existing “Taxi-Cab Stand” for four vehicles, on the east side of the east branch of Robertson Crescent, from a point 15.0 metres south of Queens Quay West, to a point 28.0 metres further south (in the lay-by), be relocated to operate from a point 30.0 metres south of Queens Quay West to a point 28.0 metres further south;

2. the installation of a “Commercial Loading Zone” be approved, subject to the payment of appropriate fees by the applicant (Hippo Tours Inc.), on the east side of the east branch of Robertson Crescent, from a point 15.0 metres south of Queens Quay West, to a point 15.0 metres further south (in the lay-by); and

3. the appropriate City officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 9)

5.75 **Use of Nathan Phillips Square: Toronto Downtown Jazz Festival**

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (May 10, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, respecting Use of Nathan Phillips Square: Toronto Downtown Jazz Festival, and recommending that:

1. Permission be granted for the operation of a tented beer garden contingent upon the following conditions:
   
   (a) Approval of the A.G.C.O.
   (b) Approval of the Medical Officer of Health
c) Compliance with the City of Toronto’s Municipal Alcohol Policy

d) Receipt of necessary permits associated with the production of the event i.e.: building permit.

e) The Toronto Downtown Jazz Festival compensate the City of Toronto through the Facilities and Real Estate Division for all the City of Toronto cost associated with the event;

(2) Permission be granted for the event organizers to host “ticketed” performances in support of the Toronto Downtown Jazz Society; and

(3) The appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 7)

5.76 King Street East, South Side, from Victoria Street to Leader Lane and Leader Lane, West Side from King Street East to Colborne Street – Provision of “Commercial Loading Zones” and a “No Standing Anytime” Prohibition, in Vicinity to the King Edward Hotel (Premises No. 37 King Street East) (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Downtown Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (May 14, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting King Street East, South Side, from Victoria Street to Leader Lane and Leader Lane, West Side from King Street East to Colborne Street – Provision of “Commercial Loading Zones” and a “No Standing Anytime” Prohibition, in Vicinity to the King Edward Hotel (Premises No. 37 King Street East), and recommending that:

(1) the “No Parking Anytime” prohibition on both sides of Leader Lane, from King Street East to Colborne Street, be rescinded

(2) a “No Stopping Anytime” prohibition be implemented on the east side of Leader Lane, from King Street East to Colborne Street;

(3) a “No Standing Anytime” prohibition be implemented on the west side of Leader Lane, from King Street East to a point approximately 30.5 metres further south;

(4) the installation of “Commercial Loading Zones” be approved, subject to the payment of appropriate fees by the applicant (King Edward Hotel) as follows:
(a) on the west side of Leader Lane, from a point approximately 30.5 metres south of King Street East to Colborne Street (flankage of the King Edward Hotel);

(b) on the south side of King Street East, from a point approximately 20.0 metres east of Victoria Street to a point 20.0 metres further east (fronting the King Edward Hotel); and

(5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Rae, the Downtown Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report 4, Clause No. 4)

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 5:10 p.m.

__________________________________
Chair.


### Attendance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>May 15, 2001</th>
<th>9:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.</th>
<th>2:00 p.m. to 5:10 p.m.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prue (Chair)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rae (Vice-Chair)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bussin</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chow</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layton</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McConnell</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ootes</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pantalone</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor Lastman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Members were present for some or all of the time indicated.