Front Yard Parking Appeal – 298 Durie Street

Date: April 2, 2013
To: Etobicoke York Community Council
From: Director, Transportation Services – Etobicoke York District
Wards: Ward 13 – Parkdale-High Park
Reference Number: p:\2013\Cluster B\TRA\EtobicokeYork\eycc130076-tp

SUMMARY

This staff report is about a matter that Etobicoke York Community Council has delegated authority from City Council to make a final decision.

Transportation Services has received an appeal for front yard parking at 298 Durie Street. We do not recommend approving front yard parking at this location because it does not meet the requirements of City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 918. The owner will be given an opportunity to make a deputation before Community Council.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Transportation Services recommends that Etobicoke York Community Council:

1. Refuse the application for front yard parking at 298 Durie Street.

Financial Impact
There is no financial impact to the City as a result of this report.

ISSUE BACKGROUND

The property owner of 298 Durie Street, a single family detached home with a 2.0 metre wide mutual driveway, submitted an application for front yard parking at this location. The applicant was advised that the property is not eligible for front yard parking because it does not meet the regulations of the City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 918. The applicant subsequently submitted an appeal requesting further consideration of this proposal.
Attachment 1 shows the site location. Attachment 2 illustrates the proposed front yard parking pad. Attachments 3 and 4 are photographs of the site.

COMMENTS

Applicable regulations

Front yard parking is governed by the criteria set out in the City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 918, Parking on Residential Front Yards and Boulevards. The relevant provisions include:

- prohibit front yard parking where permit parking is authorized on the same side of the street;
- limit the number of vehicles that can be licensed to one;
- the mutual driveway not exceed 2.2 m in width;
- limit the size of the parking area to 2.6 m by 5.9 m in dimension;
- minimum parking space requirement of 2.2 m in width;
- minimum parking space requirement of 5.3 m in length;
- minimum parking space requirement of 2.2 m in width by 5.3 m in length;
- a minimum of 50% of the front yard on private property must be landscaped open space, of which 75% must be maintained as soft landscaping;
- a minimum of 50% of the City boulevard fronting the property must be landscaped open space, of which 75% must be maintained as soft landscaping;
- the requirements of the City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 813, Trees, are complied with; a minimum clearance of 3.6 meters from the base of the tree is required;
- the parking space to be constructed perpendicular to the sidewalk;
- the parking space cannot be located within the existing mutual driveway;
- the parking space shall be constructed adjacent to the mutual driveway;
- parking area paved with semi-permeable material such as ecostone or turf stone or equivalent permeable paving treatment acceptable to the General Manager of Transportation Services;
- a formal poll be conducted to determine whether there is neighbourhood support for the application and that such poll has a favourable result.

Reasons for not approving

The property does not meet the above noted criteria for the following reasons:

- permit parking is permitted on the same side of the street on an alternating basis.

Poll results

City Clerks previously conducted a poll of all residents listed in the City's assessment information system who either own property or reside on both sides of Durie Street, from addresses 296 to 346 on the even side and from 295 to 349 on the odd side. The poll was conducted according to the requirements of Chapters 190 and 918, of the Toronto
Municipal Code, and was done in connection with a request for front yard parking at 322 Durie Street.

At the Ward Councillor's request, the survey was conducted in English, Polish, and Ukrainian. The survey was conducted by the Clerks' office between March 9, 2012 and April 10, 2012.

| Total owners/tenants/residents polled | 138 | -----
| Returned by post office              | 3   | -----
| Total eligible voters (total polled minus returned by post office) | 135 | 100% |
| No reply                            | 72  | 53% |
| **Total ballots received (response rate)** | **63** | **47%** |
| In favour of parking (of ballots received) | 40  | 64% |
| Opposed to parking (of ballots received) | 21  | 33% |
| Spoiled ballots                     | 2   | 3% |

The Code states that a poll will be considered valid when at least 25% of the ballots mailed out have been returned. This poll met the minimum response rate and therefore is considered valid. The survey results show that the majority of respondents do not object to front yard parking in this area.

**Other factors**

Permit parking on Durie Street is authorized on an alternate side basis, within permit parking area 1E. Currently, there is one on-street parking permit registered to this address.

| Total number of parking permits in area 1E | 1005 | Total permits issued as of April 2, 2013 | 905 |
| Permits available                        | 100  | % of permits allocated                    | 90% |

| Total number of permit parking spaces on Durie Street, between Bloor Street West and Colbeck Street | 34 | Total permits issued to residents as of February 20, 2013 | 43 |
| Permits available                        | -9  | % of permits allocated                     | 126% |

On this portion of Durie Street, between Bloor Street West and Colbeck Street, the permits have been oversold by 26%. This is possible due to the fact that Durie Street is in Area 1E, and if there is no space on this section of Durie Street, permit holders can park on adjacent streets.
A curb cut and depressed ramp is not required as there is an existing mutual driveway ramp to service the parking pad. No on-street parking stalls will be lost should this application be approved.

We wish to point out that an existing City tree in the applicant's front yard was removed after the applicant prepared the site drawing shown in Attachment No. 2. We are advised that the tree will be replaced by Parks, Forestry and Recreation Services.

On this portion of Durie Street, between Bloor Street West and Colbeck Street, there are currently 27 properties licensed for front yard parking.

**Alternate recommendations**

While the property is not eligible for front yard parking because it does not meet the above noted requirements of the Municipal Code, it also does meet the other physical requirements of the Code.

Should Community Council find merit in this application, it could be approved subject to the following conditions:

1. the parking area be a maximum of 2.2 metre wide with a length of 5.6 metre;
2. the remaining front yard shall consist of 'soft' landscaping;
3. the applicant use the existing mutual driveway to access the parking pad;
4. the applicant pay for the planting of a full shade canopy tree on City property in the general area of the property, preferably on the same street, as per the requirements of the City of Toronto Municipal Code Chapter 918, Parking on Residential Front Yards and Boulevards;
5. the applicant shall comply with any tree protection measures required by Parks, Forestry and Recreation Services prior to planting a new tree in the municipal boulevard fronting the subject site;
6. the applicant shall pay all applicable fees and comply with all other criteria described in the City of Toronto Municipal Code;
7. the existing on-street parking permit issued to this address is cancelled immediately following approval of the front yard parking pad; and,
8. the applicant satisfies these conditions at no expense to the municipality by May 14, 2014.
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Marianne Zagar, Right of Way Management, Etobicoke York District
Telephone: 416-394-8343, Fax: 416-394-8942, E-mail: mazagar@toronto.ca
AFS17645
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_______________________________
Steven T. Kodama, P.Eng.
Director, Transportation Services, Etobicoke York District
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