

THE CITY OF TORONTO

Clerk's Division

Minutes of the Planning and Transportation Committee

Meeting No. 1

Monday, January 13, 2003

The Planning and Transportation Committee met on January 13, 2003, in Council Chamber, City Hall, Toronto, commencing at 9:30 a.m.

Councillor	9:30 a.m. to 10:05 a.m.	10:15 a.m. to 12:35 p.m.
Councillor Gerry Altobello, Chair	X	X
Councillor Pam McConnell, Vice Chair	X	X
Councillor Lorenzo Berardinetti	X	X
Councillor Betty Disero	X	X
Councillor Joanne Flint	-	-
Councillor Peter Milczyn	X	X
Councillor Howard Moscoe	X	X
Councillor Kyle Rae	X	X

Declarations of Interest Pursuant to the Municipal Conflict of Interest Act

None declared.

Confirmation of Minutes

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the minutes of the meetings of the Planning and Transportation Committee held on December 12 and 13, 2002 were confirmed.

- 1.1 Proposed By-law Amendment for the O'Connor Business Area to Implement Amendment Number 15, (OPA 15), to the Official Plan for the Former Borough of East York. (Beaches-East York, Ward 31 and Don Valley East, Ward 34)**

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, January 13, 2003

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (December 18, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services respecting the approval of a Zoning By-law Amendment for the O'Connor Business Area, which implements OPA 15, as approved by Ontario Municipal Board Order No. 0738, advising that the Amendment augments the range of permitted uses and sets out the associated parking standards for the interior of the O'Connor Business Area, permits places of worship and increases the allowable floor area of the already permitted commercial uses along the north westerly section of O'Connor Drive, and recommending that City Council:

- (1) amend Zoning By-law 6752 for the former Borough of East York substantially in accordance with draft Zoning By-law Amendment attached as Attachment No. 1; and
- (2) authorize the City Solicitor to make such stylistic and technical changes to the draft Zoning By-law Amendments as may be required and introduce the Bill in Council.

The Committee also had before it a revised Page 1 of the report (December 18, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services - revision having been made to the Wards involved to include Toronto-Danforth, Ward 29 and Don Valley East, Ward 34.)

The Chair advised the meeting that if a person or public body that files a Notice of an Appeal of a decision of City Council in respect of the proposed zoning by-law amendment does not make oral submissions at this public meeting or make written submissions to the City Clerk before the proposed amendment is adopted, the Ontario Municipal Board may dismiss all or part of the appeal.

On motion by Councillor Berardinetti the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council that, based on the findings of fact and recommendations contained in the report (December 18, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, that this report be adopted.

The Planning and Transportation Committee held a statutory public hearing on January 13, 2003 in accordance with The Planning Act and appropriate notice of this meeting was given in accordance with The Planning Act and the regulations thereunder and nobody addressed the Committee.

(Clause No. 1, Report No. 2)

1.2 Deputations on the 2003 Operating Budget and 2003-2012 Capital Budget

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (December 18, 2002) from the City Clerk, Planning and Transportation Committee forwarding for the information of the Planning and Transportation Committee, notice of the action taken by the Planning and Transportation Committee at its meeting on December 13, 2002 with respect to the 2003 Operating Budget and the 2003-2012 Capital Budget.

The Committee also had before it the following report/communication:

- (January 6, 2003) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services responding to requests arising during the 2003 budget process for further details on Section 37 Agreement monies and the implications of hiring additional staff requested in the 2003 budget on a contract or temporary basis, and recommending that this report be received for information; and
- (undated) from Suzanne McCormick forwarding concerns respecting residential building inspectors.

The Planning and Transportation Committee:

- (1) (on motion by Councillor Berardinetti) confirmed its action taken at its meeting on December 13, 2003 in which it recommended the approval of the 2003-2012 Capital Budget and the 2003 Operating Budget for the Urban Development Services subject to the adoption of the following reports:
 - (a) (December 5, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services recommending that:
 - “(1) Council endorse the continuation of a project to develop a single, comprehensive Zoning By-law for the entire City, using staff on a secondment and back-fill basis, under the lead of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services;
 - (2) the proposed funding for the Zoning By-law Project be forwarded to the Budget Advisory Committee for its review during consideration of the 2003 Capital Budget; and
 - (3) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services report annually on the status of the work and budget of the Zoning By-law Project to the Planning and Transportation Committee indicating any changes in the scope or timelines of the project and the general findings to date.”; and

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, January 13, 2003

- (b) (November 13, 2002) from the City Clerk, Toronto Cycling Committee recommending that the Planning and Transportation Committee be requested to approve \$208,000.00, for the Department of Urban Development Services' cycling operating budget for 2003, including funding for the Bicycle Promotions Coordinator staff position, and new and enhanced funding of \$42,000.00 for 5 additional Road and Trail Safety Ambassadors;

and forwarded its recommendations in this regard to the Budget Advisory Committee for its review during its consideration of the 2003 Capital and Operating Budgets;

- (2) (On motion by Councillor Moscoe) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to report to the Planning and Transportation Committee on policies and procedures as it pertains to funds for public art and review the public art policies with a view to reconciling them with the comments made by the City Solicitor in the report (January 6, 2003) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services with respect to Section 37 funds; and
- (3) (On motion by Councillor Berardinetti) in view of the fact that the Planning and Transportation Committee had completed its review of the 2003 Operating and of 2003-2012 Capital Budgets, instructed the City Clerk to cancel the January 20, 2003 meeting scheduled to finalise the budget review process.

The following persons appeared before the Planning and Transportation Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- Jacquelyn Hayward, Program Co-ordinator, Black Creek Regional Transportation Management; and
- Suzanne McCormick.

(Budget Advisory Committee; Commissioner, Urban Development Services; c: City Solicitor, John Di Lallo, Manager, Urban Development Services, Budget Services, Finance - January 16, 2003)

(Info. Clause No. 5(a), Report No. 2)

1.3 Continuation of Public Meeting Pursuant to the Planning Act Respecting the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan - "Making Waves"

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (November 15, 2002) from the City Clerk, Waterfront Reference Group advising that the Waterfront Reference Group, at its meeting on November 14, 2002:

- (1) approved, in principle, the revised "Making Waves", the proposed Secondary Plan for the Central Waterfront contained as Appendix II to the report (October 9, 2002) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services subject to:
 - (a) incorporating the following additional proposed further changes to the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan as contained in the further report (November 5, 2002) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services on:
 - (i) Map A, Roads Plan, add a note "Queens Quay East – alignment subject to further study";
 - (ii) Map C, Parks, Open Space and Public Use Area Plan, reconfigure the east-west Commissioners Street park to run north-south to abut the Don Roadway;
 - (iii) Map C, Parks, Open Space and Public Use Area Plan, add a note "Configuration of parkland abutting the Don Roadway - subject to further study"; and
 - (iv) Map E, Land Use Plan, reconfigure the east-west Commissioners Street Park to run north-south to abut the Don Roadway; and
 - (b) amending Map B, Transit Plan, by deleting the street car line on Princes Boulevard and replacing it with a bus line on its own right-of-way; and
- (2) recommended that Mayor Lastman be requested to write to the Rt. Hon. Jean Chretien, Prime Minister of Canada, asking that the Prime Minister re-affirm the Federal Government's commitment to the revitalization of the Toronto Waterfront, and, in particular, re-affirm its financial support and commitment to the vision put forward by the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation and the City of Toronto;

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, January 13, 2003

and forwarded the report (October 9, 2002) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services together with the attached draft Secondary Plan, the further report (November 9, 2002) from the Commissioner, Urban Development Services and the Group's additional recommendations, for consideration by the Planning and Transportation Committee at its Statutory Public Meeting

The Planning and Transportation Committee also gave consideration to the following reports/communications:

- (December 6, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services outlining the results of the public consultation process, addressing the issue of the development of a sports complex within the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan Area, as well as outlining certain minor changes to the mapping for the Secondary Plan arising from further consultation with City Departments, the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation (TWRC) and outside agencies, and recommending that the revised "Making Waves", the proposed Secondary Plan for the Central Waterfront, as further amended by this report, be approved;
- (December 18, 2002) from the City Clerk, Planning and Transportation Committee advising of the action taken by the Planning and Transportation Committee at its commencement of a statutory public meeting on December 12, 2002, and noting that written comments from the following persons were submitted to that meeting:
 - (undated) from David Greig submitting comments regarding the proposed changes to the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan, specifically the pedestrian and cycling routes;
 - (November 29, 2002) from Geoff Woods, Development Review Coordinator, Canadian National Railway Properties Inc., advising that the comments in the attached letter (November 8, 2002) which requests four additional policies be incorporated into the Secondary Plan, are still valid;
 - (December 3, 2002) from Christopher J. Williams, Aird & Berlis LLP, Barristers & Solicitors, forwarding comments on the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan on behalf of 1147390 Ontario Limited, 1430261 Ontario Limited, and Castan Waterfront Developments Inc.;
 - (December 5, 2002) from Jeff G. Cowan, WeirFouldsLLP, Barristers and Solicitors, submitting comments on behalf of their clients, the Liquor Control Board of Ontario ("LCBO");

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, January 13, 2003

- (December 9, 2002) from Larry Field, Waterfront Specialist, Watershed Management Division, Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, forwarding the following Resolution #A263/02 which was adopted by the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority at its meeting on November 29, 2002:

"That the Authority support the recommended Toronto Central Waterfront Secondary Plan - "Making Waves" being considered at Toronto's Planning and Transportation Committee on December 12, 2002;

That the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority congratulate the City of Toronto staff for this award-winning Secondary Plan and for its attention to the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority's issues;

That Authority staff be directed to continue to work closely with the City of Toronto to further address planning, flood protection, terrestrial and aquatic features, natural heritage and other Authority interests throughout the precinct implementation strategy development, the business planning process of the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation, and subsequent planning and other initiatives;

And Further That this report and recommendations be forwarded to the Planning and Transportation Committee for the December 12, 2002 meeting and to the Planning staff.”;

- (December 9, 2002) from Stephen Hiley, Director of Planning Development, Ontario, Marathon Developments Inc., forwarding comments on behalf of clients respecting the land municipally known as 150 Commissioners Street and 155 Villiers Street;
- (December 4, 2002) from John Miolla, Commodore, Outer Harbour Sailing Federation, forwarding comments respecting the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan "Making Waves";
- (undated) from Scott James, Secretary, Wellington Place Neighbourhood Association, forwarding comments with respect to the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan as it relates to the Front Street Extension;
- (December 10, 2002) from Joe Gill, Chair, The Friends of Fort York and Garrison Common, forwarding comments with respect to the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan as it relates to the Front Street Front Street Extension;

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, January 13, 2003

- (December 9, 2002) from Martin Collier forwarding comments with respect to the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan as it relates to the Front Street Expressway;
- (December 10, 2002) from Cynthia Wilkey, Chair, West Don Lands Committee, forwarding comments on the plan;
- (December 11, 2002) from Paul Dockrill, Real Estate Assistant, Real Estate Services, Hydro One Networks Inc., forwarding comments on the plan;
- (undated) from Mitchell Gold, Organizing Committee, First Nations Indigenous Trust, forwarding concerns on the plan;
- (December 10, 2002) from M. Noskiewicz, Goodmans LLP, Barristers and Solicitors, expressing client's concerns regarding the proposal;
- (December 10, 2002) from Patrick J. Devine, Goodman and Carr LLP, Barristers and Solicitors, forwarding concerns on the proposal on behalf of their client, Avro Quay Limited, the owner of the property previously used as Marine Terminal 27 and municipally known as No. 25 Queens Quay East;
- (November 1, 2002) from Christopher J. Williams, Aird & Berlis LLP, Barristers and Solicitors, forwarding concerns on the proposal on behalf of their clients, 1430261 Ontario Limited, the owner of the lands at 351 and 369 Lakeshore Boulevard East;
- (December 11, 2002) from Bernd Baldus, Co-Chair, Garrison Creek Steering Committee, forwarding comments on the plan;
- (December 11, 2002) from Hamish Wilson objecting to the proposal;
- (undated) from Mary Flynn-Guglietti, McMillan Binch LLP, forwarding concerns on the proposal on behalf of their clients, Ontario Power Generation (OPG), in connection with its property located at 440 Unwin Avenue and more particularly the R.L. Hearn Generating Station;
- (December 9, 2002) from Michael J. McQuaid, Q.C., WeirFoulds LLP, Barristers & Solicitors, forwarding concerns on the proposal on behalf of their clients, St. Marys Cement (Canada) Inc., specifically approximately 2 acres of land immediately north of the former Molson Brewery site on Fleet Street;

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, January 13, 2003

- (December 11, 2002) from Patrick J. Devine, Goodman and Carr LLP, Barristers and Solicitors, forwarding concerns on the proposal on behalf of their clients, City Front Developments Inc., the owner of the property located at the north-east corner of Front Street West and Spadina Avenue;
- (December 6, 2002) from Patrick J. Devine, Goodman and Carr LLP, Barristers and Solicitors, forwarding concerns on the proposal on behalf of their clients, Pinnacle International Lands Inc., the owners of 33 Bay Street;
- (December 11, 2002) from Stephen H. Diamond, McCarthy Tétrault LLP, Barristers and Solicitors, forwarding comments on the proposal on behalf of their clients, Canadian Tire Real Estate Limited, the owners of 1015 Lakeshore Boulevard East;
- (December 12, 2002) from Greg Bonser forwarding comments on the proposal;
- (December 12, 2002) from Paula Boutis, Chapter Chair, Eastern Canada Chapter, Sierra Club of Canada, forwarding comments and recommendations on the proposal;
- (December 12, 2002) from Jeff Brown, obo Citizens Against the Front Expressway, forwarding comments and recommendations on the proposal;
- (December 11, 2002) from Wilfrid Walker, obo Transport 2000 Ontario, forwarding concerns on the proposal;
- (undated) from Susannah Bunce, Planning Action, forwarding concerns on the proposal;
- (December 7, 2002) from Michael Rosenberg forwarding concerns on the plan;
- (December 12, 2002) from Dalton C. Shipway, obo Eric Advokaat, Lura Consulting, forwarding a submission, entitled "Updated Summary Notes from Ecosystem Performance Criteria Workshop, May 4", from Eric Advokaat, Lura Consulting;
- (undated) from Helen Riley forwarding comments on the proposal;
- (undated) from Faye Lyons, CAA Central Ontario, forwarding concerns on the proposal;

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, January 13, 2003

- (undated) from Martin Koob forwarding comments regarding the plan;
- (December 12, 2002) from Boris Mather, for Citizens for a Lakeshore Greenway, forwarding comments on the plan;
- (undated) from Kevin H. Currie, and obo Wheel Excitement Inc., forwarding comments on the plan;
- (undated) from Lynn Clay, Executive Director, Liberty Village B.I.A., forwarding comments on the plan;
- (undated) from Robert Eisenberg, York Heritage Properties, Toronto Carpet Factory, obo Liberty Village Businesses, submitting a petition from 72 area businesses regarding the Front Street Extension;
- (December 12, 2002) from Joe Lobko, Chair, obo Toronto Society of Architects, forwarding concerns on the plan;
- (undated) from Jacob Allderdice, obo Advocacy for Respect for Cyclists, forwarding comments on the plan;
- (December 12, 2002) from Paul Young, Health Promoter, South Riverdale Community Health Centre, forwarding comments on the plan;
- (undated) from William M. MacKay forwarding comments on the plan;
- (undated) from Christopher J. Williams, Aird & Berlis LLP, Barristers and Solicitors, forwarding concerns on the plan on behalf of their clients, Castan Waterfront Development Inc.;
- (December 12, 2002) from Jacqueline Courval, Co-Chair and John Carley, Co-Chair, Friends of the Spit, forwarding concerns on the plan; and
- (December 12, 2002) from Karen Buck forwarding concerns on the plan.

- (December 12, 2002) from Nicholas T. Macos, Heenan Blaikie LLP. Lawyers - Patent and Trade Mark Agents forwarding comments on the plan on behalf of the owners of 11 Polson and 15-55 Polson Street and the tenants of 20 Polson Street and 176 Cherry Street;

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, January 13, 2003

- (December 16, 2002) from Stanley Makuch, Cassels Brock & Blackwell LLP. forwarding comments on the plan on behalf of their client, the Ontario Realty Corporation (ORC), manager of lands in the West Don Lands area, generally located south of King Street and east of Parliament Street, extending to the Don Valley Parkway;
- (January 8, 2003) from the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism responding to several motions respecting parks and recreation facilities in the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan that arose at the December 12, 2002 meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee and recommending that this report be received for information;
- (January 8, 2003) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services responding to the motions made at the December 12, 2002, Planning and Transportation Committee meeting and recommending that:
 - (1) the Revised "Making Waves", the Proposed Secondary Plan for the Central Waterfront (see Appendix A) with the accompanying maps be adopted; and
 - (2) Council request the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing to make the requisite modifications to the new City of Toronto Official Plan adopted by Council on November 26, 27, and 28, 2002, to reflect the aforementioned changes to the Secondary Plan for the Central Waterfront;
- (undated) from H. Bruce Crofts forwarding comments respecting the Waterfront Revitalization project;
- (January 8, 2003) from Mary Flynn-Guglietti, McMillan Binch LLP forwarding client's comments respecting the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan - "Making Waves", on behalf of Ontario Power Generation in connection with its property located at 440 Unwin Avenue;
- (January 10, 2002) from J. Pitman Patterson, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP, Lawyers forwarding client's comments respecting the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan - "Making Waves", on behalf of the Incorporated Synod of the Diocese of Toronto (Anglican Diocese of Toronto);
- (January 9, 2003) from Mark Sterling, BES, B.Arch, OAA, Partner, Sterling Finlayson Architects requesting that the Charette be allowed to address a number of very specific concerns about the Plan;

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, January 13, 2003

- (undated) from Robert Freedman, Director, Urban Design, City Planning, Urban Development Services submitting presentation material on the Toronto Waterfront Design Initiative (TWDI);
- (undated) from A.J. Diamond, Diamond and Schmidt Architects forwarding comments on behalf of the Toronto Waterfront Charette;
- (January 12, 2003) from Peter Clewes, Architects Alliance forwarding concerns respecting the Plan;
- (January 13, 2003) from Mark Sterling, B.E.S., B.Arch., OAA. obo Toronto Participants in the Toronto Waterfront Design Initiative forwarding concerns about the Plan; and
- Maps A to E, which were appended to the (January 8, 2003) report of the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

Robert Freedman, Director, Urban Design, City Planning, Urban Development Services, gave a presentation to the Planning and Transportation Committee on the Toronto Waterfront Design Initiative (TWDI).

The following persons appeared before the Planning and Transportation Committee in connection with the foregoing matter by virtue of being presenters at the Design Charrette:

- A.J. Diamond, Diamond and Schmidt Architects, expressed the comments set out in his communication, headed "Toronto Waterfront Charette – October 17, 2002";
- Peter Clews, Architects Alliance, expressed the comments set out in his communication dated January 12, 2003;
- Mark Sterling, Sterling Finlayson Architects; requested that approval of the Waterfront Secondary Plan be deferred pending a further report by planning, transportation and parks staff, on the issues raised by the group.

The following person also appeared before the Planning and Transportation Committee in connection with the foregoing matter:

- J. Pitman Patterson, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP, expressed concern that policies are silent with respect to places of worship and that they need a secure place in the Waterfront Secondary Plan.

The Planning and Transportation Committee:

- (1) (On motion by Councillor Rae) adjourned its continuation of a public hearing under the Planning Act with respect to the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan to its next meeting on March 24, 2003;
- (2) (On motion by Councillor Moscoe) supported the following amendments to the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan "Making Waves" attached as Appendix A to the report (January 8, 2003) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services:
 - (a) that Map A referred to in Point 9. titled "Summary of Proposed Changes to the Text and Maps" on page 11 of the report (January 8, 2003) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be amended by ensuring that Queens Quay remains in its present position from Jarvis Street East to the south end of Polson Quay;
 - (b) that any changes to the alignment of Queens Quay East require an amendment to the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan;
 - (c) that bridges to cross the mouth of the Don River to Polson Quay be eliminated other than the option for a pedestrian and cycling bridge which should be maintained;
 - (d) that the requirement for a continuous promenade along the waters edge as set out in the Central Waterfront Secondary Plan be maintained;
 - (e) that the existing lift bridge be retained and the adjacent land areas be enhanced and animated and the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be authorized to detail other industrial features to be preserved and incorporated; and

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, January 13, 2003

- (f) that consideration be given for the possible southward extension of City streets through the railway corridor south to Queens Quay from Jarvis Street East to the south end of Polson Quay with a corridor to the lake at the south end of each street;
- (3) (On motion by Councillor McConnell) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to ensure that the public have an opportunity to discuss and understand the ideas presented at the recent Design Charrette.
- (4) (On motion by Councillor Rae) referred the following principles presented by the Design Charrette Team to the January 13, 2003 meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee to the Commissioner, Urban Development Services for report to the March 24, 2003 meeting of Planning and Transportation Committee:
 - “1. To extend the Toronto street grid to the waters edge, with street widths, including the Lakeshore, scaled to urban development needs and not traffic needs exclusively.
 - 2. To develop a community with mixed residential, retail and other uses as appropriate at medium densities and with sufficient mass that would stabilize neighbourhoods. Building heights with a few exceptions would not exceed 8 storeys and would be built to the waters edge.
 - 3. To create an intimately scaled public lake edge for pedestrian and bicycle movement with regional accessibility.
 - 4. To establish a park system that caters to both local and regional uses. These should be distributed through the portlands, taking into account the ecological needs of the Don River mouth and refraining from aggregating park space into one or two massive areas not conducive to residential, retail, cultural or recreational uses.

Planning and Transportation Committee
Monday, January 13, 2003

5. To utilizing to the extent possible existing road alignments and grades, waterways and historical features. This would also allow gradual and effective implementation by both public and private enterprise. However, the removal of the Gardiner Expressway and the conversion of the Lakeshore Boulevard to a normal city street would be an appropriate change.”;
- (5) requested the Commissioner of Urban Development Services to also report to the March 24, 2003 meeting on:
 - (a) (On motion by Councillor Rae) ensuring that places of worship are protected in the Plan;
 - (b) (on motion by Councillor McConnell) in consultation with the Commissioner, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, on the Parks Inventory Uses for the Commissioners and West Donland Parks; and
 - (c) (on motion by Councillor Milczyn) the possibility of including additional land uses in the areas marked ‘Parks, Open Spaces and Public Use’ in the eastern Bayfront area as shown on Map E and that these additional land uses to include residential and mixed use.
 - (6) (On motion by Councillor Disero) forwarded to the Commissioner of Urban Development Services three sketches of the Central Waterfront area including Polson Quay which was presented by Councillor Disero, with a request that she consider these when preparing precinct plans.

(Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Commissioner of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism; cc: City Solicitor; Interested Persons - January 16, 2003)

(Info. Clause No. 5(b), Report No. 2)

1.4 Toronto Transit Commission Update Reports

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to the following reports from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission:

- (November 22, 2002) advising that the Toronto Transit Commission, at its meeting on November 20, 2002 in considering a report, entitled "2001 APTA Rail Safety Audit Final Report":
 - (i) received for information, the 2001 APTA Rail Safety Audit Final Report including supplemental forms;
 - (ii) received for approval, the 2001 APTA Rail Safety Audit TTC Management Action Plan; and
 - (iii) forwarded the report and TTC Management Action Plan to the Minister of Transportation, Province of Ontario and the Clerk of the City of Toronto for information.

- (November 22, 2002) advising that the Toronto Transit Commission, at its meeting on November 20, 2002 in considering a report, entitled "2001 APTA Rail Safety Audit - Update #1":
 - (i) received for information, the first update of the TTC Management Actions for the 2001 APTA Rail Safety Audit; and
 - (ii) forwarded this update to the Minister of Transportation, Province of Ontario and the Clerk of the City of Toronto for information.

- (December 10, 2002) advising that the Toronto Transit Commission, at its meeting on December 9, 2002 in considering a report, entitled "1998 APTA Rail Safety Audit - Update #3":
 - (i) received for information, the third update of the TTC management Actions for the 1998 APTA Rail Safety Audit; and
 - (ii) forwarded this update to the Minister of Transportation, Province of Ontario and the Clerk of the City of Toronto for information.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee received the reports (November 22, 2002, November 22, 2002 and December 10, 2002) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission.

(General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission - January 16, 2003)

(Info. Clause No. 5(c), Report No. 2)

1.5 Streetcar Rapid Transit on St. Clair Avenue

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (December 9, 2002) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission advising that the Toronto Transit Commission, at its meeting on December 9, 2002 amended and adopted the report, entitled "Streetcar Rapid Transit on St. Clair Avenue" and, in so doing, forwarded the report and the Commission's action in this regard to the Planning and Transportation Committee for information.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee received the report (December 9, 2002) from the General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission.

(General Secretary, Toronto Transit Commission - January 16, 2003)

(Info. Clause No. 5(d), Report No. 2)

1.6 Results of the 2001 City of Toronto Cordon Count Program

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (December 20, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services summarizing the results of the 2001 City of Toronto Cordon Count Program by highlighting some of the key findings and trends pertaining to vehicle and person travel across major screenlines in the City of Toronto, and recommending that:

- (1) this report be received for information; and
- (2) a copy of this report be forwarded to Toronto Transit Commission, GO Transit and the Ministry of Transportation for their information.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee:

- (1) received the report (December 20, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services for information;
- (2) requested that a copy of this report be forwarded to Toronto Transit Commission, GO Transit and the Ministry of Transportation for their information;
- (3) requested the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Urban Development Services and the Toronto Transit Commission, to review the policy implications of the cordon count and bring forward to the Planning and Transportation Committee an outline of some of these policy implications for future planning and policy development; and (on motion by Councillor Disero) to also report on the extension of rush hour regulations on high transit priority routes.

(Commissioner of Urban Development Services; Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services; Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission; Managing Director, GO Transit; Minister of Transportation - January 16, 2003)

(Info. Clause No. 5(e), Report No. 2)

1.7 Urban Transportation Showcase Program

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (December 20, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services providing information on an application submitted by the City of Toronto Planning Division on behalf of all the regional and most municipal governments in the Greater Toronto Area (GTA), as well as the City of Hamilton, for project funding from Transport Canada's Urban Transportation Showcase Program; noting the project, entitled "Greater Toronto Area Travel Demand Management (TDM) Program", is one of fifteen municipal projects from forty eight submissions across the country to be selected for stage two of the Urban Transportation Showcase Program's selection process and, as such, is eligible for up to \$30,000 in federal funding to assist in developing the proposal to a more detailed level; and recommending that Council:

- (1) endorse pursuing the stage two Transport Canada application process for the "Greater Toronto Area Travel Demand Management (TDM) Program" as an Urban Transportation Showcase initiative and authorize the appropriate staff to enter into a contract with Transport Canada to acquire up to \$30,000 in federal funding to assist in the preparation of the detailed stage two submission subject to the terms of the contract being satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
- (2) recognize that, if the stage two submission proves successful, the City is committed to provide its proportional share of the total municipal cost of the "Greater Toronto Area Travel Demand Management (TDM) Program" on the basis that it is a two and a half year program in which the monetary component of the City's contribution would not exceed \$180,000 in any given year; and
- (3) if the showcase proposal is selected for implementation, the Commissioner of Urban Development Services is requested to bring back to Council the details of the contribution agreement with Transport Canada for ratification and funding approval.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended that the report (December 20, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted and requested that it be submitted to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration of its financial implications and subsequent submission to City Council.

(Policy and Finance Committee; c: Commissioner of Urban Development Services - January 13, 2003)

(Info. Clause No. 5(f), Report No. 2)

1.8. Criteria and Process for Selecting Priority Avenue Studies

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (January 6, 2003) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services discussing criteria that can be used to select priorities for Avenue studies, outlining a selection process based on those criteria, and recommending that:

- (1) Council endorse the criteria set out in this report as the basis for evaluating which Avenues should be studied on a priority basis; and
- (2) the Commissioner of Urban Development Services evaluate Avenues with the greatest potential on the basis of the criteria outlined in this report, and report back to Community Councils and Planning and Transportation Committee on recommended Avenues for studies. This further report will outline available resources for immediate Avenue studies, establish the number of Avenue studies that can be carried out concurrently, and present options for Community Councils to select their priority or priorities.

On motion by Councillor Milczyn, the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council the adoption of the report (January 6, 2003) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services.

(Clause No. 2, Report No. 2)

1.9 Sheppard Avenue Corridor Study - TF WPS 2002 0004

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (December 20, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services outlining the general parameters of a Sheppard Avenue Corridor Study, noting detailed terms of reference, staffing and financial implications will be addressed in a subsequent report on this matter, and recommending that:

- (1) City Council direct the Commissioner of Urban Development Services, in consultation with the Commissioner of Works and Emergency Services, other affected City Departments and the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC), to prepare detailed terms of reference and associated staffing and financial implications for a Sheppard Avenue Corridor Study from Don Mills Road in the west to McGowan Road in the east; and
- (2) the terms of reference be prepared in accordance with the study parameters described in this report, which include the results of the Agincourt Secondary Plan Review and which emphasize the need to develop a coordinated land-use/transportation strategy for the corridor.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council that the report (December 20, 2002) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted subject to amending the body of this report by:

- (1) deleting the words “to handle increasing traffic in this area of Scarborough” contained at the end of the first sentence of item b) I) on page 2, and replace with the words “in order to accomplish enhanced public transit”; and
- (2) deleting the words “and/or HOV lanes” at the end of the second sentence of the second paragraph of item b) Transportation Issues, on Page 5.

(Clause No. 3, Report No. 2)

1.10 Design Guidelines for Infill Townhouses

The Planning and Transportation Committee gave consideration to a report (January 6, 2003) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services presenting final Urban Design Guidelines for Infill Townhouses, updating the Committee on the circulation and review by Community Councils, requesting that the Guidelines be endorsed and used by staff, architects and developers to ensure high quality development, and recommending

that City Council endorse the Design Guidelines for Infill Townhouses attached to this report for use in reviewing planning applications for new townhouse developments.

On motion by Councillor Moscoe, the Planning and Transportation Committee recommended to City Council that the report (January 6, 2003) from the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be adopted subject to ensuring that the Design Guidelines indicate that streets be of sufficient width to allow for public servicing.

(Clause No. 4, Report No. 2)

1.11 City of Toronto Architecture and Urban Design Awards Program

As a new business item, the Planning and Transportation Committee adopted Councillor McConnell's request that the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to bring forward a preliminary report to the Committee's next meeting on March 24, 2003 on the outstanding recommendation made by City Council at its meeting on November 6, 7 and 8, 2001 (Clause 6 of Report 12 of the Planning and Transportation Committee refers), which recommended that "...the Commissioner of Urban Development Services be requested to establish a working group consisting of interested members of the Planning and Transportation Committee and the design and development community with a mandate to identify additional opportunities to create incentives for excellence in design and architecture, and to review approaches such as convening a symposium on design in Toronto and providing an annual award in the form of a tax benefit."

(Commissioner of Urban Development Services - January 16, 2003)

(Info. Clause No. 5(g), Report No. 2)

The meeting adjourned at 12:35 p.m.