

THE CITY OF TORONTO

City Clerk's Division

Minutes of the Works Committee

Meeting No. 1

**January 15, January 22, and
January 25, 2002**

The Works Committee met on Tuesday, January 15, 2002, commencing at 9:40 a.m., and Tuesday, January 22, 2002, commencing at 9:40 a.m., in Committee Room 1, 2nd Floor, City Hall; and Friday, January 25, 2002, commencing at 2:10 p.m., in Committee Room 2, 2nd Floor, City Hall, Toronto.

Members Present:

| | <u>January 15, 2002</u> | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | <u>9:40 a.m.-12:35 p.m.</u> | <u>2:05 p.m.-7:00 p.m.</u> |
| Councillor Betty Disero, Chair | x | x |
| Councillor Jane Pitfield, Vice-Chair | x | x |
| Councillor Bas Balkissoon | x | x |
| Councillor Frank Di Giorgio | x | x |
| Councillor Irene Jones | x | x |
| Councillor Jack Layton | x | x |
| Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby | - | - |
| Councillor Peter Li Preti | x | x |

| | <u>January 22, 2002</u> | <u>January 25, 2002</u> |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | <u>9:40 a.m.-9:05 p.m.</u> | <u>2:10 p.m.-4:10 p.m.</u> |
| | (In Camera: 8:45 p.m.-8:55 p.m.) | |
| Councillor Betty Disero, Chair | x | x |
| Councillor Jane Pitfield, Vice-Chair | x | x |
| Councillor Bas Balkissoon | x | x |
| Councillor Frank Di Giorgio | x | - |
| Councillor Irene Jones | - | - |
| Councillor Jack Layton | x | x |
| Councillor Gloria Lindsay Luby | x | x |
| Councillor Peter Li Preti | x | - |

Members were present for some or all of the time period indicated.

Also Present:

Councillor Sandra Bussin
Councillor Suzan Hall
Councillor Douglas Holyday
Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski
Councillor Pam McConnell
Councillor Peter Milczyn
Councillor Frances Nunziata
Councillor Joe Pantalone
Councillor David Shiner
Councillor David Soknacki

Confirmation of Minutes.

On motion by Councillor Pitfield, the Minutes of the meeting of the Works Committee held on November 14, 2001, were confirmed.

**1.1 2002 Capital and Operating Budgets -
Works Committee.**

The Works Committee had before it the 2002 Capital and Operating Budgets of the Works and Emergency Services Department for the following programs:

- Solid Waste Management Services;
- Transportation Services;
- Water and Wastewater Services;
- Technical Services; and
- Support Services.

The following staff gave presentations to the Committee on the 2002 Capital and Operating Budgets for their respective programs, and submitted copies of their presentations:

- Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services;
- General Manager, Transportation Services;
- General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services;
- Executive Director, Technical Services; and

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- Director, Support Services.

The Committee also had before it the following communications and reports:

- (i) (December 13, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that City Council at its meeting held on December 4, 5 and 6, 2001, directed that Clause No. 20 contained in Report No. 17 of The Works Committee, headed "Other Items Considered by the Committee", be received as information, subject to striking out and referring Item (n), entitled "City-Wide Service Levels for Leaf Collection", back to the Works Committee for further consideration, wherein the Works Committee reported having recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee the adoption of the following Recommendation No. (3) contained in the report dated May 29, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services:
 - "(3) the special mechanical leaf collection provided to selected areas within the City be discontinued and all residents be required to bag leaves from private property and adjacent boulevards";
- (ii) (December 20, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services presenting the staffing and resource requirements for organizing and promoting an annual Car Free Day; advising that Works and Emergency Services has included \$195,000 for the Car Free Day Project as a new item in its 2002 Operating Budget submission; further advising that the Sierra Club of Canada will be submitting a grant proposal to the Toronto Atmospheric Fund in January 2002 which, if approved, would reduce the Operating Budget requirements to approximately \$160,000 (net), and that if these funds are provided, temporary staff will be hired and work will begin on Car Free Day 2002; noting that at that time, Environment Canada and other organizations would be approached for partnership funding to supplement the City's contribution; and recommending that this report be received for information;
- (iii) (December 18, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the implications of changing the proposed implementation schedule for the three-stream program, as requested by the Committee at its meeting on November 14, 2001; advising that while it is technically possible to implement the three-stream program throughout the entire City by April 2003, there would be financial and operational ramifications, and that an additional \$10 million would need to be added to the 2002 Capital Budget, and \$1.5 million in capital financing charges would need to be added to the 2002 Operating Budget; and recommending that this report be received for information;
- (iv) (December 10, 2001) from the City Clerk forwarding a copy of Clause No. 14

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

contained in Report No. 13 of The Community Services Committee, headed "Enhancing Access to Public Washrooms", which was adopted, without amendment, by City Council at its meeting held on December 4, 5 and 6, 2001, for information and appropriate action;

- (v) (December 10, 2001) from the City Clerk forwarding a copy of Clause No. 1 of Report No. 16 of The Policy and Finance Committee, headed "Fiscal Sustainability Principles and Financial Priority Setting", which was adopted, without amendment, by City Council at its meeting held on December 4, 5 and 6, 2001;
- (vi) (January 9, 2002) from Mr. Jason Thorne, Communications Director, RiverSides Stewardship Alliance, expressing concern with respect to compliance with the new Sewer Use By-law by dental clinics, and requesting that the City commit the funds necessary for full implementation of the by-law;
- (vii) (undated) from Ms. Eleanor McKittrick, Etobicoke resident, in support of the annual fall curbside leaf vacuuming program;
- (viii) (January 21, 2002) from Ms. Wynneth L. Clark, President, Humber Valley Village Residents' Association, advising that the Association does not support the elimination of the leaf collection program and requesting that the program remain in place for the reasons outlined therein;
- (ix) (January 22, 2002) from Ms. Ann Dembinski, President, Canadian Union of Public Employees Local 79, in opposition to proposed budget reductions in garbage collection and the elimination of staff positions in Solid Waste Management, Technical Services and Support Services; and urging the Members of the Works Committee to ensure that the Works and Emergency Services Department and its staff have the resources they need to continue critical functions;
- (x) (January 22, 2002) from Ms. Rhona Swarbrick, Ward 5 Resident and Member, Toronto Pedestrian Committee, regarding the Transportation Services Operating and Capital budgets with respect to pedestrian and cycling issues;
- (xi) (January 21, 2002) from Mr. Lorne Berg, Vice-President, North York Chamber of Commerce, expressing concerns with respect to traffic congestion in the North York community, and recommending that the Toronto Bicycle Plan be implemented;
- (xii) (January 22, 2002) from Mr. Bill Guthrie, Vice President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union - CUPE Local 416, outlining the role taken by Local 416 members in providing the City's vital services; and addressing specific issues in the 2002 budgets of the Solid Waste Management, Transportation and Water and Wastewater

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

divisions;

- (xiii) (undated) from the Toronto Environmental Alliance submitting recommended changes to the 2002 Works Budget;
- (xiv) (January 22, 2002) from Councillor Jack Layton, Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth, regarding the current status of various waste diversion initiatives recommended by Task Force 2010; and
- (xv) (January 22, 2002) from Councillor Jack Layton, Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth, submitting a list of 2002 budget cuts to environmental initiatives.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the 2002 Operating and Capital Budgets:

- Mr. Jason Thorne, Communications Director, and Mr. Kevin Mercer, Executive Director, RiverSides Stewardship Alliance;
- Ms. Sarah Climenhaga, Black Creek Regional Transport Management Association, and submitted a communication from the North York Chamber of Commerce with respect thereto;
- Mr. Garnett A. Martin, Chair, Audible Pedestrian Signals Advisory Group;
- Mr. Ken Amoroso, Membership Secretary, Canadian Union of Public Employees Local 79, and submitted a communication with respect thereto;
- Mr. John Cartwright, President, Toronto and York Region Labour Council;
- Mr. Martin Koob, representing Toronto Bicycling Network;
- Mr. Patrick Nagel;
- Ms. Coby Lais, Etobicoke resident;
- Mr. Don Cameron, Thorncrest Homes Association;
- Ms. Rhona Swarbrick, Ward 5 Resident and Member, Toronto Pedestrian Committee, and submitted a communication with respect to the Transportation Capital and Operating Budgets;

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- Mr. Mike Noble, Chairman, Car Free Day Campaign for Sierra Club, Eastern Canada Chapter;
- Mr. Ron Hart, Co-Chair, North York Cycling and Pedestrian Committee;
- Mr. Bill Guthrie, Vice-President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union - CUPE Local 416, and submitted a communication with respect thereto;
- Mr. Gord Perks, Toronto Environmental Alliance, and submitted recommended changes to the 2002 budgets;
- Mr. Rod Muir;
- Ms. Karen Buck; and
- Mr. Warren Brubacher.

The following Councillors also appeared before the Committee in connection with the 2002 Operating and Capital Budgets:

- Councillor Sandra Bussin, Ward 32 - Beaches-East York;
- Councillor Suzan Hall, Ward 1 - Etobicoke North;
- Councillor Joe Pantalone, Ward 19 - Trinity-Spadina; and
- Councillor David Soknacki, Ward 43 - Scarborough East.

2002-2006 Capital Budget:

The Committee reviewed the 2002-2006 Capital Budget for the following programs of the Works and Emergency Services Department, and took the following action with respect thereto:

I. **Solid Waste Management:**

The Committee:

- (1) recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee the adoption of the

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

recommended 2002 Capital Budget for the Solid Waste Management Program;

- (2) recommended that the deferral of \$9.2 million until 2003 for the purchase of collection vehicles remain, subject to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services reporting back no later than the meeting of the Works Committee scheduled to be held on June 25, 2002, to allow for Council approval for the purchase of the vehicles no later than September 2002;

(Motion by Councillor Layton)

- (3) recommended that the 2003 cash flow of \$2.420 million be brought forward in 2002, subject to funding being available from the Waste Management Reserve, and that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be directed to immediately order the containers contained in the 2003 cash flow and required for the Source Separated Organics program in Scarborough, for completed delivery by July 2003; and

(Motion by Councillor Disero)

- (4) requested that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services submit a report to the Works Committee no later than its meeting scheduled to be held on June 25, 2002, on:
 - (i) the capacity for processing Source Separated Organics; and
 - (ii) any operating and capital variances in the 2002 budget.

(Motion by Councillor Disero)

The following motions were **redundant**:

Motion by Councillor Disero:

“That the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the Committee no later than June 25, 2002, on a Request for Proposals for the requested vehicles for the Source Separated Organics program in Scarborough.”; and

Motion by Councillor Layton:

“That funding in the amount of \$2.4 million be restored for containers for Phase 2 of the Source Separated Organics program.”

The following motion by Councillor Layton was **withdrawn**:

“That the Committee recommend to the Budget Advisory Committee that funding for Phase 2 of the Source Separated Organics program be financed by the overall City corporate policy, being to maintain the previous ratio of financing capital from current.”

II. Transportation Services:

The Committee:

(A) recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee the adoption of the recommended 2002 Capital Budget for Transportation Services, subject to:

(1) reinstating the enhanced cost of \$500,000 for tree planting on roads to be constructed and reconstructed within the 2002 capital budget of each such road, with a request that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services:

(i) report to the Works Committee on how the program has been implemented for the year 2002; and

(ii) develop standards for such plantings, and report to the Works Committee on such standards in time for inclusion in road building and reconstruction in 2003; and

(Motion by Councillor Layton, on behalf of Councillor Pantalone)

(2) reinstating the following proposed reductions to be funded from within the existing allocation for Road Resurfacing and Reconstruction:

(i) \$500,000 for the Pedestrian Protection Pilot Project;

(Motion by Councillor Pitfield)

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- (ii) \$790,000 for Cycling and Infrastructure; and
- (iii) \$100,000 for Audible Signals;

(Motions (ii) and (iii) by Councillor Layton)

and requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to submit a report to the Budget Advisory Committee on the program adjustments that would be required to accommodate the above initiatives;

(Motion by Councillor Layton)

- (B) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with Toronto Hydro, to report to the Budget Advisory Committee on the possibility of obtaining full cost recovery from Hydro companies for its Hydro Conversion projects currently found in the EMT Recommended 2002 cash flow as \$1.000 million project, with such sum to be contributed to the Waste Management Reserve; and requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report back to the Works Committee on a standard for such conversion programs;

(Motion by Councillor Disero)

- (C) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to further report to the Budget Advisory Committee on:
 - (i) a list of projects in the Transportation capital budget for potential reduction to offset the \$9.2 million allocation in the SWM capital budget for the purchase of collection vehicles;

(Motion by Councillor Pitfield)

- (ii) the amount of funds needed for the design and expropriation of properties pertaining to the Dufferin Jog Elimination project; and

(Motion by Councillor Balkissoon, on behalf of Councillor Korwin-Kuczynski)

- (iii) the timing and detailed nature of obligations for the construction of the Simcoe underpass, and whether there is a possibility of moving any or all of the allocation to 2003;

(Motion by Councillor Layton)

- (D) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Works Committee on the emerging urban practice in European, Australian and American cities to reduce speed limits on residential streets to 30 km/h or below and the advisability of considering such options in Toronto; and the City Solicitor to report to the Committee on whether or not the City could use the Hudson Supreme Court Case to allow the City to proceed with 30 km/h or less speed limits on streets to avoid the need for traffic calming measures;

(Motion by Councillor Layton)

- (E) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Works Committee on prioritizing the repairs and road maintenance in the curb lanes where they can impact cyclists; and

(Motion by Councillor Layton)

- (F) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Works Committee for its meeting on June 25, 2002, on any variances in the capital budget for Transportation Services.

(Motion by Councillor Pitfield)

III. Water and Wastewater Services:

The Committee recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee the adoption of the recommended 2002 Capital Budget for Water and Wastewater Services, including an increase of \$163,000 related to the Basement Flooding Project recommended by the EMT.

IV. WES Departmental:

The Committee recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee the adoption of the recommended 2002 Capital Budget for Works and Emergency Services. **(Motion by Councillor Pitfield)**

(Budget Advisory Committee; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services; General Manager, Transportation Services; General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services; Executive Director, Technical Services; Director, Support Services; City Solicitor; All Interested Persons; c: Policy and Finance Committee; Mr. Al Horsman, Budget Division, Finance Department - January 25, 2002)

2002 Operating Budget:

The Committee reviewed the 2002 Operating Budget for the following programs of the Works and Emergency Services Department, and took the following action with respect thereto:

I. Solid Waste Management:

The Committee:

(1) recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee the adoption of the 2002 EMT Recommended Operating Base Budget for Solid Waste Management:

(i) with the exception of the amount of \$404,800 for Environment Days (SW011) to be supported by the base budget, having regard that the Works Committee recommended to Council for consideration at its meeting commencing on February 13, 2002, the adoption of a report (December 18, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services with respect to approval of the 2002 Environment Days; [Refer to Minute No. 1.20] and

(Motion by Councillor Balkissoon)

- (ii) subject to an increase of \$600,000 net for Commercial Collection Disposal (SW012) as a result of revised eligibility criteria being recommended by the Works Committee;
- (2) recommended with respect to New and Enhanced Programs, that the Budget Advisory Committee include in its 2002 EMT Recommended Operating Base Budget for Solid Waste Management the following:
- (i) an addition of \$440,000 net for Communication expenditures associated with the implementation of the Three-Stream Process (SW023), and that this item be reflected as a base budget item rather than a New/Enhanced budget item;

(Motion by Councillor Disero)

- (ii) an addition of \$300,000 for Contribution to Equipment Replacement Reserve (SW026), and that this item be reflected as a base budget item rather than a New/Enhanced budget item;

(Motion by Councillor Balkissoon)

- (iii) the expenditure of \$330,000 for the "Take It Back" program (SW025), provided that there is an offsetting external revenue and savings of an equal amount;

(Motion by Councillor Disero)

- (iv) the amount of \$100,000 for the Development of Mixed Glass Markets and Apartment Recycling Pilots (SW027), subject to there being an equivalent or greater reduction in the corporate budget for electricity charges from Toronto Hydro; and
- (v) the amount of \$80,000 for the Development of Environmental Management System for Transfer Stations (SW028), subject to there being an equivalent or greater reduction in the corporate budget for electricity charges from Toronto Hydro;

(Motions (iv) and (v) by Councillor Layton)

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- (3) recommended that the Budget Advisory Committee include in its 2002 EMT Recommended Operating Base Budget for Solid Waste Management the request that the revenues generated from the following activities be contributed to the Waste Management Reserve, and that these revenues be used to fund operations in the SWM program as approved by Council:
 - (i) recovery fees for waste collection/disposal from schools, agencies, boards, commissions and departments above the budgeted amount of \$3.6 million;
 - (ii) commercial collection above the budgeted amount of \$2.7 million;
 - (iii) diversion funding from other levels of government, e.g., Waste Diversion Organization;
 - (iv) harmonization of bin rental across City for apartment buildings; and
 - (v) savings from the four-day/ten-hour work week above the budgeted amount of \$1 million;
- (4) recommended that the amount of \$2,097,426 be transferred from the Perpetual Care of Landfills Account to the Waste Management Reserve to bring the balance in the reserve to \$4.6 million, and that the Waste Management Reserve be used as follows:
 - (i) a withdrawal of \$679,000 to fund the 2001 Solid Waste Management Operating Budget;
 - (ii) a withdrawal of \$171,000 to fund the 2002 Solid Waste Management Operating Budget; and
 - (iii) a withdrawal of \$2.420 million to fund the 2003 Source Separated Organics containers accelerated to the 2002 Solid Waste Management Capital Budget, subject to funds being available in the balance for the Waste Management Reserve;
- (5) recommended that the total Solid Waste Management cost be included on the back of the tax bill, similar to 2001;

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- (6) requested that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services review the adequacy of the balance in the Allowance for Doubtful Accounts – Solid Waste (Account Number 126039) to determine the suitability of transferring the full \$2,097,426.00 to the Waste Management Reserve;
- (7) requested that the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer provide to the Budget Advisory Committee a breakdown of the fees being paid by each agency, board, commission and department, for garbage service from the City; and
- (8) requested that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services submit a report to the Works Committee no later than its meeting scheduled to be held on June 25, 2002, on:
 - (i) any operating and capital variances in the 2002 budget;
 - (ii) the status of the Waste Management Reserve; and
 - (iii) the costs that would be involved in amending the contract for the curbside waste and recyclables for the York community to include Source Separated Organics.

(Motions (3), (4), (5), (6), (7) and (8) by Councillor Disero)

II. Transportation Services:

The Committee:

- (1) recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee the adoption of the 2002 EMT Recommended Operating Base Budget for Transportation Services, subject to:
 - (i) amending the Permit Parking Fees (TP004) by increasing the fees 5 percent and increasing the fees in future at the same rate as the CPI for the Toronto area, representing in 2002 a revised total revenue of \$186,000 and a net addition to the EMT Recommended Operating Base Budget for 2002 of \$1.364 million; and

- (ii) reinstating the proposed reduction of \$1.000 million for Cleaning Around Parked Cars (TP005);
- (2) recommended with respect to New and Enhanced Programs, that the Budget Advisory Committee include in its 2002 EMT Recommended Operating Base Budget for Transportation Services the following:
 - (i) an addition of \$195,000 for Traffic Planning – Car Free Day (TP018) subject to there being an equivalent or greater reduction in the corporate budget for electricity charges from Toronto Hydro; and
 - (ii) an addition of four positions in District 4 Requirements at a \$5,900 net reduction (TP019);

(Motions (1) and (2) by Councillor Layton)

- (3) deferred consideration of the following motion until its meeting scheduled to be held on May 1, 2002:

Moved by Councillor Lindsay Luby:

“That the areas continuing to receive mechanical leaf collection be limited to those streets with ditches and which are heavily treed; that staff determine those streets most in need of the service based on their knowledge and experience; and that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report back on how this can be accommodated within the existing maintenance budget”;

(Motion by Councillor Layton to defer the foregoing motion by Councillor Lindsay Luby)

- (4) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to submit a report to the Works Committee by June 2002 on the current practices on maintenance of maintenance holes within the paved road surface, and further, on what can be done to implement a detailed inspection and preventative maintenance program on maintenance hole locations and at an appropriate lifetime of a roadway, i.e., 10-15 years after resurfacing, to extend road surface lifespan; and

(Motion by Councillor Balkissoon)

- (5) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report to the Works Committee on strategies for making curb lane occupancy more bicycle friendly.

(Motion by Councillor Layton)

III. Water and Wastewater Services:

The Committee:

- (A) recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee the adoption of the 2002 EMT Recommended Operating Base Budget, subject to adding to Recommendation No. (1) the following line item:

| | |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| Contribution to Capital | \$148,587,000 |
|-------------------------|---------------|

which would bring the total 2002 envelope for Water and Wastewater Programs to \$486,276,700; and

- (B) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to:
- (1) give consideration to suggestions made by RiverSides Stewardship Alliance and Councillor Lindsay Luby with respect to tracking compliance with the Sewer Use By-law by dentists, in particular with reference to the use of less expensive amalgam separators, and to publicizing the extent of penalties under the Sewer Use By-law;

(Motion by Councillor Pitfield)

- (2) give consideration to offering water retrofits, including toilet replacements, at the same time as the installation of new water meters, and that a partnership with Toronto Hydro and its retrofit efforts with Green Saver be expanded;
- (3) report to the Works Committee on the cost benefit analysis of the Toilet Replacement Program, and on options for accelerating the financing of this program through the sale of water to other customers such as neighbouring municipalities;

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- (4) submit a status report to the Works Committee for its meeting on March 26, 2002, on the estimated dates for the various stages of the closure of the incinerators in the forthcoming report on the biosolids contract;

(Motions (2), (3) and (4) by Councillor Layton)

- (5) report within six months to the Works Committee on the overtime and history of overtime in Water and Wastewater Services dating back to 1998;
- (6) report to the Works Committee on the number of charges that were laid under the Sewer Use By-law in 2001 as well as the number of convictions; and
- (7) assist community groups, agencies and non-profit groups to support the monitoring of the health of the City's rivers and watercourses, in particular the Black Creek.

(Motions (5), (6) and (7) by Councillor Li Preti)

IV. WES Technical Services:

The Committee:

- (1) recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee the adoption of the 2002 EMT Recommended Operating Base Budget for WES Technical Services, subject to including the funding for the following environmental initiatives in the amount of \$630,700, and there being an equivalent or greater reduction in the budget for corporate electricity charges from Toronto Hydro:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| (WT013) Air Quality Monitoring (Professional Services) | \$40.000 |
| (WT002) Better Building Partnership Advertising | \$110.000 |
| (WT010) Better Building Partnership Awards | \$30.000 |
| (WT001) Environmental Management Systems | \$48.000 |
| (WT003) New Energy Standards | \$10.000 |
| (WT004) Emergency Soil Testing | \$20.000 |
| (WT011) Sustainable Energy Business Plan | \$35.000 |
| (WT012) Better Transportation Partnership Promotion | \$20.000 |

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

| | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| (WT016) Community Outreach Unit | \$164.700 |
| (WT017) Noise Program | \$153.000 |

- (2) recommended with respect to New and Enhanced Programs, that the Budget Advisory Committee include in its 2002 EMT Recommended Operating Base Budget for Technical Services the following:
- (i) the expenditure of \$91,900 for Air Quality Monitoring position (WT023), subject to there being an equivalent or greater reduction in the corporate budget for electricity charges from Toronto Hydro;
 - (ii) the expenditure of \$164,000 for one Engineer/Engineering Technician for Bridge Inspection/Summer Coverage (WT020), subject to full cost recovery from the Program; and
 - (iii) the expenditure of \$1,689,300 for Waterfront Environmental Assessment (WT022), subject to full cost recovery from the Waterfront agency; and

(Motions (1) and (2) by Councillor Layton)

- (3) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to:
- (i) further develop the draft Environmental Plan Recommendations Tracking Report, including an explanatory text, for submission to the Sustainability Round Table and the relevant Standing Committees; and

(Motion by Councillor Layton)

- (ii) submit to Members of the Works Committee and the Budget Advisory Committee, prior to the end of the budget process, a breakdown of the Support Services and Technical Services' organizational charts illustrating the number of employees charged back to different departments, their work locations and a list of the projects being worked on.

(Motion by Councillor Pitfield)

The following motion by Councillor Lindsay Luby was **withdrawn**:

“That one FTE be maintained for the Community Outreach Unit within the Public Consultation Unit.”

IV. WES Support Services:

The Committee:

- (1) recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee the adoption of the 2002 EMT Recommended Operating Base Budget for WES Support Services, subject to including the funding of the Public Education/Display/Presentation staff in the amount of \$200,000 (WE005) and there being an equivalent or greater reduction in the budget for corporate electricity charges from Toronto Hydro; and

(Motion by Councillor Layton)

- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to submit to Members of the Works Committee and the Budget Advisory Committee, prior to the end of the budget process, a breakdown of the Technical Services and Support Services' organizational charts illustrating the number of employees charged back to different departments, their work locations and a list of the projects being worked on.

(Motion by Councillor Pitfield)

(Budget Advisory Committee; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services; General Manager, Transportation Services; General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services; Executive Director, Technical Services; Director, Support Services; City Solicitor; All Interested Persons; c: Policy and Finance Committee; Mr. Al Horsman, Budget Division, Finance Department - January 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 28(a), Report No. 2)

1.2 Pedestrian Access to Streetcar Platforms.

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 18, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services commenting on the suggestions made by the Toronto Pedestrian Committee during consideration of the Toronto Transit Commission proposal to amend the Highway Traffic Act as it relates to vehicles stopping behind streetcar doors, when passengers are boarding or alighting streetcars, which was before the Committee at its meeting on November 14, 2001; advising that the current operation of streetcar platforms does not pose a hazard to TTC patrons, and that the proposal by the Toronto Pedestrian Committee that pedestrians should have the right-of-way over drivers at streetcar platforms would create confusion and potentially significant safety risks for pedestrians, and should not be endorsed; and recommending that this report be forwarded for the information of Council.

Ms. Rhona Swarbrick, Member, Toronto Pedestrian Committee, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter, and submitted a communication with respect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Pitfield, the Committee received the aforementioned report, and directed that it be forwarded to Council for information.

(Clause No. 18, Report No. 2)

1.3 Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant Environmental Assessment - Review of the City's Compliance with Mediation Report's Commitments. (All Wards and Ward 32)

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 24, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services advising that the Implementation and Compliance Monitoring Committee has reviewed the mediation agreement resolutions in the Mediator's Report, City of Toronto Environmental Assessment, Main (Ashbridges Bay) Treatment Plant, April 1999, in order to inform City officials and the public about the City's compliance with the Mediation Agreement's commitments; and recommending that:

- (1) the ICMC's Second Interim Compliance Report be received for information; and
- (2) the Water Pollution Control Division report back to the Works Committee with a compliance plan and schedule.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Stephen Whyte, Member, Implementation and Compliance Monitoring Committee;
- Ms. Karey Shinn, Chair, Safe Sewage Committee, and submitted a summary of beach postings and an odour contour map; and
- Ms. Karen Buck, Board Member, Citizens for a Safe Environment, and submitted a communication with respect thereto.

The Committee:

- (1) received the aforementioned report for information;
- (2) requested that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services submit a report to the Committee at its meeting scheduled to be held on May 28, 2002, with a compliance plan and schedule, such report to also include:
 - (i) further information on odour issues in light of the Zorix odour contour map submitted by Ms. Karey Shinn, how odour issues are being addressed, related expenditures and clarification of provincial regulations, with a presentation to be made at that time by representatives of Zorix Consultants;
 - (ii) a systematic analysis of the efficacy of retention tanks and the correlation with the number of days that beach closings have been reduced as a result of this investment;
 - (iii) an update on the outfall pipe, whether this extends into federal waters and whether the pipe can be shortened;

(Motions (2)(i), (ii) and (iii) by Councillor Layton)

- (iv) the status of infrastructure planning for water and sewage in view of the population projected in the City's new Official Plan for 2030, and anticipated requirements to service population growth, in response to comments raised by the Safe Sewage Committee in their communication dated December 18, 2001; and

(Motion by Councillor Disero)

- (v) the status of the biosolids contract; and

(Motion by Councillor Layton)

- (3) extended its appreciation to the Chair and Members of the Implementation and Compliance Monitoring Committee in recognition of their work.

(Motion by Councillor Layton)

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chair and Members, Implementation and Compliance Monitoring Committee; c: General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services; Mr. Stephen Whyte; Ms. Karen Buck; Ms. Karey Shinn - January 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 28(b), Report No. 2)

**1.4 Avondale Composting Facility -
Replacement Composting Capacity.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 18, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to issue a Request for Proposals in early 2002 for contract services to compost the City's leaf and yard waste net of the quantity to be composted at the redeveloped Morningside Works Yard and Centennial Park sites; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in conjunction with the Purchasing and Materials Management Division, be authorized to negotiate with the preferred proponent(s) and report to the Works Committee recommending contract award.

The Committee also had before it a communication (January 15, 2002) from Mr. Brian Cochrane, President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union, CUPE Local 416, respecting the staff recommendations for replacement capacity for the Avondale Composting Facility and the contracting out of work currently performed by members of Local 416.

Councillor Disero appointed Councillor Pitfield Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Disero, on behalf of Councillor Moeser, the Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned report; and
- (2) that the local Councillor and surrounding communities be requested to provide their input into the process.

(Clause No. 5, Report No. 2)

Councillor Disero resumed the Chair.

**1.5 Queen Street Viaduct over Don Valley
Structure Rehabilitation and Reconstruction of
Queen Street East Eastward to Hamilton Street -
Contract No. 02FS-21S, Tender Call No. 294-2001.
(Wards 28 and 30)**

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (January 11, 2002) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Acting Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that:

- (1) Contract No. 02FS-21S, Tender Call No. 294-2001, for the Queen Street Viaduct over Don Valley structure rehabilitation and reconstruction of Queen Street East (including TTC track allowance) eastward to Hamilton Street, be awarded to Grascan Construction Ltd., Grascan Holdings Ltd., Corzic Construction Limited, Grascan Leasing Corp. & Torbridge Construction Ltd. (Grascan) in the amount of \$7,604,490.00 including all taxes and charges, being the lowest Tender received meeting specifications; and
- (2) subject to approval of Recommendation No. (1), the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to permit the recommended Contractor, on terms and conditions satisfactory to the Commissioner, to commence the procurement process for shop drawings and long lead-time delivery items such as expansion joint and bearing assemblies prior to the execution of the Contract, to avoid unnecessary delay and to better ensure a timely completion prior to the "World Youth Day 2002" festival.

The Committee also had before it a confidential report (January 14, 2002) from the City Solicitor respecting legal issues surrounding the award of Contract No. 02FS-21S, Tender Call No. 294-2001.

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

The Committee also had before it a copy of a communication (December 24, 2001) from Mr. Victor Soncin, President, Soncin Construction Corporation, addressed to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, advising that the subcontractor for Tender Call No. 294-2001 regarding the Queen Street Viaduct over Don Valley structure rehabilitation and reconstruction of Queen Street East (including TTC track allowance) eastward to Hamilton Street is Multiseal, and not U.S.L. as previously listed.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Frank M. D'Andrea, D'Andrea Crupi, representing Soncin Construction Corporation; and
- Mr. Angelo Grassa, Grasca Construction Ltd.

The Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned joint report from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Acting Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer;

(Motion by Councillor Li Preti)

- (2) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to create a liaison committee with businesses, the local community centre and the Ward Councillors' offices to monitor construction;
- (3) that as far as possible, the contract be co-ordinated with other construction on Queen Street East east of the viaduct; and
- (4) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report back to the Works Committee on the possibility of striping options for bicycle safety.

(Motions (2), (3) and (4) by Councillor Layton)

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Acting Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer; City Solicitor; Mr. Frank M. D'Andrea; Mr. Angelo Grassa; c: General Manager, Transportation Services; Director of Purchasing and Materials Management - January 15, 2002)

(Clause No. 9, Report No. 2)

**1.6 Status Report by
Enwave District Energy Limited.**

The Works Committee had before it a communication (November 14, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the Committee at its meeting held on November 14, 2001, during consideration of a report (October 30, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing an update regarding the potential siting of an energy facility in the Port Lands, requested Enwave District Energy Limited to provide a status report at the next meeting of the Committee scheduled to be held on January 15, 2002, and to make a deputation to the Committee at that time.

Mr. Dennis Fotinos, President and Chief Executive Officer, Enwave District Energy Limited, together with Mr. Steven Zuchet, Chief Operating Officer, and Ms. Kathleen Davies, Account Manager, appeared before the Committee in connection with the matter.

Councillor Disero appointed Councillor Pitfield Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Committee:

- (1) received the presentation by Mr. Dennis Fotinos and the aforementioned communication; and
- (2) requested that Enwave District Energy Limited:
 - (i) provide to the Members of the Committee a map illustrating the extent to which Enwave services the downtown core; and
 - (ii) bring forward a status report on their existing operations.

(Mr. Dennis Fotinos, President and Chief Executive Officer, Enwave District Energy Limited; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services - January 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 28(c), Report No. 2)

Councillor Disero resumed the Chair.

1.7 Commercial Eligibility Harmonization and Proposed Commercial Collection Service Fees for Municipal Collection; Bill 90, The Waste Diversion Act.

The Works Committee again had before it a report (November 2, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, entitled "Commercial Eligibility Harmonization and Proposed Commercial Collection Service Fees for Municipal Collection", recommending that, effective 12 months after Council approval of a revised system for municipal collection of recyclables, organics and residual waste from commercial locations:

- (1) commercial locations must separate organics, recyclables and residual waste into separate streams to be eligible for municipal collection;
- (2) municipal collection services to all eligible commercial locations include once per week recycling, organics and residual waste collection;
- (3) municipal collection services to all eligible commercial locations located in the area(s) defined in Appendix "G" also include twice per week recycling, organics and residual waste collection, with extra Saturday and/or Sunday organics collection being provided where an efficient collection route can be established and owners agree to a charge for the enhanced service;
- (4) commercial locations be eligible for municipal collection services only if:
 - not designated industrial;
 - either in buildings with a ground floor area not exceeding 500 square metres and with fewer than four above ground floors; or
 - located in mixed residential/commercial buildings or in plazas and malls where the residential area of the plaza or mall is at least one-third of the total area, and with fewer than four above ground floors;
- (5) depending on the form of collection containers authorized by the City, the requirements for receiving municipal collection from commercial locations include:
 - purchase of authorized bags for recyclables, organics, and residual waste or tags for bundled corrugated cardboard or residual waste;
 - payment to the City of an annual fee per cart for cart collection based on frequency of service; and
 - payment to the City of an annual fee for bulk lift collection plus direct disposal costs;

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- (6) a fee schedule be established and approved annually by Council for:
- authorized bags and tags;
 - cart collection; and
 - bulk lift collection;
- with the schedule of fees for the first year being as set out in Appendix "F";
- (7) commercial locations currently receiving municipal collection that do not meet the new eligibility criteria, including those under "grandparenting" provisions with former municipalities be removed from municipal collection services;
- (8) owners of buildings with a commercial/residential mix will be provided with 104 free City authorized residual waste bags per residential unit per year and will be directed to provide them free to their tenants;
- (9) municipal collection from eligible locations shall not occur and by-law enforcement officers shall be notified where:
- the account is in arrears;
 - City authorized bags or bundles containing recyclables, organics or residual waste placed at the curb weigh in excess of 20 kilograms;
 - unauthorized bags are placed at the curb; and
 - unauthorized containers are utilized;

and further recommending that:

- (10) the current 2001 service fee for municipal collection services that expires December 31, 2001, be extended until the proposed user fees recommended in this report are implemented;
- (11) owners of commercial locations wishing municipal collection services be required to apply in writing to the City and provide documentation of their ability to meet the City's eligibility criteria before receiving services;
- (12) the City discontinue collection to all industrial establishments and to industrial malls containing at least 75 percent industrial establishments, including those under "grandparenting" provisions of the former municipalities effective 7 months following Council approval;
- (13) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services consult with the City Solicitor on options for commercial locations that opt for private collection services where

sufficient space may not exist on private property for collection by private contractors, and report back to Council on the feasibility of assigning restrictive times to commercial establishments whereby bagged or bundled materials may be placed at the curb for private collection;

- (14) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor bring forward a harmonized commercial collection by-law for Council approval six months after Council has approved a revised system for the municipal collection of recyclables, organics and residual waste from commercial locations; and
- (15) the 2002 operating budget for Solid Waste Management Services be increased by \$660,000 for the costs associated with program start-up with these costs being drawn from the Solid Waste Management Services reserve fund in 2002 and repaid in 2003 with expected saving derived from the implementation of the commercial harmonization program outlined in the report.

The Committee also had before it a report (January 9, 2002) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, entitled "Review of Proposed Commercial Collection Eligibility Criteria and Service Fees", recommending that:

- (1) commercial locations be eligible for municipal collection services only if:
 - not designated industrial;
 - located either in buildings with a ground floor area not exceeding 500 square metres and with fewer than four above ground floors; or
 - located in mixed residential/commercial buildings or in plazas and malls where the residential area of the plaza or mall is at least one-third of the total area, and with fewer than four above ground floors;
- (2) industrial locations as defined in the report from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services entitled "Commercial Eligibility Harmonization and Proposed Commercial Collection Service Fees for Municipal Collection" be removed from municipal collection services effective August 1, 2002;
- (3) the proposed fee schedule for year 1 recommended in the report from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services entitled "Commercial Eligibility Harmonization and Proposed Commercial Collection Service Fees for Municipal Collection" and the implementation and enforcement plan be approved;
- (4) approval of Recommendations Nos. (1), (2) and (3) outlined in this report and approval of recommendations in the report from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services entitled "Commercial Eligibility Harmonization and Proposed

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

Commercial Collection Service Fees for Municipal Collection” occur no later than the March 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8, 2002 meeting of Council;

- (5) the eligibility criteria and service fee program be implemented no later than September 1, 2002, with the implementation of the eligibility criteria continuing through to December 31, 2002;
- (6) if sufficient demand exists to create an efficient route and following implementation of harmonized eligibility criteria, service fees and levels, the City provide organics collection to eligible businesses currently receiving five and six night per week municipal waste collection service to a frequency of up to seven nights per week and recover all costs associated with this premium service as outlined in the following table:

| Frequency of Organics Collection | Annual Fee (in addition to the cost per bag or cart/container equivalent) | Cost per Bag or Cart/Container Equivalent |
|----------------------------------|---|---|
| Up to Five Times per Week | \$1,200 | \$2.50 |
| Up to Six Times per Week | \$1,600 | \$2.50 |
| Up to Seven Times per Week | \$2,000 | \$2.50 |

and

- (7) staff report back to Council no later than 18 months following implementation on the success of the service fee program including costs recovered, problems with contamination, illegal dumping and other enforcement issues and evaluate whether this system or alternative service fee collection systems would be more appropriate for the City’s commercial customers.

The Committee also had before it a report (January 25, 2002) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, entitled “Amended Commercial Collection Eligibility Criteria”, recommending that:

- (1) Recommendations Nos. (4) and (7) in the report dated November 2, 2001, be approved;
- (2) the approximately 400 businesses currently receiving municipal collection service that have a ground floor area greater than 1,250 square metres be removed from collection service effective September 1, 2002;

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- (3) commercial establishments currently receiving municipal collection, with the exception of those identified in Recommendation No. (2) be grandparented by street address under the policy identified in Recommendation No. (1);
- (4) businesses participating in the municipal collection program be required to participate in all services provided;
- (5) greengrocers, restaurants and other organic producers be required to purchase carts or other designated containers specified by the City for the storage and collection of their source separated organic waste;
- (6) source separated organics placed out for collection in containers other than authorized carts or other designated containers will not be collected and by-law enforcement will be notified;
- (7) contaminants found in organics containers will be subject to by-law enforcement;
- (8) if sufficient demand exists to create an efficient route, the City provide organics collection to eligible businesses currently receiving five and six night per week municipal waste collection service to a frequency of up to seven nights per week, with no option for three or four times per week collection, and recover, in a prepaid system, all incremental costs associated with this premium service as outlined in the following table:

| Frequency of Organics Collection | Annual Fee (to be prepaid) |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Once per week collection | Base level of service |
| Twice per week collection | Base level of service in defined areas |
| Up to Three Times per Week | Not available |
| Up to Four Times per Week | Not available |
| Up to Five Times per Week | \$1,200 |
| Up to Six Times per Week | \$1,600 |
| Up to Seven Times per Week | \$2,000 |

- (9) as a result of the approval to charge service fees for the collection of residual waste effective September 1, 2002, staff be authorized to hire ten additional by-law enforcement officers and six administrative staff prior to the implementation date who will monitor problems associated with the service fee, bag distribution and database administration;
- (10) the 2002 gross expenditure budget of the Solid Waste Management Division be increased by \$1,000,000 and the 2002 net expenditure budget be decreased by \$2,700,000; and

- (11) staff report back to Works Committee in 2003 on the feasibility of implementing Scenario D as outlined in the report dated January 9, 2002.

The Committee also again had before it a report (October 25, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing an update on work that has been conducted to date with respect to “waste audits” of restaurants and greengrocers in downtown Toronto; advising that “waste audits” jointly conducted in 2000 by Public Health and Solid Waste Management Services have helped to educate downtown business owners about by-law requirements for storage and setout of garbage and recyclable materials; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee also had before it a report (January 14, 2002) from the Managing Director, Economic Development, submitting comments on the Review of the Proposed Commercial Collection Eligibility Criteria and Service Fees.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (April 17, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the Audit Committee, on April 11, 2001, had before it a report (March 29, 2001) from the City Auditor respecting the Commercial Garbage Collection Review, which recommended that:
- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in harmonizing commercial garbage collection service across the City consider:
 - (a) limiting commercial garbage collection service to a standard twice-weekly collection for all eligible businesses, and that business requiring more frequent garbage collection service beyond the standard service provided by the City, augment that service by contracting with a private garbage collection service; and
 - (b) the revenue and cost implications associated with limiting commercial garbage collection to a twice-weekly service for all eligible businesses across the City, and report to the Works Committee, accordingly;
 - (2) the Solid Waste Management Division re-evaluate the current rate structure for commercial garbage collection as a result of the number of businesses that have had their collection service reduced to twice per week and assess the impact on the recovery of costs (including related administrative costs);

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- (3) to streamline administrative procedures and to facilitate the billings and collection process:
 - (a) the Solid Waste Management Division, in consultation with Revenue Services Division of the Finance Department, investigate the feasibility of billing for commercial garbage collection services, in advance, on an annual or semi-annual basis; and
 - (b) City Legal Services, in consultation with Solid Waste Management Division, develop the necessary by-laws or determine the required changes to existing by-laws to enable the City to Charge property owners for commercial garbage collection service;
- (4) the Solid Waste Management Division, in consultation with City Legal Services, incorporate into the harmonized commercial garbage collection by-law, the right for the City to charge back to the property owner's tax bill, costs relating to the inspection, clean-up and court costs associated with any garbage related infraction; and
- (5) the By-law Enforcement Unit of Solid Waste Management Division implement a plan to re-deploy by-law enforcement officers to the night shift, to perform targeted inspections of businesses who have had their commercial garbage collection service reduced to twice weekly, but continue to put out garbage;

and further advising that the Audit Committee:

- (1) referred the aforementioned report to the Works Committee with the request that it report back to the Audit Committee for its meeting to be held in June 2001; and
 - (2) recommended to the Works Committee the adoption of the following motion from Councillor Balkissoon:

“That the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer engage a collection agency to recover outstanding garbage collection fees.”;
- (ii) (May 7, 2001) from Councillor Pam McConnell, Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale, expressing deep concern about the Audit Committee's proposals for reductions in garbage pick-up in the downtown core;

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- (iii) (May 8, 2001) from Ms. Colette French and Ms. Helene St. Jacques, Directors, Queen East Business Association Inc., in opposition to any reduction in garbage and recycling services, and urging the Committee to deliver a world class waste diversion program;
- (iv) (June 21, 2001) from Mr. Brian Cochrane, President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union, CUPE Local 416, expressing concerns with regard to the staff report regarding garbage services fee harmonization and enhanced diversion initiatives for the commercial sector, including lack of consultation on the impact of the initiatives on the workforce, the availability of City services to businesses and the increased cost of collection services by the private sector;
- (v) (November 13, 2001) from Mr. James L. Robinson, Executive Director, Downtown Yonge Street Business Improvement Area, requesting that a provision be adopted that would require the Works Department to consult with Business Improvement Areas in developing appropriate waste set-out times and collection times;
- (vi) (November 13, 2001) from Mr. Paul Dineen, Chair, Old Cabbagetown Business Improvement Area, regarding the proposed changes to the solid waste collection policy;
- (vii) (November 14, 2001) from Mr. Brian Cochrane, President, Toronto Civic Employees' Union, CUPE Local 416, recommending that the Works and Emergency Services Department set up a collection system that removes barriers to participation and allows businesses themselves to decide whether to use the City's service;
- (viii) (November 13, 2001) from Councillor Jack Layton, Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth, regarding the proposed small commercial waste collection fees increase;
- (ix) (November 14, 2001) from Councillor Jack Layton, Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth, recommending that:
 - (1) the eligibility criteria in Recommendations Nos. (4), (7) and (12) in the report dated November 2, 2001, should be deleted and replaced with a requirement restricting collection to only the appropriate waste types;
 - (2) staff report to the next Works Committee meeting on alternative fee structure scenarios that provide incentives to recycle and source separate organics by increasing the cost for collection of residuals and decreasing the cost for collection of recycling and organics; the report should also include the potential impacts of various scenarios on potential illegal dumping activities and businesses choosing the private sector collection; and

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- (3) Recommendation No. (6) be amended to provide full cost recovery for residual waste, but that the collection of organics and recyclables be provided for free;
- (x) (November 14, 2001) from Councillor Pam McConnell, Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale, expressing continued concern regarding the proposed changes to commercial garbage pickup;
- (xi) (undated) from Mr. Henry Wu, Toronto Chinatown Community Development Association, in opposition to the proposed commercial waste collection policy;
- (xii) (October 24, 2001) from Mr. Alex Ling, President, Toronto Association of Business Improvement Areas, requesting that the proposed commercial waste collection policy be referred to the Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, to comment on the economic impact of this initiative;
- (xiii) (January 14, 2002) from Ms. Joice Guspie, Manager, Old Cabbagetown Business Improvement Area, requesting that consideration of the proposed commercial collection policy be deferred;
- (xiv) (January 15, 2002) from Councillor Jack Layton, Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth, submitting charts showing estimated total costs for each of the price options under the proposed commercial collection policy;
- (xv) (January 15, 2002) from Councillor Jack Layton, Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth, submitting petitions containing 308 signatures and 127 other individual communications from small businesses in Ward 30 expressing their intense opposition to the proposed new small commercial waste collection fees;
- (xvi) (January 16, 2002) from Councillor Irene Jones, Ward 6 - Etobicoke-Lakeshore, urging that the staff report dated November 2, 2001, be revised to reflect no charge for organics and recyclables, and a fee of \$4.75 per bag of residual waste;
- (xvii) petition containing approximately 84 signatures from the Gerrard India Bazaar, submitted by Councillor Sandra Bussin, in support of free collection of recycling and organics; and
- (xviii) (January 22, 2002) from Councillor Jack Layton, Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth, recommending that:
 - (1) the eligibility criteria in Recommendations Nos. (4), (7) and (12) should be deleted and replaced with a requirement restricting collection to only the appropriate waste types and maximum waste volumes; and

- (2) Recommendation No. (3) be deleted and replaced with the following: “the proposed fees schedule entitled Scenario C in this report be approved.”

Mr. Geoff Rathbone, Director, Policy and Planning, Solid Waste Management Services, gave a presentation to the Committee on the foregoing matter, and submitted a copy of his presentation.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Alex Faulkner;
- Mr. Patrick Guinane, Queen-Broadview Business Improvement Area;
- Mr. John Scheffer, Lakeshore Village Business Improvement Area, and submitted a communication with respect thereto;
- Mr. Ryan Parks, Ontario Restaurant, Hotel and Motel Association, Toronto Region, and filed a copy of this comments;
- Mr. John Kiru, Executive Director, Toronto Association of Business Improvement Areas (TABIA), and filed a copy of his comments;
- Mr. Chris Trahiotis, Vice-Chair, Greektown on the Danforth Business Improvement Area;
- Ms. Karen Buck;
- Mr. James L. Robinson, Executive Director, Downtown Yonge Street Business Improvement Area, and submitted a communication with respect thereto;
- Mr. Paul Dineen, Chair, Old Cabbagetown Business Improvement Area;
- Mr. Tom Mangos, Chair, Pape Village Business Improvement Area, and submitted a communication with respect thereto;
- Ms. Phyllis Francey, Yonge Lawrence Village Business Improvement Area, and filed a copy of her comments;
- Ms. Susan Ramsay, Junction Gardens Business Improvement Area;

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- Ms. Lynn Clay, Roncesvalles Village Business Improvement Area, and filed a copy of her comments;
- Mr. Steve Matsoras, Whistler's;
- Mr. Victor Hayes, Emmanuel Howard Park United Church;
- Ms. Marilyn Churley, MPP - Toronto-Danforth;
- Mr. James McKinnon, Dangerous Dan's;
- Mr. Dick Bacchus, Chair, Parkdale Business Improvement Area;
- Ms. Liz Hercun, Chairperson, Lakeshore Village Business Improvement Area, and filed a copy of her comments; and
- Mr. Tom Bunda, Weston Business Improvement Area.

The following Councillors also appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor Sandra Bussin, Ward 32 - Beaches-East York;
- Councillor Chris Korwin-Kuczynski, Ward 14 - Parkdale-High Park;
- Councillor Peter Milczyn, Ward 5 - Etobicoke-Lakeshore; and
- Councillor Pam McConnell, Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale.

At the portion of the meeting held on January 22, 2002, the following action was taken:

Councillor Layton moved:

- (1) the adoption of the report dated November 2, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, subject to:
 - (i) amending Recommendation No. (6) by deleting the words "with the schedule of fees for the first year being set out in Appendix 'F'" and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

“and that the proposed fee schedule entitled Scenario C in the report dated January 9, 2002, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be approved”; and

- (ii) the eligibility criteria in Recommendations Nos. (4) and (7) being deleted and replaced with a requirement restricting collection to only the appropriate waste types and maximum waste volumes; and
- (2) that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to report directly to the Budget Advisory Committee on:
- (i) a provision that there be no opting out; and
 - (ii) what would happen to the businesses that would be disqualified.

(Carried, as amended)

Councillor Disero appointed Councillor Pitfield Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

Councillor Disero moved that the foregoing motion by Councillor Layton be amended to provide that:

- (1) consideration of Recommendations Nos. (4) and (7) in the report dated November 2, 2001, be deferred until the continuation of the meeting on January 25, 2002, pending a further report from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with Councillors Balkissoon, Layton and Li Preti, on:
 - (a) the financial implications of part (1)(ii) of the motion by Councillor Layton and the possibility of a compromise position;
 - (b) a provision that there be no opting out; and
 - (c) what would happen to the businesses that would be disqualified;

with a request that such report be provided to the Toronto Association of Business Improvement Areas as soon as possible; and

- (2) the eligibility criteria and service fee program be implemented no later than September 1, 2002, with the implementation of the eligibility criteria continuing through to December 31, 2002.

(Carried)

Subsequently, at the portion of the meeting held on January 25, 2002, the following action was taken:

Councillor Disero moved:

- (1) the adoption of the additional report (January 25, 2002) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting amending commercial eligibility criteria; and
- (2) that the Committee recommend to Council that:
 - (i) the Council of the City of Toronto support Bill 90, the Waste Diversion Act;
 - (ii) City Council urge that the new leader of the Government of Ontario instruct the House Leader, consistent with Motion 104, which required that all unfinished Bills be brought forward on the second day of the legislative, to schedule time for the second and third reading, debate and vote on Bill 90, The Waste Diversion Act in the spring session of the 2002 legislature;
 - (iii) further, City Council urge that funding support for the Blue Box program pursuant to the regulations of the Waste Diversion Act be retroactive to January 2, 2002; and
 - (iv) copies of this resolution be sent to Members of Provincial Parliament representing the City of Toronto, the Progressive Conservative Leadership candidates, the Waste Diversion Organization, the Association of Municipalities of Ontario, the official opposition of the Provincial Parliament and the third party.

(Carried)

The following is the action therefore taken by the Committee:

The Committee recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee the adoption of the following recommendations, and that the Budget Advisory Committee be requested to review and make recommendations on the financial component of the program for consideration by the Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) commercial locations must separate organics, recyclables and residual waste into separate streams to be eligible for municipal collection;
- (2) municipal collection services to all eligible commercial locations include once per week recycling, organics and residual waste collection;
- (3) municipal collection services to all eligible commercial locations located in the area(s) defined in Appendix "G" of the report (November 2, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services also include twice per week recycling, organics and residual waste collection;
- (4) commercial locations be eligible for municipal collection services only if:
 - not designated industrial;
 - either in buildings with a ground floor area not exceeding 500 square metres and with fewer than four above ground floors; or
 - located in mixed residential/commercial buildings or in plazas and malls where the residential area of the plaza or mall is at least one-third of the total area, and with fewer than four above ground floors;
- (5) depending on the form of collection containers authorized by the City, the requirements for receiving municipal collection from commercial locations include:
 - purchase of authorized bags or tags for residual waste;
 - payment to the City of an annual fee per cart for cart collection based on frequency of service; and
 - payment to the City of an annual fee for bulk lift collection plus direct disposal costs;

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- (6) a fee schedule be established and approved annually by Council for:
- authorized bags and tags;
 - cart collection; and
 - bulk lift collection; and

the proposed fee schedule for 2002 based on Option C, in the report dated January 9, 2002, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, be approved as follows, with no charge for organics or recycling collection:

Commercial Waste Collection
Fees Based on Option C

| Material | Type of Collection | Fee |
|----------------|--------------------|---|
| Residual Waste | Bag | \$3.10 (plus applicable taxes) per bag |
| | Bundle | \$3.10 (plus applicable taxes) per bundle |
| | Cart | \$15.50 per collection per year |
| | Bulk Lift | \$285 annually per bin plus direct disposal costs |

- (7) the approximately 400 businesses currently receiving municipal collection service that have a ground floor area greater than 1,250 square metres be removed from collection service effective September 1, 2002;
- (8) commercial establishments currently receiving municipal collection, with the exception of those identified in Recommendation No. (7), be grandparented by street address under the policy identified in Recommendation No. (4);
- (9) businesses participating in the municipal collection program be required to participate in all services provided;
- (10) greengrocers, restaurants and other organic producers be required to purchase carts or other designated containers specified by the City for the storage and collection of their source separated organic waste;

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- (11) source separated organics placed out for collection in containers other than authorized carts or other designated containers will not be collected and by-law enforcement will be notified;
- (12) contaminants found in organics containers will be subject to by-law enforcement;
- (13) if sufficient demand exists to create an efficient route, the City provide organics collection to eligible businesses currently receiving five and six night per week municipal waste collection service to a frequency of up to seven nights per week, with no option for three or four times per week collection, and recover, in a prepaid system, all incremental costs associated with this premium service as outlined in the following table:

| Frequency of Organics Collection | Annual Fee (to be prepaid) |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Once per week collection | Base level of service |
| Twice per week collection | Base level of service in defined areas |
| Up to Three Times per Week | Not available |
| Up to Four Times per Week | Not available |
| Up to Five Times per Week | \$1,200 |
| Up to Six Times per Week | \$1,600 |
| Up to Seven Times per Week | \$2,000 |

- (14) owners of buildings with a commercial/residential mix will be provided with 104 free City authorized residual waste bags per residential unit per year and will be directed to provide them free to their tenants;
- (15) municipal collection from eligible locations shall not occur and by-law enforcement officers shall be notified where:
 - the account is in arrears;
 - City authorized bags or bundles containing recyclables, organics or residual waste placed at the curb weigh in excess of 20 kilograms;
 - unauthorized bags are placed at the curb; and
 - unauthorized containers are utilized;

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- (16) as a result of the approval to charge service fees for the collection of residual waste effective September 1, 2002, staff be authorized to hire ten additional by-law enforcement officers and six administrative staff prior to the implementation date who will monitor problems associated with the service fee, bag distribution and database administration;
- (17) the current 2001 service fee for municipal collection services that expires December 31, 2001, be extended until the proposed user fees recommended in this report are implemented;
- (18) owners of commercial locations wishing municipal collection services be required to apply in writing to the City and provide documentation of their ability to meet the City's eligibility criteria before receiving services;
- (19) the City discontinue collection to all industrial establishments and to industrial malls containing at least 75 percent industrial establishments, including those under "grandparenting" provisions of the former municipalities effective seven months following Council approval;
- (20) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services consult with the City Solicitor on options for commercial locations that opt for private collection services where sufficient space may not exist on private property for collection by private contractors, and report back to Council on the feasibility of assigning restrictive times to commercial establishments whereby bagged or bundled materials may be placed at the curb for private collection;
- (21) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor bring forward a harmonized commercial collection by-law for Council approval six months after Council has approved a revised system for the municipal collection of recyclables, organics and residual waste from commercial locations;
- (22) the 2002 gross expenditure budget of the Solid Waste Management Division be increased by \$1,000,000 and the 2002 net expenditure budget be decreased by \$2,700,000;

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- (23) staff report back to Works Committee in 2003 on the feasibility of implementing Scenario D as outlined in the report dated January 9, 2002; and
- (24) the eligibility criteria and service fee program be implemented no later than September 1, 2002, with the implementation of the eligibility criteria continuing through to December 31, 2002.

Further, the Committee recommended to Council, for consideration at its meeting on February 13, 2002, that:

- (i) the Council of the City of Toronto support Bill 90, the Waste Diversion Act;
- (ii) City Council urge that the new leader of the Government of Ontario instruct the House Leader, consistent with Motion 104, which required that all unfinished Bills be brought forward on the second day of the legislative, to schedule time for the second and third reading, debate and vote on Bill 90, The Waste Diversion Act in the spring session of the 2002 legislature;
- (iii) further, City Council urge that funding support for the Blue Box program pursuant to the regulations of the Waste Diversion Act be retroactive to January 2, 2002; and
- (iv) copies of this resolution be sent to Members of Provincial Parliament representing the City of Toronto, the Progressive Conservative Leadership candidates, the Waste Diversion Organization, the Association of Municipalities of Ontario, the official opposition of the Provincial Parliament and the third party.

(Budget Advisory Committee; c: Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services; Interested Parties - January 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 28(d), Report No. 2)
(Clause No. 3, Report No. 2)

Councillor Disero resumed the Chair.

**1.8 New and Emerging Technologies and
Three-Stream Separation and Collection in
Multi-Residential Buildings.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (January 9, 2002) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) City Council establish a policy to examine advanced thermal treatment technologies to process residuals subject to the stipulation that capacity be limited to no greater than 40 percent of the City's total municipal solid resource stream collected annually by the City with implementation scheduled for January 2006; and
- (2) subject to the adoption of Recommendation No. (1),
 - (i) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to investigate internal advanced thermal treatment technology partnerships with organizations such as Toronto Hydro, Enwave and Water and Wastewater Services, and concurrently initiate an external request for proposals from the marketplace to provide advanced thermal treatment capacity; and
 - (ii) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a progress report to the Works Committee in May 2002 regarding potential internal partnership opportunities using advanced thermal treatment technologies and on the preparation of a marketplace request for proposals;
- (3) City Council agree to amend the requirements contained in the "City of Toronto Requirements for Garbage and Recycling Collection from New Developments and Redevelopments" as approved by Council on August 1, 2 and 3, 2000, to include appropriate access and facilities within new developments and redevelopments that will accommodate three-stream separation and collection in multi-residential buildings, and that appropriate staff in Urban Development Services and Works and Emergency Services staff develop by-law amendments to implement these requirements; and
- (4) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to continue discussions with the Ontario Ministry of the Environment to clarify the definition of "diversion" and approvals requirements, and to review Ontario's compost guidelines toward the adoption of the Canada-wide standard.

The Committee also had before it the following communications:

- (i) (January 22, 2002) from Councillor Jack Layton, Ward 30 - Toronto-Danforth, submitting proposed recommendations with respect to the aforementioned report from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services;
- (ii) (November 21, 2001) from Mr. Derek Veenhof, Business Manager, American REF-FUEL Company of Niagara, L.P., respecting alternatives to the disposal of the City's waste by shipment to Michigan; and suggesting that the City may want to seriously investigate their offer for disposal capacity at their facility in Niagara Falls, New York;
- (iii) (January 21, 2002) from Mr. Paul Young, Health Promoter, South Riverdale Community Health Centre, expressing opposition to any renewed interested in the incineration of garbage, and urging the City to return to the City's strategies to address the beginning of the waste stream not the end of it;
- (iv) (January 21, 2002) from Mr. J. David Windrim expressing concern with respect to studies of advanced thermal treatment of garbage, and urging the Committee to reject this concept before committing any more time and resources to it; and
- (v) (January 21, 2002) from Mr. John Ellis, President, Beach Triangle Residents Association, expressing grave concern that the proposal receive most stringent examination to ensure that such technology will not result in any increase in air pollution in the area.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Harry Dornenburg;
- Mr. Gord Perks, Toronto Environmental Alliance;
- Ms. Karen Buck, Board Member, Citizens for a Safe Environment, and submitted a communication with respect thereto;
- Ms. Donna Dickson, President, Pearl Earth Sciences, Corp., and submitted material with respect thereto;
- Mr. Ian Hood, Global Warming Technologies, and submitted material with respect thereto;

- Mr. Peter O. Smith;
- Mr. Peter Johannes, General Manager, Ethxx International, and submitted material with respect thereto;
- Ms. Marilyn Churley, MPP – Toronto-Danforth, and submitted an early pamphlet prepared by the Citizens for a Safe Environment with respect to burning garbage to produce steam;
- Ms. Karey Shinn, Chair, Safe Sewage Committee, and submitted a communication with respect thereto;
- Mr. William E. Brown, and submitted a communication with respect thereto;
- Ms. Sue Davis, South Riverdale Community Health Centre;
- Ms. Peg Lush;
- Mr. Boris Mather, Beach resident;
- Mr. Jim Neff;
- Mr. Michael Rosenberg;
- Mr. Rod Muir; and
- Councillor Sandra Bussin, Ward 32 – Beaches-East York.

The Committee recommended to Council that:

- (1) City Council establish a policy to examine new and emerging technologies, policies and practices to process residuals, subject to the stipulation that the built capacity is to be phased in in modules and be limited to no greater than 40 percent of the City's total municipal solid resource stream collected annually by the City to be diverted away from landfill;
- (2) a citizen and expert advisory group be established, including citizens from potential "host" communities and environmental experts, that will guide the review of technologies, policies and practices, and the community consultation process;

Works Committee Minutes
January 15, 22 and 25, 2002

- (3)
 - (i) the City of Toronto initiate an external Request for Expressions of Interest from the marketplace to provide new and emerging technology capacity; and
 - (ii) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a progress report to the Works Committee in May 2002 regarding potential internal partnership opportunities using new and emerging technologies, policies and practices, and on the preparation of a marketplace Request for Quotations;
- (4) City Council agree to amend the requirements contained in the “City of Toronto Requirements for Garbage and Recycling Collection from New Developments and Redevelopments”, as approved by Council on August 1, 2 and 3, 2000, to include appropriate access and facilities within new developments and redevelopments that will accommodate three-stream separation and collection in multi-residential buildings, and that appropriate staff in Urban Development Services and Works and Emergency Services develop by-law amendments to implement these requirements;
- (5) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to continue discussions with the Ontario Ministry of the Environment to clarify the definition of “diversion” and approval requirements, and to review Ontario’s compost guidelines toward the adoption of a Canada-wide standard;

(Motions (1) to (5) by Councillor Balkissoon)

- (6) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to actively seek out and report back to the next regular meeting of the Committee, scheduled to be held on March 26, 2002, on:
 - (a) the status of funding for the “Take It Back” program;
 - (b) the use of either City surplus or potentially surplus properties or any other locations to develop two reuse centres, and an outline of how they could operate;
 - (c) a pilot for curbside collection of residential scrap metal; and

- (d) the quantity of residue from the blue box, how the residue can be used in a positive way and whether the blue box program can be expanded without additional capital cost to increase the usable residue from the blue box;
- (7) a working group of one CUPE Local 416 representative, one Works Committee representative and one staff representative be established to look at continuous improvements to the implementation of the four-day work week and 2010 Task Force recommendations;

(Motions (6) and (7) by Councillor Disero)

- (8) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to provide to the Advisory Group referred to in Recommendation No. (2) the report entitled “A Comparison of Gasification and Incineration of Hazardous Wastes” by Radian International for the U.S. Department of Energy, in its complete form; and
- (9) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to submit a report to the next regular meeting of the Works Committee scheduled to be held on March 26, 2002, on a definition of the size of the community and the process for determining community acceptance.

(Motions (8) and (9) by Councillor Layton)

The following motion by Councillor Layton was **lost**:

“That no proposals for waste disposal using new and emerging technologies shall be solicited by the City until such time that the community within which the facility will be sited demonstrates itself to be a willing host of that facility.”

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 2)

1.9 Class Environmental Assessment Study - Proposed Road at Morningside Avenue and Milner Avenue. (Scarborough-Rouge River)

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 19, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to file with the City Clerk the Environmental Study Report (ESR) for the proposed road at the north-west quadrant of Highway 401 and Morningside Avenue, and to give public notification of such filing in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment;
- (2) authority be granted to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to negotiate a maintenance agreement with the Ministry of Transportation Ontario for the maintenance of the proposed structure carrying the new road over the Highway 401 westbound on-ramp; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee also had before it a communication (January 15, 2002) from Councillor Raymond Cho, Ward 42 - Scarborough-Rouge River, in agreement with staff's recommendation, and thanking staff for their hard work in dealing this project.

Councillor Layton moved that the aforementioned report be submitted to Council without recommendation. **(Lost)**

Councillor Pitfield moved the adoption of the aforementioned report. **(Carried)**

(Clause No. 11, Report No. 2)

**1.10 Leslie Street - Sheppard Avenue East
Class Environmental Assessment Study.
(Willowdale)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 19, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to file the Environmental Study Report (ESR) for the Leslie Street – Sheppard Avenue East Class Environmental Assessment Study with the City Clerk and to give notification of such filing in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment process; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee also had before it a communication (January 14, 2002) from Helen, Anne and Robert Hansen, providing comments on the design of the Leslie Street and Sheppard Avenue East area.

The following persons appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Mr. Michael W. Telawski, Weston Consulting Group Inc., representing IKEA Canada Ltd.;
- Mr. Allan Leibel, Goodmans, representing the Canadian Tire Corporation; and
- Councillor David Shiner, Ward 24 – Willowdale.

On motion by Councillor Pitfield, the Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 12, Report No. 2)

**1.11 Finch Avenue/Morningside Avenue/
Canadian Pacific Railway Grade Separation
Class Environmental Assessment Study.
(Scarborough-Rouge River)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 20, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to file the Environmental Study Report (ESR) for the Finch Avenue/Morningside Avenue/Canadian Pacific Railway (CPR) Class Environmental Assessment Study with the City Clerk, and to give public notification of such filing in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment process; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee also had before it a communication (January 11, 2002) from Mrs. Cathy A. Swanton and Mr. William J. Swanton, commenting on the Finch Avenue/Morningside Avenue/Canadian Pacific Railway Grade Separation Class Environmental Assessment Study.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the
aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 13, Report No. 2)

**1.12 Markham Road Bridge over Highland Creek
(South of Lawrence Avenue)
Class Environmental Assessment Study.
(Scarborough East, Scarborough Centre)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 21, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to file the Study File for the Markham Road bridge over Highland Creek (south of Lawrence Avenue) Class Environmental Assessment Study with the City Clerk, and to give notification of such filing in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the
aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 14, Report No. 2)

**1.13 F.G. Gardiner Expressway Lighting
Class Environmental Assessment Study -
Highway 427 to the Humber River.
(Etobicoke - Lakeshore)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 19, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) authority be granted to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to file the Project File for the F.G. Gardiner Expressway Lighting Class Environmental Assessment with the City Clerk, and to give notification of such filing in accordance with the requirements of the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment process; and

- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report;
and
- (2) requested that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services submit a report through the budget process on whether or not it would be effective to finance this expenditure from the energy savings through a loan from the Toronto Atmospheric Fund.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager,
Transportation Services - January 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 15, Report No. 2)

1.14 Intersection Safety Program to Reduce Red-Light Running.

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 31, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services advising that initial results of the Red Light Camera Pilot Project show a reduction in the frequency of red-light running; that there is a strong public support for camera enforcement of red-light running; and that extending the pilot project for an additional two years would permit municipalities to continue operating red-light cameras beyond November 20, 2002, while waiting for the Government of Ontario's decision regarding continuation of the project (expected in the fall of 2003), thereby avoiding a period of non-operation which may be unnecessary; and recommending that Council request the Government of Ontario, through the Ministry of Transportation, Ontario, to extend Bill 102 for an additional two years, until November 20, 2004.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the
aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 8, Report No. 2)

1.15 Road Access and Disruption Management System.

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 31, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that contingent upon approval of a \$250,000.00 contribution from Transport Canada, IBI Group with Fortran Traffic Systems as a sub-contractor be retained to develop a Road Access and Disruption Management System in accordance with the work program submitted to Transport Canada on September 27, 2001, subject to an agreement satisfactory to the Solicitor and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services.

The Committee recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 28(e), Report No. 2)

1.16 Scarlett Road/CP Rail Grade Separation Feasibility Study. (York South-Weston, Parkdale-High Park)

The Works Committee again had before it a report (October 26, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services presenting the results of a study that reviewed the feasibility of replacing the CP Rail bridge over Scarlett Road in order to improve the overall safety and operation of Scarlett Road from Dundas Street West to St. Clair Avenue West; advising that an analysis of existing conditions found that replacing the bridge is feasible and, when combined with road and intersection improvements, will improve traffic operations and safety at this location; further advising that the Class EA Study for this project has been tentatively scheduled to begin in 2003, and that while the bridge replacement is not currently scheduled to be initiated in the next five years, a number of small, localized physical and operational improvements have been identified for implementation in the short term to improve safety until the bridge is replaced; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee also had before it a report (January 2, 2002) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the existing prohibition of westbound right turns on a red signal from St. Clair Avenue West to Scarlett Road be modified to be in effect only between the hours of 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday;
- (2) the right turn green arrow for westbound St. Clair Avenue West at Scarlett Road, operational during the southbound left turn movement only, be reinstated outside of the 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. period; and

- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto including the introduction in Council of any Bills that may be required.

Councillor Frances Nunziata, Ward 11 - York South-Weston, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

On motion by Councillor Layton, on behalf of Councillor Nunziata, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report dated January 2, 2002;
- (2) further recommended the adoption of the following Resolution:

“Whereas Exhibit 3 produced by TSH Consultants included with this report, showing the future configuration of a reconstructed bridge over Scarlett Road north of Dundas Street West, includes a centre lane boulevard along Dundas Street West, east of Scarlett Road and directly in front of Gooch Avenue; and

Whereas at the Community Consultation Workshop Number 2 on August 2, 2001, and at subsequent meetings, numerous concerns were raised by local residents and Council staff that this boulevard would make entrance and egress to and from Gooch Avenue nearly impossible, further isolating the Warren Park Community to the south of Dundas Street West;

Therefore Be It Resolved that the aforementioned Exhibit be removed from further consideration as an option in the Scarlett Road Feasibility Study;” and

- (3) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report back to the Works Committee on the feasibility of implementing bike lanes along Scarlett Road from St. Clair Avenue West to Eglinton Avenue.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Councillor Frances Nunziata; General Manager, Transportation Services - January 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 16, Report No. 2)

1.17 Student Pick-Up/Drop-Off Areas.

The Works Committee again had before it a report (October 16, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services responding to requests from Humber York Community Council and City Council to modify the parking regulations in the vicinity of schools to allow parents to temporarily park in student pick-up and drop-off zones while they accompany young children into the schools; advising that such zones are generally governed by “No Parking” regulations in the municipal by-laws, and that in order to accommodate parents of young children who momentarily have to leave their vehicles to accompany the children into school, the designation of short-term parking, usually 10 or 15 minute maximum, has been used at problem locations; suggesting that due to the unique combinations of circumstances at each school, it would be advisable to continue evaluating the demands on an individual basis; and recommending that this report be received for information.

Councillor Disero appointed Councillor Pitfield Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Disero, on behalf of Councillor Miller, the Committee submitted the aforementioned report to Council without recommendation.

(Clause No. 17, Report No. 2)

Councillor Disero resumed the Chair.

**1.18 Old Weston Road Bridge Area Transportation Study.
(York South-Weston, Parkdale-High Park, Davenport)**

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 19, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services outlining the timing of implementation of the minor physical modifications recommended in the Old Weston Road Bridge Area Transportation Study, as requested by the Committee at its meeting on September 13, 2000; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee received the aforementioned report for information.

(Clause No. 28(f), Report No. 2)

1.19 Snow Removal Budget Review.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (December 10, 2001) from the City Clerk forwarding Clause No. 3 of Report No. 10 of The Audit Committee, headed "Snow Removal Budget", which was adopted, without amendment, by City Council at its meeting held on December 4, 5 and 6, 2001, for information.

The Committee received the aforementioned communication for information.

(Clause No. 28(g), Report No. 2)

1.20 2002 Environment Days.

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 18, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the same booking procedures approved by Council for the 2001 Environment Days be used in 2002, with the exception of the Etobicoke community;
- (2) any Environment Days held in Etobicoke take place in June 2002, in order to promote the launch of the three-stream program which is due to commence in July 2002; and
- (3) a maximum of \$500 of the \$1000 that Councillors are allotted for promotion of their Environment Day be used for loads of additional compost, the remainder to be retained for promotion of the event.

The Committee also had before it a communication (December 14, 2001) from Councillor David Soknacki, Ward 43 - Scarborough East, requesting that the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services report to the Committee on increasing the savings and/or effectiveness of Environment Days for 2002 as outlined therein, including reducing the number of Environment Days to 22 with two Councillors per Environment Day.

The Committee also had before it a report (January 9, 2002) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services responding to the communication from Councillor David Soknacki to reduce the number of Environment Days to 22 in 2002; advising that staff agree that reducing the number of Environment Days to 22 would be an effective way to reduce the Solid Waste Management Services Operating Budget by \$100,000; suggesting, should Council choose to do this, that either the two Councillors in each federal riding agree on a date and location and jointly host the event, or alternatively that staff choose the date and

location for the dates; and recommending that this report be received as an option for consideration.

The Committee also had before it a communication (January 8, 2002) from Councillor Irene Jones, Ward 6 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore, urging that Environment Days to be held in the former Etobicoke in 2002 be held in late April, or no later than May, in order to have a longer period for public education and promotion of the launch of the three-stream program.

Councillor David Soknacki, Ward 43 - Scarborough East, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter.

The Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report dated December 18, 2001, subject to:
 - (i) amending Recommendation No. (2) by striking out “June 2002” and inserting in lieu thereof “late April or no later than May 2002” so that such Recommendation reads as follows:

“(2) any Environment Day held in Etobicoke take place in late April 2002 or no later than May 2002, in order to promote the launch of the three-stream program which is due to commence in July 2002”; and

(Motion by Councillor Balkissoon)

- (ii) including July 1, 2002, for the holding of Environment Days;

(Motion by Councillor Layton)

- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report directly to Council for consideration at its meeting on February 13, 2002, with a breakdown of the costs for Environment Days per event; and

(Motion by Councillor Pitfield)

- (3) received the aforementioned communication from Councillor David Soknacki.

(Motion by Councillor Balkissoon)

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Councillor David Soknacki; Councillor Irene Jones; General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services - January 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 2)

**1.21 Transfer Service Fee Agreement with
Region of Peel for Use of Disco Transfer Station.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 21, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to execute an agreement with the Region of Peel on terms and conditions set out in this report and as acceptable to the Commissioner and the City Solicitor, for the use of the Disco Transfer Station for the transfer of Peel Region's municipal waste for final disposal; and
- (2) the appropriate officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report.

(Clause No. 7, Report No. 2)

**1.22 Leaf and Yard Waste Collection
Program Update.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 20, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services providing the Committee with an update on the City's leaf and yard waste collection program; advising that the ban on plastic leaf and yard waste bags effective June 1, 2001, has generally been well received by residents and has resulted in dramatically improved compost quality, reduced future compost operation costs and increased yard waste diversion over the previous year; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee received the aforementioned report for information.

(Clause No. 28(h), Report No. 2)

1.23 Garbage Containers on TTC Streetcar Islands.

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 20, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services responding to the request of the Committee at its meeting on October 17, 2001, for a report on the jurisdiction, process and time frame for the provision of garbage containers on Toronto Transit Commission streetcar islands; advising that the TTC and the City have been having discussions regarding the issue of sharing responsibility and costs for maintenance and construction relating to streetcar infrastructure with the goal of eliminating duplication of services, increasing efficiency and reducing costs; noting that litter collection from streetcar islands is one of the items being negotiated and has not yet been resolved; further advising that the provision of garbage containers is not appropriate for all TTC islands mainly due to safety concerns; suggesting that staff report back further once an agreement has been reached between the City and the TTC; and recommending that this report be received for information.

Ms. Rhona Swarbrick, Member, Toronto Pedestrian Committee, appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter, and submitted a communication with respect thereto.

The Committee:

- (1) received the aforementioned report;

(Motion by Councillor Pitfield)

- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to discuss with the Toronto Transit Commission the location of garbage containers in Ward 41 - Scarborough-Rouge River, and complaints received in this area with respect to the containers blocking views of the roadway; and

(Motion by Councillor Balkissoon)

- (3) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to keep the Toronto Pedestrian Committee informed.

(Motion by Councillor Jones)

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: Ms. Rhona Swarbrick;
General Manager, Transportation Services - January 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 28(i), Report No. 2)

1.24 Illegal Dumping Incidences.

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 21, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the number of incidences of illegal dumping from January 1, 2001 to October 31, 2001, as requested by the Committee at its meeting on February 7, 2001; advising that illegally dumped waste is a continual challenge and does compromise the cleanliness of the City, and that staff will continue to investigate incidences and, where possible, charge all perpetrators; noting that the year 2001 can serve as a baseline for the measurement of illegal dumping incidents in future years; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The following Councillors appeared before the Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Councillor Frances Nunziata, Ward 11 - York South-Weston; and
- Councillor Pam McConnell, Ward 28 - Toronto Centre-Rosedale.

The Committee:

- (1) received the aforementioned report;
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report back to the Committee in May 2002 with a breakdown of how the offences are distributed across the City; and

(Motion by Councillor Di Giorgio, on behalf of Councillor Nunziata)

- (3) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to investigate property standards at "Tent City" in Ward 28 and post appropriate signs to prevent illegal dumping.

(Motion by Councillor Layton)

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Commissioner of Urban Development Services; c: Councillor Frances Nunziata; Councillor Pam McConnell; General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services - January 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 28(j), Report No. 2)

1.25 Removal of Household Hazardous Waste.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (January 3, 2002) from the City Clerk advising that the Bid Committee at its meeting held on January 3, 2002, adopted a joint report (December 20, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management, which recommended that:

- (1) the quotation submitted by Buckham Transport Limited be accepted for Part A, the removal of household hazardous waste from City of Toronto depots and to respond to emergency spills of hazardous waste for the period January 1, 2002, to December 31, 2002, in accordance with specifications as required by the Works and Emergency Services Department in the amount of \$371,480.00 including all taxes and charges, which is equal to the amount of approved interim estimates, being the lowest quotation received for Part A;
- (2) the balance of the award for Part A to Buckham Transport Limited be referred to the Works Committee and Council for approval since the portion of the budget related to the balance in the amount of \$405,931.71 must be approved by Council;
- (3) the quotation submitted by Hotz Environmental Services Incorporated for Part B, the removal of household hazardous waste from Environment Day temporary locations for the period April 1, 2002, to October 31, 2002, in accordance with specifications as required by the Works and Emergency Services Department in the amount of \$215,376.56 including all taxes and charges, be referred to the Works Committee and Council for approval since the budget related to the value of this contract must be approved by Council, being the lowest quotation received for Part B;
- (4) the option to renew for the additional one-year period January 1, 2003, to December 31, 2003, for Parts A and B in the amount of \$1,020,418.15 under the same terms and conditions be reviewed by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services in co-operation with the Purchasing Agent, provided the first year of the contract was performed satisfactorily, to ensure it is feasible to extend the contracts at the quoted prices and that funds are available in the Department's Operational Budget for the renewal period; and
- (5) provided that there is a favourable review, in accordance with Recommendation No. (4), the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be delegated the authority to exercise the option on the terms and conditions set out above and be authorized to instruct the Purchasing Agent to process the necessary Purchase Orders.

The Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the acceptance of the balance of the quotation for Part A for the removal of household hazardous waste in the amount of \$405,931.71, by Buckham Transport Limited, as recommended in Recommendation No. (2) of the aforementioned joint report;
- (2) the acceptance of the quotation for Part B for the removal of household hazardous waste in the amount of \$215,376.56, including all taxes and charges, by Hotz Environmental Services Incorporated, as recommended in Recommendation No. (3) of the aforementioned joint report; and
- (3) the adoption of Recommendations Nos. (4) and (5) of the aforementioned joint report.

(Clause No. 19, Report No. 2)

1.26 Supply and Delivery of Liquid Aluminum Sulphate.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (December 19, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the Bid Committee at its meeting held on December 19, 2001, adopted a joint report (December 12, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management, which recommended that:

- (1) the quotation submitted by General Chemical Performance Products Limited be accepted for the supply and delivery of approximately 3,380 metric tonnes of liquid aluminum sulphate, used as a coagulant in water treatment before filtration at the F.J. Horgan Filtration Plant, R.C. Harris Filtration Plant, Island Filtration Plant and R.L. Clark Filtration Plant, for the period January 1, 2002, to December 31, 2002, in accordance with specifications as required by the Works and Emergency Services Department in the amount of \$301,095.91 which is equal to the amount of approved interim estimates including all applicable taxes and charges, being the lowest quotation received; and
- (2) the balance of the award be referred to the Works Committee and Council for approval since the portion of the budget related to the balance of the estimated value of the contract in the amount of \$474,664.79 must be approved by Council.

The Committee recommended to Council the acceptance of the balance of the quotation for the supply and delivery of liquid aluminum sulphate in the amount of \$474,664.79 by General Chemical Performance Products Limited, as recommended in Recommendation No. (2) of the aforementioned joint report.

(Clause No. 20, Report No. 2)

1.27 Supply and Delivery of Sulphur Dioxide.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (December 19, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the Bid Committee at its meeting held on December 19, 2001, adopted a joint report (December 12, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management, which recommended that:

- (1) the quotation submitted by Brenntag Canada Inc. be accepted for the supply and delivery of approximately 610 tonnes of sulphur dioxide for use in the dechlorination and control of excess levels of chlorine residue in treated water at the F.J. Horgan Filtration Plant, R.C. Harris Filtration Plant, Island Filtration Plant, and R.L. Clark Filtration Plant, for the period January 1, 2002, to December 31, 2002, in accordance with specifications as required by the Works and Emergency Services Department, in the amount of \$224,928.54 including all applicable taxes and charges, which is equal to the amount of approved interim estimates, being the only quotation received; and
- (2) the balance of the award be referred to the Works Committee and Council for approval since the portion of the budget related to the balance of the estimated value of the contract in the amount of \$308,980.06 must be approved by Council.

The Committee also had before it a joint report (January 10, 2002) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor recommending that Council:

- (1) rescind its action of awarding the contract to Netchem Inc. up to June 2002, and confirm the termination of the contract, as at September 28, 2001;
- (2) consider the award of the contract for sulphur dioxide for the year 2002 as set out in the report dated December 12, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management, referred to the Works Committee by the Bid Committee;

- (3) withhold the amount of approximately \$43,000 owing to Netchem Inc. for sulphur dioxide, as set-off for the amount of approximately \$60,000 which the City had to pay to Brenntag Canada Inc., as a result of Netchem Inc.'s failure to deliver sulphur dioxide to the City in accordance with the City's specifications; and
- (4) authorize the City Solicitor to take whatever action is necessary to protect the City's interests, including pursuing any further damages from Netchem Inc. resulting from its failure to provide the City with sulphur dioxide at the 2001 quoted price up to and including June 2002.

The Committee also had before it a communication (January 15, 2002) from Mr. Paul Khurana, President and Chief Executive Officer, Netchem Inc., in response to the joint report dated January 10, 2002, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor respecting the supply of sulphur dioxide, and forwarding a copy of a communication dated October 26, 2001, to the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management, also setting out Netchem's position on this subject.

The Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the acceptance of the balance of the quotation for the supply and delivery of sulphur dioxide by Brenntag Canada Inc. in the amount of \$308,980.06 as recommended in Recommendation No. (2) of the aforementioned joint report dated December 12, 2001, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management; and
- (2) the adoption of the aforementioned joint report dated January 10, 2002, from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the City Solicitor.

(Clause No. 21, Report No. 2)

1.28 Supply and Delivery of Liquid Chlorine.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (January 3, 2002) from the City Clerk advising that the Bid Committee at its meeting held on January 3, 2002, adopted a joint report (December 20, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management, which recommended that:

- (1) the quotation submitted by Brenntag Canada Inc. be accepted for the supply and delivery of approximately 2,120 tonnes of liquid chlorine used as a disinfecting agent in drinking water and chlorination of final effluent, by Water and Wastewater Services at the F.J. Horgan Filtration Plant, R.C. Harris Filtration Plant, Island Filtration Plant, R.L. Clark Filtration Plant, and by the Water Pollution Control Division at the Humber Treatment Plant and the Highland Creek Treatment Plant, for the period January 1, 2002, to December 31, 2002, in accordance with specifications as required by the Works and Emergency Services Department in the amount of \$602,560.00 including all applicable taxes and charges, which is equal to the amount of approved interim estimates, being the only quotation received; and
- (2) the balance of the award be referred to the Works Committee and Council for approval since the portion of the budget related to the balance of the estimated value of the contract in the amount of \$814,055.80 must be approved by Council.

The Committee recommended to Council the acceptance of the balance of the quotation for the supply and delivery of liquid chlorine, in the amount of \$814,055.80, by Brenntag Canada Inc., as recommended in Recommendation No. (2) of the aforementioned joint report.

(Clause No. 22, Report No. 2)

1.29 Supply and Delivery of Hydrofluosilicic Acid.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (January 3, 2002) from the City Clerk advising that the Bid Committee at its meeting held on January 3, 2002, adopted a joint report (December 17, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management, which recommended that:

- (1) the Bid Committee authorize the extension of a pro rata portion of the contract for the supply and delivery of hydrofluosilicic acid in the estimated amount of \$236,030.00 including all taxes and charges, which is equal to the amount of approved interim estimates, with the current supplier Lucier Chemical Industries Limited to address the necessity of having a supply of the chemical for the first quarter of 2002, pending Works Committee and Council approval of the exercise of the option for the balance of the second year and third year;
- (2) the balance of the second year option be referred to the Works Committee and Council for approval to award the pro rata portion of the contract to Lucier Chemical Industries Limited in the amount of \$394,958.34 including all charges and taxes, for the supply and delivery of hydrofluosilicic acid for 2002;

- (3) the option to renew for the additional one-year period January 1, 2003, to December 31, 2003, in the estimated amount of \$679,634.36 under the same terms and conditions be reviewed by the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services in co-operation with the Purchasing Agent, provided the second year of the contract was performed satisfactorily, to ensure that it is feasible to extend the contract at the quoted prices and that funds are available in the Department's Operational Budget for the renewal period; and
- (4) provided that there is a favourable review, in accordance with Recommendation No. (3), the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be delegated the authority to exercise the option on the terms and conditions set out above and be authorized to instruct the Purchasing Agent to process the necessary Purchase Order.

The Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) that the balance of the contract for the supply and delivery of hydrofluosilicic acid, in the amount of \$394,958.34, including all charges and taxes, be awarded to Lucier Chemical Industries Limited, as recommended in Recommendation No. (2) of the aforementioned joint report; and
- (2) the adoption of Recommendations Nos. (3) and (4) of the aforementioned joint report.

(Clause No. 23, Report No. 2)

**1.30 Installation of Private Drain Connections,
Water Services and Miscellaneous Works
Within Area 1, District 1 -
Contract No. 02D1-510WS, Tender Call No. 279-2001.
(Wards 29, 30, 31, 32, and Part of Wards 16, 22, 26 and 28)**

The Works Committee had before it a communication (December 21, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the Bid Committee at its meeting held on December 21, 2001, adopted a joint report (December 11, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management, which recommended that:

- (1) Contract No. 02D1-510WS, Tender Call No. 279-2001, for the installation of private drain connections, water services and miscellaneous works within Area 1, District 1, be awarded to Aecon Utilities, a Division of Aecon Construction Group Inc., in the amount of \$318,000.00 including all taxes and charges, which is equal to the amount of approved Interim Estimates, being the lowest Tender received; and
- (2) the balance of the award be referred to the Works Committee and Council for approval, since the portion of the budget related to the balance of the estimated value of the contract, in the amount of \$957,600.00, must be approved by Council.

The Committee recommended to Council that the balance of the contract for the installation of private drain connections, water services and miscellaneous works within Area 1, District 1, in the amount of \$957,600.00, be awarded to Aecon Utilities, a Division of Aecon Construction Group Inc., as recommended in Recommendation No. (2) of the aforementioned joint report.

(Clause No. 24, Report No. 2)

**1.31 Installation of Private Drain Connections,
Water Services and Miscellaneous Works
Within Area 2, District 1 -
Contract No. 02D1-520WS, Tender Call No. 280-2001.
(Wards 20, 27 and Part of Wards 14, 18, 19, 21, 22 and 28)**

The Works Committee had before it a communication (December 21, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the Bid Committee at its meeting held on December 21, 2001, adopted a joint report (December 11, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management, which recommended that:

- (1) Contract No. 02D1-520WS, Tender Call No. 280-2001, for the installation of private drain connections, water services and miscellaneous works within Area 2, District 1, be awarded to Aecon Utilities, a Division of Aecon Construction Group Inc., in the amount of \$197,000.00 including all taxes and charges, which is equal to the amount of approved Interim Estimates, being the lowest Tender received; and
- (2) the balance of the award be referred to the Works Committee and Council for approval, since the portion of the budget related to the balance of the estimated value of the contract, in the amount of \$596,000.00, must be approved by Council.

The Committee recommended to Council that the balance of the contract for the installation of private drain connections, water services and miscellaneous works within Area 2, District 1, in the amount of \$596,000.00, be awarded to Aecon Utilities, a Division of Aecon Construction Group Inc., as recommended in Recommendation No. (2) of the aforementioned joint report.

(Clause No. 25, Report No. 2)

**1.32 Installation of Private Drain Connections,
Water Services and Miscellaneous Works in
Various Locations Within Area 3, District 1 -
Contract No. 02D1-530WS, Tender Call No. 281-2001.
(Wards 13, 17, and Parts of Wards 11, 12, 14, 15, 18, 19 and 21)**

The Works Committee had before it a communication (December 21, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that the Bid Committee at its meeting held on December 21, 2001, adopted a joint report (December 3, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Director of Purchasing and Materials Management, which recommended that:

- (1) Contract No. 02D1-530WS, Tender Call No. 281-2001, for the installation of private drain connections, water services and miscellaneous works within Area 3, District 1, be awarded to Vipe Construction Ltd., in the amount of \$275,000.00 including all taxes and charges, which is equal to the amount of approved Interim Estimates, being the lowest Tender received; and
- (2) the balance of the award be referred to the Works Committee and Council for approval, since the portion of the budget related to the balance of the estimated value of the contract, in the amount of \$825,000.00, must be approved by Council.

The Committee recommended to Council that the balance of the contract for the installation of private drain connections, water services and miscellaneous works within Area 3, District 1, in the amount of \$825,000.00, be awarded to Vipe Construction Ltd., as recommended in Recommendation No. (2) of the aforementioned joint report.

(Clause No. 26, Report No. 2)

1.33 Chemicals in Drinking Water.

The Works Committee had before it a communication (November 9, 2001) from the City Clerk advising that City Council at its meeting held on November 6, 7 and 8, 2001, had before it Clause No. 13 contained in Report No. 16 of The Works Committee, headed "Other Items Considered by the Committee", and further advising that Council directed that the aforementioned Clause be received as information, subject to striking out and referring Item (c), entitled "Chemicals in Drinking Water", respecting a report (March 28, 2001) from the Medical Officer of Health, back to the Works Committee for further consideration.

The Committee received the aforementioned communication.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Medical Officer of Health; c: General Manager, Water and Wastewater Services; Administrator, Board of Health - January 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 28(k), Report No. 2)

**1.34 Construction of Eastern Beaches Shoreline
Stabilization from Leuty Avenue to Maclean Avenue and
Silver Birch Avenue to Nursewood Road -
Contract No. 01D1-83WP, Tender Call No. 295-2001.
(Ward 32 - Beaches-East York)**

The Works Committee had before it a joint report (December 27, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services and the Acting Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer recommending that Contract No. 01D1-83WP, Tender Call No. 295-2001, for the construction of Eastern Beaches Shoreline Stabilization from Leuty Avenue to Maclean Avenue and Silver Birch Avenue to Nursewood Road, be awarded to Aecon Construction and Materials Limited, in the amount of \$2,976,518.80, being the lowest Tender received.

The Committee awarded the contract as recommended in the aforementioned joint report, in accordance with Chapter 195, Purchasing, of the City of Toronto Municipal Code.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief Financial Officer; c: City Solicitor; Executive Director, Technical Services; Director, Purchasing and Materials Management - January 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 28(l), Report No. 2)

**1.35 Extension of Contract No. 00TS-002SL -
Maintenance of Expressway Lighting Systems.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (December 20, 2001) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that the duration of the existing Contract No. 00TS-002SL with Stacey Electric Company Limited for the maintenance of expressway lighting systems be extended by six months from January 31, 2002, until July 31, 2002, at the original unit bid prices, and that the value of the contract be increased by \$90,093.57 from \$225,233.93 to \$315,327.50.

The Committee recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report, and that the Budget Advisory Committee be advised accordingly.

(Clause No. 10, Report No. 2)

**1.36 Turtle Island Municipal Services
Collection Contract.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (January 9, 2002) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services advising the Committee of an amendment to the Turtle Island Municipal Services Contract for three-stream collection; noting that amending the collection contract so that the recyclables are collected separately at the curb is the least expensive and most effective method of having fibre and containers delivered to the City's existing processing contractors until a new single-stream recyclables processing facility is operational; and recommending that this report be received for information.

The Committee received the aforementioned report for information.

(Clause No. 28(m), Report No. 2)

**1.37 User Fees for Collection of
Large Metal Appliances.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (January 14, 2002) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services recommending that:

- (1) the fee charged to residents for the collection of large metal appliances be \$10 for one large metal appliance and \$5 for each additional item collected on a given day; and

- (2) the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be authorized to make the necessary amendments to the City's Residential Solid Waste Collection By-law to reflect the fees charged for the collection of large metal appliances.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee:

- (1) recommended to Council the adoption of the aforementioned report;
and
- (2) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to report back to the Committee on strategies for making the decals easier to obtain.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; c: General Manager,
Solid Waste Management Services - January 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 6, Report No. 2)

**1.38 Wastewater Treatment Agreement - South Peel;
Appointment of Water Advocate.**

The Works Committee had before it a report (January 18, 2002) from the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services respecting the 2002 rate to be used for the calculation of the cost of treatment of wastewater flow from a portion of the City of Toronto at the Lakeview Treatment Plant located in South Peel, and from a portion of the Region of Peel at the Toronto Humber Treatment Plant; and recommending that the Works and Emergency Services Department be authorized to accept from the Region of Peel the wastewater treatment rate of 24.62 cents per cubic metre (112.0 cents per thousand imperial gallons), for the period from January 1 to December 31, 2002.

Councillor Disero appointed Councillor Pitfield Acting Chair, and vacated the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Disero, the Committee recommended to Council:

- (1) the adoption of the aforementioned report;
- (2) the adoption of the following Resolution:

“Whereas the Works Committee has recognized the importance of a Water Advocate since the beginning of this term of Council; and

Whereas City Council continues to believe in the importance of a Water Advocate for the City of Toronto;

Therefore Be It Resolved that Councillor Irene Jones be officially recognized as the City’s Water Advocate;” and

- (3) that Councillor Jones and the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services be requested to develop the appropriate Terms of Reference for the position and consider the development of an advisory structure.

(Clause No. 27, Report No. 2)

Councillor Disero resumed the Chair.

On motion by Councillor Balkissoon, the Committee met privately to discuss the following matter having regard that the subject matter being considered relates to the security of the property of the municipality or local board, in accordance with the Municipal Act.

1.39 Dufferin Container Processing Facility.

The Works Committee had before it a confidential communication (January 15, 2002) from Mr. Blair McArthur, Vice-President, Miller Waste Systems, respecting the Dufferin Container Processing Facility.

The Committee referred the aforementioned confidential communication to the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services to respond thereto.

(Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Mr. Blair McArthur;
c: General Manager, Solid Waste Management Services - January 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 28(n), Report No. 2)

**1.40 Increase in Boulevard Café
Marketing and Vending Fees.**

The Works Committee had before it a communication (January 24, 2002) from the City Clerk advising that the Planning and Transportation Committee at its meeting on January 24, 2002, recommended that the Works Committee, at its meeting on January 25, 2002, adopt the report (January 18, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting a proposed increase of 5 percent to the annual charge for boulevard café, marketing and vending fees.

The Committee recommended to the Budget Advisory Committee the adoption of the recommendation of the Planning and Transportation Committee contained in the aforementioned communication.

(Budget Advisory Committee; c: Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Administrator, Planning and Transportation Committee - January 25, 2002)

(Clause No. 28(o), Report No. 2)

1.41 Recognition of Dr. Frank Frantisak.

The Works Committee was advised by Councillor Jack Layton that Dr. Frank Frantisak, Member of the Board of Directors of the Toronto Hydro Corporation, has received the Order of Canada for his work on the environment.

On motion by Councillor Layton, the Committee recommended that Council extend its congratulations to Dr. Frantisak on this outstanding achievement.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 2)

The Works Committee adjourned its meeting at 4:10 p.m. on January 25, 2002.

Chair.