



STAFF REPORT ACTION REQUIRED

Toronto Police Services Board – Response to the City of Toronto’s Core Service Review – Options and Opportunities

Date:	July 27, 2011
To:	Executive Committee, City of Toronto
From:	Michael Thompson, Acting Chair, Toronto Police Services Board

SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to advise the City of Toronto Executive Committee that the Toronto Police Services Board will assess the options/opportunities contained in the Core Service Review prepared by KPMG LLP.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Executive Committee receive this report for information.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There are no financial implications related to the receipt of this report.

ISSUE BACKGROUND

At its meeting held on July 21, 2011, the Toronto Police Services Board was in receipt of a copy of a report, dated July 21, 2011, from Joseph Pennachetti, City Manager, City of Toronto, to the City of Toronto – Executive Committee with regard to the City of Toronto Core Service Review Project conducted for the City by KPMG LLP.

COMMENTS

Chair Alok Mukherjee noted that, at its meeting on April 07, 2011, the Board agreed to participate in the City’s Service Review Program, which includes a Core Service Review, Service Efficiency Studies, a User Fee Review, a Multi-Year Financial Planning and Budgeting Process and the 2012 Financial Planning and Budgeting Process, and that all such reviews would include a consideration of the work and services provided by both the Toronto Police Services Board and the Toronto Police Service (Min. No. P75/11 refers).

Mr. Pennachetti was in attendance and delivered a presentation to the Board on the City of Toronto Service Review Program and the Core Service Review conducted by KPMG which were developed for the Toronto Police Services Board and the Toronto Police Service. A paper copy of Mr. Pennachetti's presentation is on file in the Board office.

Following his presentation, Mr. Pennachetti responded to questions by the Board about the options and opportunities for potential cost savings and efficiencies for the Board and the Service.

The Board noted that KPMG had identified the City of Chicago's independent police board and the City of Boston's Community Ombudsman Oversight Panel as examples of jurisdictional organizations that are similar to the Board (reference: TPSB Profile, page 117). The Board said that these were not appropriate examples given that the structure, mandate, membership, legislative scheme and responsibilities of these two organizations were not comparable to the Board.

The Board noted that the option/opportunity to consider removing the requirement for police officers at construction sites (reference: TPS Profile, page 123) identified by KPMG was not applicable to the Service as this matter falls under the jurisdiction of the City of Toronto. The Board said that this option/opportunity for savings should be re-directed to the City.

The Board also noted that the option/opportunity to explore cost reductions in areas such as one-officer patrols, reduced salary, benefits, etc, (reference: TPS Profile, page 123) was not applicable to the Service as these matters fall under the jurisdiction of the Board.

In response to questions by the Board, Chair Mukherjee and Chief Blair described the level of direct interaction that they and/or members of the Service have had with representatives of KPMG with regard to the preparation of the Board and Service Profiles.

CONCLUSION

The Board approved the following Motions:

1. THAT the Board receive Mr. Pennachetti's presentation;
2. THAT Mr. Pennachetti's report be received and that the Board advise the City's Executive Committee that it will assess the options/opportunities contained in the Core Service Review prepared by KPMG;
3. THAT copies of Mr. Pennachetti's report be forwarded to the Board's Budget Sub-Committee and the Chief of Police for consideration;

4. THAT the Chief of Police provide his assessment of the options/opportunities identified in the Core Service Review prepared by KPMG and that this assessment be submitted to the Board's Budget Sub-Committee;
5. THAT, following a review by the Budget Sub-Committee, the Chair submit a report, with any appropriate recommendations, to the Board's September 14, 2011 meeting; and
6. THAT the suggestion that consideration be given to removing the requirement for police officers at construction sites be referred to City of Toronto – Transportation Services.

A copy of Board Minute No. P172/11, in the form attached as Appendix "A" to this report, regarding this matter is provided for information.

CONTACT

Acting Chair Michael Thompson
Toronto Police Services Board
Telephone No. 416-808-8080
Fax No. 416-808-8082

SIGNATURE

Michael Thompson
Acting Chair, Toronto Police Services Board

ATTACHMENT

Appendix A – Board Minute No. P172/11

a: city core service review report 1.doc

Appendix “A”

THIS IS AN EXTRACT FROM THE MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL PUBLIC MEETING OF THE TORONTO POLICE SERVICES BOARD HELD ON JULY 21, 2011

#P172. CITY OF TORONTO - CORE SERVICE REVIEW

The Board was in receipt of a copy of a report dated July 21, 2011 from Joseph Pennachetti, City Manager, City of Toronto, to the City of Toronto – Executive Committee. Mr. Pennachetti’s report included a copy of the City of Toronto Core Service Review Project conducted for the City by KPMG LLP. A copy of Mr. Pennachetti’s report is attached to this Minute for information.

Chair Mukherjee noted that, at its meeting on April 07, 2011, the Board agreed to participate in the City’s Service Review Program, which includes a Core Service Review, Service Efficiency Studies, a User Fee Review, a Multi-Year Financial Planning and Budgeting Process and the 2012 Financial Planning and Budgeting Process, and that all such reviews would include a consideration of the work and services provided by both the Toronto Police Services Board and the Toronto Police Service (Min. No. P75/11 refers).

Mr. Pennachetti was in attendance and delivered a presentation to the Board on the City of Toronto Service Review Program and the Core Service Review conducted by KPMG which were developed for the Toronto Police Services Board and the Toronto Police Service. A paper copy of Mr. Pennachetti’s presentation is on file in the Board office.

Following his presentation, Mr. Pennachetti responded to questions by the Board about the options and opportunities for potential cost savings and efficiencies for the Board and the Service.

The Board noted that KPMG had identified the City of Chicago’s independent police board and the City of Boston’s Community Ombudsman Oversight Panel as examples of jurisdictional organizations that are similar to the Board (reference: TPSB Profile, page 117). The Board said that these were not appropriate examples given that the structure, mandate, membership, legislative scheme and responsibilities of these two organizations were not comparable to the Board.

The Board noted that the option/opportunity to consider removing the requirement for police officers at construction sites (reference: TPS Profile, page 123) identified by KPMG was not applicable to the Service as this matter falls under the jurisdiction of the City of Toronto. The Board said that this option/opportunity for savings should be re-directed to the City.

The Board also noted that the option/opportunity to explore cost reductions in areas such as one-officer patrols, reduced salary, benefits, etc, (reference: TPS Profile, page 123) was not applicable to the Service as these matters fall under the jurisdiction of the Board.

In response to questions by the Board, Chair Mukherjee and Chief Blair described the level of direct interaction that they and/or members of the Service have had with representatives of KPMG with regard to the preparation of the Board and Service Profiles.

The Board approved the following Motions:

- 1. THAT the Board receive Mr. Pennachetti's presentation;**
- 2. THAT Mr. Pennachetti's report be received and that the Board advise the City's Executive Committee that it will assess the options/opportunities contained in the Core Service Review prepared by KPMG;**
- 3. THAT copies of Mr. Pennachetti's report be forwarded to the Board's Budget Sub-Committee and the Chief of Police for consideration;**
- 4. THAT the Chief of Police provide his assessment of the options/opportunities identified in the Core Service Review prepared by KPMG and that this assessment be submitted to the Board's Budget Sub-Committee;**
- 5. THAT, following a review by the Budget Sub-Committee, the Chair submit a report, with any appropriate recommendations, to the Board's September 14, 2011 meeting; and**
- 6. THAT the suggestion that consideration be given to removing the requirement for police officers at construction sites be referred to City of Toronto – Transportation Services.**