

THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Department

Minutes of the Toronto East York Community Council

Meeting No. 10

Tuesday, November 20, 2001

The Toronto East York Community Council met on Tuesday, November 20, 2001, in Committee Room No. 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

No interests were declared pursuant to the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council confirmed the Minutes of its Meeting held on September 12, 2001.

10.1 College Street Revitalization Project (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a communication (November 6, 2001) from Councillor Chow respecting College Street Revitalization Project.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (November 6, 2001) from Gord Brown, Harbord Village Residents' Association;
- (November 13, 2001) from the City Clerk;
- (November 12, 2001) from Sheldon H. Levy, Vice-President, Government and Institutional Relations, University of Toronto; and
- (November 13, 2001) from Brian McFarlane, The Kensington Foundation.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Richard Gilbert, Harbord Village Residents' Association;
- Gord Brown, Harbord Village Residents' Association; and
- Kim Storey, Brown and Storey Architects.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council:

Toronto East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, November 20, 2001

- (1) recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee that capital funding be retained in the 2002 budget for the originally planned College Street sidewalk replacement;
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with appropriate officials, to give priority to the revitalization of the section of College Street between Bathurst Street and Spadina Avenue during 2002, in preparation for the 2003 budgeting cycle;
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with appropriate officials, to continue to develop the streetscape concept in partnership with the Harbord Village Residents' Association and report to the Toronto East York Community Council in April, 2002 on the following:
 - (a) the need for an Environmental Assessment of the emerging plan (and if it is needed, proceed with the Environmental Assessment);
 - (b) options for funding the upgrading of College Street between Bathurst Street and Spadina Avenue, in particular how such funds could be generated through appropriate redevelopment; and
 - (c) the possibility of having the T.T.C. adjust its track replacement plans to complement emerging plans for College Street between Bathurst Street and Spadina Avenue, so that all construction can be done at the same time.

(Letter sent to: Budget Advisory Committee; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services – November 26, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(a))

10.2 Public Meeting Pursuant to the Planning Act respecting Request to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law of the Former City of Toronto – 255 Bremner Boulevard (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a final report (November 6, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Request to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law of the Former City of Toronto – 255 Bremner Boulevard, and recommending that City Council:

- (1) Amend the Official Plan for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Attachment No.1.

Toronto East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, November 20, 2001

- (2) Amend Zoning By-law 168-93 for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 2.
- (3) Authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (November 5, 2001) from Jane Beecroft, CHP Heritage Centre;
- (November 19, 2001) from Douglas A. Lister;
- (November 19, 2001) from Wayne Smith, Director – Investment Planning, Hydro One Networks Inc.; and
- (November 20, 2001) from Jane Beecroft, Chair, Chp Heritage Centre.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on November 20, 2001 and the following persons addressed the Toronto East York Community Council:

- David Smith;
- David Carr, John Street Roundhouse Museum;
- Paul Cook, Hydro One Networks;
- Jane Beecroft, Chair, Society of Heritage Associates;
- Joan Miles, West Toronto Junction Historical Society;
- E. B. Freeman; and
- David Hanna

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the report (November 6, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 2)

10.3 Public Meeting Pursuant to the Planning Act respecting Request to amend the Zoning By-Law – 25 Trent Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a final report (October 25, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Request to amend the Zoning By-Law – 25 Trent Avenue, and reviewing and recommending

approval of an application to amend the Zoning By-law to permit the construction of 3 townhouses, 4 pairs of semi-detached dwellings and 1 duplex.

Notice of the public meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act. The public meeting was held on November 20, 2001 and no one addressed the Toronto East York Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the report (October 25, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 3)

10.4 Final Report - Application for Draft Plan of Condominium Approval - 49 Benlamond Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a final report (October 29, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application for Draft Plan of Condominium Approval - 49 Benlamond Avenue, and recommending that City Council:

- (1) Authorize Draft Approval of the Plan of Condominium for 49 Benlamond Avenue date stamped as received on October 30, 2000.
- (2) Require as a condition of Draft Approval that the owner provide all legal descriptions and surveys of the lands required in connection with the processing of the subject application.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (November 14, 2001) from Evelyne Mitskopoulos, Legal Analyst, The Client Server Factory; and
- (November 19, 2001) from the Manager, Community Planning, South District, East Section.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the report (October 29, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 4)

10.5 7 The Esplanade: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 31, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 7 The Esplanade: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Sid Catalano, Pattison Outdoor Advertising; and
- Ross Myzylo, applicant.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council refuse Application No. 901025 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit three illuminated ground signs at 7 The Esplanade.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 5)

10.6 399 Yonge Street: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 2, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 399 Yonge Street: Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Rae, with Councillor Ootes in the Chair, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council approve Application No. 901077 for Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to erect two non-illuminated fascia signs at 399 Yonge Street, subject to the following conditions:

- (1) The existing projecting sign shall be cleaned and restored to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, and moved to the roofline between the two proposed fascia signs;
- (2) The length of the proposed fascia sign on the south elevation shall be reduced to 7.62 metres (25 feet);

- (3) The proposed fascia signs shall only advertise products or services for sale by retailers occupying the building at 399 Yonge street;
- (4) The length of the proposed fascia sign on the west elevation shall be increased to extend the width of the building, approximately 15.24 metres (50 feet); and
- (5) The applicant shall be advised, upon approval of application no. 901077, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 6)

10.7 90 Harbour Street: Request for Approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 4, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 90 Harbour Street: Request for Approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (November 19, 2001) from Peter Van Loan, Fraser Milner Casgrain LLP.

The Toronto East York Community Council was advised that the application had been withdrawn.

10.8 6 Spadina Avenue: Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 5, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 6 Spadina Avenue: Request for Approval of a Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (November 19, 2001) from Kim M. Kovar, Aird & Berlis LLP.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report sine die.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; Director of Community Planning, South District; Norm Girdhar, West Section – November 27, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(b))

10.9 550 Bayview Avenue (Don Valley Brick Works) – Intention to Designate Under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 29, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting 550 Bayview Avenue (Don Valley Brick Works) – Intention to Designate Under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following communications:

- (November 5, 2001) from Catherine Nasmith, Chair, Toronto Preservation Board;
- (November 19, 2001) from John Rucklidge;
- (November 19, 2001) from Patricia Malicki, President, Architectural Conservancy of Ontario; and
- (November 20, 2001) from E. B. Freeman.

The following persons appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Edward Freeman;
- Helen Juhola;
- John Rucklidge;
- Ila Bossons;
- Peter Carruthers, Heritage Toronto;
- Jeffrey Smyth, on behalf of Friends of the Valley;
- Geoffrey Geduld, Ontario Historical Society;
- Pleasance Crawford; and
- David Hanna.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

Toronto East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, November 20, 2001

- (1) City Council state its intention to designate the property at 550 Bayview Avenue (Don Valley Brick Works), including all buildings, their interiors, relevant industrial works/machinery and physical surroundings;
- (2) City Council state its intention to designate:
 - (a) The north slope; and
 - (b) The excavated and reconstructed channel of the Mud Creek within and below the industrial site;
- (3) City Council direct the Culture Division to undertake a study, in consultation with the public and with private foundations, of the adaptive reuse of the property at 550 Bayview Avenue (Don Valley Brick Works), including the interiors of the buildings and the equipment therein, and that draft Terms of Reference for such a study be provided to the Toronto Preservation Board;
- (4) City Council direct the Culture Division to implement an interpretative plan of the property at 550 Bayview Avenue (Don Valley Brick Works), on the exterior of the buildings, for the benefit of the public using the site; and
- (5) the appropriate officials be authorized to take whatever action is necessary to give effect hereto.

On a further motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council also requested the following officials to report directly to Council:

- (1) the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, in consultation with appropriate officials, on the issue of the asbestos present at the site; and
- (2) the City Solicitor, in consultation with appropriate officials, on whether the machinery can be designated pursuant to the Ontario Heritage Act.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; City Solicitor; c: Rita Davies, Managing Director, Culture Division. – November 23, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 9)

10.10 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Angled Commercial Boulevard Parking on the Manning Avenue Flank of 566 College Street (Trinity-Spadina - Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 1, 2001) from the Manager, Right Of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Angled Commercial Boulevard Parking on the Manning Avenue Flank of 566 College Street.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on January 9, 2002.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Sam Liberman; Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1. – November 23, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(c))

10.11 Tree Planting – 13 Hambly Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 30, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting Tree Planting – 13 Hambly Avenue.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause 21 of the Toronto East York Community Council, Report No.7, titled “Front Yard Parking – 13 Hambly Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)”, which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on October 2, 3 and 4, 2001.

Maria Bucholc, applicant, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in Connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that approval of the request for front yard parking at 13 Hambly Avenue be conditional on the applicant paying for the planting of a tree on City property in the neighbourhood to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 11)

10.12 Application for Consent Under Chapter 276, Article I of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Allow the Construction of a Swimming Pool at the Rear of an Existing Dwelling on Lands Within the Park Drive Ravine - 58 Roxborough Drive (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 31, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application for Consent Under Chapter 276, Article I of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Allow the Construction of a Swimming Pool at the Rear of an Existing Dwelling on Lands Within the Park Drive Ravine - 58 Roxborough Drive, and recommending that City Council consent to application no. 001109 respecting 58 Roxborough drive to permit the construction of a swimming pool located within the Park Drive Ravine.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (November 13, 2001) from Janice O'Born.

On motion by Councillor Rae, with Councillor Ootes in the Chair, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (October 31, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 12)

10.13 Residential Demolition Application – 220 and 222 Sherbourne Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 6, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, respecting Residential Demolition Application – 220 and 222 Sherbourne Street.

On motion by Councillor Rae, with Councillor Ootes in the Chair, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that the application to demolish the subject residential building at 220 and 222 Sherbourne Street be granted by City Council, provided:

- (a) that a replacement building is erected on the site not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing building is commenced;
- (b) that, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued;
- (c) that the site not be used as a surface parking lot; and
- (d) that the site be fenced off and secured.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 13)

10.14 Residential Demolition Application – 134 Edgewood Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 5, 2001) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, respecting Residential Demolition Application – 134 Edgewood Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that the application to demolish the subject residential building at 134 Edgewood Avenue be granted by City Council, provided:

- (a) that a replacement building is erected on the site not later than two (2) years from the day demolition of the existing building is commenced, and
- (b) that, on failure to complete the new building within the time specified, the City Clerk shall be entitled to enter on the collector's roll, to be collected in like manner as municipal taxes, the sum of twenty-thousand (\$20,000) for each dwelling unit contained in the building in respect of which the demolition permit is issued, and that such sum shall, until payment, be a lien or charge upon the land in respect of which the permit to demolish the residential property is issued.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 14)

10.15 Natural Garden Exemption Request – 30 Rushbrook Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 24, 2001) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, respecting Natural Garden Exemption Request – 30 Rushbrook Avenue, and recommending that City Council grant the exemption for a natural garden and to cancel the Order.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 15)

10.16 Natural Garden Exemption Request – 12 Woodfield Road (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 24, 2001) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing And Standards, respecting Natural

Garden Exemption Request – 12 Woodfield Road, and recommending that City Council grant the exemption for a natural garden and to cancel the Order.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 16)

10.17 Application for a Boulevard Café – Claremont Street Flank - 732 Queen Street West (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 1, 2001) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, respecting Application for a Boulevard Café – Claremont Street Flank - 732 Queen Street West, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for a boulevard café on the Claremont Street flankage of 732 Queen Street West, notwithstanding the positive poll; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for a boulevard café on the Claremont Street flankage of 732 Queen Street West, in accordance with the positive results of the public poll, and that such approval be subject to the applicant complying with the criteria set out in § 313-36 of Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on January 29, 2002.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Curtis Sealock, District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards. – November 27, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(d))

10.18 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening at 91 Strathmore Boulevard (Toronto-Danforth - Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 1, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto

Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening at 91 Strathmore Boulevard, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council deny the application for driveway widening at 91 Strathmore Boulevard; OR
- (2) City Council approve the application for driveway widening at 91 Strathmore Boulevard, notwithstanding that there is an existing single car garage and lane access to the rear of the property, subject to:
 - (a) the parking area not exceeding 2.6 m by 5.9 m in dimension;
 - (b) the parking area being paved using semi-permeable materials such as ecostone or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
 - (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of the foregoing report until its meeting to be held on January 29, 2002.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Giovanni Sinopoli; c.: Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; Marilyn Sarrazin, Licensing and Processing Clerk, Off Street Parking. – November 23, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(e))

10.19 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening at 120 Eaton Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 6, 2001) from the Manager, Right Of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Request for an Exemption from Chapter 248 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Driveway Widening at 120 Eaton Avenue.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for driveway widening for two vehicles at 120 Eaton Avenue, subject to:

- (a) the parking area for each space not exceeding 2.12 m by 5.3 m in dimension;

- (b) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code; and
- (c) a tree being planted on the subject site, if possible, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51)

10.20 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Angled Commercial Boulevard Parking on the Empire Avenue and Booth Avenue flanks of 835 Queen Street East (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 6, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Angled Commercial Boulevard Parking on the Empire Avenue and Booth Avenue flanks of 835 Queen Street East.

Brian Smith, Woodgreen Community Centre, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for angled commercial boulevard parking and to increase the number of parking spaces on the Empire Avenue and Booth Avenue flanks of 835 Queen Street East, as shown on Appendices 'A' and 'B' of the report (November 6, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, notwithstanding that the 0.91 m setback cannot be provided and subject to:

- (a) separate formal polls being conducted on Empire Avenue and Booth Avenue and that such polls have a favourable result respectively;
- (b) the City sidewalk not being encumbered at any time and the pedestrian traffic on the sidewalk being maintained at all times;
- (c) the applicant installing and maintaining planting areas, and if feasible City Forestry planting trees within the City boulevard as per Appendices 'A' and 'B' of the report (November 6, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; and

- (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 20)

10.21 Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Angled Commercial Boulevard Parking on the Empire Avenue Flank of 825 Queen Street East (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 6, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Request for an Exemption from Chapter 313 of the Former City of Toronto Municipal Code to Permit Angled Commercial Boulevard Parking on the Empire Avenue Flank of 825 Queen Street East.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (November 13, 2001) from Elizabeth Saunders Brown.

Philomena Comerford, Baird MacGregor Insurance Brokers, applicant, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council approve the application for angled commercial boulevard parking and the increase of the number of parking spaces on the Empire Avenue flank of 825 Queen Street East, as shown on Appendix 'A' of the report (November 6, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, notwithstanding that the 0.91 m setback cannot be provided and subject to:

- (a) a formal poll being conducted and that such poll have a favourable result;
- (b) the City sidewalk not being encumbered at any time and the pedestrian traffic on the sidewalk being maintained at all times;
- (c) the applicant installing and maintaining planting areas, and if feasible City Forestry planting trees within the City boulevard as per Appendix 'A' of the report (November 6, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; and
- (d) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 313, Streets and Sidewalks, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 21)

10.22 Draft By-law – Renaming of Southerly Portion of Crawford Street to Canniff Street
(Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a draft by-law from the City Solicitor respecting Draft By-law – Renaming of Southerly Portion of Crawford Street to Canniff Street.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause 67 of the Toronto East York Community Council, Report No. 7, Titled “Renaming of Southerly Portion of Crawford Street to Canniff Street” (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)”, which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on October 2, 3 and 4, 2001.

Pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-laws was advertised in a daily newspaper on October 29 and November 5, 2 and 19, 2001 and no one addressed the Toronto East York Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 22)

10.23 Draft By-Laws – Installation of Speed Humps on Fulton Avenue from Carlaw Avenue to Pape Avenue and on Browning Avenue from Carlaw Avenue to Pape Avenue
(Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a Draft By-law from the City Solicitor respecting Installation of Speed Humps on Fulton Avenue from Carlaw Avenue to Pape Avenue and on Browning Avenue from Carlaw Avenue to Pape Avenue.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it:

- Clause 22 of Downtown Community Council, Report No.4, titled “Draft By-laws - Installation of Speed Humps – Browning Avenue and Fulton Avenue, from Carlaw Avenue to Broadview Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29))”, which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on May 30, 31 and June 1, 2001
- report (October 30, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, submitting speed hump poll results and recommending that this report be received for information.

Pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-laws was advertised in a daily newspaper on October 29 and November 5, 2 and 19, 2001 and no one addressed the Toronto East York Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that by-laws in the form of the draft by-laws be enacted.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 23)

10.24 Draft By-Law – Installation of Speed Humps – Dearbourne Avenue, between Bowden Street and Broadview Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a Draft By-law from the City Solicitor respecting Installation of Speed Humps – Dearbourne Avenue, between Bowden Street and Broadview Avenue.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it:

- Clause 47 of the Downtown Community Council, Report No. 5, titled “Installation of Speed Humps – Dearbourne Avenue, between Bowden Street and Broadview Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30); which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on June 26, 27 and 28, 2001.
- Clause 57 of the Toronto East York Community Council, Report No.6, titled “Installation of 30km/h Advisory Speed Signs – Dearbourne Avenue, from Bowden Street to Broadview Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30), which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on July 24, 25 and 26, 2001.
- report (November 1, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, submitting speed hump poll results, and recommending that this report be received for information.

Pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-laws was advertised in a daily newspaper on October 29 and November 5, 2 and 19, 2001 and no one addressed the Toronto East York Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

On a further motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council requested the Ward Councillor and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to hold a meeting in the community prior to the installation of the speed humps.

(Letter sent to: Councillor Layton; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Gary O'Neil, Traffic Investigator, District 1 East)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 24)

10.25 Draft By-Law – Redesign of Southeast Corner – Intersection of McMurrich Street and Frichot Avenue (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a Draft By-law from the City Solicitor respecting Redesign of Southeast Corner – Intersection of McMurrich Street and Frichot Avenue.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it Clause 46 Of The Toronto East York Community Council, Report No. 7 Titled, “Redesign Of Southeast Corner – Intersection Of McMurrich Street And Frichot Avenue (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27); which was adopted by City Council at its meeting held on October 2, 3 and 4, 2001.

Pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the draft by-laws was advertised in a daily newspaper on October 29 and November 5, 2 and 19, 2001 and no one addressed the Toronto East York Community Council.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that a by-law in the form of the draft by-law be enacted.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 25)

10.26 Application for Curblane Vending Permit – 221-225 Richmond Street West, on the South Side, 26.0 Meters West of Duncan Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (April 23, 2001) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards respecting Application for Curblane Vending Permit – 221-225 Richmond Street West, on the South Side, 26.0 Meters West of Duncan Street.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it the following reports/communications:

- (October 1, 2001) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, and recommending that this report be received for information;

Toronto East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, November 20, 2001

- (May 23, 2001) from the City Clerk, Downtown Community Council, forwarding the Community Council's action of May 15, 2001;
- (June 18, 2001) from the City Clerk, Downtown Community Council, forwarding the Community Council's action of June 12, 2001;
- (June 18, 2001) from the City Clerk, Toronto East York Community Council, forwarding the Community Council's action of October 23, 2001;
- (May 11, 2001) from Mr. Bruce Stratton, G. Bruce Stratton Architects;
- (May 14, 2001) from Mr. William J. Pong, Property Manager, City Core Management Inc.;
- (September 24, 2001) from Hugh Mansfield, Mansfield Communications Inc.;
- (September 24, 2001) from Seyd Ghods, Controller, The Kirkland Partnership Inc.;
- (May 10, 2001) from Rob Botman, CFO, Blanketware Corporation;
- (September 8, 2001) From Robert Goyeche, Rounthwaite, Dick & Hadley Architects.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council deferred consideration of this matter sine die.

(Letter sent to: Interested Persons; c.: Curtis Sealock, District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards. – November 23, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(f))

10.27 Preliminary Report - Application No. 101029 to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law of the former City of Toronto to Permit the Development of two Buildings and Alterations to a Heritage Building - 915 and 1005 King Street West (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 19)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application No. 101029 to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law of the former City of Toronto to Permit the Development of two Buildings and Alterations to a Heritage Building - 915 and 1005 King Street West, and recommending that:

Toronto East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, November 20, 2001

- (1) Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the ward Councillor.
- (2) Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site.
- (3) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services (no encl.); Executive Director and Chief Planner (no encl.); Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto East York Community Council Solicitor, Attn: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police, Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons (no encl.); c: Catherine Cieply, West Section, UDS; Rollin Stanley, West Section, UDS – November 26, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(g))

10.28 Preliminary Report - Application No. 101020 to Amend Official Plan and Zoning By-Law - 28 Rees Street (Block 18AB Railway Lands) (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (November 6, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application No. 101020 to Amend Official Plan and Zoning By-Law - 28 Rees Street (Block 18AB Railway Lands), and recommending that:

- (1) Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor.
- (2) Notice for the public meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services (no encl.); Executive Director and Chief Planner (no encl.); Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto East York Community Council Solicitor, Attn: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons (no encl.); c: Director, Community Development, South District; Angus Cranston, Planner, Waterfront Section. – November 26, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(h))

10.29 Preliminary Report - Application to Amend the Zoning By-Law – (Canadian Tire Corporation Limited) 837 Yonge Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (November 2, 2001) Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application to Amend the Zoning By-Law – (Canadian Tire Corporation Limited) 837 Yonge Street, and recommending that:

- (1) Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor.
- (2) Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site.
- (3) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services (no encl.); Executive Director and Chief Planner (no encl.); Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto East York Community Council Solicitor, Attn: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons (no encl.); c.: Director, Community Planning, South District; Russell Crooks, Planner, UDS.– November 27, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(i))

10.30 Preliminary Report - Application to Amend the Zoning By-Law - 1492962 Ontario Limited) 1105 Dundas Street East (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (October 31, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application to Amend the Zoning By-Law - 1492962 Ontario Limited) 1105 Dundas Street East, and recommending that:

- (1) Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the ward councillor.
- (2) Notice for the community consultation meeting to be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site.
- (3) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services (no encl.); Executive Director and Chief Planner (no encl.); Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto East York Community Council Solicitor, Attn: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons (no encl.); c: Director, Community Planning, South District; Denise Graham, Senior Planner, UDS. – November 26, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(j))

10.31 Preliminary Report - Application to Amend the former City of Toronto Official Plan & Zoning By-Law – (Graphic Arts Building Inc.) 73 Richmond Street West and 66 Temperance Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (October 30, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application

to Amend the former City of Toronto Official Plan & Zoning By-Law – (Graphic Arts Building Inc.) 73 Richmond Street West and 66 Temperance Street, and recommending that:

- (1) Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor.
- (2) Notice for the community consultation meeting be provided through a newspaper advertisement.
- (3) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.
- (4) Community Council identify the applications as being subject to the "fast track" process for development applications including heritage buildings.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services (no encl.); Executive Director and Chief Planner (no encl.); Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto East York Community Council Solicitor, Attn: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons (no encl.); c.: Director, Community Planning, South District; Rollin Stanley, Planner, West Section, UDS. – November 26, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(k))

10.32 Preliminary Report - Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law and Application for Site Plan Approval - (Diamante Urban Corporation/Davenport Developments Inc.) 76 and 100 Davenport Road (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (November 2, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Application to Amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law and Application for Site Plan Approval - (Diamante Urban Corporation/Davenport Developments Inc.) 76 and 100 Davenport Road, and recommending that:

Toronto East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, November 20, 2001

- (1) Staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor.
- (2) Notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site.
- (3) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council adopted the foregoing Preliminary Report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services (no encl.); Executive Director and Chief Planner (no encl.); Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto East York Community Council Solicitor, Attn: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons (no encl.); c.: Director, Community Planning, South District; Russell Crooks, Planner, UDS. – November 27, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(I))

10.33 Preliminary Report - Application to Amend the former Borough of East York Official Plan and Zoning By-Law 6752 – (Church of God-Seventh Day) 36 Cranfield Road (Beaches-East York, Ward 31)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a preliminary report (October 17, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, East District, respecting Application to Amend the former Borough of East York Official Plan and Zoning By-Law 6752 – (Church of God-Seventh Day) 36 Cranfield Road, and recommending that:

- (1) No community consultation meeting be required; and
- (2) Notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council adopted the foregoing preliminary report.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services (no encl.); Executive Director and Chief Planner (no encl.); Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto East York Community Council Solicitor, Attn: John Paton; Director, City Planning; Chief Building Official; Director of Policy and Development, Policy and Development Division, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism Department; Director, Housing Operations; Director of Real Estate Services; City Surveyor; Fire Chief; Parking Authority of Toronto; Toronto Catholic School Board; Toronto District School Board; Metropolitan Toronto Police - Attn: Sergeant Paul Cocksedge; All Interested Persons (no encl.); c: Director Community Planning, East District; Jean Besz, Planner, UDS. – November 26, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(m))

10.34 181 University Avenue - Application No. 901072: Request for approval of a Minor Variance from University Avenue By-Law 13409, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 18, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 181 University Avenue - Application No. 901072: Request for approval of a Minor Variance from University Avenue By-Law 13409, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 901072 for a minor variance from University Avenue By-law 13409, of the former City of Toronto to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated pedestal sign near the main entrance of the building at 181 University Avenue.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901072, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 34)

10.35 110 Bloor Street West - Application No. 901071: Request for approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 110 Bloor Street West - Application No. 901071: Request for approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 901071 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to maintain, for identification purposes, a non-illuminated fascia sign at the second floor level of the building at 110 Bloor Street West.
- (2) the applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901071, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the Foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 35)

10.36 267 Yonge Street - Application No. 901073: Request for approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 30, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 267 Yonge Street - Application No. 901073: Request for approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 901073 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to erect one illuminated encroaching fascia sign and one illuminated projecting sign at 267 Yonge Street.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of application no. 901073, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 36)

10.37 2 Carlton Street - Application No. 901049: Request for approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 2, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 2 Carlton Street - Application No. 901049: Request for approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 901049 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit non-illuminated fascia signs, for third party advertising purposes, on the west and south elevations of an 18-storey mixed-use building at 2 Carlton Street;
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of application no. 901049, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services;
- (3) as a condition of approval, authorization of the two non-illuminated fascia signs be for a period not to exceed three years from the date that the necessary permits are issued; and
- (4) as a condition of approval, each sign be made of a perforated vinyl mesh material.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 37)

10.38 1 Harbour Square - Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 29, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 1 Harbour Square - Request for Approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 901079 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated fascia sign at 1 Harbour Square.

- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901079, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 38)

10.39 165 Front Street East - Request for approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 18, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 165 Front Street East - Request for approval of Minor Variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 901078 for minor variances from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit, for identification purposes, an illuminated fascia sign, five non-illuminated projecting banner signs on the north elevation and six non-illuminated projecting banner signs on the west elevation of the building at 165 Front Street East.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901078, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 39)

10.40 969 Eastern Avenue - Application No. 901074: Request for approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City Of Toronto Municipal Code (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 29, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting 969 Eastern Avenue - Application No. 901074: Request for approval of a Minor Variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City Of Toronto Municipal Code, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve Application No. 901074 for a minor variance from Chapter 297, Signs, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code to permit an illuminated fascia sign at 969 Eastern Avenue.
- (2) The applicant be advised, upon approval of Application No. 901074, of the requirement to obtain the necessary permits from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 40)

10.41 7 Curity Avenue (Home Depot Store) – Request for Variance from Sign By-Law Requirements of former Borough of East York (Beaches-East York, Ward 31)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 5, 2001) from the Manager, Field Office – East District, respecting 7 Curity Avenue (Home Depot Store) – Request for Variance from Sign By-Law Requirements of former Borough of East York, and recommending that the application for a minor variance from former Borough of East York Sign By-law No. 64-87, as amended, to permit the installation of a fourth fascia sign on the north wall of the building at 7 Curity Avenue, be granted.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 41)

10.42 Selby Street, North Side, between Sherbourne Street and Huntley Street – On-Street Parking (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 31, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Selby Street, North Side, between Sherbourne Street and Huntley Street – On-Street Parking, and recommending that:

- (1) the “No Parking from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition, on the north side of Selby Street, between Sherbourne Street and Huntley Street, be rescinded;
- (2) the existing permit parking hours of operation be adjusted to operate from 12:00 midnight to 8:00 a.m., daily;

- (3) the Toronto Parking Authority be requested to install parking metres or pay-and-display machines on the north side of Selby Street, between Sherbourne Street and Huntley Street, to operate:
- (a) for a maximum period of two hours, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday;
 - (b) for a maximum period of three hours, from 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., Monday to Saturday;
 - (c) for a maximum period of three hours, from 1:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., Sunday;
- at a rate of \$1.50 per hour; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report (October 31, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 42)

10.43 Sammon Avenue – Amendment to Parking Regulations (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Sammon Avenue – Amendment to Parking Regulations, and recommending that:

- (1) the existing “No Parking, 8:00 a.m. to 8:45 a.m., 9:15 a.m. to 11:15 a.m., 12:15 p.m. to 1:00 p.m., 1:30 p.m. to 3:30 p.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, except public holidays” regulation on the north side of Sammon Avenue between Coxwell Avenue and Durant Avenue, be rescinded;
- (2) A “No Parking, 9:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m., 1:30 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. and 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, except public holidays” regulation on the north side of Sammon Avenue between Coxwell Avenue and Durant Avenue, be implemented;
- (3) The existing “15 minute maximum period permitted, 8:45 a.m. to 9:15 a.m., 11:15 a.m. to 12:15 p.m., 1:00 p.m. to 1:30 p.m., and 3:30 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday except public holidays” regulation, be rescinded;

- (4) A “15 minute maximum period permitted, 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., 11:30 a.m. to 1:30 p.m., and 3:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday except public holidays” regulation, be implemented; and
- (5) The appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 43)

10.44 Installation/Removal of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons with Disabilities
(Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30; Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 31, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Installation/Removal Of On-Street Parking Spaces For Persons With Disabilities, and recommending that:

- (1) the installation/removal of disabled on-street parking spaces as noted in Table “A” of this report be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take any action necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 44)

10.45 Scarborough Road, between Bracken Avenue and Queen Street East – Installation of Speed Humps (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 26, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Scarborough Road, between Bracken Avenue and Queen Street East – Installation of Speed Humps, and recommending that:

- (1) appropriate staff be authorized to conduct a poll of eligible residents on Scarborough Road, between Bracken Avenue and Queen Street East, to determine resident support for a proposed speed hump plan, in accordance with the former City of Toronto policy;
- (2) a by-law be prepared and public notice given pursuant to the Municipal Act and Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act for the alteration of sections of the roadway on Scarborough Road, between Bracken Avenue and Queen Street East, for traffic calming purposes, described as follows:

“The construction of speed humps on Scarborough Road, between Bracken Avenue and Queen Street East, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 42IF-6261 dated October 2001”;

- (3) pursuant to the requirements of Schedule B of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, notice of study commencement be given to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Services, Emergency Medical Services and Toronto Police Services, and upon final approval of a by-law by Council, Notice of Completion be issued;
- (4) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Scarborough Road, between Bracken Avenue and Queen Street East, coincident with the implementation of speed humps south of Bracken Avenue; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 45)

10.46 Sumach Street and Sackville Street, between Gerrard Street East and Wellesley Street East – Installation of Speed Humps (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 24, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Sumach Street and Sackville Street, between Gerrard Street East and Wellesley Street East – Installation of Speed Humps, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

- (1) appropriate staff be authorized to conduct a poll of eligible residents on Sumach Street and Sackville Street, between Gerrard Street East and Wellesley Street East, to determine resident support for a proposed speed hump plan, in accordance with the former City of Toronto policy;
- (2) a by-law be prepared and public notice given pursuant to the Municipal Act and Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act for the alteration of sections of the roadway on Sumach Street and Sackville Street, between Gerrard Street East and Wellesley Street East, for traffic calming purposes, described as follows:

“The construction of speed humps on Sumach Street, between Gerrard Street East and Wellesley Street East, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 42IF-6258 dated October 2001”;

“The construction of speed humps on Sackville Street, between Gerrard Street East and Wellesley Street East, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 42IF-6257 dated October 2001”;
- (3) pursuant to the requirements of Schedule B of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act which has recently been enacted as Provincial legislation, notice of study commencement be given to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Services, Emergency Medical Services and Toronto Police Services, and upon final approval of a by-law by Council, Notice of Completion be issued;
- (4) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Sumach Street and Sackville Street, between Gerrard Street East and Wellesley Street East, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 46)

10.47 Chester Avenue, from Danforth Avenue to Browning Avenue – Installation of Speed Humps (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 30, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Chester Avenue, from Danforth Avenue to Browning Avenue – Installation of Speed Humps, and recommending that:

- (1) the appropriate staff be authorized to conduct a poll of eligible residents on Chester Avenue, from Danforth Avenue to Browning Avenue, to determine resident support for proposed speed hump plans, in accordance with the former City of Toronto policy;
- (2) a by-law be prepared and public notice given pursuant to the Municipal Act and Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act for the alteration of sections of the roadway on Chester Avenue, from Danforth Avenue to Browning Avenue, for traffic calming purposes, as described below:

“The construction of speed humps on Chester Avenue, between Danforth Avenue and Browning Avenue, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. DWG 421F-6218 dated September 2001;

- (3) pursuant to the requirements of Schedule B of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act which has recently been enacted as Provincial legislation, notice of study commencement be given to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Services, Emergency Medical Services and Toronto Police Services, and upon final approval of a by-law by Council, Notice of Completion be issued;
- (4) the speed limit be reduced from 40 to 30 km/h on Chester Avenue, from Danforth Avenue to Browning Avenue, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 47)

10.48 Naming of Proposed Private Lane at 8 Spadina Road (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 1, 2001) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services, respecting Naming of Proposed Private Lane at 8 Spadina Road, and recommending that:

- (1) the proposed private lane at the residential development at 8 Spadina Road, illustrated on Attachment No.1, be named "Annex Lane";

Toronto East York Community Council Minutes
Tuesday, November 20, 2001

- (2) Axis Planning and Development Consultants Inc., be required to pay the costs estimated to be in the amount of \$300, for the fabrication and installation of the street name sign; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (November 19, 2001) from Councillor Chow.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) recommended that all necessary action be taken with respect to the illegal removal of the two trees at the subject site; and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report directly to Council on the action to be taken with respect to the illegal removal of the trees.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council also deferred sine die consideration of the report (November 1, 2001) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c.: City Surveyor; Works and Emergency Services; Desmond Christopher, Street and Parcel Mapping; Richard Ubbens, City Forester. – November 22, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 48)

10.49 Naming of Private Street as Edgewood Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 6, 2001) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services, respecting Naming of Private Street as Edgewood Avenue, and recommending that:

- (1) the private street known as Edgewood Avenue and the proposed extension at 134 Edgewood Avenue, illustrated on Attachment No. 1, be named “Edgewood Avenue”;
- (2) Bill Joyce Real Estate Limited, be required to pay the costs estimated in the amount of \$300, for the fabrication and installation of a street name sign; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 49)

10.50 Status Report – St. Andrews Playground and Kew Beach Playground (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20; Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a status report (November 5, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting St. Andrews Playground and Kew Beach Playground, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) received the following Status Report for information insofar as it relates to Kew Beach Playground; and
- (2) deferred sine die consideration of the Status Report insofar as it relates to St. Andrews Playground, pending community involvement, in consultation with the Ward Councillor, to determine the best use of this green space.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; c.: Frank Kershaw, Director, Policy and Planning. – November 27, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(n))

10.51 Tree Planting – 3 Balmy Avenue (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 30, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting Tree Planting – 3 Balmy Avenue, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council received the foregoing report.

(Letter sent to: Ms Tricia Orzech, 3 Balmy Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, M4E 1C7; c.: Mark Procumier, Supervisor, Urban Forestry Planning and Protection. – November 27, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(o))

10.52 Release of Agreements – Block 21 Railway Lands Central (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 1, 2001) from the City Solicitor, respecting Release of Agreements – Block 21 Railway Lands Central, and recommending that the City Solicitor be authorized to report directly to City Council at its meeting to be held on December 4, 5 and 6, 2001 with respect to the request by Concord Adex to release Block 21 from certain development agreements.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) submitted this matter to Council without recommendation; and
- (2) requested the City Solicitor to report directly to Council with respect to the request by Concord Adex to release Block 21 from certain development agreements.

(Letter sent to: City Solicitor; c: Steve Bradley, Solicitor, Legal Services. – November 23, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 50)

10.53 Use of Private Lands Located at 50 Stephanie Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 30, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, respecting Use of Private Lands Located at 50 Stephanie Street, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Mr. Sam Grossman, Car-Allen Investments Ltd. 7 St. Dennis Drive, Ste. 101, Toronto, Ontario, M3C 1E4; c.: Frank Kershaw, Director, Policy and Development - November 23, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(p))

10.54 Extension of Permit Parking Hours on Clifton Road, between St. Clair Avenue East and Glenrose Avenue (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 6, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Extension of Permit Parking Hours on Clifton Road, between St. Clair Avenue East and Glenrose Avenue, and recommending that:

- (1) the permit parking hours of operation on Clifton Road, between St. Clair Avenue East and Glenrose Avenue, be extended from 12:01 a.m. to 7:00 a.m., 7 days a week, to 12:01 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., 7 days a week;
- (2) Part P of Schedule XXVI (Permit Parking), of Municipal Code Chapter 400, Traffic and Parking, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, be amended to incorporate Clifton Road, between St. Clair Avenue East and Glenrose Avenue; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 33)

10.55 Rescission of Southbound Right-Turn-on-Red Prohibition - Queen Street East at Eastern Avenue/ Kingston Road (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (October 31, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Rescission of Southbound Right-Turn-on-Red Prohibition - Queen Street East at Eastern Avenue/ Kingston Road, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c.: Vince Suppa, Transportation Planner. – November 27, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(q))

10.56 Authority to Amend Section 37 Agreement and Development Agreement – (Canada Life Complex) 330 University Avenue, 180 and 190 Simcoe Street (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 2, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, respecting Authority to Amend Section 37 Agreement and Development Agreement – (Canada Life Complex) 330 University Avenue, 180 and 190 Simcoe Street.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that City Council:

- (1) authorize the City Solicitor to amend the Section 37 Agreement for Canada Life to permit:
 - (a) the public art contribution to be deferred until a maximum of one year after Building 'B' is occupied or is combined with the public art contribution for the development on the Queen Street frontage (Building 'C');
 - (b) the Queen Street frontage (Building 'C') landscaping to be deferred for a maximum of one year following the occupancy of the proposed building 'B', unless a rezoning or permit application is submitted for Building 'C'; and
 - (c) the Building Site 'C' be sodded and partially fenced in the period after it is used as a staging area for Building 'B' and prior to redevelopment;
- (2) authorize the City Solicitor to amend the Development Agreement to recognize the new plans for Building 'B' that have been submitted as part of the Site Plan Approval process; and
- (3) direct that the funds arising from the public art contribution be placed in an interest bearing account until they are used.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 32)

10.57 Surplus Land Declaration and Proposed Closing and Conveyancing of Portions of Scrivener Square, Extending Easterly from Yonge Street, North of Price Street, and the Conveyance of Certain Lands to the City for Public Highway Purposes (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a joint report (November 1, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Commissioner of Corporate Services, respecting Surplus Land Declaration and Proposed Closing and Conveyancing of Portions of Scrivener Square, Extending Easterly from Yonge Street,

North of Price Street, and the Conveyance of Certain Lands to the City for Public Highway Purposes, and recommending that portions of Scrivener Square, extending easterly from Yonge Street, north of Price Street, be stopped-up and closed, declared surplus and sold, and the conveyance of certain lands to the City for public highway purposes.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing joint report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 31)

10.58 Surplus Land Declaration and Proposed Closing and Conveyancing of a Portion of the Public Lane at the Rear of Premises No. 1 Mount Pleasant Road (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a joint report (October 29, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and Commissioner of Corporate Services, respecting Surplus Land Declaration and Proposed Closing and Conveyancing of a Portion of the Public Lane at the Rear of Premises No. 1 Mount Pleasant Road, and recommending that the portion of the public lane at the rear of Premises No. 1 Mount Pleasant Road, be stopped-up and closed, declared surplus and sold, in order to construct a ramp to the existing underground parking garage at Premises No. 1 Mount Pleasant Road.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing joint report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 30)

10.59 Ontario Municipal Board Hearing – 112 Hampton Avenue (Toronto-Danforth, Ward 30)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 1, 2001) from the City Solicitor, respecting Ontario Municipal Board Hearing – 112 Hampton Avenue, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: City Solicitor; c.: Mark Flowers, Solicitor, Legal Services Division. – November 27, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(r))

**10.60 Ontario Municipal Board Hearing – (Toronto Reference Library) 789 Yonge Street
(Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)**

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 1, 2001) from the City Solicitor, respecting Ontario Municipal Board Hearing – (Toronto Reference Library) 789 Yonge Street, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: City Solicitor; Mark Flowers, Solicitor, Legal Services Division. – November 27, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(s))

10.61 Appointments to 519 Church Street Community Centre Board of Management

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a communication (November 1, 2001) from the Executive Director, 519 Church Street Community Centre, respecting appointments to 519 Church Street Community Centre Board of Management.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that Joan Anderson, Maureen Aslin, Gary Calabrese, Jack Fitch, Don Middleton, Garth Norbraten and Sandi Parker be appointed to the Board of Management of the 519 Church Street Community Centre, until November 30, 2003, on an interim basis, at the pleasure of Council, and until their successors are appointed.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 29)

10.62 2002 Agnes Macphail Award

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a communication (November 6, 2001) from the Chair, Agnes Macphail Committee, respecting 2002 Agnes Macphail Award.

The Toronto East York Community Council also had before it a communication (November 6, 2001) from the Chair, Agnes Macphail Committee.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended to City Council that:

- (1) Gord Crann and Marylin King be appointed to the Agnes Macphail Award Community Selection Committee; and
- (2) due to the changes to the usual schedule of Community Council and City Council meetings in January and February 2002, the chair of the Agnes Macphail Committee submit a confidential report directly to the meeting of City Council to be held on February 15, 2002, recommending the name of the recipient(s) of the 2002 Agnes Macphail Award.

(Letter sent to: City Council. – November 23, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(t))

10.63 Appointment of Citizens to the Toronto East York Community Preservation Panel

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 5, 2001) from the City Clerk, respecting Appointment of Citizens to the Toronto East York Community Preservation Panel.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended:

- (1) the appointment of citizens to the Toronto East York Community Preservation Panel for a term of office expiring November 30, 2003, and until their successors are appointed, as listed in the confidential transmittal dated November 5, 2001, from the City Clerk, which was circulated under separate confidential cover to Members of Toronto East York Community Council, having regard that it contains personal information about identifiable individuals;
- (2) that the relevant provisions of the Policy for Citizen Appointments through the Nominating Committee be waived to permit the appointment of citizens to the Community Preservation Panels without interview by the Nominating Committee;
- (3) since the members of Scarborough Community Preservation Panel are appointed to the end of their original term of office expiring November 30, 2003, and incumbent members of the remaining Community Preservation Panels, including Toronto East York, have served only a partial term, that for the purposes of future reappointments, the term of office expiring November 30, 2003 be counted as one term of office for incumbent and new citizens now being appointed to the Toronto

East York Community Preservation Panel, and citizens remain eligible for reappointment for a further three year term in accordance with Council's Policy for Citizen Appointments Through the Nominating Committee;

- (5) that the appropriate officials be authorized and directed to take any necessary action to give effect thereto; and
- (6) that in accordance with provisions of the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining to the individuals named in the confidential communication (November 5, 2001) from the City Clerk, headed "Appointments of Citizens to the Toronto East York Community Preservation Panel" be held in-camera, having regard that the subject matter relates to personal matters about identifiable individuals.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 28)

10.64 Parkland Acquisition Strategic Directions Report (All Wards)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 9, 2001) from the City Clerk, respecting Parkland Acquisition Strategic Directions Report, forwarding Clause No. 10 contained in Report No. 10 of the Economic Development and Parks Committee, headed "Parkland Acquisition Strategic Directions Report (All Wards)", which was adopted, as amended, by the Council of the City of Toronto at its meeting held on November 6, 7 and 8, 2001, and in which Council directed that the parkland acquisition strategic directions report be forwarded to Community Councils and the Planning and Transportation Committee for consideration and report back to the January 21, 2002 meeting of Economic Development and Parks Committee.

The Toronto East York Community Council heard a presentation from Parks staff.

Jim Neff, on behalf of Citizens for a Safe Environment and WWFMMP, appeared before the Toronto East York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Pantalone, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) recommended to the Economic Development and Parks Committee the adoption of the parkland acquisition strategic directions report (Attachment No. 1 of the report dated September 27, 2001 from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, contained in report no. 10, clause 10 of the Economic Development and Parks Committee, amended and adopted by City Council at its meeting held on November 6, 7 and 8, 2001); and
- (2) amended the report request from the Economic Development and Parks Committee to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to read:

“That the Commissioner of Urban Development Services report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee on what strategies would be included in the City’s new Official Plan to attempt to achieve an acceptable parkland standard in the areas of the City which are:

- (a) deficient in parkland and experiencing significant population growth; and
- (b) deficient in parkland in high density residential neighbourhoods.

(Letter sent to: Economic Development and Parks Committee; c.: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism. – November 26, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(u))

10.65 Glen Stewart Crescent from Kingston Road to the First Lane South Thereof - Implementation of One-Way Traffic Operation and Amendments to Turning Movement Regulations (Beaches-East York, Ward 32)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (August 15, 2000) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Glen Stewart Crescent from Kingston Road to the First Lane South Thereof - Implementation of One-Way Traffic Operation and Amendments to Turning Movement Regulations, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Bussin, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that:

- (1) traffic operate one-way northbound on Glen Stewart Crescent between Kingston Road and the first lane south thereof;
- (2) the right turn prohibition from 3:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m., Monday to Friday for eastbound traffic on Kingston Road at Glen Stewart Crescent be rescinded;
- (3) the left turn prohibition from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., Monday to Friday for westbound traffic on Kingston Road at Glen Stewart Crescent be rescinded;
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 27)

10.66 Cambridge Avenue and Pretoria Avenue – Removal of Traffic Control Signals
(Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 8, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Cambridge Avenue and Pretoria Avenue – Removal of Traffic Control Signals, and recommending that:

- (1) the traffic control signals on Cambridge Avenue at Pretoria Avenue be removed;
- (2) stop control be introduced for northbound and southbound traffic on Cambridge Avenue at Pretoria Avenue;
- (3) stop control be introduced for westbound traffic on Pretoria Avenue at Cambridge Avenue; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 26)

10.67 Gloucester Street, North Side, between Church Street and Jarvis Street - Provision of a “Commercial Loading Zone” and a “No Standing Anytime” Prohibition, Fronting Premises No. 86 Gloucester Street (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 7, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Gloucester Street, North Side, between Church Street and Jarvis Street - Provision of a “Commercial Loading Zone” and a “No Standing Anytime” Prohibition, Fronting Premises No. 86 Gloucester Street, and recommending that:

- (1) the “No Parking Anytime” prohibition, on the north side of Gloucester Street, from a point 90.0 metres east of Church Street to a point 7.0 metres further east, be replaced with a “No Standing Anytime” prohibition between the same limits;
- (2) the installation of a “Commercial Loading Zone” be approved on the north side of Gloucester Street, from a point 55.0 metres east of Church Street to a point 11.0 metres further east (fronting the main entrance/exit doors to Premises No. 86; and

- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 18)

10.68 Glenrose Avenue, from Clifton Road to Inglewood Drive – Adjustment to Parking Regulations (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 27)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 7, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, respecting Glenrose Avenue, from Clifton Road to Inglewood Drive – Adjustment to Parking Regulations, and recommending that:

- (1) the “No Parking from 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., Monday to Friday” prohibition, on the south side of Glenrose Avenue, from Clifton Road to Inglewood Drive, be adjusted to operate from 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m., Monday to Friday; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 17)

10.69 Implementation of Alternate Side Parking on Logan Avenue between Fulton Avenue and Cosburn Avenue and Transfer of Parking from West Side of Logan Avenue to the East Side of the Street - Poll Results (Ward 29, Toronto-Danforth)

The Toronto East York Community Council had before it a report (November 14, 2001) from the City Clerk, respecting Implementation of Alternate Side Parking on Logan Avenue between Fulton Avenue and Cosburn Avenue and Transfer of Parking from West Side of Logan Avenue to the East Side of the Street - Poll Results, and recommending that:

- (1) Alternate side parking be implemented on Logan Avenue between Fulton Avenue and Cosburn Avenue; or

- (2) parking be transferred from the west side of Logan Avenue to the east side of the street; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing; OR
- (4) this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Ootes, the Toronto East York Community Council received the foregoing report for information.

(Letter sent to: City Clerk. – November 27, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(v))

10.70 Use of Nathan Phillips Square: Toronto Christmas Market, December 1 – 16, 2001

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (November 13, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, respecting Use of Nathan Phillips Square: Toronto Christmas Market, December 1 – 16, 2001.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that, since the event is being held prior to Council's meeting, City Council endorse the action of the Community Council in having:

- (1) adopted the foregoing report (November 13, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services; and
- (2) advised the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario that it is aware of the Toronto Christmas Market to be held on December 1 – 16, 2001 at Nathan Phillips Square, and of the request for a beer garden in conjunction with the Market, and has no objection to its taking place. (Letter sent to: Ms. Sonia De Maria, Deputy Registrar, Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario, 20 Dundas Street West, 7th Floor, Toronto, Ontario, M5G 2N6; c.: Nelson Elliott, Manager, Customer Support, Facilities and Real Estate, Corporate Services Department; Bruce Bowes, Executive Director, Facilities and Real Estate, Corporate Services Department. – November 23, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 10)

10.71 Premises No. 65 Navy Wharf Court (The Optima - City Place - Building E) Modification of Construction Staging Areas (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (November 19, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, respecting Premises No. 65 Navy Wharf Court (The Optima - City Place - Building E) Modification of Construction Staging Areas, and recommending that:

- (1) the original three lane, two-way traffic operation on Navy Wharf Court from Blue Jays Way to Bremner Boulevard be reinstated;
- (2) the construction staging area on the east side of Navy Wharf Court be removed from the road and restricted to the sidewalk and boulevard;
- (3) the sidewalk on the east side of Navy Wharf Court from Blue Jays Way to Bremner Boulevard be closed until the construction at Premises No. 65 Navy Wharf Court is completed; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended adoption of the foregoing report.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 8)

10.72 Report on Legal and Illegal Vendors within Wards 20, 27 and 28

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of a report (November 16, 2001) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, respecting report on Legal and Illegal Vendors within Wards 20, 27 and 28, and recommending that this report be received for information.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council established a sub-committee to resolve the issues raised in the report (November 16, 2001) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, and have appointed Councillors Chow, Rae and McConnell to the sub-committee.

(Letter sent to: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c.: Curtis Sealock, District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards; Councillor Chow; Councillor Rae; Councillor McConnell. – November 29, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(w))

10.73 Legal Agreement to Build a Day Care Centre at 650 Queens Quay West (Trinity-Spadina, Ward 20)

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (November 19, 2001) from Councillor Chow, respecting Legal Agreement to Build a Day Care Centre at 650 Queens Quay West.

On further motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council:

- (1) submitted this matter to Council without recommendation;
- (2) requested the City Solicitor and the Acting Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services report directly to Council on the merit of the following strategies:
 - (a) that the City of Toronto pursue remedies afforded by the Day Care Centre legal agreement dated July 28, 1998 unless the owner of Atrium on Queens Quay Inc. complete the day care centre that would meet all government regulations by January 31, 2002;
 - (b) that, in the event the owner chooses not to comply with its legal obligations by January 31, 2002, the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services comment on the possibility of the City paying up to \$10,000 to bring the Centre up to standard and then suing for damages under the 1998 legal agreement with the owner of Atrium on Queens Quay Inc.; and
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to submit directly to Council a precise third party estimate of the amount it would cost to bring the day care centre up to government standards.

(Letter sent to: City Solicitor; Acting Commissioner of Neighbourhood Services. – November 22, 2001)

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 7)

10.74 Not Introduced

10.75 Rooming House Hearing – 376 Dundas Street East (Toronto Centre-Rosedale, Ward 28)

On motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council allowed the introduction of a communication (November 20, 2001) from Councillor McConnell, respecting Rooming House Hearing – 376 Dundas Street East.

On a further motion by Councillor McConnell, the Toronto East York Community Council recommended that the City Solicitor be instructed to attend the rooming house hearing for 376 Dundas Street East, to be held on January 15, 2002, to support the community in its efforts to address the problems with this site.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 1)

10.76 2002 Schedule of Meetings

On motion by Councillor Chow, the Toronto East York Community Council requested its Vice-Chair to submit a Notice of Motion to City Council respecting amendments to the 2002 Schedule of Meetings.

(Report No. 9, Clause No. 51(x))

The Committee adjourned its meeting at 2:50 p.m.

Vice Chair.

Attendance

November 20, 2001	9:30 a.m. to 12:20 p.m.	2:15 p.m. to 2:50 p.m.
Rae (Vice-Chair)	X	X
Bussin	X	X
Chow	X	X
Layton		
McConnell	X	X
Ootes	X	X
Pantalone	X	X
Mayor Lastman		

* Members were present for some or all of the time indicated.