From September 17, 2009:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting #94: Action Item #1</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>Letter sent to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The NLC to request that City Council refer the issue of the site selection for the LRV Maintenance &amp; Storage Facility, to the Public Works &amp; Infrastructure committee for comment and review.</td>
<td>November 19, 2009</td>
<td>Councillor Bussin.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From February 25, 2010:

**Karey Shinn** then read a motion prepared by the ABTP NLC,

**Motion 96.1:**

The Ashbridges Bay Treatment Plant (ABTP) Neighbourhood Liaison Committee (NLC) opposes the siting of the Toronto Transit Commission (TTC) Light Rail Vehicle facility on 18 acres of the existing ABTP site. The siting is in contravention of the primary purpose of the ABTP site. The ABTP site is designated to be reserved for future sanitary sewage treatment needs, as stated in the ABTP Environmental Assessment (EA) Mediation Agreement (MA) as approved by Council at its June meeting in 1999.

The ABTP NLC also opposes the substantial removal of approximately 10% of the ABTP site without any alternatives being brought to the ABTP NLC to provide and ensure that space for future sanitary sewage treatment needs can be accommodated.

The members of the ABTP NLC recognize the need for public transit infrastructure but we also recognize the need for sanitary sewage treatment. It is not reasonable that the TTC infrastructure needs are to be built at the expense of, or trump, sewage treatment needs. The ABTP is already experiencing secondary bypass events and, to date, no other sites or alternatives have been identified for the expansion of treatment of current and future sanitary flows in the City of Toronto.

The members of the ABTP NLC ask, once again, that this matter be brought to the Public Works and Infrastructure Committee for public deputations. Attached please find an extract from the ABTP EA Mediation Agreement that supports our need for the above motion to be addressed and the need for the role of the ABTP NLC as a active party to developments on the ABTP Site as directed by the Mandate of the ABTP NLC.

It is further moved that the ABTP NLC Public Consultation Staff member, Mike Logan, be responsible for the circulation of the above motion to the His Honour the Mayor of the City of Toronto, to the Chair and Board members of the Toronto Port Authourity, to the Chair and members of the TTC Board, to
the TTC and City Staff carrying out the current LRV Siting process (EA), to the Ward 30 and 32 Councillors, to the Chair and members of the Public Works and Infrastructure Committee, to the Chair and members of the Board of Health and to any other interested members.

**Excerpt from Mediation Agreement (Resolution #1: Guiding Principals, Pages 30-31):**

5. The principle that the area of the MTP Site should be reserved primarily for activities and developments that are directly related to its primary purpose, to maximize the efficiency of the MTP and to minimize pressures for lakefilling. Activities or developments that are not directly related to the primary purpose of the MTP may be permitted at the MTP Site only if:

- the MTP Neighbourhood Liaison Committee has been fully informed and consulted;
- a comprehensive analysis of alternative locations has been conducted, having regard to the definition of the environment from the Environmental Assessment Act, and the MTP Site has been determined to be the preferred alternative;
- the activity or development can be located at the MTP Site, without compromising the ability of the Site to accommodate future requirements for sanitary sewage treatment and without increasing pressures for lakefilling;
- the activity or development will not compromise the beneficial use of biosolids at the MTP;
- the approved Site Plan either contemplates the activity or development or can be amended to provide for it without compromising its integrity;
- the activity or development will not cause any adverse impact on the quality of air, water or land in the vicinity of the MTP;
- the activity or development will not cause any adverse impact on the quality of the final effluent from the MTP or on near shore water quality;
- the activity or development will not increase off site nuisance impacts on residential, recreational or other sensitive land uses in the vicinity; and
- the activity or development will not cause an adverse visual impact from any off site location.

**Karey** said that the NLC’s role as an active participant must be recognized by the City and the TTC and asked that **Mike Logan** give a copy of the motion to the Mayor’s office.

**Karey Shinn** said that the TTC is appropriating land that will be required for expansion of the ABTP, and that the plant is already experiencing bypass flows.
Karey Shinn went on to read a second motion for consideration:

Motion 96.2:
The ABTP NLC moves to request that the details of the process used by the City to transfer the deeded use of the ABTP site be forwarded to the ABTP NLC. The request includes the following:

- How and when did the ABTP Site become available for a change in its deeded use and subject to a severance of a parcel of land to be deeded to the TTC and removed from the use of the ABTP?
- What is the status of the original deeded use on the whole of the ABTP site as a site reserved for sanitary sewage treatment needs for the current and future City of Toronto?

Doug Philips seconded both motions. The motions were voted on and passed.