#### **City of Toronto**

#### **Clerk's Division**

#### Meeting No. 10

The Humber York Community Council held a meeting on Tuesday, November 20, 2001 in the Council Chamber, York Civic Centre, 2700 Eglinton Avenue West, at 9:30 a.m.

		9:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.
Members present:	Councillor C. Korwin-Kuczynski, Chair	х
	Councillor F. DiGiorgio, Vice Chair	Х
	Councillor B. Disero	Х
	Councillor D. Miller	Х
	Councillor H. Moscoe	Х
	Councillor M. Silva	Х

#### 10.1 Draft By-Law – Request For Traffic Calming On Hilldale Road Between Orman Avenue And Humber Boulevard South. (York South-Weston, Ward 11)

The Humber York Community Council had before it:

- (i) a Draft By-law To authorize the alteration of Hilldale Road from Orman Avenue to Humber Boulevard South by the installation of speed humps.
- (ii) a report (October 31, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on the results of the residents' survey undertaken on the feasibility of installing traffic calming on Hilldale Road between Orman Avenue and Humber Boulevard South; and recommending that the report be received for information.

The Community Council also held a public meeting on November 20, 2001, and that pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the Draft By-law was advertised in the Toronto Sun on October 29, November 5, 12 and 19, 2001, and no one addressed the Humber York Community Council.

Ref. Clause No. 39, Report No. 4 of the Southwest Community Council, as adopted by City Council at its regular meeting held on April 23, 24, 25, 26, 27 and its special meeting held on April 30, May 1 and 2, 2001.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council recommended to Council that a By-law in the form of the Draft By-law be enacted and that the necessary Bill be introduced in Council to give effect thereto; and received the foregoing report (October 31, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

## (Clause No. 6, Report No. 10) 10.2 Draft By-Law – Installation of Two (2) Speed Humps on Riverview Gardens, south of Halford Avenue; and Speed Hump Poll Results (Parkdale-High Park, Ward 13)

The Humber York Community Council had before it the following:

- (i) a Draft By-law To authorize the alteration of Riverview Gardens south of Halford Avenue by the installation of speed humps; and
- (ii) report (October 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on the results of the speed hump poll of Riverview Gardens residents and advising that conditions for the installation of speed humps on the subject section of Riverview Gardens have been satisfied; and recommending that the report be received for information. Ref. Clause No. 30, Report No. 7 of the Humber York Community Council, as adopted without amendment by City Council on July 24, 25 and 26, 2001.

The Community Council also held a public meeting on November 20, 2001, and that pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the Draft By-law was advertised in the Toronto Sun on October 29, November 5, 12 and 19, 2001, and no one addressed the Humber York Community Council.

Ref. Clause No. 30, Report No. 7 of the Humber York Community Council, as adopted without amendment by City Council on July 24, 25 and 26, 2001.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Community Council recommended to Council that a By-law in the form of the Draft By-law be enacted and that the necessary Bill be introduced in Council to give effect thereto; and received the foregoing report (October 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 10)

## 10.3 Draft By-Law – Installation of Traffic Circle and Road Alterations Windermere Avenue, Coe Hill Drive, Budgell Terrace Intersection (Parkdale-High Park, Ward 13)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a Draft By-law To authorize the alteration of certain highways by the construction of a traffic circle incorporating all legs of Windermere Avenue, Coe Hill Drive and Budgell Terrace and the residential section of Windermere Avenue; and narrowing Windermere Avenue south of Coe Hill Drive.

The Community Council also held a public meeting on November 20, 2001, and that pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the Draft By-law was advertised in the Toronto Sun on October 29, November 5, 12 and 19, 2001, and no one addressed the Humber York Community Council.

Ref. Clause No. 23, Report No. 8 of the Humber York Community Council, as adopted without amendment by City Council on October 2, 3 and 4, 2001.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Community Council recommended to Council that a By-law in the form of the Draft By-law be enacted and that the necessary Bill be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 10)

## 10.4. Draft By-Law – Installation of Speed Humps on Brookview Drive between Kirkland Boulevard and Rondale Boulevard. (Eglinton-Lawrence, Ward 15)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a Draft By-law To authorize the alteration of Brookview Drive from Kirkland Boulevard to Rondale Boulevard by the installation of speed humps.

The Community Council also held a public meeting on November 20, 2001, and that pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the Draft By-law was advertised in the Toronto Sun on October 29, November 5, 12 and 19, 2001, and no one addressed the Humber York Community Council.

Ref. Clause No. 26, Report No. 8 of the Humber York Community Council, as adopted by City Council on October 2, 3 and 4, 2001.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Community Council recommended to Council that a By-law in the form of the Draft By-law be enacted and that the necessary Bill be introduced in Council to give effect thereto.

## (Clause No. 9, Report No. 10)

## 10.5 Draft By-Law – Installation of Speed Humps on Westmount Avenue between Gibson Street and Rogers Road; and Speed Hump Poll Results. (Eglinton-Lawrence, Ward 15)

The Humber York Community Council had before it the following:

- (i) Draft By-law To authorize the alteration of Westmount Avenue by the installation of speed humps; and
- (ii) (October 29, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on the results of the speed hump poll of Westmount Avenue residents

and advising that the conditions for the installation of speed humps on the subject section of Westmount Avenue have been satisfied; and recommending that the report be received for information.

The Community Council also held a public meeting on November 20, 2001, and that pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the Draft By-law was advertised in the Toronto Sun on October 29, November 5, 12 and 19, 2001, and no one addressed the Humber York Community Council.

Ref. Clause No. 32, Report No. 5 of the Southwest Community Council, as adopted without amendment by City Council on May 30, 31 and June 1, 2001.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Community Council recommended to Council that:

- (1) a By-law in the form of the Draft By-law be enacted and that the necessary Bill be introduced in Council to give effect thereto;
- (2) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to:
  - (a) undertake the installation of the speed humps in conjunction with the scheduled reconstruction of Westmount Avenue; and
  - (b) provide for the installation of a sidewalk on the north side of Gibson Avenue from Westmount Avenue to Dufferin Street, abutting Fairbank Park.

The Community Council also received the foregoing report (October 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 10)

#### 10.6 Draft By-Law – Installation of Speed Humps on Gladstone Avenue between Bloor Street West and Dufferin Park Avenue; and Speed Hump Poll Results. (Davenport, Ward 18)

The Humber York Community Council had before it the following:

- (i) a Draft By-law To authorize the alteration of Gladstone Avenue from Bloor Street West to Dufferin Park Avenue by the installation of speed humps; and
- (ii) (October 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on the results of a speed hump poll of Gladstone Avenue residents and advising that conditions for the installation of speed humps on the subject section of Gladstone Avenue have been satisfied; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Community Council also held a public meeting on November 20, 2001, and that pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the Draft By-law was advertised in the Toronto Sun on October 29, November 5, 12 and 19, 2001, and no one addressed the Humber York Community Council.

Ref. Clause No. 31, Report No. 5, Southwest Community Council, as adopted without amendment by City Council on May 30, 31 and June 1, 2001.

On motion by Councillor Silva moved, the Community Council recommended to Council that a By-law in the form of the Draft By-law be enacted and that the necessary Bill be introduced in Council to give effect thereto; and received the foregoing report (October 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

(Clause No. 11, Report No. 10)

## 10.7 Draft By-Law – Installation of Speed Humps on Emerson Avenue between Bloor Street West and Dupont Street; and Speed Hump Poll Results. (Davenport, Ward 18)

The Humber York Community Council had before it the following:

- (i) a Draft By-law To authorize the alteration of Emerson Avenue from Bloor Street West to Dupont Street by the installation of speed humps; and
- (ii) (October 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on the results of a speed hump poll of Emerson Avenue residents and advising that conditions for the installation of speed humps on the subject section of Emerson Avenue have been satisfied; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Community Council also held a public meeting on November 20, 2001, and that pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the Draft By-law was advertised in the Toronto Sun on October 29, November 5, 12 and 19, 2001, and no one addressed the Humber York Community Council.

Ref. Clause No. 31, Report No. 5, Southwest Community Council, as adopted without amendment by City Council on May 30, 31 and June 1, 2001.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Community Council recommended to Council that a By-law in the form of the Draft By-law be enacted and that the necessary Bill be introduced in Council to give effect thereto; and received the foregoing report (October 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

(Clause No. 12, Report No. 10)

#### 10.8 Draft By-Law – Installation of Speed Humps on Wade Avenue between Paton Road and Lansdowne Avenue; and Speed Hump Poll Results. (Davenport, Ward 18)

The Humber York Community Council had before it the following:

- (i) a Draft By-law To authorize the alteration of Wade Avenue between Paton Road and Lansdowne Avenue by the installation of speed humps; and
- (ii) (October 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on the results of a speed hump poll of Wade Avenue residents and advising that conditions for the installation of speed humps on the subject section of Wade Avenue have been satisfied; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Community Council also held a public meeting on November 20, 2001, and that pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the Draft By-law was advertised in the Toronto Sun on October 29, November 5, 12 and 19, 2001, and no one addressed the Humber York Community Council.

Ref. Clause No. 31, Report No. 5, Southwest Community Council, as adopted without amendment by City Council on May 30, 31 and June 1, 2001.

On motion by Councillor Silva moved, the Community Council recommended to Council that a By-law in the form of the Draft By-law be enacted and that the necessary Bill be introduced in Council to give effect thereto; and received the foregoing report (October 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

(Clause No. 13, Report No. 10)

#### 10.9. Draft By-Law – Installation of Speed Humps on Mackenzie Crescent between Dovercourt Road and Lisgar Street; and Speed Hump Poll Results. (Davenport, Ward 18)

The Humber York Community Council had before it the following:

- (i) a Draft By-law To authorize the alteration of Mackenzie Crescent from Dovercourt Road to Lisgar Street by the installation of speed humps; and
- (ii) (October 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on the results of a speed hump poll of Mackenzie Crescent residents and advising that conditions for the installation of speed humps on the subject section of Mackenzie Crescent have been satisfied; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Community Council also held a public meeting on November 20, 2001, and that pursuant to the Municipal Act, notice with respect to the proposed enactment of the Draft By-law was advertised in the Toronto Sun on October 29, November 5, 12 and 19, 2001, and no one addressed the Humber York Community Council.

Ref. Clause No. 31, Report No. 5, Southwest Community Council, as adopted without amendment by City Council on May 30, 31 and June 1, 2001.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Community Council recommended to Council that a By-law in the form of the Draft By-law be enacted and that the necessary Bill be introduced in Council to give effect thereto; and received the foregoing report (October 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1.

(Clause No. 14, Report No. 10)

10.10. Final Report – 65 Spencer Avenue (North Side of Spencer Avenue, mid-block between Springhurst Avenue and King Street West; Application to amend the former City of Toronto Zoning By-Law No. 438-86. (Parkdale-High Park, Ward 14)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (October 30, 2001) from the Director, Parkdale Pilot Project, South District, reporting on an application to amend the Zoning By-law to allow the owner to maintain the nine existing dwelling units within the residential building at 65 Spencer Avenue; and recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the Zoning By-law No. 438-86 for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 5; and
- (2) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.

The Humber York Community Council also held a statutory public meeting on November 20, 2001, pursuant to Section 34 of the Planning Act, and that appropriate notice of this meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act and regulations thereunder, and no one addressed the Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 15, Report No. 10)

## 10.11 Final Report – 198 Dunn Avenue (West Side of Dunn Avenue, mid-block

## north of King Street West); Application to amend the (Former) City Of Toronto Zoning By-Law No. 438-86. (Parkdale-High Park, Ward 14)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (October 30, 2001) from the Director, Parkdale Pilot Project, South District, reporting on a review of an application to amend the Zoning By-law to allow the owner to maintain the twelve existing dwelling units within the residential building at 198 Dunn Avenue; and recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the Zoning By-law No. 438-86 for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 5; and
- (2) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.

The Humber York Community Council also held a statutory public meeting on November 20, 2001, pursuant to Section 34 of the Planning Act, and that appropriate notice of this meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act and regulations thereunder, and no one addressed the Community Council.

## On motion by Councillor Miller, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 16, Report No. 10)

10.12 Final Report – 203 Dunn Avenue (East Side Of Dunn Avenue, Mid-Block North Of King Street West & Queen Street West) Application To Amend The (Former) City Of Toronto Official Plan And Zoning By-Law No. 438-86. (Parkdale-High Park, Ward 14)

> The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (October 30, 2001) from the Director, Parkdale Pilot Project, South District, reporting on a review of an application to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law to allow the owner to maintain the seven existing dwelling units within the residential building at 203 Dunn Avenue; and recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the Official Plan for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Attachment No. 6;
- (2) amend the Zoning By-law No. 438-86 for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 7; and

(3) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan Amendment and draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.

The Humber York Community Council also held a statutory public meeting on November 20, 2001, pursuant to Section 34 of the Planning Act, and that appropriate notice of this meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act and regulations thereunder, and no one addressed the Community Council.

# On motion by Councillor Miller, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 17, Report No. 10)

#### 10.13 Final Report – 216 Dunn Avenue (West Side Of Dunn Avenue, Mid-Block North Of King Street West & Queen Street West) Application To Amend The (Former) City Of Toronto Zoning By-Law No. 438-86. (Parkdale-High Park, Ward 14)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (October 30, 2001) from the Director, Parkdale Pilot Project, South District, reporting on a review of an application to amend the Zoning By-law to allow the owner to maintain the six existing dwelling units within the residential building at 216 Dunn Avenue; and recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the Zoning By-law No. 438-86 for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 5; and
- (2) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required.

The Humber York Community Council also held a statutory public meeting on November 20, 2001, pursuant to Section 34 of the Planning Act, and that appropriate notice of this meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act and regulations thereunder, and no one addressed the Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report, subject to the following under the heading "Comments":

(1) the deletion of the sentence:

"The property requires the construction of a fire escape in order to comply with Fire Code, Building Code and the Municipal Housing Standards which were in existence for the former City of Toronto until January 1, 2001."

and the substitution of the following in lieu thereof:

"The property complies with Fire Code, Building Code and the Municipal Housing Standards which were in existence for the former City of Toronto until January 1, 2001."

(2) and the deletion of the sentence:

"A permit will be issued for the fire escape when the regularization has been approved in principle by Council. The owner will construct the fire escape in accordance with the permit as soon as it is issued.

(Clause No. 18, Report No. 10)

10.14 Final Report – 67 Bala Avenue And 1239 Jane Street (North-East Corner Of Jane Street And Bala Avenue) Application To Amend The Former City Of York Zoning By-Law No. 1-83. (York South-Weston, Ward 11)

> The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (October 25, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, reporting on an application to permit the existing two detached dwellings located on the lands municipally known as 67 Bala Avenue and 1239 Jane Street; and recommending that:

- (1) amend Zoning By-law No. 1-83 to permit the existing two detached dwellings substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 4;
- (2) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendment as may be required; and,
- (3) prior to the introduction of the necessary Bills for enactment by Council regarding this application, the owner shall:
  - i) provide and maintain a 1.5 metre wide landscape strip along the northern property limit of 1239 Jane Street, along the area abutting the existing public garage located on the south east corner of Jane Street and Weston Road, abutting the subject property to the north; and,
  - ii) provide and maintain a fence along the northern property line of 1239 Jane Street, all to the satisfaction of the Urban Design Division, West District.

The Humber York Community Council also held a statutory public meeting on November 20, 2001, pursuant to Section 34 of the Planning Act, and that appropriate notice of this meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act and regulations thereunder, and no one addressed the Community Council.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No, 19, Report No. 10)

## 10.15. Final Report – 70 High Park Avenue; Application to amend the (former) City Of Toronto Official Plan and Zoning By-Law No. 438-86; The Trustees of the Fifth Church of Christ, Scientist. (Parkdale-High Park, Ward 13)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 6, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, reporting on an application for a 20-storey residential condominium tower with 138 residential units; and recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend the Official Plan for the former City of Toronto substantially in accordance with the draft Official Plan amendment attached as Appendix B;
- (2) amend the Zoning By-law No. 438-86 for the lands known as 70 High Park Avenue substantially in accordance with the draft Zoning By-law amendment attached as Appendix C;
- (3) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Official Plan amendment and draft Zoning By-law amendment as may be required;
- (4) require that the Official Plan amendment and Zoning By-law amendment stipulate that the owner enter into an agreement pursuant to Section 37 of the Planning Act to implement the matters set out in Appendix D;
- (5) grant authority for the execution of a Heritage Easement Agreement, under Section 37 of the Ontario Heritage Act, with the owner of 70 High Park Avenue, using substantially the form of easement agreement prepared in February 1987 by the City Solicitor and on file with the City Clerk, subject to such amendments as may be deemed necessary by the City Solicitor in consultation with the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services;
- (6) grant authority for the execution of a Heritage Easement Agreement, prior to the issuance of a building or demolition permit and require the owner:

- a) to submit site plan drawings that are substantially in accordance with those submitted to Heritage Preservation Services staff with this application;
- b) to submit a complete set of drawings showing the new intervention in relation to the heritage building (Third Church of Christ, Scientist), prior to issuance of a building permit, satisfactory to the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services;
- c) to submit a detailed landscape plan, satisfactory to the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services, prior to the issuance of any building permit;
- d) to submit a Restoration Plan, satisfactory to the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services, prior to the issuance of any building permit;
- e) to provide Heritage Preservation Services with (2) sets of the necessary replacement photos and drawings (2 schedules), showing the existing building and the project after restoration work has been completed to be included with the Heritage Easement Agreement;
- (7) direct Heritage Preservation Services staff to report to the Toronto Preservation Board should approval of the anticipated Site Plan Application impact on the heritage elements of this site, other than as set out in the plans and reports specified above and other than to amend the schedules of the Heritage Easement Agreement;
- (8) require the owner to post Letters of Credit with the City in amounts satisfactory to the Manager, Heritage Preservation Services, prior to the issuance of any building permit, of sufficient amounts to provide for the protection of the heritage elements to be retained during the demolition and construction and to provide for the restoration as set out in the approved Restoration Plan;
- (9) authorize the appropriate City Officials to take whatever action is necessary to execute the Heritage Easement Agreement including the introduction of any necessary Bills in Council;
- (10) require the Owner to:
  - (a) at least three weeks prior to the introduction of the Bills in Council:
    - submit to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, a Reference Plan of Survey in metric units and referenced to the Ontario Co-ordinate System and delineating thereon PARTS of the lands under the application and any appurtenant rights-of-way for the preparation of legal descriptions;

- submit to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services final approved drawings of the development with sufficient horizontal and vertical dimensions of the exterior walls of the proposed buildings to enable the preparation of building envelope plans;
- (b) comply with the parking requirements of the Zoning By-law on site or within 300 metres of the lot;
- (c) enter into an agreement with the Toronto District School Board, prior to the issuance of a building permit, to secure the following conditions:
  - (i) the owner shall erect and maintain signs, at points of egress and ingress of the development site, advising that;

"Despite the best efforts of the Toronto District School Board, sufficient accommodation might not be locally available for all students anticipated from the development area. Students may be accommodated in facilities outside the area, and may later be transferred.

For information regarding designated school(s), please call (416) 397-2833."

These signs shall be to the Board's specifications and erected prior to registration.

(d) enter into a Servicing and/or Development Agreement, or a separate agreement between the School Board and the Developer, to include the following warning clauses in all offers of purchase and sale of residential units (prior to registration of the plan and for a period of ten years following registration):

"Despite the best efforts of the Toronto District School Board, sufficient accommodation may not be locally available for all students anticipated from the development area and that students may be accommodated in facilities outside the area, and further, that students may later be transferred.

Purchasers agree for the purpose of transportation to school, if bussing is provided by the Toronto District School Board in accordance with the Board's policy, that students will not be bussed to school, but will meet the bus at designated locations in or outside the area."

The Humber York Community Council also had before it a letter (November 15, 2001) from Mr. Bill Poznanski, Toronto, expressing objection to the subject proposal.

The Humber York Community Council also held a statutory public meeting on November 20, 2001, pursuant to Section 34 of the Planning Act, and that appropriate notice of this meeting was given in accordance with the Planning Act and regulations thereunder.

Ms. Ragini Dayal, Preservation Officer, Heritage Preservation Services, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, was in attendance and in response to questions from the Community Council, commented on the project in terms of its interior, exterior, and portions of the building which have been retained and restored.

Ms. Diana Fancher, West Toronto Junction Historical Society, appeared before the Humber York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter and enquired as to the status of the directives given to staff at the April 3, 2001 meeting of the Southwest Community Council when the Preliminary Report was considered; advised that there was an understanding that there will be an evening public meeting; reaffirmed the position of the Historical Society that they are in favour of maintaining the current zoning and density and that the concessions made with respect to preservation efforts are not acceptable to the citizens in the west end.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report, subject to Recommendation 10(c) regarding the erection and maintenance of signs, being secured through a Letter of Credit to be used for replacement signage as may be required; and requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services prepare a supplementary report detailing more precisely with the bonusing granted for the heritage component.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Community Council requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to:

- (i) report to the December 4, 2001 meeting of City Council, on the motions moved at the April 3, 2001 meeting of the Southwest Community Council regarding the Preliminary Report on this proposal, and on whether there has been compliance with respect to these motions, including notification to residents by the City Clerk's office; and
- (ii) report in consultation with the local Councillor and the local community, on a growth management strategy for the High Park Area (Bloor/Keele/Quebec/Gothic/Glenlake), including planning guidelines to guide future developments.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 10)

10.16 140 Rogers Road – Sign By-Law Variance Application; Owner: Aldo And Linda Vincenzi; Applicant: Astral Media Outdoor Advertising (Nick D. Bona)

#### (Davenport, Ward 17)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a joint report (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, West District and the Director of Building and Deputy Chief Building Official, West District, reporting on an application for a variance from Sign By-law No. 3369-79 of the former City of York for a third party off-premise, double face sign in a residential zone and in excess of the maximum number of third party off-premise sign locations; and recommending that:

(1) the application for relief from the provisions of By-law No. 3369-79, as amended, to permit a third party, off-premise double faced sign at 140 Rogers Road be **refused**;

or, if Community Council is inclined to approved the application then,

- (2) the application for relief from the provisions of Sign By-law No. 3369-79, as amended to permit a third party off-premise sign, at 140 Rogers Road be approved as a variance to the Sign By-law, subject to a building permit being obtained and the sign being installed substantially in accordance with the application plans on file with the Building Division, West District; and,
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Mr. Enzo Vicenzi, Toronto, appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter,

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Community Council submitted the foregoing report to Council, without recommendation.

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 10)

10.17 327 Oakwood Avenue – Sign By-Law Variance Application; Owner: Domenic Bonavota; Applicant: Astrial Media Outdoor (Nick D. Bona) (Davenport, Ward 17)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a joint report (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, and the Director of Building and Deputy Chief Building Official, West District, reporting on an application for a variance from Sign By-law No. 3369-79 of the former City of York, for a third party off-premise, double-face sign in excess of the maximum number of third part off-premise sign locations and within 91 metres from another third party off-premise sign facing the same traffic flow; and recommending that:

(1) the application for relief from the provisions of By-law No. 3369-79, as amended, to permit a double-faced, off-premise sign at 327 Oakwood Avenue, **be refused**;

or, if the Community Council is inclined to approve the application then,

- (2) the application for relief from the provisions of Sign By-law No. 3369-79, as amended to permit a third party off-premise sign, at 327 Oakwood Avenue be approved as a variance to the Sign By-law, subject to a building permit being obtained and the sign being installed substantially in accordance with the application plans on file with the Building Division, West District; and,
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Mr. Nick D'Bona, applicant, appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Community Council submitted the foregoing report to Council, without recommendation.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 10)

## 10.18 39 Rusholme Drive – Request For An Exemption From Ch. 248 Of The Former City Of Toronto Municipal Code To Permit Driveway Widening For Two Vehicles. (Davenport, Ward 18)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 6, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on a request for an exemption from Chapter 248 of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code, to permit driveway widening for two vehicles at 39 Rusholme Drive, which does not meet the requirement of the Code; advising that as this is an appeal and a request for an exemption, it is scheduled as a deputation item; and recommending that:

(1) City Council deny the application for driveway widening for two vehicles at 39 Rusholme Drive;

OR

- (2) City Council approve the application for driveway widening for one vehicle at 39 Rusholme Drive, as shown on Appendix 'A', subject to:
  - (a) the parking area not exceeding 2.4 m by 5.9 m in dimension; and

(b) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code;

## OR

- (3) City Council approve the application for driveway widening for two vehicles at 39 Rusholme Drive, as shown on Appendix 'B', subject to:
  - (a) the parking area within the limits of the private driveway not exceeding 2.4 m by 5.9 m in dimension and the parking area adjacent to the private driveway not exceeding 2.5 m by 5.4 m in dimension;
  - (b) the existing concrete paving for the parking area adjacent to the existing driveway being removed and replaced with semi-permeable paving materials, i.e., ecostone pavers or approved equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; and
  - (c) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code;

#### OR

- (4) City Council approve the request for driveway widening for two vehicles at 39 Rusholme Drive, notwithstanding that the existing concrete paving does not meet the City's existing paving specifications, and subject to:
  - (a) the parking area within the limits of the private driveway not exceeding 2.4 m by 5.9 m in dimension and the parking area adjacent to the private driveway not exceeding 2.5 m by 5.4 m in dimension; and
  - (b) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of Option (2) in the following report (November 6, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, viz:

- "(2) City Council approve the application for driveway widening for one vehicle at 39 Rusholme Drive, as shown on Appendix 'A', subject to:
  - (a) the parking area not exceeding 2.4 m by 5.9 m in dimension; and

(b) the applicant paying all applicable fees and complying with all other criteria set out in Municipal Code Chapter 248, Parking Licences, of the former City of Toronto Municipal Code;"

(Clause No. 20, Report No. 10)

#### 10.19 Sign By-Law Variance Application for 965 Jane Street; Applicant: Pattison Outdoor Advertising; Owner: 1414248 Ontario Ltd. (York South-Weston, Ward 11)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (October 5, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, West District and Director of Building and Chief Building Official, West District reporting on an application for variances from Sign By-law No. 3369-79, as amended for the former City of York, to permit a third party off-premise, double-face sign on the property located at 965 Jane Street in a residential zone and in excess of the maximum number of third party off-premise sign locations; advising that there are no financial implications arising from the adoption of this report. **On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council deferred the foregoing report, sine die.** 

(Clause No. 49(n), Report No, 10)

#### 10.20. 2030 Eglinton Avenue West -Sign By-Law Variance Application; Owner: Giorgio Argentini Applicant: Pattison Outdoor Advertising. (Eglinton-Lawrence, Ward 15)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a joint report (October 5, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, and Director of Building and Chief Building Official, West District, reporting on an application for variances from Sign By-law No. 3369-79, as amended for the former City of York, to permit a third party off-premise, double-face sign on the property located at 2030 Eglinton Avenue West in excess of the maximum number of third party off-premise sign locations and within 91 metres from another third party off-premise sign facing the same traffic flow; advising that there are no financial implications arising from the adoption of this report; and recommending that:

(1) the application for relief from the provisions of By-law No. 3369-79, as amended, to permit a double-faced, off-premise sign at 2030 Eglinton Avenue, be **refused**;

or, if the Community Council is inclined to approve the application then,

(2) the application for relief from the provisions of Sign By-law No. 3369-79, as amended to permit a third party off-premise sign, at 2030 Eglinton Avenue be approved as a variance to the Sign By-law, subject to a building permit being obtained and the sign being installed substantially in accordance with the application plans on file with the Building Division, West District; and,

(3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of Option (1) in the joint report (October 5, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, West District and the Director of Building and Chief Building Official, West District, viz:

"(1) the application for relief from the provisions of By-law No. 3369-79, as amended, to permit a double-faced, off-premise sign at 2030 Eglinton Avenue, be refused;"

(Clause No. 21, Report No. 10)

#### 10.21. 3235 Dufferin Street - Variance For Ground Sign. (Eglinton-Lawrence, Ward 15)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (August 23, 2001) from the Director of Building and Deputy Chief Building Official, reporting on a request for a variance from the Sign By-law by Leonard Wren, to permit the erection of a first party illuminated 20 foot high ground sign with an advertising face of 7 feet by 11.5 feet; advising that there are no financial implications associated with this report; and recommending that the request for a minor variance to the Sign by-law be refused.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 22, Report No. 10)

#### 10.22 Hilldale Road Traffic Calming Survey Results. (York South-Weston, Ward 11)

A report dated (October 31, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, was considered with Clause 10.1 of these Minutes regarding a Draft By-law. Please refer to page 1.

#### 10.23. Request for All-Way Stop Control at Outlook Avenue at Chryessa Avenue. (York South-Weston, Ward 11)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, responding to a request for the introduction of an all-way stop control at Outlook Avenue and Chryessa Avenue; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council recommended to Council that:

- (1) an all-way stop control be installed at the intersection of Outlook Avenue and Chryessa Avenue; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

#### (Clause No. 23, Report No. 10)

## 10.24 Request For All-Way Stop Control at Cynthia Road and Frimette Crescent. (York South-Weston, Ward 11)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 2, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, responding to a request for the introduction of an all-way stop control at the westerly intersection of Cynthia Road at Frimette Crescent; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council recommended to Council that:

- (1) an all-way stop control be installed at the westerly intersection of Cynthia Road and Frimette Crescent; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 24, Report No. 10)

## 10.25 Ravine Erosion on Spears Street. (York South-Weston, Ward 11)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (October 2, 2001) from the Acting Director, Engineering Services, Districts 1 and 2, recommending that:

- (1) the City negotiate with the owner(s) of No.100 Spears Street for transfer of lands occupied by the encroachment of the City's footpath, and the City lands occupied by the retaining wall supporting the driveway to No. 100 Spears Street;
- (2) the reconstruction of the retaining wall supporting the driveway to No. 100 Spears Street be carried out by the Commissioner of Works and

Emergency Services, subject to agreement with the owner(s) of No. 100 Spears Street with respect to the transfer of lands under recommendation (1);

- (3) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services include the reconstruction of the retaining wall at the East End of Garrow Avenue in the 2002 Transportation Services Capital Budget Request; and
- (4) appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The following persons appeared before the Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Cheryl Ewasyn, Toronto; and
- Mr. Jerry Burns, Toronto.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (October 2, 2001) from the Acting Director, Engineering Services, Districts 1 and 2.

On motion by Council Moscoe, the Community Council requested the Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services to:

- (i) report to the Humber York Community Council on:
  - (a) remedial work done by the City and the Conservation Authority on private property in the Scarborough Bluffs area and along the Humber River in the vicinity of Dee Avenue and adjacent streets; and
  - (b) remedial work that may be required to address the erosion problem on Spears Avenue for all the properties on that street, using the services of a certified professional engineer; and
- (ii) submit the foregoing report together with previous decisions of the Committee of Adjustment regarding properties in this area.

(Clause No. 25, Report No. 10)

## 10.26 Poll Results – Proposed designation of Liverpool Street as one-way eastbound between Castleton Avenue and Runnymede Road. (York South-Weston, Ward 11)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 6, 2001) City Clerk advising that the results of a poll of the residents on Liverpool Street between Castleton Avenue and Runnymede Road, indicate that the majority of respondents are not in favour of this proposal.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council :

- (1) requested the City Clerk to re-poll the residents on Liverpool Street between Castleton Avenue and Runnymede Road in languages to be identified by the Ward Councillor; and
- (2) received the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 49(k), Report No. 10)

#### 10.27 Poll Results – Proposed Introduction of Overnight On-Street Permit Parking on the East Side of Scarlett Road between Bernice Crescent and Ellins Avenue. (York South-Weston, Ward 11)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 5, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the results of a poll of the residents on Scarlett Road between Bernice Crescent and Ellins Avenue, indicate that the majority of respondents are not in favour of this proposal.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council received the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 49(l), Report No. 10)

#### 10.28 Keele Street At Lonborough Avenue Extension Of Southbound Left Turn Prohibition. (York South-Weston, Ward 12)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 2, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on an extension to the existing peak period southbound left turn prohibition to be in effect at all times to address safety concerns at the intersection of Keele Street and Lonborough Avenue; advising that funds associated with this extension is estimated at \$300.00 and are contained in the Transportation Services Division 2001 Operating Budget; and recommending that:

- (1) the existing southbound left turn prohibition from Keele Street to Lonborough Avenue, which is in effect from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, be amended to be in effect at all times; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor DiGiorgio, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

## (Clause No. 26, Report No. 10

## 10.29 Sunnyside Avenue between The Queensway And Marion Street – Adjustment To Parking Prohibition. (Parkdale-High Park, Ward 14)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (October 30, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on prohibiting stopping at all times on the west side of Sunnyside Avenue from The Queensway to Marion Street, to prevent delays to through traffic; advising that funds associated with the adjustment of parking regulations on Sunnyside Avenue estimated at \$1,000.00 will be accommodated in the Transportation Services Division 2001 Operating Budget; and recommending that:

- (1) the parking prohibition at all times, on the west side of Sunnyside Avenue from The Queensway to Marion Street, be rescinded;
- (2) stopping be prohibited at all times, on the west side of Sunnyside Avenue from The Queensway to Marion Street; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

## (Clause No. 27, Report No. 10)

## 10.30. Parking Prohibitions on Bridgeland Avenue, west of Caledonia Road. (Eglinton-Lawrence, Ward 15)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, reporting on an amendment to the existing parking prohibitions on the south side of Bridgeland Avenue, west of Caledonia Road; advising that all costs associated with the parking amendments are included within the District 3 Transportation Services Division's Operating Budget; and recommending that:

(1) schedule VIII of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended by deleting the "No Parking Anytime" prohibitions on the south side of Bridgeland Avenue, from the westerly limit of Dufferin Street to the westerly limit of Bridgeland Avenue;

- (2) schedule VIII of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended by installing "No Parking Anytime" prohibitions on the south side of Bridgeland Avenue, from the westerly limit of Dufferin Street to the easterly limit of Caledonia Road; and
- (3) schedule VIII of By-law No. 31001, of the former City of North York, be amended by installing "No Parking, Monday to Saturday" prohibitions on the south side of Bridgeland Avenue, from the westerly limit of Caledonia Road to a point 155 metres westerly thereof.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 28, Report No. 10)

#### 10.31 Reduction in Speed Limit to 40 km/h on Hanson Avenue, Blandford Street and Rockvale Avenue. (Eglinton-Lawrence, Ward 15)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 1, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on reducing the speed limit from 50 km/h to 40 km/h on Hanson Avenue, Blandford Street and Rockvale Avenue; advising that if approved the funds associated with these reductions estimated at \$1,500.00 are available in the Transportation Services 2001 Operating Budget; and recommending that:

- (1) the speed limit on Hanson Avenue, Blandford Street and Rockvale Avenue be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

## On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

## (Clause No. 29, Report No. 10)

#### 10.32 Request For Traffic Calming Measures on Glenholme Avenue between Rogers Road and Vaughan Road. (Eglinton-Lawrence, Ward 15)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, responding to a request from Councillor Moscoe for a report on the feasibility of introducing traffic calming on Glenholme Avenue between Rogers Road and Vaughan Road; advising that funds for new traffic calming installations City-wide contained in the Transportation Services Division 2001 Capital Budget, have been expended for this year; that funds for the construction of six asphalt speed humps and one intersection throat narrowing with an at-grade textured pedestrian crosswalk on Glenholme Avenue between Rogers Road and Vaughan Road, estimated at \$28,000.00 would have to be provided in the 2002 Capital Budget, subject to competing priorities; and recommending that:

- (1) Transportation staff be authorized to conduct a resident poll on Glenholme Avenue between Rogers Road and Vaughan Road to determine majority support for a proposed traffic calming plan;
- (2) subject to favourable results of the survey, a by-law be prepared and public notice given pursuant to the Municipal Act and Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act for the alteration of sections of the roadway on Glenholme Avenue between Rogers Road and Vaughan Road, for traffic calming purposes as described below:

"The construction of speed humps and an intersection throat narrowing with a textured pedestrian crosswalk on GLENHOLME AVENUE, from Rogers Road to Vaughan Road, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-6214, dated September 2001";

- (3) pursuant to the requirements of Schedule B of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment, notice of study commencement be given to the Ministry of the Environment, Fire Services, Emergency Medical Services, Toronto Police Services and the Toronto Catholic District School Board, and upon final approval of a by-law by Council, Notice of Completion be issued;
- (4) the speed limit on Glenholme Avenue between Rogers Road and Vaughan Road be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h, coincident with the installation of speed humps; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

On motion by Councillo Moscoe, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the following report (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, subject to:

- (1) Recommendation (2) being amended by deleting the words "...and public notice given pursuant to the Municipal Act and the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act for the alteration of sections of the roadway on Glenholme Avenue between Rogers Road and Vaughan Road, for traffic calming purposes as described below:", to read as follows:
  - "(2) subject to favourable results of the survey, a by-law be prepared for the construction of speed humps and an intersection throat

narrowing with a textured pedestrian crosswalk on GLENHOLME AVENUE, from Rogers Road to Vaughan Road, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 42IF-6241, dated September 2001;"

- (2) the deletion of Recommendation (3), viz:
  - "(3) pursuant to the requirements of Schedule B of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment, notice of study commencement be given to the Ministry of the Environment, Fire Services, Emergency Medical Services, Toronto Police Services and the Toronto Catholic District School Board, and upon final approval of a by-law by Council, Notice of Completion be issued;" and
- (3) the following Recommendation (6) being added:
  - "(6) Prior to any changes being made, that Transportation Services hold a public meeting with the residents in cooperation with the local Councillor."

(Clause No. 30, Report No. 10)

#### 10.33 Introduction of Overnight On-Street Permit Parking on Danesbury Avenue between Roselawn Avenue and Castlefield Avenue. (Eglinton-Lawrence, Ward 15)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 6, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on the introduction of overnight on-street permit parking on Danesbury Avenue between Roselawn Avenue and Castlefield Avenue, to operate during the hours of 12:00 midnight and 6:00 a.m., 7 days a week; advising that funds to undertake the necessary signage adjustments in the estimated amount of \$200.00 are contained in the Transportation Services Division 2001 Operating Budget; and recommending that:

- (1) the City Clerk be directed to conduct a formal poll of the residents of Danesbury Avenue, between Roselawn Avenue and Castlefield Avenue, to determine support for the implementation of overnight on-street permit parking;
- (2) the City Clerk report the results of the poll to the Humber York Community Council; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, including the introduction of all necessary bills.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the report (November 6, 2001) from the Manager, Right of Way Management, Transportation Services, District 1; and requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to arrange a meeting with the residents, in cooperation with the Ward Councillor.

(Clause No. 31, Report No. 10)

## 10.34 Westmount Avenue Between Gibson Street And Rogers Road – Speed Hump Poll Results. (Eglinton-Lawrence, Ward 15)

A report dated (October 29, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, was considered with Clause 10.5 of these Minutes regarding a Draft By-law. Please refer to page 3.

#### 10.35 Fence and Hedge Encroachment – 319 Hillmount Avenue. (Eglinton-Lawrence, Ward 15)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 7, 2001) from the Manager, North District, Municipal Licensing and Standards and Court Services, and the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, reporting on a request by the owner of 319 Hillmount Avenue to allow encroachments of a chain link fence, curb stones, rocks and flower beds on the Hillmount Avenue road allowance and a cedar hedge on the Dalesbury Avenue road allowance; and recommending that:

- (1) the encroachment request be refused, based on the following concerns of Transportation Services staff:
  - (a) the encroachment on Hillmount Avenue creates a trip hazard for the public and an operating safety hazard for sidewalk snow clearing operators;
  - (b) the encroachment on Dalesbury Avenue creates a sight obstruction for eastbound Hillmount Avenue drivers and reduces the space available for snow storage on the boulevard;
  - (c) the underground utilities and City trees are located within the area enclosed by the fence and hedge and are not readily accessible to repair crews; and
  - (d) the City has no record of receipt of the necessary approvals from the public utilities (Hydro, Bell Telephone, Enbridge Gas) for the noted encroachments; OR
- (2) If Council approves the encroachment, that the following conditions be considered:

- (a) the owner(s) enter into an Encroachment Agreement with the City to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the City Solicitor;
- (b) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto;
- (c) permit that no foliage be within the encroaching area to prevent sight obstructions or access to underground services;
- (d) the owner(s) agree to the removal of the fence, hedge, curb stones, rocks or flowers beds <u>without notice</u> for the purpose of emergency repairs or maintenance with the associated costs borne by the owner(s);
- (e) no claims will be made against the City by the owner(s) for damage occurring to the fence, hedge, curb stones, rocks or flowers beds during snow removal;
- (f) the life of the agreement be limited to five years from the date of registration or to the date of removal of the encroachment, at which time, the City may consider the Agreement for further extension if requested by the applicant;
- (g) the encroachment permitted by the Agreement to be removed by the owner(s) at the expense of the owner(s) within 30 days of receiving written notice from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services if, in the opinion of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services such removal is necessary;
- (h) on expiry of the Agreement or extension thereof, the encroachment shall be removed at the expense of the owner(s);
- (i) if encroachment removal is not being carried out as directed by the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, the removal may be done by the City at the expense of the owner(s) and the City may recover the expense incurred in doing the removal in a like manner as Municipal Real Property Taxes;
- (j) indemnification of the City by the owner(s) of the encroachment for all liability relating in any way to the encroachment and providing of insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the Agreement in a form as approved by the City Solicitor, in an amount not less than \$2,000,000.00 or such greater amount as the City Solicitor may require;
- (k) the owner(s) will at their expense and to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, keep and maintain the

encroachment in a good and proper state of repair and safety and will not make any additions or modification to the encroachment beyond what is allowed under the terms of the Agreement;

- (1) the height of the hedge on Dalesbury Avenue not exceed 0.7m in height;
- (m) the owner(s) pay a one-time fee of \$401.53; and
- (n) the agreement is non-transferable.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Community Council directed the City Clerk to submit the following recommendations to the February 13, 2002 meeting of Council:

The Humber York Community Council recommends the approval of the fence and hedge encroachments at 319 Hillmount Avenue, subject to the conditions as set out in Option (2) of the joint report (November 7, 2001) from the Manager, North District, Municipal Licensing and Standards and Court Services, and the Director, Transportation Services, District 3, and subject to the following amendments:

- (1) that paragraph (f) be amended by deleting the word "five" and substituting the word "ten" in lieu thereof, and by deleting the words "may consider the Agreement for further extension if requested by the applicant," and substituting the words "shall contact the owner and Ward Councillor to discuss renewal of the agreement," in lieu thereof, to read as follows:
  - "(f) the life of the agreement be limited to ten years from the date of registration or to the date of removal of the encroachment, at which time, the City shall contact the owner and Ward Councillor to discuss renewal of the Agreement;"
- (2) that paragraph (j) be deleted, viz:
  - "(j) indemnification of the City by the owner(s) of the encroachment for all liability relating in any way to the encroachment and providing of insurance policy for such liability for the lifetime of the Agreement in a form as approved by the City Solicitor, in an amount not less than \$2,000,000.00 or such greater amount as the City Solicitor may require;"

and the following substituted in lieu thereof:

- "(j) the owner shall remove the curbstone at the north east corner of the driveway to eliminate the potential hazard;" and
- (3) that paragraph (m) be deleted, viz:

#### "(m) the owner(s) pay a one-time fee of \$401.53;"

#### (Clause No. 49(e), Report No. 10)

## 10.36 Speed Hump Poll Results on Westmount Avenue between Regal Road and St. Clair Avenue West. (Davenport, Ward 17)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (October 4, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on the results of a poll of the residents regarding the installation of speed humps on Westmount Avenue between Regal Road and St. Clair Avenue West; and recommending that:

- (1) Council decide whether a speed hump plan should be approved for installation on Westmount Avenue between Regal Road and St. Clair Avenue West;
- (2) should Council authorize the speed hump plan for Westmount Avenue, the following recommendations be approved:
  - a by-law be prepared and public notice given pursuant to the Municipal Act and Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act for the alteration of sections of the roadway on Westmount Avenue, between Regal Road and St. Clair Avenue West, for traffic calming purposes, described as follows:

"The construction of speed humps on WESTMOUNT AVENUE from Regal Road to St. Clair avenue West, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-6204, dated August 2001";

- (ii) pursuant to the requirements of Schedule B of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act which have recently been enacted as Provincial legislation, notice of study commencement be given to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Services, Emergency Medical Services and Toronto Police Services, and upon final approval of a by-law by Council, Notice of Completion be issued;
- (iii) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Westmont Avenue between Regal Road and St. Clair Avenue West, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (iv) that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

## On motion by Councillor Disero, the Community Council recommended to Council that:

- (1) a speed hump plan be approved for installation on Westmount Avenue between Regal Road and St. Clair Avenue West;
- (2) a by-law be prepared and public notice given pursuant to the Municipal Act and Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act for the alteration of sections of the roadway on Westmount Avenue, between Regal Road and St. Clair Avenue West, for traffic calming purposes, described as follows:

"The construction of speed humps on WESTMOUNT AVENUE, from Regal Road to St. Clair Avenue West, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 421F-6204, dated August 2001";

- (3) pursuant to the requirements of Schedule B of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act which have recently been enacted as Provincial legislation, notice of study commencement be given to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Services, Emergency Medical Services and Toronto Police Services, and upon final approval of a by-law by Council, Notice of Completion be issued;
- (4) the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h hour on Westmount Avenue, between Regal Road and St. Clair Avenue West coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

(Clause No. 33, Report No. 10)

## 10.37 Day Avenue Between Morrison Avenue And Rogers Road; Request For A No Parking Regulation. (Davenport, Ward 17)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, responding to a request to re-introduce a parking prohibition on the west side of Day Avenue between Morrison Avenue and Rogers Road; advising that funds to undertake the necessary signage adjustments subject to majority support, estimated at \$300.00, are contained in the Transportation Services Division, 2001 Operating Budget; and recommending that:

(1) in accordance with the currently applicable policy of the former City of York, the appropriate staff be authorized to conduct a resident survey on Day Avenue between Morrison Avenue and Rogers Road to determine majority support to re-introduce a parking prohibition at all times on the west side of the street;

- (2) subject to favourable results of the survey, the Uniform Traffic By-laws of the former City of York be amended to prohibit parking at all times on the west side of Day Avenue between Morrison Avenue and Rogers Road;
- (3) that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

# On motion by Councillor Disero, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

## (Clause No. 34, Report No. 10)

## 10.38 Nairn Avenue between Eglinton Avenue West and Rochdale Avenue – Request for Traffic Calming. (Davenport, Ward 17)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, responding to a request from Councillor Betty Disero for staff to report on the feasibility of introducing traffic calming on Nairn Avenue between Eglinton Avenue West and Rochdale Avenue; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Community Council deferred the foregoing report to its February 25, 2002 meeting for deputations.

(Clause No. 49(d), Report No. 10)

#### 10.39 McRoberts Avenue, north of Rogers Road – Request to Switch the On-Street Parking from the West Side of the street to the East Side during the Winter Months. (Davenport, Ward 17)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 1, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on increasing the supply of on-street parking spaces on the subject section of McRoberts Avenue during the winter months; advising that funds to cover the cost of the necessary sign adjustments in the amount of \$500.00 are contained in the Transportation Services Division 2001 Operating Budget.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Community Council deferred the foregoing report to its February 25, 2002 meeting for deputations:

(Clause No. 49(c), Report No. 10)

**10.40** Robina Avenue Traffic Calming Survey Results.

#### (Davenport, Ward 17)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (October 25, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, reporting on the results of the residents' survey undertaken on the feasibility of installing traffic calming on Robina Avenue between St. Clair Avenue West and Earlsdale Avenue; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Community Council received the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 49(f), Report No. 10)

#### 10.41 Bartlett Avenue between Bloor Street West and Shanly Avenue -Request to remove the 'No Standing' Regulations in the vicinity of Premises 50R Bartlett Avenue (Pendell Boiler Limited). (Davenport, Ward 18)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (October 24, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, reporting on providing additional on-street parking on Bartlett Avenue during daytime hours; advising that funds to undertake the necessary pole and sign adjustments in the estimated amount of \$200.00 are available in the Transportation Services Division 2001 Operating Budget; and recommending that:

- (1) the existing "No Standing 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday" regulation on the east side of Bartlett Avenue from a point 178 metres north of Bloor Street West to a point 40 metres further north be rescinded;
- (2) the existing "No Parking from December 1 of one year to March 31 of the next year" regulations on the east side of Bartlett Avenue from a point 102 metres north of Bloor Street to a point 76 metres further north, and from a point 218 metres north of Bloor Street West to Shanly Street be rescinded;
- (3) the "No parking" regulation on the west side of Bartlett Avenue from a point 178 metres north of Bloor Street West to a point 17 metres further north be rescinded;
- (4) standing be prohibited 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday to Friday, on the east side of Bartlett Avenue from a point 181 metres north of Bloor Street West to a point 19.5 metres further north;

- (5) parking be prohibited on the east side of Bartlett Avenue from December 1 of one year to March 31 of the next year from a point 84 metres north of Bloor Street to a point 97 metres further north, and from a point 200.5 metres north of Bloor Street West to Shanly Street;
- (6) parking be prohibited on the west side of Bartlett Avenue from a point 181 metres north of Bloor Street to a point 11 metres further north; and
- (7) the appropriate City officials be requested to take whatever action is necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

## On motion by Councillor Silva, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

## (Clause No. 35, Report No. 10)

## 10.42. Installation/Removal Of On-Street Parking Spaces for Persons With Disabilities. (Parkdale-High Park, Ward 13; Parkdale-High Park, Ward 14; Davenport, Ward 17 and Davenport, Ward 18)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (October 31, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, reporting on requests for the installation/removal of a number of on-street disabled persons' parking spaces; advising that funds to undertake the necessary signage adjustments in the estimated amount of \$2,400.00 are contained in the Transportation Services Division 2001 Operating Budget; and recommending that:

- (1) the installation/removal of disabled on-street parking spaces as noted in Table "A" of this report be approved; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be requested to take any action necessary to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

## On motion by Councillor Miller, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 36, Report No. 10)

## 10.43. Reduction in Speed Limit to 30 km/h at Existing Traffic Calming Locations. (York South-Weston, Ward 11; Parkdale-High Park, Ward 13; Eglinton-Lawrence, Ward 15; Davenport, Ward 17)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services District 1, reporting on the introduction of a reduced speed limit on those road sections within the former City of York where physical traffic calming measures are in place; advising that the estimated cost for the installation of speed limit signs is \$2,000.00 funds for, which are available in the Transportation Services 2001 Operating Budget; and recommending that:

- (1) the speed limit be reduced from 40 to 30 km/h on each of the road sections within the former City of York, as detailed in Table 1, where traffic calming has been installed; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

# On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

## (Clause No. 37, Report No. 10)

## 10.44 Status Report – Renaming of Laughlin Park. (Davenport, Ward 17)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (October 31, 2001) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture & Tourism, reporting on a request to consider renaming Laughlin Park after Joe Foti who passed away on January 12, 2000, a long-time resident of the City of Toronto; and recommending that Parks and Recreation Division review the following options with Councillor Disero regarding the renaming of Laughlin Park:

- (1) that Laughlin Park be renamed to Joe Foti Community Park; or
- (2) that a memorial tree, bench and plaque be placed in Laughlin Park, recognizing the contributions by Joe Foti to the community; or
- (3) that the park located on Arlington Avenue across from Arlington Sr. Public School, which is unnamed, be named after Joe Foti using the policy approved by Council in 1998.

# On motion by Councillor Disero, the Community Council recommended to Council that:

- (1) Option (2) in the following report (October 31, 2001) from the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture & Tourism, be adopted, viz:
  - "(2) that a memorial tree, bench and plaque be placed in Laughlin Park, recognizing the contributions by Joe Foti to the community;" and

## (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

#### (Clause No. 38, Report No. 10)

#### 10.45 Naming of Public Lane between Symington Avenue and Rankin Crescent. (Davenport, Ward 18)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 7, 2001) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that:

- (1) the public lane located between Symington Avenue and Rankin Crescent, illustrated on Attachment No. 1, be named "DeKoven Mews"; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

#### (Clause No. 39, Report No. 10)

#### 10.46 Naming of Proposed Public Lane t 1101 Dupont Street. (Davenport, Ward 18)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 7, 2001) from the City Surveyor, Works and Emergency Services, recommending that:

- (1) the proposed public lane at 1101 Dupont Street, extending southerly from Dupont Street, then easterly to Bartlett Avenue, illustrated on Attachment No.1, be named "Craftsman Lane"; and
- (2) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

## On motion by Councillor Silva, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 40, Report No. 10)

10.47 Preliminary Report – Application to amend Zoning By-law No. 1-83 1708 Weston Road and lands fronting Victoria Avenue; Owner: Richard Attard. (York South-Weston, Ward 11)
The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, providing preliminary information on this application and to see Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process; and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting to be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and,
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

# On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council approved the recommendations in the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 49(g), Report No. 10)

### 10.48 Preliminary Report - Application to amend former City of York Zoning By-Law No. 1-83 (Temporary Use); 501 Alliance Avenue Owner: 501 Canada Inc. (York South-Weston, Ward 11)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 2, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, West District, providing preliminary information on this application and to seek Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process; and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting to be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and,
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

# On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council approved the recommendations in the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 49(h), Report No. 10)

10.49. Fire Route Application – 1400 Weston Road; (Privately Known As 21-71 & 42-52 Rivers Edge Drive); B.G. Schickedanz Central Inc. (York South-Weston, Ward 11) The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (October 25, 2001) from Captain J. McLare, Fire Prevention Division, reporting on a request that the private roadway known as Rivers Edge Drive be designated as a fire route in compliance with the Ontario Building Code; and recommending that the City Solicitor be authorized to draft an amendment to Fire Route By-law No. 3387-79 to designate the private driveway as a fire route and forwarding the amending by-law to Council.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

## (Clause No. 41, Report No. 10)

### 10.50. 1947 and 1997 Bloor Street West – Site Plan Control Application; Elcarim Inc. (Parkdale-High Park, Ward 13)

The Humber York Community Council had before it the following:

- (i) (November 16, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, recommending that:
  - (1) Planning staff and the City Solicitor be authorized to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board hearing scheduled for January 21, 2002 to consider the matter of the appeal brought by the applicant;
  - (2) Planning staff report directly to City Council on the final Site Plan conditions and the outcome of the November 26, 2001 community meeting; and
  - (3) Planning staff and the City Solicitor be authorized to support the applicant's request to the Ontario Municipal Board for any minor variances to the Zoning By-law arising out of the site plan approved by the City; and
- (ii) (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, providing a status report on a Site Plan control application for a six-storey residential/commercial building at the southeast corner of Bloor Street West and Ellis Park Road; advising that the proposal has been referred by Councillor David Miller to City Council for a decision through the Humber York Community Council, in accordance with By-law No. 483-2000; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The following persons appeared before the Humber York Community Council in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Ms. Mary Ellis, Toronto;

- Mr. David McAlpine, Toronto;
- Ms. Dawn Napier, Toronto;
- Ms. Robin Macaulay, Toronto;
- Ms. Nancy Shanoff, Toronto;
- Ms. Gwen Fitzgerald, Toronto; and
- Mr. Mark Noskiewicz, Goodmans LLP, Toronto.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of report (November 16, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, subject to:

- (1) **Recommendation** (3) being deleted, viz:
  - "(3) Planning staff and the City Solicitor be authorized to support the applicant's request to the Ontario Municipal Board for any minor variances to the Zoning By-law arising out of the site plan approved by the City."

and the following substituted in lieu thereof:

- "(3) Planning staff and the City Solicitor be required to report directly to Council, identifying those minor variances arising out of the proposed Site Plan;"
- (2) the following new Recommendations (4), (5), (6), (7) and (8), being added:
  - "(4) that the concerns of the abutting neighbours be added to the list of issues to be addressed;
  - (5) that the Site Plan include the elimination of the type "G" loading space from Ellis Park Avenue, to be replaced by the provision of a lay-by on Bloor Street West as set out more fully in the plans received by the City of Toronto on November 14, 2001, including the provision of garbage pickup by the City of Toronto from that location;
  - (6) that the written submissions of the deputants be referred to staff and the concerns raised by the deputants be added to the issues to be addressed at the community meeting;
  - (7) the final Site Plan conditions ensure that public land, including High Park and the Grenadier Pond watercourse are protected from environmental contamination; and report on methods available to the City to ensure that the developer is responsible for any cleanup of adjacent public lands, if polluted; and

(8) that City staff be prepared to discuss the issue of peer review at the upcoming public meeting."

The Community Council also received the Status Report (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District.

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 10)

### 10.51. Donation Of The Garden Of Hope. (Parkdale-High Park, Ward 13)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 5, 2001) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, recommending that:

- (1) Council approve the proposal of the Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation to construct the Garden of Hope in High Park (Attachment No. 1) subject to the CBCF meeting, appropriate technical requirements satisfactory to the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism;
- (2) authority be granted to enter into an agreement with the Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation for the design and construction of the Garden of Hope on terms and conditions satisfactory to the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism and to the City Solicitor and City Acting Treasurer;
- (3) Council establish the Garden of Hope Reserve Fund, the purpose of which is to offset future maintenance costs;
- (4) Municipal Code Chapter 227 be amended by adding the Garden of Hope Reserve Fund to Schedule B3;
- (5) an initial contribution of \$60,000.00 be made to the Garden of Hope Reserve Fund, from the \$60,000.00 being donated to the City by the Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation to offset future maintenance of the garden;
- (6) investment earnings be drawn from the Garden of Hope Reserve Fund under the direction of the Commissioner Economic Development, Culture and Tourism based on maintenance requirements subject to the normal Operating Budget approval process; and
- (7) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

# On motion by Councillor Miller, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 42, Report No. 10)

## (Parkdale-High Park, Ward 14)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (October 24, 2001) from the District Manager, Municipal Licensing and Standards, responding to a request to review requirements of an Order issued under the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 202, Grass and/or Weeds, of the former City of Toronto, requiring that all tall grass/weeds comply with the said Code at 62 Glendale Avenue; and recommending that the Humber York Community Council recommend to City Council confirmation and direction that a second notice be given.

The Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 43, Report No. 10)

## 10.53 Application to Exempt Lots 1 to 4 and 25 to 29, Registered Plan 66M-2368 (Portion of 62-86 Osler Street) From Part Lot Control; 1386888 Ontario Inc. (Davenport, Ward 18)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 2, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, reporting on a review of an application to lift Part Lot Control from Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 25, 26, 27, 28, and 29 of Registered Plan 66M-2368; and recommending that City Council:

- authorize the City Solicitor to introduce a Bill in Council exempting Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, 25, 26, 27, 28 and 29 of Registered Plan 66M-2368 from Part Lot Control for a period of two years following the enactment of the by-law provided:
  - (a) the owner grants a permanent right-of-way/easement over the lands in favour of the City of Toronto for street lighting, fire hydrants and any other services which are to be installed within private property in order to service the project; and
  - (b) the owner submits to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services a copy of the final Reference Plan submitted with the application and integrated with the Ontario Co-ordinate System which identifies any permanent rights-of-way/ easements, before being deposited in the appropriate Land Registry Office.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 44, Report No. 10)

10.54 1380 and 1400 Bloor Street West; Draft Plan of Condominium Applications for Two Residential Condominiums Comprising 40 Live-Work Units and 24 Live-Work Units.

### (Davenport, Ward 18)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 1, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, seeking authorization for City staff to appear at the Ontario Municipal Board hearing in opposition to the appeals of the proposed Draft Plans of Condominium unless the outstanding issues can be resolved in advance of the hearing; and recommending that City Council authorize the City Solicitor and City staff to attend the Ontario Municipal Board hearing to oppose the appeals of these applications for Draft Plan of Condominium Approval unless all outstanding issues can be resolved in advance of the hearing.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

## (Clause No. 45, Report No. 10)

## 10.55 Preliminary Report – 9 and 15 Bonar Place – Application to amend former City of Toronto Zoning By-Law No. 438-86 to permit the devleopment of 19 Row Houses; Core Architects Inc. (Davenport, Ward 18)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (October 31, 2001) from the Director, Community Planning, South District, providing preliminary information on this application and to seek Community Council's directions on further processing of the application and on the community consultation process; and recommending that:

- (1) staff be directed to schedule a community consultation meeting together with the Ward Councillor;
- (2) notice for the community consultation meeting be given to landowners and residents within 120 metres of the site; and
- (3) notice for the Public Meeting under the Planning Act be given according to the regulations under the Planning Act.

On motion by Councillor Silva, the Community Council approved the recommendations in the foregoing report.

#### (Clause No. 49(i), Report No. 10)

## 10.56 Request for Speed Bumps in the Laneway between Westlake Park and the properties on the west side of Cliff Street. (York South-Weston, Ward 11)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a communication (October 10, 2001) from Councillor F. Nunziata, forwarding a petition signed by area residents and requesting that Transportation Services staff conduct a study and report as

soon as possible on the feasibility of introducing traffic calming in the laneway between Westlake Park and properties on the west side of Cliff Street.

## On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council:

- (1) requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to report on the installation of speed bumps in the laneway between Westlake Park and the adjoining properties on the west side of Cliff Street; and
- (2) received the foregoing communication.

## (Clause No. 49(j), Report No. 10)

## 10.57 Appointment of Citizens to the Humber York Community Preservation Panel.

The Humber York Community Council had before it the following:

- (i) Confidential report (November 5, 2001) from the City Clerk, respecting the appointment of members to the Humber York Community Preservation Panel, such report to be considered in-camera, having regard that the subject matter relates to personal matters about identifiable individuals, including municipal or local board employees; and
- (ii) (November 5, 2001) from the City Clerk, advising of the recommended appointments by the Nominating Committee, at its meetings held on October 22 and November 5, 2001, such appointments to be recommended by the Humber York Community Council, for nomination to City Council.

#### The Humber York Community Council recommended to Council:

- (1) the appointment of citizens to the Humber York Community Preservation Panel for a term of office expiring November 30, 2003, and until their successors are appointed, as listed in the confidential communication (November 23, 2001) from the City Clerk, which was forwarded to all Members of Council under separate cover; and further, that in accordance with provisions of the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining to the individuals named in the aforementioned communication be held in-camera, having regard that the subject matter relates to personal matters about identifiable individuals;
- (2) that for the remainder of Council's current term of office, the relevant provisions of the Policy for Citizen Appointments through the Nominating Committee be waived to permit the Humber York Community Council to consider and recommend to Council, the appointment of additional members of the public up to the maximum total of 11 members, without interview by the Nominating Committee, provided that the general objectives set out by Council, including the Policy for Citizen Appointments

through the Nominating Committee and the report Improving the Delivery of Heritage Services in Toronto have been complied with;

- (3) that since the members of Scarborough Community Preservation Panel are appointed to the end of their original term of office expiring November 30, 2003, and incumbent members of the remaining Community Preservation Panels, including Humber York, have served only a partial term, that for the purposes of future reappointments, the term of office expiring November 30, 2003 be counted as one term of office for incumbent and new citizens now being appointed to the Humber York Community Preservation Panel, and citizens remain eligible for reappointment for a further three year term in accordance with Council's Policy for Citizen Appointments through the Nominating Committee; and
- (4) that the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

(Councillor Disero declared an interest in this matter, having regard to her tenancy with one of the residents applying for a position on a Preservation Panel.)

### (Clause No. 1, Report No. 10)

### 10.58 Parkland Acquisition Strategic Directions Report. (All Wards)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a communication (November 9, 2001) from the City Clerk, forwarding for consideration by Community Councils and the Planning and Transportation Committee and report back to the January 21, 2002 meeting of the Economic Development and Parks Committee, Clause No. 10 in Report No. 10 of The Economic Development and Parks Committee, headed "Parkland Acquisition Strategic Directions Report (All Wards)", which was adopted, as amended by City Council, at its meeting held on November 6, 7 and 8, 2001.

## On motion by Councillor Miller, the Community Council:

- (1) recommended to the Economic Development and Parks Committee that the Parkland Acquisition Strategic Directions Report, be adopted; and
- (2) requested the Planning and Transportation Committee to ensure that a mechanism is in place for the provision of green space in communities when development applications are being considered, by requiring that the Official Plan specifically address the provision of parkland and/or green space in considering development issues; and that the Parkland Acquisition Strategic Directions Report, be also considered together with Official Plan documents.

On motion by Councillor Councillor Moscoe, the Community Council:

(3) requested the Chair of the Humber York Community Council to request City Council on December 4, 2001 to defer the issue related to the two percent industrial contribution requirement for North York, Etobicoke, Scarborough and York, so that this matter can be considered in conjunction with the Parkland Acquisition Strategic Directions Report. Reference Clause No. 9 in Report No. 10 of the Economic Development and Parks Committee, headed "Parkland Dedication – Industrial Development (All Wards)" which was deferred by City Council on November 6, 7 and 8, 2001 for consideration on December 4, 2001.

### (Clause No. 49(a), Report No. 10)

### 10.59 1686 St. Clair Avenue West, Café Delizia & Bakery. (Davenport, Ward 17)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a communication (November 8, 2001) from Councillor B. Disero advising that the owner of 1686 St. Clair Avenue West, wishes to maintain a permanent roof structure on the boulevard; and that this matter be dealt with on an individual basis, having regard that a report on such matters is outstanding from Urban Development Services.

#### On motion by Councillor Disero, the Community Council:

- (1) requested the Commissioner, Urban Development Services, to take no further action against the property owner until the Humber York Community Council has had full discussion on this issue; and to submit a report on this matter to its January 29, 2002 meeting; and
- (2) received the foregoing communication.

(Clause No. 49(m), Report No. 10)

### 10.60 Brock Avenue from Queen Street W. to the Canadian National Railway Corridor – Review of Traffic Concerns. (Parkdale-High Park, Ward 14)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on measures to address residents' concerns regarding vehicular speeds on Brock Avenue north of Queen Street West (specifically in the vicinity of Earnbridge Street); advising that suggestions have included the installation of "All-Way Stop" sign control and staff have also reviewed the feasibility of installing speed humps; that should the installation of speed humps be endorsed on Brock Avenue from Queen Street West to the Canadian National Railway Corridor, the cost of installation in the estimated amount of \$7,500.00 would be provided in the Transportation Services 2002 Capital Budget request with installation subject to competing priorities; and recommending that in the event speed hump installation is endorsed for the section of Brock Avenue, from Queen Street West to the Canadian National Railway Corridor, that the following be approved:

- (1) that appropriate staff be authorized to conduct a poll of eligible residents on Brock Avenue, from Queen Street West to the Canadian National Railway Corridor, to determine resident support for the proposed speed hump plan noted in Recommendation No. 2, below, in accordance with the former City of Toronto policy;
- (2) that a by-law be prepared and public notice given pursuant to the provisions of the Municipal Act and the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act for the alteration of sections of the roadway on Brock Avenue, from Queen Street West to the Canadian National Railway Corridor, for traffic calming purposes as described below:

"The construction of speed humps on BROCK AVENUE, from Queen Street West to the Canadian National Railway Corridor, generally as shown on the attached print of Drawing No. 42IF-6281, dated November 2001.";

- (3) that pursuant to the requirements of Schedule "B" of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act, notice of the study commencement be given to the Ministry of Environment, Fire Services, Emergency Medical Services and Toronto Police Services, and upon final approval of a by-law by Council, Notice of Completion be issued;
- (4) that the speed limit be reduced from 40 km/h to 30 km/h on Brock Avenue, from Queen Street West to the Canadian National Railway Corridor, coincident with the implementation of speed humps; and
- (5) that the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that might be required.

# On motion by Councillor Miller, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

(Clause No. 46, Report No. 10)

10.61 Request to Reduce the Speed Limit on Kirknewton Road. (Davenport, Ward 17) The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 5, 2001) from the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, reporting on a request to reduce the speed limit on Kirknewton Road to 40 km/h; advising that if approved, the funds associated with the reduction of speed limit on Kirknewton Road estimated at \$1,000.00 are available in the Transportation Services 2001 Operating Budget; and recommending that:

(1) the speed limit on Kirknewton Road be reduced from 50 km/h to 40 km/h; and

(2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take whatever action is necessary to implement the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any Bills that are required.

# On motion by Councillor Disero, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Clause No. 47, Report No. 10)

### 10.62 Sale of surplus vacant land – Parcel of land adjoining 33 Upwood Avenue. (York South-Weston – Ward 12)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a confidential report (November 15, 2001) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the sale of surplus vacant land adjoining 33 Upwood Avenue.

#### On motion by Councillor DiGiorgio, the Community Council:

- (1) held discussions pertaining to this item in camera in accordance with the provisions of the Municipal Act, having regard that the subject matter relates to the potential acquisition of property, and is otherwise subject to the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act; and
- (2) deferred the foregoing confidential report, sine die.

(Clause No. 49(o), Report No. 10)

### 10.63 Retaining Wall Adjacent to No. 24 Porter Avenue. (York South-Weston – Ward 11)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a report (November 14, 2001) from the Acting Director, Engineering Services, Districts 1 and 2, reporting on the findings of the investigation of the retaining wall adjacent to No. 24 Porter Avenue and to make recommendations regarding remedial works; advising that funds in the amount of \$65,000 can be accommodated in the existing 2002 Transportation Capital Budget request; and recommending that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be directed to undertake the reconstruction of the retaining wall adjacent to No.24 Porter Avenue under the 2002 Transportation Capital Works Program, on an exceptional basis; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorised and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report.

### (Clause No. 48, Report No. 10)

#### **10.64** Unallocated Funding for Community Councils.

The Humber York Community Council had before it the following communications during consideration of the foregoing matter:

(i) (November 14, 2001) from Councillor Paul Sutherland to the Chairs, Community Councils, requesting that Community Councils approve the following motion:

"That the Chief Administrative Officer report directly to Council at is next meeting, on the issue of unallocated funding for Community Councils, and how Community Councils can be able to finance, provide or veto enhanced services while maintaining a standard level of core services City-wide." and

(ii) (November 19, 2001) City Clerk advising Community Councils on the procedure which should be applied in considering the above report submitted by Councillor Sutherland; and recommending that the Community Council submit its recommendations in respect to the report entitled "Unallocated Funding for Community Councils" to the Policy and Finance Committee.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Community Council recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee, that:

WHEREAS the Humber York Community Council is opposed to creating a segregated pool of money allocated to each Community Council; and

WHEREAS the Humber York Community Council recognizes and appreciates that there are unique needs in all parts of the City;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Policy and Finance Committee recommend that Council undertake a process to have a full discussion on how to achieve service equity, while recognizing the distinct features of each community and deal with these issues in a way that is sensitive to each community; and **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Policy and Finance Committee request each Community Council to identify local issues and define very specific services and funding allocations for consideration under this policy.

(Clause No. 49(b), Report No. 10)

### 10.65 Traffic concerns on St. John's Road between Jane Street and Runnymede Road. (Parkdale-High Park, Ward 13)

The Humber York Community Council had before it a communication (November 20, 2001) from Councillor Miller, advising that members of the community are concerned with the speed and volume of traffic on St. John's Road between Jane Street and Runnymede Road; and requesting that the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, conduct a traffic study and report to the January 29, 2002 meeting of the Humber York Community Council, with the results and recommendations.

### On motion by Councillor Miller, the Community Council:

- (1) requested the Director, Transportation Services, District 1, to conduct a traffic study of St. John's Road and report on the results and submit recommendations to the January 29, 2002 meeting of the Humber York Community Council; and
- (2) received the foregoing communication.

(Clause No. 49(p), Report No. 10)

### 10.66 351 Marlee Avenue – Liquor Licence Application. (Eglinton-Lawrence, Ward 15)

The Humber York Community Council had before it the following motion by Councillor Moscoe:

WHEREAS One of a Kind Sports Café Inc., at 351 Marlee Avenue has applied for a liquor licence; and

WHEREAS residents in the area are upset about the deterioration of strip plazas on Marlee Avenue; and

WHEREAS the applicant is proposing an extension to the patio in the rear abutting residential property; and

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the liquor licence applied for to permit liquor to be served on a rear patio at 351 Marlee Avenue be opposed by the Council of the City of Toronto; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario be so advised.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Community Council recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing motion.

(Clause No. 32, Report No. 10)

10.67 Request for Information on Newspaper Advertising Costs.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Community Council requested the Commissioner, Works and Emergency Services, to report on a separate line item during the 2002 budget process, the costs associated with newspaper advertisements in order to meet the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Act.

(Clause No. 49(q), Report No. 10)

The meeting adjourned at 1:00 p.m.

Councillor C. Korwin-Kuczynski Chair