



**POLICY AND FINANCE COMMITTEE
DECISION DOCUMENT
MEETING No. 6**

Report 6 to be considered by City Council on July 25, 26 and 27, 2006

Date of Meeting:	Tuesday, July 18, 2006	Enquiry:	Patsy Morris
Time:	9:30 a.m.		Administrator
Location:	Committee Room 1 City Hall 100 Queen Street West Toronto		(416) 392-9151 pmorris@toronto.ca

The Decision Document is for preliminary reference purposes only. Please refer to the Committee's Report to City Council or to the minutes for the official record.

How to Read the Decision Document:

- *recommendations of the Committee to City Council are in bold type after the item heading;*
- *action taken by the Committee on its own authority does not require Council's approval – it is reported to Council for information, and is listed in the decision document in bold type under the heading "Action taken by the Committee"; and*
- *Declarations of Interest, if any, appear at the end of an item.*

Minutes Confirmed – June 20, 2006.

Communications/Reports

1. Making a Sustainable City Happen: The Toronto Green Development Standard 2006

(Postponed from the meeting held on June 20, 2006)

Report 6, Clause 1

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that:

- (A) City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 13, 2006) from the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, subject to:**

(I) amending Recommendation (12) to read as follows:

- “(12) (i) the Mayor and City Council pursue with other municipalities and the Province, the application of a standard similar to the Toronto Green Development Standard; and
- (ii) the Province be requested to consider making standards such as the Toronto Green Development Standard mandatory;”; and

(II) amending Recommendation (13) to read as follows:

- “(13) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, and affected Divisions, be requested to report on the following matters:
- (i) including an “excellent” target for use of local materials of 30 percent+;
- (ii) raising the minimum energy efficiency target higher than 25 percent;
- (iii) raising the stormwater retention requirement above 5mm; and
- (iv) creating a separate standard for stormwater retention in areas of combined sewers;”,

so that the Recommendations now reads as follows:

- “(1) City Council adopt in principle minimum thresholds for the performance of a variety of features of both site and building design, to be known as the Toronto Green Development Standard, that would promote better environmental sustainability of development within the City;
- (2) the report, Making a Sustainable City Happen: The Toronto Green Development Standard 2006, be made available for stakeholder and public comment;
- (3) the Toronto Green Development Standard 2006 be applied, where feasible, to the City and its agencies, boards and commissions, and consideration be given to it becoming mandatory for City-owned developments, and those of its agencies, boards, and commissions, within one year;
- (4) the Executive Environment Team be requested to coordinate the development of a strategy for implementing Toronto Green

Development Standard at City-owned sites and facilities and those of City agencies, boards, and commissions;

- (5) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, together with other affected divisions, report back on the following items:
 - (i) the results of a comparison of the Toronto Green Development Standard with a number of tools (including, but not limited to, Canadian Institute for Transportation Engineers – promoting sustainable transportation through site design; Low Impact Development (LID) - storm water standards; USEPA Watersense Guidelines; Ontario 1992 Transit Supportive Land Use Guidelines; and LEED standard V3);
 - (ii) a review of the Toronto Green Development Standard considering the following issues: more intensive rainfall due to climate change; increasing the total canopy and soft surface; onsite energy generation; and a reduced unit/parking ratio;
 - (iii) the results of an investigation to determine the value, from a sustainability perspective, of maintaining almost the complete structure of old buildings – even those that do not have heritage status – as compared to building new “green developments”.
 - (iv) how the Toronto Green Development Standard can be applied to the retrofit of buildings;
 - (v) the results of investigation into methods of evaluation and verification for both City property and for the labelling of private property, and issues surrounding evaluation and verification (such as determining responsibility for it);
- (6) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, undertake a cost-benefit analysis of the application of the Toronto Green Development Standard 2006 to different building types, and report on findings in one year;
- (7) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, and the City Solicitor report on the legislative abilities available to the City in applying any part of the Toronto Green Development Standard to private development;
- (8) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, together with other affected Divisions, develop a training program for staff for application of the Toronto Green Development Standard;

- (9) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, together with appropriate divisions, consult with the private sector and labour groups on ways and means of establishing a non-profit Green Building Training Centre for the City's use and support, possibly modeled on the City of Chicago's Centre for Green Technology , but Toronto-specific and locally oriented;
 - (10) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, in consultation with affected Divisions, conduct a series of workshops, following the completion of the Cost-Benefit Study, inviting a range of stakeholders, and report back to City Council in 2007;
 - (11) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, undertake an annual review of the Toronto Green Development Standard, which includes suggestions for improvements to the Standard and an assessment of the Standard's performance, as measured against the identified environmental drivers;
 - (12)
 - (i) the Mayor and City Council pursue with other municipalities and the Province, the application of a standard similar to the Toronto Green Development Standard; and
 - (ii) the Province be requested to consider making standards such as the Toronto Green Development Standard mandatory;
 - (13) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, and affected Divisions, be requested to report on the following matters:
 - (i) including an “excellent” target for use of local materials of 30 percent +;
 - (ii) raising the minimum energy efficiency target higher than 25 percent;
 - (iii) raising the stormwater retention requirement above 5mm; and
 - (v) creating a separate standard for stormwater retention in areas of combined sewers; and
 - (14) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to “give effect thereto;” and
- (B) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, in consultation with other City Divisions as appropriate, be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee, on ways to encourage and include district heating, cooling and co-generation (Community Energy Planning) at a neighbourhood level throughout the City as a strategy for achieving Green Development in the City and

that developments connecting to such district source energy be recognized in this Toronto Green Development Standard.

Action taken by the Committee.

The Policy and Finance Committee received the following communications:

- (i) (June 9, 2006) from Deputy Mayor Joe Pantalone;
- (ii) (July 14, 2006) from Paula J. Tenuta, Director, Municipal Government Relations, Greater Toronto Home Builders' Association;
- (iii) (July 10, 2006) from Roundtable on the Environment and the Roundtable on a Beautiful City;
- (iv) (July 7, 2006) from Robert Blazevski, Vice President, Urban Development and Planning;
- (v) July 17, 2006) from Lee Bouchard, Co-Organizer, Architecture for Humanity, Toronto Chapter; and
- (vi) (July 13, 2006) from Dennis Bartels, Sustainability Committee of the Distillery District's Gooderham and Worts Neighbourhood Association.

Councillor Cowbourne declared her interest in this matter in that her husband is employed by the Independent Electricity System Operator, which is responsible for the reliability of the electricity supply in Ontario.

Report (June 5, 2006) from the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, advising that the Toronto Green Development Standard contains a number of detailed targets and practices aimed at encouraging more sustainable (green) development in the City.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council adopt in principle the development of minimum thresholds for the performance of a variety of features of both site and building design that would promote better environmental sustainability of development within the City;
- (2) the attached report, *Making a Sustainable City Happen: The Toronto Green Development Standard 2006*, be made available for stakeholder and public comment;;
- (3) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, in consultation with affected Divisions, conduct a series of workshops in October 2006, inviting a

range of stakeholders, and report back to City Council in the first quarter of 2007; and

- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

NOTE: A copy of the report, entitled “Making a Sustainable City Happen, the Toronto Green Development Standard, 2006”, was forwarded to Members of Council with the June 20, 2006 agenda of the Policy and Finance Committee and a copy thereof is also on file in the Office of the City Clerk, City Hall.

**1(a). The Toronto Green Development Standard
(Making a Sustainable City Happen)**

Communication (June 9, 2006) from Deputy Mayor Joe Pantalone, Chair, Roundtable on the Environment, advising that the Roundtable on the Environment on June 6, 2006, requested him to forward the following to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration:

Recommendations:

It is recommended that the Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) authorize a joint meeting of the Roundtable on the Environment and the Roundtable on the Beautiful City on Monday, July 10, 2006 at 6:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers on the Toronto Green Development Standard report and that city staff, in consultation with the two Chairs of the Roundtables report to the July 18, 2006 meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee;
- (2) request the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division amend the report on the Toronto Green Development Standard so:
 - (a) green roof infrastructure be identified as the optimum roofing structure while not discouraging the use of reflective roof material;
 - (b) other major institutions in the City of Toronto such as the universities, hospitals, community colleges and boards of education be approached to determine their willingness to comply with the voluntary standards once they are approved by City Council; and
 - (c) include City of Toronto agencies, boards and commissions as well as City of Toronto-owned buildings for applicability of the voluntary Toronto Green Development Standard; and

- (3) request the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division to begin a cost/benefit analysis on the implementation of the Toronto Green Development Standard and report back to the Roundtable on the Environment on the findings one year after Toronto Green Development Standards has been adopted by City Council.

1(b). Making a Sustainable City Happen: The Toronto Green Development Standard 2006

Report (July 13, 2006) from the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, advising that the Toronto Green Development Standard contains a number of detailed targets and practices aimed at encouraging more sustainable (green) development in the City; that at its previous meeting, the Policy and Finance Committee authorized a joint meeting of the Roundtable on the Environment and the Roundtable on a Beautiful City on Monday, July 10, 2006 on the Toronto Green Development Standard report, and requested city staff, in consultation with the two Chairs of the Roundtables, to report to the July 18, 2006 meeting of the Policy and Finance Committee on the comments received.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council adopt in principle minimum thresholds for the performance of a variety of features of both site and building design, to be known as the Toronto Green Development Standard, that would promote better environmental sustainability of development within the City;
- (2) the report, Making a Sustainable City Happen: The Toronto Green Development Standard 2006, be made available for stakeholder and public comment;
- (3) the Toronto Green Development Standard 2006 be applied, where feasible, to the City and its agencies, boards and commissions, and consideration be given to it becoming mandatory for City-owned developments, and those of its agencies, boards, and commissions, within one year;
- (4) the Executive Environment Team be requested to coordinate the development of a strategy for implementing Toronto Green Development Standard at City-owned sites and facilities and those of City agencies, boards, and commissions;
- (5) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, together with other affected divisions, report back on the following items:
 - (i) the results of a comparison of the Toronto Green Development Standard with a number of tools (including, but not limited to, Canadian Institute for Transportation Engineers – promoting sustainable transportation

- through site design; Low Impact Development (LID) - storm water standards; USEPA Watersense Guidelines; Ontario 1992 Transit Supportive Land Use Guidelines; and LEED standard V3);
- (ii) a review of the Toronto Green Development Standard considering the following issues: more intensive rainfall due to climate change; increasing the total canopy and soft surface; onsite energy generation; and a reduced unit/parking ratio;
 - (iii) the results of an investigation to determine the value, from a sustainability perspective, of maintaining almost the complete structure of old buildings – even those that do not have heritage status – as compared to building new “green developments”.
 - (iv) how the Toronto Green Development Standard can be applied to the retrofit of buildings;
 - (v) the results of investigation into methods of evaluation and verification for both City property and for the labelling of private property, and issues surrounding evaluation and verification (such as determining responsibility for it);
- (6) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, undertake a cost-benefit analysis of the application of the Toronto Green Development Standard 2006 to different building types, and report on findings in one year;
- (7) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, and the City Solicitor report on the legislative abilities available to the City in applying any part of the Toronto Green Development Standard to private development;
- (8) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, together with other affected Divisions, develop a training program for staff for application of the Toronto Green Development Standard;
- (9) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, together with appropriate divisions, consult with the private sector and labour groups on ways and means of establishing a non-profit Green Building Training Centre for the City’s use and support, possibly modeled on the City of Chicago’s Centre for Green Technology , but Toronto-specific and locally oriented;
- (10) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, in consultation with affected Divisions, conduct a series of workshops, following the completion of the Cost-Benefit Study, inviting a range of stakeholders, and report back to City Council in 2007;
- (11) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, undertake an annual review of the Toronto Green Development Standard, which includes suggestions

- for improvements to the Standard and an assessment of the Standard's performance, as measured against the identified environmental drivers;
- (12) should Council wish to implement Motion (B) and (D) from the July 10th 2006 joint meeting of the Roundtables on the Environment and a Beautiful City, the following would be appropriate recommendations:
- (i) the Mayor and City Council pursue with other municipalities and the Province the application of a standard similar to the Toronto Green Development Standard;
 - (ii) the Province be requested to consider making standards such as the Toronto Green Development Standard mandatory;
- (13) Should Council wish to consider the some of the comments from attendees at the joint meeting of the Roundtables on the Environment and on a Beautiful City, a report should be requested from the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, and affected Divisions on the following matters:
- (i) include an "excellent" target for use of local materials of 30 percent +;
 - (ii) raise the minimum energy efficiency target higher than 25 percent;
 - (iii) Raise the stormwater retention requirement above 5mm;
 - (iv) Create a separate standard for stormwater retention in areas of combined sewers; and
- (14) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.
- 1(c).** Communication (July 14, 2006) from Paula J. Tenuta, Director, Municipal Government Relations, Greater Toronto Home Builders' Association.
- 1(d)** Communication (July 10, 2006) from the Roundtable on the Environment and the Roundtable on a Beautiful City respecting the Recommendations from the joint meeting held on July 10, 2006 which were referred to the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, Deputy Mayor Bussin, and Deputy Mayor Pantalone, for consideration and report to the Policy and Finance Committee on July 18, 2006:
- (A) endorsed the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 5, 2006) from the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, headed "The Toronto Green Development Standard":

"It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council adopt in principle the development of minimum thresholds for the performance of a variety of features of both site and building design that would promote better environmental sustainability of development within the City;
 - (2) the attached report, Making a Sustainable City Happen: The Toronto Green Development Standard 2006, be made available for stakeholder and public comment;
 - (3) the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, in consultation with affected Divisions, conduct a series of workshops in October 2006, inviting a range of stakeholders, and report back to City Council in the first quarter of 2007; and
 - (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto."
- (B) requested the Mayor and City Council to vigorously pursue with other upper tier municipalities and the province the region-wide application of the Toronto Green Development Standard;
- (C) recommended that the Toronto Green Development Standard be mandatory for the City and its agencies, boards and commissions;
- (D) recommended that the Province of Ontario be requested to provide for mandatory green development standards;
- (E) amended Recommendation (II) (3) of the Policy and Finance Committee on June 20 and 21, 2006, by adding "Roundtable on a Beautiful City" after the words "Roundtable on the Environment";
- (F) requested the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, together with other City divisions, to:
- (1) compare the Toronto Green Development Standard with the following tools:
 - (a) Canadian Institute for Transportation Engineers – promoting sustainable transportation through site design;
 - (b) Low Impact Development (LID) - storm water standards;
 - (c) USEPA Watersense Guidelines; and
 - (d) Ontario 1992 Transit Supportive Land Use Guidelines;

- (2) review the Toronto Green Development Standard with the following issues in mind:
 - (a) more intensive rainfall due to climate change;
 - (b) increasing the total canopy and soft surface;
 - (c) onsite energy generation; and
 - (d) a reduced unit/parking ratio;
- (3) report on possible legal tools for making the standard mandatory for private property;
- (4) provide a specific strategy for City projects that are required to comply with the Toronto Green Development Standard;
- (5) suggest methods to allow car sharing requirements to be incorporated into revised zoning by-laws and all re-zonings;
- (6) suggest a regular reporting procedure to include a review of environmental indicators and suggestions for improvements to the Toronto Green Development Standard;
- (7) report on requiring applicants for demolition to make their case for demolition to include a design argument fully illustrated as well as from the perspective of sustainability;
- (8) report on how to train and retrain staff at all levels in the communication of the best practices and performance of the Toronto Green Development Standard to its citizens;
- (9) review and comment on the new proposed LEED standard “V3” which includes and promotes bioregional sustainable strategies to ensure the “made in Toronto” green development standards for energy, air and water are appropriate to its ecoregion;
- (10) report following a one year review on how the Toronto Green Development Standard can be applied to the retrofit of buildings;
- (11) determine within one year an evaluation and verification process for performance for city property including agencies, boards and commissions, and potential evaluation and verification for performance standards for private properties;

- (12) report on the establishment of a non-profit Green Building Training Centre for the city's use and support;
- (13) report on expanding measurement and verification requirements as a component of labeling; and
- (14) report on the inclusion of an "Excellent" category under the Green Energy Section referred to on page 34 of the report (June 25, 2006) from the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, that the building is actually off the grid.

**2. Power Generating Facility – Port Lands
(Ward 30 – Toronto-Danforth)**

(Postponed from the meeting held on June 20, 2006)

Report 6, Clause 2

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 14, 2006) from the City Manager.

Councillor Cowbourne declared her interest in this matter in that her husband is employed by the Independent Electricity System Operator, which is responsible for the reliability of the electricity supply in Ontario.

Report (June 8, 2006) from the City Manager responding to Motion J(30), adopted by City Council at its meeting on April 25, 26 and 27, 2006 on steps that the City can take to ensure that any power generation facility in the Port Lands addresses the issues discussed in the body of this report

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

- 2(a). Report (July 14, 2006) from the City Manager responding to the Policy and Finance Committee request of June 20, 2006 that the City Solicitor and other relevant City staff report further on the issues identified in Notice of Motion J(30) adopted by City Council at its meeting on April 25, 26 and 27, 2006 regarding steps that the City can take to ensure that any power generation facility in the Port Lands addresses the issues discussed in the body of this report.**

Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) request the City Solicitor in consultation with appropriate City staff to ask the Portlands Energy Centre (PEC) and the Ministry of the Environment to provide the City with the necessary technical information relating to the simple cycle operation of the plant in order to evaluate the revised proposal and its potential environmental impacts;
- (2) request that the City Solicitor advise the Ministry of the Environment of the City's desire to comment upon the proposed modification to the PEC prior to any approvals or amended approvals being granted;
- (3) in the event that the PEC is required to prepare an Addendum to its Environmental Review or the Ministry of the Environment posts a new or amended Certificate of Approval on the Environmental Registry, request that the City Solicitor and appropriate staff advise by further report on the advisability of pursuing an appeal to the Environmental Review Tribunal or requesting a bump up to an environmental assessment;
- (4) request Enwave and the TWRC to report back to Council on the ability to use the Hearn to meet the requirements for a district heating or cooling facility within the Port Lands and to provide recommendations regarding any other appropriate alternative uses for all, or part of the plant;
- (5) request that TEDCO work with the PEC, Enwave and Toronto Hydro to come to a mutually agreed upon definition of the term "co-generation ready";
- (6) request TEDCO to continue ongoing negotiations with the PEC and also to ensure that the PEC and Hydro One reach an agreement to bury the current high voltage lines leading from the Hearn to downtown through the Port Lands and to ensure that the PEC plant is co-generation ready and that a suitable basis exists for a financial deal to be structured to ensure the provision of co-generation will be viable and request TEDCO to report back on the results of these negotiations; and
- (7) request Toronto Hydro to undertake discussions with the appropriate City staff to ensure that any plans to develop a new co-generation facility with the Cascades Paperboard Group are consistent with the policies of the Central Waterfront Plan and support its greening policies and objectives for the mixed use redevelopment of the Port Lands.

3. Parking for Veterans

10:00 a.m.

(Speakers)

Report 6, Clause 3

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 20, 2006) from the City Manager.

Report (June 20, 2006) from the City Manager reporting on a method for providing free parking for veterans in the City of Toronto at on-street pay-and-display machines and parking meters and at off-street municipal parking facilities that recognizes the contribution of veterans and is administratively workable.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) on September 17 (Battle of Britain) and November 11 (Remembrance Day) of each year commencing in 2006, and on June 6 (D-Day) of each year commencing in 2007, during permitted hours, all vehicles bearing a valid Ontario Veteran Licence Plate be exempted from standard parking fees at all on-street parking meters and parking machines, and at parking machines and parking meters in municipally operated parking facilities, and in municipally operated parking facilities where attendants are stationed;
- (2) to recognize the contribution of veterans and to facilitate the participation of veterans in special events held in their honour, the Royal Canadian Legion, acting on behalf of all veterans, liaise with the Toronto Parking Authority and the Toronto Police Service Parking Enforcement Unit for the exercise of localized parking considerations to support events honouring veterans that occur from time to time, such as Warriors' Day at the Canadian National Exhibition or events whose date varies from year to year, such as commemoration of the Battle of the Atlantic on the first Sunday in June and any other event specifically honouring or commemorating a significant military event; and,
- (3) the appropriate traffic and parking by-laws be amended and the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

4. Review of the City's Corporate Organizational Structure for Fleet Management and Services

Report 6, Clause 4

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 29, 2006) from the City Manager.

Report (June 29, 2006) from the City Manager responding to the Council approved recommendation of the Auditor-General's report entitled, "Fleet Operations Review,

Phase Two", which directed the City Manager to review the corporate organizational structure for fleet management and services and evaluate the implications of fully centralizing all fleet management responsibilities in the Fleet Services Division.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council adopt a policy, as outlined in Appendix A of this report, confirming the Fleet Services Division as the supplier of choice for fleet management services, except for Emergency Medical Services, Toronto Fire Services and Agencies, Boards and Commissions unless specified otherwise;
- (2) a Service Level Agreement (SLA) be developed by January 2007, between Fleet Services Division and each of its client divisions and that this SLA clarify accountabilities by:
 - (i) clearly stating the roles and responsibilities of both parties in all areas of fleet management;
 - (ii) articulating the performance expectations of both parties (the expected accomplishments, service levels and service standards);
 - (iii) ensuring all performance expectations are appropriate and achievable by ensuring they are in line with each party's capacities (authorities, skills and resources);
 - (iv) ensuring there is timely reporting on achievements, changing circumstances and identification of opportunities for service improvement; and
 - (v) establishing mechanisms for ongoing feedback about performance, issue resolution and adjustment;
- (3) the mandate and membership of the Fleet Services Steering Committee be revised according to the proposed terms of reference, as outlined in Appendix B of this report and be renamed the Fleet Services Division Client Advisory Committee;
- (4) the existing communication and co-ordination between Fleet Services Division, the Purchasing and Materials Management Division and the fleet management services found in Emergency Medical Services, Toronto Fire Services, Toronto Police Services and Toronto Transit Commission be enhanced by establishing an Executive Fleet Management Coordinating Committee with a terms of reference, as outlined in Appendix C of this report;
- (5) reflecting the policy proposed in recommendation (1) of this report, the Fleet Services Division be mandated with the responsibility for:

- (a) developing and managing all contracts with providers of rental and leased light duty vehicles to be utilized by its client divisions, except where documented in the SLA between Fleet Services Division and the client division;
 - (b) authorizing all business cases requesting rental or leased light duty vehicles after working with the clients to determine if alternatives exist to meet their business needs;
 - (c) establishing operating procedures and standards for city operated fuel sites, including those operated by EMS and Toronto Fire Services; and
 - (d) monitoring compliance of those procedures and standards at all City fuel sites;
- (6) the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer provide a follow-up report, as part of the City's Program Review Initiative, assessing the effect of the recommendations of this report; and
- (7) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

5. Toronto Atmospheric Fund (TAF) City of Toronto Relationship Framework

Report 6, Clause 5

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 30, 2006) from the City Manager.

Report (June 30, 2006) from the City Manager recommending approval of the Relationship Framework between TAF and the City of Toronto as approved by the TAF Board of Directors at its meeting of June 23, 2006, with minor amendment to be clearly consistent with previous Council direction.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve the attached Relationship Framework between the Toronto Atmospheric Fund and the City of Toronto developed in compliance with the new TAF Act 2005 and the Toronto Atmospheric Fund Governance Review approved by Council at its meeting of September 22, 23, and 24, 2003;

- (2) the quorum for transaction of business at TAF's Board meetings be increased from two-fifths to three-fifths of its Board membership as set out in subsection 8.2 of the Relationship Framework and the TAF By-law No.1 be amended accordingly; and
 - (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.
- 5(a).** Communication (June 23, 2006) from the Board of Directors of the Toronto Atmospheric Fund advising that the Board of Directors on June 23, 2006, considered a report (June 13, 2006) from Mary Pickering, Associate Director, Toronto Atmospheric Fund, requesting approval of the Toronto Atmospheric Fund/City of Toronto Relationship Framework.
- Recommendation:
- The Board of Directors of the Toronto Atmospheric Fund recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the staff recommendation in the Recommendation Section of the report (June 13, 2006) from the Associate Director, Toronto Atmospheric Fund, subject to:
- (a) amending Sections 7.1.1 and 7.1.3 of Article 7 of Appendix A, entitled "Governance and Control" to read as follows:
 - "7.1.1 to appoint and oversee an Executive Director;
 - 7.1.3 to ensure the financial practices of the organization are maintained in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for non-profit corporations and in accordance with the Toronto Atmospheric Fund Act;"; and
 - (b) allowing such stylistic changes to the Toronto Atmospheric Fund Relationship Framework with the City of Toronto as may be agreed on by the Toronto Atmospheric Fund Solicitor and the City Manager.
- 6. Renewal of Host Community Agreement with ICLEI – Local Governments for Sustainability (Management) Inc. for 2007-2011**

Report 6, Clause 6

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 26, 2006) from the City Manager.

Report (June 26, 2006) from the City Manager seeking Council approval to renew the host community agreement between the City of Toronto and ICLEI – Local Governments for Sustainability (Management) Inc. for the period of January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2011.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the City of Toronto renew the host community agreement with ICLEI for the period 2007-2011;
- (2) Council authorize the execution of the proposed host community agreement generally in accordance with the terms and conditions outlined in this report and as finalized to the satisfaction of the City Manager and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
- (3) the City Manager inform City Council annually about the joint City-ICLEI work plan and ICLEI's actions in fulfillment of its obligations to the City in accordance with the terms of the host community agreement;
- (4) the annual funding of \$500,000.00 for ICLEI for the years 2007 to 2011 be included in the respective year's Non-Program Expenditures budget submission; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

7. Community Concerns Relating to the Keele Correctional Centre

Report 6, Clause 61(a)

The Policy and Finance Committee received the report (July 4, 2006) from the City Manager.

Report (July 4, 2006) from the City Manager responding to Council's request for Correctional Service of Canada to work with elected officials and the Toronto Police Service to address community concerns relating to the operation of the Keele Correctional Centre.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

8. Status Report – Implementation of 2004-2006 Access, Equity and

Human Rights Action Plans

Report 6, Clause 7

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 4, 2006) from the City Manager.

Report (July 4, 2006) from the City Manager reporting on progress of the implementation of Council's priorities in access, equity and human rights and Divisional Access, Equity and Human Rights Action Plans, 2004-2006.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) future reports on the implementation of Divisional Access, Equity and Human Rights Action Plans focus on the assessment of the results and outcomes of the Action Plans;
- (2) this report be forwarded for information to the City of Toronto Roundtable on Access, Equity and Human Rights, Aboriginal Affairs Committee, Disability Issues Committee, Working Group on Immigration and Refugee Issues, Working Group on Language Equity and Literacy and Working Group on Status of Women and Gender Equity; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

9. Appointment of Municipal Trustee for the Move Ontario Trust - Proposed Spadina Subway Extension – All Wards

Report 6,Clause 61(b)

The Policy and Finance Committee postponed consideration of the report (July 5, 2006) from the City Manager and the Interim Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission, until its meeting scheduled to be held on September 18, 2006.

Report (July 5, 2006) from the City Manager and the Interim Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission, recommending the appointment of a permanent trustee for the Move Ontario Trust ("the Trust") established by the Province to fund its share of the proposed Spadina subway extension into York Region; and also providing Council with an update on the planning, operational and funding issues related to the proposed project.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Mr. Joseph P. Pennachetti, Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, be nominated for appointment as the City of Toronto's Municipal Trustee for the Move Ontario Trust;
- (2) the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, in consultation with the Interim Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission, be authorized to enter into negotiations with the Region of York to determine the appropriate allocation of the municipal portion of project costs and report back on the outcome of such negotiations;
- (3) the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer report back on a plan for financing the City's share of the capital costs of the subway extension;
- (4) the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, in consultation with the City's Chief Planner & Executive Director, be authorized to retain the services of a qualified and experienced consultant, to provide advisory support to the City in connection with the financing of the proposed subway extension as outlined in this report, at a cost not to exceed \$300,000 funded from the Development Charge Reserve Fund for Development-Related Studies (Account XR2030); and
- (5) the appropriate City staff be authorized and directed to take all necessary actions to give effect thereto.

**10. Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Initiative
Five-Year Business Plan/Ten-Year Forecast (2006 to 2015)**

Report 6, Clause 8

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that:

- (1) **City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 4, 2006) from Deputy City Manager, Fareed Amin; and**
- (2) **Deputy City Manager, Fareed Amin, be requested to report to the Policy and Finance Committee at its September 18, 2006 meeting, on the status of business and infrastructure planning for district energy and community energy planning in the Waterfront.**

Report (July 4, 2006) from Fareed Amin, Deputy City Manager, outlining the deliverables, funding requirements, and cost-sharing arrangements for Waterfront Revitalization over the next ten-year period (2006 to 2015) as reflected in the TWRC's Five-Year Business Plan/Ten-Year Forecast for the Project.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that Council:

- (1) approve the Five-Year Business Plan/Ten-Year Forecast (2006 – 2015) for the Waterfront Revitalization Initiative, subject to the annual budget process and approval by the Provincial and Federal Governments of the same Plan, and authorize the revision of the 2007 – 2015 Capital Plan for the Waterfront Revitalization Initiative to reflect the following gross/net cash flows: \$44.310 million in 2007, \$51.131 million in 2008, \$30.354 million in 2009, \$26.016 million in 2010, and \$274.279 million for the period 2011 to 2015;
- (2) forward this report to the Budget Advisory Committee for their information; and
- (3) authorize and direct appropriate City Officials to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

11. Tri-Government Commitments to the Waterfront Revitalization Initiative

Report 6, Clause 61(c)

The Policy and Finance Committee received the report (June 27, 2006) from Fareed Amin, Deputy City Manager.

Report (June 27, 2006) from Fareed Amin, Deputy City Manager, responding to Council's request for information on contributions and commitments to the Waterfront Revitalization Initiative by the City, Province, and Federal Government.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

12. Greater Toronto Transportation Authority – Recommendations for the City of Toronto's Representation on the Board of Directors

Report 6, Clause 61(d)

The Policy and Finance Committee postponed consideration of the report (June 30, 2006) from Deputy City Manager, Fareed Amin, until Bill 104 – *An Act to Establish the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority and to Repeal the Go Transit Act, 2001*, has been proclaimed and the Province of Ontario has requested nominations to the Board of Directors.

Report (June 30, 2006) from Fareed Amin, Deputy City Manager, recommending criteria for the City of Toronto's recommendations to the Minister of Transportation for

appointments of City of Toronto representatives to the Board of Directors of the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority (GTTA).

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council recommend to the Minister of Transportation that the City of Toronto's representation on the board of directors of the Greater Toronto Transportation Authority comprise:
 - (1) the Mayor or her/his designate;
 - (2) the Chair of the Toronto Transit Commission;
 - (3) the Chair of Planning and Transportation Committee; and
 - (4) a person who has:
 - (a) experience in the transit and transportation field;
 - (b) an understanding of infrastructure financing; and
 - (c) knowledge of growth management and regional planning;
- (2) Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin report to the September 2006 Policy and Finance Committee with a recommendation for a person, who meets the criteria set out in recommendation (1) above to be appointed to the GTTA Board of Directors; and
- (3) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

13. Bill 43 – The Clean Water Act

Report 6, Clause 10

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 4, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water, the Medical Officer of Health, Toronto Public Health and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning.

Report (July 4, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water, the Medical Officer of Health, Toronto Public Health and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, providing information regarding *Bill 43- The Clean Water Act, 2005*; and recommending that the City make a submission to the Standing Committee of the Ontario Legislature with respect to the Act and Provincial initiatives to protect water quality in the Great Lakes; Toronto's source of drinking water.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the General Manager, Toronto Water, in consultation with the Medical Officer of Health and the Chief Planner and in conjunction with the Great Lakes and St. Lawrence Cities Initiative, prepare a submission to the Standing Committee of the Ontario Legislature that considers Bill 43- The Clean Water Act, 2005 to address the issues and concerns raised in this report and request an opportunity for representatives of the City to appear before the Standing Committee; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

14. Union Station Revitalization – Termination of Master Agreement with the Union Pearson Group and Next Steps (Ward 28 – Toronto Centre – Rosedale)

Report 6, Clause 11

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that:

- (1) **City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 4, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer; and**
- (2) **the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer be requested to report to the Policy and Finance Committee, as soon as possible, on a strategy for the revitalization of Union Station, including recommendations with respect to a new governance structure for the station.**

Report (July 4, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer updating City Council on the termination of the transaction with The Union Pearson Group, address interim management issues for Union Station, confirms the City's principles and objectives for revitalization and identifies options for the future.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer return UPG's proposal deposit cheque and reimburse UPG for the costs of the Pedestrian Study at a cost of \$20,000 to be funded from the 2006 City Planning Approved Capital Budget, Union Station Design Study Sub-project (CUR905-1) and the Historic Structures Report at a cost of \$148,375, to be funded by reallocating funds within the 2006 Approved Capital Budget for Union Station, Pedestrian Bridge Sub-project CCA908-10;
- (2) the existing Building Management Agreement with Toronto Terminals Railway Company Limited (TTR) be renegotiated by the Director, Real Estate Services to ensure TTR has all necessary operating authorities to deal with security and other regulatory issues together with any potential operating cost savings, in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
- (3) until a new revitalization strategy for Union Station is implemented, the monetary limit of \$500,000 on the City Manager's delegated authority to approve leases or licenses be removed for retail units (including parking spaces) at Union Station provided the space is less than 1,000 square feet; the term (including renewal options) does not exceed 10 years; the rent is at market rates; and the City retains the ability to terminate the agreements on short notice i.e. up to 6 months;
- (4) Fournier, Gersovitz and Moss, Architects be retained to complete a building condition assessment and cost estimate including restoration of the heritage elements of Union Station at a cost not to exceed \$300,000 to be funded by reallocating funds within the 2006 Approved Capital Budget for Union Station, Pedestrian Bridge Sub-project (CCA908-10);
- (5) City Council re-confirm its principles and objectives for the revitalization of Union Station as stated in Appendix A to this report;
- (6) the City Manager convene an interdivisional staff team, utilizing expert consultants as may be required to review models for the restoration and continued operation of Union Station, in consultation with the station's stakeholders, based on the principles and objectives confirmed in Appendix A and assuming City control of the revitalization or a hybrid model involving both public and private investment and/or operation, discussed as Options 4, 5 and 6 in the body of this report, including a business case analysis, and provide City

Council with a strategy for the revitalization of Union Station for the new term of Council;

- (7) the funding for consulting costs that may be required to review models for restoration and continued operation for Union Station be made available from Union Station Cost Centre FA 1948, funded from Union Station operating revenues;
- (8) the City's 2006 Budget be adjusted in accordance with the recommendations above; and
- (9) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**14(a). Union Station Revitalization – Termination of Master Agreement with the Union Pearson Group – Legal Implications
(Ward 28 – Toronto Centre – Rosedale)**

(In-Camera – Receiving advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege)

Confidential report (June 30, 2006) from the City Solicitor respecting Union Station Revitalization – Termination of Master Agreement with the Union Pearson Group – Legal Implications, such report to be considered in-camera as the subject matter relates to the receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege.

**15. Establishment of the King-Parliament Community Improvement Plan Reserve Fund Group
(Ward 28 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)**

Report 6, Clause 12

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 21, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division.

Report (June 21, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division, to establish a reserve fund group and associated funds within the Planning Act Reserve Fund for the purpose of receiving and holding funds to support implementation of the King-Parliament Community Improvement Plan. The purpose of this report is also to amend the City Planning Division Operating Budget in order to implement a component of the King-Parliament Community Improvement Plan.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council establish a reserve fund group within the Planning Act Reserve Fund, called the “King-Parliament Community Improvement Plan Reserve Fund Group”, to accumulate funds acquired through development in the King-Parliament Community Improvement Project Area, to support implementation of the King-Parliament Community Improvement Plan;
- (2) City Council establish funds within the King-Parliament Community Improvement Plan Reserve Fund Group for agreements respecting 247 Richmond Street East and 226 King Street East entitled the '247 Richmond Street Reserve Fund' and the '226 King Street Reserve Fund' with similar purposes and criteria as the Group itself as per Attachments 3 and 4 and authority be delegated to the Deputy City Manager/Chief Financial Officer to establish such other reserve funds with purposes and criteria similar to the Group which from time to time are required to hold funds resulting from other Section 37 and Section 45 agreements under the Planning Act within the King-Parliament Community Improvement Project Area;
- (3) Municipal Code Chapter 227 (Reserves and Reserve Funds) be amended by adding the King-Parliament Community Improvement Plan Reserve Fund Group and its respective reserve funds - 247 Richmond and 226 King and other funds created by delegated authority in Recommendation 2 - to Schedule 10 – Planning Act Reserve Fund;
- (4) \$200,000 be transferred from Accounts Payable and Held as Cash-in-Lieu to the 245 Richmond Street East Reserve Fund and \$95,000 from the Deferred Revenue Account to the 226 King Street East Reserve Fund;
- (5) the 2006 City Planning Division Operating Budget be amended by increasing the gross by \$20,000 recovered from the 226 King Street East Reserve Fund resulting in a net of \$0 - for the purchase of plaques and markers from Heritage Toronto for the King-Parliament area; and
- (6) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, and that leave be granted for the introduction of any necessary bills in Council to give effect thereto.

**16. Improvement to the Cumberland Subway Entrance to the Bay Street Station
(Ward 27 – Rosedale)**

Report 6, Clause 13

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 4, 2006) from the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer.

Report (July 4, 2006) from the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, respecting the transfer of \$1,000,000 in funds secured by a Section 37 agreement with respect to 86, 96 and 100 Bloor Street West (University Theatre) from a deferred revenue account to a reserve fund to be established within the Planning Act Reserve Fund; and further, seeking authority to amend the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) 2006 Capital Budget by \$400,000 (gross) \$0 (net) funded from this new account to improve the Cumberland Street subway entrance to the Bay Subway station within the Village of Yorkville Park.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Council establish a reserve fund within the Planning Act Reserve Fund called the University Theatre Reserve Fund to hold funds secured by the Section #37 agreement for 86-100 Bloor Street West to be used to partially fund the Bloor Street Transformation Project, improve a TTC entrance in the area, fund a transportation study and provide community services and facilities in the nature of local area improvements;
- (2) Municipal Code Chapter 227 (Administration of Reserves and Reserve Funds) be amended by adding the "University Theatre Reserve Fund" to Schedule '10' – Planning Act Reserve Fund as per Appendix A;
- (3) the Toronto Transit Commission's 2006 Capital Budget be amended by increasing Account Building and Structures – Station Improvements (CTT110) by \$400,000 gross \$0 net funded from the new reserve account created in Recommendation #1 to improve the Cumberland Street subway entrance to the Bay Subway station within the Village of Yorkville Park; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto, and that leave be granted for the introduction of any necessary bills in Council to give effect thereto.

**17. George Bell Arena Request for Cash Flow Advance
(Ward 11 York South-Weston)**

(In camera – Litigation or potential litigation matters)

Report 6, Clause 14

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the confidential report (July 10, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, entitled “George Bell Arena Request for Cash Flow Advance”, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera as the subject relates to litigation or potential litigation matters.

Action taken by the Committee

The Policy and Finance Committee received the communication (July 4, 2006) from the City Clerk.

Confidential report (July 10, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer respecting the George Bell Arena Request for Cash Flow Advance, such report to be considered in-camera as the subject relates to litigation or potential litigation matters.

17(a). Request for Cash Flow Advance - George Bell Arena

Communication (July 4, 2006) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on June 27, 28 and 29, 2006, referred the following Motion to the Policy and Finance Committee:

Moved by Councillor Nunziata, seconded by Councillor Palacio

“WHEREAS the George Bell Arena Board of Management has encountered unforeseen human resources issues while carrying out its responsibility for the management and operation of the Arena; and

WHEREAS the George Bell Arena Board of Management has reviewed its 2006 budget of \$445,100.00 gross and \$20,200.00 net, as well as its current cash flow, and is forecasting the need for additional cash flow in the coming months to meet its commitments; and

WHEREAS the George Bell Arena Board of Management is requesting additional cash flow to address this human resource issue, to prevent any disruption in the delivery of indoor ice recreational activities;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council approve an additional cash flow advance from the Employee Benefits Reserve Fund of up to \$35,000.00 for the George Bell Arena for the 2006 operating year."

**18. Core Servicing Agreement for Tapscott Employment District
(Wards 41 and 42 – Scarborough-Rouge River)**

Report 6, Clause 15

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that:

- (1) City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 4, 2006) from the Executive Director, Technical Services; and**
- (2) the Executive Director, Technical Services, be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on:**
 - (i) the feasibility of using the Core Servicing Agreement as provided to the Tapscott Employment District, as a generic model for extensive re-development projects involving multiple owners;**
 - (ii) the applicability of this model for large re-development projects involving multiple owners; and**
 - (iii) involving significant infrastructure re-construction.**

Report (July 4, 2006) from the Executive Director, Technical Services seeking Council authority to enter into a financially secured Core Servicing Agreement to implement core infrastructure within the Tapscott Employment District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the City enter into a financially secured Core Servicing Agreement with the Tapscott Landowners Group to implement core infrastructure in accordance with the approved Functional Environmental Servicing Report (FESP) and engineering drawings; and**
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.**

**19. Development Charge Credits for Tapscott Employment District
(Wards 41 and 42 – Scarborough-Rouge River)**

Report 6, Clause 16

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that:

- (1) **City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 4, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer; and**
- (2) **the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on:**
 - (i) **the feasibility of using the development charge credits, as provided to the Tapscott Landowners Group, as a generic model for extensive re-development projects involving multiple owners;**
 - (ii) **the applicability of this model for large re-development projects involving multiple owners; and**
 - (iii) **involving significant infrastructure re-construction.**

Report (July 4, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer seeking Council authority to provide development charge credits for the provision of eligible roads, sanitary sewer and stormwater management services within the Tapscott Employment District.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) development charge credits be provided for the construction of eligible roads, sanitary sewer and stormwater management works within the Tapscott Employment District in an amount not to exceed the respective service components of the development charge payable;
- (2) development charge credits be provided by way of payments to the Trustee for the Tapscott Landowners Group for distribution to the respective participating landowners, provided that an agreement, to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor, is entered into to indemnify the City from any potential liability with respect to such payment;
- (3) payments of the development charge credits to the Trustee for the Tapscott Landowners Group be funded from the relevant service components of the development charges reserve funds; and

- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take necessary action to give effect thereto.

20. City Electricity Purchase Strategy – Green Power

Report 6, Clause 61(e)

The Policy and Finance Committee:

- (1) received the report (June 29, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer; and
- (2) requested the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on:
 - (i) all demand site initiatives currently being undertaken; and
 - (ii) initiatives that would reduce consumption of expensive electricity.

Report (June 29, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer reporting back to the Policy and Finance Committee as directed by Council on the potential for including a purchase of green power in the City's electricity purchase strategy.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

**21. Heritage Property Tax Rebate Program
2006-2007 Program Implementation and Expansion
(All Wards)**

(Public Notice)

Report 6, Clause 17

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations of the report (July 5, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division.

Councillor Shiner declared his interest in this matter in that members of his family own heritage property.

The Policy and Finance Committee held a public meeting on July 18, 2006, in accordance with the *Municipal Act, 2001*, and notice of the proposed amendments to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 103, was posted on the City's web site for a minimum of 5 days.

Report (July 5, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning, outlining the procedure for implementation of the Heritage Property Tax Rebate Program in 2006, and detailing the financial implications, proposed eligibility criteria and application procedures for the expansion of the Program in 2007.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Council reaffirm its intention to implement a Heritage Property Tax Rebate Program for the 2007 taxation year (subject to approval of the 2007 Operating Budget), pursuant to section 365.2 of the *Municipal Act* (to be later implemented under section 334 of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*), to provide tax relief in respect of eligible heritage properties as defined in the *Act* and as further defined by by-law in accordance with the following program elements:
 - (a) a rebate percentage of 40 percent of taxes payable, net of any other applicable tax rebates, and a maximum annual limit of \$500,000 on the amount of tax rebates payable to individual properties under this Program;
 - (b) the Heritage Property Tax Rebate Program for 2007 be limited to all properties for which the City of Toronto holds a Heritage Easement Agreement, or for which Council has granted approval for execution of a Heritage Easement Agreement, on or before September 30, 2006, which agreement shall be subsequently executed and registered on title; and

- (c) all National Historic Sites be exempt from the September 30, 2006 deadline of Recommendation (1)(b);
- (2) total program costs not to exceed \$4.6 million be included within the 2007 Operating Budget Estimates to cover the anticipated costs of the program and be referred to Budget Advisory Committee for consideration during the 2007 Operating Budget process;
- (3)
 - (a) Council approve application fees for the Heritage Property Tax Rebate Program in the amounts set out in Appendix A (the "Fees"), which Fees will be payable by first-time applicants under the Program;
 - (b) Council approve the waiver of the Fees for the following two properties, known municipally as 89 St. George Street and 106 King Street East;
 - (c) City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 441, Fees, be amended by adding the Fees.
- (4) authority be provided for the introduction of the necessary bills to give effect to the recommendations above; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

22. Detailed Year-Over-Year Comparisons on Final Property Tax Bills

Report 6, Clause 61(f)

The Policy and Finance Committee referred the report (July 5, 2006) from the Treasurer and the communication (July 4, 2006) from the City Clerk, to the City Manager's Office for consideration as part of the Intergovernmental Affairs agenda.

Report (July 5, 2006) from the Treasurer reporting on the feasibility of providing detailed year-over-year comparisons on final property tax bills, beginning in 2007, in response to a Motion referred to the Policy and Finance Committee by Council at its held on June 27, 28 and 29, 2006.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that City Council request that the Province alter the legislation and/or associated regulations in order to provide the City of Toronto with authority to determine the design and content of its property tax bills.

22(a). Communication (July 4, 2006) from the City Clerk advising that City Council on June 27, 28 and 29, 2006, referred the following Motion to the Policy and Finance Committee:

Moved by Councillor Pitfield, seconded by Councillor Ootes

“WHEREAS final property tax bills were mailed to ratepayers across the City of Toronto during the last week of May 2006; and

WHEREAS City Council approved a 3 percent property tax increase to residents and a 1 percent increase to businesses through the 2006 Operating Budget; and

WHEREAS 2006 property taxes are based on a new re-assessment conducted by the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC); and

WHEREAS MPAC does not provide tax impact information but only the actual assessment increase or decrease, and a comparison to the City average; and

WHEREAS ratepayers pay both a municipal levy and a provincial education levy; and

WHEREAS ratepayers are confused by the lack of detail on their assessment notices and property tax bills; and

WHEREAS ratepayers deserve to know what portion they pay to the City and what portion they pay to the Province; and

WHEREAS ratepayers deserve to know exactly how much, in dollars and as a percentage, their property tax has increased or decreased;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT City Council request the Treasurer to include, beginning in 2007, year-over-year comparisons on a final property tax bill, both in dollars and as a percentage, for the following categories:

- (a) municipal taxes levied;
- (b) provincial education taxes levied;
- (c) municipal taxes related only to assessment;
- (d) provincial education taxes related only to assessment;
- (e) municipal taxes related only to tax rate changes; and
- (f) education taxes related only to tax rate changes;

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

23. 2006 Heads and Beds Levy on Institutions under Section 323 of the *Municipal Act, 2001*

Report 6, Clause 18

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that:

- (1) **City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 29, 2006) from the Treasurer; and**
- (2) **the Minister of Finance be approached with a request to amend the regulations establishing the Heads and Beds levy to provide for an annual inflationary adjustment in these levies.**

Report (June 29, 2006) from the Treasurer seeking Council's authority for the adoption of by-laws for the levying and collection of taxes for the 2006 taxation year for public hospitals, universities and colleges, and correctional facilities (the "Institutions").

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council authorize the levy and collection of taxes for the 2006 taxation year on hospitals, colleges and universities and correctional facilities as authorized by Section 323 of the *Municipal Act, 2001*;
- (2) the maximum prescribed amount of \$75 be applied per provincially rated hospital bed, full time student, or resident place; and,
- (3) authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary bills in Council to levy taxes for the year 2006 on hospitals, colleges and universities and correctional facilities.

24. 2006 Levy on Railway Roadways and Rights of Way and on Power Utility Transmission and Distribution Corridors

Report 6, Clause 19

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that:

- (1) **City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 29, 2006) from the Treasurer;**
- (2) **the Minister of Finance be approached with a request that:**

- (i) **Regulation 387/98 and 392/98 be amended to require an annual inflationary adjustment in the “mature rate”; and**
- (ii) **the “mature rate” prescribed by Regulation so as to phase in rate changes that more properly reflect the market value of the land over a period of time, be reviewed.**

Report (June 29, 2006) from the Treasurer requesting Council authority for the introduction of the by-law necessary to levy and collect taxes for the 2006 taxation year on railway roadways and rights of ways and on land used as a transmission or distribution corridor and owned by certain power utilities.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Council authorize the levy and collection of taxes for the 2006 taxation year on railway roadways and rights of ways and on land used as transmission or distribution corridors and owned by certain power utilities, in accordance with subsection 315 (1) of the *Municipal Act, 2001* and subsection 257.6(1) of the *Education Act*;
- (2) authority be granted for the introduction of the necessary bill in Council to levy and collect taxes for 2006 on such railway roadways and rights of way and on transmission and distribution corridors; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**25. Payments in Lieu of Property Taxes – Federal and Provincial Properties
(All Wards)**

Report 6, Clause 20

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that:

- (1) City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 4, 2006) from the Treasurer;**
- (2) the Treasurer be requested to submit a report to the September 5, 2006 meeting of the Planning and Transportation Committee on services provided by all City Departments, Agencies and Boards to Ontario Place, through City-owned property; and**
- (3) a copy of this report be forwarded to all members of the Federation of Canadian Municipalities Payments in Lieu of Taxes Committee.**

Report (July 4, 2006) from the Treasurer reporting on the payments in lieu (PIL) of taxes billed and payments received on federal and provincial properties within the City of Toronto.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the federal Minister of Public Works and Government Services Canada, and the provincial Minister of Municipal Affairs & Housing and Minister of Finance be requested to respect the spirit of the federal *Payment in Lieu of Taxes Act*, and the provincial *Municipal Tax Assistance Act*, and to adopt policies to ensure that amounts remitted for payments in lieu (PIL) of taxes are equivalent to the amount billed by the municipality [i.e. based on the Current Value Assessment (CVA) established by the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC), and the applicable local tax rates], and to make PIL payments accordingly;**
- (2) the Mayor be directed to write to the Premier of Ontario advising him of the current situation with respect to the outstanding provincial payments in lieu of taxes for Ontario Place, and urge that the Government of Ontario intervene by paying the outstanding PIL amounts pending the outcome of the Assessment Review Board proceedings and advise the Ontario Place Board of Management that it is obliged to pay payment in lieu of tax amounts consistent with the spirit of the *Municipal Tax Assistance Act*;**
- (3) City staff (from the City Solicitor's office and the Treasurer's office), using outside expertise if necessary, be authorized to participate in the Assessment Review Board proceedings concerning Ontario Place so that the City's interests can be protected; and,**

- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**26. Write-off of Uncollectible Payment in Lieu (PIL) of Tax Amounts on Federal, Provincial and Municipal Properties
(All Wards)**

Report 6, Clause 21

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 7, 2006) from the Treasurer.

Report (July 7, 2006) from the Treasurer seeking authority to write-off uncollectible payments in lieu of taxes for federal, provincial and municipal properties for taxation years prior to 1998.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) Council deem \$12.7 million in payment in lieu of tax amounts (for taxation years 1994 to 1997 inclusive) on federal, provincial and municipal properties as identified in Tables 1(a), 1(b) and 1(c) of Appendix 1 to this report as uncollectible, and as such, approve that these amounts be written-off; and,
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**27. Master Shared Use Agreement with the Toronto District School Board
(All Wards)**

Report 6, Clause 22

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 4, 2006) from the General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation, subject to adding the following two new recommendations (5) and (6) and renumbering the remaining recommendation accordingly:

- “(5) that this agreement be amended on a reciprocal basis to permit Members of Council to reserve school facilities for community meetings and Trustees to reserve City facilities for community meetings on a no charge basis; and
- “(6) that the General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on arrangements made with the Toronto District Catholic School Board.”

so that the recommendations now read as follows:

- “(1) the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation be authorized to execute a Master Shared Use Lease Agreement with the TDSB for the exclusive use of space within TDSB facilities or the sharing of mechanical plant costs with TDSB facilities, in accordance with terms acceptable to the City Solicitor;
- “(2) the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation be authorized to pay the TDSB \$623,915.00 for 2004 and \$1,298,915.00 for 2005;
- “(3) the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation be authorized to pay the TDSB \$1,348,083.00 for 2006;
- “(4) the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee with the TDSB fees for the years 2007 and 2008 and forward any additional funding requirements to Budget Advisory Committee for consideration during the 2007 Operating Budget process; and
- “(5) that this agreement be amended on a reciprocal basis to permit Members of Council to reserve school facilities for community meetings and Trustees to reserve City facilities for community meetings on a no charge basis;
- “(6) that the General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on arrangements made with the Toronto District Catholic School Board; and
- “(7) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

Report (July 4, 2006) from the General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation seeking authority to enter into and finalize a Master Shared Use Agreement with the Toronto District School Board for the exclusive use of space in various TDSB facilities, excluding pools.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation be authorized to execute a Master Shared Use Lease Agreement with the TDSB for the exclusive use of space within TDSB facilities or the sharing of mechanical plant costs with TDSB facilities, in accordance with terms acceptable to the City Solicitor;
- (2) the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation be authorized to pay the TDSB \$623,915.00 for 2004 and \$1,298,915.00 for 2005;
- (3) the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation be authorized to pay the TDSB \$1,348,083.00 for 2006;
- (4) the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation report to the Economic Development and Parks Committee with the TDSB fees for the years 2007 and 2008 and forward any additional funding requirements to Budget Advisory Committee for consideration during the 2007 Operating Budget process; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**28. Hosting the 2007 Walk21 International Conference in Toronto
(All Wards)**

Report 6, Clause 23

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 30, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services.

Report (June 30, 2006) from the General Manager, Transportation Services, seeking Council authority to host, in partnership with Green Communities Canada, the 2007 Walk21 International Conference in Toronto and to approve the City of Toronto's financial contribution to this event.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council approve hosting the 2007 Walk21 International Conference in Toronto;
- (2) the total financial contribution from the City of Toronto to organize and host the 2007 Walk21 International Conference not exceed \$107,000.00 CDN, to be funded from the Corporate Fund for Hosting Conferences, subject to the 2007 budget approval process;
- (3) the General Manager, Transportation Services be authorized to consult with other levels of government and the private sector to seek funding support for the 2007 Walk21 International Conference; and
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**29. New Council and Committee Meeting Procedures
(All Wards)**

(Public Notice)

Report 6, Clause 24

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 4, 2006) from the City Clerk, subject to the following amendments to the recommendations contained in Attachment 1, entitled “Recommended Changes to Current Meeting Procedures”:

- (i) amending Recommendation (1) by adding the words “through the Striking Committee” after the words “City Clerk”, so that Recommendation (1) now reads as follows:

“(1) The City Clerk, through the Striking Committee, report to the First Meeting of the new term of Council, and as required, with a proposed schedule of Council and committee meeting dates and times, with Council meeting no fewer than 10 times per year for a minimum of 20 meeting days.”;
- (ii) amending Recommendation (6) by adding the words “unless the item is already on a given committee’s agenda”, so that Recommendation (6) now reads as follows:

“(6) Any committee recommendations or Council decisions (e.g., referrals) directed for another committee or Council meeting, must be received before

the agenda closing for the committee or Council or they will be placed on the next agenda for that body, unless the item is already on a given committee's agenda.”

- (iii) amending Recommendation (10) by deleting the figure “30” and inserting instead the figure “15”, so that Recommendation (10) now reads as follows:

“(10). If quorum is not present at the start of a meeting or within 15 minutes of the scheduled start time, quorum may be reached after the next scheduled break of the meeting (e.g., after a lunch break) in order for the meeting to start or resume.”;

- (iv) deleting Recommendation (12) and replacing it with the following:

“(12) Motions of Condolence at Council meetings will be printed in the Orders of the Day. The Speaker may refer to the motions prior to the moment of reflection.”

- (v) amending Recommendation (21) to read as follows:

“(21) Member holds expire at the end of every meeting. Items carried forward as unfinished business or at reconvened meetings are considered open unless held again by a Member.”

- (vi) amending Recommendation (28) to read as follows:

“(28) The Chair will order the motions in pre-determined order according to established practice (e.g., refer, postpone, receive, etc.) in consultation with the City Clerk.”

- (vii) amending Recommendation (50) to read as follows:

“(50) Committees will function with rules as close as possible to a Council meeting, however, the Chair may participate and vote from the seat of the Chair.”

- (viii) amending Recommendation (71)(d) by deleting the words “/or Toronto Police Service” so that Recommendation (71)(d) now reads as follows:

“(71)(d) If the Member does not leave the meeting, the Chair may request the Sergeant-At-Arms to remove the Member if he/she does not leave voluntarily.

- (ix) amending Recommendation (72)(h) by deleting the words “and not speak against it” so that Recommendation (72)(h) now reads as follows:

“(72)(h) respect and abide by the decision of Council;”

(x) amending Recommendation (84) to read as follows:

“(84) When Council meets in private, it will follow Committee of the Whole rules, which will function with rules as close as possible to a Council meeting, however the Chair may participate and vote from the seat of the Chair.”; and

(xi) amending Recommendation (85) so as to permit the referral of a minor portion of the item that is before Council.

The Policy and Finance Committee held a public meeting on July 18, 2006, in accordance with the *Municipal Act, 2001*, and notice of the proposed amendments to the Toronto Municipal Code, Chapter 27, was posted on the City's web site for a minimum of 5 days.

Report (July 4, 2006) from the City Clerk recommending a new set of Council meeting procedures that will enable better decision-making and matches the City's emergence as an accountable and responsible order of government with new legislative powers and governance structure.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) new meeting procedures respect commonly-accepted and fundamental parliamentary principles for decision-making;
- (2) Council adopt the new meeting rules set out in Attachment 1 to this report as the basis for corresponding changes to existing rules to take effect for the new term of Council starting December 1, 2006;
- (3) Council:
 - (a) adopt a new procedures by-law, based on parliamentary principles, giving effect to the new meeting rules and corresponding changes in Recommendation (2), and which will be written in a clear language format, and
 - (b) grant authority to the City Solicitor to submit any Bills required to enact the new procedures by-law, generally in accordance with the report recommendations, subject to any necessary refinements, including stylistic, format and organization, as may be identified by the City Solicitor and City Clerk;
- (4) the City Clerk organize information sessions for all Members and senior staff on the new procedures as part of the new Council term orientation;

- (5) the various Council and committee referrals to the Council Procedures Working Group, as listed in Attachment 2, and the respective comments be received for information;
- (6) Council establish in its new term a Special Committee on procedures, to address implementation issues resulting from the meeting procedures, that:
 - (a) is composed of the Mayor (or his/her designate) as Chair, the Speaker, Deputy Speaker, and two additional members recommended by the Striking Committee,
 - (b) reports through the Executive Committee,
- and the City Clerk be requested to prepare terms of reference for the committee and report to the Executive Committee in early 2007;
- (7) the City Clerk consult with Members of Council, the City Manager and senior staff and report back to the Special Committee referenced in recommendation (6) in the first quarter of 2008 with an evaluation of the new meeting procedures, including any issues arising from their implementation and any recommended changes; and
- (8) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect to these recommendations.

30. Civic Engagement – Barrier Free Participation

Report 6, Clause 25

(Speaker)

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation contained in the communication (July 4, 2006) from Councillor Joe Mihevc.

Communication (July 4, 2006) from Councillor Joe Mihevc advising that members of the Disability Issues Committee met on June 22, 2006 to discuss the report (June 8, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer on Accommodating the Communication Needs of People with Disabilities.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that the Chief Corporate Officer continue to implement staff awareness programs on facilitating effective communications at public meetings; and request appropriate staff to develop:

- (1) a clear corporate policy on accommodating the communication needs and physical access needs of people with disabilities who participate in public meetings hosted by the City of Toronto; the policy should incorporate who is responsible and accountable for the provision of accommodation, as well as, funding for the accommodation; and,
- (2) the set of procedures, resources and tools for providing accessible meetings with a strategic communication plan for City staff and members of the public, including the establishment of a Disability Issues Office.

31. Request for Waiver of Rental Fees for Lamport Stadium for the *King and Queen Extravaganza* and *Pan Alive*

Report 6, Clause 26

The Policy and Finance Committee requested the City Manager to submit a report directly to Council, for its meeting scheduled to be held on July 25, 2006, on any precedent that the waiver of rental fees for Lamport Stadium for the King and Queen Extravaganza and Pan Alive may set.

Communication (July 4, 2006) from Councillor Joe Mihevc, Council Liaison to Toronto Caribbean Carnival (Caribana), advising that City Council awarded its Carnival Festival grant for 2006 to the Toronto Mas Band Association (TMBA); that a condition of that grant was that the TMBA create a Festival Management Committee (FMC) chaired by Joe Halstead, former Commissioner of the City's Economic Development, Culture and Tourism Department, as a neutral arms-length body to provide overall governance and financial oversight for the 2006 Festival; advising that due to the heavy emphasis on creating a workable structural framework that could satisfy the interests of all stakeholders and the fact that the final approval on the grant and the management structure was only a month ago, the FMC has been severely challenged to secure the required level of sponsorship to cover essential costs; and recommending that the City provide further support in these extraordinary times and help the organizers realize their zero deficit budget commitment by waiving the \$7,500 rental fee each for the *King and Queen Extravaganza* (on Thursday, August 3, 2006) and *Pan Alive* (on Friday August 4, 2006) at Lampton Stadium, a City-run facility, as these two events are critical lead-in events to the Carnival Parade of bands on Saturday, August 5, 2006 and relief of this sort will be an enormous aid to the 2006 Toronto Caribbean Carnival (Caribana).

**32. Toronto Police Service – Parking Enforcement Unit
2005 Year-End Capital Budget Variance Report**

Report 6, Clause 61(g)

The Policy and Finance Committee received the report (June 7, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board.

Report (June 7, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board, advising the Policy and Finance Committee of the results of the 2005 year-end capital budget variance report for the Toronto Police Service – Parking Enforcement Unit.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Policy and Finance Committee receive this report.

33. 2006 Operating Variance Reports Ending March 31, 2006 for the Toronto Police Services Board, Toronto Police Service and Toronto Police Service – Parking Enforcement Unit

Report 6, Clause 61(h)

The Policy and Finance Committee received the report (June 13, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board.

Report (June 13, 2006) from the Chair, Toronto Police Services Board, advising the Policy and Finance Committee of the results of the 2006 operating variance reports ending March 31, 2006 for the Toronto Police Services Board, Toronto Police Service and the Toronto Police Service –Parking Enforcement Unit.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Policy and Finance Committee receive this report.

34. Former CN Leaside Spur Line Lands Acquired by the City of Toronto

Report 6, Clause 61(i)

The Policy and Finance Committee received the report (June 6, 2006) from the Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission.

Communication (June 6, 2006) from the Chief General Manager, Toronto Transit Commission, responding to a request from the Policy and Finance Committee on October 20 and 21, 2005 that “(2) the Toronto Transit Commission be requested to submit a report to the Policy and Finance Committee on the feasibility of possible future use for this corridor for transportation purposes;” and advising that the TTC’s plans for improved transit services in the Don Valley Corridor and in the vicinity of the Leaside Spur in particular, are to provide higher-order transit services along Don Mills Road with a to-be-determined connection to the Bloor-Danforth Subway at Castle Frank, Broadview or Pape Stations; that this concept was one of the key recommendations of the Don Valley Corridor Transportation Master Plan completed in 2005, and the City Planning is now undertaking a more detailed Environmental Assessment of the proposed service.

**35. Brimley – St. Clair Subdivision Parkland Acquisition
(Ward 36 – Scarborough Southwest)**

(In-camera - Proposed or pending acquisition of land for municipal or local board purposes)

Report 6, Clause 27

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Administration Committee contained in the communication (July 5, 2006) from the Administration Committee respecting Brimley – St. Clair Subdivision Parkland Acquisition, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further, that, in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera as the subject matter relates to the proposed or pending acquisition of land for municipal or local board purposes.

Communication (July 5, 2006) from the Administration Committee advising that the Administration Committee on July 4, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that:

- (1) City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the confidential report (July 4, 2006) from the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation, which was forwarded to Members under confidential cover; and
- (2) because the matter relates to the proposed or pending acquisition of land for municipal or local board purposes under the *Municipal Act, 2001*, discussions about this report be held in camera.

**36. Acquisition of 207 New Toronto Street and Long-Term Lease of 200 Horner Avenue
(Ward 6 – Etobicoke-Lakeshore)**

Report 6, Clause 28

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Administration Committee contained in the communication (July 5, 2006) from the Administration Committee.

Communication (July 5, 2006) from the Administration Committee advising that the Administration Committee on July 4, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 21, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer respecting Acquisition of 207 New Toronto Street and Long Term Lease of 200 Horner Avenue:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) 2006 Capital Budget for Transportation Service be amended by the addition of a project “207 New Toronto Street Acquisition” for \$6,096,375.00 with funding provided from Land Acquisition Reserve Fund (XR1012);
- (2) authority be granted to enter into an agreement with 2052865 Ontario Limited (the “Lessee”) and 693316 Ontario Limited (the “Vendor”) for the Transaction whereby:
 - (i) the Vendor will convey to the City the property municipally known as 207 New Toronto Street, Toronto, shown as Part 2 on the site sketch attached hereto;
 - (ii) as partial consideration for the acquisition of 207 New Toronto, the City and the Lessee will enter into a forty (40) year lease agreement (the “Lease”), for the property municipally known as 200 Horner Avenue, Toronto, shown as Part 1 on the site sketch; and
 - (iii) the agreement will be substantially on the terms and conditions set out in Appendix “A” attached to this report, together with such other terms and conditions as may be deemed appropriate by the Chief Corporate Officer and in form approved by the City Solicitor;
- (3) authority be granted for the City to enter into the Lease, substantially on the lease terms and conditions set out in Appendix “A” attached to this report, together with such other terms and conditions as may be deemed appropriate by the Chief Corporate Officer and in form approved by the City Solicitor;
- (4) the Chief Corporate Officer shall administer and manage the Lease including the provision of any consents, approvals, waiver notices and notices of termination provided that the Chief Corporate Officer may, at any time, refer consideration of such matters (including their content) to City Council for its determination and direction;
- (5) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the Transaction on behalf of the City, including payment of any necessary expenses and amending the commencement date of the Lease or the closing date to such earlier or later dates and on such terms and conditions as she may from time to time consider reasonable;
- (6) any proceeds from sale or redevelopment of the snow dump portion of the Westwood Theatre Site be directed to the Land Acquisition Reserve Fund;
- (7) this report be forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration;
- (8) the City be authorized to enter into an indemnity agreement in favour of the Crown in respect of 200 Horner Avenue, substantially on the terms and conditions contained in the Ministry of Environment Operational Guide for Obtaining

Section 46 Approval for the Use of Lands Previously used for Disposal of Waste, or such other terms and conditions satisfactory to the Chief Corporate Officer and in form satisfactory to the City Solicitor; and the Chief Corporate Officer be directed to report to Administration Committee should unforeseen costs arise as a result of the indemnity agreement; and

- (9) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

**37. Acquisition of 126 Holcolm Road – Expansion of Edithvale Park
(Ward 23 – Willowdale)**

Report 6, Clause 29

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Administration Committee contained in the communication (July 5, 2006) from the Administration Committee.

Communication (July 5, 2006) from the Administration Committee advising that the Administration Committee on July 4, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 21, 2006) from the Chief Corporate Officer respecting acquisition of 126 Holcolm Road – Expansion of Edithvale Park:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the Offer to Sell from Harry Joseph Gural & Electra Gural to the City, for the property municipally known as 126 Holcolm Road, in the amount of \$514,500.00 (plus land transfer tax of \$6,765.00) be accepted substantially on the terms outlined in Appendix “A” to this report, and that each of the Chief Corporate Officer and the Director of Real Estate Services be authorized severally to accept the Offer on behalf of the City;
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction on behalf of the City including making payment of any necessary expenses and amending the closing date and other dates to such earlier or later date(s) and on such terms and conditions as she may from time to time consider reasonable;
- (3) the Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2006 Capital Budget be amended to increase the Land Acquisition Project accordingly by \$522,000.00, which will be funded from Deferred Revenue Account Number 216031;
- (4) the report be referred to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration; and
- (5) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

38. Approval of the Toronto Child Care Service Plan Update;**Report 6, Clause 30**

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Community Services Committee contained in the communication (July 5, 2006) from the Community Services Committee.

Councillor Moscoe declared his interest in this matter in that his daughter is employed by Children's Services as an Early Childhood Educator on a casual on-call basis.

Communication (July 5, 2006) from the Community Services Committee advising that the Community Services Committee on July 5, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council:

- (1) adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 20, 2006) from the General Manager, Children's Services respecting Approval of the Toronto Child care Service Plan Update; and
- (2) request the Mayor and the Council Lead for Children to seek a meeting with the provincial Minister of Children and Youth Services to discuss the Child Care Service Plan and the impact of reduced funding.

39. After School Recreation and Care Program (Various Wards)**Report 6, Clause 31**

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Community Services Committee contained in the communication (July 5, 2006) from the Community Services Committee.

Councillor Moscoe declared his interest in this matter in that his daughter is employed by Children's Services as an Early Childhood Educator on a casual on-call basis.

Communication (July 5, 2006) from the Community Services Committee advising that the Community Services Committee on July 5, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council:

- (1) adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 20, 2006) from the General Manager, Children's Services, and the General Manager, Parks, Forestry and Recreation respecting After School Recreation and Care Program;

- (2) request the General Managers of Children's Services and Parks, Forestry and Recreation to:
 - (i) establish an After School Recreation and Care Advisory Committee for each After School Recreation and Care (ARC) site to provide local feedback and support to local ARC programs, consisting of parents and community partners;
 - (ii) continue to explore, in consultation with the After School Recreation and Care Steering Committee, different fee and funding models in order to enhance the affordability and accessibility for families within the current budget;
 - (iii) explore options for including flexibility in the program to allow for part-time enrolment;
 - (iv) provide City Council with a status report on the ARC program in the first quarter of 2007; and
- (3) request the Province of Ontario to fund a portion of the After School Recreation and Care (ARC) Program and additional school-age fee subsidies.

39(a). Communication (July 6, 2006) from the Economic Development and Parks Committee advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on July 6, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt staff Recommendations (1) and (3) in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 20, 2006) from the General Manager of Children's Services and the General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation respecting After School Recreation and Care Program:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) Children’s Services’ 2006 Operating Budget be reduced by \$3.046 million gross and \$0 net, funded from the Social Assistance Stabilization Reserve Fund and that Parks, Forestry and Recreation’s 2006 Operating Budget be increased by \$0.9051 million gross and revenues to reflect the revised cash flow (Attachment 1) and the addition of one full time temporary management position and 47 full time equivalent part time temporary union positions; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

40. Update on Shelter Management Information System

(In camera – Litigation or potential litigation matters)

Report 6, Clause 32

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Community Services Committee contained in the communication (July 5, 2006) from the Community Services Committee respecting Update on Shelter Management Information System, which was forwarded to Members of Council under confidential cover; and further, that in accordance with the Municipal Act, discussions pertaining thereto be held in-camera as the subject relates to litigation or potential litigation matters.

Communication (July 5, 2006) from the Community Services Committee advising that the Community Services Committee on July 5, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the confidential report (June 20, 2006) from the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration; and that such communication be considered in-camera as the subject matter relates to litigation or potential litigation matter.

41. Social Housing in Toronto and Future Risks

Report 6, Clause 33

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Community Services Committee contained in the communication (July 5, 2006) from the Community Services Committee.

Communication (July 5, 2006) from the Community Services Committee advising that the Community Services Committee on July 5, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 20, 2006) from the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration, respecting Social Housing in Toronto and Future Risks:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) this report be forwarded to the Prime Minister of Canada, the Federal Minister of Finance, the President of the Treasury Board and the Minister Responsible for Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation with a request to provide sufficient funding to administer and maintain the social housing stock in the City of Toronto and that federal funding for social housing continue beyond the maturity of current social housing debentures and mortgages;
- (2) this report be forwarded to the Premier of Ontario, the Provincial Minister of Finance and the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing with a request that the Province provide long term funding for the rent supplement and housing

- allowance programs and work with the federal government to continue the federal funding beyond the maturity of current social housing debentures and mortgages;
- (3) the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration, be requested to submit to Council, through the Community Services Committee, early in the new term of Council an updated strategy to address the social housing risks identified in this report;
 - (4) the updated strategy as outlined in Recommendation (3) include feedback and proposed solutions based on consultations on this report with partners including the following: social housing providers including aboriginal social housing providers and the Toronto Community Housing Corporation, the Social Housing Services Corporation, the Ontario Non-Profit Housing Association and the Co-operative Housing Federation of Canada – Ontario Region and the Co-operative Housing Federation of Toronto;
 - (5) the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration, convene a senior staff interdivisional committee on social housing charged with identifying solutions to the social housing risks identified in this report and the results be included in the updated strategy as outlined in Recommendation (3) above;
 - (6) that this report be referred to the Policy and Finance Committee for its consideration; and
 - (7) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

42. Don Valley Brick Works – Site Remediation (Ward 29 Toronto-Danforth)

Report 6, Clause 35

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Economic Development and Parks Committee contained in the communication (July 6, 2006) from the Economic Development and Parks Committee.

Communication (July 6, 2006) from the Economic Development and Parks Committee advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on July 6, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff recommendations (1) and (3) in the Recommendations Section report (June 20, 2006) from the General Manager of Economic Development, Culture and Tourism respecting the Don Valley Brick Works – Site Remediation:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) authority be granted to re-allocate \$700,000.00 from the Guild Revitalization (CAC045-01) in the Culture 2006 Capital Budget to be used for site remediation at the Don Valley Brick Works; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

43. Adjustments to the 2006 Parks, Forestry and Recreation Division's Capital Budget (Various Wards)

Report 6, Clause 36

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Economic Development and Parks Committee contained in the communication (July 6, 2006) from the Economic Development and Parks Committee, subject to adding the following new Recommendation (1)(q):

“(1)(q) a new Ward 34 Park Improvements project be created with revenues and expenses of \$104,000 funded from a Subdivision Agreement and from a Section 37 Agreement, and, that conditional approval be given to receive the funds into this project;”.

Communication (July 6, 2006) from the Economic Development and Parks Committee advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on July 6, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt staff Recommendations (1) and (3) in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 16, 2006) from the General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation, respecting Adjustments to the 2006 Parks, Forestry and Recreation Division's - Capital Budget, subject to adding the following Recommendation (1)(p):

“(1)(p) a new Sheard Parkette Improvement project be created with revenues and expenses of \$70,000.00 funded through a developer's contribution and a Section 37 Agreement from the development of the lands known as 21 Carlton Street, and that approval be given to receive the funds into this project;”.

44. Howard Talbot Park – Allocation of Section 37 Funds - (Ward 26 Don Valley West)

Report 6, Clause 37

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Economic Development and Parks Committee contained in the communication (July 6, 2006) from the Economic Development and Parks Committee.

Communication (July 6, 2006) from the Economic Development and Parks Committee advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on July 6, 2006,

recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff Recommendations (1) and (3) in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 21, 2006) from the General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation respecting Howard Talbot Park – Allocation of Section 37 Funds:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the Parks, Forestry and Recreation 2006 Capital Budget be amended to include a net zero line item for improvements to Howard Talbot Park to receive the \$60,000.00 in Section 37 funds; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**45. Ward 32 Woodbine Beach Park Playground
(Ward 32 Beaches-East York)**

Report 6, Clause 38

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Economic Development and Parks Committee contained in the communication (July 6, 2006) from the Economic Development and Parks Committee.

Communication (July 6, 2006) from the Economic Development and Parks Committee advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on July 6, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt staff Recommendations (1) to (5) and (7) in the Recommendations Section of the report (May 30, 2006) from the General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer respecting Woodbine Beach Park Playground:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) City Council establish an obligatory reserve fund called “Ward 32 Woodbine Beach Park Playground Reserve Fund” for receiving cash donations for the purpose of providing funding for the planning, design and development of additional phases of the Ward 32 Woodbine Beach Park Playground and related amenities;
- (2) Municipal Code, Chapter 227 [Reserves and Reserve Funds] be amended by adding the “Ward 32 Woodbine Beach Park Playground Reserve Fund” to Schedule 9 – Donations Obligatory Reserve Fund;
- (3) all donations received by the City for the planning, design, redevelopment and enhancement of the Ward 32 Woodbine Beach Park Playground and related amenities, be held separately in the “Ward 32 Woodbine Beach Park Playground Reserve Fund” designated for this purpose, and receipts for income tax purposes

be issued to donors for eligible donations in accordance with the *Income Tax Act* and City policy in this regard;

- (4) \$25,000.00 previously deposited and recorded for the Ward 32 Woodbine Beach Park Playground be transferred to this reserve fund upon its establishment;
- (5) authority be granted to amend the agreement, if necessary, with TESSC Inc. to allow TESSC Inc. to fundraise on behalf of the City of Toronto for the planning, design and development of additional phases of the Ward 32 Woodbine Beach Park Playground and related amenities, generally in accordance with the terms and conditions detailed in the body of this report and in a form and content satisfactory to the General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation and the City Solicitor; and
- (7) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and that leave be granted for the introduction of any necessary bills in Council to give effect thereto.”

**46. 1400 Avenue Road – Otter Loop
(Ward 16 Eglinton-Lawrence)**

Report 6, Clause 39

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Economic Development and Parks Committee contained in the communication (July 6, 2006) from the Economic Development and Parks Committee.

Communication (July 6, 2006) from the Economic Development and Parks Committee advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on July 6, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt staff Recommendations (1) to (8) and (10) in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 9, 2006) from the General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, respecting 1400 Avenue Road – Otter Loop:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the Chief Corporate Officer be authorized to accept a transfer of the property known municipally as 1400 Avenue Road (Otter Loop) for parks purposes from the Toronto Transit Commission, for nominal consideration, on such terms and conditions satisfactory to the Chief Corporate Officer and the General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
- (2) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the transaction on behalf of the City, including making payment of any necessary expenses, and amending the closing and other dates to such earlier or later dates and on such terms as may from time to time be considered reasonable;

- (3) the Chief Corporate Officer be authorized to make any necessary arrangements with the Toronto Transit Commission for access to the property required for inspection, assessment and site preparation prior to the transfer;
- (4) any necessary environmental assessments be completed prior to the transfer and the costs, up to \$28,000.00, be funded from the Parkland Acquisition capital project (CPR115-36-03);
- (5) authority be granted to pay upfront any applicable taxes and registration costs related to the transfer of the property from the Parkland Acquisition capital project (CPR115-36-03); which amounts will be reimbursed from the Otter Loop Improvements Reserve Fund;
- (6) City Council establish an obligatory reserve fund called “Otter Loop Park Improvements Reserve Fund”, with criteria as described in the body of this report, for receiving donations for Otter Loop Park for the purpose of funding park improvements;
- (7) Municipal Code, Chapter 227 [Reserves and Reserve Funds] be amended by adding the “Otter Loop Park Improvements Reserve Fund” to Schedule 9-Donations Obligatory Reserve Fund;
- (8) all donations received by the City for the Otter Loop Park Improvements Reserve Fund, be held separately in the Otter Loop Park Improvements Reserve Fund designated for this purpose, and receipts for income tax purposes be issued to donors for eligible donations in accordance with the *Income Tax Act* and City policy in this regard; and
- (10) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto; and that leave be granted for the introduction of any necessary bills in Council to give effect thereto.”

**47. August 19, 2005 Storm Damage
(All Wards)**

Report 6, Clause 40

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the Recommendation of the Economic Development and Parks Committee contained in the communication (July 6, 2006) from the Economic Development and Parks Committee; and further, that a copy of this Clause be forwarded to the Budget Advisory Committee for consideration, as part of the pre-approval of the Capital Budget, at its meeting scheduled to be held in September, 2006.

Communication (July 6, 2006) from the Economic Development and Parks Committee advising that the Economic Development and Parks Committee on July 6, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt staff Recommendations (1), (2) and (4) in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 4, 2006) from the General Manager of Parks, Forestry and Recreation, respecting August 19, 2005 Storm Damage:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) funds in the amount of \$1,200,000.00 be reallocated within the approved 2006 Parks, Forestry and Recreation Capital Budget as set out in Attachment 2 to undertake essential repairs to damaged infrastructure in 2006;
- (2) the financial impacts of the storm related damage funding requests for 2007 be included in the 2007–2011 Capital Plan submission and the 2007 Operating Budget submission from Parks, Forestry and Recreation for consideration by the Budget Advisory Committee; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

**48. Considerations for Amendments to the 2005 Flood Damages Grants Program
(City Wide)**

Report 6, Clause 41

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the Recommendations of the Works Committee contained in the communication (July 5, 2006) from the Works Committee.

The Policy and Finance Committee reports that the following motion was voted on and lost on a tie vote:

Moved by Deputy Mayor Pantalone on behalf of Councillor Shiner

“That the Policy and Finance Committee recommend to City Council that funding for the August 2005, Flood Damage Grant Program – City-wide be increased by:

- (a) **\$4,221,880 so that claims under this Program will be \$2,000.00 per claim instead of \$900.00; or**
- (b) **\$764,080 so that claims under this program will be \$1,100.00 per claim instead of \$900.00;**

and that funding be provided from under-expenditures and reallocation of funds in the 2006 Water/Wastewater Capital Budget.”

Communication (July 5, 2006) from the Works Committee advising that the Works Committee on July 5, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that:

- (i) City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 29, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water, subject to amending Recommendation (2) by deleting the word “Operating” and replacing it with the word “Capital”, so that the recommendations now read as follows:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the Voluntary Home Isolation Program be made available to homeowners impacted by the May 17, 2006 storm;
- (2) the General Manager, Toronto Water, incorporate a funding request for the expansion of the Voluntary Home Isolation Program City-wide as part of Toronto Water’s forthcoming 2007 Capital Budget submission; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”; and
- (ii) within the allowance provided under the Voluntary Home Isolation Program, claimants be eligible to submit claims for any uninsured losses wherein any residual funding remains within the allowance, up to the amount approved by Council on June 14, 2006, on a first come, first serve basis.

49. Development of a Revolving Loan Program to Support the Implementation of the City’s Water Efficiency Plan (All Wards)

Report 6, Clause 42

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Works Committee contained in the communication (July 5, 2006) from the Works Committee.

Communication (July 5, 2006) from the Works Committee advising that the Works Committee on July 5, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that:

- (i) City Council adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 20, 2006) from Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin, the City Solicitor, and the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer respecting the Development of a Revolving Loan Program to Support the Implementation of the City's Water Efficiency Plan (All Wards); and
- (ii) elected officials and/or Board members on the Toronto Community Housing Corporation, Boards of Education, and Agencies, Boards, Commissions, and Divisions be notified that their respective Boards can apply for loans for water efficiency projects directly to the General Manager, Toronto Water.

50. Procurement of Iron Salts (Ferrous Chloride) Use for Removal of Phosphorus from Sewage at the Wastewater Treatment Plans (All Wards)

Report 6, Clause 43

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Works Committee contained in the communication (July 5, 2006) from the Works Committee.

Communication (July 5, 2006) from the Works Committee advising that the Works Committee on July 5, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 19, 2006) from the General Manager, Toronto Water and the Director, Purchasing and Materials Management, respecting Procurement of Iron Salts (Ferrous Chloride) Used for Removal of Phosphorus From Sewage at the Wastewater Treatment Plants (All Wards):

It is recommended that:

- (1) Contract 47011628 be amended by increasing it by \$10,680,300.40 from \$1,891,974.00 to \$12,572,274.40 including all applicable taxes and charges for the supply of up to 15,500,000 kg of Iron Salt (ferrous chloride) for the period from August 1, 2006 to December 31, 2010; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

51. Reserve and Reserve Funds Variance Report March 31, 2006

Report 6, Clause 44

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee.

Communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on July 7, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that:

- (1) City Council receive the report (June 14, 2006) from the Treasurer; and
- (2) in future, reserve and reserve funds variance reports be submitted to the Budget Advisory Committee commencing on the second, third and fourth quarters, as detailed project budget data would not be available given the timing of the first quarter variance report.

52. Association of Community Centres (AOCCs) – Core Administration Operating Results for the Year 2004 and Settlement of Prior Year Adjustments

Report 6, Clause 45

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee.

Communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on July 7, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff Recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 28, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the surpluses of \$27,155.00 be paid to the City of Toronto from six AOCCs and be used to fund the payments of operating deficits of \$37,371.00 to the other four Community Centres, resulting in a net settlement of \$10,216.00 to the Community Centres arising from the Core Administration Operations’ year end results for the year 2004 and prior year adjustments, as detailed in Appendix 1;
- (2) the deficit payment of \$10,216.00 be offset by under-spending in the Temporary Borrowing account within the Non-Program Expenditures Budget; and

- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

53. Compensation Review of the 10 Executive Directors of City Community Centres (AOCC) Administered by Boards of Management

(Speakers)

Report 6, Clause 46

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee.

Action taken by the Committee

The Policy and Finance Committee requested the City Manager's Office, to submit a briefing note directly to City Council for its meeting to be held on July 25, 2006, explaining how AOCC's are different to the Parks and Recreation Community Centres.

Communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on July 7, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff Recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 28, 2006) from the Executive Director of Social Development, Finance and Administration:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the 2006 Operating Budget for the Association of Community Centres be increased by \$294,233.00 (gross) and 0 (net) and funded from a one-time draw on the Employee and Retiree Benefits Reserve Fund to reflect the retroactive payments resulting from the compensation review of the 10 AOCC Executive Directors; and
- (2) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

53(a). AOCC Job Evaluation Compensation

(In-Camera – Personal matters about identifiable individuals, including municipal of local board employees.)

Confidential communication (July 4, 2006) from the Chair, Harbourfront Community Centre, regarding AOCC Job Evaluation Compensation, such communication to be

considered in-camera as the subject matter relates to personal matters about identifiable individuals, including municipal of local board employees.

53(b). Compensation Review of the 10 Executive Directors of City Community Centres (AOCC) Administered by Boards of Management

Communication (July 13, 2006) from the Employee and Labour Relations Committee advising that the Employee and Labour Relations Committee on July 13, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee in the communication (July 7, 2006) from the Committee.

54. Amendment of 2006 Operating Budget to Implement Section 37 Fund Expenditures on Bloor Corridor Visioning Study (Ward 20 Trinity-Spadina)

Report 6, Clause 47

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee.

Communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on July 7, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff Recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 28, 2006) from the Chief Planner and Executive Director, City Planning Division respecting Amendment of 2006 Operating Budget to Implement Section 37 Fund Expenditures on Bloor Corridor Visioning Study:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) Council amend the 2006 City Planning Operating Budget by increasing Cost Centre UR0001 by \$70,000.00 gross/0 net for the Bloor Corridor Visioning Study – Phase 1 and transfer funds in the amount of \$70,000.00 for this purpose from Deferred Revenue 220096;
- (2) Council authorize the Director, Community Planning, Toronto and East York, to issue a Request for Proposals (RFPs) for professional consultants for the Bloor Corridor Visioning Study; and
- (3) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

55. 2006 Smoke-Free Ontario Initiative Funding

Report 6, Clause 48

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee.

Communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on July 7, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff Recommendations (1), (2) and (5) in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 5, 2006) from the Medical Officer of Health:

“It is recommended that:

- “(1) an amount of \$255,900.00 gross expenditures and \$394,582.00 revenue, for a net reduction of \$138,682.00 and two positions be added to the Toronto Public Health 2006 Operating Budget to support the 2006 Smoke Free Ontario Initiatives;
- (2) one-time funding of \$265,000.00 gross expenditures and \$265,000.00 revenue, for zero net impact, be added to the Toronto Public Health 2006 Operating Budget to carry-forward 2005 Provincial funding in support of the tobacco prevention initiatives; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

56. Toronto Public Health 2006 Operating Budget Adjustments

Report 6, Clause 49

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee.

Communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on July 7, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff Recommendations (1), (2) and (4) in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 20, 2006) from the Medical Officer of Health:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the Toronto Public Health 2006 Approved Operating Budget be increased by \$91,920.00 (gross)/\$0 (net), and one position for the Support for At-Risk Pregnant Women program;
- (2) the Toronto Public Health 2006 Approved Operating Budget be increased by \$326,565.00 (gross)/\$0 (net) for external secondment recoveries; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.”

57. Toronto Public Health – Capital Budget Variance Report to the Four Months Ended April 30, 2006

Report 6, Clause 50

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee.

Communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on July 7, 2006:

- (1) recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt staff Recommendations (1), (2) and (4). in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 5, 2006) from the Medical Officer of Health, as recommended by the Board of Health; and
- (2) requested the Medical Officer of Health to report to the Board of Health and the Budget Advisory Committee for the September 2006 meeting, on the status of the funding from the Provincial government.

58. 2005 Audited Consolidated Financial Statements

Report 6, Clause 61(j)

The Policy and Finance Committee concurred with the recommendations contained in the communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee.

Communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on July 7, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that:

- (1) the communication (June 19, 2006) from the Audit Committee be received; and
- (2) in order to achieve the City's objectives of quantifying performance measurements, savings and improvements, the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer continue to report quantitative targets for all City programs during the budget process.

59. Australasia/Great Barrier Reef – 2007 Event Funding

Report 6, Clause 51

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee.

Communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on July 7, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council approve the transfer of up to \$330.0 thousand from the Zoo Stabilization Reserve to the 2006-2007 Australasia Capital Works Project to fund the additional exhibitory for the Australasia Event.

60. 110 Edward Street: Extension of Emergency Shelter and Referral Centre Programs (Ward 27 Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 6, Clause 52

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee.

Communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on July 7, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 19, 2006) from the General Manager of Shelter, Support and Housing Administration, as recommended by the Community services Committee.

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration, be authorized to continue to operate 110 Edward Street as an emergency shelter and assessment and referral program until the site closes for redevelopment as affordable/supportive housing, currently anticipated to be March 31, 2007;
- (2) funding for the extension of the emergency shelter and assessment and referral centre at 110 Edward Street from January 1 to March 31, 2007, come from the Supporting Communities Partnership Initiative;
- (3) the 2007 Interim Operating Budget (Estimates) for Shelter, Support and Housing Administration, be adjusted to include the Supporting Communities Partnership Initiative funding for January 1 to March 31, 2007, for the operation of the 110 Edward Street shelter and assessment and referral centre, which is projected to be \$574,500.00 for this period; and

- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

61. Adjustments to 2006 Operating Budget for the City Planning Division

Report 6, Clause 54

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee.

Communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on July 7, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 4, 2006) from the Chief Planner and Executive Director of City Planning Division respecting Adjustments to the 2006 Operating Budget for the City Planning Division:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the 2006 Approved Operating Budget for the City Planning Division be increased by \$47,265.00 gross/\$0 net for the Green Roofs Study with funding of \$9,055.00 from Toronto Water and the balance of \$38,210.00 to be funded by a grant received from the Federation of Canadian Municipalities;
- (2) the 2006 Approved Operating Budget for the City Planning Division be adjusted by transferring funds in the amount of \$72,000.00 from Program to Salaries and Benefits and adding 1.5 temporary FTEs to the staff complement for the Smart Commute Program, with no net impact on the existing approved operating budget;
- (3) the 2006 Approved Operating Budget for the City Planning Division be increased by \$96,208.00 gross/\$0 net for the Queen West Heritage Study with funding from Deferred Revenue generated from Section 37;
- (4) the 2006 Approved Operating Budget for the City Planning Division be increased by \$36,750.00 gross/\$0 net for Heritage consultants for Joy Oil with funding from Deferred Revenue generated by Section 37;
- (5) the 2006 Approved Operating Budget for the City Planning Division be increased by \$23,383.52 gross/\$0 net for the Bring Back the Don initiative with funding from donations already received;
- (6) the 2006 Approved Operating Budget for the City Planning Division be increased by \$25,000.00 gross/\$0 net with the addition of one temporary FTE for the

Downtown Growth Management Study (Tall Buildings) with funding from the City Planning Division's Capital Fund Account; and

- (7) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto."

62. Parking Tickets Issued to Out-of-Province Vehicles

Report 6, Clause 55

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Budget Advisory Committee contained in the communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee.

Action taken by the Committee

The Policy and Finance Committee referred the following motions to the Office of the Mayor:

Moved by Councillor Moscoe

“That:

- “(1) the City of Toronto approach the Federal and Provincial Ministers of Transport to attempt to broker a reciprocal sharing arrangement for license plate data at no charge to municipalities to enable them to effectively collect revenue from out of town parking tickets on a comprehensive basis; and
- (2) reciprocal arrangements with jurisdictions in the United States be reviewed; and
- (3) the Federation of Canadian Municipalities be approached to assist.

Moved by Councillor Cowbourne

“That discussions with the Federal Government include a mechanism to retrieve Parking fines at the U.S. border as cars exit Canada.

Communication (July 7, 2006) from the Budget Advisory Committee advising that the Budget Advisory Committee on July 7, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt staff Recommendations (1), (2), (3), (5) and (6) in the Recommendations Section of the report (June 19, 2006) from the City Manager, as recommended by the Administration Committee.

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the Treasurer be authorized to negotiate and enter into agreements with the states of New York, Pennsylvania, Maine and Ohio for the purpose of obtaining out-of-

Province license plate information, such agreement to be to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor;

- (2) gross expenditures of \$13,000.00 in 2007, and an incremental increase of \$12,000.00 in 2008, to cover the cost of postage, printing, and ownership/address information be included in the Revenue Services Division's respective years operating budget submission, and gross revenues of \$30,000.00 in 2007 and incremental impact of \$20,000.00 in 2008 for the increase in Parking Tag revenue be included in Non Program's respective operating budget submission;
- (3) if Committee and Council approves the budget request identified in Recommendation (2) above, staff initiate a program to pursue collection of outstanding City of Toronto parking tickets issued to vehicles registered in the states of New York, Pennsylvania, Maine and Ohio, by issuing a Notice of Fine and Due Date to the offenders following a registered conviction of the offence by the Courts;
- (5) staff be directed to report back to the Administration Committee within 12 months of the program's initiation to present an evaluation of the results; and
- (6) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

62(a). Parking Tickets Issued to Out-of-Province Vehicles – Additional Information

Report (July 12, 2006) from the City Manager, and Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer, addressed to City Council, responding to a request for information on jurisdictions to which the Province of Ontario provides parking ticket information, and at what cost.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that this report be received for information.

63. Conditions of Employment – Council Staff

Report 6, Clause 56

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Employee and Labour Relations Committee contained in the communication (July 13, 2006) from the Employee and Labour Relations Committee.

Communication (July 13, 2006) from the Employee and Labour Relations Committee advising that the Employee and Labour Relations Committee on July 13, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following

staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (April 20, 2006) from the City Clerk.

"It is recommended that:

- (1) the current overtime and lieu time policy for Councillor staff continue;
- (2) effective December 1, 2006, Councillors cannot choose to pay out lieu time for those staff currently earning lieu time for overtime worked, except as a final payment for resignations or terminations. Council staff contracts be amended to reflect this change to coincide with the new term of Council that begins December 1, 2006;
- (3) effective December 1, 2006, the current conditions of employment for Council staff be amended to reclassify the Council group of employees as a unique, separate sub-group of the non-union group of employees for the purposes of payroll and human resource administration, and that the staff contracts reflect these approved changes; and
- (4) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto."

64. Development of Affordable and Supportive Housing and Other Compatible Uses at 110 Edward Street – Request for Proposal 9155-06-7197 (Ward 27 – Toronto Centre-Rosedale)

Report 6, Clause 53

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Affordable Housing Committee contained in the communication (July 7, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee.

Communication (July 13, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee advising that the Affordable Housing Committee on July 13, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council:

- (1) adopt the staff recommendations in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 3, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager, Director, Purchasing and Materials Management and the Chief Corporate Officer;
- (2) request the Deputy City Manager and the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration, in consultation with the local Councillors, to report on a plan to relocate 110 Edward Street shelter and assessment and referral centre prior to the demolition of the existing building and report to the Affordable Housing Committee by March 2007; and

- (3) request Deputy City Manager, Sue Corke, to request YWCA to reconsider the decision not to include the assessment and referral centre in their plans to redevelop 110 Edward Street.

65. Wellesley Central Residences Inc. ("WCRI"), 490 Sherbourne Street, Toronto, Ontario

Report 6, Clause 58

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 17, 2006) from the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration.

Communication (July 13, 2006) from the Affordable Housing Committee advising that the Affordable Housing Committee on July 13, 2006 considered a communication (June 14, 2006) from Brian F.C. Smith, Secretary, Wellesley Central Residences, Inc., requesting an increase in the SCPI grant of \$500,000.00 to Woodgreen Community Services; and that the Affordable Housing Committee referred the communication (June 14, 2006) from Brian Smith, Secretary, Wellesley Central Residences, Inc., to the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration, for report to the Policy and Finance Committee meeting of July 18, 2006, on a source of funding and any further Council approvals that may be required.

**65(a). Additional Funding for Wellesley Central Residences Inc. (WCRI) for a Supportive Housing Development at 490 Sherbourne Street
(Ward 27)**

Report (July 17, 2006) from the General Manager, Shelter, Support and Housing Administration. recommending up to \$500,000 from the Mayor's Homelessness Initiative Reserve Fund, be allocated to Wellesley Central Residences Inc. to address project cost increases to their supportive housing project.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) up to \$500,000.00 be withdrawn from the Mayor's Homelessness Initiative Reserve Fund to fund capital cost increases for Wellesley Central Residences Inc. - a supportive housing project at 490 Sherbourne Street, previously approved by Council in July 2004;
- (2) should a contract be finalized with the Government of Canada for the additional 2006/07 Supporting Communities Partnership Initiative (SCPI) allocation estimated to be \$17.29 million, the General Manager, Shelter, Support and

Housing Administration be authorized to reimburse the Mayor's Homelessness Initiative Reserve Fund up to \$500,000.00 from this SCPI allocation;

- (3) the 2006 Operating Budget for Shelter, Support and Housing Administration be increased by \$500,000 gross and \$0 net to reflect the City's contribution to fund the increased capital costs for the Wellesley Central Residences Inc. supportive housing project;
- (4) the appropriate City Officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

66. Proposed Redevelopment of the Hummingbird Centre for the Performing Arts

Report 6, Clause 59

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 18, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Executive Officer, Hummingbird Centre for the Performing Arts.

Report (July 26, 2006) from the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Executive Officer, Hummingbird Centre for the Performing Arts, reporting on the terms and conditions of the proposed redevelopment of the Hummingbird Centre for the Performing Arts ("HC").

Recommendations:

It is recommended that:

- (1) the Business Plan of The Board of Directors of the Hummingbird Centre for the Performing Arts (the "Board") be approved;
- (2) authority be granted for the execution of the following agreements, to be entered into between: the City, the Board (where appropriate) and Castlepoint Realty Partners Limited ("Castlepoint"):
 - (a) Umbrella Agreement providing for:
 - (i) the conditional sale of a strata portion of the HC site, being Parts 2,3,5 and 7 on Sketch PS-2006-058 attached hereto as Appendix 1 (the "Site"), for Fifteen Million Dollars (\$15,000,000.00), for a private development to be integrated with the existing theatre; and
 - (ii) the construction, if the Board's fundraising targets are met, of a cultural and entertainment attraction referred to as CityCentre, or,

alternatively, a commercial component for retail usage (the “Commercial Component”),

in accordance with terms and conditions as summarized in the “Executive Summary” attached to this report as Appendix 2, and substantially in the form of the agreement attached to this report as Appendix 3;

- (b) Short Term Lease of the Site for a period to approximate the construction period of the proposed condominium/hotel tower estimated to be 48 months, to be terminated upon satisfaction of Castlepoint’s obligations in the Umbrella Agreement, to be replaced with a Transfer of the Site from the City to Castlepoint;
- (c) Mutual Easements and Shared Facilities Agreement, in order to effectually integrate the Site, HC and CityCentre (or the Commercial Component, as the case may be);
- (d) if the parties proceed with the Commercial Component, a Long Term Lease for a term of 89 years, for a one-time rental payment of \$3,500,000.00;
- (e) such other agreements or documents as may be, in the opinion of the City Solicitor, desirable or necessary to give effect to the Umbrella Agreement, including, but not limited to, architect’s agreement, construction procedures agreement, easements, licences, etc.; and
- (f) any amending agreements in relation to the foregoing agreements not materially inconsistent with the business terms approved by Council;

all documentation to be on terms and conditions satisfactory to the Deputy City Manager/Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Executive Officer of the Board, and in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;

- (3) the proposed disposition of the Site be exempted from the Housing First Policy, with the City’s affordable housing objectives to be addressed by provisions in the Umbrella Agreement providing for Castlepoint to voluntarily elect to participate in an Affordable Housing Request for Proposals or to make a voluntary contribution in the amount of \$500,000.00;
- (4) authority be granted to the Chief Corporate Officer to approve the submission of, and to execute, on behalf of the City, any required documents within the Ministry of the Environment’s process under the *Environmental Protection Act* including Pre-Submission Forms and Records of Site Condition;
- (5) the Chief Corporate Officer be authorized to administer and manage the sale and lease transactions, including the provision of any consents, approvals, waivers and notices (including termination), provided he may, at any time, refer consideration

- of any such matters (including their content) to City Council for its consideration and direction;
- (6) the City Solicitor be authorized to complete the lease and sale transactions, deliver any notices (including termination), pay any expenses and amend the commencement, closing and other dates to such earlier or later date(s), on such terms and conditions, as she may, from time to time, determine;
 - (7) the Chief Executive Officer, Hummingbird Centre for the Performing Arts, in consultation with the Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer report back to Council, 2 months before the date the City is required to notify Castlepoint as provided for in the Umbrella Agreement, on the status of Board fundraising for Council determination as to whether the City wishes to proceed with Option A;
 - (8) funds from the sale of the Site, leasing payments, private sector contributions, and Federal and Provincial contributions, be held in the Hummingbird Capital Improvement Reserve Fund (XR3003);
 - (9) funds from naming rights be held in the Hummingbird Capital Improvement Reserve Fund (XR3003) in Option A and in the Hummingbird Stabilization Reserve Fund in Option B;
 - (10) all private donations received for the redevelopment of the HC be placed in the Hummingbird Capital Improvement Reserve Fund (XR3003) and all unrestricted private donations be placed in the Hummingbird Donations Reserve Fund;
 - (11) funds from any payment made by Castlepoint in respect of affordable housing in accordance with the Umbrella Agreement, be placed in the Capital Revolving Fund for Affordable Housing;
 - (12) subject to the *City of Toronto Act, 2006* coming into force, authority be granted to the Board to operate offsite or onsite parking and to conduct its business at venues other than HC;
 - (13) funds from the Hummingbird Centre Capital Improvement Reserve Fund, Cost Centre XR 3003, be used to continue to retain Borden Ladner Gervais in an amount of approximately \$230,000;
 - (14) authority be granted to the City and the Board to retain BDO Dunn Woody or another party determined by the City Solicitor in conjunction with the Chief Financial Officer to finalize the due diligence review of Castlepoint Realty Partners Limited and its principals and to provide any such other advice and assistance as is appropriate and necessary with respect to the proposed redevelopment to a maximum of \$15,000.00;
 - (15) authority be granted for the introduction of any necessary Bills into Council; and

- (16) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

**67. Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Initiative
East Bayfront Business and Implementation Plan
(Toronto Centre - Rosedale, Ward 28)**

Report 6, Clause 9

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the staff recommendations contained in the Recommendations Section of the report (July 12, 2006) from the City Manager and Deputy City Manager Fareed Amin, subject to adding the following new Recommendation (13):

“(13) the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation be requested to apply the Toronto Green Development Standard to all new development in the East Bayfront.”

Report (July 12, 2006) from the City Manager and Deputy City Manager, Fareed Amin recommending Council approval of the East Bayfront Business and Implementation Plan as a pre-condition to providing the Toronto Waterfront Revitalization Corporation (TWRC) with effective control of the Queen Elizabeth Docks.

Recommendations:

It is recommended that City Council:

- (1) approve the June 28, 2006 East Bayfront Business and Implementation Plan, appended to this report in Attachment 1, with associated appendices on file with the Waterfront Secretariat and City Clerk's Office as follows:

East Bayfront Employment Strategy (June 7, 2006)
 Cultural and Animation Strategy for Toronto's East Bayfront & Appendices (April 2006)
 East Bayfront Development – Ground Floor Retail Strategy (May 2006)
 East Bayfront Project Schedule 2006-2008 (Initial Implementation)
 East Bayfront Project Schedule 2006-2021
 East Bayfront Financial Model*

* The East Bayfront Financial Model contains privileged market information and accordingly, is a confidential document. It is available for viewing by members of Council upon request.

- (2) provide the TWRC with effective control, as defined in the March 31, 2006 Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the City, TEDCO and the TWRC, of the “Queen Elizabeth Docks” with the exception of the lands reserved for “TEDCO Projects” if these projects have been confirmed in signed lease(s) between TEDCO and the announced tenant(s) by September 30, 2006 after which time, if leases have not been signed, the *TWRC shall lead the marketing and development of these lands as part of the revitalization initiative;*
- (3) in accordance with the March 31, 2006 MOU between the City, TEDCO, and the TWRC, direct TEDCO as follows:
 - (i) within one month of the adoption of this report by Council, to provide the TWRC with all existing leases for lands, buildings or structures on the “Queen Elizabeth Docks” as well as copies of all environmental audits, environmental assessments, reports and other material information relating to the “Queen Elizabeth Docks” without representation or warranty, with the TWRC bearing the cost for TEDCO’s disbursements to provide this information and signing confidentiality agreements as required;
 - (ii) within one month of receipt of a formal request by the TWRC, to provide notice of termination to the tenant(s) identified; such notice to be provided in accordance with the lease terms stipulated for each tenant;
- (4) direct the Waterfront Project Director to ensure that prior to the TWRC undertaking any site preparation activities on City or TEDCO-owned lands in East Bayfront, it demonstrate that it has obtained appropriate environmental insurance, environmental indemnities and other protections necessary in favour of the City and TEDCO as per the March 31, 2006 MOU, with such insurance, indemnities and protections to be to the satisfaction of the Deputy City Manager responsible for the Waterfront Revitalization Initiative and City Solicitor;
- (5) direct the Waterfront Project Director, when reporting to Council on the transfer or long-term lease of land from TEDCO to the City for public purposes or to a third party for development purposes, to address any covenants, insurance and indemnities to be provided to TEDCO and the City, the remediation standards to be implemented, and funding arrangements to be put in place by the TWRC for the maintenance of risk-assessed land, if required.
- (6) endorse the strategic directions contained in the East Bayfront Employment Strategy, Cultural and Animation Strategy and Ground Floor Retail Strategy and request that the TWRC, in consultation with City staff, use these documents as the basis for creating an integrated workplan and marketing materials for consultations with stakeholders and prospective development interests;
- (7) endorse the TWRC’s employment target of 8,000 jobs (excluding the jobs generated through implementation of the ground floor animation strategy) for the East Bayfront (West) area and direct the Waterfront Project Director to ensure

that the employment space necessary to meet the targets for both phases of East Bayfront development are identified in the applicable developer proposal calls issued by the TWRC and are legally secured as part of the awarding of the project to the successful proponent(s);

- (8) direct the Waterfront Project Director to ensure that when the Community Improvement Plan (CIP) currently under preparation by City and TEDCO staff, in partnership with the TWRC, is brought forward to Council for consideration, specific measures to facilitate the realization of the 4,000 jobs (excluding the jobs generated through implementation of the ground floor animation strategy) projected by the TWRC for lands on the north side of Queens Quay East in East Bayfront are addressed either in the CIP or in a companion strategy if needed;
- (9) direct the Waterfront Project Director to report to Council in 2007 on the efforts and strategies of the TWRC, TEDCO, and City staff, working in partnership, to relocate impacted businesses within the City of Toronto and, where existing leases specify, to negotiate any financial support required;
- (10) subject to Council adopting a Zoning By-law in September 2006 containing these provisions, support the following reimbursements to the TWRC of funds collected in the East Bayfront (West) area through Section 37 provisions:
 - (i) on an annual basis, any funds collected as a contribution towards the provision of local infrastructure;
 - (ii) on an annual basis, any funds collected as part of a cash contribution for the acquisition of affordable housing sites, following the submission by the TWRC of adequate documentation to assure the acquisition of the subject site(s) within the East Bayfront (West) area;
 - (iii) the above reimbursements to the TWRC to be reflected in the tri-government Accounting Framework as a City contribution to the Waterfront Initiative.
- (11) subject to agreement by the three governments, approve in principle governance changes included in the East Bayfront Business and Implementation Plan, specifically allowing TWRC to:
 - (i) create a subsidiary for the ground floor animation space single entity ownership that is subject to the same reporting and accountability standards as the TWRC;
 - (ii) borrow funds to support the construction of an underground parking facility and to secure ownership of the ground floor animation space, with no recourse to the government partners; and

- (iii) collect and reinvest revenues from ground floor rents, parking and lease of employment lands.
- (12) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

68. Panel Recommendation – Compensation Review: Elected Officials

Report 6, Clause 57

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that:

- (1) a study be done prior to the beginning of each term to determine the compensation of City Councillors and the Mayor in an appropriate comparator group defined by a specialist consultant;
- (2) the salary level of Councillors and the Mayor be set at the greater of their current compensation or at the 75th percentile of the comparator group as at January 1, 2007, as recommended by the consultant and confirmed by the Blue Ribbon Panel, and take effect at the beginning of each new term;"; and
- (3) during the term of office, compensation be adjusted annually to the Statistics Canada cost of living index for the City of Toronto.

Communication (Undated) from Mayor David Miller advising that at its meeting of May 10, 2006, the Employee and Labour Relations Committee considered the report from Mercer Human Resource Consulting regarding the compensation review for Elected Officials; that the Committee adopted the Mayor's recommendation to assemble an expert panel to obtain their opinions regarding the report to ensure that the resolution of this issue is open and fairly addresses the concerns of all Torontonians.; and attaching the Mayor's Salary Review Panel's recommendations.

69. Sole Source Contract for the Purchase of Replacement Cardiac Monitor-Defibrillators

Report 6, Clause 34

The Policy and Finance Committee recommends that City Council adopt the recommendation of the Community Services Committee contained in the communication (July 5, 2006) from the Community Services Committee.

Communication (July 5, 2006) from the Community Services Committee advising that the Community Services Committee on July 5, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that City Council adopt the following staff recommendations in the

Recommendations Section of the report (June 20, 2006) from the Chief and General Manager, Emergency Medical Services, and the Director, Purchasing and Materials Management, respecting “Sole Source Contract for the Purchase of Replacement Cardiac Monitor-Defibrillators”:

“It is recommended that:

- (1) the 2006 EMS Capital Budget be amended by adding a new project - Replacement Cardiac Monitor Defibrillator Project - with a total project cost of \$2,000,000.00 gross and \$0 net and a 2006 cash flow of \$970,000.00 and future year gross commitments of \$850,000.00 for 2007, and \$180,000.00 for 2008, to be funded from the EMS Equipment Reserve account XQ1019;
- (2) approval be granted for the establishment of a sole source contract with Zoll Medical Canada, Inc. for a two year period from August 1, 2006, to July 31, 2008, for a total value of \$5,598,400.00, including all charges and taxes, for the replacement of 200 older model cardiac monitor-defibrillators, to be satisfied as follows:
 - (a) trade-in of the 200 older model cardiac monitor-defibrillators to Zoll Medical Canada, Inc. for a credit of \$3,598,400.00 to be applied towards the purchase of the 200 new cardiac monitor-defibrillators, and
 - (b) payment of an additional amount of \$2,000,000.00;
- (3) Toronto EMS be authorized to trade in 200 older model cardiac monitor-defibrillators to Zoll Medical Canada, Inc. for a credit of \$3,598,400.00 to be applied towards the purchase of 200 new cardiac monitor-defibrillators;
- (4) this report be forwarded to the Policy and Finance Committee for consideration; and
- (5) the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary actions to give effect thereto.

70. Property at 1135 Dundas Street East

Report 6, Clause 61(k)

The Policy and Finance Committee referred the communication (July 13, 2006) from the Film, Television and Commercial Production Industry Committee (Film Board) to Deputy City Manager, Sue Corke, for report, in consultation with the Film Commissioner and the General Manager, Economic Development, Culture and Tourism, to the Policy and Finance Committee.

Communication (July 13, 2006) from the Film, Television and Commercial Production Industry Committee (Film Board) advising that the Film Board on July 13, 2006, recommended to the Policy and Finance Committee that the Film Commissioner and the General Manager of Economic Development Culture and Tourism be requested to enter into discussions around 1135 Dundas Street East with Toronto Economic Development Corporation (TEDCO), Toronto District School Board and Artscape, with the intention of securing this site for future film/Artscape use in the Dundas/Carlaw corridor.

71. Chapter 591 – Noise by-law

Report 6, Clause 60

The Policy and Finance Committee referred the communication (July 18, 2006) from Councillor Kyle Rae, to the Executive Director, Municipal Licensing and Standards and the City Solicitor, for report thereon directly to Council for its meeting scheduled to be held on July 25, 2006, such report to include any financial issues arising therefrom.

Communication (July 18, 2006) from Councillor Kyle Rae requesting that the General Manager, Municipal Licensing Division and the City Solicitor be requested to report directly to Council for its meeting to be held on July 25, 26 and 27, 2006, on provisions in the new noise by-law concerning:

- (1) the number of licenses issued under subsection 545-2 (30) and (33);
- (2) the appropriateness of 7:00 a.m. for broadcasting ss545-262;
- (3) does the 8:00 p.m. end time for broadcasting supersede the provisions of the by-law governing boulevard cafes (11:00 p.m.)?; and
- (4) the new interpretation that a resident must complain about noise violations before City staff will act, subsection 591-2, general prohibition.