

**THE CITY OF TORONTO**

**City Clerk's Division**

**Minutes of the Administration Committee**

**Meeting No. 6**

**Tuesday, June 25, 2002.**

The Administration Committee met on Tuesday, June 25, 2002, in Committee Room 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:34 a.m.

Attendance

Members were present for some or all of the time periods indicated.

	9:34 a.m. to 12:50 p.m.	2:05 p.m. to 2:40 p.m.	In Camera 2:40 p.m. to 5:02 p.m.	5:02 p.m. to 5:43 p.m.
Councillor Brian Ashton	X	X	X	
Councillor Rob Ford	X	X	X	X
Councillor Doug Holyday, Chair	X	X	X	X
Councillor Anne Johnston	X	X	X	
Councillor David Miller	X	X	X	X
Councillor Frances Nunziata Vice-Chair	X	X	X	X
Councillor Paul Sutherland	X	X	X	
Councillor David Soknacki	X	X	X	X

**Confirmation of Minutes.**

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Administration Committee confirmed the Minutes of its meeting held on May 27, 2002.

**6.1 Overview - Departmental Issues.**

The Administration Committee gave consideration to a presentation from the Commissioner of Corporate Services providing an overview respecting Corporate Services Departmental Issues and filed a copy of her presentation material in regard thereto.

The Administration Committee received a presentation from the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting departmental issues pertaining to the Corporate Services Department.

**(Clause No. 37(a) – Report No. 10)**

**6.2 New ‘IT’ Strategic Plan - “e-City”.**

The Administration Committee deferred consideration of the presentation from the Executive Director of Information and Technology respecting the new Information Technology Strategic Plan – “e-City”, and requested the Chair of the Administration Committee to convene a special meeting of the Committee, prior to the meeting of Council scheduled to be held on July 16, 2002, to give consideration to the aforementioned matter.

(Chair, Administration Committee; Executive Director of Information Technology – June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 37(b) – Report No. 10)**

**6.3 Appointment of Members of Council to Standing and Other Committees of Council, Various Boards, Special Purpose Bodies and Special Positions.**

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (May 27, 2002) from the City Clerk, advising that City Council at its meeting held on May 21, 22 and 23, 2002, appointed the following Members of Council to the Administration Committee, for a term of office commencing June 21, 2002 and expiring November 30, 2003, and until their successors are appointed:

(The Mayor is a Member ex-officio)

Councillor Brian Ashton  
Councillor Robert Ford  
Councillor Douglas Holyday, Chair  
Councillor Anne Johnston  
Councillor David Miller  
Councillor Frances Nunziata  
Councillor David Soknacki, Vice-Chair; and  
Councillor Paul Sutherland.

On motion by Councillor Ashton, the Administration Committee received the foregoing communication.

(Members, Administration Committee; City Clerk – June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 37(c) – Report No. 10)**

**6.4 Review of Sub-Committees, Advisory Committees, Special Committees and Task Forces Established by Standing Committees and Council Since December, 2000.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (May 31, 2002) from the City Clerk, recommending that:

- (1) the Administration Committee review the attached Appendices I and II, and:
  - (a) recommend to City Council which advisory committees, special committees and task forces reporting to Administration Committee, which are not sub-committees, be continued and which disbanded;
  - (b) recommend to City Council any necessary completions and amendments to the information required under Council Procedures, Section 108, for those advisory bodies recommended to be continued;
  - (c) recommend to City Council that the City Clerk be requested to canvass all Members of Council for their interest in being appointed to those advisory bodies re-established, and submit the list of interested Members to the Striking Committee to recommend the appointments to City Council, in accordance with the provisions of the Council Procedures;
  - (d) decide which Sub-Committees of the Administration Committee are to be continued and which disbanded;
  - (e) for those Sub-Committees continued, complete and amend if necessary any information required under Council Procedures, Section 108; and
  - (f) appoint the membership of those Sub-Committees to be continued.

The Administration Committee recommended to Council that:

- (1) the following committees and special committees be re-established:
  - (i) City of Toronto French Committee\Comité Français de la Ville de Toronto;

4  
Administration Committee Minutes  
Tuesday, June 25, 2002

---

- (ii) Provincial Offences Act, Task Force to Examine Implications Inherent to Bill 108 (POA);
  - (iii) West District Office Consolidation Working Group/Old City Hall Office Consolidation Working Group; and
  - (iv) Ethics Steering Committee;
- (2) the Mandate and Terms of Reference pertaining to the aforementioned committees referred to in Appendix II of the report (May 31, 2002) from the City Clerk be reaffirmed;
  - (3) the City Clerk be requested to canvass all Members of Council to determine their interest in being appointed to the aforementioned committees whose composition goes beyond the membership of the Standing Committee and submit the list of interested Members to the Striking Committee to recommend the appointments to City Council in accordance with the provisions of the Council Procedures; and
  - (4) the Nathan Philips Square Design Competition Reference Group be disbanded.

The Administration Committee also took the following action:

- (1) re-established the Personnel Sub-Committee;
- (2) expanded the membership of the aforementioned Sub-Committee from five Members to six Members; and
- (3) appointed the following members of the Committee to the Personnel Sub-Committee:

Councillor Brian Ashton;  
Councillor Doug Holyday;  
Councillor Anne Johnston;  
Councillor David Miller (Chair);  
Councillor David Soknacki; and  
Councillor Paul Sutherland.

(Members, Personnel Sub-Committee; Committee Secretary,  
Personnel Sub-Committee– June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 1 – Report No. 10)**

**6.5 Municipal Campaign Finance Reform.**

The Administration Committee had before it a joint report (June 11, 2002) from the Chief Administrative Officer, the City Solicitor, and the City Clerk, recommending that:

- (1) should City Council wish to request amendments to the Municipal Elections Act to address any of the issues numbered 1 to 19 in the comments section of this report, Council direct the City Clerk to forward its request to the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing so that the request can be considered before the 2003 election;
- (2) City Council direct the City Clerk to report back with recommendations in September 2002 on the entire contribution rebate program as part of the 2003 municipal election by-law report taking into account the issues raised in this report;
- (3) should City Council wish to establish a Toronto Election Finance Review Task Force to consider longer term election finance issues, the terms of reference in Appendix "C" be approved and the City Clerk be directed to commence the nomination process for members; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Mr. Jim Harris appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter, and filed copies of four campaign pamphlets issued by Mayor Lastman during the 2000 Municipal Election.

Councillor Michael Walker, St. Paul's, also appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Councillor Soknacki moved, on behalf of Councillor Walker, that the Administration Committee recommended to Council that:

- (I) City Council establish a Toronto Election Finance Review Task Force to consider longer term election finance issues;
- (II) the Terms of Reference respecting the Toronto Election Finance Review Task Force, contained in Appendix "C" embodied in the joint report (June 11, 2002) from the Chief Administrative Officer, the City Solicitor and the City Clerk be approved; and the City Clerk be directed to commence the nomination process for the appointment of members thereto;

- (III) the following recommendation contained in the aforementioned joint report be referred to the proposed Toronto Election Finance Review Task Force for consideration:
  - “(1) should City Council wish to request amendments to the Municipal Elections Act to address any of the issues numbered 1 to 19 in the comments section of this report, Council direct the City Clerk to forward its request to the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing so that the request can be considered before the 2003 election;”;
- (IV) as part of the considerations of the Municipal Campaign Finance Reform, the Toronto Election Finance Review Task Force be requested to consider:
  - (a) that rebates, if allowed, only be issued for contributions of legal tender; and
  - (b) the elimination of the contribution rebate program;
- (V) City Council direct the City Clerk to report back with recommendations in September 2002 on the entire contribution rebate program as part of the 2003 municipal election by-law report taking into account the issues raised in the aforementioned joint report; and
- (VI) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**(Clause No. 3 – Report No. 10)**

**6.6 Use of Corporate Logo, Donations and Sponsorships and Personal Funds by Members of Council.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 11, 2002) from the City Clerk, recommending that:

- (1) Members of Council be permitted to apply the City of Toronto corporate logo only to the common applications listed in Appendix A, in a manner which is consistent with the requirements of the Corporate Identity Program. For any other use, or for uses that are inconsistent with the Corporate Identity Program, Members shall seek the prior approval of City Council, such approval to be subject to such terms and conditions as Council may impose;

- (2) this report and the question of third party donations and sponsorships as well as the question of Members use of personal funds be referred to the Ethics Steering Committee for its consideration;
- (3) Members of Council continue to be permitted to pay office and related expenses from their personal funds and the matter be referred to the Ethics Steering Committee for further review; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommended to Council adoption of the foregoing report (June 11, 2002) from the City Clerk.

**(Clause No. 4 – Report No. 10)**

#### **6.7 Accessing Various Language Services in the City of Toronto.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 6, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, outlining how Members of Council and the community can access various language services in the City of Toronto; advising that this report is in response to a Council direction issued as part of the 2002 Budget discussions about Multilingual Services in the Corporate Communications Division, Corporate Services Department; that there are no immediate financial implications arising from this report; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Administration Committee received the foregoing report.

**(Clause No. 37(d) – Report No. 10)**

#### **6.8 Licensing of Records Series Management Tools to other Canadian Municipalities.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 3, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the City be authorized to enter into a non-exclusive, non-transferable licensing agreement with any municipality in Canada that wishes to use the City's Records Series Management Tools ("RSMT") database application;

- (2) the City be authorized to charge interested municipalities the license fee and other charges set out in this report for the use of RSMT;
- (3) the licensing agreement provided for in Recommendation No. (1) have terms and conditions substantially as set out in Attachment No. 1 of this report, and otherwise have terms and conditions in the interests of the City that are satisfactory to the City Clerk and the Executive Director of Information and Technology, and be in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
- (4) the City Solicitor be directed to apply for the registration of the copyright in RSMT; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto and to take any action required to be taken thereunder.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 3, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

**(Clause No. 7 – Report No. 10)**

**6.9 Annual Report of the  
Toronto Archives, 2001.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 5, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the annual report submitted by the City Clerk as Attachment No. 1 to this report be posted on the City's web site, and be otherwise distributed to interested parties;
- (2) this report be forwarded to City Council for information; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 5, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

**(Clause No. 8 – Report No. 10)**



**6.10 Results of Request for Proposal No. 9144-02-7005,  
Provision of Data Entry Services Required  
Under the Provincial Offences Act.**

The Administration Committee had before it the following reports:

- (1) (May 10, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, recommending that:
  - (1) Southport Data Systems, being the highest overall scoring proponent as determined by the evaluation team, be retained for the data entry of certificates issued under the Provincial Offences Act for the period July 1, 2002, to December 31, 2004, in accordance with specifications as required by the Corporate Services Department in the estimated amount of \$339,973.00; and
  - (2) the option to renew for the period January 1, 2005 to December 31, 2005, in the estimated amount of \$145,000.00 and the period January 1, 2006, to December 31, 2006, in the estimated amount of \$152,000.00 under the same terms and conditions be reviewed by the Commissioner of Corporate Services in co-operation with the Purchasing Agent, provided the first term of the contract was performed satisfactorily, to ensure it is feasible to extend the contract; and
  - (3) provided that there is a favourable review, in accordance with Recommendation No. (2), the Commissioner of Corporate Services be delegated the authority to exercise the options on the terms and conditions set out above and be authorized to instruct the Purchasing Agent to process the necessary contract; and
- (2) (June 7, 2002) from the City Clerk, entitled "Privacy Legislation and Outsourcing Agreements Southport Data Systems and Doculink International", advising that the Administration Committee on May 27, 2002, deferred consideration of two reports from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Corporate Services recommending that Southport Data systems be retained for the data entry of certificates issued under the Provincial Offences Act and that Doculink International be retained for printing and mailing of notices designated under the statute and requested the Director, Corporate Access and Privacy, City Clerk's Office to submit a report to the June 25, 2002, meeting of the Administration Committee respecting the proposed agreements with the successful proponents; advising that alternate service delivery agreements must include terms which ensure that the City is able to meet its obligations under the Act; that the agreements with DocuLink International and Southport Data

Systems will incorporate the clauses necessary to comply with the Act and maintain public rights of access to information and rights to privacy; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned joint report (May 10, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, subject to funds being available in subsequent years; and
- (2) that the aforementioned report (June 7, 2002) from the City Clerk, be received.

**(Clause No. 9 – Report No. 10)**

**6.11 Results of Request for Proposal No. 3003-02-7069,  
Notification Services to Individuals Charged  
Under the Provincial Offences Act.**

The Administration Committee had before it the following reports:

- (1) (May 10, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, recommending that:
  - (1) Doculink International, being the highest overall scoring proponent as determined by the evaluation team, be retained for the printing and mailing of notices designated under the Provincial Offences Act for the period July 1, 2002, to December 31, 2003, in accordance with specifications as required by the Corporate Services Department in the estimated amount of \$360,000.00; and
  - (2) the option to renew for the period January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2004, in the estimated amount of \$260,000.00 and the period January 1, 2005, to December 31, 2005, in the amount of \$260,000.00 under the same terms and conditions be reviewed by the Commissioner of Corporate Services in co-operation with the Purchasing Agent, provided the first term of the contract was performed satisfactorily to ensure it is feasible to extend the contract; and

- (3) provided that there is a favourable review, in accordance with Recommendation No. (2), the Commissioner of Corporate Services be delegated the authority to exercise the options on the terms and conditions set out above and be authorized to instruct the Purchasing Agent to process the necessary contract; and
  
- (2) (June 7, 2002) from the City Clerk, advising that the Administration Committee on May 27, 2002, deferred consideration of two reports from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and the Commissioner of Corporate Services recommending that Southport Data systems be retained for the data entry of certificates issued under the Provincial Offences Act and that Doculink International be retained for printing and mailing of notices designated under the statute and requested the Director, Corporate Access and Privacy, City Clerk's Office to submit a report to the June 25, 2002, meeting of the Administration Committee respecting the proposed agreements with the successful proponents; advising that alternate service delivery agreements must include terms which ensure that the City is able to meet its obligations under the Act; that the agreements with DocuLink International and Southport Data Systems will incorporate the clauses necessary to comply with the Act and maintain public rights of access to information and rights to privacy; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned joint report (May 10, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, subject to funds being available in subsequent years; and
  
- (2) that the aforementioned report (June 7, 2002) from the City Clerk, be received.

**(Clause No. 10 – Report No. 10)**

**6.12 Federal and Provincial Surplus Land Programs for Homelessness: Acquisition of Property Located at 20 Sewells Road at No Cost to the City. (Ward 42 – Scarborough-Rouge River).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 25, 2002) from the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, recommending that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Corporate Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, be authorized to negotiate an Agreement of Purchase and Sale of with the Government of Canada and the Government of Ontario for the acquisition of the property located at 20 Sewells Road at no cost to the City in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor;
- (2) After the acquisition has been completed, for the purpose of securing affordable and transitional housing, the Commissioner of Corporate Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services, be authorized to conclude negotiations and enter into a 50 year less one day lease with a non-profit housing provider selected through a Request for Proposals (RFP) process for the property located at 20 Sewells Road in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Sutherland, the Administration Committee deferred consideration of the foregoing report and requested the Chair of the Administration Committee to convene a special meeting of the Committee, prior to the meeting of Council scheduled to be held on July 16, 2002, to give consideration to the aforementioned report.

**(Clause No. 37(e) – Report No. 10)**

**6.13 Drove Away Parking Offences Strategy Update.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 11, 2002) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, recommending that:

- (1) the “Drove Away” pilot project not be implemented at this time;
- (2) Council reiterate its request to the Ministry of the Attorney General to amend the *Provincial Offences Act* to provide for an additional form of service, preferably by first class mail, of Parking Infraction Notices under Part 11 of the Act; and

- (3) the “Drove Away” parking collection initiative be reviewed if and when provincial legislation is amended to reflect mail service of Part II offences under the *Provincial Offences Act*.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee:

- (1) requested the Toronto Police Services Board to request the Chief of Police to meet with the Toronto Police Association to discuss the feasibility of using “light duty” staff to personally serve Part III Provincial Offence Notices and report back to the Administration Committee in regard thereto; and
- (2) deferred consideration of the foregoing report pending the aforementioned report from the Chief of Police.

(Toronto Police Services Board; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Director, Revenue Services; Manager, Parking Tag Operations – June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 37(f) – Report No. 10)**

#### **6.14 Tax Adjustment Municipal Act Section 442 and 443.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 11, 2002) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, recommending that:

- (1) the individual appeal applications made pursuant to Section 442 of the *Municipal Act* totalling \$1,174,267.37, as provided in the detailed hearing report to be circulated at Committee and as summarized in Schedule “A” attached, be approved; and
- (2) the individual appeal applications made pursuant to Section 443 of the *Municipal Act* totalling \$1,789,622.79, as provided in the detailed hearing report to be circulated at Committee and as summarized in Schedule “B” attached, be approved.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommended to Council that the individual appeal applications made pursuant to Section 442 and 443 of the Municipal Act, outlined in the detailed hearing reports and summarized in Schedule “A” and “B”, appended to the report (June 11, 2002)

from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, be approved with the exception of the following applications to be heard at a future hearing:

Appeal No.	Tax Year	Assessment Roll No.	Property Location	Tax Adjustment
20011361	2001	1901 09 4 020 00500	255 Old Kingston Road	(\$ 735.29)
20011534	2000	1908 08 2 310 05900	93 Fireshire Road	No Recommendation
20011566	2001	1901 05 1 730 00255	200 Town Centre Court	(\$1,792,732.81)
		Total		(\$1,793,468.10)

**(Clause No. 13 – Report No. 10)**

**6.15 Portlands Area – ‘Go-Kart’ Operation  
(Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth).**

The Administration Committee had before it the following report and communications:

- (1) (June 13, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, reporting on a request from City Council on whether any of the delinquent properties in tax arrears in the Portlands area are being considered for a 'Go-Kart' operation; advising that staff have investigated this matter and advise the following:
  - (1) there is a ‘Go-Kart’ operation currently being constructed on the easterly portion of 20 Polson Street. This property was formerly used for parking purposes. Parking is being maintained on the westerly portion and the ‘Go-Kart’ track is being constructed on that area indicated on the attached sketch. An indication was provided that the ‘Go-Kart’ facility is planned to commence June 14, 2002;
  - (2) 20 Polson Street is owned by TEDCO. Staff of TEDCO were consulted and have advised that the property is leased to Castan Waterfront Development Inc. for a term of almost 93 years ending on June 26, 2090. The property is sub-leased to 1299485 Ontario Limited;
  - (3) Finance staff have advised that as of June 14, 2002, there is an outstanding tax account totalling \$105,053.03 including interest to June 30, 2002. This is comprised of \$11,919.48 from 2001 and a full year 2002 amount of \$93,133.55 of which \$47,928.37 is the final billing and is not yet due; and

(4) staff of Urban Development Services have been contacted and advised that the conversion of the existing parking lot to a 'Go-Kart' track would not be in compliance with the existing Section 41 (Site Plan) agreement and is not in compliance with the provisions of the Zoning By-law; and

recommending that this report be received for information;

- (2) (June 24, 2002) from Councillor Jack Layton, Toronto-Danforth, requesting that staff be requested to submit a report directly to Council on steps that could be taken to prevent the opening and operation of the "Go Kart" facility at 20 Polson Street from the standpoint of Section 41 infractions, tax arrears, noise to be generated and emissions; and
- (3) (June 25, 2002) from Councillor Pam McConnell, Toronto Centre-Rosedale, writing in support of the proposal of Councillor Jack Layton that staff report to Council on all actions that can be pursued to prevent the opening and operation of a Go Kart facility at 20 Polson Street.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee deferred consideration of the foregoing report and communications and requested the Chair of the Administration Committee to convene a special meeting of the Committee, prior to the meeting of Council scheduled to be held on July 16, 2002, to give consideration to the aforementioned report and communications.

(Chair, Administration Committee; Commissioner of Corporate Services; Director of Real Estate Services; Councillor Jack Layton; Councillor Pam McConnell – June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 37(g) – Report No. 10)**

**6.16 Appeals Reserve – 2002 Access and Equity Grant Program.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 11, 2002) from the Chief Administrative Officer, recommending that:

- (1) the appeals reserve of \$5,000 be allocated to:

Dejinta Beesha, \$2,500.00; and

Health Care Interpretation, Information and Education Network, \$2,500.00, and

- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 11, 2002) from the Chief Administrative Officer.

Councillor Ford expressed his opposition in this matter.

**(Clause No. 36 – Report No. 10)**

**6.17 Adoption of a New Online Brand Identity  
for the City of Toronto Web Site.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 6, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) **toronto.ca** be adopted as the official Internet domain name and e-mail address for the City of Toronto Web site and the name change be phased in over the course of one year by continuing to use both the current and new Internet domain names;
- (2) Corporate Communications and Information and Technology staff advise Council on whether it is appropriate to discontinue the city.toronto.on.ca name in favour of **toronto.ca** after monitoring public usage and familiarity with the new name during the transition period;
- (3) Corporate Communications undertake a public awareness campaign to promote the name change; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the foregoing report (June 6, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services; and
- (2) requested staff, in future reports, not to use the word “brand” when referring to the City of Toronto.

**(Clause No. 5 – Report No. 10)**



**6.18 Studying the Redevelopment of the  
St. Lawrence Market North Building  
(Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 6, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve in principle the concept of redeveloping the St. Lawrence Market North Building;
- (2) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be directed to study redevelopment options for the St. Lawrence Market North Building and, after consultation with affected stakeholders, report back to the Administration Committee in the Spring of 2003 on a preferred redevelopment option; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Ms. Marlene Cook appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Ashton, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the report (June 6, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, subject to amending Recommendation No. (2) to read as follows:

- “(2) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be directed to study redevelopment options with due consideration to transitional planning issues that optimize the accommodation of existing tenants for the St. Lawrence Market North Building and, after consultation with affected stakeholders, report back to the Administration Committee in the Spring of 2003 on a preferred redevelopment option;”.

**(Clause No. 14 – Report No. 10)**

**6.19 Court Services Division Implementation Status Report.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 6, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, reporting on the Court Services Division’s progress with its new responsibilities relating to court administration and court support function for proceedings commenced under Parts I, II and III of the Provincial Offences Act (POA); advising that this report contains no changes to the 2002 budget; that the

Provincial Offences Act transfer was successfully completed on schedule and preliminary figures suggest that unless significant, unidentified costs are incurred, or a departure in enforcement activity occurs, revenue will approximate the 2002 budget; that Data is being collected to establish an annual budget in support of the 2003 budget process; that Court Services Division faces several issues that will influence the long-term efficiency of the program; that Staff have had an opportunity to discuss early program results with other Ontario municipalities and are proceeding with a work plan that focuses on improving public service, remedies some of the inherited program inefficiencies, and includes an effective fine collection approach; that as part of the transfer, the Provincial government has invited Municipal partners to engage in discussions that can streamline activities; that this phase of the agreement begins in September and staff are preparing to participate in these discussions; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Sutherland, the Administration Committee deferred consideration of the foregoing report and requested the Chair of the Administration Committee to convene a special meeting of the Committee, prior to the meeting of Council scheduled to be held on July 16, 2002, to give consideration to the aforementioned report.

(Chair, Administration Committee; Commissioner of Corporate Services; Director, Court Services – June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 37(h) – Report No. 10)**

**6.20 Fire Station No. 1  
351 Birchmount Road  
(Ward 36 – Scarborough Southwest).**

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (June 5, 2002) from the City Clerk, Scarborough Community Council, recommending that:

- (1) endorses the use of Fire Station No. 1, 351 Birchmount Road, by Toronto Fire Services, Fire Prevention staff, and recommends:
- (2) that space be made available for the restoration and storage of historical Fire Department-related artefacts and equipment;
- (3) that Council continue to support this location as a future museum and education centre to preserve local Fire Department history;

- (4) that the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to:
  - (a) remove 351 Birchmount Road from the property disposition list and report to Administration Committee under which jurisdiction the property should remain, pending further consideration;
  - (b) report on the short-term maintenance of the facility and appropriate resource allocations; and
- (5) that the Administration Committee consult with interested stakeholders regarding a future plan for 351 Birchmount Road.

The Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendations of the Scarborough Community Council embodied in the foregoing communication (June 5, 2002) from the City Clerk, Scarborough Community Council.

**(Clause No. 15 – Report No. 10)**

**6.21 Union Station Capital Repairs.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 6, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) The Arch window on the west side of the Great Hall be added as a new \$100,000.00 sub-project under Union Station Closing Costs to be fully funded by transferring \$100,000.00 of available net rental income funds from Facilities and Real Estate Division Operating Cost Centre CA5441;
- (2) The structural slab over the parking area of the north west moat be added as a new \$300,000.00 sub-project under Union Station Closing Costs to be fully funded by transferring \$300,000.00 of available net rental income funds from Facilities and Real Estate Division Operating Cost Centre CA5441;
- (3) The Freight Elevator located in the West Wing be added as a new \$300,000.00 sub-project under Union Station Closing Costs to be fully funded by transferring \$300,000.00 of available net rental income funds from Facilities and Real Estate Division Operating Cost Centre CA5441; and
- (4) City staff be authorised to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Mr. Art McIlwain, Urquhart Consortium Inc., appeared in-camera before the Administration Committee in connection with the forgoing matter, and filed a written submission in regard thereto.

Ms. Patricia Simpson, Mr. Bob Wright and Mr. Hans vanPoorten provided an in-camera briefing with respect to the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee deferred consideration of the foregoing report and requested the Chair of the Administration Committee to convene a special meeting of the Committee, prior to the meeting of Council scheduled to be held on July 16, 2002, to give consideration to the aforementioned report.

**(Clause No. 37(i) – Report No. 10)**

**6.22 Council's Goal of Purchasing 25 Percent Green Power.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 10, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, responding to Council's request regarding the process and progress being made towards meeting Council's goal of purchasing 25 percent green power; advising that the City of Toronto has shown leadership in energy management, and the City is in a position to continue to show leadership on energy issues; that the City will continue to work with Toronto Hydro and others to identify opportunities to expand and work towards the City's green power purchase targets; and recommending that this report be received for information.

Mr. Keith Stewart, Toronto Environmental Alliance, appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter, and filed a written submission with respect thereto.

The Administration Committee:

- (1) received the foregoing report;
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Corporate Services to submit a further report to the Administration Committee:
  - (i) with respect to how Demand Side Management issues should be considered as a contribution towards the City's renewable energy targets; **(Motion by Councillor Miller)**
  - (ii) on the option of purchasing landfill gas from ourselves and what the net cost would be; **(Motion by Councillor Miller)**

- (iii) respecting savings from the reduction of electricity consumption being used to purchase green power electricity; **(Motion by Councillor Sutherland)**
  - (iv) during the 2003 budget process, on various options with associated costs, with respect to the purchase of “green power” by the City of Toronto; and **(Motion by Councillor Soknacki)**
- (3) referred the communication (June 25, 2002) from Mr, Keith Stewart, Ph. D., Smog and Climate Change Co-ordinator, Toronto Environment Alliance, to the Commissioner of Corporate Services for consideration. **(Motion by Councillor Miller)**

(Commissioner of Corporate Services; Mr. Keith Stewart, Toronto Environmental Alliance – June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 37(j) – Report No. 10)**

**6.23 Surplus Land Declaration and Proposed Closing and Conveyancing of a Portion of Borough Drive, Located Between Triton Road and Progress Avenue (Ward 38 - Scarborough Centre).**

The Administration Committee had before it a joint report (June 10, 2002) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the Scarborough Community Council recommend to Council, conditional upon Council’s approval of the recommendations to the Administration Committee set out herein that the portion of Borough Drive shown as Part 1 on the attached Sketch No. PMC-2000-080 (the “Highway”) be declared surplus and be sold, that:
  - (a) subject to compliance with the requirements of the *Municipal Act* and upon compliance by OMERS Realty Corporation (“OMERS”) with the following terms and conditions, the Highway be stopped up and closed as a public highway:
    - (i) OMERS, together with such other persons as the City Solicitor may require, shall indemnify the City against all loss, cost, damage or action arising as a result of the closing of the Highway;

- (ii) OMERS shall pay the cost of the four (4) weeks of statutory advertising, and the requirement under the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment to advertise a road closing twice during two separate phases of the closing process, estimated to be \$5,000.00, on the understanding that all such expenses paid for by OMERS will not be refunded in the event that the transaction is not ultimately completed;
  - (iii) OMERS shall obtain and deposit in the appropriate Land Registry Office, at its sole cost and expense, a reference plan of survey, integrated with the Ontario Coordinate System, satisfactory to the City Surveyor, as may be required in connection with this transaction; and
  - (iv) OMERS shall comply with any other terms and conditions related to the closing of the Highway as the City Solicitor may deem advisable to protect the City's interests;
- (b) notice be given to the public of the proposed by-law to stop up, close and sell the Highway, in accordance with the requirements of the *Municipal Act*; and
  - (c) the Scarborough Community Council hold a public hearing concerning the proposed by-law if any person who claims that the person's land will be prejudicially affected by the proposed by-law applies to be heard, in accordance with the requirements of the *Municipal Act*;
- (2) the Administration Committee recommend to Council, conditional upon Council's approval of the recommendations to the Scarborough Community Council set out herein that the Highway be stopped up and closed, that:
- (a) the Highway be declared surplus to the City's requirements and notice of the proposed sale be given to the public in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code;
  - (b) the sale price for the Highway be set at \$80.00 per square metre; and
  - (c) subject to compliance with the requirements of the *Municipal Act*, following the stopping up and closing of the Highway, the Highway be conveyed to the abutting owner on the following terms and conditions:
    - (i) OMERS, together with such other persons as the City Solicitor may require, shall indemnify the City against all loss, cost, damage or action arising as a result of the conveyance of the Highway;

- (ii) OMERS shall pay a sale price of \$80.00 per square metre for the fee in the Highway, for a total estimated price of \$511,120.00, with the final sale price to be determined by the reference plan of survey to be provided by OMERS pursuant to Recommendation (1)(a)(iii) hereof;
  - (iii) OMERS shall accept the conveyance of the Highway subject to a reservation by the City of municipal/utility service easement(s) over the Highway for access, operation, use, inspection, repair, maintenance, reconstruction or alteration of the existing services, and for the construction of additional or new services;
  - (iv) prior to closing, OMERS shall enter into a financially secured development servicing agreement with the City, satisfactory in form and content to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor;
  - (v) OMERS shall pay the cost of registering the authorizing by-law and any other documents necessary or incidental to the closing and conveyancing of the Highway;
  - (vi) OMERS shall pay all out-of-pocket expenses that will be incurred by the City as a result of the conveyance of the Highway, being the estimated amount of \$5,000.00 referred to in Recommendation 1(a)(ii) hereof, on the understanding that all such expenses paid for by OMERS will not be refunded in the event that the transaction is not ultimately completed; and
  - (vii) OMERS shall comply with any other terms and conditions related to the conveyance of the Highway as the City Solicitor may deem advisable to protect the City's interests; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to the foregoing, including the introduction in Council of any necessary bills to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Ford, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of Recommendation Nos. (2) and (3) embodied in the foregoing joint report (June 10, 2002) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

**(Clause No. 16 – Report No. 10)**

**6.24 Declaration as Surplus  
Rear Portion of 100 Turnberry Avenue  
(Ward 17 - Davenport).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 7, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the rear portion of property known municipally as 100 Turnberry Avenue, described as Part of Township Lot 35, 3CFB and shown as Part 1 on Sketch No. PMC-2000-036, be declared surplus to the City's requirements and the Commissioner of Corporate Services be authorized to negotiate a sale to the abutting property owner, Turnberry Green Homes Inc. or its assigns and report back on the results of these negotiations;
- (2) all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Mr. Gilles Bisnaire appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 7, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

**(Clause No. 17 – Report No. 10)**

**6.25 Declaration as Surplus  
Parcel of Vacant Land on the  
North Side of Eglinton Avenue West,  
East of Royal York Road  
(Ward 4 - Etobicoke Centre).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 10, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the parcel of vacant land located on the north side of Eglinton Avenue West, described as being Part Lot 17, Concession C Fronting the Humber and designated Parts 5, 6 and 7 on Reference Plan 64R-11327 and shown as Part 1 on the attached sketch PMC-99-083, be declared surplus to the City's requirements



and the subject parcel be listed for sale on the open market, and all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken; and

- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 10, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

**(Clause No. 18 – Report No. 10)**

**6.26 Declaration as Surplus Parcel of Vacant  
Land at the Rear of 25 Gledhill Avenue  
(Ward 31 - Beaches-East York).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 13, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the parcel of vacant land at the rear of 25 Gledhill Avenue, described as Lot 19, Plan 869, be declared surplus to municipal requirements and the property be offered for sale to the abutting property owner at 25 Gledhill Avenue and all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 13, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

**(Clause No. 19 – Report No. 10)**

**6.27 Declaration as Surplus  
Parcel of Vacant Land, Block H, Plan 5345  
Northwest Side of Averill Crescent,  
Adjacent to 53 Cummer Avenue  
(Ward 24 - Willowdale).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 12, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) Block H be declared surplus to the City's requirements and authority be granted to Commissioner of Corporate Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Community and Neighbourhood Services to negotiate a sale, long-term lease or other disposition of Block H to the Church or another entity associated with and controlled by it and acceptable to the Commissioners, and/or to the Shopping Plaza, all on such terms, conditions and agreements, as are satisfactory to the Commissioners at their discretion, in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor, and that the Commissioner of Corporate Services report back on the results of the negotiations;
- (2) such sale, long-term lease or other disposition of Block H be subject to the retention of a permanent sewer easement;
- (3) all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 12, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

**(Clause No. 20 – Report No. 10)**

**6.28 Toronto Public Library Surplus Property  
525 Horner Avenue  
(Ward 6 - Etobicoke-Lakeshore).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 10, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the property known municipally as 525 Horner Avenue, described as part of Lot 36 and Lots 37 to 39, Plan 2175, be declared surplus to Toronto Public Library Board ("Library Board") purposes, and the property be conveyed to the City for nominal consideration and all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken;
- (2) Council consent to the disposition of 525 Horner Avenue by the Library Board pursuant to Section 19 of the *Public Libraries Act*;
- (3) authority be granted for the City to acquire 525 Horner Avenue for nominal consideration; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 10, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

**(Clause No. 22 – Report No. 10)**

**6.29 Lease of Community Services and Facilities  
Space at 1029 King Street West  
(Ward 19 - Trinity- Spadina).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 6, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the City lease the subject property to The St. Christopher House for a twenty-year term at a nominal rent and in accordance with the terms and conditions as outlined herein;
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to use the funds described below to pay for the closing costs for the transfer of the subject property to the City by the developer; and

- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The following persons appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Sergio Bertolo;
- Ms. Chrissy Pappas; and
- Mr. Bill MacKay.

The following Members of Council appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor Joe Pantalone, Trinity-Spadina; and
- Councillor Michael Walker, St. Paul's.

The Administration Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 6, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services; **(Motion by Councillor Miller)**

Councillor Holyday appointed Councillor Nunziata Acting Chair and vacated the Chair.

- (2) requested Councillor Joe Pantalone, the local Ward Councillor, to contact representatives of St. Christopher House requesting that they outline their intention with respect to the exact uses for the site; and that Councillor Pantalone be requested to report thereon directly to Council for its meeting scheduled to be held on July 16, 2002. **(Motion by Councillor Holyday)**

Councillor Holyday resumed the Chair.

The following Motion was **voted on and lost**:

Moved by Councillor Sutherland:

“That the Administration Committee:

- (1) defer consideration of the leasing of the space until such time as the Condominium at 1029 King Street West is registered and the residents of this building have created a Board; and
- (2) the representatives of St. Christopher House be requested to meet with the residents to discuss the details of the lease.

The following Motion was **withdrawn**:

Moved by Councillor Miller, on behalf of Councillor Pantalone:

“That the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to submit a report directly to Council outlining the uses of the leased premises contemplated by the Section 37 agreement; and that a copy of the report be forwarded to the deputants appearing today.”

(Councillor Joe Pantalone; Commissioner of Corporate Services;  
Director of Real Estate Services – June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 23 – Report No. 10)**

**6.30 Sale of Surplus Vacant Land  
Northeast Corner of Pearen Street  
and Eglinton Avenue West  
(Ward 11 - York South-Weston).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 13, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the Offer to Purchase from Windland Developments Ltd. In Trust to purchase the City-owned land located at the northeast corner of Pearen Street and Eglinton Avenue West, in the amount of \$ 575,300.00, be accepted on the terms outlined in the body of this report, and that either one of the Commissioner of Corporate Services or the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized to accept the Offer on behalf of the City;

- (2) authority be granted to direct a portion of the proceeds on closing to fund the outstanding expenses related to this property;
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction on behalf of the City, including payment of any necessary expenses and amending the closing date to such earlier or later date as she considers reasonable; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Ashton, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 13, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

**(Clause No. 24 – Report No. 10)**

**6.31 2002 Second Quarterly Report on Sales  
(All Wards).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 11, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, forwarding the 2002 Second Quarterly Report on sales; advising that all properties which are identified as potentially surplus to Corporate needs are circulated for potential ABCD interest; that if no interest is expressed or interest is not justified in the view of the Property Management Committee, the Commissioner of Corporate Services recommends to Council the property be declared surplus; that the property is then offered for sale and, once a sale is approved by Council, the property is removed from the Declared Surplus list and identified as a sale in progress; attaching a chart summarizing the beginning balance on the Declared Surplus list (Appendix “A” attached), the quarterly additions as a result of Council declaring property surplus and the quarterly deletions as a result of Council approving a sale; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Ashton, the Administration Committee received the foregoing report.

**(Clause No. 37(k) – Report No. 10)**

**6.32 City Hall Store  
(Ward 27 - Toronto Centre Rosedale).**

The Administration Committee had before it the following communication and report:

- (1) (May 30, 2002) from the City Clerk, advising that City Council on May 21, 22 and 23, 2002, struck out and referred Clause No. 9 of Report No. 6 of The Administration Committee, headed "City Hall Store (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)" back to the Administration Committee for further consideration, and the Commissioner of Corporate Services was requested to provide the Committee with detailed option which would see the listing for lease of the location by the Square, immediately west of the main doors of City Hall, presently occupied by the Security Office; and
- (2) (June 13, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:
  - (1) the Commissioner of Corporate Services be authorized to list for lease the existing City Hall store location with a commercial real estate broker and report back on the results;
  - (2) surplus inventory of the City Hall store be donated to the City of Toronto's United Way campaign; and
  - (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 13, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

**(Clause No. 34 – Report No. 10)**

**6.33 Quotation Request No. 3907-01-5170  
Purchase Order 6006065  
Re-Carpeting and Painting of the Children's  
Services Offices at 5150 Yonge Street  
(Ward 23 - Willowdale).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (April 30, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that Purchase Order 6006065 to Braunstein Construction Ltd. in the amount of \$16,959.50 be extended by \$4,664.00 (GST excluded) to cover the costs associated with the relocation of the adjacent furniture during interior renovations at 5150 Yonge Street.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (April 30, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

**(Clause No. 11 – Report No. 10)**

**6.34 Supply and Delivery of Hot Mix Asphalt and Liquid Asphalt, Request for Quotation No. 3905-02-0026.**

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (May 29, 2002) from the City Clerk, advising that the Bid Committee on May 29, 2002, adopted a report (May 23, 2002) from the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management, headed “Supply and Delivery of Hot Mix Asphalt and Liquid Asphalt, Request for Quotation No. 3905-02-0026”, wherein it is recommended that:

- (1) the quotation submitted by D. Crupi and Sons be accepted for the supply and delivery of Hot Mix Asphalt and Liquid Asphalt, as required, for various City of Toronto departments in the estimated amount of \$571,083.77, including all charges and applicable taxes for the portion of the contract in 2002, being the lowest Quotation received meeting specifications; and
- (2) the balance of the award in the estimated amount of \$407,916.98 to D. Crupi and Sons be referred to the Administration Committee and Council for approval since the budgets related to the required portion of the contract for 2003 must be approved by Council.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee recommended to Council that the quotation submitted by D. Crupi and Sons for the supply and delivery of hot mix asphalt and liquid asphalt in the estimated amount of \$407,916.98, for the portion of the contract for 2003, be approved.

**(Clause No. 12 – Report No. 10)**



**6.35 Sale of Stratified Portion of  
630/650 Mount Pleasant Road  
and 170 Manor Road  
(Ward 22 - St. Paul's).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 10, 2002) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority, recommending that:

- (1) City Council approve a Purchase and Sale Agreement with Mt. Pleasant Meadows Corp. for the sale of the above grade lands located at 630/650 Mount Pleasant Road (Municipal Carpark No. 178), two levels below grade and construction of the underground parking garage. The net proceeds to the TPA is approximately \$3,860,000; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized to execute all necessary documents including the Purchase and Sale Agreement, a Reciprocal Cost Sharing and Easement Agreement granting various easements pertaining to the new construction, a Construction Procedures Agreement, and all necessary transfers/undertakings necessary to facilitate integration of the project elements

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 10, 2002) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority; and further that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, in consultation with the Toronto Parking Authority, be requested to develop a standardized format for the evaluation of Toronto Parking Authority reports which may include criteria such as the return on investment and a net present value analysis.

**(Clause No. 25 – Report No. 10)**

**6.36 Purchase and Sale Agreement  
With First Place Spadina Inc.  
(Municipal Carpark 164)  
(Ward 22 – St. Paul’s).**

The Administration Committee had before it the following reports and communication:

- (1) (June 10, 2002) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority, advising that the Toronto Parking Authority has given further consideration to the matter respecting the Purchase and Sale Agreement with First Place Spadina Inc., at its meeting held on May 28, 2002 (Minute No. 02-097); respecting the aforementioned matter and forwarding recommendations pertaining thereto;
- (2) (March 6, 2002) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority, respecting the Purchase and Sale Agreement with First Place Spadina Inc.; and
- (3) (June 18, 2002) from Mr. Daniel Schonberg, Chair, Forest Hill Village Business Improvement Area, in opposition to the aforementioned matter.

The following persons appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Maurice Anderson, President, Toronto Parking Authority;
- Mr. Ted Matlow, and filed two binders of information with respect thereto;
- Ms. Susan Ainley, President, North Hill District Homeowner’s Assoc.;
- Ms. Tina Shapiro;
- Mr. Ron Lieberman;
- Ms. Jill Goldman;
- Mr. Fred Green;
- Mr. Lorne Persico, Toronto Parking Authority; and
- Mr. Adam Brown, Solicitor.

The following Members of Council appeared before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor Joe Mihevc, St. Paul’s and

- Councillor Michael Walker, St. Paul's.

Councillor Nunziata moved that this matter be held until after 2:00 p.m. in the afternoon in order to allow Mr. Adam Brown, Solicitor, the opportunity to depute before the Administration Committee.

Councillor Johnston moved that the foregoing motion be amended by changing the time to 4:00 p.m.

Councillor Holdyday appointed Councillor Nunziata Acting Chair and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Administration Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the report (March 6, 2002) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority; and further that the Agreement of Purchase and Sale reflect the purchaser's acknowledgement that such approval not be taken to fetter the City's planning rights and responsibilities; and
- (2) that the confidential reports (March 6, 2002) and (June 10, 2002) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority respecting the Purchase and Sale Agreement with First Place Spadina Inc., be made public having regard that the Toronto Parking Authority has advised that there is no requirement under the Municipal Act to consider the matter in-camera.

**(Clause No. 26 – Report No. 10)**

### **6.37 Unsolicited Bid Process.**

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (Undated) from Councillor Paul Sutherland, advising that after discussions with the procurement department and the CAO's office he has discovered that the City of Toronto does not have a formal process to administer unsolicited tenders; that the lack of a formal process inhibits the ability for private companies to approach the City with imaginative and feasible ideas to promote development; that unsolicited tenders can provide Toronto taxpayers with value for money and act as an economic stimulus; that a number of countries around the world have formalized procedures to deal with unsolicited bids including the U.S.A., United Kingdom, Australia and Ecuador; that the "Swiss Challenge" is an effective model to promote unsolicited bids in a fair and practical way; that the Swiss Challenge model follows a number of steps in order to standardize the

process of unsolicited bids; providing an example of the Swiss Challenge format; further advising that the method has proven to provide effective and fair competition, dynamic innovation and transparency of the tender process; that the Swiss Challenge contains a formal evaluation process, evaluation criteria and a review, and an approval committee; and requesting that the Administration Committee refer the “Swiss Challenge” model for unsolicited bids to the CAO and report back to the Administration Committee as to the feasibility of this model or any other suitable model in Toronto.

On motion by Councillor Sutherland, the Administration Committee deferred consideration of the foregoing communication and requested the Chair of the Administration Committee to convene a special meeting of the Committee, prior to the meeting of Council scheduled to be held on July 16, 2002, to give consideration to the aforementioned communication.

(Chair, Administration Committee; Councillor Paul Sutherland – June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 37(1) – Report No. 10)**

**6.38 Declaration as Surplus  
1.5 Metre Strip Along the Easterly  
Limit of Massey Harris Park  
(Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 14, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) the 1.5 metre strip of land along the easterly limit of Massey Harris Park, having an approximate area of 51 m<sup>2</sup>, be declared surplus to the City’s requirements and the Commissioner of Corporate Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, be authorized to negotiate a sale to the abutting property owner at 915 King Street West and report back on the results of these negotiations;
- (2) all steps necessary to comply with Chapter 213 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code be taken; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 14, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services.

**(Clause No. 21 – Report No. 10)**

**6.39 Disposition of Surplus Property  
205 Yonge Street  
(Ward 27 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale).**

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential report (June 13, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, respecting the Disposition of Surplus Property located at 205 Yonge Street, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the disposition of City-owned property.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the confidential report (June 13, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting the disposition of surplus property located at 205 Yonge Street, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further, that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the disposition of City-owned property.

**(Clause No. 28 – Report No. 10)**

**6.40 717 Broadview Avenue – Amendment to the  
Agreement of Purchase and Sale and Lease  
of Property to Broadview Foundation  
(Ward 25 - Don River).**

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential report (June 10, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, respecting 717 Broadview Avenue – Amendment to the Agreement of Purchase and Sale and Lease of Property to Broadview Foundation, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the acquisition of property.

On motion by Councillor Johnston, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the confidential report (June 10, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services respecting an amendment to the Agreement of a purchase and sale and lease of property to Broadview Foundation, which was

forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further, that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussion pertaining thereto be held in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the acquisition of property.

**(Clause No. 29 – Report No. 10)**

**6.41 Benefit Coverage – Former Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Over Age 65 Retirees.**

The Administration Committee had before it the following communications:

- (1) (June 11, 2002) from the City Clerk, reporting confidentially respecting Benefit Coverage – Former Municipality Toronto Over Age 65 Retirees, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to personal matters about identifiable individuals;
- (2) (June 13, 2002) from Mr. Richard D. Majkot, Executive Director, COTAPSAI, requesting the Committee to defer consideration of the issue pertaining to drug coverage for Metro Toronto Pensioners to allow the Metro Toronto Pensioners Association and COTAPSAI an opportunity to gather information and prepare a presentation for consideration at a future meeting of the Administration Committee; and
- (3) (June 24, 2002) from Mr. Jack Horsley, outlining what he believes to be the essential legal documents and issues pertaining to the issue of benefit coverage for retirees of the former Metro over age 65.

On motion by Councillor Ashton, the Administration Committee deferred consideration of the foregoing communications until its meeting scheduled to be held on September 10, 2002, in order to allow interested Metropolitan Toronto retirees an opportunity to obtain outside professional advice with respect to evaluation issues.

(Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Director, Pension, Payroll and Employee Benefits; Interested Parties – June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 37(m) – Report No. 10)**

**6.42 Employment History and Alternate Rate  
Respecting an Employee.**

The Administration Committee had before it the following reports:

- (1) (June 11, 2002) from the City Clerk, reporting confidentially respecting an employees employment history and acting rate, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to personal matters about identifiable individuals; and
- (2) (June 12, 2002) from the Executive Director of Human Resources, reporting, as requested by the Personnel Sub-Committee respecting an employees employment history and acting rate, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to personal matters about an identifiable individual.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the Recommendations of the Personnel Sub-Committee embodied in the confidential communication (June 11, 2002) from the City Clerk, entitled "Employment History and Alternate Rate", which was forwarded to Members of council under confidential cover; and further, that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to personal matters about an identifiable individual; and
- (2) received the confidential report (June 12, 2002) from the Executive Director, Human Resources, providing additional information regarding the aforementioned matter.

**(Clause No. 32 – Report No. 10)**

**6.43 Pay Equity – Non-Union Positions at the  
Association of Community Centres (AOCC).**

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential communication (June 11, 2002) from the City Clerk, respecting Pay Equity – Non Union Positions at the Association of Community Centres, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to personal matters about identifiable individuals.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the Recommendation of the Personnel Sub-Committee embodied in the communication (June 11, 2002) from the City Clerk, entitled “Pay Equity – Non-Union Positions at the Association of Community Centres”, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further, that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to personal matters about identifiable individuals.

**(Clause No. 33 – Report No. 10)**

**6.44 Breaches of Confidentiality Obligations.**

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential communication (June 5, 2002) from Ms. B.G. Humphrey, Stringer Brisbin Humphrey, an outside legal counsel respecting breaches of confidentiality obligations.

Councillor Holyday appointed Councillor Nunziata Acting Chair and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Holyday, the Administration Committee referred this matter to the Executive Director of Human Resources with a request that she meet with the relevant parties to address the procedural aspects of this matter respecting the breaches of confidentiality obligations.

Councillor Holyday resumed the Chair.

The following Motion was **withdrawn**:

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee recommend to Council that the Human Rights and Harassment Policy be amended to provide that this matter be considered by the Personnel Sub-Committee.

(Executive Director of Human Resources; Ms. Barbara Humphrey, Stringer Brisbin Humphrey – June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 37(n) – Report No. 10)**



**6.45 Accounts Receivable – Largest Debtors  
With Tax Arrears Greater Than \$500,000.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 19, 2002) from the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, providing information on property tax accounts with outstanding receivables of \$500,000 or more as at March 31, 2002; advising that while the taxes receivable for the City's largest debtors has increased to \$62 million as of March 31, 2002, approximately \$20 million is attributable to a special supplementary/omit billing for hydro properties; that excluding this special billing, the taxes receivable are at a level (\$42 million) comparable to December 2001; that with respect to Appendix "B", attached in confidence, the Director of Corporate Access and Privacy has advised that the name of an individual property owner, together with their mailing address and the amount of tax arrears, constitutes the personal and financial information of the affected individual as defined by the *Municipal Freedom of Information & Protection of Privacy Act*; that personal information is subject to the privacy provisions of that *Act* and, accordingly, the name of the individual property owners and their mailing addresses may not be disclosed; that to respond to Council's request, Appendix "B" provides the assessed addresses of individual property owners, together with the amount of the tax arrears, which are being disclosed in confidence; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee  
received the foregoing report.

**(Clause No. 37(o) – Report No. 10)**

**6.46 Four District Model For City Public Services  
(All Wards).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 17, 2002) from the Chief Administrative Officer, providing an update on the implementation of the new service district boundaries as adopted by Council in November 2001; and recommending that:

- (1) the delivery of district services be affirmed at the following locations:

South District:	City Hall-Metro Hall
East District:	Scarborough Civic Centre
North District:	North York Civic Centre
West District:	Etobicoke Civic Centre

with satellite public counter services being provided in the South and West Districts at the East York and York Civic Centres, respectively;

- (2) the current review of the Council-Committee structure include a review of options for the relationship between Community Councils and service districts and the Chief Administrative Officer report the findings to the Administration Committee by April 2003; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing report (June 17, 2002) from the Chief Administrative Officer.

**(Clause No. 2 – Report No. 10)**

**6.47 Union Station – Request For Proposals.**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 19, 2002) from the City Solicitor, advising that the Administration Committee at its meeting held March 26, 2002, during consideration of a report on the status of the Union Station Request for Proposals, requested the City Solicitor to report directly to Council on allegations of irregularities in the RFP process raised by Urquhart Consortium Inc., one of the unsuccessful respondents to the Request for Expressions of Interest; that this report responds to a request by City Council that the City Solicitor provide a public report to the Administration Committee on allegations of irregularities in the RFP process; that there are no financial implications arising from this report; that City staff have carefully reviewed the allegations raised by Urquhart with regard to the REOI process; that the REOI was clear that the intent was to pre-qualify only those teams with the skills, resources and experience necessary to redevelop Union Station; that it was within the discretion of the City to determine whether the qualifications of the respondents met the City's requirements based on a fair and equitable evaluation process; that they are satisfied that the REOI/RFP process was appropriate, fair and equitable; that based on a review of the REOI and RFP process to date, the allegations of irregularities by Urquhart are unfounded and no further action is warranted; and recommending that this report be received for information.

Mr. Art McIlwain, Urquhart Consortium Inc., appeared in-camera before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter, and filed a written submission in regard thereto.

Ms. Patricia Simpson, Mr. Bob Wright and Mr. Hans Van Horden provided an in-camera briefing with respect to the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee deferred consideration of the foregoing report and requested the Chair of the Administration Committee to convene a special meeting of the Committee, prior to the meeting of Council scheduled to be held on July 16, 2002, to give consideration to the aforementioned report.

**(Clause No. 37(p) – Report No. 10)**

**6.48 Press Access to Councillors' Offices.**

The Administration Committee had before it the following Notice of Motion (June 18, 2002) from Councillor Kyle Rae:

Moved by: Councillor Rae

Seconded by: Councillor Pantalone

**“WHEREAS** the Toronto City Hall Press Gallery has raised objections to the security measures recently imposed at the main entrances to the Councillors' office area on the 2<sup>nd</sup> floor in City Hall; and

**WHEREAS** the level of access by the press is governed by a protocol established by security management and the Press Gallery Executive; and

**WHEREAS** this new system creates unnecessary barriers to the media's ability to do its job thoroughly and effectively;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT** the Commissioner of Corporate Services be requested to program the press cards issued to accredited journalists to provide for access to the main entrances to the Councillors' office area in the same manner as is provided to the parking garage, the Press Gallery offices and the Elizabeth Street entrance to City Hall.”

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing Notice of Motion (June 18, 2002) by Councillor Kyle Rae, seconded by Councillor Joe Pantalone.

**(Clause No. 35 – Report No. 10)**

**6.49 Purchase of 1445 Bathurst Street  
(Ward 21 - St. Paul's).**

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential report (June 12, 2002) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority, respecting the Purchase of 1445 Bathurst Street, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the acquisition of property.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the confidential report (June 12, 2002) from the President, Toronto Parking Authority entitled "Purchase of 1445 Bathurst Street (Ward 21 – St. Paul's)" which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further, that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera having regard that the subject matter relates to the acquisition of property.

**(Clause No. 27 – Report No. 10)**

**6.50 Establishment of a Future City Integrity Commissioner  
and an Immediate Interim Complaint Procedure Respecting  
the Code of Conduct for Council Members.**

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (June 20, 2002) from the City Clerk, Ethics Steering Committee, advising that the Ethics Steering Committee on June 20, 2002, recommended to the Administration Committee that:

- (1) consistent with its Terms of Reference, the Ethics Steering Committee be charged with the responsibility of recommending to Council, the protocols, by-laws and policies governing the ethical behaviour of members of Council;
- (2) consistent with its Terms of Reference, neither the Ethics Steering Committee nor any other Council body or individual (including a City employee) shall have a role in the investigation or adjudication of the ethical behaviour of another member of Council, with the one exception noted in Recommendation No. (6);
- (3) an Integrity Commissioner function similar to the Provincial model and having an appointment term that does not exceed five years, be established by the City of Toronto to advise Council members on Code of Conduct inquiries, assess the nature and legitimacy of formal Code of Conduct complaints, determine whether investigation is warranted, and ensure that appropriate actions are taken in this regard for report and recommendation to Council;

- (4) qualifications for the City Integrity Commissioner include, at a minimum, membership in the Law Society of Upper Canada, municipal or other administrative law experience, municipal law adjudication experience, and impartiality such as that perceived with a retired judge;
- (5) Council grant authority for application to be made for special legislation from the Province to establish a City Integrity Commissioner similar to the Provincial model and that the City Solicitor and Chief Administrative Officer develop the required Draft Act;
- (6) the Integrity Commissioner operate in an arm's length capacity from Council and the Mayor's office except that, following the Provincial model, Council will make the final decision on whether any penalty (as may be recommended by the Integrity Commissioner) is imposed on the member found to have contravened the Bill Code;
- (7) while awaiting special legislation from the Province, the Interim Complaint Procedure presented in Appendix 2 be approved for implementation including its provisions for an informal complaint process, or a formal process using the services of external legal counsel, fixed penalty provisions, specified reporting procedures and timeframes, conditions respecting payment of legal costs, and a role for Ethics Steering Committee to convey the report to Council and advise on the extent of public disclosure;
- (8) the cost of processing any complaints under the proposed Interim Complaints Procedure during the year 2002, be absorbed by the Clerk's Division since funds have been confirmed as available for this purpose;
- (9) the Ethics Steering Committee report to the Administration Committee in the fall of 2002 on a recommended Advice Protocol for processing requests for advice to an Integrity Commissioner under the Draft Act;
- (10) the Ethics Steering Committee report to the Administration Committee in the fall of 2002 on a recommended Complaint Protocol for processing investigation requests to an Integrity Commissioner under the Draft Act;
- (11) Council determine the source of a budget to cover the establishment of an Integrity Commissioner function, as well as what monetary or other limitations should apply, only after special legislation has been enacted; and
- (12) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Soknacki, the Administration Committee referred the foregoing communication back to the Ethics Steering Committee with a request that the Ethics Steering Committee:

- (1) develop the Interim Complaint Procedure as a stand-alone process in the absence of a City Integrity Commissioner function; and
- (2) reconsider the recommendations within the context of having the Interim Complaint Procedure established as a stand-alone process.

(Ethics Steering Committee – June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 37(q) – Report No. 10)**

**6.51 Request for Proposal No. 9155-01-7001  
To Restore, Develop and Operate Union Station  
(Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale).**

The Administration Committee had before it a joint report (June 20, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) Union Pearson Group Inc. the top scoring proponent, be selected as the preferred proponent;
- (2) City staff be authorized to negotiate the appropriate legal agreements with Union Pearson Group Inc. in respect of the restoration, development and operation of Union Station in accordance with the terms set out in the Request for Proposal, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Corporate Services;
- (3) in the event an agreement cannot be reached with Union Pearson Group Inc., staff be further authorized to negotiate the appropriate legal agreements with LP Heritage + Union Station Consortium;
- (4) Union Pearson Group Inc. and LP Heritage + Union Station Consortium both be prohibited from lobbying in accordance with Council's policy until the legal agreement has been negotiated with one of the proponents and a staff recommendation made;
- (5) the Commissioner of Corporate Services report back to Administration Committee in October 2002 on the results of the negotiations;

- (6) the retainer of Davies Ward Phillips and Vineberg be continued to assist in the preparation and negotiation of the required legal documentation at a cost not to exceed \$150,000; and
- (7) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

Mr. Art McIlwain, Urquhart Consortium Inc., appeared in-camera before the Administration Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

Ms. Patty Simpson, Mr. Bob Wright and Mr. Hans Van Horden provided an in-camera briefing with respect to the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee deferred consideration of the foregoing report and requested the Chair of the Administration Committee to convene a special meeting of the Committee, prior to the meeting of Council scheduled to be held on July 16, 2002, to give consideration to the aforementioned report.

(Chair, Administration Committee; Commissioner of Corporate Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; Director, Purchasing and Materials Management; City Solicitor – June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 37(r) – Report No. 10)**

**6.52 Lease of City Owned Property North and South Buildings Located at 245 Queens Quay West, (Ward 20 – Trinity-Spadina).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 14, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services, recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to lease the north building to Bambu Dining Lounge Ltd. in accordance with the terms and conditions as outlined herein and in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor;
- (2) authority be granted to draw funds from the rent payments to pay for the commission to J.J. Barnicke Limited as outlined herein;
- (3) the City Solicitor be authorized to prepare and have executed the appropriate lease documentation;

- (4) the “Programming Lease” with Harbourfront Corporation (1990) carrying on business as Harbourfront Centre be amended to include both the north and south buildings at 245 Queens Quay West, subject to the terms and conditions contained herein; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee deferred consideration of the foregoing report and requested the Chair of the Administration Committee to convene a special meeting of the Committee, prior to the meeting of Council scheduled to be held on July 16, 2002, to give consideration to the aforementioned report.

(Chair, Administration Committee; Commissioner of Corporate Services; Director of Real Estate Services – June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 37(s) – Report No. 10)**

**6.53 Optimizing Revenue from Development Potential  
TTC Properties – Schedule “A” Properties  
(Various Wards).**

The Administration Committee had before it a report (June 24, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services providing information on the work plan formulated on the Schedule “A” Properties with regard to optimizing revenue from development potential of properties owned and/or operated by the TTC; advising that revenue will be generated from the eventual sale/lease of these properties; that while existing staff will advance this work during the balance of 2002, in order to expedite this project, it is necessary to secure additional temporary staff and other resources; that this report advises that the 2003 Operating Budget submissions for the affected Divisions will include the necessary funding to expedite the project; and recommending that this report be received for information.

On motion by Councillor Sutherland, the Administration Committee deferred consideration of the foregoing report and requested the Chair of the Administration Committee to convene a special meeting of the Committee, prior to the meeting of Council scheduled to be held on July 16, 2002, to give consideration to the aforementioned communication.



(Chair, Administration Committee; Commissioner of Corporate Services; Director of Facilities and Real Estate – June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 37(t) – Report No. 10)**

**6.54 Safety at Toronto Parking Authority Parking Lots.**

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (June 21, 2002) from Councillor Frances Nunziata, advising that recently over the course of three days the residents of Toronto were shocked by a series of violent carjackings; that one of the carjackings in question took place at a Toronto Parking Authority parking lot adjacent to the Chester Subway Station; and requesting that this issue be placed on the Agenda of the Administration Committee for its meeting scheduled to be held on June 25, 2002.

On motion by Councillor Nunziata, the Administration Committee requested the President, Toronto Parking Authority, to submit a report to the meeting of the Administration Committee scheduled to be held on September 10, 2002, advising if there is adequate lighting and security at the Toronto Parking Authority parking lot adjacent to the Chester Subway Station in light of the recent events occurring at that location.

(President, Toronto Parking Authority; Councillor Frances Nunziata – June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 37(u) – Report No. 10)**

**6.55 145 Sheppard Avenue West –  
Settlement of Legal Claim  
(Ward 23 – Willowdale).**

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential report (June 21, 2002) from the City Solicitor, respecting settlement of a legal claim, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject relates to a litigation matter.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the confidential report (June 21, 2002) from the City Solicitor respecting 145 Sheppard Avenue West, Settlement of Legal Claim, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further, that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera having regard that the subject relates to a litigation matter.

**(Clause No. 30 – Report No. 10)**

**6.56 Appeal of Court Order Concerning the Payment of Administrative Costs for the Metropolitan Toronto Pension Plan.**

The Administration Committee had before it a confidential report (June 21, 2002) from the City Solicitor, respecting an appeal of court order concerning the payment of administrative costs for the Metropolitan Toronto Pension Plan, such report to be considered in-camera having regard that the subject relates to a possible litigation matter.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the confidential report (June 21, 2002) from the City Solicitor respecting an appeal of court order concerning the payment of administrative costs for the Metropolitan Toronto Pension Plan which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further, that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussion pertaining thereto be held in-camera having regard that the subject relates to a possible litigation matter.

**(Clause No. 31 – Report No. 10)**

**6.57 A Visual Identity for Heavy Urban Search and Rescue Team of the City of Toronto.**

The Administration Committee had before it a joint report (June 7, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, recommending that:

- (1) Council confirm its approval for the Heavy Urban Search and Rescue (HUSAR) team to use the shoulder flash or badge as a HUSAR insignia and as a secondary identifier as part of the proposed visual identity system for the City of Toronto; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Sutherland, the Administration Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the foregoing joint report (June 7, 2002) from the Commissioner of Corporate Services and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

**(Clause No. 6 – Report No. 10)**

**6.58 Access by Councillors' Staff to Various Areas of City Hall.**

The Administration Committee had before it a communication (June 25, 2002) from Councillor David Miller, Ward 13, Parkdale-High Park, advising that as a result of recent security measures, Councillors' staff have been denied access to various areas of City Hall; and requesting that the same access given to Councillors be extended to their staff.

On motion by Councillor Miller, the Administration Committee referred the foregoing communication to the Commissioner of Corporate Services for report thereon to the meeting of the Committee scheduled to be held on September 10, 2002.

(Commissioner of Corporate Services; Councillor David Miller – June 25, 2002)

**(Clause No. 37(v) – Report No. 10)**

The Administration Committee adjourned its meeting at 6:43 p.m.

---

Chair.