The Works Committee met on Wednesday, October 17, 2001, in Committee Room 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:35 a.m.

Members Present:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Councillor Betty Disero, Chair</th>
<th>Councillor Jane Pitfield, Vice-Chair</th>
<th>Councillor Bas Balkissoon</th>
<th>Councillor Frank Di Giorgio</th>
<th>Councillor Irene Jones</th>
<th>Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby</th>
<th>Councillor Peter Li Preti</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:35 a.m.-12:35 p.m.</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:05 p.m.-3:30 p.m.</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regrets:

Councillor Jack Layton

Also Present:

Councillor Sandra Bussin
Councillor Olivia Chow
Councillor Frances Nunziata

Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

**Confirmation of Minutes.**

On motion by Councillor Jones, the Minutes of the meeting of the Works Committee held on September 10, 2001, were confirmed.
11.1 Pedestrian Safety Initiatives Pilot Project.

The Works Committee had before it a report (October 1, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing background information about pedestrian safety initiatives being considered by the Works and Emergency Services Department for on-street testing; and recommending that approval in principle be granted to establish the Pedestrian Safety Initiatives Pilot Project, and that the funding for this project be included in the Works and Emergency Services Department’s Five-Year Capital Works budget submission for consideration (2002-2006).

The Committee also had before it a communication (October 17, 2001) from Ms. Rhona Swarbrick, Chair, Toronto Pedestrian Committee, in support of the Pedestrian Safety Initiatives Pilot Project.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Helen Riley; and
- Ms. Rhona Swarbrick, Chair, Toronto Pedestrian Committee.

The Committee:

(1) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report;

(2) requested that a copy of the report be referred to the Toronto Pedestrian Committee for its comments; and

(Motions (1) and (2) by Councillor Pitfield)

(3) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to include initiatives to address pedestrian safety concerns outside the downtown core in his report to be submitted to the Committee in the spring of 2002.

(Motion by Councillor Balkissoon)

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Toronto Pedestrian Committee; c: General Manager, Transportation Services – October 17, 2001)

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 16)
11.2 Designation of New Subject Sectors and Designation of Sector-Specific Pollution Prevention Plan and Plan Summary Forms.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (October 4, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that City Council at its meeting held on October 2, 3 and 4, 2001, had before it Clause No. 9 contained in Report No. 14 of The Works Committee, headed “Designation of New Subject Sectors and Designation of Sector-Specific Pollution Prevention Plan and Plan Summary Forms”, wherein the Works Committee recommended the adoption of the report (August 29, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services which recommended that:

(1) the textile dyers and finishers sectors and car washes sector (list attached thereto) be designated as subject sectors for the purposes of the Municipal Code Chapter 681;

(2) the attached sector-specific pollution prevention plan and pollution prevention plan summary forms be designated for use by the textile dyers and finishers, automotive repair/car washes/petroleum products, and hospitals/health care; and

(3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto;

and further advising that Council directed that the aforementioned Clause be struck out and referred back to the Works Committee for further consideration at its next meeting scheduled to be held on October 17, 2001, and the hearing of deputations.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

(i) (September 25, 2001) from Ms. Gail M. Bolubash, Director, Government Relations, Canadian Petroleum Products Institute, requesting the opportunity to appear before the Committee with respect to the operation of the industry, its environmental performance and its proposal for putting in place an effective pollution prevention plan; and suggesting the formation of a City/industry working group that can meet quarterly or twice a year;

(ii) (October 15, 2001) from Ms. Karen Buck, Board Member, Citizens for a Safe Environment, in support of site-specific plans for gasoline stations in the Canadian Petroleum Products Institute sector;

(iii) (October 9, 2001) from Ms. Christine Iamonaco, Public Consultation Co-ordinator, Works and Emergency Services, advising that the Implementation and Compliance Monitoring Committee supports the Sewer Use Pollution Prevention Program and
encourages the City to continue introducing environmental programs that support the City’s environmental initiatives; and

(iv) (October 16, 2001) from Ms. Christine Iamonaco, Public Consultation Co-ordinator, Works and Emergency Services, advising that the Implementation and Compliance Monitoring Committee supports the City’s recommendation for site-specific pollution prevention plans for the petroleum industry, and that should a working group be formed, a balance of public and environmental issues be represented.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Gail M. Bolubash, Director, Government Relations, and Dr. Farhad Seif, Chair, Sewer Use Task Force, Canadian Petroleum Products Institute, and submitted material with respect thereto; and

- Mr. Gord Perks, Toronto Environmental Alliance.

On motion by Councillor Pitfield, the Committee:

(1) again recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report dated August 29, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and

(2) requested staff to continue to work with representatives of the Canadian Petroleum Products Institute with respect to the development and implementation of the pollution prevention plans and ongoing issues.

(The Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – October 17, 2001)

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 16)

11.3 Residential On-Street Permit Parking By-law.

The Works Committee had before it a report (October 1, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing comments received from Community Councils on the proposed residential on-street permit parking by-law, and recommending adjustments to the proposal based on these comments; and recommending that:
(1) the following Municipal Code Sections/By-laws of the former municipalities relating to residential on-street parking permits be repealed after the set fines for the City of Toronto's uniform on-street parking permit by-law have been approved:

(i) Chapter 400-9, Sections B, B.1, B.2, C, and Section 38, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code;
(ii) Chapter 183, Sections 35 to 68 of the former City of Etobicoke Municipal Code;
(iii) Chapters 985 and 987 of the former City of York Municipal Code;
(iv) By-law No. 3491-80 of the former City of York;
(v) By-law Nos. 20-96 and 41-97 of the former Borough of East York; and
(vi) any other existing by-law or municipal code provisions that are superseded or replaced by the new permit parking provisions;

(2) until the uniform on-street parking permit program is fully operational and set fines have been approved, on-street parking permits continue to be issued as authorized by the relevant legislation existing in the former municipalities. Any on-street parking permit issued before a uniform program takes effect will remain valid until its expiry date;

(3) prior to the initiation of the permit parking program in the Scarborough Community Council area, new funding in an estimated amount of $50,000 be authorized and provided to cover initial start-up costs for staffing;

(4) a new on-street parking permit by-law be enacted based on the criteria set out therein;

(5) the City Solicitor, in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be directed to draft a by-law generally containing the above-noted provisions, as well as any others or refinements as may be satisfactory to the City Solicitor and Commissioner, to implement a uniform residential on-street permit parking program, including the required administrative measures;

(6) the City Solicitor be requested to apply for the approval of the Senior Regional Judge for the set fine amounts noted in these recommendations; and

(7) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect to the above, including the introduction of any bills in Council that may be required.

The Committee also again had before it a report (April 19, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services submitting a proposed common residential on-street permit parking by-law for application on a City-wide basis; and recommending that this report be forwarded to all Community Councils for consideration, and their comments be submitted
to the Works Committee in order that Committee may consider and make recommendations on this proposed residential on-street permit parking by-law in the context of such comments at its July 4, 2001 meeting.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

(i) (June 18, 2001) from the Acting City Clerk advising that the Downtown Community Council at its meeting on June 12, 2001, recommended the adoption of the recommendations contained in the report (April 19, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting a residential on-street permit parking by-law;

(ii) (June 13, 2001) from the Acting City Clerk advising that the East Community Council at its meeting on June 13, 2001, recommended that:

1. District-specific warrants be formulated to measure sufficiency of parking with impact on servicing requirements, and that such warrants be applied as a vetting mechanism to support staff recommendations to proceed to an official poll;

2. the basis for such polls be “one property address/one vote” and two-thirds of those residential premises polled form a majority;

3. the design of the ballot be submitted to Community Councils and the Works Committee for approval;

4. costs recover all elements of service delivery including impact on signage, snow removal, garbage pick-up and street cleaning;

5. the Acting City Clerk be requested to report on a democratic method of conducting polls in the community whereby all property owners (citizens and non-citizens) are afforded equal rights in any polling process;

6. the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to attempt to accommodate the implementation of permit parking with his current establishment of strength and submit a report thereon to the Works Committee;

7. the proposed recommendations include a method of administering a request for reversal of a permit parking decision; and
(8) any community meetings to discuss permit parking be arranged in consultation with the Ward Councillor;

(iii) (June 13, 2001) from the Acting City Clerk advising that the Midtown Community Council at its meeting on June 13, 2001, recommended adoption of the proposed recommendations contained in the report (April 19, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, subject to the following amendments:

(1) with respect to Polling Requirements:

   (a) that re-polling of streets should not be conducted until two years have passed since the previous poll;

   (b) that polls be conducted on the basis of one vote per household; and

   (c) that it be clearly stated on the ballots the loss of windrow clearing, snow clearing, and any other change in services that may occur as a result of the implementation of permit parking;

(2) that the priority system for on-street permit parking be such that residents of streets that do not have on-street permit parking are not permitted to apply for parking spaces on other streets;

(3) that the subsidy rate provided to senior citizens for fees for the second car be eliminated; and

(4) that Members of Council be notified immediately upon receipt of a request for the implementation of on-street permit parking;

(iv) (June 15, 2001) from the Acting City Clerk advising that the North Community Council at its meeting on June 13, 2001, recommended to the Works Committee that residential on-street permit parking not be permitted within the North Community Council boundary area;

(v) (June 22, 2001) from the Acting City Clerk advising that the Southwest Community Council at its meeting held on June 12, 2001, recommended to the Works Committee that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report on:

   (1) the impact on the parking permit program as it relates to permits being issued to tenants/occupants in multi-unit apartment buildings who may have access to on-site parking, but park on-street for reasons such as the cost factor, insufficient on-site parking, safety, etc.; and
(2) the feasibility of using public libraries and/or community centres to provide the service of permit renewal and issuing temporary/visitor parking permits;

(vi) (June 15, 2001) from the Acting City Clerk advising that the West Community Council at its meeting held on June 13, 2001, recommended to the Works Committee the adoption of the Residential On-Street Permit Parking By-law, subject to amending Recommendation No. (4), Part “C. Fees”, contained in the report dated April 19, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, by deleting the reduced parking fees for senior citizens over 65 years of age; and

(vii) (June 5, 2001) from Ms. Velma Varey, Scarborough, Ontario, commenting on the criteria for residential permit parking and the percentage necessary for petitions to determine a majority opinion taking into consideration margin for error.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Velma Varey, Scarborough, and submitted a copy of her presentation;

- Councillor Sandra Bussin, Ward 32 – Beaches-East York; and

- Councillor Frances Nunziata, Ward 11 – York South-Weston.

The Committee:

(A) recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee the adoption of the aforementioned report dated October 1, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, subject to:

(1) referring the portion pertaining to on-street permit parking in the Scarborough Community Council area back to the Scarborough Community Council for further consideration in light of the decision of the North York Community Council and pending submission of the report requested by the East Community Council from the Acting City Clerk on a democratic method of conducting polls in the community;

(Motion by Councillor Balkissoon)
(2) deleting Recommendation No. (4), Clause A: “Program Applicability”, part (k); and

(Motion by Councillor Jones)

(3) amending Recommendation No. (4), Clause I: “Vehicle Restrictions”, part (d)(iv) to read as follows:

“(iv) on-street parking permits will not be issued for campers, camper-trailers, recreational vehicles, motor homes, trailers, boats or other recreational vehicles mounted on trailers”;

(Motion by Councillor Jones)

(B) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to:

(i) submit a report directly to Council for its meeting on November 6, 2001, on the ability of the City to limit each household to two vehicles only for on-street parking under the priority system;

(ii) provide further information on how or what protocol can be used to restrict each individual household on the number of spaces allowed if there is a large demand for permit parking;

(iii) meet with Councillor Sandra Bussin, Ward 32 – Beaches-East York, to establish smaller zones in her ward for permit parking; and

(iv) ensure that parking permits indicate clearly that there must be no obstruction of sidewalks and driveways; and

(Motion by Councillor Pitfield)

(C) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on any changes needed for parking requirements for townhouses both for homeowner and visitor parking.

(Motion by Councillor Jones)
11.4 Steeles Avenue East/CN Rail Grade Separation Near Kennedy Road – Review of Findings of Class Environmental Assessment. (Scarborough-Agincourt)

The Works Committee had before it a report (October 3, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services summarizing the technical findings and public consultation related to the review of the 1994 Steeles Avenue East/CN Rail Grade Separation Class Environmental Assessment; and recommending that the overpass design for the Steeles Avenue East/CN Uxbridge grade separation, as described in the approved 1994 Environmental Study Report (ESR), be confirmed as the preferred design.

On motion by Councillor Balkissoon, the Committee referred the aforementioned report to the Scarborough Community Council for consideration of the business impact of the grade separation, and requested that staff of the Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department be in attendance at that time.

11.5 Chemicals in Drinking Water.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (August 1, 2001) from the Acting City Clerk advising that City Council at its meeting held on July 24, 25 and 26, 2001, had before it Clause No. 5 contained in Report No. 12 of The Works Committee, headed “Chemicals in Drinking Water”, in which the Works Committee reported having approved the recommendation of the Board of Health, and in so doing, forwarded the report (March 28, 2001) from the Medical Officer of Health respecting chemicals in drinking water to Council for information; and further advising that Council directed that the aforementioned Clause be struck out and referred back to the Works Committee for further consideration and the hearing of deputations.
The Committee also had before it a communication (October 15, 2001) from Ms. Karen Buck, Board Member, Citizens for a Safe Environment, expressing concern with respect to the report from the Medical Officer of Health regarding chemicals in drinking water.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Karen Buck, Board Member, Citizens for a Safe Environment; and
- Mr. Tony O'Donohue, President, Environmental Probe Ltd.

The Committee:

(1) received the aforementioned communication;

(Motion by Councillor Disero)

(2) requested that the aforementioned report from the Medical Officer of Health be referred to the Sustainability Roundtable for comment; and

(3) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to submit a report to the Committee on how the City might engage the Sustainability Roundtable in the decision-making process.

(Motions (2) and (3) by Councillor Jones)

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Sustainability Roundtable; Medical Officer and Health; General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services; Ms. Karen Buck; Mr. Tony O'Donohue; Administrator, Board of Health – October 17, 2001)

(Clause No. 13(c), Report No. 16)

11.6 Works Best Practices Program - Status Report No. 4.

The Works Committee had before it a report (October 4, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing an update on the progress of the Works Best Practices Program, and addressing the need for advanced approval of funding increases over the approved 2001-2005 Capital Works Program; and recommending that:
(1) Council be requested to approve an increase of $33,763,000, net of GST rebate, for the Works Best Practices Program as part of the City’s scheduled pre-approval process for the 2002-2006 Capital Works Programs;

(2) subject to approval of Recommendation No. (1) above, Appropriations CPW010 and CWW001 of Water and Wastewater Services be increased by $13,819,000 and $19,944,000, net of GST rebate respectively; and

(3) appropriate City officials be directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee also had before it a communication (October 16, 2001) from Ms. Ann Dembinski, President, Canadian Union of Public Employees – CUPE Local 79, expressing reservations about the Works Best Practices Program and the issue of water quality and security; and requesting that the report be referred back to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a comprehensive review of quality of services.

Mr. Michael Price, General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services, gave a presentation to the Committee on the Works Best Practices Program, Water and Wastewater infrastructure condition and the water rates, and submitted a copy of his presentation.

Mr. David Kidd, 2nd Vice-President, Canadian Union of Public Employees - CUPE Local 79, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Councillor Disero appointed Councillor Pitfield Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Committee recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Budget Advisory Committee; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – October 17, 2001)

(Clause No. 13(d), Report No. 16)

Councillor Disero resumed the Chair.
11.7 Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant - Works Best Practices Program
Process Control System – Phase 1
(Ward 32 – Beaches-East York)

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (September 27, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Acting Chief Administrative Officer and Acting Chief Financial Officer recommending that Contract No. 01FS-40WP, Tender Call No. 229-2001, for the Works Best Practices Program Process Control System - Phase 1 at the Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant, be awarded to Quantech Electrical Contractors Limited at a total lump sum price of $2,768,561.00 including all taxes, charges and a contingency allowance of $200,000.00 for potential additional work, being the lowest Tender received.

The Committee awarded the contract as recommended in the aforementioned joint report, in accordance with Chapter 195, Purchasing, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Acting Chief Administrative Officer and Acting Chief Financial Officer; City Solicitor; c: Executive Director, Technical Services; Director, Purchasing and Materials Management – October 17, 2001)

(Clause No. 13(e), Report No. 16)


The Works Committee had before it a report (October 2, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

(1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services continue to consult with key stakeholders on the development of a corporate emissions trading policy and strategy which will include the greenhouse gases covered under the Kyoto Protocol as well as NOx and SO2 as per the provincial regulation currently being developed;

(2) the City continue to cooperate with the Pilot Emissions Reduction Trading (PERT) working group and to use their methodology for calculating ERCs for CO2 in the absence of domestic regulations or international protocol;
(3) this report be distributed to all Agencies, Boards, Commissions or Departments for information; and

(4) the appropriate City officials be authorized to give effect thereto.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 11, Report No. 16)

11.9 Encroachment Agreement on City of Toronto Easement at 243 Consumers Road in North York Victoria Park - 401 Sanitary and Storm Trunk Sewer. (Ward 33 – Don Valley East)

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 26, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting an encroachment agreement on the City’s easement at 243 Consumers Road, North York; and recommending that appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to negotiate and enter into an encroachment agreement with 2004090 Ontario Limited and 3883281 Canada Inc., subject to the terms and conditions stated in this report and to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 12, Report No. 16)

11.10 Monthly Status Report on District 1 Development Applications.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 27, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing a monthly status report on the Department’s progress relative to the development application backlog, and the volume of development applications received and processed in District 1, as requested by the Committee at its meeting on March 28, 2001; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee received the aforementioned report for information.

(Clause No. 13(f), Report No. 16)
11.11 **Water and Wastewater Rate Increase and Financing Options for the 2002-2006 Capital Projects of the Water and Wastewater Program.**

**Water and Wastewater Services Long-Term Sewer and Watermain Infrastructure Renewal Needs.**

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (October 12, 2001) from the Acting Chief Financial Officer and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

1. the water rate, wastewater rate and the rate charged to the flat-rate customers be increased by 5 percent, effective January 1, 2002;

2. the Chief Financial Officer and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services report back to the Policy and Finance Committee following approval of the 2002-2006 water and wastewater capital plan, with a recommended rate increase for January 1, 2003, and a rate plan for future years thereafter;

3. the rate structure for water and wastewater be subject to a series of phased annual increases until the capital program becomes financially sustainable;

4. this report be referred to Budget Advisory Committee for consideration; and

5. the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee also had before it a report (September 28, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the Water and Wastewater Services long-term sewer and watermain infrastructure renewal needs, as requested by Council; advising that while annual Capital Budget allocations averaging approximately $47 million per year have been approved for watermain and sewer system replacement over the last three years, the analysis has predicted a need for an average infrastructure renewal expenditure of about $195 million per year for the next ten years; further advising that, in recognition of the practical limitations in funding and the ability of the construction industry to support this level of activity, especially over the short term, an infrastructure renewal strategy based on a gradual increase in renewal rates to a new and constant level by 2008 is proposed, which has been incorporated in the proposed Water and Wastewater Services 2002-2011 Capital Budget request; noting that in this strategy, the program would expand to a value of about $170 million per year and would be sustained to the year 2025, which represents a $122 million increase in current annual funding levels, equal to about a 30 percent increase in water rates; further noting that there is a need to meet with the industry association...
involved in the renewal and rehabilitation of sewer and watermain system to explain the planned growth in the future work programs and ensure that there are sufficient companies capable of delivering the work on time, on budget and competitively; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee also had before it a communication (October 15, 2001) from Ms. Karen Buck, Toronto, raising questions with respect to the length of time required to repair water leaks, and what is being done to address the backlog.

Mr. Michael Price, General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services, gave a presentation to the Committee on the Works Best Practices Program, Water and Wastewater infrastructure condition and the water rates, and submitted a copy of his presentation.

Mr. Veso Sobot, Director of Public Affairs and Government Relations, IPEX Inc., appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter, and submitted material from the National Research Council Canada on data collected on water main breaks.

The Committee:

(A) recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee:

(1) the adoption of the aforementioned joint report dated October 12, 2001, from the Acting Chief Financial Officer and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, subject to deleting Recommendation No. (3) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“(3) request the Chief Financial Officer and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to explore and report on a surcharge with a sunset clause that would allow for rehabilitation and recommendations arising from storm water management, to the Policy and Finance Committee or through the course of the budget process, on long-term solutions;”

(2) that the water rate be increased by an additional 4 percent, to be allocated to capital expenditures for rehabilitation projects; and
that the Policy and Finance Committee be requested to hear deputations on these matters;

(Motions (1), (2) and (3) by Councillor Disero)

(B) requested that the aforementioned report dated September 28, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be made available to the Big City Mayors Caucus of the Federation of Canadian Municipalities for its meeting to be held in Toronto on October 21, 2001; and

(Motion by Councillor Disero, on behalf of Councillor Layton)

(C) recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that any discussion regarding the establishment of a water/wastewater commission or utility be through a joint meeting of the Policy and Finance and Works Committees.

(Motion by Councillor Jones)

The following motion by Councillor Balkissoon was withdrawn:

“That the Acting Chief Financial Officer, in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, report to the Budget Advisory Committee during the budget process on the amount and duration of a dedicated water rate surcharge/special timeframe levy to finance the backlog of the renewal of the water and wastewater infrastructure identified by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.”

(Budget Advisory Committee; Policy and Finance Committee; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Acting Chief Financial Officer; General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services – October 17, 2001)

(Clause No. 13(g), Report No. 16)

11.12 Water and Wastewater Services Long-Term Sewer and Watermain Infrastructure Renewal Needs.

Refer to Minute No. 11.11.
11.13 American Water Works Association
Research Foundation – Annual Subscription.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 24, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

(1) authority be granted to provide the City’s annual subscription to the American Water Works Association Research Foundation in the amount of $64,000 for 2001; and

(2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 9, Report No. 16)

11.14 Extension of Existing Purchase Order -
Repair of Damaged Sanitary Sewer at
Cornerbrook Drive Easement.
(Ward 34 – Don Valley East)

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 26, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that Contract No. 01D3-308-WP, Cornerbrook Easement Sewer Repair, be extended by $59,280.53, to cover costs associated with extra work and materials that were required for successful completion.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 16)

11.15 Speed Limit on Finch Avenue East Between
Bayview Avenue and Don Mills Road
(Ward 24 - Willowdale, Ward 33 - Don Valley East)

The Works Committee had before it a communication (September 19, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the North York Community Council at its meeting on September 13, 2001, recommended to the Works Committee that:
(1) the speed limit along Finch Avenue between Bayview Avenue and Don Mills Road be returned to 60 km per hour; and

(2) upon enactment of the appropriate by-law to give effect to the foregoing, the Toronto Police Services undertake diligent enforcement of the 60 km per hour speed limit;

and advising that the North York Community Council requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, Works and Emergency Services, upon implementation of the 60 km per hour speed limit, to report to the Ward Councillors on:

(a) the results of a speed study to be undertaken during a six-month and twelve-month time period;

(b) the number of accidents that have occurred along Finch Avenue between Bayview Avenue and Don Mills Road; and

(c) the number of summons that have been issued during the six-month and twelve-month time period.

The Committee also had before it a communication (October 15, 2001) from Dr. F. K. Deegan, M.D., providing comments on the speed limit on Finch Avenue East (Wards 24 and 33).

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the recommendations of the North York Community Council contained in the aforementioned communication.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 16)

11.16 Lesmill Road, Leslie Street to Duncan Mill Road Class Environmental Assessment Study. (Don Valley East)

The Works Committee had before it a report (October 3, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the Lesmill Road Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Study, which recommends widening Lesmill Road, between Leslie Street and Duncan Mill Road, from two lanes to three lanes; and recommending that:

(1) the recommendations contained in the Project File for the Lesmill Road Class Environmental Assessment Study, as described in this report, be approved; and
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(2) authority be granted to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to issue a Notice of Completion for the Lesmill Road Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Study in accordance with the requirements set out in the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment.

The Committee recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Budget Advisory Committee; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Manager, Transportation Services – October 17, 2001)

(Clause No. 13(h), Report No. 16)

11.17 Pilot Program - Publication Box Enclosures.

The Works Committee had before it a report (October 3, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

(1) the appropriate staff of Transportation Services, in consultation with the Purchasing and Materials Management Division, issue an Invitation to Provide Prototype Publication Box Enclosures to establish a pilot program for testing the concept of consolidating existing publication boxes, based on the framework set out in the text of this report;

(2) at the conclusion of the pilot, after approximately one year, staff report back to the Works Committee summarizing the findings of the pilot and recommending future direction in this regard; and

(3) through the course of the pilot, a number of public consultation events be arranged for the purpose of engaging in dialogue and receiving feedback from affected stakeholders (newspaper companies, newspaper box suppliers, BIAs, the TTC, City staff) and any other interested parties.

The Committee also had before it a communication (October 17, 2001) from Ms. Rhona Swarbrick, Chair, Toronto Pedestrian Committee, expressing concern with respect to pedestrian mobility and pedestrian and driver safety as a result of numerous publication boxes chained to public utility and TTC stop poles.
The Committee deferred consideration of the aforementioned report until its next meeting, scheduled to be held on November 14, 2001, for the hearing of deputations.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Transportation Services; Ms. Rhona Swarbrick – October 17, 2001)

11.18 Light Emitting Diode (LED) Traffic Signal Lamp Conversion Program.

The Works Committee had before it a report (September 27, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that approval in principle be granted to establish the Light Emitting Diode (LED) Traffic Signal Lamp Conversion Program within the Transportation Services Division, and that the funding for this project be included in the Works and Emergency Services Department’s five-year Capital Works budget submission (2002-2006) for consideration.

On motion by Councillor Balkissoon, the Committee:

(1) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report;

(2) further recommended that, based on the experience of the conversion program of approximately 20 signals to be carried out in 2002, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a detailed Business Plan and Economic Analysis for the full LED conversion program prior to consideration of the 2003-2007 Capital Works Budget; and

(3) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to submit a report directly to Council for its meeting commencing on November 6, 2001, on the estimated annual cost savings resulting from the implementation of the program.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager, Transportation Services – October 17, 2001)

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 16)
11.19 Permanent Repairs to Utility Road Cuts on Various Local Streets and Collector Roads, District 1 – Contract No. 01D1-114TU. (Former Wards 22 and 23)

The Works Committee had before it a report (October 1, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

1. an additional expenditure in the amount of $1,450,000.00 for work to be undertaken under Contract No. 01D1-114TU for permanent repairs to utility road cuts on various City of Toronto local streets and collector roads, District 1, be authorized by the Works Committee; and

2. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 16)

11.20 Proposed Amendment to the Highway Traffic Act.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (October 2, 2001) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission, forwarding a report dated August 29, 2001, entitled “A Proposed Amendment to the Highway Traffic Act”, which was before the Toronto Transit Commission at its meeting on August 29, 2001, together with a memorandum from the TTC General Counsel detailing the proposed wording changes to Section 166 of the Highway Traffic Act that the Commission would like implemented; and requesting that City Council approve a formal motion requesting the Ontario Minister of Transportation to amend Section 166 of the Highway Traffic Act to require motor vehicles to stop behind a streetcar where passengers are boarding or exiting.

The Committee also had before it a communication (October 17, 2001) from Ms. Rhona Swarbrick, Chair, Toronto Pedestrian Committee, regarding the proposed amendment to the Highway Traffic Act by the Toronto Transit Commission.
On motion by Councillor Jones, the Committee deferred consideration of the aforementioned communications until its next meeting, scheduled to be held on November 14, 2001, for deputations, with a request that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services submit a report thereon to the Committee at that time.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission; c: General Manager, Transportation Services; Ms. Rhona Swarbrick – October 1, 2001)

11.21 Garbage on Public and Private Property.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (October 1, 2001) from Councillor Betty Disero, Ward 17 – Davenport, recommending the following areas to improve cleanliness of City streets:

(i) providing the Works and Emergency Services By-law Enforcement Officers with the ability to deal with garbage problems on private property;

(ii) providing all Members of Council with a map on the mechanical and manual litter pickers, with schedules, for their review;

(iii) staff report on changing the day of garbage collection and/or increase in costs that would be necessary to provide seven-day a week garbage collection for existing commercial businesses that are on City collection service; and

(iv) staff report, in consultation with the Toronto Transit Commission, on the jurisdiction, process and time frame for the provision of garbage containers on TTC streetcar islands.

Councillor Disero appointed Councillor Pitfield Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Committee referred the aforementioned communication to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services for a report thereon to the Committee and appropriate action.
11.22 Replacement of Automated
“Flower Pot” Waste Containers.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (October 10, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the Automated “Flower Pot” Waste Collection System Working Group on October 9, 2001, recommended that the report (September 27, 2001) from the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, Works and Emergency Services, respecting an implementation plan and schedule for the replacement of the automated “flower pot” waste containers, be adopted with the provision that properties adjacent to the areas listed below, be given priority in regard to the replacement of the “flower pot” waste containers with 95-gallon roll-out carts:

(i) Sheppard Avenue, from Bathurst Street to the Allen Road;

(ii) Marlee Avenue; and

(iii) Keele Street, south of Highway 401;

and further advising that the Working Group also requested the General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services, Works and Emergency Services, to:

(a) amend the Commercial Garbage By-law, which is currently being developed, to prohibit any identification other than a decal on the roll-out carts or bulk lift containers;

(b) supervise the removal of other markings that appear on the roll-out carts or bulk lift containers;

(c) inspect the decals periodically and replace them when required; and
(d)  report to the next meeting of the Automated “Flower Pot” Waste Collection System Working Group regarding the cancellation provisions within the Turtle Island agreement with a view to possibly extending the pilot project to include Eglinton Avenue West.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the recommendation of the Automated “Flower Pot” Waste Collection System Working Group contained in the aforementioned communication.

(Claude No. 7, Report No. 16)

11.23 Implementation of City-Wide Sidewalk Snow Clearing.

The Works Committee had before it a report (October 5, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the status of the implementation of City-wide sidewalk snow clearing; and recommending that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to renegotiate and finalize the existing contracts/purchase orders for District 2 for the seniors/disabled program to change the basis of payment from a per location per storm, to a daily standby payment and hourly operating rate basis, such that the estimated value of the contracts/purchase orders remains the same.

On motion by Councillor Jones, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report, subject to deleting the word “change” from the Recommendation, and inserting in lieu thereof the words “contribute to the objective of clearing snow from all local sidewalks by changing”, so that such Recommendation reads as follows:

“It is recommended that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to renegotiate and finalize the existing contracts/purchase orders for District 2 for the seniors/disabled program to contribute to the objective of clearing snow from all local sidewalks by changing the basis of payment from a per location per storm, to a daily standby payment and hourly operating rate basis, such that the estimated value of the contracts/purchase orders remains the same.”

(Claude No. 2, Report No. 16)
11.24 Avondale Composting Facility.

The Works Committee had before it a report (October 16, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

(1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to request an extension of the temporary zoning by-law applicable to the Avondale Composting Facility from the City of Vaughan;

(2) in the event that a temporary zoning extension from the City of Vaughan is not granted, the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board;

(3) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to apply to the Ministry of the Environment for an amendment to the Certificate of Approval applicable to the Avondale Composting Facility; and

(4) the appropriate City of Toronto officials be authorized to take such steps as may be required to implement the foregoing.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 16)

The Works Committee adjourned its meeting at 3:30 p.m.

__________________________________________
Chair.