Presentation to City Council
September 26, 2011

• Core Service Review Final Report
• Voluntary Separation Program
• User Fee Policy Report

City Manager
Service Review Program - Background

- City of Toronto’s 2012 operating pressure estimated at $774 million

- To address the 2012 Operating Pressure and the Capital Program funding gap, a multi-year approach is necessary

- On April 13, 2011, Council adopted the City Manager’s report that recommended completion of a Service Review Program during 2011 in preparation for the 2012 Budget
Council Adopts Service Review Program

Core Service Review

Special Standing Committee Meetings

Special Executive & Council Meetings

User Fee Review

Budget Directions for 2012 set

2011 Service Efficiency Studies

2012 Budget Launched

Public Budget Process

Adoption of 2012 Capital & Operating Budgets

Key Decisions

- Confirm core / non core services and divestment opportunities
  - Recommendations 1, 3

- Refer additional reduction opportunities to programs for inclusion in budget reduction proposals
  - Recommendation 2

- Refer other efficiency related matters to the City Manager for implementation in 2012 and future years’ budget processes or to report to Council where specific authorities are required
  - Recommendations 14, 15
Key Decisions

- Staff require direction on these matters now in order to:
  - Complete 10% budget reduction proposals
  - Approve voluntary separation program applications
- Decisions will also provide more certainty to staff about how they will be affected by the reductions and changes
Core Service Review

- **KPMG’s Analysis**
  - *Ranked 90% of services as core* - required by legislation or essential to the effective functioning of Toronto’s government
  - Ranked 8% of services as traditional — enhance the quality of life and liveability and contribute to a healthy and vibrant economy
  - Ranked 1% of services as other/discretionary — respond to emerging needs and priorities, support strategic priorities
  - *Assessed that 85% of services are delivered at or below standard* and 15% are delivered above standard
Core Service Review

- KPMG’s Identified Opportunities
  - 69 opportunities to eliminate, divest or reduce services
  - 119 opportunities to conduct further review to achieve efficiencies and cost savings
  - Careful review of KPMG-identified opportunities undertaken over the past month in the context of input from the public consultation and deputations, the priorities of the City government, current financial pressures and the implications of making any changes
Core Service Review

• City Manager’s Report to Executive Committee

  • Recommendation 1 directs staff to adjust services and service levels for 24 non-core service areas now to meet 2012 budget requirements -

  • Recommendation 2 refers 15 opportunities to divisions, and agencies where applicable, for consideration as part of their general program reduction to meet the 2012 budget reduction target

  • Recommendation 3 authorizes the City Manager to initiate a process to divest the Toronto Zoo, the Theatres, and Heritage Toronto
Core Service Review

- City Manager’s Report to Executive Committee

- Recommendations 4 to 7 direct staff or agency boards to develop a plan to enhance their financial condition and report back

- Recommendation 8 refers opportunities that are longer term to the City Manager to undertake broad service and organizational studies such as Long Term Care, Child Care, EMS & Fire and report back to Council as required

- Recommendations 9 to 13 request staff to review certain policy matters such as establishing regional economic development agency, and report to the relevant Standing Committee

- Recommendation 14 refers the efficiency-related opportunities to the City Manager for consideration, and implementation through the 2012, 2013 and 2014 Operating Budgets, or to report to Council where specific authorities are required.
Core Service Review

- City Manager’s Report to Executive Committee

- Recommendation 15 refers efficiency-related motions from Standing Committees to the City Manager for consideration, and implementation through the 2012, 2013 and 2014 Operating Budgets, or to report to Council where specific authorities are required.

- Recommendation 16 provides authority to the City Manager to undertake actions necessary to implement Recommendations 1, 14, and 15, for example:
  - To negotiate agreements, e.g., to transfer Black Creek Urban Farm to TRCA
  - To ensure all collective agreements and other policies and obligations are followed during implementation.
Executive Committee Recommendations

- Executive Committee recommends that Council ‘receive’ several items which I had included in Recommendation 1. This directs staff to not reduce these services, including:
  - Reducing snow clearing and grass cutting in parks
  - Eliminating the windrow clearing program
  - Maintaining minimum standards for local street snow removal and ploughing
  - Replace this reduction through restructuring the program internally for non arts grants, transferring arts and culture grants to the Toronto Arts Council and meet assigned budget reduction through grants freed up from restructuring and from within Economic Development and Culture arts and culture programs that are not transferred to TAC.
Executive Committee Recommendations

- Executive Committee recommends that Council ‘receive’ several items which I had recommended be referred to the budget process (Recommendation 2). This directs staff to not reduce these services, including:

  - Reducing the level of proactive investigation and enforcement in MLS
  - Rationalizing the footprint of libraries
  - Reconsidering some TTC service improvements and reviewing the Wheel Trans program. The TTC Commission has already dealt with these items.
Executive Committee Recommendations

- Executive Committee amended several recommendations, including:

  - Child Care – to recognize the need for affordable child care spaces balanced by the current financial constraints and to call on the provincial and federal governments to work with the City to expand the number of child care spaces over the next two years.

  - PFR zoos and farms – to issue an REOI to operate zoos and farms, with the exception of Riverdale Farm; and to support the Riverdale Farm Coalition proposal to engage the community in a new partnership.

  - Christmas Bureau – to transfer operational and financial responsibilities to an appropriate external agency and once completed, reduce the internal resources.
Executive Committee Recommendations

- Executive Committee added other recommendations, including:
  - That the City Manager report on options for a voluntary contribution option to future property tax bills.
  - That Council, the TTC, the Toronto Amalgamated Transit Union and the citizens of Toronto formulate a joint strategy to lobby the Provincial Government to reinstate TTC operating subsidies.

- The Executive Committee also recommends referral of several of my recommended action items (from my Rec 1) to the budget process, including:
  - Affordable housing development, housing loan program and housing policy and partnership activities; pick up and delivery of owner-surrendered animals to shelters; 4 free garbage tags; community environment days; consolidation and reduction of environmental services within divisions and agencies; Hardship Fund; and mechanical and manual local street sweeping.
Financial Impact of Executive Committee Recommendations

- Preliminary analysis has been done to determine the impact of Council receiving the items recommended by the Executive Committee.

- Analysis includes costing for items that I had recommended be eliminated or reduced (Slide 11) and, where available, for the items I had recommended be referred to the budget process (Slide 12).

- Preliminary estimates indicate that, if these items do not proceed, the estimated loss of overall savings from my report is approximately $4.8 million (net) for 2012.
Core Service Review

- With Council approval of these service eliminations and reductions, and the implementation of the efficiencies identified by KPMG, the total savings is estimated to be approximately $200 to $300 million over the period 2012 to 2014. For 2012, the estimated savings from service eliminations, reductions and KPMG identified efficiencies being actively considered by staff, are projected at approximately $95 million, taking into account the changes recommended by the Executive Committee. This will contribute to reaching the 2012 budget target.

- Capital expenditure reductions as a result of adopting the recommendations in this report could total approximately $132 million gross in the ten-year capital plan.
Voluntary Separation Program

- City Manager announced program: July 12, 2011

- Permanent Employees eligible except L3888 members (firefighters)

- Closing Date: Friday, September 9, 2011

- 23 Information Sessions conducted by HR and PPEB staff between July 26 and August 15, 2011, at Metro Hall and Civic Centres with over 1,300 employee attending sessions

- CM report to Executive Committee (Sept 19th) for Council approval of new VSP program at special Council meeting on September 26/27
Voluntary Separation Program

**PROJECTED ESTIMATED COST OF VSP**

- Number of Applications Received as of 12 noon September 9 – 1,146
- Assuming about a 70% approval rate of applications
- Cost estimate calculations based upon 70% of 1,000 applications (Sept 8, 2011)
- Permanent reduction of 700 permanent positions
  (88% union and 12% non-union/management positions)
- The estimate one-time cost of the VSP is: $41M
  - Funded through 2010 or 2011 Surplus
- Estimated base annual budget (Gross) reduction: $58.9M
- $41M includes estimated: VSP payments, Vacation Payouts, Sick Bank Payouts
User Fee Policy Report
- When to Charge User Fees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHO BENEFITS</th>
<th>TYPE OF SERVICE</th>
<th>TAX vs. FEES POLICY MIX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Public / Private</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Public / Private</td>
<td>Primarily the Community - with Less Individual Benefit</td>
<td>Public / Individual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Public / Private</td>
<td>Primarily the Individual with Less Community Benefit</td>
<td>Public / Individual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Public / Private</td>
<td>Individual Benefit Only</td>
<td>Individual</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples of services that fall under each category:
1) Police Patrol, 2) Fire Suppression, 3) Community Services, 4) Land Use, Subdivisions, Building Permits
User Fee Policy - Principles

1. Charge user fee when a service provides a direct benefit to individuals, identifiable groups or businesses.
2. Determine full cost of service as the starting point for setting the user fee.
3. Full cost includes direct, indirect, and capital costs.
4. Subsidy is granted when less than full cost is recovered.
5. Consider waivers and rebates for groups of individuals or businesses based on criteria such as ability to pay.
6. Automatic annual inflation adjustment is effective January 1 of each year.
   - Inflation to be based on basket of goods user to provide specific user fee services.
7. Review user fees:
   - annually as part of the operating budget
   - at least once every 4 years to ensure compliance with the approved principles
8. Allocate revenues to pay for cost incurred to provide the user fee service – place capital costs in a Capital Reserve Fund
9. Provide Public Notice period of five working days when introducing new user fees or changes to existing user fees (excludes annual automatic inflation adjusted user fees)
10. Undertake Public Consultation when recommending new fees or significantly changing existing fees outside the budget process
11. Council must approve all fees including fees of Local Boards, excluding TTC
User Fee Policy - Principles

12. All user fees to be included in Chapter 441 of the City of Toronto Municipal Code
13. Review User Fee Policy every 4 years to ensure relevance and to reflect best practices