

Attachment 2: Comparison of Sheppard Transit Panel Criteria to Feeling Congested Criteria.

| Table: Evaluation Criteria for Rapid Transit Options | |
|---|--|
| Sheppard Avenue East "Expert Panel" (March 2012)¹ | "Feeling Congested" Phase 2 of City Official Plan Review (2013)² |
| Funding and Economic Development | Transit for Prosperity |
| Economic Development | Supports Growth |
| Cost Effectiveness and fiscal sustainability | Affordability |
| Timeframe | (Timeframe to be considered in Phase 3) |
| Transit Service | Transit for People |
| Ridership | Experience |
| Network Connectivity | Choice |
| Level of Service | Experience |
| Sustainability and Social Impact | Transit for Places |
| Equity and accessibility | Social Equity |
| Environmental sustainability | Public Health and Environment |
| Community Impact | Healthy Neighbourhoods |
| | Shaping the City |

1. "Report of the Expert Advisory Panel Regarding Transit on Sheppard Avenue East" as prepared by the seven member panel (March 15, 2012).
2. "Feeling Congested? Phase 2 Toolkit" prepared by the City Planning Division (Spring 2013).

Remarks:

- There is a remarkable degree of similarity between the two sets of evaluation criteria presented in the above table.
- Both evaluation processes organize the evaluation criteria around three broad principles (bolded).
- The "Feeling Congested" Framework was based on a comprehensive review of evaluation criteria used by other cities from around the world, including the work of the Expert Panel. As a result, the "Feeling Congested" criteria build upon those developed by the Expert Panel and others.
- The City Planning evaluation process assesses eight criteria over 23 quantifiable measures. Some of these measures are drawn from the Regional EMME/2 Transportation Forecasting Model and others from geographical and structural data for each transit corridor. The measures are still undergoing refinement and will inform a final Phase 3 of the "Feeling Congested" initiative