



City Clerk's Office  
Ulli S. Watkiss, City Clerk

Secretariat  
Patsy Morris  
Government Management Committee  
City Hall, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor, West  
100 Queen Street West

Tel: 416-392-9151  
Fax: 416-392-1879  
Email: [gmc@toronto.ca](mailto:gmc@toronto.ca)  
Web: [www.toronto.ca](http://www.toronto.ca)

April 28, 2010

To: Government Management Committee

From: City Clerk

Subject: Cancellation, Reduction or Refund of Property Taxes - April 28, 2010 Hearing (GM30.1)

The Government Management Committee on April 28, 2010, during its consideration of a report (April 14, 2010) from the Treasurer, titled "Cancellation, Reduction or Refund of Property Taxes - April 28, 2010 Hearing", (Item GM30.1), amongst other things, deferred consideration of applications for the following properties located on Kingston Road, until the meeting of the Government Management Committee to be held in August, 2010:

3640 Kingston Road  
3646 Kingston Road  
3650 Kingston Road

Background:

The Government Management Committee on April 28, 2010, considered a report (April 14, 2010) from the Treasurer, titled "Cancellation, Reduction or Refund of Property Taxes - April 28, 2010 Hearing".

City Clerk  
Patsy Morris

GM30.1  
Att.



## STAFF REPORT ACTION REQUIRED

### Cancellation, Reduction or Refund of Property Taxes -April 28, 2010 Hearing

<b>Date:</b>	April 14, 2010
<b>To:</b>	Government Management Committee
<b>From:</b>	Treasurer
<b>Wards:</b>	All
<b>Reference Number:</b>	P:\2010\Internal Services\rev\gm10008rev (AFS 11390)

#### SUMMARY

---

This report deals with tax appeal applications made to the Treasurer pursuant to sections 323 and 325 of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006 (COTA)*. Section 323 permits Council to cancel, reduce or refund taxes in cases when, during the year, a property undergoes changes such as when it is destroyed by fire or demolished, becomes exempt from taxation, or is reclassified due to a change in its use. Under section 325 of the *COTA*, taxpayers can request a cancellation, reduction or refund of taxes when an error in the assessment roll is identified which results in an overcharge.

The legislation requires Council to hold a public meeting where applicants may make a submission in defence of their position. Council has delegated authority to hold such public meetings to the Government Management Committee.

Staff have mailed Notices of Hearing to affected taxpayers advising of the upcoming hearing before Government Management Committee.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

---

**The Treasurer recommends that:**

1. the individual tax appeal applications made pursuant to section 323 of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006* resulting in tax reductions (excluding phase-in/capping amounts) totalling \$383,423.32 including reductions in Business Improvement Area charges, as identified in Appendix A, be approved;
2. the individual tax appeal applications made pursuant to section 325 of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006* resulting in tax reductions (excluding phase-in/capping adjustments) totalling

\$106,102.39 including reductions in Business Improvement Area charges, as identified in Appendix B, be approved; and

3. the appropriate City officials be authorized and directed to take the necessary action to give effect thereto.

### Financial Impact

The financial impact of approving the individual tax appeal applications (excluding phase-in/capping adjustments), as identified in the attached Appendices A and B, is summarized in Table 1 below:

Table 1: Tax Appeals Summary

Appendix	No. of Applications	Recommended Tax Reduction Total	City Share	Education Share	BIA
A	123	\$383,423.32	\$240,815.40	\$140,224.35	\$2,383.57
B	22	\$106,102.39	\$60,372.32	\$43,414.09	\$2,315.98
<b>Total</b>	145	\$489,525.71	\$301,187.72	\$183,638.44	\$4,699.55

The City's share of \$301,187.72 will be funded from the 2010 Tax Deficiency Account (Non-Program Budget). The education share of \$183,638.44 will be recovered from the province/school boards, and the Business Improvement Area (BIA) reductions of \$4,699.55 will be funded from the respective BIA provision.

The Deputy City Manager and Chief Financial Officer has reviewed this report and agrees with the financial impact information.

### DECISION HISTORY

At its meeting of December 16, and 17, 1998, Council adopted a report authorizing the Administration Committee to hear and make recommendations on tax appeals, other than poverty appeals, pursuant to sections 442 and 443 of the old *Municipal Act*, now sections 323 and 325 of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006*. Poverty appeals are heard by the Assessment Review Board as authorized by Council. To view this report on-line, please follow hyperlink:

<http://www.toronto.ca/legdocs/1998/agendas/council/cc/cc981216/sp26rpt/cl035.htm>

### ISSUE BACKGROUND

Section 323 of the *City of Toronto Act, 2006 (COTA)* allows Council to cancel, reduce or refund taxes levied in a year for the following reasons:

- a) property is eligible to be reclassified to a different class;
- b) property has become vacant land or excess land;
- c) property has become exempt from taxation;
- d) property has been razed/damaged by fire, demolition or otherwise;
- e) mobile unit has been removed;
- f) taxpayer has been unable to pay taxes due to sickness or extreme poverty;

- g) taxpayer has been overcharged due to gross or manifest clerical error; or,
- h) property could not be used for at least three months due to repairs or renovations.

Section 325 of the *COTA* allows Council to cancel, reduce or refund taxes relating to errors made in the preparation of the assessment roll for one or both of the two years preceding the year in which the application is made.

As Revenue Services staff receive tax appeal applications, they are reviewed to determine eligibility. Staff then send the applications to the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC) to obtain the related assessment information. Once the information is received from MPAC, staff calculate the tax cancellation/reduction amount. In the case of section 325 applications, MPAC must confirm an error in the assessment being appealed for Council to proceed with hearing the appeal. Notices are prepared to advise the applicant of the amount that may be cancelled/reduced, and the time and date of the upcoming hearing before the Government Management Committee.

To facilitate the hearing process and address taxpayers' questions and concerns, Revenue Services staff respond to many telephone calls and meet with taxpayers, as necessary, in advance of the public meeting.

## **COMMENTS**

Staff recommend that Council cancel/reduce taxes totalling \$383,423.32 (including Business Improvement Area charges and excluding phase-in/capping) under section 323 of *COTA* and \$106,102.39 (excluding phase-in/capping) under section 325 of *COTA*.

The attached Appendices A and B list the 145 applications for tax cancellation or reduction, and provide the property address, roll number, ward number, appeal number, tax year, the reason/type of appeal, the number of days for which relief is being recommended, the total amount of the recommended reduction/cancellation of taxes, the City and Education share of the tax cancellation/reduction and the amount of the BIA reduction.

Of the 145 applications before Committee, 3 applications pertain to 3640 Kingston Road, 3 applications pertain to 3646 Kingston Road and 57 applications pertain to 3650 Kingston Road. The applications were filed by owners on the grounds that the buildings were damaged by a hydro vault explosion that occurred on March 19, 2009 and were rendered substantially unusable. Staff are not recommending a reduction, cancellation, or refund of taxes because MPAC has advised that there was no structural damage to the buildings caused by the hydro vault explosion and therefore no reduction in CVA value. Although owners were unable to access their units during the period of repair (less than 3 months), MPAC has indicated that the CVA value of these units was not affected, and therefore a reduction in taxes has not been recommended.

Once Council has made its decision, staff will mail Notices of Decision and reduce/cancel the tax accordingly. The applicant has thirty five (35) days from the date the Notice of Decision is mailed to appeal Council's decision to the Assessment Review Board (ARB).

Table 2, below, summarizes the 145 applications filed, the section of the *COTA* they were filed under, the reason for the application, the number of applications for each reason, the tax reduction

breakdown as shared between the City and the province/school boards, the amount of the BIA reduction and the total reduction in taxes recommended.

Table 2: Detail Summary of Appeals

COTA Section	Reason for Application	# of Applications	Recommended Tax Reductions			
			City Share	Education Share	BIA	Total Recommended Reduction
			(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
323	Unusable	7	11,284.47	2,062.33	0	13,346.80
323	Razed by fire/demolished	8	7,256.31	8,794.75	0	16,051.06
323	Damaged by fire/demolished	75	11,010.32	9,105.49	0	20,115.81
323	Exempt	9	62,376.59	20,533.71	1,512.22	84,422.52
323	Tax class change	16	118,885.36	73,348.31	871.35	193,105.02
323	Clerical errors	8	30,002.35	26,379.76	0	56,382.11
325	Clerical errors	22	60,372.32	43,414.09	2,315.98	106,102.39
	Total	145	301,187.72	183,638.44	4,699.55	489,525.71

## CONTACT

Casey Brendon, Acting Director, Revenue Services  
 (416) 392-8065, Fax (416) 696-3778, e-mail [cbrendo@toronto.ca](mailto:cbrendo@toronto.ca)

## SIGNATURE

---

Giuliana Carbone  
 Treasurer

## ATTACHMENTS

Appendix A: Council Detail Hearing Report – Section 323 of COTA, Hearing 2010H2  
 Appendix B: Council Detail Hearing Report – Section 325 of COTA, Hearing 2010H2