STIKEMAN ELLIOTT

Stikeman Elliott LLP Barristers & Solicitors

5300 Commerce Court West, 199 Bay Street, Toronto, Canada M5L 1B9 Tel: (416) 869-5500 Fax: (416) 947-0866 www.stikeman.com

Calvin Lantz

Direct: (416) 869-5669

E-mail: clantz@stikeman.com

BY E-MAIL

clerk@toronto.ca

April 2, 2013

File No: 112404.1038

City of Toronto City Clerk's Office Toronto City Hall 100 Queen Street West 10th Floor, West Tower Toronto, ON M5H 2N2

Attention: Your Worship and Members of Council

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Re:

Concerns with the Proposed City of Toronto Zoning By-law

Client:

InnVest Properties Corp.

Property: Municipality: 262 Carlingview Drive - Quality Suites Hotel

City of Toronto (former City of Etobicoke)

We are solicitors for InnVest Properties Corp. with respect to the property municipally known as 262 Carlingview Drive, City of Toronto (the "Property"). The Property is developed with a Quality Suites Hotel and several accessory and complementary uses, including a restaurant and retail services.

The purpose of this letter is to document some of our client's concerns with the proposed new city-wide Zoning By-law (the "Draft By-law") released on November 8, 2012.

The Property is zoned IC2, Industrial Class 2, by the Etobicoke Zoning Code (the "By-law"). The City is proposing to zone the Property EO 1.5 (e1.5; o1.5), Employment Industrial Office, in the Draft By-law.

The IC2 zone currently applies a maximum density of 0.6 times lot area for office uses but does not contain a density limit for hotel uses on the Property. The Draft By-law will impose a maximum floor space index of 1.5 times the lot area for all uses on the Property. Given that the existing hotel has a density of 2.05 times the lot area and is not presently subject to any density limit, the proposed density requirement is of concern. Mechanical rooms within the hotel building are excluded from the calculation of gross floor area in the By-law, whereas only below-grade mechanical rooms and mechanical penthouses are excluded from the calculation of

TORONTO

MONTREAL

OTTAWA

CALGARY

VANCOUVER

NEWYORK

LONDON

SYDNEY

gross floor area for non-residential buildings in the Draft By-law. This change in definition of gross floor area may affect the calculation of floor space index for the Property and is of concern, particularly if our client wishes to make improvements to its Property.

The Draft By-law proposes a minimum rear yard setback of 7.5 metres for the EO Zone. The By-law requires a minimum rear yard setback of 6.0 metres. Given that the existing building has a current rear yard setback of 6.6 metres, the increase in the proposed rear yard setback is of concern.

The Draft By-law contains no bicycle parking requirements for hotel uses but introduces bicycle parking requirements for the restaurants, retail stores, offices and service shops in a hotel (and also related shower/change facility requirements). Given the ancillary nature of restaurants, retail stores, offices and service shops in a hotel, and the fact that the Property is in the vicinity of Pearson International Airport and regularly serves air travellers, the introduction of such bicycle parking requirements in the Draft By-law is of concern.

Since the Draft By-law process is an iterative one, we reserve the right to raise further issues with provisions of the Draft By-law that may affect the Property.

We ask to be provided with notice of all upcoming meetings of Council and Committees of Council at which the Draft By-law will be considered and we ask to be provided with notice of Council's decision with respect to the Draft By-law. If you have any questions or require further information, please contact the undersigned. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Calvin Lantz

Yours tra

CWL/mc

cc: George Kosziwka, Inn Vest Properties Corp.